TEMPORARY ASSISTANCE FOR NEEDY FAMILIES PROGRAM

(TANF)

Eighth Annual Report to Congress

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND HUMAN SERVICES
Administration for Children and Families
Office of Family Assistance

TANF EIGHTH ANNUAL REPORT TO CONGRESS
TABLE OF CONTENTS

Executive Summary ..... **v**
Caseload v
Expenditures and Balances vi
Work Participation Rates vi
Work and Earnings vii
High Performance Bonus vii
Child Support Collections viii
Formation and Maintenance of Married Two-Parent Families viii
Out-of-Wedlock Births ix
Child Poverty and TANF x
Characteristics and Financial Circumstances of TANF Recipients x
Tribal TANF xi
Specific Provisions of State Programs xi
TANF Research and Evaluation xii
State Profiles xii

I. Caseload **1**
Child-Only Cases 7
State Policies and Management 7
Case Flow 8
Time Limits 9
Sanctions 10

II. TANF Expenditures and Balances **11**
Expenditures 15
Cash Assistance 15
Work Activities 16
Transportation and Work Supports 16
Child Care 16
Administrative and Systems Costs 16
Expenditures for Other Benefits and Services 16
Additional MOE Expenditure Information 18

III. Work Participation Rates **19**
FY 2006 Work Participation in Separate State Programs (SSPs) 23
Work Participation Penalties 24
Penalty Process 24

IV. Work and Earnings **27**
Employment While on the Caseload 29

V. High Performance Bonus and State Performance 31

VI. Child Support Collections 33
Access and Visitation Program 38

VII. Formation and Maintenance of Married Two-Parent Families 39
The Importance of Married Two-Parent Families 39
Fostering the Formation and Maintenance of Married Two-Parent Families 40
Program Announcement and Competitive Grant Process 40
Geographic Representation of Grantees 41
OFA Healthy Marriage Education Grantees 43
About the OFA Healthy Marriage Education Grantees 44
Allowable Activities of Healthy Marriage Education Grantees 44
OFA Promoting Responsible Fatherhood Grantees 46
About the OFA Promoting Responsible Fatherhood Grantees 47
Allowable Activities of Promoting Responsible Fatherhood Grantees 47
OFA Field Enrichment Activities 48
The National Healthy Marriage Resource Center 48
The National Responsible Fatherhood Clearinghouse 49
The National Responsible Fatherhood Capacity Building Initiative 50
Promoting Responsible Fatherhood Community Access Program 50
Examples of Technical Assistance (TA) and Monitoring 50
States in the Spotlight: TANF Activities Supporting Two-Parent Married Families 51
Alabama 51
Connecticut 52
Florida 52
Georgia 53
Indiana 54
Louisiana 54
Mississippi 55
New Mexico 56
Oklahoma 56
Utah 57
West Virginia 58

VIII. Out-of-Wedlock Births 61
Out-of-Wedlock Births in TANF Families 61
Out-of-Wedlock Births Among the General Population 61

IX. Child Poverty and TANF 63
The TANF Child Poverty Regulation 65

X. Characteristics and Financial Circumstances of TANF Recipients 67
Trends in AFDC/TANF Characteristics 68
Child-Only Families 68
Employment Rate 71
TANF Families 72
TANF Adults 75
TANF Children 76

Financial Circumstances 76
Reliability of Estimates 77
Standard (Sampling) Errors 77
Non-sampling Errors 77
Standard Errors of Subsets 78
Standard Errors for State Estimates 78
Statistically Significant Differences 78

XI. Tribal Temporary Assistance for Needy Families and Native Employment Works 79
The Tribal Temporary Assistance for Needy Families Program 79
Tribal TANF Background Data 81
Tribal TANF Recipient Characteristics and Work Participation Rates 82
The Native Employment Works Program 83
NEW Programs in PY 2004-2005 and PY 2005-2006 84

XII. Specific Provisions of State Programs 87
Form of Administration 88
TANF Eligibility 90
Treatment of Earnings 97
Resource Limits 101
Benefits 104
Work Requirements 106
States Claiming Continuing Waiver Inconsistencies with Respect to Work Requirements 110
Other Provisions 111
Sanction Policies 111
Diversion Payments 115
Time Limiting Assistance 121
Individual Development Accounts 126
Waiver Inconsistencies Related to Work Participation and/or Time Limit Assistance Requirements of TANF 130
Adoption of Family Violence Option 131
Family Cap 133

XIII. TANF Research and Evaluation 137
Highlights of Major Research and Evaluation Findings 138
Increasing Employment Stability and Earnings for Low-Wage Workers: Promising Programs in the Employment Retention and Advancement Project 138
TANF Caseload Composition and Leavers Synthesis 139
Implementing Healthy Marriage Programs for Unmarried Parents: Early Lessons from the Building Strong Families Project 140
The Effects of Marriage on Health: A Synthesis of Recent Research Evidence 142
Overview of Research and Evaluation Efforts 142
Evaluating Welfare Reform 142
Promoting Healthy Marriage and Responsible Fatherhood 148
Innovative Employment Strategies 154
Effective Strategies for Serving the Hard-to-Employ 156
Rural Welfare-to-Work Strategies 158
Understanding the Low-Wage Labor Market 158

Child Care and TANF 161
Improving the Use of TANF and Other Administrative Data 164
Contracting with Faith-Based Providers 165
Disasters and Emergency Response 167

XIV. State Profiles 169
Sources for State Profiles 171
1. Administration 171
2. Temporary Assistance to Needy Families (TANF) FY 2006 Caseload 171
3. Funding and Expenditures 172
4. Participation and Sanctions 172
5. TANF Benefit Structure 173
6. Caseload & Unemployment Rates (Graphs) 173
7. All-Family Work Participation Rates 173

Appendix 225

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

In 1996, Congress created the Temporary Assistance for Needy Families (TANF) program. This $16.5 billion a year block grant was enacted under the Personal Responsibility and Work Opportunity Reconciliation Act (PRWORA), which replaced Aid to Families with Dependent Children (AFDC) and other related welfare programs. Fostering self-sufficiency through work was the major goal of the 1996 reform, which required States to meet minimum levels of work participation. States have been given significant flexibility in designing their own eligibility criteria and benefit rules, which required work in exchange for time-limited assistance. The emphasis on work was strengthened with the reauthorization of the TANF program. The Deficit Reduction Act of 2005 (DRA) requires States to engage more TANF cases in productive work activities, increases funding for child care, and retains the five-year cumulative lifetime limit for Federal TANF cash assistance.

Since the enactment of TANF, millions of families have avoided dependence on welfare in favor of greater independence through work. Employment among low-income single mothers (incomes below 200 percent of poverty), reported in the U. S. Census Bureau's Current Population Survey (CPS) has increased significantly since 1996. Overall, earnings in female-headed families remain significantly higher than in 1996 despite the brief economic downturn. In addition, child poverty rates have declined substantially since the start of the program. States are using their flexibility to focus an increasing portion of welfare dollars on helping individuals retain jobs and advance in their employment.

This document reports on Fiscal Years 2004 through 2006 and describes the characteristics and financial circumstances of TANF recipients, and presents information regarding TANF caseloads and expenditures, work participation and earnings, State High Performance Bonus awards, child support collections, two-parent family formation and maintenance, out-of-wedlock births, and child poverty. In addition, it documents specific provisions of State programs, summarizes current TANF research and evaluation, and provides profiles for each State. Below is a short summary of each chapter in this report.

Caseload

The national TANF caseload continued to decline in FY 2006. Some States have moved TANF recipients who have reached the Federal time limit to Separate State Programs (SSPs), but the combined caseload still continued to decline in FY 2006. This decline has occurred as some States have modified their eligibility criteria to include more low-income families.

Child-only cases continue to comprise a large fraction of the total TANF caseload. These are cases where no adult is included in the benefit calculation and only the children are aided. In FY 2006, child-only cases represented 47.2 percent of the total TANF caseload.

The average length of TANF assistance received by families in FY 2006 was 35.4 months, up from 33.5 months in FY 2005 and 31.2 months in FY 2004. FY 2002 was the first year that families in each State could have reached the Federal five-year lifetime limit on assistance. Case closure data for 35 States show that less than one half of one percent of cases had been closed due to the five-year limitation during FY 2006. In addition, although up to 20 percent of the State caseload can be exempted from this limit, only 6.6 percent of families were receiving assistance beyond the 60-month limitation.

Expenditures and Balances

In FY 2006, combined Federal and State expenditures for the Temporary Assistance for Needy Families (TANF) program totaled $25.6 billion. States spent $10.5 billion, or 40.9 percent of their total expenditures on cash assistance. They also spent significant amounts on various non-cash services designed to promote work, stable families, or other TANF objectives, including work activities ($2.4 billion), child care ($3.5 billion), transportation and work supports ($472 million), administrative and systems costs ($2.4 billion), and a wide range of other benefits and services ($6.3 billion). This latter category includes $940 million in expenditures on activities designed to either reduce the incidence of out-of-wedlock pregnancies or encourage paternal involvement in the lives of their children – an 11.9 percent increase over FY 2005 levels. These expenditure patterns represent a significant shift since the enactment of TANF, when spending on cash assistance amounted to 73.1 percent of total expenditures.

In addition to these expenditures, States can also transfer up to 30 percent of their TANF block grant into the Child Care and Development Fund (CCDF) or the Social Services Block Grant (SSBG). In FY 2006, States transferred $1.9 billion into the CCDF and $974 million into the SSBG.

At the beginning of FY 2006, States had $3.8 billion in unspent TANF funds – $2.1 billion in unobligated funds and $1.7 billion in unliquidated obligations. By the end of the year, the amount of unspent funds increased to $4.0 billion – $2.2 in unobligated funds and $1.9 billion in unliquidated obligations.

Work Participation Rates

All States (except Indiana) met the overall participation rate standard in FY 2006, as did the District of Columbia, the Virgin Islands, and Puerto Rico. An average of 32.5 percent of non-exempt TANF adults met Federal all-family work participation standards by averaging monthly participation in qualified work activities for at least 30 hours per week, or 20 hours per week if they had children under age six. This represents a 0.5 percentage point decline from FY 2005, when average participation was 33.0 percent. The FY 2006 rate remains above the 30.7 percent attained in FY 1997, but well below the 38.3 percent peak achieved in FY 1999. All-family work rates increased in 29 States and one Territory and declined in 21 States, the District of Columbia, and two Territories.

An additional 14.4 percent of TANF families required to participate had some hours of participation, but did not attain sufficient hours to qualify toward the work rate. States and

Territories reported zero hours of participation in qualified activities for 52.6 percent of families (although some likely participated in non-qualifying activities or were not reported as having any hours of participation, because they did not have sufficient hours to count toward the rate). This is 0.3 percentage points lower than in FY 2005.

In FY 2006, the all-family nominal minimum participation rate was 50 percent for single-parent families, and 90 percent for two-parent families. However, due to tremendous caseload reductions, the average (weighted) effective minimum work participation requirement in FY 2006 (because of the caseload reduction credit) was only 5.0 percent for all families and 18.7 percent for two-parent families. Seventeen States and two Territories had sufficient caseload reduction credits to reduce their effective required all-parent rate to zero, and only 21 States faced an effective minimum greater than ten percent.

Work and Earnings

In FY 2006, 57.7 percent of single mothers with children under 18 that had income below 200 percent of poverty were employed. Although the employment rate of those with children under 18 is below its peak of 60.8 in FY 2000, it is still 6 percentage points higher than in 1996 –a remarkable achievement, particularly because of the brief recession in 2001. Also, among those in this group with children under six, the employment rate increased from the FY 2005 level of 52.7 percent to 55.4 percent in FY 2006.

Overall, earnings in female-headed families remain significantly higher than in 1996. For the one-fifth of families with the lowest income, single mother families' earnings rose to an average of $2,472 per year in 2005, remaining well above the average of $1,979 in 1996 (in 2005 dollars); this reflects the increase in employment of lower income single mothers. For the next 20 percent, earnings remained well above their 1996 levels where the average was $5,765; in 2005 the average earnings for the second quintile was $9,888.

In FY 2006, 31.7 percent of adult recipients were working or engaged in work preparation activities, up slightly from 31.2 percent in FY 2005. Sixty-eight percent of recipients who were working were doing so in paid employment; the remainder were involved in work experience, community service, and subsidized employment. State-reported data for welfare recipients show that the average monthly earnings of those employed increased from $599 per month in FY 1996 (in 2006 dollars) to $707 in FY 2006, an 18 percent increase.

High Performance Bonus

The TANF High Performance Bonus (HPB) program provided cash awards to States for high relative achievement on certain measures related to the goals and purposes of the TANF program. The TANF program was reauthorized under the Deficit Deduction Act of 2005, and this statute eliminated the funding for HPB under Section 403(a)(4) of the Social Security Act (the Act). The last year for the HPB awards was for performance year FY 2004.

While performance awards are no longer authorized, the Department is still required under Section 413(d) of the Act to annually measure and rank State performance in moving TANF

recipients into private sector employment. We continue to use this data source for measuring State performance in moving TANF recipients into employment.

Child Support Collections

Single parents receiving TANF are required to cooperate with child support enforcement efforts. FY 2006 efforts produced a two percent increase in the percentage of current assistance cases that had orders established, and a two percent increase in the percentage of former assistance cases that had orders established. This means that over 56 percent of current assistance cases had orders established, and over 83 percent of former assistance cases had orders established.

In FY 2006, over $23.9 billion was collected for children by the Child Support Enforcement Program, an increase of four percent from FY 2005, and a 19 percent increase since FY 2004. Total collections included almost $1.6 billion in overdue child support intercepted from Federal tax refunds. In addition, the Passport Denial Program collected nearly $22.6 million in calendar year (CY) 2006, an increase of $5.7 million over the $16.9 million collected in CY 2005. There were also over 1.7 million paternities established in FY 2006.

In FY 2006, 54 percent of the total child support cases had a collection. About 70 percent of the cases with orders established reported a collection, an increase over the 69 percent achieved in FY 2005. Nationally, about $2,806 was collected per case for those with a collection. In FY 2006, States collected about $4.58 in child support for every $1 spent. Of the 15.8 million child support cases served by IV-D agencies, only 2.3 million are currently receiving public assistance, 6.2 million have never received assistance, and 7.3 million formerly received assistance.

Formation and Maintenance of Married Two-Parent Families

In May 2006, the Department of Health and Human Services (HHS), Administration for Children and Families (ACF), Office of Family Assistance (OFA) announced the availability of funds for both Healthy Marriage Demonstration and Promoting Responsible Fatherhood Grants.

Healthy marriage and responsible fatherhood grants were open to all levels of State governments, public institutions of education, Native American Tribal organizations, profit and non-profit organizations, small businesses, private institutions of higher education and faith-based groups. Applicants for either grant were also required to make themselves available to ACF evaluations, attend entrance and annual peer meetings and partner with on-site ACF sponsored technical assistance personnel. Approximately 1,650 marriage and fatherhood applications were received and 226 total grants (126 healthy marriage; 100 responsible fatherhood) were awarded.

The National Healthy Marriage Resource Center (NHMRC) provides a wealth of information for policymakers, State and community stakeholders, and the public at large. The NHMRC seeks to effectively disseminate information by improving practice through research and education, building and sustaining the capacity of the field, and fostering coalitions and partnerships. The NHMRC supports the field - specifically, ACF-funded grantees - through proven technical assistance strategies and methodologies. By putting research into practice, the NHMRC strengthens States and communities, as well as the overall field. Further, the NHMRC highlights

marriage preparation, strategies for strengthening marriages and promoting healthy relationships, all of which are useful for the field and for the public at large.

The National Responsible Fatherhood Clearinghouse (NRFC) is charged with carrying out ACF's mission of assisting States and communities to promote and support responsible fatherhood and healthy marriages. The NRFC seeks to improve practice through research and education, building and sustaining the capacity of the field, fostering coalitions and partnerships, and effectively disseminating information. The NRFC supports the field - specifically, ACF-funded grantees - through proven technical assistance strategies and methodologies. The NRFC also includes the promotion and distribution of a national media campaign to elevate public concerns surrounding father absence and offers strategies to strengthen responsible fatherhood.

OFA has invested in the creation and management of the National Responsible Fatherhood Capacity-Building Initiative (NRFCBI). The NRFCBI is identifying and providing assistance to local community-based fatherhood organizations to expand their programs in four critical areas including: (1) leadership development, (2) organizational development, (3) program development, and (4) community engagement. These activities are expected to increase an organization's sustainability and effectiveness, enhance its ability to provide responsible fatherhood services, reach underserved and fragile populations, and create collaborations to better serve those most in need.

OFA also has invested in further promoting responsible fatherhood by creating the Fatherhood Community Access (FCA) Program. The purpose of the FCA is to promote responsible fatherhood by funding programs that support healthy marriage activities, promote responsible parenting, and foster economic stability. Different from the other programs, the FCA focuses on awarding grants to State agencies or other large organizations that have the capacity to ensure the delivery of services by developing and supporting faith-based and community organizations that promote responsible fatherhood at the local community level. These organizations serve as the lead for a network of faith-based and community organizations, who formally work together to coordinate the development and implementation of services at the grass-roots level. Furthermore, the lead organization provides technical and financial support through a collaborative approach with grass roots organizations and the Federal government, to support the development of a fatherhood service delivery network capable of expanding new and existing programs and services at the local level.

Out-of-Wedlock Births

PRWORA required the Department to rank States based on a ratio of the total number of out-of-wedlock births in TANF families to the total number of births in TANF families and to show the net changes in the ratios between the current year and the previous year. The proportion of all births that were out-of-wedlock rose to 35.8 percent in 2004, compared with 34.6 in 2003. Since 1996, the proportion has increased 3.4 percentage points from 32.4 to 35.8 in 2004.

Child Poverty and TANF

The 2006 child poverty rate stood at 17.4 percent, down 0.2 percentage points from the prior year, well below the 1996 level of 20.5 percent and the 1993 peak of 22.7 percent. The reduction in poverty since 1996 is even more marked for specific groups: the African American child poverty rate was 33.0 percent in 2006 compared with 39.9 percent in 1996 and the Hispanic child poverty rate was 26.9 percent in 2006 down from 40.3 percent in 1996. There are also significant differences in the child poverty rate by marital status. Children in married, two-parent families are more than one-fifth less likely to be poor as children in female-headed, single-parent families (6.4 percent vs. 36.5 percent).

If the State experiences an increase in its child poverty rate of five percent or more as a result of the TANF program(s) in the State, it must submit and implement a corrective action plan to reduce the State's child poverty rate. To date, based on child poverty rates for 1996 through 2005, no State has been required to submit a corrective action plan or any additional information for these child poverty assessment periods.

Characteristics and Financial Circumstances of TANF Recipients

The average monthly number of TANF families was 1,802,600 in FY 2006. The estimated average monthly number of TANF recipients was 996,300 adults and 3,203,600 children. The average monthly number of TANF families decreased in 50 States and reflects an overall 6 percent decrease from 1,914,000 families in FY 2005.

There has been little change in the racial composition of TANF families since FY 2005. African-American families comprised 36 percent of TANF families, white families comprised 33 percent, and 26 percent were Hispanic.

Eighty percent of TANF families received Food Stamp assistance, which is consistent with previous levels. These families received average monthly Food Stamp assistance of $275. Of closed-case families, about 79 percent received Food Stamp assistance in the month of closure. In addition, almost every TANF family was eligible to receive medical assistance under the State plan approved under Title XIX of the Social Security Act.

On average, TANF families received cash and cash equivalent assistance, with an average monthly amount of $372. Monthly cash payments to TANF families averaged $314 for one child, $390 for two children, $465 for three children, and $558 for four or more children. Some TANF families who were not employed received other forms of assistance such as child care, transportation and other supportive services.

In FY 2006, less than one in every five TANF families had non-TANF income. The average monthly amount of non-TANF income was $587 per family. Ten percent of the TANF families had earned income with an average monthly amount of $707, while seven percent of the TANF families had unearned income with an average monthly amount of $351. Of all closed-case families, 33 percent had non-TANF income with an average monthly amount of $915.

Of TANF recipient adults, 20 percent had earned income with an average monthly amount of $703. Six percent of adult recipients had unearned income averaging about $352 per month. Three percent of recipient children had unearned income with an average monthly amount of $294.

Tribal TANF

By the close of FY 2006, 52 Tribal TANF plans were approved to operate on behalf of 236 Tribes and Alaska Native villages. American Indian and Alaska Native families not served by Tribal TANF p`rograms continue to be served by State TANF programs. State governments in FY 2006 served about 24,000 American Indian families. Of the 11,198 Tribal TANF families reported, 6,989 (62.4 percent) were single parent families and 2,615 (23.4 percent) were child-only cases.

The full-year (not prorated) amount of grants approved/awarded to the 52 approved programs was $166,763,960. The amount of the approved grants is based on American Indian families served under State AFDC programs in FY 1994 in the Tribal grantee's service area.

Seventy-eight Indian Tribes, Alaska Native organizations, and Tribal consortia operated Native Employment Works (NEW) programs during Program Year (PY) 2005-2006 (July 1, 2005 – June 30, 2006). The most frequently provided NEW program activities were classroom training, job search, and work experience. The most frequently provided supportive and job retention service was transportation.

NEW programs coordinated education, training, work experience, job search, and job referral with other Tribal programs and with local educational institutions and employers. They provided intensive case management, behavioral, health, and financial management counseling, and life skills training. Many Tribes with NEW programs located training, employment, and social services in "one-stop" centers where staff assessed clients' needs and then provided targeted activities and services to meet those needs. Information/resource/technology centers and learning centers containing resource materials, classrooms, and computer labs provided job preparation and job search services, including individual needs assessments, case management, and classroom instruction.

Specific Provisions of State Programs

The tables in Chapter XII were derived from information collected in the Welfare Rules Databook. These tables include State-by-State information on benefit levels, work requirements, waiver rules, eligibility and benefit determination, Individual Development Accounts, sanction policies, cash diversion programs, time limits, domestic violence provisions, and family cap policies.

TANF Research and Evaluation

HHS undertakes several research and evaluation initiatives each year. This chapter summarizes recent research and evaluation findings and provides an overview of additional research and evaluation initiatives related to the TANF program undertaken by HHS. Major new reports include new research regarding the Employment Retention and Advancement Project, an updated report on the composition of the TANF caseload and TANF leavers, and an evaluation of marriage and relationship education programs.

State Profiles

This chapter contains individual TANF profiles for each State and the District of Columbia. These TANF profiles contain information on program administration, funding, expenditures, caseload, benefit structure, and participation rates.

I. CASELOAD

The national Temporary Assistance for Needy Families (TANF) caseload declined through FY 2006, continuing its long-term decline since the program's creation. Figure A shows the average monthly number of families and recipients receiving Aid to Families with Dependent Children (AFDC) benefits or TANF assistance from 1936 through 2006, and that the reduction that began in 1994 continues today. This chapter reviews these national caseload trends, changes in the composition of the caseload, and key factors affecting these developments.

Figure A
AFDC/TANF Families
FY 1960 - FY 2006



Source: Appendix Table 1:1

Compared with the years immediately following the enactment of TANF, the caseload decline during FY 2006 was relatively modest. A total of 1,756,750 families were aided in September 2006, the last month of the fiscal year. This was 122,929 fewer families that received assistance than at the end of FY 2005, representing a 6.5 percent decline in TANF cases. Figure B shows the monthly number of families that received assistance in FY 2006.

Figure B
Average Monthly TANF Families
FY 2006



Source: Appendix Table 1:2

TANF caseload figures can be misleading because they ignore assistance funded through State Maintenance of Effort (MOE) funds in Separate State Programs (SSPs). Families receiving assistance through SSPs are not subject to Federal participation requirements, the Federal five-year time limit, and various other rules (although the Deficit Reduction Act of 2005 included work participation requirements for adults in SSPs beginning in FY 2007). The funds must be spent on families that include a child living with a parent or adult caretaker relative and are financially eligible according to State-set income/resource standards. It should be kept in mind that TANF is also used to provide services to many families not receiving assistance (e.g., transportation and child care for employed families) but for whom States do not report case counts.

In FY 2006, 32 States[1] had established SSPs. Most State SSP programs are targeted to certain populations, the most common being two-parent families. In FY 2006, each State with an SSP program but Wyoming used their SSPs to aid some or all two-parent families who were then not subject to the TANF two-parent work participation requirements. Other groups include families with physical, mental health, substance abuse, or domestic violence issues; families in which the

[1] The term "State" in this report includes the District of Columbia, which is included whenever the term is used unless specifically noted.

parent or caretaker is receiving or has applied for Supplemental Security Income; families in which the caretaker relative is not the parent; families in which a parent is attending postsecondary school; and families in which the minor parent is a student.

Figure C shows the monthly number of families that received assistance in an SSP for FY 2006. As of September 2006, 144,110 families received assistance through an SSP, less than eight percent of the total TANF/SSP caseload. Most State programs are relatively small, and three States account for 71 percent of the families in SSPs nationwide: California (eight percent of combined caseload, primarily two-parent families), New York (24 percent of combined caseload, primarily families that have reached the Federal five-year time limit), and Virginia (75 percent of combined caseload, primarily families that had been exempt from work requirements).

Figure C
Separate State Program Families by Month
FY 2006



Source: Appendix Table 1:2

Figure D shows the combined TANF and SSP caseload from FY 2002 to FY 2006. Despite the slight growth in the SSP caseload, the combined average monthly TANF/SSP caseload declined during this period.

Figure D
Average Monthly TANF and SSP Families
FY 2002 - FY 2006



Source: Appendix Table 1:2

TANF caseloads in all States and Territories remain substantially below their August 1996 caseload level. Thirty-seven States have reduced caseloads by more than 50 percent and 27 by more than 60 percent. While the number of people receiving cash assistance has dropped significantly, expenditures for people receiving employment-related services have grown considerably, reflecting the redirection of public assistance under TANF to a focus on work (see Chapter 2 for more detail).

Despite the steady national trend, there was considerable variation in TANF caseload changes among the States in FY 2006. Tables A and B show the number of families and recipients, respectively, by State as of September 2006, along with each State's percentage of the national caseload. These tables also compare and rank their change in caseload from both September 2005 and since the enactment of TANF in August 1996. During FY 2006, all but three States and Territories saw continuing caseload declines. One-year TANF caseload changes ranged from a 36 percent decline in Georgia to an 11 percent increase in Michigan, while the caseloads of 12 States remained quite stable with less than a five percent change. Understanding the significant variation across States is difficult, but we discuss some causal factors below. In addition, we present State-by-State profiles of TANF programs for FY 2006 in Chapter XIV.

Table A
Families - September 2006 TANF and SSP Caseload
Compared to September 2005 and August 1996

Families at end of FY 2006				Change Over FY 2006				Change Since TANF Enactment			
September 2006				September 2005 to September 2006				August 1996 to September 2006			
Rank[1]			% of U.S.	Rank[2]		Change		Rank[2]		Change	
	TANF	1,756,068			TANF	(123,611)	-6.6%		TANF	(2,652,440)	-60.2%
	SSP-MOE	147,663			SSP-MOE	(14,110)	-8.7%		SSP-MOE	147,663	-
	U.S. Total	1,903,731			U.S. Total	(137,721)	-6.7%		U.S. Total	(2,504,777)	-56.8%
	Families by State				Change in Families by State				Change in Families by State[3]		
24	Alabama	19,385	1.0%	32	Alabama	(1,172)	-5.7%	28	Alabama	(21,647)	-52.8%
48	Alaska	3,348	0.2%	36	Alaska	(178)	-5.0%	10	Alaska	(8,811)	-72.5%
14	Arizona	38,086	2.0%	13	Arizona	(4,453)	-10.5%	46	Arizona	(24,318)	-39.0%
41	Arkansas	8,596	0.5%	52	Arkansas	109	1.3%	21	Arkansas	(13,473)	-61.0%
1	California	477,436	25.1%	39	California	(21,638)	-4.3%	37	California	(402,942)	-45.8%
32	Colorado	12,972	0.7%	8	Colorado	(2,242)	-14.7%	18	Colorado	(21,514)	-62.4%
22	Connecticut	21,667	1.1%	27	Connecticut	(1,529)	-6.6%	19	Connecticut	(35,659)	-62.2%
45	Delaware	5,462	0.3%	29	Delaware	(357)	-6.1%	34	Delaware	(5,123)	-48.4%
30	Dist. of Col.	15,871	0.8%	30	Dist. of Col.	(1,036)	-6.1%	47	Dist. of Col.	(9,479)	-37.4%
9	Florida	50,308	2.6%	9	Florida	(7,340)	-12.7%	6	Florida	(150,614)	-75.0%
20	Georgia	27,553	1.4%	2	Georgia	(10,500)	-27.6%	5	Georgia	(95,776)	-77.7%
49	Guam[3]	3,072	0.2%	50	Guam[3]	0	0.0%	54	Guam[3]	829	37.0%
40	Hawaii	9,336	0.5%	31	Hawaii	(590)	-5.9%	25	Hawaii	(12,558)	-57.4%
52	Idaho	1,767	0.1%	45	Idaho	(48)	-2.6%	4	Idaho	(6,840)	-79.5%
15	Illinois	34,376	1.8%	11	Illinois	(4,446)	-11.5%	2	Illinois	(185,921)	-84.4%
12	Indiana	42,833	2.2%	26	Indiana	(3,315)	-7.2%	52	Indiana	(8,604)	-16.7%
23	Iowa	20,450	1.1%	21	Iowa	(1,698)	-7.7%	48	Iowa	(11,129)	-35.2%
28	Kansas	16,974	0.9%	28	Kansas	(1,152)	-6.4%	51	Kansas	(6,816)	-28.7%
17	Kentucky	32,436	1.7%	38	Kentucky	(1,646)	-4.8%	27	Kentucky	(38,828)	-54.5%
36	Louisiana	11,183	0.6%	3	Louisiana	(4,154)	-27.1%	3	Louisiana	(56,284)	-83.4%
38	Maine	11,000	0.6%	48	Maine	(64)	-0.6%	42	Maine	(9,007)	-45.0%
21	Maryland	21,784	1.1%	10	Maryland	(3,056)	-12.3%	14	Maryland	(48,881)	-69.2%
10	Massachusetts	49,034	2.6%	51	Massachusetts	64	0.1%	44	Massachusetts	(35,666)	-42.1%
4	Michigan	89,806	4.7%	54	Michigan	9,277	11.5%	36	Michigan	(80,191)	-47.2%
18	Minnesota	30,176	1.6%	46	Minnesota	(548)	-1.8%	35	Minnesota	(27,565)	-47.7%
34	Mississippi	12,594	0.7%	7	Mississippi	(2,234)	-15.1%	9	Mississippi	(33,834)	-72.9%
11	Missouri	43,520	2.3%	42	Missouri	(1,555)	-3.4%	38	Missouri	(36,603)	-45.7%
47	Montana	3,487	0.2%	16	Montana	(355)	-9.2%	16	Montana	(6,627)	-65.5%
33	Nebraska	12,653	0.7%	44	Nebraska	(401)	-3.1%	53	Nebraska	(1,782)	-12.3%
42	Nevada	6,548	0.3%	12	Nevada	(804)	-10.9%	29	Nevada	(7,164)	-52.2%
43	New Hampshire	6,251	0.3%	47	New Hampshire	(91)	-1.4%	49	New Hampshire	(2,849)	-31.3%
13	New Jersey	41,363	2.2%	22	New Jersey	(3,402)	-7.6%	24	New Jersey	(60,341)	-59.3%
29	New Mexico	16,175	0.8%	18	New Mexico	(1,516)	-8.6%	31	New Mexico	(17,178)	-51.5%
2	New York	169,727	8.9%	19	New York	(15,608)	-8.4%	22	New York	(248,611)	-59.4%
19	North Carolina	28,514	1.5%	15	North Carolina	(3,210)	-10.1%	7	North Carolina	(81,546)	-74.1%
51	North Dakota	2,409	0.1%	5	North Dakota	(446)	-15.6%	33	North Dakota	(2,364)	-49.5%
5	Ohio	77,746	4.1%	40	Ohio	(3,415)	-4.2%	20	Ohio	(126,494)	-61.9%
39	Oklahoma	9,534	0.5%	6	Oklahoma	(1,704)	-15.2%	8	Oklahoma	(26,452)	-73.5%
25	Oregon	18,045	0.9%	33	Oregon	(1,015)	-5.3%	45	Oregon	(11,872)	-39.7%
3	Pennsylvania	89,967	4.7%	17	Pennsylvania	(8,481)	-8.6%	30	Pennsylvania	(96,375)	-51.7%
31	Puerto Rico	13,917	0.7%	41	Puerto Rico	(533)	-3.7%	11	Puerto Rico	(35,954)	-72.1%
35	Rhode Island	11,813	0.6%	20	Rhode Island	(1,032)	-8.0%	43	Rhode Island	(8,857)	-42.8%
27	South Carolina	17,889	0.9%	34	South Carolina	(991)	-5.2%	23	South Carolina	(26,171)	-59.4%
50	South Dakota	2,840	0.1%	49	South Dakota	(13)	-0.5%	32	South Dakota	(2,989)	-51.3%
7	Tennessee	67,487	3.5%	37	Tennessee	(3,549)	-5.0%	50	Tennessee	(29,700)	-30.6%
6	Texas	68,408	3.6%	4	Texas	(13,843)	-16.8%	12	Texas	(175,096)	-71.9%
44	Utah	6,247	0.3%	1	Utah	(2,383)	-27.6%	26	Utah	(7,974)	-56.1%
46	Vermont	4,792	0.3%	43	Vermont	(167)	-3.4%	40	Vermont	(3,973)	-45.3%
53	Virgin Islands	453	0.0%	53	Virgin Islands	14	3.2%	15	Virgin Islands	(918)	-67.0%
16	Virginia	33,908	1.8%	25	Virginia	(2,676)	-7.3%	41	Virginia	(27,997)	-45.2%
8	Washington	53,267	2.8%	24	Washington	(4,350)	-7.5%	39	Washington	(44,225)	-45.4%
37	West Virginia	11,051	0.6%	14	West Virginia	(1,265)	-10.3%	13	West Virginia	(25,993)	-70.2%
26	Wisconsin	17,910	0.9%	35	Wisconsin	(959)	-5.1%	17	Wisconsin	(34,014)	-65.5%
54	Wyoming	305	0.0%	23	Wyoming	(25)	-7.6%	1	Wyoming	(4,007)	-92.9%
	Total	1,903,731			Total	(137,721)			Total	(2,504,777)	

[1] Ranked by largest number of State and Territory TANF and SSP families.
[2] Ranked by largest percentage decline in caseload.
[3] Guam caseload data is estimated based on the first quarter of FY 2002.
Sources: Statistical Report on Recipients Under Public Assistance, TANF Data Report, SSP-MOE Data Report, Tribal TANF Data Report.

Table B
Recipients - September 2006 TANF and SSP Caseload
Compared to September 2005 and August 1996

Recipients at end of FY 2006				Change Over FY 2006				Change Since TANF Enactment			
September 2006				September 2005 to September 2006				August 1996 to September 2006			
Rank[1]			% of U.S.	Rank[2]		Net Change		Rank[2]		Net Change	
	TANF	4,095,452			TANF	(337,389)	-7.6%		TANF	(8,146,673)	-66.5%
	SSP-MOE	490,259			SSP-MOE	(47,067)	-8.8%		SSP-MOE	490,259	-
	U.S. Total	4,585,711			U.S. Total	(384,456)	-7.7%		U.S. Total	(7,656,414)	-62.5%
	Recipients by State				Change in Recipients by State				Change in Recipients by State		
24	Alabama	45,722	1.0%	25	Alabama	(3,435)	-7.0%	30	Alabama	(54,940)	-54.6%
49	Alaska	8,921	0.2%	7	Alaska	(607)	-6.4%	19	Alaska	(26,623)	-74.9%
14	Arizona	83,434	1.8%	53	Arizona	(12,647)	-13.2%	51	Arizona	(86,008)	-50.8%
41	Arkansas	19,260	0.4%	11	Arkansas	721	3.9%	28	Arkansas	(37,083)	-65.8%
1	California	1,168,908	25.5%	25	California	(57,787)	-4.7%	26	California	(1,413,040)	-54.7%
32	Colorado	33,201	0.7%	51	Colorado	(6,369)	-16.1%	21	Colorado	(62,587)	-65.3%
23	Connecticut	47,047	1.0%	8	Connecticut	(4,025)	-7.9%	7	Connecticut	(112,199)	-70.5%
45	Delaware	12,295	0.3%	36	Delaware	(1,033)	-7.8%	40	Delaware	(11,359)	-48.0%
30	Dist. of Col.	38,803	0.8%	40	Dist. of Col.	(3,271)	-7.8%	46	Dist. of Col.	(30,489)	-44.0%
15	Florida	82,511	1.8%	30	Florida	(17,013)	-17.1%	5	Florida	(451,290)	-84.5%
20	Georgia	51,653	1.1%	38	Georgia	(28,656)	-35.7%	23	Georgia	(278,649)	-84.4%
47	Guam[3]	10,783	0.2%	27	Guam[3]	0	0.0%	54	Guam[3]	2,469	29.7%
36	Hawaii	26,240	0.6%	9	Hawaii	(1,799)	-6.4%	16	Hawaii	(40,242)	-60.5%
52	Idaho	2,881	0.1%	54	Idaho	(314)	-9.8%	3	Idaho	(18,899)	-86.8%
13	Illinois	84,244	1.8%	3	Illinois	(12,702)	-13.1%	2	Illinois	(558,400)	-86.9%
9	Indiana	124,631	2.7%	12	Indiana	(9,062)	-6.8%	53	Indiana	(17,973)	-12.6%
22	Iowa	47,279	1.0%	28	Iowa	(4,559)	-8.8%	44	Iowa	(38,867)	-45.1%
25	Kansas	44,290	1.0%	46	Kansas	(3,320)	-7.0%	47	Kansas	(19,493)	-30.6%
18	Kentucky	67,790	1.5%	35	Kentucky	(5,345)	-7.3%	29	Kentucky	(104,403)	-60.6%
38	Louisiana	25,200	0.5%	26	Louisiana	(10,343)	-29.1%	6	Louisiana	(202,915)	-89.0%
34	Maine	31,628	0.7%	52	Maine	257	0.8%	38	Maine	(22,245)	-41.3%
21	Maryland	51,062	1.1%	33	Maryland	(9,115)	-15.1%	10	Maryland	(143,065)	-73.7%
12	Massachusetts	100,047	2.2%	24	Massachusetts	(4,130)	-4.0%	35	Massachusetts	(125,983)	-55.7%
3	Michigan	238,766	5.2%	50	Michigan	24,410	11.4%	25	Michigan	(263,588)	-52.5%
17	Minnesota	78,884	1.7%	22	Minnesota	(2,764)	-3.4%	41	Minnesota	(90,860)	-53.5%
37	Mississippi	25,966	0.6%	34	Mississippi	(5,459)	-17.4%	15	Mississippi	(97,862)	-79.0%
10	Missouri	110,618	2.4%	10	Missouri	(4,189)	-3.6%	31	Missouri	(112,202)	-50.4%
48	Montana	8,978	0.2%	13	Montana	(1,157)	-11.4%	37	Montana	(20,152)	-69.2%
33	Nebraska	33,026	0.7%	42	Nebraska	(1,441)	-4.2%	50	Nebraska	(6,202)	-15.8%
42	Nevada	15,814	0.3%	4	Nevada	(2,224)	-12.3%	49	Nevada	(18,447)	-53.8%
44	New Hampshire	14,219	0.3%	20	New Hampshire	(520)	-3.5%	45	New Hampshire	(8,718)	-38.0%
11	New Jersey	105,527	2.3%	41	New Jersey	(7,016)	-6.2%	18	New Jersey	(170,110)	-61.7%
27	New Mexico	41,073	0.9%	39	New Mexico	(4,523)	-9.9%	32	New Mexico	(58,588)	-58.8%
2	New York	431,995	9.4%	19	New York	(43,905)	-9.2%	8	New York	(711,967)	-62.2%
19	North Carolina	55,095	1.2%	17	North Carolina	(7,693)	-12.3%	9	North Carolina	(212,231)	-79.4%
51	North Dakota	6,056	0.1%	37	North Dakota	(1,243)	-17.0%	48	North Dakota	(7,090)	-53.9%
6	Ohio	165,068	3.6%	29	Ohio	(9,893)	-5.7%	12	Ohio	(384,244)	-70.0%
40	Oklahoma	20,738	0.5%	23	Oklahoma	(4,732)	-18.6%	20	Oklahoma	(75,463)	-78.4%
28	Oregon	40,582	0.9%	32	Oregon	(2,465)	-5.7%	39	Oregon	(37,837)	-48.2%
4	Pennsylvania	230,646	5.0%	44	Pennsylvania	(26,437)	-10.3%	24	Pennsylvania	(300,413)	-56.6%
31	Puerto Rico	37,372	0.8%	21	Puerto Rico	(1,870)	-4.8%	13	Puerto Rico	(113,651)	-75.3%
35	Rhode Island	30,028	0.7%	15	Rhode Island	(3,210)	-9.7%	43	Rhode Island	(26,532)	-46.9%
26	South Carolina	41,900	0.9%	6	South Carolina	(2,751)	-6.2%	22	South Carolina	(72,373)	-63.3%
50	South Dakota	6,099	0.1%	14	South Dakota	(157)	-2.5%	17	South Dakota	(9,797)	-61.6%
5	Tennessee	179,319	3.9%	48	Tennessee	(10,000)	-5.3%	52	Tennessee	(75,499)	-29.6%
7	Texas	159,256	3.5%	5	Texas	(35,095)	-18.1%	27	Texas	(489,762)	-75.5%
43	Utah	14,910	0.3%	49	Utah	(6,752)	-31.2%	42	Utah	(24,163)	-61.8%
46	Vermont	11,882	0.3%	18	Vermont	(525)	-4.2%	36	Vermont	(12,449)	-51.2%
53	Virgin Islands	1,305	0.0%	2	Virgin Islands	(8)	-0.6%	11	Virgin Islands	(3,593)	-73.4%
16	Virginia	79,550	1.7%	1	Virginia	(7,487)	-8.6%	4	Virginia	(73,295)	-48.0%
8	Washington	128,595	2.8%	31	Washington	(13,095)	-9.2%	34	Washington	(140,332)	-52.2%
39	West Virginia	24,696	0.5%	43	West Virginia	(3,762)	-13.2%	33	West Virginia	(64,343)	-72.3%
29	Wisconsin	39,353	0.9%	47	Wisconsin	(3,897)	-9.0%	14	Wisconsin	(109,535)	-73.6%
54	Wyoming	565	0.0%	16	Wyoming	(42)	-6.9%	1	Wyoming	(10,833)	-95.0%
	Total	4,585,711			Total	(384,456)			Total	(7,656,414)	

[1] Ranked by largest number of State and Territory TANF recipients.

[2] Ranked by largest percentage decline in caseload.

[3] Guam caseload data is estimated based on the first quarter of FY 2002.

Sources: Statistical Report on Recipients Under Public Assistance, TANF Data Report, SSP-MOE Data Report, Tribal TANF Data Report.

Child-Only Cases

Although the overall TANF caseload continued to decline in FY 2006, a large and growing proportion of cases have been designated "child-only" cases. At the end of FY 2006, there were 850,881 cases receiving assistance that were families where no adult was included in the benefit calculation and only children were aided (Appendix Table 10:12). Such child-only cases are exempted from Federal work requirements and time limits (although the Deficit Reduction Act of 2005 applied work participation requirements to many adults in "child-only" cases beginning in FY 2007). About 50,000 of these cases with no adults included parents who did not receive assistance because of a sanction.

As reflected in Figure E, the proportion of child-only cases in the caseload has been increasing over the last decade, growing from 21.5 percent in FY 1996 to 47.2 percent in FY 2006. The increase in the proportion of these cases is due to the decline in adult-headed cases.

Figure E
Trend in TANF Families and Child-Only Cases
FY 1996 - FY 2006



State Policies and Management

State and local policy decisions and program management can greatly affect caseload levels and dynamics. States, and often counties, have great discretion over eligibility and benefit levels, work requirements, sanction procedures, time limits, diversion activities, post-employment

supports, and case management techniques (many of these provisions are described in greater detail in Chapter XII). All of these, along with the effectiveness of their implementation, can have a greater effect on caseload trends than general economic factors. However, the interrelationships of these variables make it nearly impossible to disaggregate the effects of each on the caseload. Below, we provide data reported by States on some of these variables.

Case Flow

Critical to understanding the TANF program and the tremendous achievement of States is the dynamic nature of the caseload. Figure F shows the quarterly averages of the average monthly number of new cases opened (applications approved) and cases closed during FY 2004 through FY 2006.

Figure F
TANF Applications Approved and Cases Closed
FY 2004 - FY 2006 Quarterly Averages[1]



[1]Data points represent quarterly averages of the average monthly number of opened/closed cases.
Source: Appendix Table 1:6

During this three-year period, States approved between 115,092 and 177,248 applications each month and a total of 1,643,582 in FY 2006. States also closed between 145,053 and 180,412 cases each month and a total of 1,814,286 in FY 2006. (See Appendix Table 1:9 for the detailed State information.)

The average length of TANF assistance received by families in FY 2006 was 35.4 months, up from 33.5 months in FY 2005 and 31.2 months in FY 2004. Again, there is considerable State variation, ranging from an average of eight months in Idaho to an average of 57 months in the District of Columbia. Appendix Table 10:43 shows this breakdown by State. These data show how rapidly many families go on and off assistance, and illustrate the amount of work involved

by line staff to establish eligibility, provide benefits, assess family needs, and schedule and monitor services and activities leading to independence.

Time Limits

The Personal Responsibility and Work Opportunity Reconciliation Act (PRWORA) established a five-year lifetime limit on receipt of Federal TANF assistance for adult-headed families, but allowed States to exempt from this limit for hardship reasons up to 20 percent of their total caseload. The time limit was central to establishing the temporary nature of aid and communicating the program's goal to move recipients quickly into work and off of welfare. The time limit was controversial at the time, with some critics predicting massive escalations in hunger and homelessness for these families, and arguing that the 20 percent hardship exception would be inadequate to address the number of families needing exceptions or extensions.

Federal time limit clocks began once States had established their new TANF programs, the first beginning in September 1996 and the last States beginning in July 1997. Thus, FY 2002 was the first year in which the Federal five-year lifetime limit may have been reached by a TANF family in every State if they had received assistance continuously since the State implemented the TANF program. FY 2006 case closure data for 35 States show that less than one half of one percent of their cases were closed due to the five-year limitation during the year (see Appendix Table 10:48). The remaining States reported closing 22,000 cases that had reached the Federal lifetime limit. Seventy-six percent of these cases were in two States and one Territory – New York, Missouri, and Puerto Rico. New York closed almost 13,000 cases, 59 percent of the national total. However, while these cases were closed from the TANF program, most were reopened under New York's "Safety Net Assistance" program funded through MOE funds spent in a SSP. Missouri closed over 2,300 cases, and Puerto Rico closed over 1,400.

In FY 2006, 6.6 percent of families nationally with a head of household that has received assistance are receiving Federal assistance beyond the five-year limitation, far below the 20 percent allowed (See Appendix Table 1:11). Thirty-three States report that less than one percent of families receiving assistance beyond 60 months are the result of the family receiving a hardship exemption. Only four States had more than 10 percent of cases receiving assistance beyond 60 months as being in hardship status (See Appendix Table 1:10). This means that States have substantial leeway to continue to provide assistance to families facing hardships once they reach the lifetime limit, if a State so chooses.

There are three major reasons why so few families have been affected by Federal time limits. The first, and by far most important, is that welfare reforms have been tremendously effective at helping families move off of welfare long before most reach their time limit. Note that States have reported only 1.2 percent of the over 1.8 million case closings in FY 2006 were due to families meeting Federal time limits.

Second, over 47 percent of cases are exempt from the accrual of months for a variety of reasons: the case does not contain a countable head-of-household, assistance is State-funded, the family is exempt under an approved welfare waiver, or the family lives in Indian country or an Alaska native village with high unemployment. Finally, most families do not receive assistance

continuously. Thirty-eight percent of cases on assistance in FY 2006 that were subject to the Federal time limit are in the first year of assistance, 21 percent in the second year, 14 percent in the third year, and 9 percent in the fourth year (See Appendix Table 10:17). States may also establish shorter time limits than five years, and many States do so (See Appendix Table 12:10). During FY 2006, States reported closing nearly 14,700 cases due to State time limits, in addition to those closed due to the Federal time limit.

Time limits have proven to be a crucial part of TANF's effectiveness. The message that assistance is temporary is an important part of how States help parents take advantage of the opportunities for work and independence. Perhaps more importantly, time limit policies have spurred welfare agencies and their staff to focus case management on families who are spending long periods of time on TANF, just as these policies intended.

Sanctions

Reducing financial benefits for those who do not comply with program requirements is crucial to making the requirements of welfare to work programs meaningful and effective. States vary considerably in their sanction policies and implementation practices and these differences can have significant effects on caseload dynamics. Sanction policies can apply to a range of program requirements including: eligibility rules, job search, work or other participation requirements, cooperation with child support enforcement, teen school attendance, and other requirements.

Sanctions can impact caseloads in different ways. Many States impose "full-check" sanctions (either for initial or after repeated non-compliance) making a family's full assistance grant contingent upon program compliance, and effectively closing a case when a sanction is imposed (See Appendix Table 12:11 for a specific listing of States with this policy). In other States where only a portion of an assistance check is reduced if a family is sanctioned, such a case would remain open. Finally, many States require participation in job search and job preparation activities during the application process and failure to comply can result in not opening a case. While the latter situation is usually not referred to as a sanction, it operates like a full-check sanction and can significantly impact caseload dynamics.

II. TANF EXPENDITURES AND BALANCES

In fiscal year (FY) 2006, combined Federal and State expenditures for the Temporary Assistance for Needy Families (TANF) program totaled $25.6 billion. In FY 2006, States received Federal TANF grants totaling $16.7 billion nationally, which included each State's base TANF grant and supplemental grants. In addition, to receive its full Federal block grant each year, a State must meet a Maintenance of Effort (MOE) requirement equal to 80 percent of State spending in FY 1994 (or 75 percent if the State meets both the all-families and the two-parent family work participation rates). Because TANF activities are paid for with both Federal and State funds, it is helpful to consider Federal TANF expenditures within the context of States' overall spending on TANF-related activities. Table A provides an overview of FY 2006 expenditures and balances.

Table A

Total TANF Expenditures in FY 2006

Beginning of Year Carryover	$3,811,669,211		
FY 2006 New Federal Grants	16,656,906,974		
Total Federal Funds Available	20,468,576,185		
Total Federal Expenditures	$13,570,132,221		
Federal Funds Transferred to CCDF	1,877,890,458		
Federal Funds Transferred to SSBG	974,038,036		
Total Federal Funds Used[1]	16,422,060,715		
Federal Unliquidated Obligations	1,896,061,682		
Federal Unobligated Balance	2,150,796,068		
Assistance Expenditures	**Federal**	**State[2]**	**Total**
Basic Assistance	$4,925,829,574	$4,980,209,108	$9,906,038,682
Child Care	220,878,088	279,363,414	500,241,502
Transportation & Other Support Services	237,887,759	80,021,305	317,909,064
Assistance Under Prior Law	563,112,172	N/A	563,112,172
Total Assistance	**$5,947,707,593**	**$5,339,593,827**	**$11,287,301,420**

(continued next page)

Non-Assistance Expenditures			
Child Care	1,017,381,644	2,024,405,189	3,041,786,833
Transportation	103,299,169	51,196,489	154,495,658
Work Related Activities	1,680,902,438	683,376,519	2,364,278,957
Individual Development Accounts	752,768	900,243	1,653,011
Refundable Earned Income Credits	114,972,858	919,238,550	1,034,211,408
Other Refundable Tax Credits	0	208,047,750	208,047,750
Non-Recurrent Short Term Benefits	169,081,984	120,313,964	289,395,948
Non-Assistance Under Prior Law	749,946,846	N/A	749,946,846
Administration & Systems	1,524,671,979	886,165,182	2,410,837,161
Other Non-Assistance	2,261,414,942	1,790,439,128	4,051,854,070
Total Non-Assistance	**$7,622,424,628**	**$6,684,083,014**	**$14,306,507,642**
Total Expenditures	**13,570,132,221**	**12,023,676,841**	**$25,593,809,062**
Total Funds Used	**16,422,060,715**		**28,445,737,556**

[1] Funds used includes both TANF expenditures and transfers to the Child Care Development Fund (CCDF) and the Social Services Block grant (SSBG).
[2] State program expenditures include both State Maintenance of Effort (MOE) and Separate State Program (SSP) expenditures.
Source: TANF Financial Report.

TANF funds can be spent on "assistance" and "non-assistance." "Assistance" includes payments directed at ongoing, basic needs. "Non-assistance" includes child care, transportation, and supports provided to employed families, non-recurrent short-term benefits, Individual Development Accounts (IDAs), refundable earned income tax credits, work subsidies to employers, and services such as education and training, case management, job search, and counseling. The definition of "assistance" is important because the major TANF program requirements (e.g., work requirements, time limits on Federal assistance, and data reporting) apply only to families receiving "assistance." In FY 2006, total Federal and State TANF expenditures on "assistance" amounted to $11.3 billion, compared with $14.3 billion that was spent on "non-assistance."

At the beginning of FY 2006, States reported having about $2.1 billion in unobligated Federal TANF funds and $1.8 billion in unliquidated obligations from prior years, for a total of about $3.9 billion in unspent TANF funds. By the end of FY 2006, about $2.2 billion remained unobligated and $1.9 billion remained unliquidated, leaving about $4.0 billion in Federal TANF funds on hand at year's end. States may reserve unobligated Federal funds for use in future fiscal years, although carried-over funds can generally only be spent on assistance payments to families. Table B shows beginning and end-of-year Federal TANF balances for each State.

States may transfer up to 30 percent of their annual Federal TANF grant into the Child Care and Development Fund (CCDF) and the Social Services Block Grant (SSBG). Of this 30 percent, during FY 2006 States were limited to transferring no more than 10 percent to the SSBG. In FY

2006, States transferred $1.9 billion into the CCDF and $982 million into the SSBG of their FY 2006 Federal award.[2]

States spent and transferred a total of $16.4 billion in Federal TANF funds in FY 2006. State MOE expenditures totaled $12.0 billion in FY 2006, $2.9 billion of which was spent on TANF-allowable costs through Separate State Programs (SSPs). States need only report MOE spending that is sufficient to meet their MOE obligation, and because of this reported MOE expenditures understate the actual amount of State spending on activities allowable under TANF. Many States, for example, operate refundable State tax credit programs for low-income working families that would qualify as MOE, but States often claim only a portion of these expenditures as MOE.

[2] Both the $1.9 billion and $982 million represent transfers of FY 2006 funds and exclude adjustments from prior year spending. Entries for CCDF and SSBG in table A ($1,877,890,458 for CCDF and $974,038,036 for SSBG) include funds from other fiscal years.

Table B
TANF Financial Data - Combined Federal Funds Spent in FY 2006

STATE	Start of Year Balance								End of Year Balance		
	UNLIQUIDATED OBLIGATIONS	UNOBLIGATED BALANCE	TOTAL NEW FEDERAL FUNDS FY 2006	TOTAL FEDERAL FUNDS AVAILABLE	TOTAL TRANSFERRED TO CCDF	TOTAL TRANSFERRED TO SSBG	AVAILABLE FOR TANF	TOTAL EXPENDITURES (Assistance and Non-Asstance)	UNLIQUIDATED OBLIGATIONS	UNOBLIGATED BALANCE	TOTAL UNDRAWN FEDERAL FUNDS[1]
ALABAMA	6,086,923	31,600,419	104,408,461	142,095,803	8,642,319	10,440,846	123,012,638	67,050,479	3,525,500	52,436,659	55,962,159
ALASKA	8,352,097	22,420,868	54,836,834	85,609,799	12,351,070	4,100,000	69,158,729	30,788,651	37,340,418	1,029,660	38,370,078
ARIZONA	28,058,782	0	226,130,536	254,189,318	0	22,613,053	231,576,265	215,260,515	16,315,750	0	16,315,750
ARKANSAS	220,695	97,792,539	62,951,233	160,964,467	7,500,000	(1,701,000)	155,165,467	51,206,773	4,029,065	99,929,629	103,958,694
CALIFORNIA	387,334,927	0	3,669,880,834	4,057,215,761	89,780,000	181,395,121	3,786,040,640	3,377,175,035	408,865,605	0	408,865,605
COLORADO	0	77,465,464	149,626,381	227,091,845	12,142,775	14,623,396	200,325,674	115,243,558	0	85,082,116	85,082,116
CONNECTICUT	0	0	264,387,014	264,387,014	0	26,438,701	237,948,313	237,948,313	0	0	0
DELAWARE	1,465,891	6,244,260	31,410,514	39,120,665	0	2,762,513	36,358,152	33,197,627	1,023,458	2,137,067	3,160,525
DIST.OF COLUMBIA	9,647,347	53,636,722	90,505,329	153,789,398	18,521,964	3,995,543	131,271,891	84,852,723	11,020,332	35,398,836	46,419,168
FLORIDA	33,695,578	0	622,745,788	656,441,366	122,549,156	62,274,578	471,617,632	436,000,488	35,617,143	1	35,617,144
GEORGIA	44,375,303	146,790,241	368,024,967	559,190,511	(29,700,000)	20,114,508	568,776,003	405,514,192	39,265,098	123,996,713	163,261,811
HAWAII	67,160,519	79,563,430	98,904,788	245,628,737	5,000,000	9,832,602	230,796,135	85,253,943	37,352,551	108,531,922	145,542,192
IDAHO	6,791,930	0	33,910,608	40,702,538	8,731,982	1,441,201	30,529,355	24,028,548	6,500,807	0	6,500,807
ILLINOIS	0	0	585,056,960	585,056,960	0	33,426,628	551,630,332	551,630,332	0	0	0
INDIANA	44,371,138	21,373,235	206,799,109	272,543,482	11,000,000	2,000,000	259,543,482	194,813,209	64,730,273	0	64,730,273
IOWA	6,367,189	19,912,449	131,524,959	157,804,597	21,806,560	13,019,471	122,978,566	98,548,159	5,233,328	19,197,079	24,430,407
KANSAS	0	775,692	101,931,061	102,706,753	21,684,317	7,191,254	73,831,182	72,574,301	0	1,256,881	1,256,881
KENTUCKY	0	48,705,443	181,287,669	229,993,112	54,386,400	0	175,606,712	118,097,176	0	57,509,536	57,509,536
LOUISIANA	29,049,764	6,342,264	180,998,997	216,391,025	37,902,500	16,397,196	162,091,329	127,988,239	34,103,090	0	34,103,090
MAINE	0	5,543,134	78,120,889	83,664,023	15,105,735	3,263,486	65,294,802	59,751,668	0	5,543,134	5,543,134
MARYLAND	7,807,431	101,532,554	227,524,995	336,864,980	10,285,667	22,752,450	303,826,863	180,075,392	13,545,547	110,205,924	123,751,471
MASSACHUSETTS	0	7,746,024	459,371,116	467,117,140	91,874,225	45,937,111	329,305,804	322,252,347	7,053,457	0	7,053,457
MICHIGAN	90,653	45,680,765	775,352,858	821,124,276	134,344,205	67,987,100	618,792,971	618,792,971	0	0	0
MINNESOTA	77,157,961	34,121,238	263,434,070	374,713,269	74,263,700	4,763,750	295,685,819	226,044,587	69,641,232	0	69,641,232
MISSISSIPPI	3,699,965	15,792,989	95,803,252	115,296,206	19,160,650	9,001,204	87,134,352	51,700,545	5,003,970	30,429,836	35,433,807
MISSOURI	38,718,619	(3)	217,051,740	255,770,356	23,000,000	21,705,174	211,065,182	195,343,802	15,721,383	(3)	15,721,380
MONTANA	0	33,364,294	39,171,817	72,536,111	5,061,288	1,998,226	65,476,597	29,190,404	600,000	35,686,193	36,286,193
NEBRASKA	0	8,661,046	57,769,382	66,430,428	9,000,000	0	57,430,428	51,513,903	752,085	5,164,440	5,916,525
NEVADA	0	19,844,728	46,361,741	66,206,469	0	827,875	65,378,594	39,767,333	0	25,611,261	25,611,261
NEW HAMPSHIRE	0	48,382,635	38,521,261	86,903,896	4,192,138	1,147,874	81,563,884	38,197,820	0	43,366,064	43,366,064
NEW JERSEY	51,873,992	0	404,034,823	455,908,815	54,927,000	15,630,000	385,351,815	238,921,037	9,649,982	136,780,796	146,430,778
NEW MEXICO	1,041,000	20,727,451	117,131,204	138,899,655	33,797,139	0	105,102,516	75,897,373	0	29,205,143	29,205,143
NEW YORK	184,768,239	221,255,599	2,442,930,602	2,848,954,440	548,582,508	123,503,788	2,176,868,144	1,818,912,950	200,567,685	157,387,509	357,955,194
NORTH CAROLINA	57,879,742	0	338,349,547	396,229,289	72,231,724	4,507,418	319,490,147	76,978,139	238,994,344	3,517,664	242,512,008
NORTH DAKOTA	0	15,590,154	26,399,809	41,989,963	0	0	41,989,963	22,678,986	29,781	19,281,196	19,310,977
OHIO	420,316,145	473,273,557	727,968,260	1,621,557,962	0	72,796,826	1,548,761,136	714,606,143	403,044,718	431,110,275	834,154,993
OKLAHOMA	0	86,882,755	147,594,230	234,476,985	29,518,846	14,759,423	190,198,716	89,895,838	0	100,302,878	100,302,878
OREGON	0	36,827,063	166,798,629	203,625,692	0	0	203,625,692	159,782,494	0	43,843,198	43,843,198
PENNSYLVANIA	867,410	18,200	719,499,305	720,384,915	92,677,000	15,110,000	612,597,915	586,645,290	23,564,107	2,388,518	25,952,625
RHODE ISLAND	0	6,106,193	95,021,587	101,127,780	20,020,859	4,328,783	76,778,138	71,256,385	0	5,521,753	5,521,753
SOUTH CAROLINA	0	39,987,130	99,967,824	139,954,954	0	9,996,782	129,958,172	80,782,416	0	49,175,756	49,175,756
SOUTH DAKOTA	677,650	19,892,480	21,279,651	41,849,781	0	2,127,965	39,721,816	20,362,434	0	19,359,382	19,359,382
TENNESSEE	2,052,929	117,889,828	232,241,316	352,184,073	53,626,681	10,300,000	288,257,392	128,102,386	0	160,155,006	160,155,006
TEXAS	181,735,563	0	538,964,526	720,700,089	0	31,235,772	689,464,317	491,944,001	197,520,316	0	197,520,316
UTAH	0	44,562,584	84,313,871	128,876,455	0	5,307,000	123,569,455	70,907,649	0	52,661,806	52,661,806
VERMONT	0	0	47,353,181	47,353,181	9,224,074	4,735,318	33,393,789	33,393,789	0	0	0
VIRGINIA	0	14,677,162	158,285,172	172,962,334	3,000,000	14,581,500	155,380,834	150,551,946	2,911,000	1,917,888	4,828,888
WASHINGTON	0	18,404,574	382,853,771	401,258,345	105,098,000	9,733,928	286,426,417	267,917,914	0	18,508,503	18,508,503
WEST VIRGINIA	0	13,579,408	109,184,723	122,764,131	0	10,918,472	111,845,659	80,603,228	0	31,242,431	31,242,431
WISCONSIN	0	0	314,499,354	314,499,354	62,899,870	14,715,200	236,884,284	236,884,284	0	0	0
WYOMING	5,736,339	41,300,922	18,430,426	65,467,687	3,700,106	0	61,767,581	13,304,506	2,539,657	45,923,418	48,463,075
Total	**1,707,401,721**	**2,104,267,490**	**16,656,906,974**	**20,468,576,185**	**1,877,890,458**	**974,038,036**	**17,616,647,691**	**13,570,132,221**	**1,896,061,682**	**2,150,796,068**	**4,046,515,470**

[1]The amounts reported under this column include unexpended federal grant funds the States have carried over from prior fiscal years.

Expenditures

State expenditure trends are broken down into six general spending categories: cash assistance, work activities, transportation and work supports, child care, administration and systems costs, and expenditures for other benefits and services.

Figure A
FY 1997 Expenditures by Category



FY 2005 Expenditures by Category



FY 2006 Expenditures by Category



Source: Multiple appendix tables (See Figure A & B Source Information in the Chapter 2 appendix)

Spending patterns have shifted dramatically since TANF was enacted, reflecting the decline in welfare caseloads and increased spending on supportive non-cash services. Figure A compares State spending of Federal TANF and State MOE funds during FY 1997 - TANF's first year - to spending in FY 2005 and FY 2006 in the six major categories. Since the enactment of TANF, States have shifted spending away from cash aid, with larger proportions of expenditures being made on child care, work activities, transportation and work supports, and other benefits and services.

Cash Assistance

States spent $10.5 billion, or 40.9 percent, of their total Federal TANF and State MOE funds in FY 2006 on cash assistance. This represents a decrease of 6.2 percent when compared with the $11.2 billion spent on cash assistance during FY 2005. These amounts include both TANF basic

assistance for families and aid payments previously permitted under the AFDC program and allowed to continue under TANF (such as those for children involved in foster care or the juvenile justice system). Cash assistance includes ongoing benefits directed at basic needs such as food, clothing, shelter, utilities, household goods, personal care items, and general incidental expenses.

Work Activities

States spent $2.4 billion in combined funds (9.2 percent) on work activities in FY 2006, which includes work subsidies, education and training, and other job readiness activities such as employment counseling, job development, and job placement information and referral services. This is an increase of 6.6 percent from levels reported for work activities during FY 2005.

Transportation and Work Supports

Spending on transportation benefits (such as allowances, bus tokens, car payments, auto insurance reimbursement, and van services) for working or otherwise participating families totaled $472 million (1.8 percent) in FY 2006. This represents a decline of 8.0 percent from FY 2005. Such services are provided to recipients and non-recipients to enable them to work or participate in other activities such as education or training, or for respite purposes (short-term temporary care of persons with disabilities).

Child Care

Spending on child care totaled $3.5 billion, or 13.8 percent of all spending. This was an increase of 11.2 percent from the prior year. In addition, States transferred a net of $1.9 billion in Federal TANF funds from the TANF program into the CCDF. Taken together, States continued to spend significant Federal TANF and State MOE funds on child care. During FY 2006, States devoted just over $5.4 billion to child care, either directly through the State's TANF program or by transferring Federal TANF funds to the CCDF Discretionary Fund (funds transferred to the CCDF are not necessarily spent during the current fiscal year and can be returned to TANF at a later time). It should be noted that States spent a considerable amount of additional non-TANF funds on child care for low-income working families, many of whom may have previously been on welfare.

Administrative and Systems Costs

Administrative and information systems expenditures in FY 2006 totaled $2.4 billion, or 9.4 percent, of total expenditures. Of the $2.4 billion, States claimed $2.1 billion for administrative costs that fall within the 15 percent administrative spending cap and $273 million on information systems. Combined, these amounts were 1.4 percent higher than in FY 2005.

Expenditures for Other Benefits and Services

Approximately $6.3 billion of combined expenditures were made on a variety of other benefits and services during FY 2006. Refundable tax credit program spending was $1.2 billion, which

was an increase of 10.2 percent over the prior year. Refundable tax credits include refundable State earned income tax credits paid to families and State and local tax credits, as well as expenditures on any other refundable tax credits provided under State or local law that are consistent with the purposes of TANF. Spending for foster care and juvenile justice services allowed under prior law decreased 20.7 percent to $750 million. Individual Development Account programs accounted for $1.7 million.

Spending on non-recurrent short term benefits increased 8.3 percent to $289 million in FY 2006. Non-recurrent short term benefits include expenditures on one-time, short-term benefits to families in the form of cash, vouchers, subsidies, or similar forms of payment to deal with a specific crisis situation or episode of need, or as a short-term benefit to help a family avoid the need for ongoing assistance.

Pregnancy prevention and two-parent family formation programs accounted for $940 million, an 11.9 percent increase over FY 2005 levels. These funds were spent on activities designed to either reduce the incidence of out-of-wedlock pregnancies or encourage paternal involvement in the lives of their children. Most pregnancy prevention efforts have focused on teenagers. State approaches to preventing teen pregnancy can be divided into several categories: education curricula on sex, abstinence, and relationships; reproductive health services; youth development programs; media campaigns; efforts to prevent repeat teen births; and multiple component interventions. State initiatives directed toward family formation tend to focus on involvement of non-custodial parents in their children's lives. Other initiatives include parenting education, family crisis counseling, marriage counseling, mentoring, and eliminating eligibility criteria that discourage two-parent families from applying for assistance.

Spending on miscellaneous other activities totaled $3.1 billion, representing an 11.1 percent increase over the prior year. These expenditures include a variety of services, including family preservation activities, parenting training, substance abuse treatment activities, domestic violence services, and case management. Many States used funds in FY 2006 to provide preventive services to help youth, young children, and families at risk of either remaining or becoming welfare recipients. Programs for youth and children include after-school and stay-in-school programs, teen pregnancy prevention programs, and community youth grants. These programs provide services such as tutoring, counseling, job referrals, and community activities as alternatives to drug abuse, gang activity, sexual activity, and dropping out of school. Other supportive service expenditures that promoted family, work, and job preparation included help with utilities, rent or mortgage assistance, primary and secondary school textbook reimbursement programs for low-income families, tuition and book fees for post-secondary school or training programs, and part-time student grant programs.

Figure B breaks down the "other" category, to show how States expended combined Federal TANF and State MOE funds for the activities during FY 2006.

Figure B
FY 2006 - Breakdown of Other Expenditures



Source: See Chapter 2 Figure A & B
Source Information in the Appendix

Additional MOE Expenditure Information

Some States also provided MOE-funded assistance programs to families outside of the regular TANF program. For example, some States used Separate State Programs (SSPs) to provide financial assistance to: two-parent families; families with physical, mental health, substance abuse, or domestic violence issues; families in which the parent or caretaker is receiving or has applied for Supplemental Security Income (SSI); families in which the caretaker relative is not the parent; families in which a parent is attending post-secondary school or in which a minor parent is a student, and families that have exhausted their Federal time limits. A few States provided financial assistance to families with legal immigrants who are not eligible for TANF, and States operating such programs generally continued to require individuals to participate in work activities. Separate State Programs operated for two-parent families usually include work activities that mirror those in the State's TANF program. The exceptions usually involved families in which the parent or relative is temporarily or permanently incapacitated in some way (e.g., mental health or substance abuse issues, or receipt of SSI) or families that consist of a non-parent caretaker relative (although the Deficit Reduction Act of 2005 included work participation requirements for adults in SSPs beginning in FY 2007 which will likely change the composition of these programs).

III. WORK PARTICIPATION RATES

Work participation rates measure the degree to which TANF families are engaged in work activities that lead to self-sufficiency. In Fiscal Year (FY) 2006, the national average all families participation rate was 32.5 percent. To count toward the rate, a family must include an adult or minor head-of-household who is engaged in qualified work activities for at least 30 hours per week, or 20 hours per week if they had a child under six years of age (Appendix Table 3:1). This represents a 0.5 percentage point decline from the FY 2005 national average participation rate of 33.0 percent. The FY 2006 rate remains above the 30.7 percent attained in FY 1997, TANF's first year, but well below the 38.3 percent peak achieved in FY 1999. The all-families work participation rate increased in 29 States, but declined in 21 States, the District of Columbia, and two Territories (Appendix Table 3:3).

Figure A
TANF Work Participation Rates, FY 1997 - FY 2006



Source: Appendix Table 3:1

An additional 14.4 percent of TANF families required to participate had some hours of participation, but did not attain sufficient hours to qualify toward the work rate.

States and Territories reported zero hours of participation in qualified activities for 52.6 percent of families (although some likely participated in non-qualifying activities or were not reported as having any hours of participation, because they did not have sufficient hours to count toward the rate). This is 0.3 percentage points lower than in FY 2005 (Appendix Table 3:1 and Appendix Table 3:16).

While TANF requires States and Territories to meet two separate minimum work participation standards each year, one for all families and another for two-parent families, each jurisdiction (except Guam) received a credit against both of these standards for caseload reductions since FY 1995. In FY 2006, the all-families nominal minimum participation rate requirement was 50 percent, and the two-parent families nominal minimum participation rate was 90 percent. However, due to tremendous caseload reductions, the average (weighted) effective minimum work participation requirement in FY 2006 was only 5.0 percent for all families and 18.7 percent for two-parent families. Figure B compares annual national participation rates achieved with both the nominal (50 or 90) and effective (after reduction) required minimum rates.

Figure B
U.S. Work Participation Rates and Standards, FY 2006



Source: Appendix Table 3:1:a

In FY 2006, ten States achieved all families work participation rates of over 50 percent, and one did so because it was allowed to apply more generous criteria in defining program activities, excluding certain groups from participation requirements, or adopting an alternative hourly standard for participation under a Federal waiver (Appendix Table 3:2). All States and Territories met their required all-families rate except for Indiana and Guam. Seventeen States and two Territories had sufficient caseload reduction credits to reduce their effective required all-families rate to zero. Only 21 States faced an effective minimum greater than 10 percent

(Appendix Table 3:2). The effect of the caseload reduction credits on individual State minimums for FY 2006 is displayed in Figure C.

Figure C
Effect of Caseload Reduction Credits on
All-Families Participation Rates
FY 2006



Source: Appendix Table 3:1:a

The FY 2006 two-parent national average participation rate was 45.9 percent, up from 42.6 percent in FY 2005. Five jurisdictions – Mississippi, North Dakota, Puerto Rico, South Dakota, and the Virgin Islands – did not serve two-parent families. Twenty-five jurisdictions served all of their two-parent families through a Separate State Program (SSP) and were not subject to the two-parent work participation requirements. Twenty-four jurisdictions served two-parent families through TANF, and three (Arkansas, Washington, D.C., and Guam) failed to meet their required two-parent rate in FY 2006 (Appendix Table 3:2).

Many TANF cases are excluded from work rate calculations, with child-only cases being the most significant group. Cases where a parent has been sanctioned for non-compliance are not included for up to three months while sanctioned, and those with children under one can be disregarded at State discretion. Other cases excluded are those that are part of an ongoing research evaluation, covered under an approved welfare reform waiver that is inconsistent with current law, and cases that are participating in a Tribal work program (see Appendix Table 3:5). These excluded cases accounted for approximately 54.5 percent of the full TANF caseload in FY 2006, an increase of 0.9 percentage points from FY 2005.

During FY 2006, 44.7 percent of adults nationally participated in qualified work activities for at least one hour per week in an average month (Appendix Table 3:11). As a group, they averaged 27.9 hours of qualified participation per week (Appendix Table 3:15). Figure D displays the breakdown of these hours by work activity. Figure E compares the proportions in each category in FY 2006 and FY 1997.

Figure D
Average Hours of Participation for All Adults by Work Activity FY 2006



Source: Appendix Table 3:4:d

Figure E
Percent of Total Hours of Participation by Work Activity
Comparison of FY 1997 and FY 2006



Source: Appendix Table 3:4:d (FY 2006) TANF Data Report (FY 1997)

Sixty-four percent of all hours claimed toward work participation rates involved direct work, mostly in employment but also in community service and work experience (Appendix Table 3:14). One State operating under a former Aid to Families with Dependent Children (AFDC) waiver that was continued under TANF was able to count certain activities that otherwise would not meet the Federal work definition. During the year, these activities accounted for less than 10 percent of all reported hours (Appendix Table 3:14).

FY 2006 Work Participation in Separate State Programs (SSPs)

Through FY 2006, there were no statutory work requirements or minimum participation rate standards for families in SSPs. Technically, reporting on work participation is optional unless the State wants to receive a caseload reduction credit. Thirty-two jurisdictions have established SSPs that provide assistance, and twenty-nine States are serving two-parent families to SSPs (Appendix Table 3:17). The FY 2006 National average all-family work participation rate for these programs is 31.1 percent and the FY 2006 National average two-parent work participation rate is 32.6 percent. Appendices to this chapter include the State-by-State data used to calculate work participation rates and other related information.

Work Participation Penalties

Penalty Process

Each year, States submit to the U.S. Department of Health and Human Services (HHS) case-level data on participation in work activities, as well as information needed to calculate the caseload reduction credits (about half submit sample data, while others submit universe data). HHS calculates the participation rate achieved by each State, with and without waivers, and the caseload reduction credit. HHS then notifies each State of the participation rate it achieved and whether it is subject to a penalty. A State that fails to meet a participation rate has 60 days to submit a request for a reasonable cause exception or submit a corrective compliance plan.

To ensure State accountability, HHS has defined a limited number of circumstances under which States may demonstrate reasonable cause. The general factors that a State may use to claim reasonable cause exceptions include (1) natural disasters and other calamities; (2) Federal guidance that provided incorrect information; and (3) isolated problems of minimal impact. There are also two specific reasonable cause factors for failing to meet the work participation rate: (1) Federally-recognized good cause domestic violence waivers; and (2) alternative services provided to certain refugees.

The statute requires a reduction in the work participation penalty based on the degree of the State's noncompliance. The TANF regulations include a formula for calculating such reductions. This formula incorporates the following: (1) a reduction for failing only the two-parent work participation rate (prorating the penalty based on the proportion of two-parent cases in the State); (2) two tests of achievement for any further reduction; and (3) a reduction based on the severity of failure. The formula combines three measures for determining the severity of a State's failure: (1) the amount by which it failed to meet the rate; (2) the State's success in engaging families in work; and (3) how many consecutive penalties it had and how many rates it failed to meet. In addition to the required penalty reduction, the Secretary also has the discretion to reduce a work participation rate penalty for certain other reasons.

If a State does not demonstrate that it had reasonable cause, it may enter into a corrective compliance plan that will correct the violation and insure continued compliance with the participation requirements. If a State achieves compliance with work participation rates in the time frame that the plan specifies, then we do not impose the penalty. Table A summarizes this information for FY 2002 through FY 2006.

Table A

Summary of Work Participation Rate Penalty Action					
Received Penalty Notice	**Reasonable Cause Exception**	**Submitted Corrective Compliance Plan**	**Achieved Compliance**	**Failed Compliance**	**Other Outcome**
FY 2002					
Arkansas Dist. of Columbia Guam Missouri West Virginia	None requested	Arkansas Dist. of Columbia Missouri	Missouri	Arkansas Dist. of Columbia	Guam - accepted peanalty West Virginia - moved two-parent families into a Separate State Program (SSP), no penalty
FY 2003					
Arkansas Dist. of Columbia Guam Nevada West Virginia	None	Arkansas Dist. of Columbia Nevada	Arkansas Nevada	Dist. of Columbia	Guam - imposing penalty West Virginia - moved two-parent families into a Separate State Program (SSP), no penalty
FY 2004					
Arkansas Dist. of Columbia Guam Indiana Mississippi Washington	None	Arkansas Dist. of Columbia		Arkansas Dist. of Columbia	Guam - accepted penalty Indiana - revised data, no penalty Mississippi, Washington - revised caseload reduction credit, no penalty
FY 2005*					
Arkansas Dist. of Columbia Guam Indiana		Arkansas			
FY 2006*					
Arkansas Dist. of Columbia Guam Indiana		Arkansas			

* FY 2005 and FY 2006 information is preliminary as we expect all States to submit a Corrective Compliance Plan.

IV. WORK AND EARNINGS

Since the enactment of TANF in 1996, millions of families have avoided dependence on welfare in favor of greater independence through work. This chapter reviews data and research findings on employment among TANF families and low-income single mothers. Employment among low-income single mothers (incomes below 200 percent of poverty), reported in the U. S. Census Bureau's Current Population Survey (CPS) has increased significantly since 1996 from 51.1 percent to 57.7 percent in 2006. Although it has declined from its peak of 60.8 percent in 2000, it is still almost seven percentage points higher than in 1996 – a remarkable achievement, particularly since it remained high through the brief recession in 2001. Among single mothers with children under age six – a group particularly vulnerable to welfare dependency – employment rates are 11 percentage points higher than in 1996, although lower than their peak of 58.5 percent in 2000. The year to year trend is displayed in Figure A.

Figure A
Employment Rates for Single Mothers Under 200% of Poverty
2002 - 2006



Source: Appendix Table 4:1

Overall, earnings in female-headed families remain higher than in 1996 despite the brief economic downturn. For the one-fifth of families with the lowest income, the average annual earnings of single mother families rose to an average of $2,472 in 2005, well above the average of $1,979 in 1996 (in 2005 dollars). For the next 20 percent, earnings remained well above their

1996 levels when the average was $5,765; in 2005 the average earnings for the second quintile was $9,888 (in 2005 dollars). Concomitant with these earnings increases since 1996 are declines in means-tested benefits (e.g., cash assistance, food stamps). For the lowest group, the average amount of means-tested benefits of $4,377 in 2005 remained below the 1996 level of $6,080 while for the next 20 percent of families the 2005 average decline was from $8,536 to $5,465. These results are shown in Figures B and C.

Figure B
Government Benefits and Earnings for Single-Mother Families with Children[1]
with Income in the Lowest 20th Percentile in 2005 dollars
2001 - 2005



Source: Appendix Table 4:2

[1]Means-tested government benefits is the total of Supplemental Security Income, public assistance, certain veterans' benefits, Food Stamp Program, National School Lunch Program, and housing benefits.

Figure C
Government Benefits and Earnings for Single-Mother Families with Children[1]
with Income Between the 20th and 40th Percentiles in 2005 dollars
2001 - 2005



Source: Appendix Table 4:2

[1]Means-tested government benefits is the total of Supplemental Security Income, public assistance, certain veterans' benefits, Food Stamp Program, National School Lunch Program, and housing benefits.

Rigorous evaluations of welfare reform policies that compared the effects of randomly assigned individuals to welfare reform or prior Aid to Families with Dependent Children (AFDC) rules demonstrate large employment gains. The National Evaluation of Welfare-to-Work Strategies (NEWWS), a study of eleven programs in six States conducted by MDRC, compared the effects of labor force attachment and human capital development employment strategies over five years. All of the programs increased participation in employment-related activities relative to control group levels of self-initiated activity. Nearly all of the programs increased how much people worked and how much they earned relative to control group levels, but the employment-focused programs generally produced larger five-year gains than education-focused programs. All of the programs decreased welfare receipt and program expenditures.

State studies of families who have left welfare ("leaver" studies) also report significant employment among these families. While methodological differences reflect variability among some studies, most show that nearly two-thirds of former clients are engaged in work during any given month and that well over three-fourths of adults have worked since leaving welfare.

Employment While on the Caseload

The employment rate of adults receiving TANF cash assistance (including unsubsidized employment and work preparation) has also increased significantly, up from less than one in five adults in Fiscal Year (FY) 1991 to almost one of every three adults in FY 2006, and this while the national caseload has been cut by more than a half since TANF's enactment (See Appendix

Table 10:29). The percentage of adult recipients who were working or involved in work preparation was 31.7 percent in FY 2006, up slightly from 31.2 percent in FY 2005. Sixty-eight percent of recipients who were working or who were involved in work preparation were doing so in paid employment; the remainder were involved in work experience, community service and subsidized employment. State-reported data for welfare recipients show that the average monthly earnings of those employed increased from $599 per month in FY 1996 (in 2006 dollars) to $707 in FY 2006, an 18 percent increase (See Appendix Table 10:45).

V. HIGH PERFORMANCE BONUS AND STATE PERFORMANCE

The TANF High Performance Bonus (HPB) program provided TANF cash awards (in addition to the basic TANF block grant) to States for high relative achievement on certain measures related to the goals and purposes of the TANF program. The TANF program was reauthorized under the Deficit Deduction Act of 2005, and this statute eliminated the funding for HPB under Section 403(a)(4). The last year for the HPB awards was for performance year Fiscal Year (FY) 2004. The FY 2004 performance results are presented in Appendix Tables 5:1 through 5:10. While performance awards are no longer authorized, the Department is still required under Section 413(d) of the Act to annually measure and rank State performance in moving TANF recipients into private sector employment. Beginning with performance year FY 2001, we have calculated State work performance i.e., job entry, job retention and earnings gain based on matching monthly listings of adult TANF recipient against the quarterly wage files on the National Directory of New Hires. We continue to use this data source for measuring State performance in moving TANF recipients into employment. The FY 2005 results are presented in Appendix Tables 5:11 through 5:15 and the FY 2006 results are presented in Appendix Tables 5:16 through 5:20.

Table A
TANF Work-Related High Performance Bonus Trend Information

	FY 2000[1]	FY 2001[1]*	FY 2002	FY 2003	FY 2004	FY 2005[2]	FY 2006[2]
Competing States	45+DC	49+DC	48+DC	49+DC	49+DC	N/A	N/A
Average Monthly Adult Caseload	1,563,057	1,390,842	1,297,000	1,224,205	1,156,250	1,078,935	983,757
Job Entries	648,000	622,000	510,000	533,000	534,000	557,239	521,423
Job Entry Rate	39%	33%	36%	34%	35%	34%	36%
Job Retention Rate:							
One Following Quarter	78%	77%	75%	75%	75%	78%	78%
Two Following Quarters	64%	63%	59%	59%	59%	64%	65%
Earnings Gain Rate	28%	26%	33%	33%	37%	36%	34%
Average Earnings Gain	$575	$554	$644	$656	$753	$796	$785

[1] Under the final High Performance Bonus regulations issued on August 30, 2000, the FY 2001 and FY 2002 work measures performance score calculations are based solely on the wage data contained on the NDNH. In the case of FY 2001 performance year, the comparison year (FY 2000) is also calculated based on the NDNH data even though States previously calculated and reported work performance data for that year. We attribute the significant difference in the Job Entry Rate for FY 2000 to the difference in data sources and calculation methods States were allowed to use.

[2] For years prior to FY 2005, competing States submitted full population data in order to compete for bonuses. Because bonuses were not awarded for FY 2005 forward, some States have selected to submit sample rather than population data for use in computing these performance measures. As a result, measures reported for FY 2005 forward are not directly comparable to those reported in prior years.

VI. CHILD SUPPORT COLLECTIONS

The goal of the nation's Child Support Enforcement Program is to ensure that children are supported financially and emotionally by both of their parents. Custodial parents receiving TANF are required to cooperate with child support enforcement efforts. The child support caseload is increasingly made up of former TANF recipient families for whom child support helps maintain self-sufficiency.

In Fiscal Year (FY) 2006, States achieved a two percent increase in the percentage of current assistance cases for which orders were established and a one percent increase in the percentage of former assistance cases for which orders were established from FY 2005. This means that over 56 percent of current assistance cases had orders established, and over 83 percent of former assistance cases had orders established.

In FY 2006, over $23.9 billion was collected for children by the Child Support Enforcement Program, an increase of four percent from FY 2005, and a nine percent increase since FY 2004. Over 91 percent of distributed collections went to families in FY 2006, an increase of nearly five percent from FY 2005. Total collections included almost $1.6 billion in overdue child support intercepted from Federal tax refunds. In addition, the Passport Denial Program collected $22.6 million in calendar year (CY) 2006, an increase of $5.7 million over the $16.9 million collected in CY 2005.

Over 1.7 million paternities were established in FY 2006. Figure A shows the number of paternities established by IV-D (child support) agencies and by acknowledgement at birth in a hospital from 2002 to 2006. There has been a substantial increase in paternities acknowledged due to the in-hospital acknowledgement program.

Figure A
Paternities Established by IV-D (Child Support) Agencies and Acknowledgements



Source: Form OCSE-157 lines 10 and 16

Data for FY 2006 show that:

- With a caseload of over 15.8 million, 54 percent of the total child support cases had a collection.
- About 70 percent of the cases with orders established reported a collection. This was an increase over the 69 percent achieved in FY 2005.
- Nationally, about $2,806 was collected per case for those with a collection. This was an increase of $35 per case from FY 2005 and an increase of $231 per case from FY 2002.
- Total administrative expenditures were $5.6 billion. In FY 2006 States collected about $4.58 in child support for every $1 spent. Figure B shows the Federal and State share of expenditures from FY 2002 to FY 2006. The Federal government pays the largest share of expenditures.

Figure B
Total Expenditures on the Child Support Enforcement Program



Source: Form OCSE-396A line 9 A+C

- Of the 15.8 million child support cases served by IV-D agencies, only 2.3 million involve families currently receiving public assistance[3], 6.2 million are those who never received public assistance, and the largest group is the 7.3 million that formerly received public assistance. The receipt of child support is especially important to families formerly on assistance. Having income from two parents is very likely a factor keeping them from returning to assistance dependency. Figure C shows the caseload represented in terms of welfare receipt. The current assistance caseload has decreased 15 percent since FY 2003, and the former assistance caseload has decreased one percent from FY 2003. This shift represents a change in those being served by the program, as the vast majority of child support services are now provided to non-public assistance cases.

[3] Public assistance in this paragraph is defined as those families where the children are either recipients of TANF or entitled to Foster Care maintenance payments (IV-E).

Figure C
Total Child Support Caseload, FY 2006



Source: Form OCSE-157 lines 1+ 3

- The Census Bureau's Current Population Survey on Child Support for CY 2005 indicates that 47 percent of parents who were owed child support payments received the full payment, and nearly 77 percent received some payment. The Child Support Enforcement Program does not collect information on the number of custodial parents who receive their full support.

- The number of non-TANF families receiving child support services has been steady over the last couple of years, while TANF-related cases have been declining. In FY 2006, non-TANF collections were $21.8 billion, which is a 27 percent increase from FY 2002. TANF collections were $2.1 billion in FY 2006, which is a 27 percent decrease from FY 2002.

- Figure D shows the dollar value of child support collections distributed each year from 2002 to 2006 divided in terms of two categories of families, those on TANF or in Foster Care and those not on TANF. Figure E shows the distribution of child support collections, but in terms of the family's recipient status. Families that were never on welfare receive the largest portion of total collections.

Figure D
Total Distributed Collections by TANF/Foster Care and Non-TANF/Foster Care Cases



Source: Form OCSE-34A line 8

Figure E
Total Distributed Collections, FY 2006



Source: Form OCSE-34A line 8

Access and Visitation Program

The Federal Access and Visitation Program provides $10 million per year to States enabling them to encourage non-custodial parents to stay involved with their children. Based upon the number of children living with only one biological or adoptive parent, each State receives from $100,000 to almost $1 million to fund mediation, counseling, education, development of parenting plans, visitation enforcement, visitation monitoring, supervised visitation, neutral drop-off and pick-up services, and development of guidelines for visitation and alternative custody arrangements.

VII. FORMATION AND MAINTENANCE OF MARRIED TWO-PARENT FAMILIES

The Importance of Married Two-Parent Families

Among the purposes of TANF, the creation and maintenance of two-parent families, plays an important role in the advancement of child well-being, reduction of poverty, and health of communities. Mounting evidence from meta-analyses[4] and literature reviews[5] indicates that children raised in married two-parent families fare better, on average, than children raised in other family types. Specifically, on average, children raised by parents in healthy marriages are less likely than those of other family forms to fail at school, suffer an emotional or behavioral problem requiring psychiatric treatment, be victims of child abuse and neglect, become pregnant as teenagers, exhibit illegal behavior, use illicit drugs, smoke cigarettes, abuse alcohol, engage in early and promiscuous sexual activity, grow up in poverty, or attempt suicide. Children raised by parents in healthy marriages are also, on average, more likely to have a higher sense of self-esteem, form healthy marriages when they marry, attend college, and be physically healthier (See Waite & Gallagher, 2000, for a review[6]).

As States and counties focus on sustainable employment as the essential element in poverty reduction, it is critical to note that research has shown that stable marriages are associated with more stable employment and higher wages. For example, a 2003 U.S. Census Bureau report shows that married couple households are stronger economically than non-married households.[7] The median income of married households in 2003 was $62,405, compared with $43,318 for all households, $41,959 for male-headed households with no wife, and $29,307 for female-headed households with no husband. The median income for non-family households, which measures any person living alone, with a roommate, or with a cohabitating partner, is only $25,741. The poverty statistics show a similar pattern. In 2006, only 4.9 percent of married households live

---

[4] Amato, P.R. (2001). Children of divorce in the 1990s: An update of the Amato and Keith (1991) meta-analysis. Journal of Family Psychology, 15, 355-370.

[5] Milardo, R.D. (Ed.) (2000). The decade in review. Journal of Marriage and the Family, 62(4); Waite, L.J. & Gallagher, M. (2000). The Case for Marriage. New York: Doubleday; Wilcox, W.B., Doherty, W.J., Fisher, H. et al. (2005). Why Marriage Matters, 2nd Edition. New York: The Institute for American Values.

[6] Waite, L.J., & Gallagher, M. (2000). *The Case for Marriage: Why Married People are Happier, Healthier, and Better Off Financially.* New York, NY: Broadway Books.

[7] U.S. Census Bureau. (2003). *Table 4, Status of Families, by Type of Family, Presence of Related Children, Race, and Hispanic Origin: 1959 to 2002.* Washington, DC: U.S. Department of Commerce.

below the poverty level, compared with 9.8 percent of all households, 13.2 percent of male-headed households with no wife, and 28.3 percent of female-headed households with no husband.

The purpose of healthy marriage education programs is to increase the percentage of people in healthy marriages and, especially, the percentage of children being raised by parents in a healthy marriage. The objective is not for people to form any kind of marriage, but for those who choose marriage for themselves to form and sustain a healthy marriage. The heart of the Healthy Marriage Initiative is to help people; to provide access – to those who want it – to activities that build relationship skills and knowledge that can help them form and sustain a healthy marriage.

Fostering the Formation and Maintenance of Married Two-Parent Families

As part of its ongoing efforts to alleviate poverty and foster economic self-sufficiency, the Administration for Children and Families (ACF) recognizes the power of healthy, two-parent married families to promote these objectives. The Promoting Responsible Fatherhood and Healthy Marriage Education grantee demonstration programs are part of this larger commitment.

Program Announcement and Competitive Grant Process

In May 2006, the Department of Health and Human Services, Administration for Children and Families (ACF), Office of Family Assistance (OFA) announced the availability of funds for both Healthy Marriage Demonstration and Promoting Responsible Fatherhood Grants. In issuing these grants, ACF sought to identify and support innovative approaches to delivering healthy marriage activities and services, promote responsible parenting and foster economic stability. While both grants possessed unique program purposes and priority areas, ACF also recognized that healthy marriages and responsible fatherhood are closely linked and mutually supportive.

Under the Healthy Marriage Demonstration Grant, ACF sought to fund healthy marriage education and enrichment activities and public awareness and education campaigns that promote the benefits and elements of healthy marriage. Teen programs that explore positive relationship models and that teach the core skills necessary for healthy marriages were also eligible for ACF support. Interested applicants were asked to provide in detail the scope, audience, and evaluation approach of their proposed service delivery project, and indicate if it would be replicable in other contexts. ACF was especially interested in projects that would produce transferable tools and lessons learned that could be implemented by other healthy marriage organizations. The scope for proposed healthy marriage projects could be broad and comprehensive or narrow and targeted to specific populations. Organizations that demonstrated previous experience delivering skills-based marriage education services received bonus points for their application. For example, projects areas ACF expressed interest in included: divorce reduction programs that teach relationship skills, education in high schools on the value of marriage, and pre-marital education and marriage skills training for engaged couples. Grants were offered for five-year projects with a maximum support level of $5,000,000 per year.

In making funds available for Responsible Fatherhood Grants, ACF looked to support programs that support healthy marriage activities, promote responsible parenting, foster economic stability,

and help fathers remove barriers to reaching these objectives. As with the healthy marriage grants, ACF sought Responsible Fatherhood Grants that were innovative, provided transferable promising practices and targeted either a broad or specific population. Some of the potential target populations ACF indicated preference for included married fathers, single or unmarried fathers, young or teenage fathers, and new fathers. Organizations also were highly encouraged to submit proposals that were designed to work with fathers of children with disabilities. Proposals were asked to include thorough project descriptions, plans for marketing and outreach, partnership plans and descriptions of any curricula organizations intended to use. Examples provided by ACF of potential projects included: developing effective programs for incarcerated fathers; developing culturally-competent programs for minorities around fatherhood; and helping fathers improve their economic status by providing activities such as job search, job training, and subsidized employment. Grants were offered for five-year projects with a maximum funding ceiling of $1,000,000 per year.

Healthy Marriage and Responsible Fatherhood grants were open to all levels of State governments, public institutions of education, Native American Tribal organizations, profit and non-profit organizations, small businesses, private institutions of higher education and faith-based groups. Applicants for either grant also were required to make themselves available to ACF evaluations, attend entrance and annual peer meetings and partner with on-site ACF sponsored technical assistance personnel. Approximately 1,650 marriage and fatherhood applications were received and 226 total grants (126 healthy marriage; 100 responsible fatherhood) were awarded.

Geographic Representation of Grantees

As a result of the competitive process, OFA has funded grants across the country. Figures A, B, and C illustrate the geographic dispersion of the grantees.

Figure A
Healthy Marriage Education and Promoting Responsible Fatherhood Grantees



Figure B
Healthy Marriage Grantees



Figure C
Promoting Responsible Fatherhood Grantees



This geographic dispersion allows grantees to test Promoting Healthy Marriage Education and Responsible Fatherhood programs with a wide-variety of audiences. This variability will provide important insight about what works in these demonstrations and also gives strategic insight into appropriate next steps for these types of programs.

OFA Healthy Marriage Education Grantees

While research has clearly illustrated the importance of healthy marriage to child well-being, as of 2000 the rate of anticipated divorce remained at about 50 percent of marriages[8], half of all children could expect to live some time with a single parent[9], and one third of all births were to unmarried women.[10] In the face of these trends, however, research has also identified predictors of marital distress and divorce, many of which—such as destructive communication patterns and

---

[8] Kreider, R. & Fields, J. (2002). Number, timing and duration of marriages and divorces: 1996. Current Populations Reports, Feb 2002.

[9] Haveman, R.H. & Wolfe, B.L. (1994). Succeeding Generations: On the Effects of Investments in Children. New York: Russell Sage.

[10] America's Children: Key National Indicators of Well-Being 2005. http://childstats.gov/americanchildren/pop7.asp

ineffective conflict resolution skills—can be addressed by healthy marriage education programs. Emerging research suggests that marriage education can be effective, with studies showing short-term gains in interpersonal skills and relationship quality among premarital couples,[11] and some evidence suggesting improved communication and a lower likelihood of divorce five years later among married couples.[12]

About the OFA Healthy Marriage Education Grantees

This convergence of science and socio-political forces around strengthening marriage resulted, in 2002, in the ACF Healthy Marriage Initiative aimed at "help[ing] couples, who have chosen marriage for themselves, gain greater access to marriage education services, on a voluntary basis, where they can acquire the skills and knowledge necessary to form and sustain a healthy marriage." Since then, OFA has funded efforts to develop, implement, evaluate, and disseminate information on healthy marriage programs and community-wide healthy marriage initiatives.

Allowable Activities of Healthy Marriage Education Grantees

Under the Healthy Marriage Education Grants program, OFA sought to fund a wide range of programs that used innovative and effective projects to target diverse sets of stakeholders. Listed below is a description of the eight priority areas that received grant awards. To qualify for these grants, prospective grantees were asked to develop and implement projects that incorporated one or more of the following eight allowable activities:

1. Public advertising campaigns on the value of marriage and the skills need to increase marital stability and health.

2. Education in high schools on the value of marriage, relationship skills, and budgeting.

3. Marriage education, marriage skills, and relationship skills programs that may include parenting skills, financial management, conflict resolution, and job and career advancement for non-married pregnant women and non-married expectant fathers.

4. Pre-marital education and marriage skills training for engaged couples and for couples or persons interested in marriage.

5. Marriage enhancement and marriage skills training programs for married couples.

6. Divorce reduction programs that teach relationship skills.

---

[11] Carroll, J.S. & Doherty, W.J. (2003). Evaluating the effectiveness of premarital prevention programs: A meta-analytic review of outcome research. Family Relations, 52(2): 105-118.

[12] Markman, H.J., Floyd, F., Stanley, S. & Storaasli, R. (1988). The prevention of marital distress: A longitudinal investigation. Journal of Consulting and Clinical Psychology, 56, 210-217.

7. Marriage mentoring program, which use married couples as role models and mentors in at-risk communities.

8. Programs to reduce the disincentives to marriage in means-tested aid programs if offered in conjunction with any of the other seven activities.

The eight priority areas within the Healthy Marriage Grants program are:

1. Community Healthy Marriage Grants to Implement Multiple Allowable Activities, Level 1

   Under this priority area, grants were awarded to organizations to implement five or more of the eight allowable activities simultaneously to a broad audience. Funding was awarded between $1,500,000 and $5,000,000 annually to 6 organizations.

2. Community Healthy Marriage Grants to Implement Multiple Allowable Activities, Level 2

   Under this priority area, grants were awarded to organizations to implement five or more of the eight allowable activities simultaneously to a broad audience. Funding was awarded between $900,000 and $1,100,000 annually to 15 organizations.

3. Community Healthy Marriage Grants to Implement Multiple Allowable Activities, Level 3

   Under this priority area, grants were awarded to organizations to implement five or more of the eight allowable activities simultaneously to a broad audience. Funding was awarded between $450,000 and $550,000 annually to 15 organizations.

4. Healthy Marriage Grants to Serve Low-Income Married Couples, Level 1

   Under this priority area, grants were awarded to organizations to implement one allowable activity to low-income married couples (Allowable Activity #5). Funding was awarded between $450,000 and $550,000 annually to 9 organizations.

5. Healthy Marriage Grants to Serve Low-Income Married Couples, Level 2

   Under this priority area, grants were awarded to organizations to implement one allowable activity to low-income married couples (Allowable Activity #5). Funding was awarded between $225,000 and $275,000 annually to 13 organizations.

6. Healthy Marriage Grants to Serve Low-Income Unwed Expectant or New Parents, Level 1

   Under this priority area, grants were awarded to organizations to implement one allowable activity to low-income, unwed, expectant, or new parents (Allowable Activity #3). Funding was awarded between $900,000 and $1,100,000 annually to 3 organizations.

7. Healthy Marriage Grants to Serve Low-Income Unwed Expectant or New Parents, Level 2

   Under this priority area, grants were awarded to organizations to implement one allowable activity to low-income, unwed, expectant, or new parents (Allowable Activity #3). Funding was awarded between $450,000 and $550,000 annually to 20 organizations.

8. Healthy Marriage Grants to Implement any Allowable Activity

   Under this priority area, grants were awarded to organizations to implement one or two of the eight allowable activities, each activity to a particular primary audience. Funding was awarded between $450,000 and $550,000 annually to 44 organizations.

Appendix Table 7:1 illustrates the activities that each Healthy Marriage Education grantee is engaged in providing.

OFA Promoting Responsible Fatherhood Grantees

Research literature supports the finding that a loving and nurturing father improves outcomes for children, families, and communities. Recent research results show that fathers who live with their children are more likely to have a close, enduring relationship with their children. Other results show that children with involved, loving fathers are significantly more likely to do well in school, have healthy self-esteem, exhibit empathy and pro-social behavior, and avoid high-risk behaviors including drug use, truancy, and criminal activity. Research also indicates that children raised in homes with their fathers exhibit better developmental outcomes from an early age, and that active fathers improve child performance in verbal performance, problem solving, and adaptation to new social situations.

To contextualize the fatherhood research, much of this work has focused on father absence, which may be linked to demographic changes within families in the United States, with some of these shifts going as far back as the effects of World War II on the family unit; but since the 1970s, father absence has been more powerfully felt and recognized as an important phenomenon by researchers. Thus, the issues of father absence, as well as father presence, have been acknowledged as significant factors in family and child development for some time. Since the late 1980s, much of the interest in father absence has been replaced by a focus on father-specific parenting roles, relations, and involvement. Looking at how children fare with their fathers actively involved in their lives, whether or not their parents have chosen to marry, has become an important lens for researchers to study. Characteristics, such as nurturance, have emerged as key indicators of the effects of fathers' involvement in their children's lives in research studies.

Fathers have an important role in supporting their children to grow into healthy and contributing adults. By supporting local grantees that are strengthening father involvement over the last few years, this program ultimately seeks to strengthen positive outcomes for children.

About the OFA Promoting Responsible Fatherhood Grantees

With research and on the ground experience confirming the importance of fathers, ACF formed the Promoting Responsible Fatherhood Initiative as a result of the President's "A Blueprint For New Beginnings: Statement on Responsible Fatherhood," delivered on February 28, 2001. As stated by the President, "the presence of two committed, involved parents contributes directly to better school performance, reduced substance abuse, less crime and delinquency, fewer emotional and other behavioral problems, less risk of abuse or neglect, and lower risk of teen suicide. The research is clear: Fathers factor significantly in the lives of their children. There is simply no substitute for the love, involvement, and commitment of a responsible father."[13]

The demonstration grants funded as part of the Promoting Responsible Fatherhood initiative recognize the importance of responsible, present, and engaged fathers in the well-being of their children. Because of the research of the importance of fathers in their children's lives, OFA has funded efforts to develop, implement, evaluate, and disseminate information on programs that promote responsible fatherhood and community-wide initiatives that underscore the importance of fathers taking their fatherhood seriously. The grantees range from small, locally-based non-profits and community and faith-based organizations to larger organizations. All of them serve specific target populations with tools to become stronger and better fathers to their children. The grantees' activities focus on one or more of the following authorized activity areas: Healthy Marriage, Economic Stability, or Responsible Parenting.

Allowable Activities of Promoting Responsible Fatherhood Grantees

The fatherhood grant program funded a number of efforts, ranging from small to large, across a variety of diverse communities. Listed below is a description of the five priority areas that received grant awards. To qualify for these grants, prospective grantees were asked to develop and implement projects that supported any of the following three authorized activity areas: Healthy Marriage, Responsible Parenting, and Economic Stability.

1. Responsible Fatherhood Multiple Activity Grants, Level 1

   Under this priority area, grants were awarded to organizations to implement two or more of the three authorized activity areas as listed above. The maximum funding level was up to $1,000,000 each for up to 5 grants.

2. Responsible Fatherhood Multiple Activity Grants, Level 2

   Under this priority area, grants were awarded to organizations to implement two or more of the three authorized activity areas as listed above. The maximum funding level was up to $500,000 each for up to 15 grants.

3. Responsible Fatherhood Single Activity Grants, Level 1

---

[13] A Blueprint for New Beginnings: A Responsible Budget for America's Priorities. February 28, 2001.

Under this priority area, grants were awarded to organizations to implement any one of the three authorized activity areas. The maximum funding level was up to $250,000 each for up to 52 grants.

4. Responsible Fatherhood Single Activity Grants, Level 2

   Under this priority area, grants were awarded to organizations to implement any one of the three authorized activity areas. The maximum funding level was up to $500,000 each for up to 7 grants.

5. Responsible Fatherhood, Marriage, and Family Strengthening Grants for Incarcerated Fathers and their Partners

   Under this priority area, grants were awarded to organizations to implement any of the three authorized activity areas. The maximum funding level was up to $500,000 each for up to 15 grants.

Appendix Table 7:2 illustrates the activities that each OFA Responsible Fatherhood grantee is engaged in providing.

OFA Field Enrichment Activities

In addition to the Promoting Healthy Marriage Education and Responsible Fatherhood grants discussed above, OFA has also provided three field enrichment resources designed to assist demonstration grantees in operating their grants and improving services to targeted customers. These field enrichment activities include the National Healthy Marriage Resource Center, the National Responsible Fatherhood Clearinghouse, the National Responsible Fatherhood Capacity Building Initiative, and the Promoting Responsible Fatherhood Community Access Program. Each of these is discussed below.

The National Healthy Marriage Resource Center

In order to carry out ACF's mission of assisting States and communities promote and support healthy marriages for those who choose them, the National Healthy Marriage Resource Center (NHMRC) provides a wealth of information for policymakers, State and community stakeholders, and the public at large. The NHMRC seeks to effectively disseminate information by improving practice through research and education, building and sustaining the capacity of the field, and fostering coalitions and partnerships. The NHMRC supports the field – specifically, ACF-funded grantees – through proven technical assistance strategies and methodologies. By putting research into practice, the NHMRC strengthens States and communities, as well as the overall field. Further, the NHMRC highlights marriage preparation, strategies for strengthening marriages and promoting healthy relationships, all of which are useful for the field and for the public at large.

The NHMRC Website, located at www.healthymarriageinfo.org, serves as a comprehensive clearinghouse for information about healthy marriage, as well as targeted resources and

interactive features that support ACF-funded grantees, including an online Community of Practice, Technical Assistance, and a Healthy Marriage Online Library. The NHMRC Web site also provides essential information for other audiences interested in marriage issues. The information on the site is organized by special area or resources, which are listed down the left hand side of the Web site. Each section has news, library resources, and subject-specific directives relevant to that topic or audience.

The NHMRC also sends weekly email updates to stakeholders announcing information that has been added to the Web site, including updates to topics and tools, news, and new publications available via the online library search. The NHMRC provides technical assistance (TA) specifically to serve OFA-funded responsible fatherhood grantees. Various technical assistance methodologies are available from the NHMRC, depending on the specific needs of the grantee. Types of TA delivered include capacity-building workshops, community mobilization models, coalition building meetings, webinars (is a seminar-type presentation provided over the web), moderated teleconferences, site visits, best practice summaries/compendium, peer exchanges, and learning opportunities through the online Community of Practice.

The National Responsible Fatherhood Clearinghouse

The National Responsible Fatherhood Clearinghouse (NRFC) is charged with carrying out ACF's mission of assisting States and communities to promote and support responsible fatherhood and healthy marriages. The NRFC seeks to improve practice through research and education, building and sustaining the capacity of the field, fostering coalitions and partnerships, and effectively disseminating information. The NRFC supports the field – specifically, ACF-funded grantees – through proven technical assistance strategies and methodologies. The NRFC also includes the promotion and distribution of a national media campaign to elevate public concerns surrounding father absence and offers strategies to strengthen responsible fatherhood.

The NRFC website, located at www.fatherhood.gov, provides the central access point for print and electronic publications on fatherhood and healthy marriage, as well as targeted resources and interactive features that support ACF-funded grantees, including an online Community of Practice, Technical Assistance, and a Fatherhood Online Library. The NRFC website also provides essential information for other audiences interested in fatherhood issues. The information on the site is organized by special area or hot topic, which are listed down the left hand side of the web site. Each section has news, library resources, and subject-specific directives relevant to that topic or audience. The NRFC also sends weekly email updates to stakeholders announcing information that has been added to the web site, including updates to topics and tools, news, and new publications available via the online library search.

The NRFC provides technical assistance specifically to serve OFA-funded responsible fatherhood grantees. Various technical assistance methodologies are available from the NRFC, depending on the specific needs of the grantee. Types of TA delivered include capacity-building workshops, community mobilization models, coalition building meetings, moderated teleconferences, site visits, best practice summaries/compendium, peer exchanges, and learning opportunities through the online Community of Practice.

The National Responsible Fatherhood Capacity Building Initiative

OFA has invested in the creation and management of the National Responsible Fatherhood Capacity-Building Initiative (NRFCBI). ACF awarded funds to National Fatherhood Initiative (NFI), an experienced national organization, to deliver organizational capacity building services to community-based organizations focusing on empowering lives, fostering families and contributing to community well-being. The NRFCBI will identify and provide assistance to local community-based fatherhood organizations to expand their programs in four critical areas including: (1) leadership development, (2) organizational development, (3) program development, and (4) community engagement. These activities are expected to increase an organization's sustainability and effectiveness, enhance its ability to provide responsible fatherhood services, reach underserved and fragile populations, and create collaborations to better serve those most in need. Specifically, the team will: (1) identify and perform needs assessments for local community organizations to expand organizational capacity, (2) provide training and technical assistance to build the capacity of local fatherhood programs, and (3) support the development of an infrastructure capable of building and expanding new and existing programs. NRFCBI will deliver on-site training and technical assistance in areas including organizational, professional, program and fund development.

Promoting Responsible Fatherhood Community Access Program

Finally, OFA has invested in further promoting responsible fatherhood by creating the Fatherhood Community Access (FCA) Program. The FCA's purpose is to promote responsible fatherhood by funding programs that support healthy marriage activities, promote responsible parenting, and foster economic stability. Different from the other programs, the FCA focuses on awarding grants to State agencies or other large organizations that have the capacity to ensure the delivery of services by developing and supporting faith-based and community organizations that promote responsible fatherhood at the local community level. These organizations serve as the lead for a network of faith-based and community organizations, who formally work together to coordinate the development and implementation of services at the grass-roots level. Furthermore, the lead organization provides technical and financial support through a collaborative approach with grass roots organizations and the Federal government, to support the development of a fatherhood service delivery network capable of expanding new and existing programs and services at the local level. Each FCA grantee coordinates with local organizations to ensure the delivery of services that help fathers overcome obstacles and barriers that often prohibit them from being effective and nurturing parents. While the primary goal of the initiative is to promote responsible fatherhood in all of its various forms, an essential point is to provide services that encourage responsible fatherhood within the context of marriage.

Examples of Technical Assistance (TA) and Monitoring

Both the Healthy Marriage and Promoting Responsible Fatherhood Initiatives use a number of methods of technical assistance to help grantees further their learning and have accessible best practice models, as well as addressing specific grantee needs as they arise. To address broad based grantee needs, OFA, the NHMRC, and the NRFC hold monthly interactive webinars, specific for each initiative. To directly respond to grantee needs OFA, NHMRC, and NRFC TA

staff have conducted site visits, peer roundtable discussions, and TA conferences. To guide these efforts and monitor grantee progress and development, OFA has developed a set of site visit protocols to follow, and requires grantees to submit progress reports at regular intervals, including 30-days, 90-days, and 6-months.

Following established site visit protocols, a series of targeted technical assistance site visits were conducted by NHMRC and NRFC staff and Federal Project Officers during FY 2006. The NHMRC also hosted a peer roundtable event in Oklahoma where grantees shared common experiences and advice and received individualized TA based on specific challenges that they worked to identify during the event. Promoting Responsible Fatherhood grantee site visits included trips to grantees in Vermont, curriculum guidance in Arizona, and providing web-building support in Alaska.

States in the Spotlight: TANF Activities Supporting Two-Parent Married Families

Seeing the same potential for fostering self-sufficiency by supporting the development and maintenance of two-parent married families, States have utilized various approaches and funding strategies. This section of the report provides an overview of these types of activities in a selection of eleven States.

Alabama

The Alabama Department of Human Resources (DHR) provides for the protection, well-being, and self-sufficiency of children and adults in a multitude of ways. Activities around promoting responsible fatherhood and healthy marriage are two of the strategies DHR uses to support children, families, and individuals.

In the area of promoting responsible fatherhood, Alabama organized a conference on fatherhood to help fathers develop skills over the course of this past year. Alabama's Third Annual Fatherhood Conference was held May 3 – 5, 2006. The theme was expanded this year to include healthy marriage issues, an area which is closely related to the fatherhood area. At this conference, topics and speakers included Patsy Riley, the First Lady of Alabama, who spoke on the importance of healthy marriages to nurture children and build strong communities. Dr. Rozario Slack, from the organization First Things First, described how this nonprofit organization promotes fatherhood and healthy marriages in Chattanooga and Hamilton County, Tennessee, as a model for the attendees to consider in their own cities in Alabama. From Auburn University, Dr. Francesca Adler-Baeder spoke, with other panel members about the role that love plays in healthy marriages and responsible fatherhood. Lastly, Carol Gundlach from the Alabama Coalition Against Domestic Violence presented information on identifying and responding to domestic violence in fatherhood and healthy marriage programs.

Moreover, the Alabama Fatherhood Initiative (AFI) program funded 31 local fatherhood programs to prevent unwed fatherhood, to encourage participation in children's lives and address other issues related to fatherhood. AFI is a network of agencies and organizations that assists non-custodial parents in enhancing their ability to provide financial support to their children. AFI developed a Short-Term Skills Training Pilot Project to help non-custodial fathers who

suffer from unemployment and underemployment to be able to make their child support payments. Working with AFI core partners, DHR built capacity for the project by securing commitments from partners for funding and the development of short-term training courses for non-custodial parents at two community colleges.

In the area of promoting healthy marriages, in addition to the dual focus of the Fatherhood Conference detailed above, DHR assisted Francesca Adler-Baeder of Auburn University with the publication of a Marriage Handbook which will be given out to couples getting marriage licenses at court houses. The publication was unveiled by the Governor and First Lady on Valentine's Day 2006 at a special marriage ceremony. The healthy marriage project at the SAFE Family Services Center in Sylacauga continues to serve couples and families with counseling and support services.

Connecticut

Connecticut's Fatherhood Initiative is a broad-based, statewide program led by the Department of Social Services. The initiative focuses on changing the systems that can improve fathers' abilities to be fully and positively involved in the lives of their children. In order to promote responsible fatherhood, Connecticut's program encourages the emotional and financial involvement of fathers in their children's lives, and works to create supports for fathers to take that role of responsible parent.

By encouraging the emotional and financial involvement of fathers in their children's lives, the program seeks to emphasize the overall importance of fathers for their children and families. For example, the Fatherhood Initiative assists men in preparing for the legal, financial, and emotional responsibilities of fatherhood. Non-custodial parents have more challenges with all three of these areas of responsibility.

Another important aspect of the Connecticut objectives involves promoting the establishment of paternity at childbirth. By doing so, fathers know from the start what their responsibilities are towards their child. Lastly, the initiative encourages fathers, regardless of marital status, to foster their emotional connection to and financial support of their children. Children depend on their fathers both emotionally and financially, so the program seeks to instill a sense of these dual responsibilities in the fathers with whom they work.

By working to create supports for fathers to be truly involved in their children's lives, Connecticut's Fatherhood Initiative seeks to create a culture of responsible fatherhood. One way the initiative is encouraging the establishment of this culture is by promoting public education concerning the financial and emotional responsibilities of fatherhood. The Fatherhood Initiative is creating support mechanisms for fathers in their relationship with their children, regardless of their marital and financial status, and is integrating State and local services available for families.

Florida

Florida has made significant progress in promoting responsible fatherhood and healthy marriages for couples who choose to make this commitment through their Healthy Marriage Initiative.

Within the State, a total of 217 organizations (68 faith-based, 133 community-based) were involved in working on the fatherhood and marriage initiatives. Of these 217 organizations, Florida's Department of Children and Families (DCF) supports the 13 community and faith-based organizations that are working on federal grants on these issues, ultimately contributing to family strengthening efforts and the well-being of the State's children.

Specifically, the DCF's Strengthening Families Initiative Office actively supported public awareness campaigns, worked on capacity building, offered training activities, and conducted research on fatherhood and healthy marriage issues. It will continue to be supportive with technical assistance as needed to the 13 Florida organizations that were awarded grants. Some of the project highlights for the past year follow.

Through the Building Hispanic Healthy Marriage Project (BHHMP), a series of Strengthening Hispanic Families training events have increased the number of faith-based and community child and family service providers that have been exposed to Strengthening Families curriculum. BHHMP delivers healthy marriage education to Hispanic families in Tampa, Miami, and Orlando. The Miami-Dade Head Start Collaboration was the impetus for the BHHMP.

In May 2006, Florida sent a delegation of nine representatives to the National Hispanic Healthy Marriage conference in San Antonio, Texas. The delegation represented the Strengthening Hispanic Families coalitions from Ft. Lauderdale, Miami, Orlando, Tallahassee, and Tampa.

Another success for the healthy marriage program has been the Building African American Healthy Marriage Project (BAAHMP). BAAHMP delivers healthy marriage education to African American families in Jacksonville, Pensacola, and Broward, Florida. Through this important series of training classes, greater numbers of community and faith-based organizations have built their capacity and their knowledge of the Strengthening Families curriculum.

Lastly, the Strengthening Families/Head Start Connection Memorandum of Understanding (MOU) was signed between the Florida Department of Children and Families, Florida's Head Start State Collaboration Office, Florida Head Start Association, Florida Department of Community Affairs, and Florida Association for Community Action, Inc., in April 2006. The purpose of this State MOU is to build capacity for relationship skills and healthy marriage education for couples and to increase awareness within the Community Action Agencies, Head Start Programs, and the broader community statewide.

Georgia

Georgia also has established the Fatherhood Initiative, which focuses on providing non-custodial parents with job skills so that they are able to find employment and contribute to the support of their children. The two major focuses for the State of Georgia in their fatherhood initiative efforts have been to enhance job skills and communicate the importance of parents in children's lives.

It is important for non-custodial parents to develop job skills and financial literacy to help them support their children and themselves. Training for specific types of job skills are important, such as internet and general computer skills, which may be new to some non-custodial parents

who may be re-entering the workforce or entering professional office work environments for the first time. In order to promote economic stability, Georgia's program offers such services as financial planning seminars, employment materials, and coordination with existing employment services.

Furthermore, an important part of reaching non-custodial parents is to encourage them to spend time with their children and become a part of their lives. Throughout the State, billboards, posters, and public service announcements utilizing well-known athletes have been used to foster the support of both parents for their children. These celebrities help to convey the message that both parents are important in the lives of their children. This type of advertising reinforces the work that local programs are doing in their fatherhood outreach efforts. Such messages help to reinforce an overall culture of the importance of non-custodial parents continuing to be part of, and contributing to, the lives of their children. In some instances these efforts have resulted in the marriage of the parents, but even if the parents do not live together the child benefits from the involvement of both parents. The State also provides adoption subsidy assistance to support the formation of two-parent families, thereby creating financial incentives for parents to come together to support their children as a family unit.

Indiana

Indiana's Fatherhood Initiative uses TANF funds to support community based efforts that promote and restore fatherhood. Indiana has chosen to work with local community based organizations to promote fatherhood throughout the State.

The State of Indiana has chosen to invest in projects that establish or expand effective fatherhood involvement strategies. Such strategies are broad based and serve to promote fathers' emotional and financial involvement in their children's lives. Emotionally, fathers learn the importance of doing simple things while spending time with their children, such as reading to them and eating meals together. Financial involvement in their children's lives often means a multi-faceted approach to employment and education. For example, job readiness training, employment placement, GED preparation, parenting education, and other educational support are all part of such financial involvement.

Other services provided to Indiana parents include child development and responsible parenting classes. Skill-based parenting education is an important means of teaching parents how to parent effectively. Other issues that may be covered in responsible parenting classes include disseminating information on the causes of domestic violence and child abuse, counseling and mentoring to the parents involved, and offering mediation training and skill building. Lastly, the State offers supervised visitation for non-custodial parents to have opportunities to spend time with their children.

Louisiana

The State of Louisiana has a strong commitment to children, youth, and families. The Department of Social Services (DSS) seeks to build a stronger Louisiana by helping individuals, children, and families achieve safer and more independent lives. Knowing that 24 million

children (34 percent) live absent their biological father, and that 1.35 million births (33 percent of all births) in 2000 occurred out of wedlock, the State has undertaken initiatives towards supporting families by promoting responsible parenting and fatherhood, as well as promoting family strengthening.

Around the issue of responsible parenting and fatherhood, Louisiana's DSS has entered into contracts with public agencies, non-profit, and for-profit organizations to create programs that will assist low income fathers with various skills. Such skill building programs range from employment training and opportunities to life skills, responsible parenting, and other skills in order to increase their ability to provide emotional and financial support for their children. The State also has worked to create a network of community and faith-based programs that will provide additional support for low income fathers. This network also is designed to link State entities in this effort.

Family strengthening is an important strategy for supporting children and parents to lead full, healthy lives together. Louisiana provides services to improve and promote family relationships, such as counseling and relationship building training. In addition, the State encourages marriage and seeks to reduce the incidence of out-of-wedlock births. Such programs also seek to decrease the divorce rate. DSS is dedicated to working with and supplying young people with guidance to break out of the cycle of living in fatherless homes.

Mississippi

Recognizing the importance of healthy marriage, Mississippi has worked to foster collaboration and understanding of healthy marriages and healthy families. Mississippi's Department of Human Services (MDHS) has been leading these efforts.

Fostering the creation of coalitions has been important in expanding knowledge of and services to support, healthy marriage within Mississippi. On February 14, 2006, the Mississippi Department of Human Services (MDHS) Division of Economic Assistance, Healthy Marriage Unit, hosted a Healthy Marriage Coalition meeting. Forty-seven representatives from State, local, and faith-based organizations from across the State were in attendance. MDHS staff and the other coalition members have had ongoing meetings to further define roles within the coalition, such as assessing the resources each organization can provide, and identifying other organizations and individuals as potential members of the coalition. They also have drafted a mission statement. As a result of the February 2006 meeting, Catholic Charities in Jackson and Outreach Ministries in Brookhaven established coalitions in their areas. Defining roles for government agencies and offices is important for any new initiative that is being implemented statewide. The MDHS Division of Economic Assistance continues to meet with the divisions of Family and Children Services, Child Support Enforcement, and Community Services to fully define the agency's role in the Healthy Marriage Initiative. After each group's role is established, the Healthy Marriage Initiative will be further integrated into the work of these groups.

Lastly, training and educational opportunities are an important part of supporting and sustaining healthy marriages in Mississippi. TANF FY 2006 funds are being used to provide 100 percent funding for 39 Family Resource Centers located throughout the State. Healthy marriage

education and counseling is included in the scope of services available through the Centers. During the last two weeks of February 2006, MDHS staff and the Families First Resource Center subgrantees received training on Franklin Covey's The 8 Habits of a Successful Marriage. This training further helped these leaders to implement healthy marriage educational opportunities in their work. MDHS staff created an innovative educational opportunity for visitors.

In observance of Marriage Week, MDHS staff displayed their wedding pictures on the first floor of their building. Also, a similar display was set up at the Mississippi Capitol Rotunda during the afternoon of February 14, 2006.

New Mexico

New Mexico has made significant strides towards supporting responsible fatherhood and strengthening families over the past year. Through the New Mexico Department of Health, the State has done outreach to parents around the importance of sound parenting skills and relationship skills. Recognizing that families and communities play a critical role in helping parents stay involved with, and supportive of, their children, New Mexico has designed programs to help parents learn about why being an actively involved parent is so important to their children and to address family dysfunction, as well as to learn creative and effective strategies for strengthening their families.

New Mexico's Health Services Department (HSD) has entered into an agreement with the New Mexico State University Family and Consumer Sciences Department of Extension Home Economics to provide services for and address family dysfunction. In this partnership, the program provides training on parenting and relationship skills. They also offer many other services that address barriers that parents and families face. Services are available in most counties throughout the State.

Secondly, the Strengthening Families Initiative offers important resources to parents and families. Parents who participate in this program receive intensive parenting education enhanced with life skills education. Each class series met once a week for 2.5 hours for 15-24 weeks. During this comprehensive class experience, parents received at least 3 hours of life skills and 3 hours of nutrition education. While parents were attending classes, children participated in a children's program.

Oklahoma

Oklahoma has worked to promote responsible fatherhood through their Fatherhood Initiative and to promote healthy marriages for couples who choose to make this commitment through their Healthy Marriage Initiative. Both programs ultimately serve to support children and foster healthy development within their family unit. Working with parents who are being released from prison has been the major focus for Oklahoma's fatherhood initiative effort, while responsible parenting and relationship skill training have been the focus of the State's Healthy Marriage Initiative.

In Oklahoma, the fatherhood initiative has sought to partner with outside agencies, including the Department of Corrections and faith-based organizations, to prepare parents who are released from prison for reunification with family and to prepare for employment. Re-entry of such parents poses challenges both for the individual and for the family. The initiative seeks to give those parents the skills and supports they need to transition successfully into the job force and re-integrate them into their family lives. Employment preparation can include programs offering services such as training clients in specific job skills, offering financial planning seminars, disseminating employment materials, coordinating with existing services, and helping fathers improve their economic status.

Training around the issue of domestic violence is an important aspect of many responsible fatherhood programs. In Oklahoma, domestic violence interactive training is provided to assist staff in recognizing domestic violence and offering help to both batterers and victims through interventions and referrals.

For the Marriage Initiative, Oklahoma has implemented a training workshop series called the Prevention and Relationship Enhancement Program (PREP). Since December 2005, approximately 558 PREP workshops have been completed throughout the State, serving 24,520 participants. An additional 294 individuals also have been trained in PREP workshops offered statewide. These workshops provide instructional guidance to families on how to build healthy relationships and how to parent effectively and responsibly. The workshops provide a format for families to follow in the development of their relationships and interactions with others. These guidelines offer a structure for families to compare their behavior against and make modifications for healthy living.

In an effort to promote marriage and provide a more secure and stable family situation, an adjustment period of up to three months of the existing TANF benefit can be approved by State employees. The intent of the adjustment period is to assist the family in the payment of outstanding bills and to allow for the gradual assumption of financial responsibilities. When a TANF recipient marries and the income of the spouse will close the case, an adjustment period can be made available to the family. This financial incentive also is meant to support these families as they are reunified.

Utah

Utah has funded a number of initiatives to stabilize and support families, marriage, and employment of TANF eligible families with various needed services. For those who choose marriage, the State of Utah provided several innovative programs for support and education. Some of Utah's strategies for promoting healthy marriages include in-person training, educational opportunities, and internet resources. These programs and activities reach approximately 63,615 families and individuals.

For example, Utah sponsored several educational seminars and opportunities around promoting healthy marriages. In 2006-2007, 560 professional counselors and faith leaders attended educational seminars held in the State. These community leaders work directly with hundreds of couples in their different settings throughout the year. This healthy marriage training had great

benefits for larger numbers of Utah citizens served by these counselors and faith leaders. Further, 500 individuals attended another healthy marriage conference organized by the State.

The State also decided to reach couples with an educational opportunity at the moment of their official commitment to one another, and 24,109 people received a marriage booklet with their marriage licenses. Such outreach to couples at the time of their marriage licensing is an innovative way to impact couples' knowledge of, and access to, healthy marriage practices.

Lastly, Utah used the internet to effectively reach a number of citizens over the course of the year. The State created a unique website with tools and information specifically for couples within Utah to learn more about how to build healthy marriages, dating and marriage preparation, and suggestions on how to make marriages succeed, including issues such as communication, handling finances, etc. This website, www.Utahmarriage.org, received an average of 2,882 visits per month. Furthermore, a healthy marriage online course, Marriage Moments, offered though the website www.Utahmarriage.org, had a successful year: individuals made 3,862 unique visits to the Marriage Moments on-line course, learning skills for building and maintaining healthy marriages.

West Virginia

In fulfilling a purpose of the TANF program to encourage the formation and maintenance of two-parent families, West Virginia continues to develop and expand the State Healthy Marriage/Healthy Families Initiative. West Virginia has developed a plan to provide marriage and relationship trainings to improve relationships between parents and between parents and their children. Important stakeholders from government agencies, community based organizations, and faith based organizations are leaders for the State's Healthy Marriage/Healthy Families Initiative Steering Committee which is implementing programming throughout the State.

The steering committee for the West Virginia Healthy Marriage/Healthy Families Initiative represents a diverse group of stakeholders. From West Virginia's State government, representatives serve as leaders from the West Virginia Department of Health and Human Resources, West Virginia Bureau for Child Support Enforcement and WV WORKS, which is West Virginia's TANF Program. WV WORKS is based on the goals of assisting economically dependent and at-risk families to become self supporting, enhancing the well-being of children, and assisting families near the poverty level to remain self-sufficient. Representatives from the West Virginia Coalition Against Domestic Violence, West Virginia University's Extension Department, West Virginia State University Extension Service, and other private and faith-based groups within the State also serve as leaders to plan for and implement marriage and relationship trainings to improve relationships between parents, as well as relationships between parents and children.

Currently, eight counties are actively involved in the State Healthy Marriage/Healthy Families Initiative. West Virginia plans to expand the program to provide services to families throughout the State. Programs have been funded through grants to West Virginia University and West Virginia State University. For example, West Virginia University's Extension program has created a "Healthy Marriage and Healthy Family Coalition." This coalition helps couples and

families find ways to communicate better, to develop healthy relationships, and to learn effective problem-solving skills.

VIII. OUT-OF-WEDLOCK BIRTHS

An additional statutory purpose of the Temporary Assistance for Needy Families (TANF) program is to prevent and reduce the incidence of out-of-wedlock pregnancies and establish annual numerical goals for preventing and reducing the incidence of these pregnancies.

Out-of-Wedlock Births in TANF Families

The TANF statute (Section 413(e) of the Social Security Act) requires the Department of Health and Human Services (HHS) to rank States based on a ratio of the total number of out-of-wedlock births in TANF families to the total number of births in TANF families, and also to show the net changes in the ratios between the current year and the previous year. See Appendix Table 8:1 for Fiscal Year (FY) 2006 data and net changes between FY 2005 and FY 2006.

Out-of-Wedlock Births Among the General Population

NCHS at the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention in HHS is responsible for collecting and analyzing vital statistics data. Based on the final numbers of births for 2005, NCHS data show that the birth rate for unmarried women aged 15-44 years increased in 2005 to 47.5 births per 1,000 women, up 3 percent compared with 46.1 in 2004. The 2005 birth rate has surpassed the level of its previous peak in 1994 of 46.2, and preliminary data for 2006 indicate that it will show a further increase. The proportion of all births that were out-of-wedlock rose to 36.9 percent in 2005, compared with 35.8 in 2004. Preliminary data for 2006 indicate that the proportion of out-of-wedlock births will reach about 38.5 percent. Since 1996, the proportion has increased 4.5 percentage points from 32.4 to 36.9 in 2005 (Appendix Tables 8:2 through 8:4). After several decades of sharp increases, non-marital childbearing increased much more slowly during the second half of the 1990s and through 2003. The last three years, however, have seen rates rise sharply again.

IX. CHILD POVERTY AND TANF

Annual Federal poverty measures are generated from Census Bureau surveys of household income by looking at the amount of cash income received by the individual or family. Non-cash transfers (e.g., Food Stamps and housing subsidies) are not included in the income definition, nor are subtractions or additions to income made through the tax system. An individual's or a family's poverty status is assessed by comparing its total cash income to a standard of basic needs (the poverty threshold) which varies by the size and composition of the family. In 2006, the Federal poverty threshold for a family of four (two adults plus two children) was $20,444.

The 2006 child poverty rate stood at 17.4 percent, down 0.2 percentage points from the prior year and well below both the 1996 level of 20.5 percent and the previous peak of 22.7 percent in 1993. The reduction in poverty since 1996 is even more marked for specific groups: the African American child poverty rate was 33.0 percent in 2006 compared with 39.9 percent in 1996 and the Hispanic child poverty rate was 26.9 percent in 2006 down from 40.3 percent in 1996.

Figure A
Poverty Rate for All Children
1997 - 2006



Source: Appendix Table 9:1

There are also significant differences in the child poverty rate by marital status. Children in married, two-parent families are about one-fifth as likely to be poor as children in female-headed, single-parent families (8.5 percent vs.42.7 percent).

The Census Bureau also produces a series of poverty statistics using alternative definitions of income that incorporate other additions and reductions to income, such as capital gains and losses, near-cash transfers (e.g., food stamps), and Federal and State taxes including the payroll tax and the Earned Income Tax Credit (EITC). Using this expanded definition of income, the 2005 child poverty rate is reduced to 13.7 percent from 17.6 percent based on the official definition of cash income.

While the poverty rate indicates the proportion of the population that is poor, the poverty gap illustrates the income profile of those in poverty by measuring the amount of money that would be required to raise all poor families to the poverty line. Table A displays the poverty gap for families with children from 1997 to 2005 using a pre-transfer measure of the poverty gap, the official measure of income poverty, and an alternative, comprehensive measure of income that includes near-cash transfers and Federal and State taxes, including the EITC.

Table A
Income Poverty Gap[1] for All Families with Children 1997 - 2005
Official and Comprehensive Definitions of Income[2]
(Dollars in Billions)

YEAR	Official Poverty Measure Gap	Pre-Transfer Poverty Gap	Comprehensive Measure of Poverty Gap	Reduction in Gap Between Pre-Transfer and Official (pretransfer - official)	Reduction in Gap Between Pre-Transfer and Comprehensive (pretransfer - comprehensive)
1997	84.0	52.9	32.4	-31.1	-51.6
1998	74.4	49.7	30.6	-24.7	-43.8
1999	67.6	44.8	28.0	-22.8	-39.6
2000	63.0	43.7	28.3	-19.3	-34.7
2001	66.2	45.8	30.0	-20.4	-36.2
2002	69.7	47.3	30.7	-22.4	-39.0
2003	75.0	51.8	34.4	-23.3	-40.7
2004	75.1	52.8	34.2	-22.3	-40.8
2005	73.8	51.7	32.6	-22.1	-41.2

[1]The poverty gap indicated the income deficit for those in poverty, that is, it is the amount of money that would be required to raise all poor families to the poverty line. This table displays the poverty gap for all families with children from 1997 to 2005 using the official measure of income poverty, a pretransfer measure of the poverty gap, and an alternative, comprehensive definition of income poverty which includes near-cash transfers (e.g., food stamps) and Federal and state taxes including the Earned Income Tax Credit.

[2]constant 2005 dollars

Source: Special tabulation of Current Population Survey data by the Office of the Assistant Secretary for Planning and Evaluation, HHS.

While overall child poverty levels are affected by various factors, earnings are central to assisting families in escaping poverty and States have made remarkable progress since the enactment of TANF in moving families into work. However, many families who have moved to work have not yet escaped poverty. Many States are now focusing more on helping families move beyond taking a job to successfully retaining and advancing in employment.

In addition, a number of innovative States are using the resources and flexibility under TANF to not only increase employment and reduce dependence, but also to directly or indirectly make more income available to aided families. Such strategies include:

- Improving child support collections, including increasing the amount of child support collected from non-custodial parents that is passed through to children;
- Enacting State refundable tax credits;
- Helping families receive food stamps, the Earned Income Tax Credit, other earnings supplements, and wage subsidies and offering more generous earnings disregards;
- Helping families during periods between jobs with subsidies to aid quick re-employment efforts;
- Providing employment assistance for other family members, such as child-only families where a caretaker relative is not receiving TANF assistance but is seeking employment; and
- Increasing the stability of work through employer partnerships that focus on the first job, on job advancement after the first job, and on combinations of work, training, and education.

The TANF Child Poverty Regulation

Congressional concern regarding the effect of the TANF program on the well being of children led to the 1996 enactment of Section 413(i) of the Social Security Act. This provision requires the Department of Health and Human Services (HHS) to monitor changes in the child poverty rate relative to TANF. If a State experiences an increase in its child poverty rate of five percent or more as a result of the TANF program(s) in the State, it must submit and implement a corrective action plan to reduce the State's child poverty rate.

HHS published a final rule to implement this section of the law on June 23, 2000 (65 FR 39233). To date, based on child poverty rates for 1996 through 2004, no State has been required to submit a corrective action plan or any additional information for these child poverty assessment periods. Child poverty rates by State are presented in Tables 9:2 through 9:9 in the Appendix.

X. CHARACTERISTICS AND FINANCIAL CIRCUMSTANCES OF TANF RECIPIENTS

States are now spending considerable proportions of their Temporary Assistance for Needy Families (TANF) funds on families who receive benefits and services other than traditional assistance. The data discussed in this chapter are limited to those who received assistance at some time during Fiscal Year (FY) 2006.

The FY 2006 data referenced in this report were obtained from a statistically valid sample of TANF and Separate State Program-Maintenance of Effort (SSP-MOE) cases within the national TANF/SSP-MOE database. Data are presented for all States, the District of Columbia, Puerto Rico, and the Virgin Islands (hereafter referred to as States).

States are required to collect monthly TANF data and report them to the Department of Health and Human Services (HHS) quarterly. These data include disaggregated case record information on the families receiving assistance, families no longer receiving assistance, and families newly-approved for assistance from programs funded by TANF funds.

Tables 10:60 through 10:74 in the Appendix contain data on SSP-MOE recipient characteristics for the 32 States that reported on their SSP-MOE families. SSP-MOE eligible families may be quite different among the 32 States, as well as within a State where there are multiple SSP-MOE programs. For example, a State may have a two-parent SSP-MOE cash assistance program as well as an SSP-MOE program that provides transportation assistance to other families. However, multiple SSP-MOE programs are reported as a single combined program. Because of this, it is not possible at the national level to compare characteristics of SSP-MOE recipients with those of TANF recipients.

Under the TANF data reporting system, States have the option to submit either sample data or universe data to HHS. Thirty States submitted universe data, from which HHS randomly selected approximately 275 active cases and 100 closed cases each month from each State to analyze. The remaining 23 States submitted sample data. A total sample of 204,680 active cases and 58,097 closed cases was used to compile 59 tables of TANF recipient characteristics. The statistical data are estimates derived from samples and are therefore subject to sampling and non-sampling errors, and because of this they may differ from data presented in other parts of the report. Statistical specifications can be found under the section titled "Reliability of Estimates."

Implementation of the final rules of TANF/SSP-MOE data collection requirements posed significant initial challenges to States and HHS. In cases where a few States submitted questionable data, the data from those States were eliminated. In cases where numerous States reported questionable data or unusually large numbers of “unknown” or “other” categories, HHS urges caution in drawing conclusions on the basis of the data.

Trends in AFDC/TANF Characteristics

Because of the rapid decline in the caseload beginning from a record high of 5.0 million families in FY 1994 to 1.8 million families in FY 2006, the question has been raised as to whether the current caseload has changed significantly since the Personal Responsibility and Work Opportunity Reconciliation Act of 1996 (PRWORA) was enacted. An examination of longer-term trends is helpful in understanding how the welfare recipient population has been changing.

Child-Only Families

The number of child-only families (those where no adult is receiving assistance) increased steadily throughout the mid 1990s, reaching a peak of 978,000 such families in FY 1996. In FY 2000, the number of child-only families decreased to 782,000, but their proportion of the caseload increased significantly to 34.5 percent from 21.5 percent in FY 1996. In the early 2000s, however, both the number of child-only families and their proportion of the caseload continued to increase (see Figures A and G). In FY 2006, there were about 851,000 child-only cases, which accounted for 47.2 percent of the total caseload.

Figure A
Trend in AFDC/TANF Child-Only Cases
FY 2002 - FY 2006



A number of other major changes in the characteristics of welfare recipients occurred in the 1990's including the racial composition of welfare families, the age of adult recipients, the age of the youngest child, and the employment rate of adults. The trends in AFDC/TANF recipient characteristics since 2002 are presented in Figure B through Figure D.

Figure B
Trend in TANF Families by Race/Ethnicity
FY 2002 - FY 2006



Source: Appendix Table 10:8

Figure C
Trend in TANF Adult Recipients by Age Group
FY 2002 - FY 2006



Source: Appendix Table 10:19

Figure D
Trend in TANF Recipient Children by Age Group
FY 2002 - FY 2006



Source: Appendix Table 10:33

Employment Rate

The employment rate of adult recipients has increased significantly since the early 1990's. The employment rate went from seven percent in FY 1992 to 28 percent in FY 1999. Since this peak in FY 1999, the rate has declined to 21.6 percent. However, this rate is still twice the rate achieved in FY 1996. It is important to note that the employment data presented here is somewhat different from those presented in the "Work Participation Rates" and "Work and Earnings" sections of the report. The data presented here represents the labor market status of adult TANF recipients and classifies individuals as employed, not employed, or not in the labor force. Data presented elsewhere displays the type of work activities TANF adults are participating in using additional activity categories.

Figure E
Trend in Employment Rate of TANF Adult Recipients
FY 2002 - FY 2006



Source: Appendix Table 10:31

TANF Families

The average monthly number of TANF families was 1,802,600 in FY 2006. The estimated average monthly number of TANF recipients was 996,300 adults and 3,203,600 children. The average monthly number of TANF families decreased in 50 States and reflects an overall six percent decrease from 1,914,000 families in FY 2005.

California had the largest number of TANF families with a monthly average of 449,200, almost a quarter of the U.S. total. New York ranked second with an average monthly caseload of 134,900. California and New York had a combined monthly average of 584,100, accounting for about 32 percent of the U.S. total. This information is presented in Figure F.

Figure F
TANF Caseload
FY 2006



Source: Appendix Table 10:5

The average number of persons in TANF families was 2.3, including an average of 1.8 recipient children. One in two recipient families had only one child. One in 10 families had more than three children. The average number of children in closed-case families was 1.8. Nearly one in two closed case families had one child, and only six percent had more than three children.

About 47 percent of TANF families had no adult recipients. About 50 percent of TANF families had only one adult recipient, and only six percent had two or more adult recipients.

Over 47 percent of TANF families were child-only cases, up about two percentage points from FY 2005. Although the percentage of child-only cases on the welfare rolls has increased in the past several years, the total number of child-only cases has actually declined by about 127,000 since FY 1996. Of the total families with no adult recipients, half had a parent living in the household but not receiving benefits. Of these families with a parent present (not including those with non-recipient adults due to sanctions), about 41 percent had a parent receiving SSI and 38 percent had a parent in unknown citizenship/alienage status. Only 11 percent of all families with no adult recipient had a parent removed from the case (sanctioned) for failure to comply with work requirements, attend school, or cooperate with child support. Figure H illustrates the reasons parents living in the household are not included in the assistance unit.

Figure G
Trend in Caseload and Child-Only Cases
FY 2002 - FY 2006



Source: Appendix Table 1:4

Figure H
Reason for Parents Living in the Household but not in the Assistance Unit
FY 2006



Source: Appendix Table 10:12

Eighty percent of TANF families received Food Stamp assistance, which is consistent with previous levels. These families received average monthly Food Stamp assistance of $275. Of closed-case families, 79 percent received Food Stamp assistance in the month of closure. In addition, almost every TANF family was eligible to receive medical assistance under the State plan approved under title XIX of the Social Security Act.

Figure I illustrates the reasons for case closure in FY 2006. However, understanding the reasons for case closure is limited by the fact that States reported 28.9 percent of all cases as closed due to "other" unspecified reasons. For example, while independent studies of the reason for families leaving welfare typically find that somewhat over half leave as a result of employment, States reported only 20.9 percent of cases closing due to employment, clearly an understatement of the true rate. Many closures due to employment are coded as failure to cooperate or as some other category because at the point of closure, the agency often is unaware that the client became employed.

Figure I
TANF Families by Reason for Closure
FY 2006



Source: Appendix Table 10:48

TANF Adults

There were about 2.0 million adults living in TANF households in FY 2006. Of all those adults, 52 percent were TANF recipients and 48 percent were not. Of those not receiving assistance, 63 percent were parents, 32 percent were caretakers, and 5 percent were other persons whose income was considered in determining eligibility (see Appendix Table 10:9).

Most TANF adult recipients were women, as men only represented 10 percent of adult recipients. Nearly 95 percent of adult recipients were the head of the household. There were about 74,000 teen parents whose child was also a member of the TANF family, representing about 9 percent of recipients aged 13-19. Only 11 percent of adult recipients were married and living together. However, the number of married adult recipients decreased because many States recently moved two-parent families to SSP-MOE programs.

Two of three TANF adult recipients were members of minority groups. Thirty-eight percent of adult recipients were white, 37 percent were African-American, 20 percent were Hispanic, 1.7 percent were Asian, and 1.5 percent were Native American. Most TANF adult recipients were U.S. citizens. There were about 60,000 non-citizens (i.e., 5.9 percent of TANF adults) residing legally in this country.

Of TANF adult recipients, an average of 21.6 percent were employed in the reporting month. There was little difference of the employment rate between male recipients and female recipients. Employment decreased when compared with the 23.2 percent who were employed in FY 2005. In closed-case families, 30.0 percent of adults were reported to be employed in the month the case was closed.

Work participation was mandatory for three of every five adult recipients, and 11 percent of TANF adult recipients were deemed to be engaged in work activities. About 11 percent were disregarded from work participation because they were single custodial parents with a child less than 12 months old. Three percent were exempt because of a sanction, because they were part of an ongoing research evaluation, or because they were served under an approved welfare reform waiver. Thirteen percent were exempt from the work participation requirements because of a good cause exception (e.g., disabled, in poor health, or other). Only two percent were single custodial parents with a child under age six who did not have access to child care.

Overall, 45 percent of all TANF adult recipients participated in some type of work activity during the reporting month. Twenty-one percent worked in unsubsidized jobs, seven percent did job search, and another 19 percent were engaged in subsidized employment, job skills training or work preparation activities. Some TANF adults did two or three work activities. Those participating worked an average of 25 hours per week, and some adults participated although they were work exempt.

Of TANF adult recipients, about 30 percent were disregarded or exempt from work participation, and 40 percent participated in work activities. Therefore, it appears that almost 30 percent of adult recipients who were required to participate did not participate in mandatory work activities.

TANF Children

TANF recipient children were on average 7.8 years old. Fifteen percent of recipient children were under two years of age, while 40 percent were of preschool age under six. Only nine percent of the children were 16 years of age or older.

Most recipient children were children of the head of the household in TANF families, and only 10 percent were grandchildren of the head of the household. Of all recipient children in TANF families with no adult recipients, 66 percent lived with parents and 21 percent with grandparents who did not themselves receive assistance. Most TANF recipient children were U.S. citizens, and only 1.2 percent were qualified aliens.

The racial distribution of TANF recipient children has not significantly changed when compared with FY 2005. African-American children continued to be the largest group of welfare children, comprising about 36 percent of recipient children. Almost 29 percent of TANF recipient children were white, and 29 percent were Hispanic.

Financial Circumstances

Of TANF families, 99.5 percent received cash and cash equivalent assistance, with an average monthly amount of $372. Monthly cash payments to TANF families averaged $314 for one child, $390 for two children, $465 for three children, and $558 for four or more children. Some TANF families who were not employed received other forms of assistance such as child care, transportation and other supportive services.

Less than one in every five TANF families had non-TANF income. The average monthly amount of non-TANF income was $587 per family. Ten percent of the TANF families had earned income with an average monthly amount of $707, while seven percent of the TANF families had unearned income with an average monthly amount of $351. Of all closed-case families, 33 percent had non-TANF income with an average monthly amount of $915.

Of TANF recipient adults, 20 percent had earned income with an average monthly amount of $703. Six percent of adult recipients had unearned income averaging about $352 per month. Three percent of recipient children had unearned income with an average monthly amount of $294.

As in FY 2005, one in ten TANF families received child support with an average monthly amount of $182. Eleven percent of TANF families had some cash resources (e.g., cash on hand, bank accounts, or certificates of deposit) with an average amount of $236. Such family cash resources were defined by the State for determining eligibility for and/or amount of benefits.

Reliability of Estimates

The statistical data are estimates derived from samples and, therefore, are subject to sampling errors as well as nonsampling errors. Sampling errors occur to the extent that the results would have been different if obtained from a complete enumeration of all cases. Nonsampling errors are errors in response or coding of responses and nonresponse errors or incomplete sample frames.

Standard (Sampling) Errors

For FY 2006, the average monthly caseload, annual sample sizes, average monthly sample sizes, sampling fractions and the percentage points by which estimates of the total caseload for each State might vary from the true value at the 95 percent confidence level are shown in Table 10:75 and 10:76.

Table 10:77 indicates the approximate standard error for various percentages for the U.S. total caseload. These standard errors are somewhat overstated because they are calculated assuming a sample of 13,515 cases out of a total of 1,802,567 cases or 0.74944690 percent of the average monthly caseload. California has the smallest sampling fraction. To obtain the 95 percent confidence level at each percent in Table 10:77, multiply the standard error by a factor of 1.96.

For example, national estimates of 50 percent should not vary from the true value by more than plus or minus 0.8428 percentage points (0.43 x 1.96) at the 95 percent confidence level. To obtain the 99 percent confidence level, multiply the standard errors by a factor of 2.58.

Non-sampling Errors

Every effort is made to assure that a list of the universe or the sample frame is complete. It is possible, however, that some cases receiving assistance for the reporting month are not included. There is no measure of the completeness of the universe.

Data entries are based on information in the case records. Errors may have occurred because of misinterpretation of questions and because of incomplete case record information. Errors may have also occurred in coding and transmitting the data. There are no measures of the reliability of the coded information. For some data elements, obviously incorrect or missing information was recoded as unknown in the data processing.

Standard Errors of Subsets

For tables based on subsets of the populations (e.g., one adult or two adult families), the approximate standard errors can be computed by the following method: (a) determine the assumed sample size of the subset by multiplying the number of cases in the subset by 0.0074977690; (b) divide the sample size of all families (13,515) by the assumed sample size of the subset; and (c) take the square root of the result and multiply it by the standard errors of the total caseload shown in Table 10:77.

For example, for TANF families with no adult recipients, the approximate standard errors of percentages can be found by multiplying the data in Table 10:77 by the square root of 13,515/6,038 or 1.4961. The sample size of 6,038 is determined by 850,881 x 0.74944690.

Standard Errors for State Estimates

The method used above can be adapted to calculate the standard errors of State estimates. First, divide the national sample size of all families (13,515) by the State sample size shown in Table 10:75. Then take the square root of the result and multiply it by the standard errors shown in Table 10:77. For example, for New York, the approximate standard errors of percentages can be found by multiplying the data in Table 10:77 by the square root of 13,515/3,233 or 2.0446.

Statistically Significant Differences

Table 10:78 shows the percentage values at which differences between national and State estimates become significant at the five percent confidence level based on annual State samples of 3,000 active cases.

Table 10:79 shows the percentage values at which differences between State estimates become significant at the five percent confidence level based on annual State samples of 3,000 active cases.

XI. TRIBAL TEMPORARY ASSISTANCE FOR NEEDY FAMILIES AND NATIVE EMPLOYMENT WORKS

Federally-recognized American Indian Tribes and Alaska Native organizations may elect to operate their own TANF programs to serve eligible Tribal families. By the close of Fiscal Year (FY) 2006, 52 Tribal TANF plans were approved to operate on behalf of 236 Tribes, Alaska Native villages, and the non-reservation American Indian/Alaskan Native populations of 105 counties.

In addition, Federally-recognized Tribes and Alaska Native organizations that were Tribal Job Opportunities and Basic Skills Training (JOBS) program grantees under the former Aid to Families with Dependant Children (AFDC) program are eligible to administer Native Employment Works (NEW) grants. NEW program grants support work activities and other employment and training services. During NEW Program Year (PY) 2005-2006 (July 1, 2005 – June 30, 2006), there were 78 NEW grantees.

The Tribal Temporary Assistance for Needy Families Program

Each eligible Tribe or Alaska Native organization that wants to administer its own TANF program must submit a Tribal TANF Family Assistance Plan (TFAP) to the Department of Health and Human Services (HHS) for review and approval. Although no specific format is required, a TFAP must contain elements specified in the law and regulations such as: how Tribes will promote work, the stability and health of families, work activities and support services, time-limited assistance, sanctions for non-compliance with work requirements, and personal responsibility. Unlike State TANF plans, which are reviewed to certify only that they are complete, Tribal TANF plans must be approved by HHS.

Tribes administering their own TANF program have great flexibility in program design and implementation. They can define elements of their programs such as: the service area, service population (e.g., all Indian families in the service area or only enrolled members of the Tribe), time limits, benefits and services, the definition of "family," eligibility criteria, and work and work activities. Tribes have the ability to establish, through negotiation with HHS, program work participation rate targets and required work hours. Also, they can establish what benefits and services will be available and develop their own strategies for achieving program goals, including how to help recipients move off welfare and become self-sufficient.

An important factor in successful administration of Tribal programs has been communication, collaboration, and coordination with States and locally-administered programs. In addition, Tribes can enter into partnerships with States and local governments to ensure that Tribal families continue to receive the support services necessary to become self-sufficient, such as

food stamps and Medicaid. Additional relationships are being forged and existing ones are being strengthened. Research conducted by the Washington University School of Social Work and funded by HHS found that Tribal TANF implementation on reservations has "strengthened coordination, communication, and collaboration at all levels – among Tribal social service providers, between Tribes and States, and Tribes and the Federal government."

In addition to serving their own on or near-reservation populations, and where applicable those of coalition partners, several programs also are serving significant non-reservation Indian populations in adjacent urban, suburban and rural areas. For example, the Torres Martinez TANF Consortium is serving the non-reservation Indian population of Los Angeles County and near-reservation towns in Riverside County, the Owens Valley Career Development Center Program is serving the non-reservation Indian population of three counties, the Washoe Tribe of Nevada and California is serving the non-reservation Indian population of three counties in California and one county in Nevada, the California Tribal Partnership is serving the non-reservation Indian population in seven counties, and the Mille Lacs Band of Ojibwe in Minnesota is serving the non-reservation Indian population in nine counties. The number of approved Tribal TANF Programs from FY 2004 through FY 2006 is displayed in Figure A.

Figure A
Number of Approved Tribal TANF Programs
Fiscal Years 2004 - 2006



Source: Appendix Table 11:2

American Indian and Alaska Native families not served by Tribal TANF programs continue to be served by State TANF programs. In FY 2006, State governments served almost 24,000 American Indian families.

Tribal TANF Background Data

Table 11:1 in the Appendix shows grant amounts allocated to American Indian entities for the TANF and NEW programs in FY 2006. The TANF amount allocated/approved differs slightly from the grants awarded because for one tribe the amount awarded was a prorated portion of the approved annual Tribal TANF grant. This prorating occurred because this tribe's Tribal TANF program was not operational for the full fiscal year. The full-year (not prorated) amount of grants allocated/approved for the 52 approved Tribal TANF programs was $166,763,960. The amount of the approved grants is based on American Indian families served under State AFDC programs in FY 1994 in the Tribal grantee's service area.

Table 11:2 in the Appendix shows the Tribal TANF programs, the number of Tribes served, the date the program started, the Federal grant amount, the estimated monthly caseload in FY 1994 (the caseload which was used to establish the funding level for the Tribe's Family Assistance Grant), and indicates the receipt or non-receipt of State matching funds.

Table 11:3 in the Appendix shows the number of American Indian families served by State TANF programs from FY 2004 through FY 2006. Figure B illustrates the national trend over that period of time. These figures do not include the number of families served by Tribal TANF programs.

Figure B
American Indian Families Served by State TANF Programs
FY 2004 - 2006



Source: Apendix Table 11:3

Figure C indicates that of the 11,198 Tribal TANF families reported in FY 2005 (the most recent year for which detailed data is available), 6,989, or 62.4 percent were single parent families and 2,615 or 23.4 percent were child-only cases.

Figure C
Tribal TANF Families, FY 2005
By Type of Family



Source: Appendix Table 11:7

Tribal TANF Recipient Characteristics and Work Participation Rates

Tables 11:4 through 11:9 in the Appendix provide general Tribal TANF characteristics data for the Tribes reporting. Data reported for FY 2005 are summarized below.

Table 11:4 in the appendix shows that 48 percent of adult TANF recipients were reported as engaged in work activities. Although this percentage is based on an unduplicated number of adults, some of the participants were engaged in more than one work activity. Within this limitation, Table 11:4 also shows that slightly more than 21 percent of these adults were working in unsubsidized employment, while about eight percent had unpaid work experience and that 52 percent were involved in other activities.

Table 11:5 in the Appendix shows that, of the total 9,991 adult TANF recipients reported, 40 percent met the work requirements. An additional 17 percent were exempt from work, and about eight percent were either disregarded or deemed working. Table 11:6 in the Appendix shows that almost 83 percent of the adult TANF recipients were the heads of their households, and slightly less than 13 percent were the spouse of the head of the household. Table 11:7 in the Appendix shows that about 62 percent of families were single parent families, 23 percent were child only families, and 14 percent were two parent families. Table 11:8 in the Appendix shows that about 29 percent of the children were less than five years old.

Table 11:9 shows the work participation rates achieved for each Tribe from FY 2003 through FY 2005. Each Tribe has negotiated with HHS to determine what activities will count toward their participation rates and to determine whether they will be measured according to a one-parent rate, two-parent rate, and/or an all family rate. HHS and the Tribes then established individual targets of performance for these measures. Table 11:10 shows the details of the negotiated work participation rates and hourly work requirements for FY 2005

The Native Employment Works Program

The statutory purpose of the NEW program is to make work activities available to grantee service populations. The NEW program complements TANF programs by preparing participants for employment and self-sufficiency, and helping them find unsubsidized employment. While NEW programs are not required to serve TANF participants, the majority of NEW participants are Tribal TANF or State TANF participants. Thus NEW is an important partner with both Tribal and State TANF programs within the TANF initiative.

The NEW program was authorized by Section 412(a)(2) of the Social Security Act, as amended by the Personal Responsibility and Work Opportunity Reconciliation Act of 1996 (PRWORA). It is authorized through September 30, 2010, under the Deficit Reduction Act of 2005. The NEW program began July 1, 1997, replacing the Tribal JOBS program. Federal regulations for the NEW program are found in 45 CFR Part 287.

By law, eligibility to administer NEW programs is limited to Federally-recognized Tribes, Alaska Native organizations, and Tribal consortia that operated JOBS programs in FY 1995. As of June 30, 2006, there were 78 NEW grantees, 26 of which also operated Tribal TANF programs.

Annual NEW program amounts are set by law at the FY 1994 Tribal JOBS funding levels for each eligible Tribe/Tribal organization. In each of PY 2004-2005 and PY 2005-2006, a total of $7,558,020 was awarded to NEW grantees.

NEW programs provide work activities, supportive services, and job retention services to help clients prepare for and obtain permanent, unsubsidized employment. NEW grantees have the flexibility to design their programs to meet their needs, to select their service population and service area, and to determine the work activities and related services they will provide, consistent with statutory and regulatory requirements. In designing their NEW programs, Tribes consider the unique economic and social conditions in their communities and the needs of individual clients. Clients generally have low levels of education and job skills, and often face serious shortages of job opportunities and lack of support services such as transportation and child care. Some clients have additional barriers to employment, including substance abuse and domestic violence issues. Working with related programs, NEW programs help Tribes address these problems, bridge service gaps, and provide coordinated employment, training, and related services. Primary coordination linkages are with Tribal and State TANF programs, other employment and training programs (for example, the Department of Labor's Workforce Investment Act program), Head Start and child care programs, other Tribal programs, Tribal and other colleges, and local businesses.

NEW work activities include (but are not limited to):

- Educational activities, including GED preparation and remedial, vocational, post-secondary, and alternative education.

- Training and job readiness activities, including job skills training, job readiness training, on-the-job training (OJT), entrepreneurial training, and management training.

- Employment activities, including work experience, job search, job development and placement, community work experience, community service programs, and unsubsidized and subsidized public and private sector employment.

NEW program supportive and job retention services are work and family self-sufficiency related services that enable a client to participate in the program or to obtain or retain employment. These services include transportation, child care, counseling, medical services, and other services such as providing eyeglasses, tools/gear, and uniforms and other clothing needed for jobs. NEW program activities also may include labor/job market assessments, job creation, and economic development leading to job creation.

NEW Programs in PY 2004-2005 and PY 2005-2006

Seventy-eight Indian Tribes, Alaska Native organizations, and Tribal consortia operated NEW programs during PY 2004-2005 (July 1, 2004 – June 30, 2005) and PY 2005-2006 (July 1, 2005 – June 30, 2006). Of the 78 NEW grantees, 28 grantees included their PY 2004-2005 NEW funds in demonstration projects under Pub. L. 102-477, the Indian Employment, Training, and Related Services Demonstration Act of 1992, and 30 grantees included their PY 2005-2006 NEW funds in Pub. L. 102-477 projects. These grantees reported to the lead agency for Pub. L. 102-477 projects, the Department of the Interior. The remaining NEW grantees did not include their NEW funding under a Pub. L. 102-477 project. These grantees reported directly to HHS on their NEW programs.

Tables 11:11 through 11:14 in the Appendix contain data reported for PY 2004-2005 and PY 2005-2006 by the NEW grantees that did not include their NEW programs in Pub. L. 102-477 projects. Separate tables are included for each of these program years. Data reported by grantees for PY 2005-2006 are summarized below.

In PY 2005-2006, 48 of the 78 NEW grantees did not include NEW in a 102-477 project. For PY 2005 -2006, Appendix Table 11:11 (PY 2005-2006) indicates that these 48 grantees served a total of 5,225 participants. Of these clients, about 59.2 percent (3,095 clients) were adult females, 27.3 percent (1,426 clients) were adult males, 9 percent (471 clients) were females under age 21, and 4.5 percent (233 clients) were males under age 21.

Most NEW program participants also received TANF assistance. Appendix Table 11:12 (PY 2005-2006) shows that 71.1 percent of NEW participants (3,716 clients) also received TANF cash assistance and/or other TANF services through Tribal or State TANF programs.

Appendix Table 11:12 (PY 2005-2006) shows that about 21.7 percent of NEW program participants (1,132 clients) completed the program by entering unsubsidized employment. Of those who entered unsubsidized employment, 55.7 percent (631 clients) were TANF recipients.

The most frequently provided NEW program work activities were classroom training/education, job search, and work experience, as reported in Appendix Table 11:13 (PY 2005-2006). In PY 2005-2006, 37.6 percent of participants (1,967 clients) participated in classroom training/ education, 36.2 percent (1,892 clients) engaged in job search, and 32 percent (1,672 clients) participated in work experience.

Appendix Table 11:14 (PY 2005-2006) shows that the most frequently provided supportive and job retention service was transportation. About 39.4 percent of participants (1,786 clients) received transportation assistance through the NEW program. Figure D contains a breakdown of the supportive and job retention services provided by NEW programs in PY 2005-2006.

Figure D
Support and Job Retention Services Provided to NEW Program Clients, July 1, 2005 - June 30, 2006[1]



[1]Some clients received more than one service.
Source: Table 11:14

Tribes participating in Pub. L. 102-477 projects did not report separate data on the NEW program. Instead, they reported combined data for all of the programs included in their Pub. L. 102-477 projects to the Department of the Interior.

NEW programs coordinated education, training, work experience, job search, and job referral with other Tribal programs and with local educational institutions and employers. They provided intensive case management, behavioral, health, and financial management counseling, and life skills training. Many Tribes with NEW programs located training, employment, and social services in "one-stop" centers where staff assessed clients' needs and then provided targeted activities and services to meet those needs. Information/resource/technology centers and learning centers containing resource materials, classrooms, and computer labs provided job preparation and job search services, including individual needs assessments, case management, and classroom instruction.

Many NEW grantees helped clients achieve educational goals to prepare for employment, such as receiving their General Education Degree (GED) or Associate of Arts degree (AA). Grantees

provided basic education and GED preparation classes and enrolled clients in nearby colleges, including Tribal colleges, where clients took courses in nursing, child care, teaching, accounting, business, management, etc. Grantees helped clients take vocational courses to pursue careers as certified nursing assistants, office workers, fire fighters, forestry workers, auto mechanics, machinists, plumbers, electricians, cooking/catering/food service workers/providers, tourism and casino workers, bus drivers, and construction workers.

NEW programs established on-the-job training and work experience placements for clients and helped them locate and apply for permanent employment. They helped clients prepare to run small arts and crafts, woodcutting, and fishing businesses. They provided vans and other transportation assistance such as vouchers and bus tickets to enable clients to attend classes, training, and work experience, and to help with job search. They helped clients purchase eyeglasses, clothing, and tools/gear needed for employment, and they helped clients pay costs for job-related tests and licenses. They provided child care and other needed supportive and job retention services, and they operated programs and made referrals to help clients overcome barriers including substance abuse and domestic violence. They coordinated with, and referred clients to, other providers of supportive and job retention services.

Lack of jobs is a major problem for NEW programs, which typically are located on isolated, rural reservations. However, 12 of the non-102-477 grantees were able to place 50 percent or more of their NEW clients in permanent, unsubsidized employment in both PY 2004-2005 and PY 2005-2006.

XII. SPECIFIC PROVISIONS OF STATE PROGRAMS

Each State must submit a State plan to the Secretary that outlines how it intends to conduct a program in all political subdivisions of the State (not necessarily in a uniform manner) that provides cash aid to needy families with (or expecting) children and provides parents with job preparation, work, and support services. States may determine what benefit levels to set and what categories of families are eligible. States have the flexibility to design and operate a program that best matches their residents' needs and helps families gain and maintain self-sufficiency.

Through a series of contracts, ACF has for several years provided resources to facilitate updating and expanding the Welfare Rules Database (WRD). The Urban Institute began developing the WRD in early 1997, as part of the Assessing New Federalism project. The database was conceived as a single location where information on program rules could be researched across States and/or across years, without the need to consult multiple documents, and it was intended to provide a resource for researchers working on both descriptive and quantitative projects. ACF has funded updates to the database, as well as publication of tables summarizing State TANF policies for each year since then. Unless otherwise noted, the information in the following tables is current as of July 2006.

Form of Administration

The chart below (Table 12:1) outlines how each State administers its TANF program.

Table 12:1
State TANF Implementation

State	Form of Administration	State or County Discretion	
		Eligibility and Benefits	Available Services
Alabama	State Supervised/ County Administered	State	State
Alaska	State	State	State
Arizona	State	State	State
Arkansas	State	State	State
California	State Supervised/ County Administered	State	State
Colorado	State Supervised/ County Administered	County	County
Connecticut	State	State	State
Delaware	State	State	State
District of Columbia	State	State	State
Florida	State	State	State
Georgia	State	State	County
Guam	Territory	Territory	Territory
Hawaii	State	State	State
Idaho	State	State	State
Illinois	State	State	State
Indiana	State	State	State
Iowa	State	State	County
Kansas	State	State	County
Kentucky	State	State	State
Louisiana	State	State	State
Maine	State	State	State
Maryland	State Supervised/ County Administered	State	County
Massachusetts	State	State	State
Michigan	State	State	State
Minnesota	State Supervised/ County Administered	County	State or County
Mississippi	State	State	State
Missouri	State	State	State
Montana	State Supervised/ County Administered	State	State
Nebraska	State	State	State
Nevada	State	State	State
New Hampshire	State	State	State
New Jersey	State Supervised/ County Administered	State	State
New Mexico	State	State	State
New York	State Supervised/ County	State	County

Table 12:1
State TANF Implementation

State	Form of Administration	State or County Discretion	
		Eligibility and Benefits	Available Services
	Administered		
North Carolina	County	County[1]	County
North Dakota	State Supervised/ County Administered	State	State
Ohio	State Supervised/ County Administered	State	County
Oklahoma	State	State	State
Oregon	State	State	County
Pennsylvania	State	State	State
Puerto Rico	Territory	Territory	Territory
Rhode Island	State	State	State
South Carolina	State	County	State
South Dakota	State	State	State
Tennessee	State	State	State
Texas	State	State	County
Utah	State	State	State
Vermont	State	State	State
Virginia	County	State	State
Virgin Islands	Territory	Territory	Territory
Washington	State	State	State
West Virginia	State	State	State
Wisconsin	County	State	County[2]
Wyoming	State	State	State

[1] In certain areas.
[2] Except for Milwaukee where the State provides direct contract approval for all TANF service provision.

TANF Eligibility

Table 12:2 describes States' income eligibility tests for determining whether an applicant can begin receiving benefits. The table indicates which State income standard is used for each test. Table 12:3 explains the value of the particular standard for a three-person family. States that impose a net income test generally disregard a portion of the unit's earned income before comparing the income to the State's income standard. These maximum earnings for initial eligibility are captured in table 12:4.

Table 12:2
Income Eligibility Tests for Applicants, July 2006

State	Type of test	Income must be less than
Alabama	Net income	100% of Payment Standard
Alaska	Gross income	185% of Need Standard
	Net income	100% of Need Standard
Arizona	Gross income	185% of Need Standard
	Net income	100% of Need Standard
Arkansas	Net income	100% of Income Eligibility Standard
California	Net income	100% of Minimum Basic Standard of Adequate Care
Colorado	Gross income	185% of Need Standard
	Net income	100% of Need Standard
Connecticut	Net income	100% of Need Standard
	Unearned income	100% of Payment Standard
Delaware	Gross income	185% of Standard of Need
	Net income	100% of Payment Standard
District of Columbia	Net income	100% of Payment Level
Florida	Gross income	185% of Federal Poverty Level
	Net income	100% of Payment Standard
Georgia	Gross income	185% of Standard of Need
	Net income	100% of Standard of Need
Hawaii	Gross income	185% of Standard of Need
	Net income	100% of Standard of Need
Idaho	No explicit tests	
Illinois	Net income	100% of Payment Standard
Indiana	Gross income	185% of Need Standard
	Net income	100% of Net Income Standard
Iowa	Gross income	185% of Need Standard
	Net income	100% of Need Standard
Kansas	Net income	100% of Budgetary Standards
Kentucky	Gross income	185% of Standard of Need
Louisiana	Net income	100% of Flat Grant Amount
Maine	Gross income	100% of Gross Income Test
Maryland	Net income	100% of Allowable Payment
Massachusetts	Gross income	185% of Need Standard and Payment Standard
	Net income	100% of Need Standard and Payment Standard
Michigan	No explicit tests	

Table 12:2
Income Eligibility Tests for Applicants, July 2006

State	Type of test	Income must be less than
Minnesota	Net income	100% of Transitional Standard
Mississippi	Gross income	185% of Need Standard and Payment Standard
	Net income	100% of Need Standard and Payment Standard
Missouri	Gross income	185% of Need Standard
	Net income	100% of Need Standard
Montana	Gross income	185% of Net Monthly Income Standard
	Net income	100% of Benefit Standard
Nebraska	No explicit tests	
Nevada	Gross income	185% of Need Standard
	Net income	100% of Need Standard
New Hampshire	Net income	100% of Payment Standard
New Jersey[1]	Gross income	150% of Maximum Benefit Payment Schedule
New Mexico	Gross income	85% of Federal Poverty Level
New York	Gross income	185% of Need Standard and 100% of Federal Poverty Level
	Net income	100% of Need Standard
North Carolina	No explicit tests	
North Dakota	No explicit tests	
Ohio	Net income	100% of Allocation Allowance Standard
Oklahoma	Gross income	185% of Need Standard
	Net income	100% of Need Standard
Oregon		
All, except JOBS Plus	Gross income	100% of Countable Income Limit
JOBS Plus	Gross income	100% of Food Stamp Countable Income Limit
Pennsylvania	Net income	100% of Family Size Allowance
Rhode Island	No explicit tests	
South Carolina	Gross income	185% of Need Standard
	Net income	100% of Need Standard
South Dakota	No explicit tests	
Tennessee	Gross income	185% of Consolidated Need Standard
Texas	Net income	100% of Budgetary Needs Standard[2]
	Net income	100% of Recognizable Needs[3]
Utah	Gross income	185% of Adjusted Standard Needs Budget
	Net income	100% of Adjusted Standard Needs Budget
Vermont	Gross income	185% of Need Standard
	Net income	100% of Need Standard
Virginia		
VIEW[4]	Gross earnings	100% of Federal Poverty Level
	Unearned income	100% of Standard of Assistance
All, except VIEW	Gross income	185% of Standard of Need
	Net income	100% of Standard of Assistance
Washington	Gross earnings	100% of Maximum Gross Earned Income
West Virginia	Gross income	100% of Standard of Need
Wisconsin	Gross income	115% of Federal Poverty Level
Wyoming	No explicit tests	

Source: Table I.E.1 Income Eligibility Tests for Applicants, July 2006 from the Urban Institute's Welfare Rules Database.

Notes: "No explicit tests" indicates that either the State imposes no income tests on applicants or the State imposes an income test, but the calculation of the test and disregards allowed for the test are no different from those used to calculate the benefit.

[1] In households where the natural or adoptive parent is married to a non-needy stepparent, the gross household income may not exceed 150 percent of the Federal Poverty Level.

[2] Apply only the $120 disregard for this test.

[3] Apply both the $120 disregard and the 33.3 percent disregard for this test.

[4] Two-parent units' gross earned income must be below 150 percent of the Federal Poverty Level and their unearned income must be below 100 percent of Standard of Assistance.

Table 12:3
Eligibility Standards

State	State name	Amount for a family of three
Alabama	Payment Standard	$215
Alaska	Need Standard	$1,311
Arizona	Need Standard	$964
Arkansas	Income Eligibility Standard	$223
California	Minimum Basic Standard of Adequate Care	$891
Colorado	Need Standard	$421
Connecticut	Federal Poverty Level	$1,383
	Need Standard	$745
	Payment Standard	$543
Delaware	2005 Federal Poverty Level	$1,341
	Standard of Need	$1,005
	Payment Standard	$338
District of Columbia	Standard of Assistance	$712
	Payment Level	$379
Florida	Federal Poverty Level	$1,383
	Payment Standard	$303
Georgia	Standard of Need	$424
Hawaii	Standard of Need	$1,140
Idaho	— —	— —
Illinois	Payment Standard	$396
Indiana	Federal Poverty Level	$1,383
	Need Standard	$320
	Net Income Standard	$288
Iowa	Need Standard	$849
Kansas	Budgetary Standards	$429
Kentucky	Standard of Need	$526
Louisiana	Flat Grant Amount	$240
Maine	Gross Income Test	$1,023
Maryland	Allowable Payment	$490
Massachusetts		
Exempt	Federal Poverty Level	$1,383
	Need Standard and Payment Standard	$633
Nonexempt	Federal Poverty Level	$1,383
	Need Standard and Payment Standard	$618
Michigan	— —	—
Minnesota	Federal Poverty Level	$1,383
	Transitional Standard	$884
Mississippi	Need Standard and Payment Standard	$368
Missouri	Federal Poverty Level	$1,383
	Need Standard	$846
Montana	Net Monthly Income Standard	$478
	Benefit Standard	$375
Nebraska	Federal Poverty Level	$1,383
Nevada	Need Standard	$984
New Hampshire	Standard of Need	$2,754
	Payment Standard	$625

Table 12:3
Eligibility Standards

State	State name	Amount for a family of three
New Jersey	Maximum Benefit Payment	$424
	Federal Poverty Level	$1,383
New Mexico	Federal Poverty Level	$1,383
New York	Federal Poverty Level	$1,383
	Need Standard	$691
North Carolina	— —	—
North Dakota	Standard of Need	$477
Ohio	Allocation Allowance Standard	$980
Oklahoma	Need Standard	$645
Oregon		
All, except JOBS Plus	Countable Income Limit	$616
	Adjusted Income/Payment Standard	$471
JOBS Plus	Food Stamp Countable Income Limit	$1,698
	Adjusted Income/Payment Standard	$471
Pennsylvania	Need Standard	$587
	Family Size Allowance	$403
Rhode Island	Cash Assistance Monthly Standard	$554
South Carolina	Need Standard	$670
South Dakota	Payment Standard	$508
Tennessee	Consolidated Need Standard	$963
Texas	Budgetary Needs Standard	$751
	Recognizable Needs	$188
Utah	Adjusted Standard Needs Budget	$568
Vermont	Need Standard	$1,291
Virginia		
VIEW	Standard of Need	$322
	Federal Poverty Level	$1,383
	Standard of Assistance	$320
All, except VIEW	Standard of Need	$322
	Standard of Assistance	$320
Washington	Maximum Gross Earned Income	$1,092
	Need Standard	$1,545
West Virginia	Standard of Need	$991
Wisconsin	Federal Poverty Level	$1,383
Wyoming	Maximum Benefit	$340

Source: Table I.E.3 Eligibility Standards, July 2006 from the Urban Institute's Welfare Rules Database.
Notes: The values in this table represent all standards used during the eligibility process, including those used for grandparent deeming, stepparent deeming, applicant income eligibility tests, and recipient income eligibility tests. See Tables I.D.1, I.D.2, I.E.1, and IV.A.4 in the Welfare Rules Database for more information on how these standards are used. Note that this table provides information on the standards only; to determine how the standards are applied, see the companion tables listed above.
The amounts in the table are based on the following assumptions about the assistance unit: there is one adult and two children; the children are not subject to a family cap; and the unit has no special needs, pays for shelter, and lives in the most populated area of the State.

Table 12:4
Maximum Income for Initial Eligibility for a Family of Three, 2006[1]

State	Maximum earnings an applicant can receive and still be eligible for assistance
Alabama	$269
Alaska	$1,401
Arizona	$586
Arkansas	$279
California	$981
Colorado	$511
Connecticut	$835
Delaware	$428
District of Columbia	$567
Florida	$393
Georgia	$514
Hawaii	$1,641[2]
Idaho	$648
Illinois	$486
Indiana	$378
Iowa	$1,061
Kansas	$519
Kentucky	$909
Louisiana	$360
Maine	$1,023
Maryland	$613
Massachusetts	
Exempt	$723
Nonexempt	$708
Michigan	$811
Minnesota	$1,076
Mississippi	$458
Missouri	$558
Montana	$700
Nebraska	$802
Nevada	$1,230
New Hampshire	$781
New Jersey	$636
New Mexico	$1,056[3]
New York	$781
North Carolina	$681
North Dakota	$1,252
Ohio	$980
Oklahoma	$704
Oregon	$616
Pennsylvania	$493
Rhode Island	$1,278
South Carolina	$670
South Dakota	$724
Tennessee	$1,112
Texas	$401

Table 12:4
Maximum Income for Initial Eligibility for a Family of Three, 2006[1]

State	Maximum earnings an applicant can receive and still be eligible for assistance
Utah	$573
Vermont	$1,003
Virginia	
VIEW[4]	$1,383
All, except VIEW	$494
Washington	$1,090
West Virginia	$565
Wisconsin	—[4]
Wyoming	$540

Source: Table I.E.4 Maximum Income for Initial Eligibility for a Family of Three, July 2006 from the Urban Institute's Welfare Rules Database.

Note: Initial eligibility is calculated assuming that the unit is employed at application, has only earned income, has no child care expenses, contains one adult and no children subject to a family cap, has no special needs, pays for shelter, and lives in the most populated area of the State.

[1] The values in this table represent the maximum amount of earnings an applicant can have and still be "technically" eligible for assistance in each State. Technical eligibility does not mean that the unit will necessarily receive a cash benefit, but they will have passed all of the eligibility tests and are eligible for some positive benefit. Most States only distribute a cash benefit equaling $10 or more.

[2] Applies to units that have received assistance for no more than two months in a lifetime. For units applying for their third and subsequent months of benefits, the eligibility threshold for a family of three is $1,363.

[3] For purposes of the State's earned income disregard, the adult head is assumed to be working 40 hours a week.

[4] Units with earnings at application will not receive a cash benefit in the State. However, applicants may earn up to $1,590 and still be eligible for nonfinancial assistance.

Treatment of Earnings

PRWORA does not specify how States should treat earnings in calculating TANF benefits. Thus, States have the flexibility to establish rules regarding the treatment of income that best meet their residents' needs. However, as a means to help families transition from welfare to work and to help make work pay, all States (except Wisconsin) disregard a portion of a family's earned income when determining benefit levels (see Table 12:5).

Table 12:5
Earned Income Disregards for Benefit Computation

State	Earned Income Disregards
Alabama	100% for first 6 months
	20% in subsequent months[1]
Alaska	$150 and 33% of the remainder for first 12 months
	$150 and 25% of the remainder in months 13-24
	$150 and 20% of the remainder in months 25-36
	$150 and 15% of the remainder in months 37-48
	$150 and 10% of the remainder in months 49-60
	$150 thereafter
Arizona	$90 and 30% of the remainder
All, Except JOBSTART	$90 and 30% of remainder
JOBSTART	100% of subsidized wages[2]
Arkansas	No disregards--flat grant amount
California	$225 and 50% of the remainder
Colorado	66.7% in first 12 months
	$120 and 33.3% of remainder in next four months
	$120 in next eight months
	$90 thereafter
Connecticut	100% up to the Federal poverty level
Delaware	$120 and 33.3% of remainder in first four months
	$120 in next eight months
	$90 thereafter
District of Columbia	$160 and 66.7% of the remainder
Florida	$200 and 50% of the remainder
Georgia	$120 and 33.3% of the remainder in first 4 months
	$120 in next 8 months
	$90 thereafter
Hawaii	20%, $200, and 36% of the remainder
Idaho	40%
Illinois	66.7%
Indiana	75%
Iowa	20% and 50% of the remainder
Kansas	$90 and 40% of the remainder
Kentucky	100% for 2 months[3]
	$120 and 33.3% of the remainder in next 4 months
	$120 in next 8 months
	$90 thereafter
Louisiana	$1,020 in first 6 months[4]

Table 12:5
Earned Income Disregards for Benefit Computation

State	Earned Income Disregards
	$120 thereafter
Maine	$108 and 50% of the remainder
Maryland	40%
Massachusetts	
Exempt	$120 and 33.3% of remainder
Nonexempt	$120 and 50% of remainder
Michigan	$200 and 20% of the remainder
Minnesota	37%[5]
Mississippi	100% in first 6 months[6]
	$90 thereafter
Missouri	66.7% and $90 of remainder in first 12 months
	$90 thereafter[7]
Montana	$200 and 25% of remainder
Nebraska	20%
Nevada	100% in first 3 months
	50% in months 4-12
	$90 or 20% (whichever is greater) thereafter
New Hampshire	50%
New Jersey	100% in the first month[8]
	50% thereafter
New Mexico	All earnings in excess of 34 hours a week, $125, and 50% of remainder in first 24 months
	$125 and 50% of remainder thereafter[9]
New York	$90 and 47% of the remainder
North Carolina	100% in first 3 months of employment[10]
	27.5% thereafter
North Dakota	$180 or 27% (whichever is greater) and 50% of the remainder in first 6 months
	$180 or 27% (whichever is greater) and 35% of the remainder in months 7-9
	$180 or 27% (whichever is greater) and 25% of the remainder in months 10-13
	$180 or 27% (whichever is greater) and 27% thereafter[11]
Ohio	$250 and 50% of the remainder
Oklahoma	$120 and 50% of the remainder
Oregon	50%
Pennsylvania	50%
Rhode Island	$170 and 50% of the remainder
South Carolina	50% in first 4 months[12]
	$100 thereafter
South Dakota	$90 and 20% of the remainder
Tennessee	$150[13]
Texas	$120 and 90% of the remainder for 4 months[14]
	$120 thereafter
Utah	$100 and 50% of the remainder[15]
Vermont	$150 and 25% of the remainder
Virginia	$134 and 20% of the remainder[16]
Washington	50%
West Virginia	40%
Wisconsin	No disregards--flat grant amount

Table 12:5 Earned Income Disregards for Benefit Computation	
State	**Earned Income Disregards**
Wyoming	$200[17]

Source: Table II.A.1 Earned Income Disregards for Benefit Computation, July 2006 from the Urban Institute's Welfare Rules Database.

[1] The earned income disregard cannot be applied to the earnings of an individual receiving assistance beyond the 60th month under an exemption or extension.

[2] In addition to the 100 percent disregard of all subsidized JOBSTART wages, recipients can also disregard the standard $90 and 30 percent of the remainder for any non-JOBSTART earned income.

[3] Recipients are eligible for the one-time 100 percent disregard if they become newly employed or report increased wages acquired after approval.

[4] The six months in which the extra $900 is disregarded need not be consecutive; however, the recipient may use this extra disregard in no more than six months over the course of his or her lifetime.

[5] This disregard applies to regular TANF recipients with earned income. Different disregards apply during the four-month mandatory diversion program. See Table I.A.1 in the Welfare Rules Database for details.

[6] Recipients are eligible for the one-time 100 percent disregard if they find employment of 35 hours per week within 30 days of either their initial approval for TANF or the beginning of job readiness training. If work is not found, the recipient will never be eligible to receive the disregard again. An additional 100 percent disregard is available to units for three months when the unit's case is subject to closure due to increased earnings and the individual is employed for at least 25 hours a week at the federal minimum wage or higher. The recipient may not have already received the six-month disregard, unless there has been at least a 12-month break in receipt of TANF benefits. The three-month disregard may be received more than once during the 60-month TANF benefit period, provided that there is a period of at least 12 consecutive months in which a family does not receive TANF benefits before the family reapplies for assistance. If a recipient marries for the first time, his or her new spouse may receive a one-time, 100 percent disregard for six consecutive months.

[7] These disregards only apply to recipients who become employed while receiving TANF. Applicants and those recipients who gained employment before receiving TANF are allowed to disregard $120 and 33.3 percent of remainder for first four months, $120 next eight months, and $90 thereafter.

[8] The 100 percent disregard is only applicable once every 12 months, even if employment is lost and then regained. Also, applicants are not eligible for the 100 percent disregard in the first month of benefit computation; they may disregard 50 percent of earnings only.

[9] Two-parent units may disregard all earnings in excess of 35 hours a week for one parent and 24 hours a week for the other parent and $225 and 50 percent in the first 24 months. Thereafter, they may disregard $225 and 50 percent of the remainder. The disregard for earnings in excess of the participation requirement only applies to recipients for the first 24 months of benefit receipt, for both single- and two-parent units.

[10] The 100 percent disregard is only available once in a lifetime and may be received only if the recipient is newly employed at a job that is expected to be permanent for more than 20 hours a week.

[11] If a parent marries while receiving assistance, the income of his or her new spouse is disregarded for the first six months. The disregard for the new spouse only applies if his or her needs were not previously included in the unit.

[12] The 50 percent disregard is only available once in a lifetime.

[13] If a parent marries while receiving assistance, and the new spouse's gross income (minus any court-ordered child support) is less than 185 percent of the Consolidated Need Standard for the entire assistance unit including the spouse, the unit may choose to include the new spouse in the unit. If the spouse is included, all of his or her income is excluded for eligibility purposes and benefit computation. If he or she is not in the unit, all of the spouse's income and resources are excluded for eligibility and benefit computation.

[14] Once the recipient has received four months (they need not be consecutive) of the 90 percent disregard, he or she is not eligible to receive the disregard again until the TANF case has been denied and remains denied for one full month, and 12 calendar months have passed since the denial. The 12-month ineligibility period begins with the first full month of denial after the client used the fourth month of the 90 percent disregard.

Also, the earnings of a TANF recipient's new spouse are disregarded for six months if the total gross income of the budget group does not exceed 200 percent of the Federal Poverty Level.

[15] To be eligible for the 50 percent disregards, the recipient must have received benefits in at least one of the previous four months.

[16] The disregard varies by family size; for one to four family members, the disregard is $134. For five members, the disregard is $157, and for six or more family members $179 may be disregarded.

[17] Married couples with a child in common may disregard $400.

Resource Limits

PRWORA does not specify the total resource or the vehicle asset levels that States are to use to determine eligibility for families. States have the flexibility to set the resource level to determine eligibility that best meets the needs of their residents (see Table 12:6).

Table 12:6
Asset Limits for Applicants

State	Asset Limit	Vehicle Exemption
Alabama	$2,000/$3,000[1]	All vehicles owned by household
Alaska	$2,000/$3,000[1]	All vehicles owned by household [2]
Arizona	$2,000	All vehicles owned by household [3]
Arkansas	$3,000	One vehicle per household
California	$2,000/$3,000[1]	$4,650[F]/One vehicle per licensed driver[4E]
Colorado	$2,000	One vehicle per household
Connecticut	$3,000	9,500[5E]
Delaware	$1,000	4,650[E]
District of Columbia	$2,000/$3,000[1]	All vehicles owned by household
Florida	$2,000	$8,500[E]
Georgia	$1,000	$1,500/$4,650[6E]
Hawaii	$5,000	All vehicles owned by household
Idaho	$2,000	$4,650[7F]
Illinois	$2,000/$3,000/+50[8]	One vehicle per household[9]
Indiana	$1,000	$5,000[E]
Iowa	$2,000	One vehicle per household[10]
Kansas	$2,000	All vehicles owned by household[11]
Kentucky	$2,000[12]	All vehicles owned by household
Louisiana	$2,000	All vehicles owned by household
Maine	$2,000	One vehicle per household
Maryland	$2,000	All vehicles owned by household
Massachusetts	$2,500	$10,000[E]/$5,000[13F]
Michigan	$3,000	All vehicles owned by household
Minnesota	$2,000[14]	$7,500[15E]
Mississippi	$2,000	All vehicles owned by household[16]
Missouri	$1,000	One vehicle per household[17]
Montana	$3,000	One vehicle per household[18]
Nebraska	$4,000/$6,000[19]	One vehicle per household[20]
Nevada	$2,000	One vehicle per household
New Hampshire	$1,000	One vehicle per licensed driver
New Jersey	$2,000	$9,500[21F]
New Mexico	$3,500[22]	All vehicles owned by household[23]
New York	$2,000/$3,000	$4,650[24F]
North Carolina	$3,000	One vehicle per adult
North Dakota	$3,000/$6,000/+25[25]	One vehicle per household
Ohio	No limit[26]	All vehicles owned by household
Oklahoma	$1,000	5,000[E]
Oregon	$2,500[27]	$10,000[E]
Pennsylvania	$1,000	One vehicle per household[E]

Table 12:6 Asset Limits for Applicants		
State	**Asset Limit**	**Vehicle Exemption**
Rhode Island	$1,000	One vehicle per adult[28]
South Carolina	$2,500	One vehicle per licensed driver[29]
South Dakota	$2,000	One vehicle per household[30]
Tennessee	$2,000	$4,600[E]
Texas	$1,000	$4,650[31F]
Utah	$2,000	$8,000[32E]
Vermont	$1,000	One vehicle per adult
Virginia	No limit[26]	All vehicles owned by household
Washington	$1,000	$5,000[32E]
West Virginia	$2,000	One vehicle per household
Wisconsin	$2,500	$10,000[E]
Wyoming	$2,500	$15,000[33F]

Source: Table I.C.1 Asset limits for applicants, July 2006 from the Urban Institute's Welfare Rules Database, funded by DHHS/ACF and DHHS/ASPE.

[E] Equity value of the vehicle.

[F] Fair market value of the vehicle.

[1] Units including an elderly person may exempt $3,000; all other units exempt $2,000.

[2] Vehicles are exempt if used for one of the following: (1) to meet the family's basic needs, such as getting food and medical care or other essentials; (2) to go to and from work, school, training or work activity (such as job search or community service); (3) as the family's house; (4) to produce self-employment income; or (5) to transport a disabled family member, whether or not they are a part of the assistance unit. If the vehicle does not meet one of these requirements, the equity value of the vehicle is counted in the determination of resources.

[3] Recreational vehicles are not exempt.

[4] Each vehicle must be evaluated for both its equity and fair market value; the higher of the two values counts against the family's asset limit. Before this calculation, all of the following vehicles are completely excluded: (1) used primarily for income producing purposes; (2) produces annual income that is consistent with its fair market value; (3) is necessary for long distance travel that is essential for employment; (4) used as the family's residence; (5) is necessary to transport a physically disabled household member; (6) would be exempt under previously stated exemptions but the vehicle is not in use because of temporary unemployment; (7) used to carry fuel or water to the home and is the primary method of obtaining fuel or water; and (8) the equity value of the vehicle is $1,501 or less. To determine the countable fair market value of each remaining vehicle, exclude $4,650 from the vehicle's fair market value. To determine the countable equity value of each remaining vehicle, exclude one additional vehicle per adult and one additional vehicle per licensed child who uses the vehicle to travel to school, employment, or job search. The full equity value of each remaining vehicle is counted. For each vehicle not completely excluded, the higher of the fair market value or the equity value counts against the family's asset limit.

[5] The unit may exempt $9,500 of the equity value of a vehicle or the entire value of one vehicle used to transport a handicapped person.

[6] If the vehicle is used to look for work, or to travel to work or education and training, the unit may exclude $4,650 of the value. If the vehicle is not used for these purposes, $1,500 of the equity value will be excluded. If the vehicle is used more than 50 percent of the time to produce income or as a dwelling, it is totally excluded.

[7] The value of one specially equipped vehicle used to transport a disabled family member is exempt. Also, all vehicles with a fair market value under $1,500 are exempt.

[8] The asset limit is based on unit size: one person receives $2,000, two people receive $3,000, and three or more people receive another $50 for every additional person.

[9] When there is more than one vehicle, the equity value of the vehicle of greater value is exempt. If a vehicle has special equipment for the disabled, the added value of the special equipment is exempt and does not increase the vehicle's value.

[10] Additionally, $4,435 of the equity value of an additional vehicle is exempt for each adult and working teenager

whose resources must be considered in determining eligibility.

[11] Campers and trailers are also considered excludable vehicles.

[12] Only liquid resources are considered for eligibility determinations. Liquid resources include cash, checking and savings accounts, CDs, stocks and bonds, and money market accounts.

[13] The State compares the value of the vehicle to two standards: $10,000 of the fair market value and $5,000 of the equity value. If the value of the vehicle exceeds either limit, the excess counts towards the asset limit; however, if the value of the vehicle exceeds both limits, only the excess of the greater amount counts toward the asset limit.

[14] The assets of a person on active military duty are not counted.

[15] Note that Minnesota uses the loan value of the vehicle as listed in the current NADA Used Car Guide, Midwest edition instead of the fair market value. The loan value is generally slightly less than the estimated fair market value.

[16] Recreational vehicles (unless used as a home), all terrain vehicles (ATVs), and other off-road vehicles are not exempt. Additionally, industrial vehicles (i.e., heavy haulers, pulpwood trucks, etc.) are exempt as long as they are used for income-producing purposes over 50 percent of the time, or as long as they annually produce income consistent with their fair market value. Determination of whether to count a vehicle is made on a case-by-case basis.

[17] $1,500 of the equity value of the unit's second vehicle is exempt.

[18] All income-producing vehicles or vehicles that are used as a home are also exempt.

[19] The asset limit is based on unit size: one person receives $4,000; two or more people receive $6,000.

[20] The entire vehicle is exempt only if used for employment, training, or medical transportation; any motor vehicle used as a home is also exempt. If a unit has more than one vehicle that meets the exemption criteria, only the vehicle with the greatest equity value will be exempt.

[21] Units with two adults or one adult and a minor child at least 17 years old may exempt up to $4,650 of the fair market value of a second vehicle if it is essential for work, training, or transporting a handicapped individual.

[22] The total limit is $3,500; however, only $1,500 of that amount can be in liquid resources and only $2,000 can be in nonliquid resources. Liquid resources include the (convertible) cash value of life insurance policies, cash, stocks, bonds, negotiable notes, purchase contracts, and other similar assets. Nonliquid resources include non-exempt vehicles, equipment, tools, livestock (with the exception of nonsalable domestic pets), one-time sale asset conversion, and lump-sum payments.

[23] The entire vehicle is exempt only if used for transportation to work, work activities, or daily living requirements. If the vehicle is not used for these purposes, the entire equity value of the vehicle is subject to the asset test.

[24] If the vehicle is needed to seek or retain employment, $9,300 of the vehicle is exempt.

[25] The asset limit is based on unit size: one person receives $3,000, two people receive $6,000, and another $25 is allowed for each additional person thereafter.

[26] The asset test has been eliminated.

[27] There is more than one phase of the application process in Oregon. The asset limit for applicants first applying for TANF is $2,500. If the applicant makes it through the first stage of application, he or she must participate in the "Assessment Program" in which he or she is assessed and given a case plan to follow. If the applicant does not follow the case plan, he or she maintains the $2,500 asset limit as long as he or she is in the Assessment Program. If the applicant complies with the case plan, he or she is allowed a $10,000 asset limit.

[28] Exemptions for adult drivers cannot exceed two vehicles per household. Additionally, the entire value of a vehicle used primarily for income producing purposes, that is used as a family home, or that is used to provide transportation for a disabled family member is exempt.

[29] Vehicles owned by or used to transport disabled individuals, essential to self-employment, income-producing vehicles, and vehicles used as a home are also exempt.

Benefits

States are free to set the benefit levels that apply under their TANF programs. Many States have modified their benefit levels since 1996, and changes to State benefit levels are shown below in Table 12:7.

Table 12:7
Maximum Monthly Benefit for a Family of Three with No Income

State	1996	1999	2003	2006
Alabama	$164	$164	$215	$215
Alaska	$923	$923	$923	$923
Arizona	$347	$347	$347	$347
Arkansas	$204	$204	$204	$204
California	$596			
Nonexempt		$626	$704	$704
Exempt		$699	$786	$786
Colorado	$356	$356	$356	$356
Connecticut	$543	$543	$543	$543
Delaware	$338	$338	$338	$338
District of Columbia	$415	$379	$379	$407
Florida	$303	$303	$303	$303
Georgia	$280	$280	$280	$280
Hawaii	$712	$570[1]	$570[1]	$570[1]
Idaho	$317	$276	$309	$309
Illinois	$377	$377	$396	$396
Indiana	$288	$288	$288	$288
Iowa	$426	$426	$426	$426
Kansas	$429	$429	$429	$429
Kentucky	$262	$262	$262	$262
Louisiana	$190	$190	$240	$240
Maine	$418	$461	$485	$485
Maryland	$373	$399	$473	$490
Massachusetts				
Exempt	$579	$579	$633	$633
Nonexempt	$565	$565	$618	$618
Michigan	$459	$459	$459[2]	$489
Minnesota	$532	$532	$532	$532
Mississippi	$120	$170	$170	$170
Missouri	$292	$292	$292	$292
Montana	$425	$469	$507	$442
Nebraska	$364	$364	$364	$364
Nevada	$348	$348	$348	$348
New Hampshire	$550	$550	$625	$625
New Jersey	$424	$424	$424	$424
New Mexico	$389	$439	$389	$389
New York	$577	$577	$577	$691
North Carolina	$272	$272	$272	$272
North Dakota	$431	$457	$477	$477

Table 12:7
Maximum Monthly Benefit for a Family of Three with No Income

State	1996	1999	2003	2006
Ohio	$341	$362	$373	$410
Oklahoma	$307	$292	$292	$292
Oregon	$460	$503	$503	$514
Pennsylvania	$403	$403	$403	$403
Rhode Island	$554	$554	$554	$554
South Carolina	$200	$201	$205	$240
South Dakota	$430	$430	$483	$508
Tennessee	$185	$185[3]	$185[3]	$185[3]
Texas	$188	$188	$213	$223
Utah	$426	$451	$474	$474
Vermont	$597	$622	$639	$640
Virginia	$291	$291	$320	$320
Washington	$546	$546	$546	$546
West Virginia	$253	$303	$453	$340
Wisconsin				
W-2 Transition		$628	$628	$628
Community Service Jobs		$673	$673	$673
Trial Jobs/Unsubsidized Employment		----[4]	----[4]	----[4]
Wyoming	$360	$340	$340	$340

Source: Table L5 Maximum Monthly Benefit for a Family of Three with No Income, 1996-2006 (July) from the Urban Institute's Welfare Rules Database, funded by DHHS/ACF and DHHS/ASPE.

Note: Maximum benefits are calculated assuming that the unit contains one adult and two children who are not subject to a family cap, has no special needs, pays for shelter, and lives in the most populated area of the State.

[1] Applies to units that have received assistance for two or more months in a lifetime. For units applying for their first or second months of benefits, the maximum monthly benefit for a family of three is $712.

[2] Applies to units that have at least one employable adult. For units where all adults either receive SSI or are exempt from work requirements for reasons other than caring for a child under three months old, the maximum monthly benefit for a family of three is $477.

[3] For units where the caretaker is over 60, disabled, caring full-time for a disabled family member, or excluded from the assistance unit, the maximum monthly benefit for a family of three is $232.

[4] The benefits in these components are based on the wages earned by individual recipients.

[5] The calculations only include one value per State (the policy affecting the largest percent of the caseload).

Work Requirements

Under TANF, parents or caretakers must engage in work (as defined by the State) when determined ready, or no later than 24 months, whichever is earlier. States have the option to exempt single parents with children up to one year of age from work requirements, and to disregard them from the calculation of the work participation rates for a cumulative lifetime total of 12 months. States have the flexibility to provide exemptions to other families. However, all other families with an adult or minor head of household are included in the State's participation rate calculations. State policies regarding work are listed in Table 12:8 below.

Table 12:8
Work-Related Activity Requirements for Single-Parent Head of Unit

State	Timing of requirement to benefit receipt	Allowable activities listed	Minimum hour requirement	Limit on hours allowed for education and training
Alabama	Immediately	All	32[3]	7[4]
Alaska	Immediately	All	30	—
Arizona				—
All, except JOBSTART	Immediately	Job-related, E&T, and CWEP	Case-by-case basis	—
JOBSTART	Immediately	Subsidized employment	40	—
Arkansas	Immediately	All	30	—
California	Immediately	All[5]	32	—[6]
Colorado[7]	*	All[5]	22	—
Connecticut	Immediately	All except postsecondary education and subsidized employment	Case-by-case basis	—
Delaware	Immediately	Education, Job-related and CWEP[8]	Case-by-case basis[8]	5
District of Columbia	Immediately	All	30[3]	10[9]
Florida	Immediately	All except postsecondary education	30[10]	10
Georgia	Immediately	All	30[11]	10
Hawaii	Immediately	All except postsecondary education	32	—
Idaho	Immediately	All except postsecondary education[12]	30	—
Illinois	After assessment	All	30	—
Indiana	Immediately	All except postsecondary education	Case-by-case basis	—
Iowa	Immediately	All except subsidized employment	Full-time employment[13]	—
Kansas	Immediately	All	30	10
Kentucky	Immediately	All	30	10
Louisiana	Immediately	All[5]	30	10

Table 12:8
Work-Related Activity Requirements for Single-Parent Head of Unit

State	Timing of requirement to benefit receipt	Allowable activities listed	Minimum hour requirement	Limit on hours allowed for education and training
Maine	Immediately	All	30[3]	10[14]
Maryland	Immediately	All	40	16
Massachusetts				
Exempt[15]	—	—	—	—
Nonexempt	60 days	All	24[16]	—
Michigan	Immediately	All	40	10[17]
Minnesota	Immediately	All	30[3]	10[18]
Mississippi	24 months	All except subsidized employment	30[19]	5
Missouri	24 months	All	30[3]	—
Montana	Immediately	All except subsidized employment	30	10
Nebraska				
Time limited assistance	Immediately	All	30	—
Non-time limited assistance	Immediately	Job-related	20	—
Nevada	Immediately	All	30[3]	10[9]
New Hampshire				
New Hampshire Employment Program	Immediately	All except subsidized employment[5]	25[20]	—
Family Assistance Program[15]	—	—	—	—
New Jersey	Immediately	All	40	—
New Mexico				
New Mexico Works Program	3 months after approval	All	34[21]	In excess of 20 hours
Educational Works Program	*	All[22]	20	—
New York				
	30 days after orientation	High school not complete: E&T	Full-time as defined by school	—
	30 days after orientation	High school complete: All	30[3]	10[14]
North Carolina	12 weeks	All	35[3]	15[14]
North Dakota	Immediately	All	Case-by-case basis	—
Ohio	Immediately	All	20	—
Oklahoma	Immediately	All except postsecondary education	30	—
Oregon				
JOBS	Immediately	All except subsidized employment[5]	Case-by-case basis	—
JOBS Plus[23]	*	E&T and employment	40	—
Pennsylvania	Immediately	All except postsecondary	20	—

Table 12:8
Work-Related Activity Requirements for Single-Parent Head of Unit

State	Timing of requirement to benefit receipt	Allowable activities listed	Minimum hour requirement	Limit on hours allowed for education and training
		education[5]		
Rhode Island	Immediately	All[24]	30[3]	—
South Carolina				—
All, except STAR	Immediately	All except subsidized employment	30[3]	—
STAR (A)	Immediately	All	Case-by-case basis	—
STAR (B and C)[15]	—	—	—	—
South Dakota	Immediately	All	30[3]	10[25]
Tennessee	After assessment	All except subsidized employment	40	20
Texas	After orientation	All[5]	30[3]	10[9]
Utah	Immediately	All except subsidized employment	Case-by-case basis	—
Vermont	Immediately	All[5]	30[3]	—
Virginia				—
VIEW	Immediately	All	30	—
All except VIEW	—	—	—	—
Washington	Immediately	All	32	—
West Virginia	24 months	All	30[3]	—
Wisconsin				—
W-2 Transition	After assessment	Job-related, E&T, and community service	40	12
Unsubsidized Employment	After assessment[26]	Job-related and employment	40	—
Trial Jobs	After assessment	Subsidized employment	40	—
Community Service Jobs	After assessment	Job-related and E&T	40	10
Wyoming	Immediately	All	30[27]	In excess of 20 hours

Source: Table III.B.2 Work-Related Activity Requirements for Single-Parent Head of Unit from the Urban Institute's Welfare Rules Database, funded by DHHS/ACF and DHHS/ASPE.

[1] The table contains the activity requirements for single-parent recipients 21 years old or older.

[2] All possible activities include: (a) Job-related activities include one or more of the following: job skills training, job readiness activities, job development and placement, job search (b) Education and training (E&T) activities include one or more of the following: basic or remedial education, high school/GED, English as a second language, postsecondary education, on-the-job training (c) Employment activities include one or more of the following: unsubsidized job, work supplement/subsidized job, CWEP/AWEP, community service.

[3] The hours apply to recipients with children age six or older. Recipients with children under six years old are required to work 20 hours.

[4] Generally, recipients are required to participate in either job-related or employment activities for at least 25 hours per week. However, on a case-by-case basis, the caseworker may determine that educational activities are necessary for a recipient to overcome barriers to employment. In these cases, the limit on the number of hours

that may be spent in education may be waived.

[5] According to the State manuals, recipients move from one set of activities to another after a set period of time. Generally, they begin with job-related activities and end with employment; see the WRD for details.

[6] Non-exempt recipients who are already enrolled in an undergraduate program can participate in education for their entire requirement.

[7] Counties have the option to vary their activity requirements. These policies refer to Denver County.

[8] The hours requirement is 10 hours a week of job search plus participation in CWEP up to the number of hours equal to the benefit amount divided by the minimum wage. If the recipient is working 20 hours or more a week in an unsubsidized job, no additional work requirements apply.

[9] These hours apply to recipients with children age six or older. Recipients with children under six years old must spend all required hours in non-education related activities.

[10] On-the-job training and work supplementation require a full-time (32 to 40 hour) commitment.

[11] When the agency determines it possible, the recipient must participate for a minimum of 40 hours a week.

[12] Recipients with children under the age of 12 weeks are only required to participate in life skills training.

[13] Participation must be either equivalent to the level of commitment required for full-time employment or deemed significant enough to move the recipient toward the level of full-time employment.

[14] These hours apply to recipients with children age six or older. The number of hours which may be spent in education and training is not capped for parents of children less than six years old.

[15] Recipients in this component are not required to participate in work activities (see Appendix 1 for a description of components).

[16] This requirement applies to parents whose youngest child is between mandatory school age and nine years old. If the youngest child in the assistance unit is at least nine years old, 30 hours per week are required. If the youngest child is between age two and mandatory full-time school age, 20 hours per week are required. (Parents with children less than two years old are placed in the Exempt component and do not have work requirements).

[17] High School and GED education is available for up to 10 hours per week if the remaining participation hours come from unsubsidized employment. Post-secondary education or training is available for 10 hours if at least 10 hours per week is spent in unsubsidized employment. Otherwise, participation in educational activities is limited to five hours per week.

[18] To be eligible for education and training, the recipient must be working at least 20 hours per week in unsubsidized employment.

[19] The hours apply to recipients with children age six or older. Recipients with children under age six are required to work 20 hours. An individual must participate in educational programs (including vocational training) full time as defined by the school, and in job search/job readiness (combined) for 40 hours a week.

[20] The hours apply to recipients with children age six or older. Recipients with children under six years old are required to work 20 hours. Beginning in month 40, the hours requirement is increased to 30 hours a week for all recipients with children age six or older.

[21] Recipients with children under age six may not be required to work more than 24 hours a week.

[22] Educational Works Program activities are focused on education and training; however, with program approval, participants may also participate in any other activity relevant to their education and pursuant to the New Mexico Works Cash Assistance Program.

[23] Recipients volunteer for the JOBS Plus program. This program provides on-the-job training, while paying benefits as wages from a work-site assignment.

[24] For the first 24 months of benefit receipt, recipients may spend all required activity hours in education and/or training. For parents with children under the age of six, this is 20 hours. After 24 months of assistance, educational activities no longer count towards the participation requirement. According to the State manuals, recipients move from one set of activities to another after a set period of time. Generally, they begin with job-related activities and end with employment; see the WRD for details.

[25] These hours apply to recipients with children age six or older. The number of hours which may be spent in education and training is not capped for parents of children less than six years old. Individuals who have earned a high school diploma may participate in postsecondary education for up to 15 hours per week.

[26] Recipients participating in unsubsidized jobs receive wages and are ineligible for a cash benefit.

[27] The State stressed that recipients are required to work 40 hours a week, but in cases where the recipient is unable to work the full 40 hours, caseworkers can scale back the number of hours to a minimum of 30 hours per week. For recipients with children under age six, the minimum is 20 hours per week.

States Claiming Continuing Waiver Inconsistencies with Respect to Work Requirements

A State may have received a waiver to modify its work requirements under the former Aid to Families with Dependent Children program (AFDC) program. To the extent that the Federal TANF work requirements are inconsistent with the State's waiver work requirements, the State may be allowed to follow its approved waiver policy rather than the Federal TANF policy, until expiration of the waiver. The TANF final rules required States to file a certification with HHS by October 1, 1999 if they intended to follow inconsistent waiver policies (see Table 12:9). The following States had a waiver in effect during FY 2004, FY 2005, or FY 2006.

Table 12:9
Work-Related Waivers

State	Waiver Duration	Waiver Content
Hawaii	September 30, 2004	Work Participation Rate (Exemption) and (Hours--subset of Cases), JOBS, Additional Job Search, Education, All Hours
Massachusetts	September 30, 2005	Sanctions, Work Participation Rate (Exemption) and (Hours), JOBS, Job Search, Education, All Hours
Montana	December 31, 2003	Sanctions (subset of cases, JOBS, Job Search, Education, All Hours (subset of cases)
Ohio	December 31, 2003	Sanctions and Work Participation Rate (Exemption)--All Waiver Conditions Limited to Pregnant and Parenting Teens
Tennessee	June 30, 2007	Work Participation Rate (Exemption) and (Hours--subset of cases), Additional Job Search, Education, All Hours

Other Provisions

Sanction Policies

If an individual in a family receiving assistance refuses to engage in required work, a State has the option to either reduce or terminate the amount of assistance payable to the family, subject to good cause (see Table 12:11). (For subsequent sanctions, many progress to full-family sanctions).

Table 12:11
Sanction Policies for Noncompliance with Work Requirements for Single-Parent Head of Unit

State	Initial Sanction:		Most Severe Sanction:	
	Reduction in benefit	**Length of sanction (months)**	**Reduction in benefit**	**Length of sanction (months)**
Alabama	50%[1]	3 months[+1]	Entire benefit	12 months
Alaska	40% of the maximum payment	4 months[+]	Case is closed	Must reapply
Arizona	25%	1 month	Entire benefit	1 month[+]
Arkansas	25%	Until in compliance for 2 weeks	Case is closed[2]	Until in compliance for 2 weeks
California	Adult portion of benefit	Until compliance	Adult portion of benefit	6 months[+]
Colorado[3]	25%	1 month	Entire benefit	3 months[+]
Connecticut	25%	3 months[+]	Case is closed	3 months and must reapply
Delaware	33.3%	Until compliance or 2 months (whichever is shorter)	Entire benefit	Permanent
District of Columbia	Adult portion of benefit	Until compliance	Adult portion of benefit	6 months[+]
Florida	Entire benefit	10 days[+]	Entire benefit	3 months[+4]
Georgia	25%	3 months	Entire benefit	12 months and must reapply
Hawaii	Entire benefit	Until compliance	Entire benefit	3 months[+]
Idaho	Entire benefit	1 month[+]	Entire benefit	Permanent
Illinois	50%[5]	Until compliance	Entire benefit	3 months[+]
Indiana	Adult portion of benefit	Until compliance or 2 months (whichever is shorter)	Case is closed	Until compliance
Iowa	Entire benefit	Must reapply	Entire benefit	6 months[+6]
Kansas	Entire benefit	Until compliance	Entire benefit	2 months[+]
Kentucky	Pro rata portion of benefit	Until compliance[7]	Entire benefit	Until compliance
Louisiana	Case is closed	1 month[+]	Case is closed	3 months[+]
Maine	Adult portion of benefit	Until compliance	Adult portion of benefit	6 months[+]
Maryland	Entire benefit	Until compliance	Entire benefit	Until in compliance for 30 days

Table 12:11
Sanction Policies for Noncompliance with Work Requirements for Single-Parent Head of Unit

State	Initial Sanction:		Most Severe Sanction:	
	Reduction in benefit	Length of sanction (months)	Reduction in benefit	Length of sanction (months)
Massachusetts				
Exempt[8]	—	—	—	—
Nonexempt	None[9]	None[9]	Entire benefit	Until in compliance for 2 weeks
Michigan	Entire benefit	1 month+	Entire benefit	1 month+
Minnesota	10% of the Transitional Standard	1 month+	Case is closed	1 month+
Mississippi	Entire benefit	2 months+	Entire benefit	Permanent
Missouri	25%	Until compliance	25%	3 months+
Montana	Adult portion of benefit[10]	1 month	Case is closed	1 month
Nebraska	Entire benefit	1 month+	Entire benefit	12 months or the remainder of 48 months (whichever is shorter)
Nevada	Entire benefit	Until compliance	Entire benefit	Until compliance
New Hampshire				
New Hampshire Employment Program	Adult portion of benefit	1 payment period+	66% of adjusted Payment Standard[11]	1 payment period+
Family Assistance Program[8]	—	—	—	—
New Jersey	Pro rata portion of benefit[12]	1 month+	Case is closed[12]	3 months+
New Mexico				
New Mexico Works Program	25%	Until compliance	Case is closed	6 months+
Educational Works Program	None[13]	None[13]	Participation is terminated[14]	*
New York	Pro rata portion of benefit	Until compliance	Pro rata portion of benefit	6 month+
North Carolina	Entire benefit	1 month+	Case is closed[12]	Must reapply
North Dakota	Adult portion of benefit[15]	Until compliance	Case is closed[15]	12 months
Ohio	Entire benefit	1 month+	Case is closed	6 months+
Oklahoma	Entire benefit	Until compliance	Entire benefit	Until compliance
Oregon	$50	Until compliance or 2 months (whichever is shorter)	Entire benefit	Until compliance
Pennsylvania	Adult portion of benefit[16]	30 days+	Entire benefit[17]	Permanent
Rhode Island	Adult portion of benefit[18]	Until in compliance for 2 weeks	Entire benefit	Until in compliance for 2 weeks
South Carolina				

Table 12:11
Sanction Policies for Noncompliance with Work Requirements for Single-Parent Head of Unit

State	Initial Sanction:		Most Severe Sanction:	
	Reduction in benefit	**Length of sanction (months)**	**Reduction in benefit**	**Length of sanction (months)**
All, except STAR	Case is closed	Must reapply and comply for 30 days	Case is closed	Must reapply and comply for one month
STAR (A)	Adult portion of benefit	Until compliance	Adult portion of benefit	Until compliance
STAR (B and C)[8]	—	—	—	—
South Dakota	None[9]	None[9]	Case is closed	1 month[+] and must reapply
Tennessee	Entire benefit	Until in compliance for 2 weeks	Entire benefit	3 months[+]
Texas	Entire benefit	1 month[+]	Case is closed	Must reapply and comply for 30 days
Utah	$100 [19]	Until compliance	Entire benefit[20]	Until compliance
Vermont	$75	Until in compliance for 2 weeks	$225	Until in compliance for 2 weeks
Virginia VIEW	Entire benefit	1 month[+]	Entire benefit	6 months[+]
All, except VIEW[8]	—	—	—	—
Washington	Adult portion of benefit or 40% (whichever is greater)	Until in compliance for 4 weeks	Adult portion of benefit or 40% (whichever is greater)	Until in compliance for 4 weeks[21]
West Virginia	33.3%	3 months	Entire benefit	3 months
Wisconsin W-2 Transition and Community Service Jobs	Minimum wage times the number of hours of nonparticipation	Until compliance	Entire benefit	Permanent[22]
Unsubsidized Employment	—	—	—	—
Trial Jobs	Decrease in wages[23]	Until compliance[23]	Entire earnings	Permanent[22]
Wyoming	Entire benefit	Until compliance	Entire benefit	Until compliance

Source: Table III.B.3 Sanction Policies for Noncompliance with Work Requirements for Single-Parent Head of Unit, July 2006 from the Urban Institute's Welfare Rules Database, funded by DHHS/ACF and DHHS/ASPE.
Note: "Adult portion of benefit" describes the portion of the benefit the sanctioned individual would have received. Since the table only represents sanctions for single-parent adults, in all cases the sanctioned individual is an adult.
[+] The unit is sanctioned for the specified number of months or until the sanctioned individual complies with the activity requirements, whichever is longer.
* Data not obtained.
[1] This sanction applies to noncompliance that occurs during the first 24 months of assistance. For noncompliances that occur after the first 24 months, the entire unit is ineligible for benefits for one month.
[2] For the seventh and subsequent months of noncompliance, the caseworker has discretion to either reduce the unit's benefits by 50 percent or close the case. If the case is closed, the unit may reapply for their full benefits, but the

application will be pending until the unit has complied with requirements for two weeks.
[3] Counties have the option to determine the amount and duration of sanctions. These policies refer to Denver County.
[4] Cash assistance may still be provided to the children in the unit who are under age 16; these benefits are issued to a protective payee.
[5] If noncompliance continues after three months of reduced benefits, the entire unit is ineligible for benefits until compliance.
[6] The sanctioned parent must also sign a family investment agreement and complete 20 hours of eligible education or work activities to become eligible again. The sanction continues until the parent fully complies.
[7] A caseworker's judgment may be used to determine whether the unit must be in compliance for two weeks before regaining benefits.
[8] Recipients in this component are not required to participate in work activities; therefore, they have no sanctions (see Appendix 1 for a description of components).
[9] The initial sanction does not reduce benefits. Recipients are given a written warning detailing the consequences of subsequent failures to comply.
[10] If noncompliance continues for more than a month after the end of the sanction period, the case is closed for noncompliance. The unit may reapply for benefits after a one-month period of ineligibility.
[11] The adjusted Payment Standard refers to the new benefit amount once the adult portion is removed. Additionally, the recipient must participate in an assessment of barriers to participation. If barriers are found, the recipient's training and support program is revised accordingly. If no barriers are found, financial assistance is terminated for the entire unit.
[12] If noncompliance continues after three months, the case is closed and the unit must reapply for further assistance.
[13] The individual is placed on probationary status for the following school term to improve GPA or meet the educational institution's standards.
[14] At the end of the probationary period, if standards have not been met or an overall GPA of 2.5 has not been achieved, the department may take action to terminate an individual's participation in the Educational Works Program.
[15] If the adult is noncompliant for one month or less, only the adult portion of the benefit is removed. If noncompliance continues after one month of reduced benefits, the case is closed.
[16] This sanction applies to noncompliance that occurs during the first 24 months of assistance. For noncompliances that occur after the first 24 months, the entire unit is ineligible for benefits for thirty days or until compliance, whichever is longer.
[17] This sanction applies to noncompliance that occurs after the first 24 months of assistance. For noncompliances that occur within the first 24 months of assistance, the needs of the sanctioned individual are permanently excluded for benefit calculation.
[18] In a two-person unit, the adult portion is equal to the difference between the benefit a family of three would have received and the benefit the two-person family actually received. For all other family sizes, the adult portion is computed normally, using the difference between the family's current monthly benefit and the monthly benefit for a family size excluding the sanctioned adult.
[19] If noncompliance continues after one month of reduced benefits, the entire unit is ineligible for benefits until compliance.
[20] The entire unit is ineligible if the adult is in noncompliance for two or more months. If the adult is noncompliant for less than two months, only $100 of the benefit is removed.
[21] The sanction remains in effect until the individual is compliant for four weeks; after four weeks of compliance, benefits are restored to their pre-sanction level and the individual is paid retroactively for the four weeks of compliance.
[22] If a recipient refuses to participate in an activity for the third time, the unit is ineligible to receive benefits in that component for life. The unit may receive benefits again if it becomes eligible for a different component (see Appendix 1 for a description of components).
[23] If a recipient has an unplanned and/or unexcused absence, the Trial Jobs employer has the discretion to decrease the recipient's wages. If a Trial Jobs recipient completely refuses to participate in the Trial Jobs component, he or she receives two warnings from the TANF agency and then becomes ineligible for the Trial Jobs component for life.

Diversion Payments

The majority of States now offer applicant diversion assistance to families as an alternative to ongoing TANF assistance. Generally, this assistance comes in the form of benefit payments designed to provide short-term financial assistance to meet critical needs in order to secure or retain employment.

Typically, States provide several months of benefits in one lump sum. A few States provide a flat amount. By accepting the diversion payment, the family generally agrees not to re-apply for cash assistance for a specified period of time, e.g., receipt of a diversion payment equal to three months of benefits results in family agreeing to not re-apply for benefits for three months. A number of diversion programs provide applicant job search, other services, and/or referral to alternative assistance programs. (Table 12:12 highlights what TANF diversion programs the States administer).

Table 12:12
Formal Diversion Payments

State	Diversion program	Maximum diversion payment[1]	Form of payment	How often recipient can receive maximum payment	Period of TANF ineligibility without penalty after payment	Payment counts toward the time limit
Alabama	No	—	—	—	—	—
Alaska	Yes	3 months[2]	Vendor or cash payment	Four times in a lifetime, but no more than once every 12 months	3 months[3]	No
Arizona	Yes[4]	3 months	Cash payment	Once every 12 months	3 months[5]	No
Arkansas	Yes	3 months	Cash loan[6]	Once in a lifetime	100 days	No[6]
California[7]	Yes[8]	Varies[9]	Cash payment or services[10]	As often as needed, up to $4,000 annual and $10,000 lifetime	Immediately eligible	Varies[11]
Colorado[12]	Yes	$1,000 [13]	Vendor or cash payment	Twice in a lifetime[14]	Determined by caseworker and client	No[15]
Connecticut	Yes	3 months	Cash payment	Three times in a lifetime, but no more than once every 12 months	3 months	Yes
Delaware	Yes[16]	$1,500	Vendor payment	Once every 12 months	Varies[17]	No
District of Columbia	Yes[18]	3 months	Vendor or cash payment	Once every 12 months	Diversion payment divided by the monthly benefit the unit would receive	No
Florida	Yes[19]	Varies[19]	Cash payment	Varies[19]	Varies[19]	Varies[19]
Georgia	Yes[20]	4 months	Cash payment	Once in a lifetime	12 months	No[21]

Table 12:12
Formal Diversion Payments

State	Diversion program	Maximum diversion payment[1]	Form of payment	How often recipient can receive maximum payment	Period of TANF ineligibility without penalty after payment	Payment counts toward the time limit
Hawaii	Yes	8 months	Cash payment	Once in 60 months	Varies[22]	No
Idaho	Yes	3 months[23]	Cash payment	Once in a lifetime	Twice the number of months included in the payment	Yes
Illinois	Yes[24]	Varies[25]	Cash payment	Once in a lifetime	Determined by caseworker	No
Indiana	No	—	—	—	—	—
Iowa	Yes[26]	$2,000	Vendor payment	Once every 12 months[27]	Twice the number of days included in the payment[28]	No
Kansas	No	—	—	—	—	—
Kentucky	Yes	$1,300	Vendor payment	Twice in a lifetime, but no more than once every 24 months	12 months	No
Louisiana	No[29]	—	—	—	—	—
Maine	Yes[30]	3 months	Vendor payment	Once in a lifetime	3 months[31]	No
Maryland	Yes	3 months	Cash payment	As often as needed	The number of months included in the payment	No
Massachusetts	No	—	—	—	—	—
Michigan	No	—	—	—	—	—
Minnesota	Yes[32]	Varies[33]	Vendor and cash payments	Once every 12 months	4 months[34]	No
Mississippi	No	—	—	—	—	—
Missouri	No	—	—	—	—	—
Montana	No	—	—	—	—	—
Nebraska	No	—	—	—	—	—
Nevada	No	—	—	—	—	—
New Hampshire	No	—	—	—	—	—
New Jersey	Yes[35]	$1,550 [36]	Cash payment	As often as needed[36]	Immediately eligible[37]	No
New Mexico	Yes[38]	$1,500	Cash payment	Twice in a lifetime	12 months[39]	No
New York	Yes[40]	Varies[25]	Vendor or cash payment[25]	Once in a lifetime	Immediately eligible	No
North Carolina	Yes	3 months	Cash payment	Once every 12 months	Immediately eligible	No
North Dakota	No	—	—	—	—	—
Ohio	No	—	—	—	—	—
Oklahoma	Yes[38]	3 months	Vendor payment	Once in a lifetime	12 months	No
Oregon	No	—	—	—	—	—
Pennsylvania	Yes[41]	3 months	Cash payment	Once every 12 months	Immediately eligible	No
Rhode Island	Yes[42]	3 months	Cash payment	Once in a lifetime	6 months[43]	No
South	No	—	—	—	—	—

Table 12:12 Formal Diversion Payments						
State	**Diversion program**	**Maximum diversion payment[1]**	**Form of payment**	**How often recipient can receive maximum payment**	**Period of TANF ineligibility without penalty after payment**	**Payment counts toward the time limit**
Carolina						
South Dakota	Yes	2 months	Vendor or cash payment	As often as needed[44]	3 months[5]	No
Tennessee	No	—	—	—	—	—
Texas	Yes[45]	$1,000	Cash payment	Once every 12 months	12 months	No
Utah	Yes	3 months	Cash payment	As often as needed	3 months[5]	Yes[46]
Vermont	No	—	—	—	—	—
Virginia	Yes	4 months	Vendor or cash payment	Once every 60 months	160 days	No
Washington	Yes	$1,500	Cash payment	Once every 12 months	12 months[47]	No
West Virginia	Yes	3 months	Cash payment	Once in a lifetime	3 months	No[48]
Wisconsin	Yes[49]	$1,600	Cash loan	Once every 12 months[50]	Immediately eligible	No
Wyoming	No	—	—	—	—	—

Source: Table I.A.1 Formal Diversion Payments, July 2006 from the Urban Institute's Welfare Rules Database, funded by DHHS/ACF and DHHS/ASPE.

[1] The Maximum Diversion Payment is either a flat payment, regardless of the family's size and the State's maximum benefit (represented in the table by a dollar amount), or a multiple of the maximum benefit the family would have received if it were receiving monthly TANF benefits (represented in the table by a number of months of benefits the family could receive). Note that if the State provides diversion payments based on a multiple of the maximum benefit, the amount will vary by the family size and the generosity of the State's maximum benefits.

[2] Additional diversion assistance can be issued if unexpected circumstances arise after the initial diversion payment has been issued. To qualify, the family must not have received the full amount of diversion for which they were initially eligible. If so, supplemental payments may be made to meet the additional short-term needs up to the total remaining balance.

[3] The entire payment is prorated over three months and counted as income if the unit applies for benefits within three months of receiving a payment.

[4] To be eligible, applicants must be attempting to obtain employment or have an offer of employment. Applicants must also have a short-term verified financial need that is a barrier to achieving self-sufficiency, such as needing car repairs, child care, work clothes, overdue housing expenses, or transportation assistance. Once assistance is approved, all child support payments received on behalf of the children in the unit are passed through to the unit during the diversion period.

[5] If the unit applies for benefits during the three-month ineligibility period, the unit must repay the diversion payment. The payment will be prorated over a three-month period and the amount of the repayment will be deducted from the unit's monthly assistance payment.

[6] The diversion payment is considered a loan; therefore the recipient must pay back any amount borrowed. Any amount paid back will not count toward the time limit; however, if all or a portion of the amount has not been repaid, the months will count.

[7] Counties have the option to vary their diversion programs. These policies refer to Los Angeles County.

[8] Diversion assistance is only offered to applicants.

[9] The maximum diversion cash payment is the greater of $2,000 or three times the Maximum Aid Payment for the family size. In cases where an applicant has a one-time expense that exceeds the standard maximum diversion payment, payments up to $4,000 may be issued if necessary to retain self-sufficiency.

[10] Diversion services may be made in the form of cash, vendor, or non-cash services. Diversion has been used to

provide payments and services for child and dependent care, clothing, housing deposit, medical expenses, work supports pending receipt of employment income, tools or other items for employment, transportation, payments for automobile repairs, and payment of utility bills.

[11] If the unit applies for monthly TANF benefits after the diversion period (diversion amount divided by the Maximum Aid Payment) ends, the State counts one month toward the time limit. If the unit applies during the diversion period, it can choose to count the diversion payment toward the time limit, or repay the diversion amount at a rate of 10 percent of the monthly benefit each month until the diversion is repaid. The number of months counted toward the 60-month time limit is calculated by dividing the total diversion payment by the Maximum Aid Payment for the apparently eligible assistance unit at the time the diversion payment was made. The month(s) resulting from the calculation less any partial month, is (are) counted toward the 60-month time limit.

[12] Counties have the option to vary their diversion programs. These policies refer to Denver County.

[13] If assistance greater than $1,000 is requested, it must be approved by a designated staffing team. If an individual is seeking employment and training services through the mayor's Office of Workforce Development, there will be no limit to the amount of money issued.

[14] If an individual is seeking employment and training services through the mayor's Office of Workforce Development, there will be no limit to the number of diversion applications approved.

[15] If the payment is intended to cover greater than 120 days worth of need, the additional time counts towards the time limit. If an individual is seeking employment and training services through the mayor's Office of Workforce Development, there will be no time frame for how long services can be provided.

[16] The State's diversion program is related to retaining or obtaining employment and is only for parents living with natural or adopted children.

[17] The period of ineligibility depends on the amount of the diversion payment. Units receiving $1-500.99 are ineligible for one month, units receiving $501-1,000.99 are ineligible for two months, and units receiving $1,001-$1,500 are ineligible for three months.

[18] If a TANF applicant is qualified for diversion but did not apply, a case worker will discuss diversion with the applicant, and if appropriate, recommend it over TANF.

[19] Florida has three separate diversion programs. An assistance unit may receive a one-time payment of up to $1,000 in Up-Front Diversion or Relocation Assistance, up to the amount needed to relocate, or a one-time $1,000 payment of Cash Severance Diversion. The unit is ineligible to receive assistance for three months after receiving Up-Front Diversion and for six months after receiving Relocation Assistance or Cash Severance Diversion. Up-Front Assistance is for individuals in need of assistance due to unexpected circumstances or emergency situations. Relocation Assistance is available for individuals who reside in an area with limited employment opportunities and experience one of the following: geographic isolation, formidable transportation barriers, isolation from extended family, or domestic violence that threatens the ability of a parent to maintain self-sufficiency. Cash Severance Diversion is available to TANF recipients if they meet the following criteria: are employed and receiving earnings; are able to verify their earnings; will remain employed for at least six months; have received cash assistance for at least six consecutive months since October 1996; and are eligible for at least one more month of TANF. Up-Front Diversion and Relocation Assistance do not count toward time limits. Cash Severance Diversion does not count toward time limits if the payment is made in a month in which the unit also receives a TANF payment. If the payment is made in a month in which the unit does not receive a TANF payment, the Cash Severance Diversion payment counts as a month toward the time limit.

[20] To receive diversion assistance, a participant must either have a full-time job, be on unpaid leave due to temporary illness (under four months) and meet the gross income test, or s/he must be employed, eligible for less than the maximum amount of cash assistance and decline the assistance the applicant is eligible for.

[21] Payments do not count against the time limit, unless the recipient applies for TANF during the 12-month ineligibility period. If the recipient receives a TANF payment, the diversion payment counts against the time limit. The unit can reapply and receive TANF during the period of ineligibility if they lost their job through no fault of their own and intensive job search does not yield a job. If they do receive TANF, they lose 4 months towards their lifetime limit.

[22] The period of ineligibility depends on the amount of the diversion payment. Units receiving a payment equaling three months of benefits are ineligible for five consecutive months, units receiving a payment equaling six months of benefits are ineligible for nine consecutive months, and units receiving a payment equaling eight months of benefits are ineligible for twelve consecutive months.

[23] All of the unit's income is disregarded for benefit computation, so it will always receive three times the Maximum Benefit.

[24] An applicant who has found a job that will make him or her ineligible for cash assistance or who wants to accept a

job and withdraw his or her application for assistance is eligible for a one-time payment in order to begin or maintain employment.

[25] The type and amount of the payment is determined on a case-by-case basis and is dependent upon the needs of the applicant.

[26] Applicants must either be in danger of losing employment or have evidence of barriers to accepting a verified offer of employment. Also, countable income must be at or below 200 percent of poverty.

[27] Additional benefits may be available to an individual who has already received diversion funds if (1) the individual has not already received the $2,000 maximum allowed in the program period; (2) the individual is still in the period of TANF ineligibility; (3) the individual is employed at the time; and (4) the expense is for an unforeseen job-related expense.

[28] Iowa calculates the period of TANF ineligibility in days rather than months. The total period of ineligibility is equal to two times the diversion payment divided by (maximum benefit for family size divided by 30 days).

[29] Although it still exists in the law, Louisiana's diversion program has not received funding since September 2002. According to that law, the recipient can receive a cash payment worth up to four months of TANF benefits, and they are ineligible for TANF for four months without a penalty after receiving diversion. They can receive it twice in a lifetime, but no more than once every 12 months.

[30] Diversion payments are only provided to caretaker relatives or parents who are employed or looking for work.

[31] Units that apply for benefits during the three-month ineligibility period must repay any diversion payment received for any period that was covered by both diversion and TANF.

[32] Minnesota's four month Diversionary Work Program (DWP) is mandatory for all TANF applicants except for the following units: (1) child only cases; (2) one-parent families that include a child under 12 weeks of age; (3) minor caregivers without a high school diploma or GED; (4) caregivers age 18 or 19 without a high school diploma or GED who choose to have an employment plan with an education option; and (5) caregivers age 60 or over. Two-parent families must participate in DWP unless both parents meet the exemption criteria listed above. In determining eligibility, a housing subsidy of $50 does not count as income and any unexpected increases in income while enrolled in DWP, will be disregarded. 100 percent of the earnings from a new job obtained while participating in DWP will be disregarded for the remainder of the four-month program. Other than these two items, DWP applicants and participants have the same income and eligibility criteria as TANF. This includes asset limits, income disregards, and income exclusions. For their activity requirements, DWP participants do not have the same requirements that they can only participate in a portion of their activities (such as education) for a restricted number of hours. In addition to receiving financial assistance, recipients participate in four months of intensive employment services focused on helping the participant obtain an unsubsidized job before entering welfare. Failure to comply with the employment services, which may include a structured job search, results in ineligibility for both DWP and TANF until compliance. However, once the participant cooperates, they can start receiving benefits immediately. They do not have a specific number of months they must cooperate with their activity requirements before their benefits resume. After the four months are complete, participants still requiring assistance may apply for TANF as applicants.

[33] DWP benefits are provided on a monthly basis and are equal to the difference between the unit's countable income and the sum of its actual housing costs, utility costs, $35 per month for telephone services, and up to $70 per unit member for personal needs. The total monthly grant amount cannot exceed the cash portion of the TANF Transitional Standard (see Table II.A.3). Unlike TANF, DWP recipients are eligible to receive food stamp benefits in addition to their diversion benefits. Also, they are not required to assign child support payments over to the State.

[34] The unit may apply for TANF at the completion of the four-month diversion program. If a unit applies to TANF anytime within 12 months of receiving either TANF or DWP assistance, the unit moves directly into TANF and is not eligible to participate in diversion.

[35] Applicants for WFNJ/TANF must participate in New Jersey's diversion program, Early Employment Initiative (EEI), if they (1) have a work history that equals or exceeds four months of full-time employment in the last 12 months; (2) appear to meet TANF eligibility requirements; (3) are not in immediate need; and (4) do not meet criteria for a deferral from work requirements. Participants receive a one-time, lump-sum payment and are required to pursue an intensive job search for 15 to 30 days while their WFNJ/TANF application is processed. If participants obtain employment and withdraw their application, they are eligible to receive a second lump-sum payment to assist in the transition to employment. If no employment is secured, the applicant is referred back to the WFNJ/TANF agency for cash assistance.

[36] The maximum amount a family would receive is relative to the number of persons in the unit. The amount included in the table is for a unit of eight or more people. The maximum diversion payment for a family of three is

$750. If the agency feels an individual may benefit, he or she may be considered suitable for repeated participation in EEI when determining subsequent eligibility for the program.

[37] If a participant is unable to find a job through the diversion program or loses employment and reapplies for TANF benefits within 60 days of the original application, TANF benefits will be retroactive to the date of application. Any lump-sum payment received under the EEI is prorated from the date of the original application to the date of the reactivation and subtracted from the monthly grant amount for which the assistance unit is eligible. If this lump sum exceeds the family's monthly grant amount, the excess is counted as unearned income when calculating the monthly assistance benefits for any subsequent month. If the applicant loses his or her employment after 60 days from the application date, the family will need to reapply for TANF.

[38] The diversion payment is only available to assist applicants in keeping a job or accepting a bona fide offer of employment.

[39] Units may apply for assistance during the 12-month period, but the benefits will be prorated to account for the diversion payment. Units receiving a diversion payment in another State may not receive a diversion payment or monthly benefits in New Mexico for 12 months or the length of the period of ineligibility in the other State, whichever is shorter.

[40] New York has three types of diversion payments: Diversion Payments (for crisis needs such as moving expenses, storage fees, or household structural or equipment repairs), Diversion Transportation Payments (for employment-related transportation expenses), and Diversion Rental Payments (for rental housing).

[41] To be eligible for Diversion, applicants must be currently employed, or have received earned income from employment within the 90-day period prior to application (in Two-Parent families, only one parent must meet this requirement). In addition, the unit must have verified financial needs that, if met, would prevent the family from applying for ongoing TANF. If the demonstrated financial need exceeds the maximum Diversion payment, the family may still receive Diversion payments if they verify that another source will take responsibility for the remaining financial need.

[42] Diversion assistance is only available to applicants. The unit must not have received assistance payments during the 12 months prior to the date of application and the adult member of the unit must not have terminated employment within 60 days of application for benefits.

[43] The six month period begins at the date of application. The six month waiver period may be reduced by up to three months if it is determined that the family will suffer undue and unforeseeable hardship during all or any portion of months four through six of the waiver period.

[44] South Dakota has no formal limit on the number of payments a unit may receive; however, a State source reports that it is unlikely that an assistance unit would receive a diversion payment more than once every 12 months.

[45] To qualify for the State's diversion program, the assistance unit must meet one of the "Crisis Criteria" including: (1) the caretaker or second parent lost employment in the process month, application month, or two months before application; (2) a dependent child experienced a loss of financial support from the legal parent or stepparent within the past 12 months due to death, divorce, separation, abandonment, or termination of child support and the caretaker was employed within 12 months of the application or process month; (3) the caretaker or second parent graduated from a university, college, junior college, or technical training school within 12 months of the application or process month and was underemployed or unemployed; or (4) the caretaker and/or second parent was employed but faced the loss or potential loss of transportation and/or shelter or faced a medical emergency temporarily preventing them from continuing to work. If the unit has an unresolved TANF activity requirement sanction or is not eligible for a TANF grant of at least, the unit is ineligible for diversion assistance

[46] The first diversion payment in a 12-month period will not count as a month of financial assistance against the 36-month time limit; the second and subsequent diversion payments in a 12-month period will count.

[47] If the unit applies for benefits during the 12-month ineligibility period, the diversion payment becomes a loan. The amount of the loan is calculated by dividing the diversion payment by 12 and multiplying the quotient by the number of months remaining of the 12-month period since the diversion payment was received. The unit's monthly benefit is decreased by five percent each month until the loan is repaid.

[48] For units that received diversion assistance before July 2000, three months are counted toward the lifetime limit.

[49] The diversion payment is considered a loan to assist with expenses related to obtaining or maintaining employment and it must be repaid. Repayments are expected within 12 months but may be extended to 24 months. The loan may be paid back in cash or through a combination of cash and volunteer community service (valued at the higher of the State or federal minimum wage).

[50] The caseworker may issue loans for between $25 and $1,600. In a 12-month period, a unit may receive several loans, but they may not receive more than $1,600 in total loans or have an outstanding loan balance of more than $1,600.

Time Limiting Assistance

States generally may not use Federal funds to provide assistance to a family that includes an adult head of household or a spouse of the head of household who has received assistance for 60 months (whether or not consecutive). However, States may extend Federally-funded assistance beyond 60 months to 20 percent of the caseload, without penalty, based on hardship or domestic violence. States also have the option to set lower time limits on the receipt of TANF benefits.

State policies related to time limiting assistance to a family vary greatly. In a few cases, States had received waivers under Section 1115 of the Act to implement time limits before PRWORA. These States have the authority to continue their waiver policies for the duration of their waivers. Furthermore, the flexibility available in the use of State funds allows each State to structure its time limit policies in a variety of ways. For example, a State may use segregated or separate State-only funds to provide assistance to families that it wishes to exempt from the time limit or to families that have reached the 60-month Federal time limit, in excess of the 20 percent cap (see Table 12:13 and Table 12:14).

Table 12:13
State Lifetime Time Limit Policies

State	Lifetime limit	Whose Benefits Are Terminated	
		Entire unit	Adult only
Alabama	60 months	X	—
Alaska	60 months	X	—
Arizona	60 months	X	—
Arkansas	24 months	X	—
California	60 months[1]	—	X
Colorado	60 months	X	—
Connecticut	21 months[2]	X	—
Delaware	36 months[3]	X	—
District of Columbia	—[4]	—	—
Florida	48 months	X	—
Georgia	48 months	X	—
Hawaii	60 months	X	—
Idaho	24 months	X	—
Illinois	60 months	X[5]	—
Indiana	24 months	—	X
	60 months	X	—
Iowa	60 months[6]	X	—
Kansas	60 months	X	—
Kentucky	60 months	X	—
Louisiana	60 months	X	—
Maine	—[7]	—	—
Maryland	60 months	X	—
Massachusetts	—	—	—
Michigan	—	—	—
Minnesota	60 months	X	—
Mississippi	60 months	X	—

Table 12:13
State Lifetime Time Limit Policies

State	Lifetime limit	Whose Benefits Are Terminated	
		Entire unit	Adult only
Missouri	60 months	X	—
Montana	60 months	X	—
Nebraska			
Time limited assistance	60 months	X	—
Non-time limited assistance	—	—	—
Nevada	60 months	X	—
New Hampshire			
Employment Program	60 months	X	—
Family Assistance Program	—	—	—
New Jersey	60 months	X	—
New Mexico	60 months	X	—
New York	—[8]	—	—
North Carolina	60 months[9]	X	—
North Dakota	60 months	X	—
Ohio	60 months[10]	X	—
Oklahoma	60 months	X	—
Oregon	—[11]	—	—
Pennsylvania	60 months	X	—
Rhode Island	60 months	—	X
South Carolina			
All, except STAR	60 months	X	—
STAR	—	—	—
South Dakota	60 months	X	—
Tennessee	60 months	X	—
Texas	60 months	X	—
Utah	36 months	X	—
Vermont	—	—	—
Virginia			
VIEW	60 months	X	—
All, except VIEW	—	—	—
Washington	—[12]	—	—
West Virginia	60 months	X	—
Wisconsin	60 months	X	—
Wyoming	60 months	X	—

Source: Table IV.C.1 Formal Diversion Payments, July 2006 from the Urban Institute's Welfare Rules Database, funded by DHHS/ACF and DHHS/ASPE.

[1] California's TANF funding began December 1996; however, recipients' benefit months did not begin to count against units' 60-month limit until January 1998. Using State funds, California will extend recipients' benefits beyond 60 months if the units received assistance between December 1996 and January 1998. The length of the extension equals the number of months the unit received benefits during this time period.

[2] Recipients may apply for extensions after 21 months of benefits, however, they may not receive more than 60 total months of assistance. See Table IV.C.4 for more information on extensions.

[3] The 36-month time limit applies to assistance units that apply for benefits on or after January 1, 2000. Units that received benefits before this date are eligible for 48 months of assistance.

[4] The District of Columbia uses local money to fund assistance units that have reached the 60-month federal lifetime time limit. All units that are either in compliance with program requirements or are exempt from requirements are automatically eligible for continued assistance. If the unit is not in compliance upon reaching

the 60-month mark, the noncompliant adult(s) will be removed from the grant, while other unit members will continue to receive assistance.

[5] If the adult who has reached the 60-month lifetime limit is not the parent of any child in the assistance unit, only the adult is ineligible for benefits. Children who do not live with a parent can therefore continue to receive assistance after their caretaker reaches the 60-month limit.

[6] In addition to the 60-month lifetime limit, units must establish a time frame, with a specific ending date, during which the recipient expects to become self-sufficient (i.e., when income is above eligibility limits).

[7] Units in compliance with TANF program rules may continue to receive benefits beyond 60 months. If members of the unit have been sanctioned three or more times during their 60 months of assistance, the adult's needs are not considered for benefit computation for an amount of time equal to the length of the adult's last sanction period.

[8] After 60 months, the unit is still eligible to receive noncash assistance through the State's Safety Net Assistance program.

[9] In certain circumstances, a child may be able to continue receiving benefits after the 60 months. Since the time limit follows the adult, a child may enter a new household and become eligible in a new assistance unit.

[10] After receiving 36 months of assistance, the case is closed; however, it is possible to receive 24 additional months of benefits if the unit has not received benefits for at least 24 months and can demonstrate good cause for reapplying.

[11] Although the State does not discuss the federal lifetime limit (60 months), the final TANF regulations, published April 1999, indicate that any months during which a nonexempt head of household receives TANF-funded assistance under a waiver will count toward the federal time limit. Therefore, in addition to the State time limit, a 60-month lifetime limit that applies retroactively to all recipients as of the date TANF began or the first month of receipt under the waiver, whichever is later.

[12] Units in compliance with TANF program rules may continue to receive benefits beyond 60 months.

Table 12:14
Other State Time Limit Policies

State	Lifetime limit	Whose Benefits Are Terminated	
		Entire unit	Adult only
Alabama	—	—	—
Alaska	—	—	—
Arizona	—[1]	—	—
Arkansas	—	—	—
California	—	—	—
Colorado	—	—	—
Connecticut	—	—	—
Delaware	—	—	—
District of Columbia	—	—	—
Florida	—	—	—
Georgia	—	—	—
Hawaii	—	—	—
Idaho	—	—	—
Illinois	—	—	—
Indiana	—	—	—
Iowa	—	—	—
Kansas	—	—	—
Kentucky	—	—	—
Louisiana	24 of 60 months	X	—
Maine	—	—	—
Maryland	—	—	—
Massachusetts			

Table 12:14
Other State Time Limit Policies

State	Lifetime limit	Whose Benefits Are Terminated	
		Entire unit	Adult only
Exempt	—	—	—
Nonexempt	24 of 60 months	X	—
Michigan	—	—	—
Minnesota	—	—	—
Mississippi	—	—	—
Missouri	—	—	—
Montana	—	—	—
Nebraska	—	—	—
Time limited assistance	24 of 48 months	X	—
Non-time limited assistance	—	—	—
Nevada	24 months; followed by 12 months of ineligibility	X	—
New Hampshire	—	—	—
New Jersey	—	—	—
New Mexico	—	—	—
New York	—	—	—
North Carolina	24 months; followed by 36 months of ineligibility	X	—
North Dakota	—	—	—
Ohio	36 months; followed by 24 months of ineligibility[2]	X	—
Oklahoma	—	—	—
Oregon	24 of 84 months	X	—
Pennsylvania	—	—	—
Rhode Island	—	—	—
South Carolina			
All, except STAR	24 of 120 months	X	—
STAR	—	—	—
South Dakota	—	—	—
Tennessee	18 months; followed by 3 months of ineligibility	X	—
Texas	12, 24, or 36 months; followed by 60 months of ineligibility[3]	—	X
Utah	—[4]	—	—
Vermont	—	—	—
Virginia			
VIEW	24 months; followed by 24 months of ineligibility[5]	X	—
All, except VIEW	—	—	—
Washington	—	—	—
West Virginia	—	—	—
Wisconsin	—	—	—
Wyoming	—	—	—

Source: Table IV.C.1 Formal Diversion Payments, July 2006 from the Urban Institute's Welfare Rules Database, funded by DHHS/ACF and DHHS/ASPE.

[1] Two-parent families in which neither parent is disabled are eligible for only six months of assistance in any 12-

month period.

[2] In order to receive benefits after the 24 month period of ineligibility, the family must demonstrate good cause for reapplying. Good cause may include loss of employment, inability to find employment, divorce, domestic violence, or other reasons determined by the caseworker.

[3] The 12-month limit applies to nonexempt recipients who (1) did not complete the 11th grade and have 18 months or more of recent work experience, or (2) have either a high school diploma or GED, certificate from post-secondary school, or a certificate or degree from vocational or technical school, and any work experience. The 24-month limit applies to nonexempt recipients who (1) have not completed the 11th grade and have between six and 17 months of recent work experience, or (2) have completed the 11th grade but not the 12th grade or have a GED, and have completed 17 or fewer months of work experience. The 36-month limit applies to nonexempt recipients who (1) have less than six months of recent work experience and (2) have not completed the 11th grade.

[4] Two-parent families in which the principle wage earner is unemployed are only eligible for seven months of assistance in a 13-month period.

[5] After receiving 24 months of assistance, the unit may receive up to 12 months of transitional benefits. The 24 months of ineligibility begins with the month in which the case was closed or the month in which transitional benefits were terminated, whichever is later.

Individual Development Accounts

The TANF statute specifically authorizes States to fund Individual Development Accounts (IDAs) established by TANF-eligible individuals. IDAs are restricted savings accounts that allow individuals to accumulate savings that can be used for postsecondary educational expenses, first home purchase, business capitalization, medical expenses, and other expenses. The IDA program in the TANF statute allows individuals to contribute to an IDA such amounts as are derived only from earned income (while other IDAs might allow contributions to come from any source of income). Funds in a TANF IDA (including earned interest) are disregarded in determining eligibility and benefits in any program that uses financial considerations in such determinations.

Because of the funding flexibility under TANF, States can also use Federal TANF or State MOE funds to fund IDAs established under another authority. The following data are not limited to IDAs authorized under the specific provision in the TANF statute (see Table 12:10).

Table 12:10
Individual Development Accounts

State	Individual Development Accounts (Limit)	Family's IDA Contribution is Matched (Match Rate)	Post-Secondary Education	First Home Purchase	Business Capitalization	Medical Expense	Other
Alabama	NO	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Alaska	NO	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Arizona	YES $9,000	NO	YES	YES	YES	NO	YES[1]
Arkansas	YES $2,000 per person up to $4,000 maximum	YES (3:1)	YES	YES	YES	NO	YES[2]
California	County Option	County Option	County Option	County Option	County Option	County Option	County Option
Colorado	YES (no limit)	YES (County Option)	YES	YES	YES	NO	NO
Connecticut	YES (no limit)	NO	YES	NO	NO	NO	NO
Delaware	YES $5,000	NO	YES	YES	YES	NO	YES, on a case by case basis[3]
District of Columbia	NO	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Florida	YES	YES (1:1)	YES	YES	YES	NO	(Limit: $1,000 per year; $3,000

Table 12:10
Individual Development Accounts

State	Individual Development Accounts (Limit)	Family's IDA Contribution is Matched (Match Rate)	Post-Secondary Education	First Home Purchase	Business Capitalization	Medical Expense	Other
							lifetime)
Georgia	YES $5,000	NO	YES	YES	YES	NO	NO
Guam	NO	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Hawaii	NO	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Idaho	NO	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Illinois	YES Max matched $1,000	YES (1:1)	YES	YES	YES	NO	YES[1,2]
Indiana	YES (no limit)	YES (3:1 up to $300/year)	YES	YES	YES	NO	YES[1]
Iowa	YES $50,000	YES 15-25%	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES[1,4]
Kansas	YES (no Limit)	NO	YES	YES	YES	NO	YES[5]
Kentucky	NO $5,000	NO	YES	YES	YES	NO	YES[6]
Louisiana	YES $6,000	NO	YES	YES	YES	NO	YES[1]
Maine	YES $10,000 plus interest	YES (varies)	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES[2,4,7]
Maryland	YES[8]	NO	YES	YES	YES	NO	NO
Massachusetts	NO	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Michigan	YES $1,000	YES (up to 3:1 depending on purpose)	YES	YES	YES	NO	NO
Minnesota	NO $3,000	YES (3:1)	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Mississippi	NO	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Missouri	NO	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Montana	YES (no limit)	YES (2:1 up to $4,000)	YES	YES	YES	NO	NO
Nebraska	NO	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Nevada	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	NO	NO
New Hampshire	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	NO	NO
New Jersey	YES[9]	YES (1:1)	YES	YES	YES	NO	NO
New Mexico	YES $1,500	NO	NO	YES	YES	NO	YES[10]
New York	YES (no limit)	YES	YES	YES	YES	NO	NO

Table 12:10
Individual Development Accounts

State	Individual Development Accounts (Limit)	Family's IDA Contribution is Matched (Match Rate)	Post-Secondary Education	First Home Purchase	Business Capitalization	Medical Expense	Other
North Carolina	YES $2,000	YES (1:1 up to $2,000)	YES	YES	YES	NO	NO
North Dakota	NO	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Ohio	NO	County Discretion (up to 2:1)	YES	YES	YES	NO	NO
Oklahoma	YES $2,000	YES[11]	YES	YES	YES	NO	NO
Oregon	YES (no limit)	YES ($1.00 per hour worked)	YES	NO	NO	NO	NO
Pennsylvania	NO	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Puerto Rico	NO	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Rhode Island	NO	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
South Carolina	YES $10,000	NO	YES	YES	YES	NO	YES[1]
South Dakota	NO	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Tennessee	YES $5,000	NO	YES	YES	YES	NO	YES[3]
Texas	YES	YES[12]	YES	YES	YES	NO	NO
Utah	NO	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Vermont	YES ($500)[13]	YES	YES	YES	YES	NO	NO
Virginia	YES $5,000	NO	YES	YES	YES	NO	YES[1,10]
Virgin Islands	NO	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Washington	YES Client $2,000, contractor $4,000--max $6,000	YES (2:1 up to $4,000)	YES	YES	YES	NO	NO
West Virginia	NO	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Wisconsin	YES (county option)	NO	NO	NO	NO	NO	NO
Wyoming	NO	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A

[1] States allow individuals to use funds for training program expenses.
[2] States allow funds to be spent to purchase or repair an automobile.
[3] Approved reasons include funds to be used for self-sufficiency purposes.
[4] Funds can be used for work-related vehicle/transportation costs.
[5] Kansas allows Assistance Technology Savings.
[6] Kentucky allows funds to be used for emergency repairs to home.
[7] Maine allows spending for certain emergency expenses.
[8] Maryland has created IDAs in four counties.

[9] New Jersey allows contributions up to $1,500 per year for 3 years.
[10] New Mexico and Virginia allow funds to be used for the education expenses of dependents.
[11] Oklahoma varies contribution based on income. For current recipients or people who have been recipients at some time since October 1, 1996: For persons with income in the preceding year that is less than or equal to 100% of the Federal Poverty Level there is a $1.00 match from TANF funds not to exceed a $500 match per year for a period of up to 4 years. For persons with income that is more than 100% of the Federal Poverty Level and less than 150% of the Federal Poverty Level, then the match is 75 cents for each $1.00. For persons with income more than 150% of the Federal Poverty Level and less than or equal to 200% of the Federal Poverty Level the match is 50 cents for each $1.00
[12] Texas allows an IDA entity to use TANF funds to match up to $2,000 per year, per account, of earned income (excluding any portion of and Earned Income Tax Credit refund) deposited in an individual development account.
[13] Vermont allows savings of $500 for an individual for a calendar year and $1,000 for a family. The lifetime limit maximum is $2,000 for an individual and $4,000 for a family.

Waiver Inconsistencies Related to Work Participation and/or Time Limit Assistance Requirements of TANF

Five States claimed waiver inconsistencies for waivers that extended beyond FY 2003. Waivers for three States (MT, OH, and HI) expired in FY 2004, Massachusetts' waiver expired September 30, 2005, and Tennessee's waivers expired June 30, 2007.

Table 12:15 summarizes the waiver inconsistency claims by States.

Table 12:15
Federal Time Limit Waivers

State	Work Participation	Time-Limited Assistance	Authority Expires[1]
Hawaii	X	X	9/30/04
Massachusetts	X		9/30/05
Montana	X		12/31/03
Ohio	X	X[2]	12/31/03
Tennessee	X	X	6/30/07

[1] States may choose to discontinue or modify inconsistent policies begun under waivers at any time. After this date, they must operate their TANF program in full compliance with requirements of Sections 407 and 408(a)(7) of the Social Security Act.
[2] Ohio delayed counting months toward the Federal time limit until October 1, 1997.

Adoption of Family Violence Option

Each State has the option to certify in its State plan that it has established and is enforcing standards and procedures to: (1) screen and identify individuals with a history of domestic violence (while maintaining their confidentiality); (2) refer such individuals for counseling and supportive services; and (3) waive program requirements, as appropriate, based on safety and fairness concerns. This provision is commonly referred to as the Family Violence Option (see Table 12:16).

Table 12:16
Domestic Violence Provisions

State	Federal Certification[1] or State Program[2]
Alabama	Federal
Alaska	Federal
Arizona	Federal
Arkansas	Federal
California	Federal
Colorado	Federal
Connecticut	State
Delaware	Federal
District of Columbia	Federal
Florida	Federal
Georgia	Federal
Guam	Territory
Hawaii	Federal
Idaho	State
Illinois	Federal
Indiana	State
Iowa	Federal
Kansas	Federal
Kentucky	Federal
Louisiana	Federal
Maine	State
Maryland	Federal
Massachusetts	Federal
Michigan	State
Minnesota	Federal
Mississippi	State
Missouri	Federal
Montana	Federal
Nebraska	Federal
Nevada	Federal
New Hampshire	Federal
New Jersey	Federal
New Mexico	Federal
New York	Federal
North Carolina	Federal

Table 12:16
Domestic Violence Provisions

State	Federal Certification[1] or State Program[2]
North Dakota	Federal
Ohio	State
Oklahoma	State
Oregon	Federal
Pennsylvania	Federal
Puerto Rico	Federal
Rhode Island	Federal
South Carolina	Federal
South Dakota	State
Tennessee	Federal
Texas	Federal
Utah	Federal
Vermont	Federal
Virginia	State
Virgin Islands	Territory
Washington	Federal
West Virginia	Federal
Wisconsin	State
Wyoming	Federal

[1] State submitted a signed certification that it has established and is enforcing standards and procedures to screen and identify individuals with a history of domestic violence, refer such individuals to counseling and supportive services, and waive program requirements based on safety and fairness concerns (commonly called the Family Violence Option, or the Wellstone Murray Amendment).

[2] State is addressing the issue of domestic violence under its TANF program, but did not submit the specified certification.

Family Cap

States have the flexibility under TANF not to increase cash assistance after the birth of an additional child to a family already receiving TANF benefits. This is referred to as the family cap. PRWORA did not include a specific family cap provision, but many States have adopted this provision (see Table 12:17).

Table 12:17
Family Cap Policies

State	Special treatment of additional children	Special treatment if child born more than X months after case opening	Increase in cash benefit for an additional child (and special provisions)	Special treatment discontinued if case closed X months[1]
Alabama	No	—	—	—
Alaska	No	—	—	—
Arizona	Yes	10	None (disregard)[2]	Always capped
Arkansas	Yes	1	None	6
California	Yes	10 [3]	None	24
Colorado	No	—	—	—
Connecticut	Yes	10	$50	Always capped
Delaware	Yes[4]	10	None	Always capped
District of Columbia	No	—	—	—
Florida	Yes	10	Half of normal increment for first child subject to cap[5]	Always capped
Georgia	Yes	10	Varies[6]	Always capped
Hawaii	No	—	—	—
Idaho	No[7]	—	—	—
Illinois	No[8]	—	—	—
Indiana	Yes	10	None	Always capped
Iowa	No	—	—	—
Kansas	No	—	—	—
Kentucky	No	—	—	—
Louisiana	No	—	—	—
Maine	No	—	—	—
Maryland	Yes[9]	10	None (third-party payment)[10]	Always third-party payment
Massachusetts	Yes	10	None (disregard)[11]	Always capped
Michigan	No	—	—	—
Minnesota	Yes	10	None[12]	10
Mississippi	Yes	10	None	Always capped
Missouri	No	—	—	—
Montana	No	—	—	—
Nebraska	Yes	10	None	6
Nevada	No	—	—	—
New Hampshire	No	—	—	—
New Jersey	Yes	10	None (earner exemption)[13]	12 [14]
New Mexico	No	—	—	—

Table 12:17
Family Cap Policies

State	Special treatment of additional children	Special treatment if child born more than X months after case opening	Increase in cash benefit for an additional child (and special provisions)	Special treatment discontinued if case closed X months[1]
New York	No	—	—	—
North Carolina	Yes	10	None	Always capped
North Dakota	Yes	8	None	12
Ohio	No	—	—	—
Oklahoma	Yes	10	None (voucher)[15]	Always capped
Oregon	No	—	—	—
Pennsylvania	No	—	—	—
Rhode Island	No	—	—	—
South Carolina	Yes	10	None (voucher)[16]	Always capped
South Dakota	No	—	—	—
Tennessee	Yes	10	None	3 [17]
Texas	No	—	—	—
Utah	No	—	—	—
Vermont	No	—	—	—
Virginia	Yes	10	None	Always capped
Washington	No	—	—	—
West Virginia	No	—	—	—
Wisconsin	No[18]	—	—	—
Wyoming[19]	Yes	10	None	Always capped

Source: Table IV.B.1 Family Cap Policies, July 2006 from the Urban Institute's Welfare Rules Database, funded by DHHS/ACF and DHHS/ASPE.

Note: Some units may be exempt from the family cap policies. See the WRD for more details on exemption policies.

1 This column describes the number of months a unit must remain off assistance to regain eligibility for a previously capped child. Some States permanently exclude capped children even if the unit cycles on and off assistance, while other States may include previously capped children in benefit and eligibility calculations if the unit has not received assistance for a specified period.

2 Units subjected to the family cap receive an additional earned income disregard equal to the lost benefit amount. This additional disregard is allowed for each month the member is excluded due to a cap.

3 Children born less than 10 months after case opening are not subject to the family cap provided the unit leaves assistance for two months during the 10-month period leading up to the birth.

4 In addition to the family cap policy, any child born after December 31, 1998, to an unmarried minor parent is ineligible for cash assistance, regardless of whether the minor was receiving aid at the time of the birth. If the minor received benefits within 10 months of the birth of the child, the child will always be capped. If the minor did not receive benefits within 10 months of the birth of the child, the child will be eligible for assistance once the minor turns 18. Units in which the child is not permanently capped may receive noncash assistance services in the form of vouchers upon request, but they will not be automatically given each month. Receipt is based on need, and the total monthly value of the vouchers is capped at $69.

5 The normal increment is the additional amount a unit receives for adding a person to the unit. For instance, a two-person unit that adds a child may receive another $30 each month since it is now a three-person unit. There is no increase in cash benefits for the second child or subsequent children subject to the cap.

6 The additional child increases the Standard of Need but not the Family Maximum. If the family has no income, the cash benefit will not increase. However, if the family has income, the benefit may increase but cannot

increase higher than the maximum payment for the family size excluding the capped child.

7 The State provides a flat maximum benefit, regardless of family size. However, the Work Incentive Payment increases with family size, so the benefit for a unit with income may increase with an additional child, but never beyond the maximum benefit level.

8 Illinois no longer has a family cap; however, the State applied a cap to children born more than 10 months after case opening between January 1, 1996 and January 1, 2004. Children who were capped during this period continue to be capped. The cap may be removed for these children if the unit does not receive benefits for a minimum of nine months and has not previously experienced an increase in the Payment Standard as the result of a birth while receiving TANF.

9 Although the family cap still exists in State law, all local offices have implemented waivers to discontinue the family cap policy. In addition, formerly capped children are no longer subject to the cap.

10 The money the unit would have received for the additional child will instead go to a third party (e.g., church, charitable organization, relative) to purchase necessary care requirements for the affected child.

11 Units subject to the family cap receive an additional earned income disregard equal to the first $90 of income received by or on behalf of a capped child in any month.

12 The family cap only applies to the cash assistance portion of MFIP the additional child would receive. The child will still be eligible for the food portion of MFIP.

13 Units in which at least one adult member of the unit is working (any number of hours) are not subject to the family cap.

14 After case closure, if the recipient is employed for three months and loses the job by no fault of his or her own, the previously capped child is included in the unit. These units do not receive a new 10-month grace period for any subsequent pregnancies.

15 The unit will not receive cash for an additional child; however, the unit will receive a voucher for the amount it would have received during the first 36 months to pay for expenses associated with the child. Vouchers are similar to cash. The capped portion of the benefit is distributed every month, divided into two vouchers that can be used at any store to purchase things necessary for the capped child.

16 Benefits are available in the form of vouchers up to the amount of increase in cash benefits the unit would have received for the child.

17 This period only applies if the family has previously reached the periodic limit of 18 months and the case was closed without a sanction. If the unit reapplies and has not previously reached the periodic limit, or the case was previously closed for a sanction, then the child who was previously subject to a family cap will remain capped until the unit has completed whatever time is left on their 18 month assistance period.

18 The State provides a flat benefit, regardless of family size.

19 The State does not allow any individual, including adult relatives, to be added to the unit's payment 10 months after the initial qualification for assistance. A new individual's income and resources will be counted for eligibility and benefit determination.

XIII. TANF RESEARCH AND EVALUATION

This chapter highlights a number of the Department of Health and Human Services' (HHS') key research and evaluation initiatives pertaining to welfare reform and summarizes findings from recent research reports. HHS' research agenda in this area has two main goals: (1) to contribute to the success of welfare reform by providing timely, reliable data to inform policy and program design and management, especially at the State and local levels where much of the decision-making takes place; and (2) to inform the Nation of the effects of policies and programs on low-income children, families, communities, and the Nation as a whole.

The research undertaken to achieve these goals is carried out primarily by the Administration for Children and Families' (ACF's) Office of Planning, Research and Evaluation (OPRE) and the Office of the Assistant Secretary for Planning and Evaluation (ASPE), also within HHS. OPRE and ASPE carefully coordinate their research agendas with each other and with other government agencies and private foundations. Many projects involve collaboration and partnerships.

In a 2003 report, *Program Evaluation: An Evaluation Culture and Collaborative Partnerships Help Build Agency Capacity*, the U.S. General Accounting Office (since renamed the U.S. Government Accountability Office) identified ACF as one of five Federal agencies that have demonstrated a strong evaluation capacity as evidenced by a commitment to self-examination, data quality, analytical expertise, and collaborative partnerships. The report noted that at ACF, the evaluation of State welfare-to-work demonstration programs is part of a network of long-term Federal, State, and local efforts to develop effective welfare policy. It also found that ACF's longstanding and on-going collaborative relationship with ASPE has contributed to the agency's expertise directly through advising on specific evaluations, as well as indirectly through building the expertise of the larger research community that conducts the evaluations.

In a 2001 report, *Evaluating Welfare Reform in an Era of Transition*, the National Academy of Sciences also applauded HHS' broad-based welfare reform research agenda. The Academy, which had convened a Panel on "Data and Methods for Measuring the Effects of Changes in Social Welfare Programs" to provide HHS with unbiased scientific recommendations for studying the outcomes of recent changes in the welfare system, also made recommendations for improvements and expansions in data collection and the development of research questions and methodology. The Department has taken steps to address several of the Panel's recommendations. For example, HHS' efforts to build capacity for conducting high-quality program evaluations at the State level and for conducting household surveys of low-income and welfare populations continue. HHS committed resources to help improve national household survey questions including better data on marriage and divorce. Projects to improve the usefulness of State-level administrative data have been undertaken. HHS issued regulations to implement expansions in State data reporting to include recipients of State-funded TANF

assistance as called for by the Deficit Reduction Act of 2005 (DRA). State-specific data sets produced by each of the grantees studying welfare leavers and current recipients were made available for secondary data analyses of welfare outcome measures, and synthesis reports were published based on administrative data findings from all of the ASPE-funded leavers studies and survey data analyses of all of the ASPE-funded TANF caseload studies. Study and consideration of other Panel conclusions and recommendations will continue.

This chapter summarizes recent research and evaluation findings and provides an overview of additional research and evaluation initiatives related to the TANF program undertaken by HHS.

Highlights of Major Research and Evaluation Findings

Increasing Employment Stability and Earnings for Low-Wage Workers: Promising Programs in the Employment Retention and Advancement Project

One of ACF's major initiatives is the *Employment Retention and Advancement Project*, which is experimentally evaluating a number of alternative approaches to help current or former TANF recipients and other low-income individuals sustain attachment to and advancement in the labor market. ACF's contractor, MDRC, has been evaluating 16 intervention strategies implemented in sites in eight States (California, Illinois, Minnesota, New York, Ohio, Oregon, South Carolina, and Texas). The study also includes an implementation evaluation in each site to document the challenges and successes in implementing and operating the strategies designed.

All of the ERA strategies, including those described in the interim report, are evaluated using a similar evaluation design. Individuals who met the site-specific ERA eligibility criteria were randomly assigned to a program group, usually called the ERA group, or to a control group. Those assigned to the ERA group were eligible to receive the special retention and advancement services (and in some programs, required to participate), while those assigned to the control group were not eligible for the special ERA services but were eligible for other services and supports available from the agency or in the community – including the services provided through the sites' standard welfare-to-work or post-employment program. Each site's control group thus represented the benchmark against which the site's ERA approach was assessed.

Most of the ERA strategies examined were found to be well implemented in accordance with the project plan. Nonetheless, eight of the twelve strategies examined in the interim report have not shown systematic positive impacts on the economic outcomes examined. The four strategies included in this report that were found to have produced positive impacts were operated in Chicago (Illinois), Riverside (California), Corpus Christi and Fort Worth (Texas) and New York City (New York).

The Chicago strategy focused on providing career advancement services through an experienced community-based organization. The strategy focused on individuals who remained on TANF even though they worked 30 hours per week and had maintained employment for at least six consecutive months. It provided a combination of services, including targeted job search assistance and assistance in identifying and accessing career ladders. Riverside implemented

two strategies; findings from the Post-Assistance Self-Sufficiency (PASS) program are included in this report. PASS targeted individuals who left TANF due to earned income and provided retention and advancement services and family-based support services delivered by community-based organizations. Texas implemented the new strategy in Corpus Christi, Fort Worth and Houston and targeted TANF applicants and recipients. This strategy provided individualized team-based case management as well as monthly stipends of $200 to those who maintained full-time employment and completed activities related to their employment plan. The New York City PRIDE program was a mandatory employment program targeting welfare recipients with physical or mental health problems that was operated through four nonprofit, community-based organizations experienced in working with people with health problems. It included adult basic education, unpaid work experience and job search/job placement services tailored to account for health problems.

The Chicago, Riverside (PASS) sites and two of the Texas sites, Corpus Christi and Fort Worth, increased two-year average quarterly employment rates by 2.8 to 3.8 percentage points above control group levels, boosted average total (2-year) earnings by $823 to $1,755, produced gains in the percentage of people employed four consecutive quarters ranging from 3.8 to 4.1 percentage points, and increased the percentage earning more than $20,000 during the two-year period by 2.8 to 4.6 percentage points. The New York City PRIDE program produced economic impacts similar in size to those in the other three promising programs in absolute terms. These results were very large in percentage terms due to the control group's very low employment levels. Subgroup analysis found that impacts tend to be concentrated among sample group members with recent work experience.

While most ERA programs were able to recruit and initially engage substantial proportions of program group members, sustained engagement was difficult to achieve. The Chicago, New York City PRIDE, and the two Texas sites produced positive impacts on measures of ongoing engagement; these impacts were concentrated among sample members with recent work experience.

The report's findings are based on two to three years of data and patterns are beginning to emerge. However, these findings do not represent the final word on these programs, as the evaluation will ultimately track employment and earnings outcomes for at least three years in every program and longer in selected programs. The experience of the 12 interventions strategies included in this report illustrates the challenges faced by agencies attempting to assist TANF applicants and recipients sustain employment and advance in the labor market. The study found high rates of job loss and limited advancement during the follow-up periods. The positive impacts found in the four sites highlighted here suggest that some strategies can produce positive results.

TANF Caseload Composition and Leavers Synthesis

The *TANF Caseload Composition and Leavers Synthesis* updated past assessments of the characteristics and success in work engagement of both (a) the TANF caseload and (b) those who have left the TANF caseload (or "leavers"). The purpose of the update was to determine if the caseload of TANF recipients and the population of leavers have become more or less

disadvantaged in the last 5 and 10 years. More specifically, this investigation was prompted by two questions:

(1) How do the characteristics of the TANF caseload compare with the Aid to Families with Dependent Children (AFDC)/TANF caseload characteristics 5 and 10 years ago? Is it more or less disadvantaged?
(2) What are the characteristics and outcomes for families that recently left the TANF rolls compared with families on TANF, and compared with families that left the TANF rolls 5 and 10 years ago? Are TANF leavers today more or less disadvantaged?

This update was necessary because many recent studies on caseload composition and leavers have concentrated only on the early TANF period (approximately 1997 to 2001), and most of these studies do not use national data sets.

ACF contracted with the Urban Institute to conduct the study, which has two main components. First, the Urban Institute carried out a new synthesis of TANF caseload and leavers research with the most recent studies. Second, to obtain more recent national results, the Urban Institute performed secondary analyses of more recent data from three national data sets: the National Survey of America's Families (NSAF), the Survey of Income and Program Participation (SIPP) and the Current Population Survey (CPS).

The study found that, in general, there were few changes to the composition of the caseload and the characteristics of leavers in the last 5 to 10 years. Changes that did occur for both recipients and leavers were concentrated in the early TANF period (1997-2001). Typically, changes in the early period were either partially reversed or slowed in the later TANF period (1999-2005).

More specifically, despite the massive decrease in welfare caseloads (more than 50 percent reduction from 1996 to 2006) and the very different economic climate during the early (1997-2001) and late (1999-2005) TANF periods, the demographic characteristics of families on welfare are remarkably stable. Similarly, data on different cohorts of welfare leavers indicate that, in most respects, the personal and family characteristics of leavers are fairly stable. Additionally, with some exceptions (specifically health-related issues), there has been little change in barriers to employment among recipients and leavers (e.g. having less than a high school degree, having a child on SSI) over time. Over time, work participation increased for female recipients in the early TANF period (1997-2001). The trend partially reversed in the later period (1999-2005). Meanwhile, over time, employment decreased among leavers. Nevertheless, TANF recipients are better off, in terms of income, after leaving welfare than while on welfare. The authors add, "the key transition for raising household income seems to be moving from non-work to work." This transition may not coincide precisely with the transition off welfare. The report may be viewed at: http://www.acf.hhs.gov/programs/opre/welfare_employ/tanf_caseload/index.html.

Implementing Healthy Marriage Programs for Unmarried Parents: Early Lessons from the Building Strong Families Project

The *Building Strong Families (BSF) Demonstration and Evaluation* is a large-scale, multi-site test of marriage and relationship education programs for low-income unmarried parents (adults

18 or over) who are expecting or have just had a child (within the last 3 months). The BSF evaluation provides for implementation evaluation as well as impact evaluation. This specific report documents the early lessons from the program development and pilot stages of the demonstration sites during early 2005 through early 2006. The demonstrations are in Florida (Broward and Orange counties), Georgia (Atlanta), Indiana (Allen, Lake, Marion and Miami counties), Louisiana (Baton Rouge), Maryland (Baltimore), Oklahoma (Oklahoma City), and Texas (Houston and San Angelo).

The report presents information on the number and characteristics of couples enrolled in the demonstration pilot period.[14] The BSF data indicate that the sites are succeeding in enrolling couples during pregnancy (46 percent) and after delivery (54 percent). On average, BSF mothers and fathers in the pilots were in their mid-twenties (24 and 26, respectively) at the time they enrolled in the program. More than three-quarters of BSF couples were cohabiting at intake and almost six percent had married post-conception. In about half the couples, one parent had a child by another partner and about one-quarter of the couples had other children in common (in addition to the child that made them eligible for BSF). About 79 percent of fathers and 21 percent of mothers were working at intake. The percent of fathers working ranged from a high of 90 percent in Texas to a low of 63 percent in Baltimore. Across all sites, 57 percent of the working fathers reported total earnings in the past 12 months of less than $15,000, 28 percent reported earnings between $15,000 and $24,999, and 10 percent reported earnings over $25,000. Across the sites, 46 percent of mothers and 52 percent of fathers entering the BSF pilots indicated they were "almost certain" they would marry their current partner; another 26 percent of mothers and 27 percent of fathers said they had a "pretty good chance" of marrying.

The report also describes how the different sites have implemented services. According to the report, the early BSF experience suggests that, although there are varied ways to develop and implement healthy marriage programs, certain characteristics of host agencies and staff may be particularly helpful, including: a strong commitment to the importance of healthy marriage; organizational experience in delivering services in a group format; utilization of male and female staff and teams; and employing staff with similar cultural backgrounds as their participants. The report also notes that, in order to enroll sufficient numbers of couples, programs must identify sources with a steady flow of potentially eligible couples. The report indicates that for pilot sites, the maternal health care system was a major and efficient recruitment source for the BSF target population, and the most effective recruitment may be achieved with outreach staff who are excited about the program and able to convey that excitement to eligible couples. Nonetheless, the data illustrate the challenge of engaging couples and maintaining participation in a program that requires ongoing attendance. The rate of participant attendance in marriage education classes across all sites was 53 percent. The evaluators conclude that although there were significant drop-offs in attendance, the overall pattern was one of steady but intermittent participation.

Lastly, the early BSF experience provides evidence that unmarried new parents are, indeed, interested in programs that focus on healthy marriage. The report indicates that overall couples

---

[14] Some of the findings presented related to individual characteristics exclude some or all of the cases in Oklahoma and Indiana because data were not available in time for the report.

were responding positively to the BSF programs, valued the group format and learning from other couples' experiences, and demonstrated a basic understanding of what they had been taught. The report may be viewed at:
http://www.acf.hhs.gov/programs/opre/strengthen/build_fam/index.html

The Effects of Marriage on Health: A Synthesis of Recent Research Evidence

A growing body of literature suggests that for people at all economic levels, marriage may have a broad range of benefits including improvements in individual economic well-being, mental and physical health, and children well-being. To investigate the complex relationship between marriage and health, ASPE contracted with Mathematica Policy Research, Inc. to review recent research, and examine the extent to which marriage is a cause of better health outcomes.

The study synthesized recent literature across several fields, including public health, the social sciences, and medical science, focusing on research published in peer-reviewed journals and on studies using the most rigorous statistical methods for determining whether or not the link between marriage and health is a causal one. Focusing on research that is most relevant to the U.S. policy community, the study focused on research conducted with U.S. populations and completed since 1990.

The findings based on this synthesis suggest that marriage has positive effects on certain health-related outcomes. The studies found, for example, that marriage improves certain mental health outcomes, reduces the use of some high-cost health services (such as nursing home care), and increases the likelihood of individuals having health insurance coverage. In addition, the emerging literature suggests that growing up with married parents is associated with better health as an adult. However, marriage has mixed effects on health behaviors — leading to healthier behaviors in some cases (e.g. reduced heavy drinking) and less healthy behaviors in others (e.g. weight gain). For other key health outcomes — in particular, measures of specific physical health conditions— the effects of marriage remain largely unaddressed by rigorous research. The report and research brief from the study can both be found at:
http://aspe.hhs.gov/hsp/07/marriageonhealth/index.htm

Overview of Research and Evaluation Efforts

Evaluating Welfare Reform

Over the past two decades, HHS has made significant investments in research and evaluation focused on the implementation and impacts of State welfare reform initiatives. These have included projects focused on reforms carried out to test welfare-to-work strategies under the Job Opportunities and Basic Skills Training (JOBS) program and a variety of policies to promote work and personal responsibility implemented by individual States under waiver demonstrations, as well as assessments of reforms enacted under TANF.

Prior to the passage of TANF, 43 States and the District of Columbia obtained waivers of certain program requirements in title IV-A of the Social Security Act (the Act), as authorized under section 1115 of the Act. ACF required an evaluation component as a part of each approved

waiver. Continuation of evaluations begun under waivers was permitted but not required under the 1996 welfare reform legislation that created TANF. Twenty States were funded to complete ongoing evaluations, either as originally planned or modified. Final reports on these evaluations were released over time, as the States completed their demonstrations; most were released during the period 2000-2003. Findings from the waiver evaluations are particularly relevant to TANF, since these demonstrations first implemented many of the policies now incorporated under State TANF plans.

In recent years, ACF has funded a number of projects related to welfare reform that synthesize results across studies:

- A contract with the Rand Corporation produced *Consequences of Welfare Reform: A Research Synthesis* (see http://www.acf.hhs.gov/programs/opre/welfare_employ/res_systhesis/reports/consequences_of_wr/rand_report.pdf). This report describes and synthesizes the results of non-experimental and experimental studies, mainly from the 1990s, related to welfare reform. The topics covered include employment and earnings, use of government programs other than AFDC/TANF, family structure, income and poverty, measures of well-being, and child outcomes.

- In *What Works Best for Whom? Effects of Welfare and Work Policies by Subgroup* (see http://www.acf.hhs.gov/programs/opre/welfare_employ/what_wksbest/reports/whatw_best/whatw_best.pdf) MDRC examines the effects of welfare and work policies on earnings, welfare benefits, income, stable employment, and stable welfare exits across a range of subgroups using information from random assignment studies of 26 welfare and work policies.

- Also looking at employment programs, researchers at the Maryland Institute for Policy Analysis and Research produced the *Report on a Meta-Analysis of Welfare-to-Work Programs* (see http://www.acf.hhs.gov/programs/opre/welfare_employ/meta_analysis/reports/report_wtw/meta_analysis.pdf). This report uses meta-analysis, a set of statistically based techniques for combining quantitative findings from different studies, to synthesize estimates of program effects from random assignment evaluations of welfare-to-work programs and to explore the factors that best explain differences in the programs' performance. Using data on programs targeting AFDC recipients, extracted from published evaluation reports and from official sources, the analysis aims to establish the principal characteristics of welfare-to-work programs that were associated with differences in success, distinguishing between variations in the services received, differences in the characteristics of those who participated in each program, and variations in the socio-economic environment in which the programs operated.

- Finally, the report *Welfare Reform and Children: A Synthesis of Impacts in Five States* (see http://www.acf.hhs.gov/programs/opre/welfare_employ/ch_outcomes/reports/welfare_reform_children/wel_ref_child.pdf), compiled by researchers from Abt Associates, Child Trends, MDRC, and Mathematica Policy Research, Inc. pulls together the results from the *Project on State-Level Child Outcomes.* This project, jointly funded by ACF and ASPE, augmented the welfare waiver demonstration evaluations in five States (Connecticut, Florida, Indiana, Iowa,

and Minnesota) to assess the effects of different welfare reform approaches on child well-being.

Following up on grants to States to study leavers and applicants (1998-2000), ASPE awarded grants to several States to examine the current caseload. In 2001, ASPE funded Colorado, Maryland, Missouri, South Carolina, and the District of Columbia to study the characteristics of their TANF caseloads. Each State collected data in 2002 on personal, family, and community factors that may present barriers to employment among welfare recipients using a standardized telephone survey. Topics covered include physical and mental health, disability, substance abuse, and domestic violence. To assist ASPE in designing the survey instrument, Mathematica Policy Research, Inc., developed a summary report, *Survey Design for TANF Caseload Project: Summary Report and Recommendations,* (see http://aspe.hhs.gov/hsp/TANF-Caseload-Studies01/survey-design03/index.htm) that reviews existing survey questions and scales focused on potential barriers to employment among TANF recipients. Using this report, ASPE tailored a survey instrument that was used in all five State studies.

These studies document demographic and economic characteristics as well as potential assets and liabilities for employment among TANF recipients in four States and the District of Columbia. The District of Columbia found that although virtually all TANF recipients faced at least one liability, over one-quarter of the caseload was working. Having little work experience and experiencing child care problems, however, are linked with not working, even after separating out the effect on work of other barriers (see http://aspe.hhs.gov/hsp/leavers99/state-rpts/dc/TANF-Caseload.pdf). Maryland compared recipients in Baltimore City with those in other counties and found some differences in employment liabilities, such as physical and mental health problems, but no differences in current work status or past employment history (see http://aspe.hhs.gov/hsp/leavers99/state-rpts/md/Life-on-Welfare.pdf). South Carolina found that health issues (e.g., physical health problems, mental health problems, caring for a child with health problems) and learning-related challenges (e.g., low educational attainment, possible presence of a learning disability) were most closely related to employment status (see http://aspe.hhs.gov/hsp/leavers99/state-rpts/sc/emp-assets-liab-223E.pdf). Colorado found that mental health problems and family health needs were particularly common employment liabilities, especially among long-term recipients (see http://aspe.hhs.gov/hsp/leavers99/state-rpts/co/Welfare-Barriers.pdf). Missouri found that lack of job experience, having caretaking responsibilities for a family member or friend, or having a physical health problem were barriers for several economic outcomes (see http://aspe.hhs.gov/hsp/leavers99/state-rpts/mo/families).

ASPE staff conducted a data synthesis study across all grantees. The final synthesis paper, *Potential Employment Liabilities among TANF Recipients: A Synthesis of Data from Six State TANF Caseload Studies* (see http://aspe.hhs.gov/hsp/leavers99/emp-liab04/index.htm), was issued in October 2004. Findings indicate that a wide range of potential liabilities to employment are common among TANF recipients – including human capital deficits, personal and family challenges, and logistical challenges. Fewer liabilities, however, are significantly related to employment status. Net of all other liabilities measured in the studies, low levels of past work experience, low educational attainment, physical health challenges, and child care problems are most consistently related to current work status among TANF recipients. Follow-

up research focused on a range of potential health-related challenges and employment among TANF recipients is currently underway.

In another study related to the TANF caseload, ASPE contracted with MDRC to study the experiences of welfare "cyclers" (those who received welfare benefits during three or more discrete spells during a four-year observation period). The April 2004 final report, A Profile of Families Cycling on and off Welfare (see http://aspe.hhs.gov/hsp/cyclers04/index.htm), is based on analysis of five MDRC studies of welfare reform initiatives during the mid- to late- 1990s. Overall, cyclers constituted a relatively small portion of the welfare caseload (only nine percent of recipients became cyclers during the four-year observation period); however, the incidence of cycling increased during the years following implementation of the Personal Responsibility and Work Opportunity Reconciliation Act of 1996 (PRWORA).

As discussed more fully above under "Highlights of Major Research and Evaluation Findings," the *TANF Caseload Composition and Leavers Synthesis* report reviewed changes in the TANF caseload – and among those leaving the caseload – during the 10 years after welfare reform. The report found that, with the exception of some changes right after the passage of welfare reform, the demographic characteristics, employment, and earnings of both groups have remained relatively stable.

ACF and ASPE have also supported *The Project on Devolution and Urban Change*, a multi-disciplinary, longitudinal study of the implementation and impacts of welfare reform in four large urban areas. For the Urban Change study, MDRC collected longitudinal administrative data and survey data, conducted an implementation study and an ethnographic study, and observed neighborhood indicators from before welfare reform to 2002. Detailed reports combining findings from all of these components were issued on the effects of welfare reform in Cleveland (Cuyahoga County), Ohio; Philadelphia, Pennsylvania; Miami, Florida; and Los Angeles, California.

Among the major findings from the Urban Change study are:

- All of the sites applied work participation requirements to a larger proportion of the welfare caseload than they had prior to TANF and succeeded in engaging a higher proportion of recipients in welfare-to-work program activities. The most common work activity was unsubsidized employment. All of the States also increased the amount of money that recipients could keep when they went to work.

- MDRC followed the experiences over time of a survey sample of single mothers who were on welfare in 1995 and who were mostly living in high poverty neighborhoods. Over time, the percentage receiving welfare decreased sharply, the percentage working increased, and average earnings and income also increased. In all four sites, the average wage in 2001 was over $8 an hour. However, the percentage of respondents who had income from neither work nor welfare also increased.

- As this was not an experimental study, there is no control group whose experiences can be used as a reference point. Instead, MDRC attempted to estimate the counterfactual – what would have happened in the absence of welfare reform – by extending the trends

from the 1992 to 1996 period. This analysis shows some positive and negative impacts on welfare entry, exit, and recidivism, and on employment, but there is not a consistent pattern of impacts across sites.

In March 2007, MDRC released a follow-up report which looked at the continued effects of welfare reform in the two earliest Urban Change sites, Cleveland, Ohio and Philadelphia, Pennsylvania. The follow-up extended three of the analyses from the original study—implementation, administrative records, and neighborhood indicators. Of particular interest was the impact of the economic downturn that began in 2001, which led to State budget deficits and increased unemployment across the US and was not examined in the earlier study.

The follow-up study found:

- Over the period observed by MDRC, the number of open welfare cases with adults declined in both counties, even during the economic recession. Although the caseload decline was not as rapid as in the late 1990s, it was consistent with the trend found in the earlier reports. Additionally, case closures for long-term welfare recipients exceeded the rates predicted by MDRC's estimated counter-factual.

- The recidivism rate of former welfare recipients in Cleveland dropped below the rate predicted by previous trends. Data for Philadelphia was not available for this period.

ACF and ASPE have funded a number of projects to better understand specific aspects of how States are implementing the TANF program. These include projects described more fully in previous reports such as:

A two-part report titled: *Study of the TANF Application Process* (see http://www.acf.hhs.gov/programs/ofa/ifr/index.htm) 2003 (ACF)

The final report from: *A Study of Work Participation and Full Engagement Strategies* (see http://aspe.hhs.gov/hsp/full-engagement04/index.htm) September 2004 (ASPE)

The final report from: *Spending on Social Welfare Programs in Rich and Poor States* (see http://aspe.hhs.gov/hsp/social-welfare-spending04/index.htm) August 2004 (ASPE)

The final report from: *The Use of TANF Work-Oriented Sanctions in Illinois, New Jersey, and South Carolina* (see http://www.acf.hhs.gov/programs/opre/welfare_employ/sanction_pol/reports/sanction_pol/sanction_pol_title.html) April 2004 (ACF).

ACF subsequently contracted with Mathematica Policy Research, Inc. (MPR) to do a follow-up to the study cited above. In this study MPR went to local welfare offices in eight sites to determine how sanction policies were being implemented at the local level. The major focus of this study was to look at the relationship between sanction policies and State efforts to meet the work participation rate requirements as defined in the DRA. The major findings of this study include: (1) several States switched from partial to full-family sanctions, and none switched from a full-family to partial-family sanctions; (2) in Texas and in Georgia more stringent sanction requirements appeared to increase work participation rates by closing cases, but did not increase

the number of persons meeting the work participation requirements; (3) several sites sought ways to streamline the sanction process so that sanctions could be imposed more quickly, and several dedicated workers specifically to the sanction process; and (4) several sites made greater efforts to re-engage noncompliant recipients (some by a home visit) before a sanction was imposed, and several increased efforts to reengage recipients after they had been sanctioned in order to bring them back into compliance as quickly as possible. The final report from this study, *Using Work-Oriented Sanctions to Increase TANF Program Participation* (see http://www.acf.hhs.gov/programs/opre/welfare_employ/sanction_pol/reports/sanction_pol/sanction_pol_title.html) was issued in late 2007.

ACF contracted with the Lewin Group to conduct the *Local Implementation of TANF: A Description of Local Practices* project. This project involved a study of the local management of TANF programs in five sites now that the program has reached a relatively mature stage of implementation, including how programs are organized; the nature, quality, and frequency of staff training; management of contracted services; and outcome measurement and reporting. The sites selected were among locations where field research was conducted several years prior in order to gauge changes since the early years of TANF implementation. A report from the study released in 2007 found that most changes in policies and procedures affecting the local implementation of TANF originated at the State level; locally initiated changes most often involved office procedures; State and local policies and procedures have been adopted that by design or otherwise, have limited participation in TANF cash assistance; and institutional structures have become more complex in most of the local sites in recent years (see http://www.acf.hhs.gov/programs/opre/welfare_employ/local_impl/reports/local_impl/local_implementation.pdf). The project was extended because as field work was nearing completion, TANF was reauthorized under the DRA. The new legislation includes several provisions that significantly increase the effective work participation rate requirements States must achieve and removes the option previously available to States of moving disadvantaged clients into separate State programs to exclude them from the rate calculation. Beginning in June 2006, States and local jurisdictions were also required to bring their TANF program under compliance with new regulations under the DRA. Adapting to these new requirements is likely to result in different local management practices. A follow-up report to explore local adaptations to provisions of the DRA was published in March 2008 (see http://www.acf.hhs.gov/programs/opre/welfare_employ/local_impl/index.html).

In late 2006, ASPE, with ACF support, contracted with Mathematica Policy Research, Inc. (MPR) to identify, describe, and assess strategies that States and localities are using the increase the work participation of TANF clients. The work is being undertaken in response to the increases in effective State participation rate requirements under the DRA. After soliciting input from experts on the range of efforts that States and local offices are undertaking, site visits were conducted to identify and document promising strategies. Practice briefs will describe barriers, population targeting, services provided, staffing plans, costs, and timelines for exemplary strategies. These briefs are expected in early 2009.

In 2006, ACF contracted with the Lewin Group for a project to titled *TANF Time Limits, Separate State Programs, and Participation Requirements*. The study provides comprehensive examination of what has been learned to date about time limits, including: the number of families affected, the effect of time limits on employment and welfare receipt, the circumstances

of families whose welfare cases have been closed because they reach time limits the implementation of State policies related to time limits, including establishment of separate State programs. The study is based on three activities: (1) a synthesis of the existing research on time limits; (2) an analysis of monthly TANF administrative data States report to ACF; and (3) site visits to eight States. A final report was published in April 2008 (see http://www.acf.hhs.gov/programs/opre/welfare_employ/sep_state/index.html).

In 2007, ACF contracted with MPR for a project to titled *Identifying Promising TANF Diversion Practices*. The study will examine State and local efforts to divert TANF applicants from applying for cash assistance. Information on diversion practices will be obtained through a survey of State TANF directors and site visits to three States. The main objective of this study is to provide State and local TANF offices with information on promising strategies for diverting TANF applicants to employment, or otherwise meeting their need for assistance, and to identify and recommend potential approaches for further study and evaluation of diversion practices. A final report is expected in early 2009.

ASPE is currently examining the characteristics and caseload trends of American Indians and Alaska Natives in State and Tribal TANF programs. The project will produce a more in-depth look at TANF receipt among these populations in the State and Tribal programs since the addition of Tribal programs after welfare reform.

Promoting Healthy Marriage and Responsible Fatherhood

ACF and ASPE have developed a multi-pronged approach to increasing knowledge in this important subject area and have built the knowledge base in this priority area through examination of a range of issues and the conduct on rigorous evaluations to test interventions since FY 2002. Among the early projects, ACF funded a study by the Urban Institute that examined existing and potential settings for healthy marriage services to low-income populations, and included a systematic review of the literature on the effectiveness of services to strengthen marriage. The final reports were released in winter 2004 (see http://www.acf.hhs.gov/programs/opre/strengthen/serv_delivery/index.html). ASPE funded a project to examine differences among single- and married-parent family types in the TANF and Food Stamp programs. The final report, *Public Assistance Use Among Two-Parent Families: An Analysis of TANF and Food Stamp Program Eligibility and Participation* (see http://aspe.hhs.gov/hsp/05/2parent-part/), was released in spring 2005.

ACF and ASPE are continuing research in this field. A broad range of projects are described in this section. Ongoing healthy marriage and responsible fatherhood projects include the *Building Strong Families (BSF) Demonstration and Evaluation Project*, which is being conducted under contract to Mathematica Policy Research, Inc. and is funded by ACF. This large-scale multi-site evaluation involves the development and rigorous evaluation of interventions to increase the well-being of children through provision of voluntary healthy marriage education services to help low-income unwed parents achieve their goals of healthy marriage and positive family functioning. This project builds on research that found that, at the time of the birth of a child, many unwed parents have high hopes of marriage to each other. However, without intervention and supports, only about 10 percent marry within a year. The evaluation will assess impacts of the intervention on the quality and stability of marriages and couple relationships and other

measures of well-being including the well-being of children. In FY 2007, seven sites are implementing the BSF package of marriage-related services (marriage education classes, caseworkers, and support services), and the contractor has begun a 15-month follow-up survey to determine program impacts (later, a 36-month follow-up survey will also be conducted). One report, *Implementing Healthy Marriage programs for Unmarried Parents: Early Lessons from the Building Strong Families Project*, discusses findings from the initial program development and pilot phases of the sites; the report is discussed above under "Highlights of Major Research and Evaluation Findings."

ACF and ASPE also jointly funded evaluations of the *Partners for Fragile Families (PFF)* demonstrations in nine States. The PFF initiative targeted young fathers (16 to 25 years old) who had not yet established paternity and did not yet have involvement with the child support enforcement system, with the hope that the projects could assist these young parents to become strong financial and emotional resources for their children. The projects tested new ways for State-run child support enforcement programs and community-based organizations to work together to help young fathers obtain employment, make child support payments and learn parenting skills. The evaluations are intended to document the effects of these interventions on poor, young, unwed fathers' employment, child support payments, parenting and family relationships.

Findings from the national evaluation of PFF are reported in four documents. The first report from the national evaluation of PFF, *Implementation of Partners for Fragile Families Demonstration Projects*, released in June 2007, describes the design and implementation of the 13 demonstration projects. A second report, *Voices of Young Fathers: The Partners for Fragile Families Demonstration Project*, also released in June 2007, presents ethnographic case studies of eight young (age 19-26), unmarried, low-income fathers who participated in the PFF demonstration initiatives in Boston and Indianapolis. It analyzes their life experiences in order to illuminate the opportunities and challenges in serving this population. The *Partners for Fragile Families (PFF) Demonstration Projects: Employment and Child Support Outcomes and Trends* report, issued in September 2007, describes findings from a pre-post examination of employment and child support behaviors of the PFF participants. Analysis is based on data from the PFF management information systems, unemployment insurance (UI) quarterly earnings records, and monthly child support payments records. This analysis finds that overall, most PFF participants fared poorly in the labor market (as measured by UI records), but child support outcomes were more positive, especially in light of the very modest employment gains. Findings are: (1) employment rates were low and did not change much over time. Earnings for those who worked were generally low but increased over time. However, even after the increase annualized income based on quarterly wage data was still at or near poverty levels. (2) employment outcomes were not uniform over time and across PFF sites. (3) the proportion of PFF participants with child support orders increased considerably over time. (4) the amount of child support paid and the average number of months that PFF participants made a child support payment both increased over time. A final brief, issued in February 2008, summarizes key findings from several important fatherhood initiatives that were developed and implemented during the 1990s and early 2000s, including PFF. All publications are available at: http://aspe.hhs.gov/hsp/07/PFF/index.htm.

In FY 2003 ASPE and ACF jointly funded a project being conducted by the Lewin Group and the Urban Institute to explore options for the collection of marriage and divorce statistics at the national, State, and local levels. This effort builds on findings and recommendations from the Counting Couples workshop sponsored by the Federal Inter-Agency Forum on Child and Family Statistics. It involves assessing the needs of various marriage and divorce data users, the strengths and gaps in current survey and administrative data on marriage and divorce, and the challenges inherent in modifying such data systems. A major task involves a survey of individuals responsible for the collection and maintenance of marriage and divorce vital records data in each State and in selected local agencies. The researchers will use the information obtained from the various sources to describe the strengths and weaknesses of the various data collections and present potential improvements that could strengthen and divorce data. A series of reports will be released in 2009.

In late FY 2003, ACF funded two additional major evaluation efforts to assess the effectiveness of different approaches to promoting healthy marriages. The *Supporting Healthy Marriage (SHM)* evaluation is being conducted by MDRC and uses an experimental research design to assess the effectiveness of programs to support healthy marriage and positive family functioning among low-income married couples with children. Eight organizations in seven States began conducting pilot tests of the SHM program in 2007. Upon successful completion of pilot tests, demonstration programs will be included in the formal SHM evaluation. The first examination of marital and family and child well-being outcomes in SHM demonstrations will be made 12 months after couples are randomly assigned into research groups and a second round of surveys and assessments will be conducted at 36 months after entry into the study. An initial working paper assessing recent descriptive statistics on the formation and stability, characteristics, and quality of marriages in the low-income population of the U.S. has been released (see http://www.supportinghealthymarriage.org/publications/6/workpaper.html).

The other major evaluation study is the *Community Healthy Marriage Initiative Evaluation* (CHMI) being conducted by RTI International and the Urban Institute. The CHMI evaluation includes: (1) implementation evaluations of multiple section 1115 waiver projects authorized by the Office of Child Support Enforcement (OCSE) that utilize a community approach to healthy marriage within the goals and objectives of the child support program; and (2) an impact and implementation evaluation using longitudinal data to assess changes in marital satisfaction and stability, family well-being, and community outcomes among low-income families in three areas where large scale healthy marriage programs funded by the Office of Family Assistance (OFA) are operating; similar data will be collected in matched comparison sites to assess the impact of the demonstration services. Two implementation study reports on the implementation of community healthy marriage demonstrations in Grand Rapids, MI and in Nampa, ID have been released. (See http://www.acf.hhs.gov/programs/opre/strengthen/eval_com/reports/grand_rapids/grand_title.html and http://www.acf.hhs.gov/programs/opre/strengthen/eval_com/reports/nampa_demo/nampa_title.html.)

In addition, in FY 2003, ACF funded the Urban Institute to document and develop a database of financial incentives and disincentives for marriage based on a range of State and Federal policies relevant for low-income families and analyze the potential effects of the policies alone and in

combination based on marital status or living arrangements. The project includes the development of a web-based interactive *Marriage* C*alculator* that allows interested parties to simulate the effects on benefits of different family formation decisions based on income and wages for each adult, given different family sizes. The initial project was completed in 2006 (See http://marriagecalculator.acf.hhs.gov/marriage/).

In 2005, ASPE contracted with Mathematica Policy Research Inc. to conduct a process evaluation of the *Oklahoma Marriage Initiative (OMI)*. OMI aims to promote and strengthen marriage, primarily by providing relationship skills education. Funded mostly through TANF, it focuses on serving low-income families but is open to all. The OMI uses existing service delivery infrastructure to provide services and has a growing cadre of volunteer relationship skills instructors trained under the program. The process evaluation will provide information on OMI goals and objectives; design, start up and implementation issues; major programmatic components, variance between what was planned and what actually occurred; participant characteristics; and costs, in an effort to help States and the Federal government to understand how they can better support healthy marriages, particularly for low-income families. A series of short informational briefs as well as a comprehensive final report documenting the issues and implications around the program design choices made by Oklahoma were produced in the summer of 2008. The first issue brief, *An Overview of the Longest-Running Statewide Marriage Initiative in the U.S.*, was released in December 2006. It describes efforts at the highest levels of the State government to develop a philosophy of change, select an implementation strategy, and build statewide capacity to deliver relationship skills education. All briefs and the final report will be available at http://aspe.hhs.gov/hsp/06/OMI/ .

Also in 2005, ACF contracted with the RAND Corporation to conduct a review of literature on healthy marriage precursors among youth and develop a conceptual framework. The study resulted in the development of a theoretical model depicting the connections between youth attitudes, beliefs, and behaviors regarding marriage and childhood, adolescent experiences, biological factors, and adult outcomes. The study also examined existing curriculum and program models that address healthy adolescent relationships. The final report was released in September 2007 (see http://www.acf.dhhs.gov/programs/opre/strengthen/marr_precursors/reports/adolescent_relationships/adolescent_title.html). In addition, in 2005, ACF contracted with Abt Associates to review research literature and lessons from practice to develop a conceptual framework for healthy marriage interventions with married stepfamily couples. The final report, *Meeting the Needs of Married, Low-income Stepfamily Couples in Marriage Education Services*, was released in April 2007 (see http://www.acf.hhs.gov/programs/opre/strengthen/sup_stepfamilies/index.html).

ACF contracted with the Urban Institute in 2005 to synthesize research relevant to decision-making and behavior about marriage, family formation, employment and earnings and family functioning with a focus on low-income populations. The purpose of the project is to develop a conceptual framework for further research on inter-related aspects of family and work life to inform the development of policy and human services demonstrations and evaluations. The final project report was released in December 2007 (see http://www.acf.hhs.gov/programs/opre/strengthen/marr_employ/index.html).

Also in FY 2005, ACF issued a program announcement that resulted in the award of five multi-year grants to further knowledge about healthy marriage among low-income populations. Two of the grants involve evaluations of intervention services, one assessing the effects of high-school based curriculum and the other evaluating the impacts of a curriculum specifically developed for African American couples. Two others involve analyses of existing data sets to address policy relevant questions and the fifth grant involves collecting primary data to better understand barriers to couples' participation in marriage education.

In FY 2006, ACF issued a *Responsible Fatherhood and Healthy Marriage Research Initiative* program announcement that resulted in three multi-year grant awards to support evaluations of programs that promote responsible fatherhood and support healthy marriages between low-income parents. All three evaluation studies use an experimental research design to assess the net impact of the intervention on a range of outcome measures.

ASPE contracted with Mathematica Policy Research, Inc. in 2006 to conduct secondary analysis of existing national data sets in an effort to identify the characteristics of nationally representative samples of various target populations for healthy marriage programs. A databook, entitled *the Marriage Measures Guide of State-Level Statistics* which draws on data from several sources to provide a broad range of state-level statistical information that can be used to better assess the characteristics and needs of their state populations, identify high-priority target populations, and make informed decisions about the design and implementation of their healthy marriage programs. In addition, the guide serves as a general resource for anyone wanting to better understand current marriage patterns in their state. This guide was completed in March of 2008. A report and a research brief, *The Effects of Marriage on Health: A Synthesis of Recent Research Evidence*, were released in June 2007 (see http://aspe.hhs.gov/hsp/07/marriageonhealth/index.htm). They highlight the effects of marriage on health-related measures in five broad areas: health behaviors; health access, use, and costs; mental health; physical health and longevity; and intergenerational health effects. Finally, an analysis of teenagers' expectations, attitudes, and experiences concerning romantic relationships and marriage, based on four national surveys, was issued in October 2008.

Also in 2006, ASPE convened a symposium of diverse group of experts with research and practice knowledge on marriage and incarceration, for the purpose of understanding more fully the strategies for improving outcomes for couples who want to maintain healthy marriages during and after one of the partners is incarcerated. A report summarizing the presentations and discussions, *Research and Practice Symposium on Marriage and Incarceration: A Meeting Summary* (see http://aspe.hhs.gov/hsp/07/marr-incar), was published in January 2007. A new project is being funded to analyze data from three States to determine the effect of partner relationships on successful re-entry. Religion and spirituality will be mediating variables.

Further, in 2006, ACF and ASPE initiated a project to evaluate the *Marriage and Family Strengthening Grants for Incarcerated and Reentering Fathers and their Partners* grants funded through the ACF Responsible Fatherhood grant program priority area five – Responsible Fatherhood, Marriage and Family Strengthening Grants for Incarcerated and Re-entering Fathers and their Partners. The evaluation will lead to improved future marriage and corrections interventions by identifying how best to design interventions for couples involved with the criminal justice system and determining what kinds of marriage education programs can have an

impact on stronger families and safer communities. The project includes an implementation evaluation of 14 sites and an impact evaluation, of a smaller number of the sites, designed to help determine what types of programs work best for those involved in the criminal justice system and what effects these programs may have on fostering healthy marriages, families, and children. The evaluation is being conducted through a contract with RTI, International.

In 2007, ASPE published a research brief estimating marital and non-marital fatherhood patterns for men ages 15 to 44, based on the National Survey of Family Growth, 2002. The report, *Marital and Unmarried Births to Men: Complex Patterns of Fatherhood* (see http://aspe.hhs.gov/hsp/07/births-to-men/rb), suggests that for most men, fatherhood is restricted to marriage. A significant fraction of men, however, have complex fertility patterns including un-married births, but also mixtures of marital, cohabiting, and single births. A man's pattern of births is related to a wide range of social and economic circumstances. Importantly, a man's status at the time his first child is born is very strongly related to his marital status when his other children are born.

In addition, in 2007 ACF and ASPE are beginning a number of new projects. ACF and ASPE are collaborating on a multi-year project to conduct a comprehensive process and outcome evaluation of ten *Healthy Marriage Initiative* grant projects that serve primarily Hispanic couples. This evaluation will provide an in-depth, systematic analysis of program implementation, operations, and outputs with a special focus on adaptations specifically for Hispanic couples and individuals. ACF and ASPE contracted with The Lewin Group and its subcontractors, MDRC and the Washington University Center for Latino Family Research, to conduct this implementation evaluation. ACF also awarded a contract to MPR to expand knowledge about decision making among low-income couples with regard to key topics such as marriage or cohabitation; seeking, obtaining, or advancing in employment; bearing children; and negotiating and determining parental roles and responsibilities.

Also in 2007, ASPE established the academic-based *National Center for Marriage Research* through a cooperative agreement with Bowling Green State University. The Center will improve our understanding of how marriage and family structure affect the health and well-being of individuals, families, children and communities by addressing key research questions, establishing a strong network of multi-disciplinary scholars who focus their research on marriage and family structure, develop and train future researchers, improve research methods and data to permit a fuller understanding of the effects of family structure in various domains across the life span, and actively disseminate research findings. In addition, ASPE contracted with Mathematica Policy Research to commission a series of research papers examining the interaction between marriage and health in the African American community. Papers will be presented at a symposium and compiled into an edited volume. Other ongoing ASPE projects include a study to identify the set of family strengths associated with marriage, including analysis of positive outcomes for youth, and a study to help improve the connections among financial literacy and asset accumulation and marriage skills programming for low-income couples. In February 2008, ASPE convened a roundtable which provided an opportunity for experts in the fields of marriage education, asset development, and financial education to exchange knowledge about programs and to explore collaboration.

Innovative Employment Strategies

ACF has funded a range of research to address issues related to increasing employment among welfare recipients. One major initiative in this area, *The Employment Retention and Advancement Evaluation* (ERA), initiated in FY 1999, builds on earlier experience in order to test experimentally a new generation of approaches to promoting employment retention and advancement. The goal of this multi-year demonstration and evaluation project, being evaluated by MDRC, is to gain knowledge about how best to help low-income families sustain attachment to, and advancement in, the labor market. Sixteen intervention strategies have been implemented in eight States (California, Illinois, Minnesota, New York, Ohio, Oregon, South Carolina, and Texas).

Interim impact reports were released in FY 2006 for programs in South Carolina (see http://www.acf.hhs.gov/programs/opre/welfare_employ/employ_retention/reports/era_sc/era_sc_title.html) and Texas (see http://www.acf.hhs.gov/programs/opre/welfare_employ/employ_retention/reports/results_texas/results_tx_title.html) and in FY 2007 for programs in Illinois (see http://www.acf.hhs.gov/programs/opre/welfare_employ/employ_retention/reports/chicago_era/chicago_era_title.html), Minnesota (see http://www.acf.hhs.gov/programs/opre/welfare_employ/employ_retention/reports/minnesota_tier2/mn_tier2_title.html), and New York (see http://www.acf.hhs.gov/programs/opre/welfare_employ/employ_retention/reports/era_pride/era_pride_title.html).

All sites are randomly assigned participants to control and experimental groups (except for Cleveland which randomly assigned participating employers) and several important variations on the retention and advancement themes are being tried:

- Cleveland experimented with a cooperative effort between employers and the TANF agency that offered career progression.
- New York attempted to enhance employment retention and advancement among substance abusers.
- Texas offered significant cash incentives to increase participation in its post-employment services program.
- Minnesota provided enhanced services for participants with acute mental health problems.
- Eugene, Oregon targeted newly employed TANF recipients with a variety of flexible education, training, and career development services designed to help participants advance into better jobs.
- South Carolina aggressively reached out to former welfare recipients who had been off the rolls for at least nine months, attempting to locate and work with prior TANF recipients who may need employment-related assistance in order to avoid recidivism.

- Los Angeles, California is testing a variation of "work first" in the form of enhanced job club activities.

ACF also funded evaluation of *College as a Job Advancement Strategy: New Visions Self-Sufficiency and Lifelong Learning Demonstration Project.* The purpose of this demonstration, initiated in FY 1999 with a final report released in January 2006, was to test the impact of an education program designed to improve the job prospects of TANF recipients already working at least 20 hours per week by providing them with an education program designed to meet their special needs as working recipients. The project was conducted in Riverside, California for welfare recipients of the County Department of Public Social Services. The education program was designed by the Riverside Community College (RCC) and implemented on its campus. The core components of New Visions, which lasted for 24 weeks, consisted of classes in English, math, reading, office computer software, and guidance. These courses placed special emphasis on basic communication and computational skills critical for work. After graduating from the core New Visions program, students were encouraged to enroll in a variety of occupational programs designed to help the student enter or advance in specific careers. The evaluation of New Visions was carried out by randomly assigning eligible recipients who expressed interest in the New Visions program to a treatment or to a control group. Only subjects in the treatment group could access the New Visions program. Recipients in the control group were encouraged to pursue some other form of education and training. Impacts were estimated by comparing outcomes for treatment and control groups. Study findings include: (1) recruiting students into the program was difficult, and of those recruited and assigned to the treatment group, 38% were no-shows and only 27% completed the core sequence; (2) those in the treatment group participated in more education and training than those in the control group and also earned more college credits; (3) New Visions had a statistically significant *negative* impact on earnings for each of the three years following assignment to the program; and (4) persons assigned to New Visions received cash welfare benefits for more months and in greater amounts than those in the control group, and these differences were statistically significant in the 3rd year after assignment to treatment or control groups. The final report is available at http://www.acf.dhhs.gov/programs/opre/welfare_employ/new_visions/reports/clg_job_adv/clg_title.html.

ACF's also funded a project conducted by the Urban Institute that was designed to identify potentially effective approaches and programs for promoting stable employment and wage growth among low-income populations. The report from this project, *Innovative Employment Approaches and Programs for Low-Income Families* (see http://www.acf.hhs.gov/programs/opre/welfare_employ/inno_employ/reports/innovative/innovative_title.html), was issued in 2007. It established a set of criteria to define and identify innovative approaches and programs and discusses twelve innovative approaches and 51 programs that were identified. To qualify as "innovative," an approach had to meet one or more of four criteria: (1) address at least one (and preferably more than one) of the causes of low earnings among low-wage workers; (2) provide an untested intervention, but one that is grounded in research to date; (3) address the specific policy interests of Federal or State policymakers and/or program operators; or (4) have some potential for being adapted in other States and localities. Programs implementing such approaches were classified as innovative if they met one or more of four criteria: (1) strong program design and services; (2) relatively mature programs that are operating at "steady state" implementation or for relatively long

periods of time; (3) programs that operate on at least a moderate scale; or (4) evidence of positive results or outcomes, particularly economic outcomes.

Finally, in 2007 ACF initiated a new demonstration project that will test a range of promising strategies to promote self-sufficiency and reduce welfare utilization. The *Innovative Strategies for Increasing Self-Sufficiency* evaluation represents the next step in a series of evaluations of demonstrations designed to promote employment and self-sufficiency among economically disadvantaged families, including those receiving or at risk of receiving welfare benefits. Conducted by Abt Associates, this project will evaluate multiple employment-focused strategies that build on previous approaches and are adapted to the current Federal, State, and local policy environment. Approaches of particular interest include employment services that involve couples together, those that serve families with barriers such as a disability or a history of substance abuse, employment-focused diversion programs, self-employment programs, strategies involving employers, strategies to increase employment retention, and those designed to promote career advancement. However, other approaches may also be evaluated. Such programs and services will be operating in the context of the requirements set forth in the DRA for the TANF program, which changed the work participation rate calculation among other changes in the TANF program. The goal of this evaluation is to increase empirical knowledge about the effectiveness of programs utilizing varied strategies aimed at helping low-income families sustain employment and advance to positions that enable self-sufficiency. The evaluation will utilize a random assignment design in up to six sites in order to assess the effectiveness of the multiple interventions

Effective Strategies for Serving the Hard-to-Employ

State and local TANF officials and other service providers have expressed the need for more information and guidance as they develop employment-focused strategies to work more effectively with TANF recipients who face substantial barriers to employment. These include adults with substance abuse and/or mental health problems, physical or developmental disabilities, learning disabilities or very low basic skills, those who have experienced domestic violence, or those who have a general history of low and intermittent employment. In many instances, agencies will need new methods and strategies to meet the needs of individuals facing one or more of these barriers in order for them to enter and succeed in the labor market.

ACF, ASPE, and the Department of Labor (DOL) are funding a major evaluation project that builds on lessons from earlier work and is intended to increase knowledge about the most effective strategies for helping hard-to-employ low-income parents and individuals find and sustain employment and improve family and child well-being. The Enhanced Services for the Hard-to-Employ Demonstration and Evaluation project is a multi-year, multi-site effort that began with first identifying agencies and organizations already working or interested in working with such parents and individuals and then working further with promising sites towards designing and implementing programs that address barriers to employment by using state-of-the art methods and approaches. The evaluation utilizes an experimental design to assess program effectiveness and will document the implementation and operational lessons from the perspective of program operators, administrators, and participants. The evaluation is testing intervention strategies in four sites, including: (1) a transitional work and employment support program for

recent prison parolees in New York City; (2) an outreach effort in Rhode Island designed to enlist Medicaid recipients with depression into mental health treatment and connect them to employment services, (3) three Early Head Start Programs in Kansas and Missouri involving enhancing and expanding the self-sufficiency components of the program to build both employment gains and positive child impacts; and (4) a program in Philadelphia testing two promising approaches for TANF recipients with significant barriers – a transitional employment approach, and an approach relying on in-depth assessment and an individually tailored menu of employment and support services and intensive case management.

A *Hard-to-Employ Profile Report* (See http://www.acf.hhs.gov/programs/opre/welfare_employ/enhanced_hardto/reports/four_strategies/four_strategies_title.html) was released in the fall of 2007. This report describes the origin of the project and the rationale for the demonstration, explains the study design, and describes the four sites and characteristics of the participants.

An interim impact report on one of the sites titled, *The Center for Employment Opportunities (CEO) Prisoner Reentry Program: Early Impacts from a Random Assignment Evaluation* (see http://www.acf.hhs.gov/programs/opre/welfare_employ/enhanced_hardto/reports/transitional_jobs/trans_jobs_title.html), was also released in the fall of 2007. CEO, in New York City, is one of the nation's largest employment-focused prisoner reentry programs. The evaluation utilized an experimental, random assignment design. Participants were randomly assigned to the regular CEO program (program group), or to receive basic job search assistance (control group). The report covers impacts for one year after participants entered the study.

CEO uses a distinctive transitional employment model. After a four-day job readiness class, participants are placed in temporary, minimum wage jobs with work crews that perform maintenance or repair work under contract to city and State agencies. Participants are paid daily. Within weeks, they also receive help finding permanent jobs and follow-up services to promote employment retention. The evaluation targeted a key subset of CEO's population – ex-prisoners who showed up at the program after being referred by a parole officer.

For the full research sample, CEO generated a large, but short-lived increase in employment covered by unemployment insurance (UI). During the early months of follow-up, when many in the program group worked in CEO crews (jobs covered by UI), the employment rate for the program group was 30 to 40 percentage points higher than for the control group. However, the program group's employment rate dropped as people left CEO jobs, and the difference between the groups disappeared by the end of the year. Nevertheless, there was a small but statistically significant decrease in felony convictions and incarceration for new crimes during Year 1.

Among those who came to CEO within three months after release, the program produced statistically significant decreases in parole revocations, felony convictions, re-incarceration for new crimes, and overall re-incarceration after Year 1. Effects on these measures are rarely seen in rigorous evaluations. The pattern of employment impacts was similar to that for the full sample, though the impacts seem to have declined more slowly for this subgroup.

In 2006, ACF began the *Identifying Promising Practices for Helping TANF Recipients with Disabilities Enter and Sustain Employment* project. This project is exploring strategies that

facilitate employment of TANF adult recipients living with mental, intellectual, and/or physical disabilities. Conducted by Mathematica Policy Research, Inc., the project is exploring current innovative efforts by States to assist disabled individuals in securing and retaining employment. It is focused on providing States with information to assist them in developing programs addressing the employment needs of this population, and helping ACF to develop our research agenda in this area. Final reports were published in February 2008 (see http://www.acf.hhs.gov/programs/opre/welfare_employ/identify_promise_prac/index.html).

Rural Welfare-to-Work Strategies

ACF is investing resources to learn how best to help TANF and other low-income rural families enter into and sustain employment. This evaluation, being conducted by Mathematica Policy Research, Inc., will help identify effective rural welfare-to-work strategies, operational challenges, and solutions that can be used by State and local TANF agencies and others. The project has been implemented in Illinois and Nebraska and employed a random assignment experimental design. An implementation report (see http://www.acf.hhs.gov/programs/opre/welfare_employ/rural_wtw/reports/rwtw/rwtw_title.html) was released in mid-2004. The evaluation will highlight promising models and determine the effectiveness and cost-benefits of these welfare-to-work strategies in rural areas.

- *Illinois Future Steps* implemented in five counties in southern Illinois beginning in July 2001, has an intensive employment and case management program tailored to people with low incomes. An interim impact report of the program was published and can be found at: http://www.acf.hhs.gov/programs/opre/welfare_employ/rural_wtw/index.html. The report, which presents results from an eighteen months follow-up, found no evidence that Future Steps improved employment and earning or reduced welfare dependency. The final report was released in September 2008.

- The *Building Nebraska Families Program* is an education-based developmental program that began in March 2002 and works with participants in 37 rural counties throughout the State. It provides one-on-one instruction and assistance in clients' homes focused on helping Nebraska's TANF/Employment First clients who have not found or sustained employment through regular program activities get enhanced services. A final report was released in September 2008.

Understanding the Low-Wage Labor Market

Understanding the motivations, hiring practices, and workplace policies of employers – the demand side of the labor market – can provide considerable information to policy makers interested in promoting work and advancement among welfare recipients and other less-skilled workers. The ACF project, *Understanding the Demand Side of the TANF Labor Market*, conducted by the Urban Institute and Mathematica Policy Research, adds to knowledge in this area by surveying employers in the TANF/low-wage labor market. The survey, of a nationally representative sample of private-sector employers, focuses on industry sectors with the most jobs in the low-wage labor market, the employers most relevant for the majority of current and recent TANF recipients. The survey gathers information from employers on their attitudes, practices,

and policies toward TANF recipient and other low-skill hires, including information on worker advancement, the use of work force intermediaries in hiring, and the role that child care plays in worker retention. The survey will allow for comparisons of employers in urban-core areas, suburbs, and exurbs/rural areas. It also measures employment outcomes for TANF recipients and other low-skilled workers, allowing analysis of the connections between employer practices and employee outcomes. In short, this national survey of employers in the low-wage labor market can provide key information on what employer practices and policies are and how they are associated with workplace success for welfare recipients and other less-skilled workers. The final report was issued in April 2008 (see http://www.acf.hhs.gov/programs/opre/welfare_employ/unders_demand/).

An earlier ASPE-funded study conducted by Abt Associates, Inc., and the Upjohn Institute for Employment Research, provided a foundation for the current TANF employer survey referenced above. The study's May 2004 report, *Private Employers and TANF Recipients*, may be accessed at http://aspe.hhs.gov/hsp/private-employers04/index.htm.

To study the labor market factors that affect job retention and wage advancement among TANF recipients and other low-income and disadvantaged workers, ASPE has funded a series of analyses using panel data from the Survey of Income and Program Participation (SIPP), data from the Longitudinal Employer Household Dynamics (LEHD) program housed at the Census Bureau, and data from the Administrative Data Research and Evaluation (ADARE) consortium supported by the Department of Labor. These data programs provide longitudinal information that can be used to track the employment and economic outcomes over time of low-income and other disadvantaged populations, including TANF recipients, former recipients, and those at risk of entering TANF.

ASPE funded Mathematica Policy Research, Inc. to study the low-wage labor market for TANF recipients and other low-wage workers using longitudinal data from the SIPP. The April 2004 final report, *Characteristics of Low-Wage Workers and Their Labor Market Experiences: Evidence from the Mid- to Late-1990s* (see http://aspe.hhs.gov/search/hsp/low-wage-workers04/index.htm), examines the post-PRWORA labor market experiences of low-wage workers using the 1996 SIPP panel, which provides longitudinal data from 1996 to early 2000. In each year of the panel, the study shows that roughly one quarter of all workers were low-wage workers (i.e., had hourly wage rates less than $7.50). The study also showed substantial job mobility among low-wage workers, especially among those who began the period with better quality jobs (e.g., earned somewhat higher wages, had health benefits available, worked full-time hours) and among continuous workers who switched jobs (relative to those who remained in their starting job). ASPE currently is conducting a follow-up project using the 2001 to 2003 SIPP panel to examine the relationship between the receipt of work supports and transitions to greater self-sufficiency among low-wage workers. A final report is expected in 2009.

To gain a better understanding of the factors that enable low-income single mothers to escape poverty and attain greater economic self-sufficiency, ASPE funded a related study by Mathematica Policy Research, Inc. using data from the 2001 to 2003 SIPP panel to study the employment and economic experiences of single mothers following exits from poverty. The June 2007 final report, *Economic Patterns of Single Mothers Following Their Poverty Exits* (see http://aspe.hhs.gov/hsp/07/PovertyExits/index.htm), examines the income, employment and

poverty experiences of single mothers for two years after they exited poverty. The study found that 30 percent of single mothers were poor but then left poverty. Work effort was high among single mothers who left poverty: on average they worked for three-quarters of the subsequent two years following their poverty exit. Among this group of poverty leavers, 28 percent remained out of poverty for the next two years, 56 percent cycled in and out of poverty, and 16 percent reentered poverty and stayed poor over the next two years. Those who remained out of poverty tended to have higher paying jobs and more benefits (such as health insurance), and worked more hours than single mothers in the other two groups. The single mothers who stayed out of poverty also were somewhat older and were more likely to have more than a high school degree and to ever have been married. They were also much less likely to have a health limitation that affected their ability to work.

Several ASPE projects have used the Longitudinal Employer Household Dynamics (LEHD) data to explore employment outcomes for low-income and other disadvantaged workers over an even longer period of time. LEHD data contain administrative records on both workers and the firms that hire them, linked longitudinally over 10 years for nearly the entire labor force. One ASPE project in this series used the LEHD data to examine TANF recipients' ability to hold a job and work their way out of low-wage status. The final report, *Successful Transitions out of Low-Wage Work for Temporary Assistance for Needy Families (TANF) Recipients* (see http://aspe.hhs.gov/hsp/low-wage-workers-transitions04/index.htm), was released in April 2004. Findings show that for those on TANF in 1999, average wage growth was positive between 2000 and 2001 for most income groups. Nonetheless, within each income group, roughly 50 percent did not experience wage growth. Although this analysis is limited to TANF recipients identified in the Decennial Census data, ASPE has another project that will link TANF administrative data to the LEHD database. This will enable the use of the LEHD data for studying employment progression for the full universe of current and former TANF recipients.

Two related ASPE studies using the longitudinal LEHD data on earnings linked with income and health insurance data from the Current Population Survey (CPS) are currently underway. The first examines the long-term employment and earnings outcomes of single mothers with the lowest income levels (i.e., those with total family income in the bottom two income quintiles), and the second examines the relationship between employer-sponsored health insurance coverage and a prior history of instability in the low-wage labor market. Reports for these studies are expected in early 2009.

ASPE conducted a study on the use of Unemployment Insurance (UI) as a safety net for former TANF recipients based on the longitudinal ADARE data program. This project examines transitions between TANF, work, and UI using linked administrative data from four States. Since the data are the universe in these States – not a sample – and we have matched TANF and UI data, we can follow TANF leavers longitudinally and see how UI supports TANF families who have left assistance for work and subsequently lost employment. The data are made available through the Administrative Data Research and Evaluation (ADARE) consortium of the Employment Security Agencies and partnering universities in participating States. According to analyses of these TANF leavers, receipt of UI reduces the return to TANF by 22 percent. Among these TANF leavers, of those who become newly unemployed and apply for UI benefits, nearly 91 percent will be eligible for monetary reasons, 36 percent will be eligible for non-monetary reasons, and 55 percent will ultimately draw UI benefits. Note, however, that

depending on the state, between 18 and 43 percent of newly unemployed TANF leavers applied for UI benefits within 3 years after leaving TANF, a rate that is lower than the rate for other non-TANF applicants. The lower rate may reflect lower labor force attachment and experience among former TANF recipients. This report may be accessed at: http://aspe.hhs.gov/hsp/08/UI-TANF/.

This work builds on a previous ASPE study on the use of UI benefits among low-income single mothers. Results from this earlier study show that receipt of UI benefits increased among low-income single women with children between 2000 and 2003; this is in contrast to the lack of increased receipt during the recession of the 1990s. The report also shows that during periods of economic difficulty, many single mothers who experience interruptions in their employment have been able to use the UI system as their primary safety net. This increased use of UI has reduced some of the demand for TANF cash assistance. This 2005 report may be accessed at http://aspe.hhs.gov/hsp/05/unemp-receipt/.

Child Care and TANF

Many child care policy research projects have looked at child care subsidies and services as a support for employment of low-income, at risk, and TANF-linked families.

The *National Study of Child Care for Low-Income Families*, conducted by Abt Associates and the National Center for Children in Poverty at Columbia University's Joseph Mailman School of Public Health, was a ten-year research effort in 17 States and 25 communities. Funded by ACF, the study examined: (a) how States and communities implemented child care subsidy policies and programs directly after welfare reform; (b) how parents select child care arrangements (e.g. family child care, center-based child care) and use subsidies; and (c) what family child care looks like. Final reports were issued in the fall of 2007 (see http://www.acf.hhs.gov/programs/opre/cc/nsc_low_income/index.html).

The *Child Care, Welfare, and Families: The Nexus of Policies, Practices, and Systems*, conducted by The Urban Institute, examined the role of welfare policies and practices in shaping the child care subsidy experiences of low-income families, focusing on how these issues affect families' access and utilization of child care subsidies. Findings from this study may assist States in coordinating CCDF and TANF administrative practices.

The study conducted telephone interviews in 11 localities with State and local administrators and staff from both the welfare and child care systems and focus groups in four sites (Miami, FL; Houston, TX; Jackson, MS; and Denver, CO) with parents receiving TANF and child care subsidies, as well as parents who had recently left TANF and were still receiving child care subsidies. A series of papers released in April 2006 report findings from this study. (see http://www.urbaninstitute.org/publications/311302.html). The first report, *Child Care Subsidies for TANF Families: The Nexus of Systems and Policies,* provides information on the points of intersection between child care and welfare, the way localities structure child care and welfare systems and staff, and the factors that impede or aid coordination between the systems. The study found large variation in how localities structure the intersection between the welfare and child care systems, including administrative structures, policies, staffing approaches, and strategies around coordination. Further, it found a limited role of the child care subsidy agency

for TANF clients, with child care workers often having no decision making role in the subsidies for TANF clients and, in some sites, the TANF clients never coming into contact with a child care worker until they left welfare. Also, levels of administrative complexity and client burden across sites often appeared to have far more to do with the policies and practices of the site rather than the particular administrative approach the site took to connect the welfare and child care systems for clients.

A second report, *Parents' Perspectives: Child Care Subsidies and Moving from Welfare to Work focused* on parents' experiences with accessing and retaining subsidies as they move through and off the welfare system. Focus group participants were asked about their child care subsidy experiences, including applying for subsidies, finding a provider, ongoing subsidy requirements, and the transition off welfare. The study found that child care subsidies play an important role for families in terms of supporting parents' efforts to work and to have their children in a safe learning environment; linking child care and welfare services has important implications for the families receiving these services in terms of how they view subsidies and their experiences with the subsidy program; and, finding child care can be challenging for families and can be made particularly difficult when parents are given little time to find care before their work activity begins. The final phase of the study examined why welfare leavers are not using subsidies and identifies potential strategies to ensure that those who need subsidies are able to access them.

Another paper *Child Care Subsidies and Leaving Welfare: Policy Issues and Strategies* focuses on what is known about child care needs and subsidy use among those leaving welfare for work, as well as State and local policies that shape subsidy use among this population. Some findings reported include: after PRWORA, most States continued to place a high priority on ensuring that families moving from welfare to work were able to obtain child care subsidies; at the local level, providing child care subsidies to welfare leavers generally requires informing them about the benefit and exchanging information between TANF employment and child care systems on the parents new status and earnings as they leave TANF, and localities differ significantly in the way in which they have implemented these processes; and several factors appear to contribute to the relatively low use of child care subsidies among working families including a lack of awareness of the benefit, a personal decision not to use the assistance, burdensome parental requirements, and administrative and staffing structures that do not facilitate the use of subsidies.

The Continuity and Stability of Child Care Subsidy Use in Oregon, conducted through a State Research and Data Capacity Grant to the CCDF lead agency in Oregon, investigated the dynamics of child care subsidy use in Oregon and the relationships among patterns of child care subsidy use, TANF receipt, and employment. A paper released in March 2006 entitled "Why do they leave?: Child Care Subsidy Use in Oregon," looked at why people leave the subsidy system by studying in depth families' transitions from the subsidy program. (see http://www.hhs.oregonstate.edu/familypolicy/occrp/publications/2006-C&S_Study_Final_Report_FINAL.pdf) Analyses were conducted on data from four years (1997-2001) of linked administrative records, including UI wage data, child care subsidy data, TANF data, and data from other programs such as medical assistance and Food Stamps. The study also investigated the relationship between employment outcomes (i.e., earnings, earnings growth, and number of job changes) and child care subsidy use. The study found the TANF eligibility period to be significant in predicting exits to the subsidy system. For a sample of all families who received subsidy whether in job assessment or employed, exits from subsidy were

2.7 times more likely for those who were in the last month of their eligibility period than for those not in their last month of eligibility (controlling for other covariates). When looking at the sample of families who were receiving a subsidy and were employed, exits were 3.4 times more likely for families that were in their last month of eligibility period than for those who were not. Many families exit the child care subsidy program although they are still income-eligible to receive subsidies and continue to participate in other support programs such as Food Stamps. Policies related to eligibility re-determination may have an effect in the ability of families to keep child care subsidies in support of stable employment.

In 2007, ASPE completed an administrative data study that examined the characteristics of *Child Care Subsidy Use in Urban and Rural Areas* of the United States (see http://aspe.hhs.gov/hsp/07/cc-subsidies/index.htm). The study found that substantial numbers of children are being served by the Child Care and Development Fund in both urban and rural areas. In addition, the study also found that rural children are less likely to receive care in center-based programs and are more likely to receive care in family homes than children residing in urban areas. ASPE conducted a related study of *Child Care Arrangements in Urban and Rural Areas* based on the National Household Education Survey (NHES). This study showed that among preschool-age children, those in rural areas were about as likely as those in urban areas to receive care from someone other than their parents on a weekly basis. Similar to the earlier study, the NHES study also showed that when rural children participated in non-parental care they were more likely than urban children to have received this care from relatives and were less likely to have received care in center programs (see http://aspe.hhs.gov/hsp/08/cc-urban-rural).

Stability and Change in Child Care and Employment: Evidence from Three States conducted by MDRC, used data from 3,500 women targeted for welfare-to-work programs in three States to examine child care stability and its association with employment stability among current and former welfare recipients. Each of the three programs were evaluated using a random assignment design in which some women were assigned to a new program while others were assigned to the then-existing welfare system in their State. A working paper released in 2005 reports that among most women in the sample child care use was fairly stable. (see www.mdrc.org/publications/406/full.pdf). Changing care arrangements and using multiple types of care in a given month are more common than dropping care. Women who dropped child care in a given month were 8 percentage points more likely than other women to leave work in the next month, however, dropping care did not account for the majority of the transitions out of work in this sample. Although child care instability and employment instability were correlated, the evidence suggested that a significant proportion of child care instability is caused by employment instability and not the other way around. Other reasons besides child care instability contributed to job instability in this population.

Early Care Settings and School Readiness of Low-Income Children: Cross-Cutting Lessons from Two Complementary Studies, a study conducted by MDRC, used a pooled dataset of seven experimental studies of welfare and employment programs testing several key policies to study the relationship between family characteristics, choice of care and children's outcomes (the paper has been submitted to peer-reviewed journal). The study found that the effects of welfare and employment programs on economic, child care and children's outcomes varied by levels of initial disadvantage of the families. These intervention programs had no effect on the least

disadvantaged welfare families, and had similar positive effects on employment, earnings, and income among the most and moderately disadvantaged welfare families. Program effects on participation in center-based care and on children's achievement statistically differed for the children in the moderately disadvantaged families as compared with the most disadvantaged families. Among children in moderately disadvantaged families, these programs increased use of center-based care and improved children's achievement. Among children in most disadvantaged families, these programs increased use of home-based and mixed care arrangements and had no effect on children's achievement or problem behavior. While the researchers did not formally test causal pathways, the findings are consistent with the hypothesis that participation in center-based care might have been one mediating pathway to improvements in children's achievement among preschool aged children in moderately disadvantaged families. Incremental increases in resources available through these programs might have offered the opportunity for moderately disadvantaged families to invest in center-based care. The findings seem to indicate that mothers in the most disadvantaged families are likely entering employment for the first time; might prefer home-based care; lack the resources to purchase center-care; or, their employment patterns might not match the availability of center-based care.

Still Working in Minnesota? Follow-up Study on Parents' Employment and Earnings in the Child Care Assistance Program (CCAP) (see http://edocs.dhs.state.mn.us/lfserver/Legacy/DHS-4512-ENG), a study lead by the Minnesota Department of Human Services under a Child Care Research Partnership Grant, tracked starting with all parents receiving assistance in the first quarter of 2001 the industry of employment, earnings per job, and earnings per household over a three-year period. The paper, released in December 2005, reports on analyzes of changes in the sector of employment and changes in earnings of parents receiving child care assistance in four counties in Minnesota. The study reports on frequency distributions of employment by sector and median earnings. Findings show that the pattern of employment by industry sector did not change much over the three-year period. Nearly 60 percent of jobs were in four industries -- health care and social assistance, administrative and support services, retail trade, and accommodation and food services. The health care industry was the most common CCAP employer, accounting for almost one-quarter of the jobs held by these parents, and those working in health care started at higher quarterly wages and experienced faster wage growth over three years than those working in other industries. Parents who remained in the same industry tended to have higher wages and higher earnings growth over the three years (compared with those who changed industry sectors), with the exception of parents working in administrative and support services (e.g., temp agency jobs) and those in accommodation and food services; and, over the three years, average quarterly earnings rose in some industries by $1,000, but many parents were no longer working at the end of the study period. Even though parents remained employed and saw some wage growth in many jobs, family incomes were still low and most families continue to need child care assistance to cover their child care expenses. Findings from the relative advantage to CCAP participants of working in the health care industry point to some potential strategies for improving long-term financial outcomes for families participating in State employment-related programs.

Improving the Use of TANF and Other Administrative Data

ASPE and ACF have been working collaboratively on a series of projects to improve the use of TANF administrative data, both for program management and for research purposes. One such

project has developed user-oriented enhancements to ACF/OFA's web-based TANF reporting system that States use to enter aggregate data for TANF and related programs. The enhancements are intended to make the system more useful to the States and others for program management and monitoring. A second project, recently completed, is making the disaggregated, micro-level TANF data submitted by States to the Federal government available to the research community through the web. A third project has been developing indicators and a new software tool for use by States in analyzing data for program management and performance measurement. To date, 20 indicators have been developed in consultation with ten States and programmed into an initial prototype of a software tool. The indicators are being revised to reflect the new regulations from the DRA, and then the tool will be pilot tested before distribution to interested States. .

In 2007, ACF began "*Understanding Two Categories in TANF Spending: "Other" and "Authorized Under Prior Law"* project to examine these two large subcategories of reported spending for TANF and State Maintenance of Effort (MOE) which accounted for over 16 percent of the TANF and MOE spending in 2005. The project, being conducted by Mathematica Policy Research, Inc., will review existing State program spending reports submitted to the Federal government, TANF State plans, and supporting State documents; document the types of services or activities funded by States with TANF and State MOE funds that are categorized in Federal reporting as "Other" and "Authorized Under Prior Law;" and develop recommendations, as appropriate, regarding potential revisions to the TANF financial reporting form that would provide better documentation of how funds currently reported under both categories are used. A final report is expected in 2009.

Contracting with Faith-Based Providers

State and Local Contracting for Social Services Under Charitable Choice. Welcoming faith-based and community organizations (FBCOs) as valued partners in providing social services is a top priority for the President. In 2002, ASPE contracted with Mathematica Policy Research, Inc. and their subcontractors, the Hudson Institute, the Center for Public Justice and the Sagamore Institute for Policy Research, to examine the varying ways in which the Charitable Choice (CC) provisions covering TANF and Substance Abuse Prevention and Treatment (SAPT) programs are interpreted and implemented by State and local government officials. The contractor surveyed agencies contracting with TANF or SAPT funds in all 50 States and the District of Columbia, along with local TANF agencies in selected counties. Findings from the final report, "*State and Local Contracting for Social Services Under Charitable Choice*" (http://aspe.hhs.gov/hsp/05/CharitableChoice/index.htm), indicate that CC provisions have had little or no effect on agencies' preexisting contracting policies regarding FBCOs. There are, however, significant efforts to reach out to FBCOs to encourage their involvement in TANF and SAPT and to remove barriers. The majority of agencies recognize that certain characteristics and behaviors make FBCOs ineligible for funding under CC, but in several instances, agencies did not appear to know or apply the relevant CC provisions that establish the eligibility of certain types of FBCOs for funding. These discrepancies may indicate a need for greater training of agency staff.

Understanding Vouchers as a Tool to Expand Client Choice in TANF and CCDF. Many social service programs are focusing on ways to expand clients' choice of service provider, to include the choice of faith-based providers. ASPE conducted a study to examine and document how vouchers are used in the TANF and Child Care and Development Fund (CCDF) programs and the degree to which this indirect funding mechanism supports the goals of maximizing client choice and expanding the service delivery network to include faith-based organizations (FBOs) among an array of providers. The study, conducted by contractor Mathematica Policy Research, Inc., utilized telephone discussions with State and local officials and site visits to selected programs to gather information. Major findings included:

- Voucher use varies greatly between TANF and CCDF. The federal framework for CCDF requires the use of vouchers and, as a result most, states offer certificates to families that can be redeemed with providers of their choice. In TANF, legislative authority is given for voucher use but there is no specific requirement to use them, and we found only a few examples of their use.

- Some TANF programs integrate client-choice concepts into their contract-based service delivery system by offering clients a choice from among a set of contracted providers. Such models also preserve an element of financial stability for providers who depend on the consistency of contracts to create the organizational and staffing capacity to serve a certain size caseload.

- The use of vouchers alone does not maximize client choice; program policies and procedures also influence the level of choice. The value of vouchers, the provision of information to allow clients to make informed decisions, provider qualifications for program participation, the nature of the voucher-funded service, and the client's interest in receiving the service all affect client choice.

- While program officials recognize and appreciate the role of faith-based and community organizations (FBCOs) in providing child care and services to the low-income, they do not seem to consider vouchers as a specific means of expanding the role of FBCOs in the service delivery network.

The final report, released in November 2007, can be found at http://aspe.hhs.gov/hsp/07/vouchers/experiences/index.htm.

The Role of State Faith Community Liaisons in Charitable Choice Implementation. Thirty-three States now have established a formal liaison with the faith community to encourage partnerships with faith-based organizations in the provision of health and social services. ASPE has underway a study that is building on past research to understand further the unique role of Faith Community Liaisons (FCLs) in influencing and promoting States' effective implementation of Charitable Choice rules that govern how States and localities partner with faith-based organizations. The project will result in a brief that will provide guidance on how States can utilize the FCL function to improve understanding of Charitable Choice, and a final report on promising State models, expected spring 2009.

Disasters and Emergency Response

Because of the unique opportunity Hurricane Katrina offers to study the consequences of major disasters and other emergencies, ACF has funded the *Feasibility Assessment of Studying the Consequences of Hurricane Katrina for ACF Service Populations*. This study, conducted by the Urban Institute, examined opportunities for research on the effects of the hurricane on migration, income and employment, program needs, and program effects. The main objective of this feasibility study was to determine which research avenues offer the best opportunities for informing policy discussion and programmatic response to major disasters and other emergencies. An annotated bibliography and a final report were completed in April 2008 (see http://www.acf.hhs.gov/programs/opre/other_resrch/feasibility_assmt/index.html). As a complement to this effort, ACF is providing funds to help support the *Hurricane Katrina Community Advisory Group Survey,* a longitudinal survey of survivors of Hurricane Katrina, which is being conducted by Harvard University with principal funding from the National Institute of Mental Health. These funds will help to support a sub-study of child functioning and well-being, and of family use of various benefit and service programs, including TANF.

The Role of Faith-based and Community Organizations in Post-Hurricane Human Services Relief Efforts. After Hurricanes Katrina and Rita, faith-based and community organizations (FBCOs) played a critical role in providing emergency services throughout the Gulf Coast region. Their work represented the largest disaster response effort in US history by charitable organizations. In 2006, ASPE launched a study to examine the role of selected FBCOs in hurricane disaster relief, with the goal of helping policy makers know how best to respond to future disasters and how best to utilize the strengths of faith- and community-based private human service providers. The project involves a telephone survey of over 200 FBCOs to learn the nature and extent of the human services provided by selected FBCOs in the aftermath of Hurricanes Rita and Katrina, and in-depth visits to eight programs to document their experiences, successes, and challenges in serving the needs of the low-income. The Urban Institute is conducting the study for ASPE, in partnership with a Louisiana State University survey group, two Gulf Region-based associations of nonprofits, and a Technical Advisory Group. A final report is expected in early 2009.

Support for White House Conference on Research Related to the Faith-based and Community Initiative. The White House Office of Faith-based and Community Initiatives (OFBCI) is putting more emphasis on the value of research in helping to guide federal, state and local efforts to improve partnerships with faith-based and community organizations (FBCOs) and increase understanding of their contributions to public assistance programs such as TANF. The OFBCI sponsored a two-day conference June 26-27, 2008, that presented findings on research to date in this area, summarized what has been learned and what still needs to be learned, and considered how best to target and coordinate future research efforts. The conference highlighted research in two tracks: (1) research sponsored by the federal government and nationally known private or nonprofit sector research organizations that examined the results of various FBCO-related federal/state initiatives and programs, and (2) research conducted by emerging scholars studying the nexus between FBCOs and public programs from a variety of perspectives. A key goal of the conference was to generate a proposed research agenda for further exploring and supporting government/FBCO partnerships. Researchers were invited via calls for papers to submit proposals for research to present in the two conference tracks. ASPE supported the conference

by funding the production of a compendium of the invited Track 1 research papers and through the development of a conference web site. The compendium of papers was publicly released in hard copy and on various web sites at the time of the conference.

XIV. STATE PROFILES

This chapter contains individual TANF profiles for each State and the District of Columbia. These TANF profiles contain information on program administration, funding, expenditures, caseload, benefit structure, and participation rates.

The following page presents an example of these State TANF profiles, along with a legend explaining each entry and listing sources used to create it. All 50 State profiles along with the District of Columbia are then presented in alphabetical order.

Alabama



FY 2006

1

Bob Riley (R)

Dr. Page Walley, Commissioner, Department of Human Resources

Family Assistance Program (FA)

County Administered - 67 Counties

			Rank
Cases (September 2006):	2a	19,385	23
FY 2006 Change:		-6%	32
Change Since Enactment:		-52%	28
SFAG (in Millions):	3a	$ 104	31
Participation Rate:	4a	42%	20
Zero Participation:		53%	27

5 TANF Benefit Structure

Monthly - Family of Three

		As % of FPL		As % of SMI	
		Percent	Rank	Percent	Rank
Max Grant (No Income)	$215	16%	49	5%	49
Max Earnings at Application	$269	19%	51	6%	52
Max Earnings at Close	No limit	0%	0	0%	0

3b FY 2006 Funding (in Millions)

	Amount	Unobligated Balance
Beginning FY Balance	$ 38	$ 32
Total Awarded	$ 104	
Expended/Transferred	$ 86	
Ending Balance	$ 56	$ 52
State MOE	$ 40	

6 Caseload & Unemployment Rate



2b FY 2006 Caseload

	Sept. 2006	During FY 2006 Change	Percent	Rank
All Cases	19,385	-1,172	-6%	32
Adult-Headed	10,305	-1,138	-10%	26
Child-Only	9,080	-34	0%	40
Recipients	44,838	-2,858	-6%	36

2c

TANF Time Limit:
Intermittent No
Lifetime 60 months

Month/ Yr of First Impact: December 2001

4b

Sanction Policy: Partial/Full (Varies) / 1 month

3c Expenditure Profile

	FY 2006 STATE (in Millions)	FY 2006 STATE % of Total Funds	FY 2006 U.S. Avg % of Total Funds	FY 2005 STATE (in Millions)	FY 2005 STATE % of Total Funds	FY 2005 U.S. Avg % of Total Funds
Total Expended & Transferred Plus State MOE	$ 126			$ 138		
Cash Benefits	$ 35	27%	37%	$ 47	34%	39%
Services	$ 59	46%	45%	$ 64	46%	42%
Administration	$ 14	11%	8%	$ 12	9%	7%
Information Systems	$ 0	0%	1%	$ 1	0%	1%
Transferred to CCDF	$ 9	7%	7%	$ 4	3%	7%
Transferred to SSBG	$ 10	8%	3%	$ 10	8%	3%

7 All-Family Work Participation

	FY 2006 STATE	FY 2006 RANK	FY 2006 U.S. Avg	FY 2005 STATE	FY 2005 RANK	FY 2005 U.S. Avg
Total TANF Cases - Avg. Monthly	19,162			20,040		
Exempt From Participation	59%	19	56%	58%	15	55%
Child -Only	47%	20	47%	46%	19	45%
Child Under Age 1	7%	26	8%	8%	19	8%
In Sanction Status	4%	2	1%	4%	4	1%
Other	0%	na	0%	0%	na	1%
Cases Subject to All-Family Rate	7,829			8,383		

4c

	FY 2006 STATE	FY 2006 RANK	FY 2006 U.S. Avg	FY 2005 STATE	FY 2005 RANK	FY 2005 U.S. Avg
Number Participating - Avg. Monthly	19,162			20,040		
Participation Rate	42%	20	33%	39%	20	33%
Employment	78%	9	56%	74%	13	56%
On The Job Training	0%	30	0%	0%	32	0%
Work Exp./Community Service	3%	46	24%	4%	43	24%
Job Search	22%	16	17%	23%	15	16%
Vocational Education	10%	35	17%	13%	30	16%
Job Skills Training	0%	na	1%	0%	na	1%
School Attendance	5%	28	5%	6%	21	5%
Other	1%	23	9%	1%	20	8%
Participation Rate w/o Waiver	42%	19	31%	39%	18	30%
Percent of Cases Subject to All-Family Rate						
With Some Hours of Participation	47%		45%	45%		43%
With No Hours of Participation	53%	27	55%	55%	26	57%

Sources for State Profiles

1. Administration

State Governor (National Governors Association)

Commissioner/Secretary with TANF oversight (State contact)

TANF program name (Office of Family Assistance website at http://www.acf.dhhs.gov/programs/ofa/tnfnames.htm)

State or county TANF program administration (Appendix Table 12:1) , and number of counties in the State (U.S. Census Bureau)

2. Temporary Assistance to Needy Families (TANF) FY 2006 Caseload

a. FY 2006 TANF caseload data (Office of Family Assistance website at http://www.acf.hhs.gov//programs/ofa/caseload/caseloadindex.htm)

    1. TANF caseload total and national ranking as of September 2006
    2. The percent and national rank of the caseload change during FY 2006
    3. The percent and national rank of the caseload change since FY 1996

b. FY 2006 caseload breakdown by case type categorized (Office of Family Assistance website at http://www.acf.hhs.gov//programs/ofa/caseload/caseloadindex.htm)

    1. All Cases
    2. Adult-Headed (all cases minus child-only)
    3. Child-Only (no parent cases)
    4. Recipients

c. TANF Time limits

    1. Intermittent limit on assistance (Appendix Table 12:10)
    2. Lifetime limit on assistance (Appendix Table 12:10
    3. Month/year of first impact (Appendix Table 12:10)

3. Funding and Expenditures

These numbers are based on the information provided in the FY 2005 and FY 2006 ACF-196 forms, including MOE and SSP figures. Tables A, A1, B, B1, C, and C1 were utilized for this project. These tables are available online at http://www.acf.hhs.gov/programs/ofs/data/.

a. States' FY 2006 State Family Assistance Grant (SFAG) and national rank

b. FY 2006 Funding (in millions)

   1. Beginning Balance - The "Amount" column contains the sum of the unliquidated and unobligated balances from FY 2005, as reported in FY 2005 Table A, ACF-196, Line 9 and 10. It is also shown in Chapter II, Table B of this report. The "Unobligated Balance" column contains only the unobligated balance from FY 2005.

   2. Total Awarded - The "Amount" column contains the FY 2006 SFAG (less Tribes) and awards for Supplemental Grants and Bonuses to States to Reward High Performance and Decreases in Illegitimacy Ratios. This total Federal fund level awarded to States can be found in Chapter II, Table B of this report. These levels are the new awards given to States during FY 2006.

   3. Expended/Transferred - The "Amount" column contains total combined expenditures for FY 2006 plus all funds transferred to the Child Care Development Fund (CCDF) and the Social Services Block Grant (SSBG) for FY 2006.

   4. Ending Balance - The "Amount" column contains the sum of the unliquidated and unobligated balances from FY 2006, as reported in FY 2006 Table A, ACF-196, Line 9 and 10. The "Unobligated Balance" column contains only the unobligated balance from FY 2005.

   5. State MOE – FY 2006 State expenditures is provided in the "Amount" column.

c. Expenditure Profile provides a breakdown of expenditures by category. Dollars in millions reflect combined Federal plus State MOE. The percentages are of combined Federal plus State MOE found in tables A and B in the online TANF financial reports.

4. Participation and Sanctions

a. State FY 2006 participation rate and FY 2006 zero participation rate (Appendix Table 3:2 and Appendix Table 3:11).

b. State sanction policy for non-compliance (Appendix Table 12:11).

c. Overall participation rates (with and without waiver) and national rank as well as participation rates and ranks by work activity defined in eight general categories based on the average monthly number of participating families (Appendix Table 3:8).

5. TANF Benefit Structure

Benefits and earnings are compared to the State Median Income (SMI) and Federal Poverty Level (FPL) for the State (Appendix Table 12:4, also Urban Institute Welfare Rules Database).

6. Caseload & Unemployment Rates (Graphs)

The top graph compares FY 2006 caseload to FY 2006 unemployment rates. The bottom graph compares caseloads to unemployment rates from FY 1996 to FY 2006. Monthly caseload data was retrieved from the OFA website (at http://www.acf.hhs.gov//programs/ofa/caseload/caseloadindex.htm). The five-year monthly unemployment rates were retrieved from the Bureau of Labor Statistics.

7. All-Family Work Participation Rates

This section provides the overall percent of the average monthly TANF cases and national rank for families exempt from participation. In addition, a breakdown, by category, of those exempt from participation is provided by percent and national rank (Table 3:5).

Alabama



FY 2006

Bob Riley (R)

Dr. Page Walley, Commissioner, Department of Human Resources

Family Assistance Program (FA)

County Administered - 67 Counties

		Rank
Cases (September 2006):	**19,385**	23
FY 2006 Change:	**-6%**	32
Change Since Enactment:	**-52%**	28
SFAG (in Millions):	**$ 104**	31
Participation Rate:	**42%**	20
Zero Participation:	**53%**	27

TANF Benefit Structure

Monthly - Family of Three

		As % of FPL		As % of SMI	
		Percent	Rank	Percent	Rank
Max Grant (No Income)	**$215**	**16%**	49	**5%**	49
Max Earnings at Application	**$269**	**19%**	51	**6%**	52
Max Earnings at Close	**No limit**	**0%**	0	**0%**	0

FY 2006 Funding (in Millions)

	Amount	Unobligated Balance
Beginning FY Balance	$ 38	$ 32
Total Awarded	$ 104	
Expended/Transferred	$ 86	
Ending Balance	$ 56	$ 52
State MOE	$ 40	

Caseload & Unemployment Rate



FY 2006 Caseload

	Sept. 2006	During FY 2006 Change	Percent	Rank
All Cases	**19,385**	**-1,172**	**-6%**	**32**
Adult-Headed	10,305	**-1,138**	**-10%**	**26**
Child-Only	9,080	**-34**	**0%**	**40**
Recipients	**44,838**	**-2,858**	**-6%**	**36**

TANF Time Limit:
Intermittent No
Lifetime 60 months

Month/ Yr of First Impact:
December 2001

Sanction Policy: Partial/Full (Varies) / 1 month

Expenditure Profile

	FY 2006 STATE		FY 2006 U.S. Avg	FY 2005 STATE		FY 2005 U.S. Avg
Total Expended & Transferred	(in Millions)			(in Millions)		
Plus State MOE	$ 126			$ 138		
		% of Total Funds	% of Total Funds		% of Total Funds	% of Total Funds
Cash Benefits	$ 35	27%	37%	$ 47	34%	39%
Services	$ 59	46%	45%	$ 64	46%	42%
Administration	$ 14	11%	8%	$ 12	9%	7%
Information Systems	$ 0	0%	1%	$ 1	0%	1%
Transferred to CCDF	$ 9	7%	7%	$ 4	3%	7%
Transferred to SSBG	$ 10	8%	3%	$ 10	8%	3%

All-Family Work Participation

	FY 2006 STATE	RANK	FY 2006 U.S. Avg	FY 2005 STATE	RANK	FY 2005 U.S. Avg
Total TANF Cases - Avg. Monthly	**19,162**			**20,040**		
Exempt From Participation	**59%**	**19**	**56%**	**58%**	**15**	**55%**
Child -Only	47%	20	47%	46%	19	45%
Child Under Age 1	7%	26	8%	8%	19	8%
In Sanction Status	4%	2	1%	4%	4	1%
Other	0%	na	0%	0%	na	1%
Cases Subject to All-Family Rate	**7,829**			**8,383**		
Number Participating - Avg. Monthly	**19,162**			**20,040**		
Participation Rate	**42%**	**20**	**33%**	**39%**	**20**	**33%**
Employment	78%	9	56%	74%	13	56%
On The Job Training	0%	30	0%	0%	32	0%
Work Exp./Community Service	3%	46	24%	4%	43	24%
Job Search	22%	16	17%	23%	15	16%
Vocational Education	10%	35	17%	13%	30	16%
Job Skills Training	0%	na	1%	0%	na	1%
School Attendance	5%	28	5%	6%	21	5%
Other	1%	23	9%	1%	20	8%
Participation Rate w/o Waiver	**42%**	**19**	**31%**	**39%**	**18**	**30%**
Percent of Cases Subject to All-Family Rate						
With Some Hours of Participation	**47%**		**45%**	**45%**		**43%**
With No Hours of Participation	**53%**	**27**	**55%**	**55%**	**26**	**57%**

Alaska

FY 2006

Sarah Palin (R)

Karleen Jackson, Commissioner, Department of Health & Social Services

Alaska Temporary Assistance Program (ATAP)

State Administered - 27 Counties

		Rank
Cases (September 2006):	**3,348**	48
FY 2006 Change:	**-5%**	36
Change Since Enactment:	**-73%**	9
SFAG (in Millions):	**$ 55**	42
Participation Rate:	**46%**	13
Zero Participation:	**43%**	17

TANF Benefit Structure

Monthly - Family of Three

		As % of FPL		As % of SMI	
		Percent	Rank	Percent	Rank
Max Grant (No Income)	**$923**	**53%**	2	**16%**	1
Max Earnings at Application	**$1,401**	**81%**	5	**24%**	6
Max Earnings at Close	**$2,106**	**122%**	3	**36%**	2

FY 2006 Funding (in Millions)

	Amount	Unobligated Balance
Beginning FY Balance	$ 31	$ 22
Total Awarded	$ 55	
Expended/Transferred	$ 47	
Ending Balance	$ 38	$ 1
State MOE	$ 39	

Caseload & Unemployment Rate



FY 2006 Caseload

	Sept. 2006	During FY 2006 Change	Percent	Rank
All Cases	**3,348**	**-178**	**-5%**	**36**
Adult-Headed	2,316	**-214**	**-8%**	**32**
Child-Only	1,032	**36**	**4%**	**50**
Recipients	**8,921**	**-607**	**-6%**	**35**

TANF Time Limit:
Intermittent No
Lifetime 60 months

Month/ Yr of First Impact: July 2002

Sanction Policy: Full / 1 month

Expenditure Profile

	FY 2006 STATE (in Millions)	% of Total Funds	FY 2006 U.S. Avg % of Total Funds	FY 2005 STATE (in Millions)	% of Total Funds	FY 2005 U.S. Avg % of Total Funds
Total Expended & Transferred Plus State MOE	**$ 86**			**$ 93**		
Cash Benefits	$ 36	42%	37%	$ 41	44%	39%
Services	$ 27	31%	45%	$ 27	30%	42%
Administration	$ 6	7%	8%	$ 5	6%	7%
Information Systems	$ 0	0%	1%	$ 0	0%	1%
Transferred to CCDF	$ 12	14%	7%	$ 15	16%	7%
Transferred to SSBG	$ 4	5%	3%	$ 3	3%	3%

All-Family Work Participation

	FY 2006 STATE	RANK	U.S. Avg	FY 2005 STATE	RANK	U.S. Avg
Total TANF Cases - Avg. Monthly	**3,614**			**4,381**		
Exempt From Participation	**40%**	**41**	**56%**	**42%**	**38**	**55%**
Child -Only	28%	45	47%	26%	49	45%
Child Under Age 1	5%	33	8%	5%	34	8%
In Sanction Status	3%	11	1%	2%	18	1%
Other	4%	2	0%	9%	3	1%
Cases Subject to All-Family Rate	**2,158**			**2,549**		
Number Participating - Avg. Monthly	**3,614**			**4,381**		
Participation Rate	**46%**	**13**	**33%**	**46%**	**12**	**33%**
Employment	73%	14	56%	76%	10	56%
On The Job Training	1%	16	0%	0%	17	0%
Work Exp./Community Service	17%	30	24%	15%	32	24%
Job Search	23%	13	17%	19%	23	16%
Vocational Education	19%	27	17%	21%	23	16%
Job Skills Training	0%	na	1%	0%	na	1%
School Attendance	3%	37	5%	3%	33	5%
Other	8%	9	9%	8%	10	8%
Participation Rate w/o Waiver	**46%**	**12**	**31%**	**46%**	**10**	**30%**
Percent of Cases Subject to All-Family Rate						
With Some Hours of Participation	**57%**		**45%**	**55%**		**43%**
With No Hours of Participation	**43%**	**17**	**55%**	**45%**	**17**	**57%**

Arizona



FY 2006

Janet Napolitano (D)

David A. Berns, Director, Department of Economic Security

Employing & Moving People Off Welfare & Encouraging Responsibility (EMPOWER)

State Administered - 15 Counties

		Rank
Cases (September 2006):	**38,086**	14
FY 2006 Change:	**-10%**	13
Change Since Enactment:	**-38%**	45
SFAG (in Millions):	**$ 226**	19
Participation Rate:	**30%**	35
Zero Participation:	**64%**	41

TANF Benefit Structure

Monthly - Family of Three

		As % of FPL		As % of SMI	
		Percent	Rank	Percent	Rank
Max Grant (No Income)	**$347**	**25%**	32	**7%**	32
Max Earnings at Application	**$586**	**42%**	31	**12%**	34
Max Earnings at Close	**$586**	**42%**	43	**12%**	46

FY 2006 Funding (in Millions)

	Amount	Unobligated Balance
Beginning FY Balance	$ 28	$ -
Total Awarded	$ 226	
Expended/Transferred	$ 238	
Ending Balance	$ 16	$ -
State MOE	$ 94	

Caseload & Unemployment Rate



FY 2006 Caseload

	Sept. 2006	During FY 2006 Change	Percent	Rank
All Cases	**38,086**	**-4,453**	**-10%**	**13**
Adult-Headed	19,815	**-3,785**	**-16%**	**14**
Child-Only	18,271	**-668**	**-4%**	**22**
Recipients	**83,434**	**-12,647**	**-13%**	**13**

TANF Time Limit:
Intermittent No
Lifetime 60 months

Month/ Yr of First Impact:
November 1997

Sanction Policy: Partial / 1 month

Expenditure Profile

	FY 2006 STATE (in Millions)	% of Total Funds	FY 2006 U.S. Avg % of Total Funds	FY 2005 STATE (in Millions)	% of Total Funds	FY 2005 U.S. Avg % of Total Funds
Total Expended & Transferred Plus State MOE	**$ 332**			**$ 322**		
Cash Benefits	$ 137	41%	37%	$ 160	50%	39%
Services	$ 138	42%	45%	$ 100	31%	42%
Administration	$ 32	10%	8%	$ 36	11%	7%
Information Systems	$ 2	1%	1%	$ 3	1%	1%
Transferred to CCDF	$ -	0%	7%	$ -	0%	7%
Transferred to SSBG	$ 23	7%	3%	$ 23	7%	3%

All-Family Work Participation

	FY 2006 STATE	RANK	U.S. Avg	FY 2005 STATE	RANK	U.S. Avg
Total TANF Cases - Avg. Monthly	**39,551**			**43,738**		
Exempt From Participation	**54%**	**25**	**56%**	**50%**	**26**	**55%**
Child -Only	47%	22	47%	43%	22	45%
Child Under Age 1	4%	38	8%	4%	39	8%
In Sanction Status	0%	32	1%	1%	30	1%
Other	2%	4	0%	2%	6	1%
Cases Subject to All-Family Rate	**18,349**			**21,993**		
Number Participating - Avg. Monthly	**39,551**			**43,738**		
Participation Rate	**30%**	**35**	**33%**	**30%**	**31**	**33%**
Employment	58%	23	56%	58%	23	56%
On The Job Training	0%	20	0%	0%	19	0%
Work Exp./Community Service	13%	35	24%	14%	34	24%
Job Search	31%	6	17%	33%	5	16%
Vocational Education	21%	23	17%	20%	26	16%
Job Skills Training	1%	29	1%	0%	27	1%
School Attendance	2%	39	5%	3%	35	5%
Other	0%	na	9%	0%	na	8%
Participation Rate w/o Waiver	**30%**	**34**	**31%**	**30%**	**29**	**30%**
Percent of Cases Subject to All-Family Rate						
With Some Hours of Participation	**36%**		**45%**	**37%**		**43%**
With No Hours of Participation	**64%**	**41**	**55%**	**63%**	**37**	**57%**

Arkansas



FY 2006

Mike Beebe (D)

John M. Selig, Director Department of Human Services

Transitional Employment Assistance (TEA)

State Administered - 75 Counties

		Rank
Cases (September 2006):	**8,596**	41
FY 2006 Change:	**1%**	52
Change Since Enactment:	**-61%**	21
SFAG (in Millions):	**$ 63**	40
Participation Rate:	**28%**	37
Zero Participation:	**66%**	44

TANF Benefit Structure

Monthly - Family of Three

		As % of FPL		As % of SMI	
		Percent	Rank	Percent	Rank
Max Grant (No Income)	**$204**	**15%**	50	**5%**	50
Max Earnings at Application	**$279**	**20%**	50	**7%**	51
Max Earnings at Close	**$697**	**50%**	40	**18%**	31

FY 2006 Funding (in Millions)

	Amount	Unobligated Balance
Beginning FY Balance	$ 98	$ 98
Total Awarded	$ 63	
Expended/Transferred	$ 57	
Ending Balance	$ 104	$ 100
State MOE	$ 23	

Caseload & Unemployment Rate



FY 2006 Caseload

	Sept. 2006	During FY 2006 Change	Percent	Rank
All Cases	**8,596**	**109**	**1%**	**52**
Adult-Headed	4,553	**459**	**11%**	**52**
Child-Only	4,043	**-350**	**-8%**	**5**
Recipients	**19,260**	**721**	**4%**	**52**

TANF Time Limit:
Intermittent No
Lifetime 24 months

Month/ Yr of First Impact: July 2000

Sanction Policy: Partial / Until Compliance

Expenditure Profile

	FY 2006 STATE (in Millions)	% of Total Funds	FY 2006 U.S. Avg % of Total Funds	FY 2005 STATE (in Millions)	% of Total Funds	FY 2005 U.S. Avg % of Total Funds
Total Expended & Transferred Plus State MOE	**$ 80**			$ 77		
Cash Benefits	$ 15	19%	37%	$ 18	24%	39%
Services	$ 48	60%	45%	$ 40	53%	42%
Administration	$ 9	11%	8%	$ 7	9%	7%
Information Systems	$ 1	2%	1%	$ 1	2%	1%
Transferred to CCDF	$ 8	9%	7%	$ 8	10%	7%
Transferred to SSBG	$ (2)	-2%	3%	$ 2	3%	3%

All-Family Work Participation

	FY 2006 STATE	RANK	U.S. Avg	FY 2005 STATE	RANK	U.S. Avg
Total TANF Cases - Avg. Monthly	**8,204**			**8,642**		
Exempt From Participation	**65%**	**11**	**56%**	**64%**	**9**	**55%**
Child -Only	50%	17	47%	51%	14	45%
Child Under Age 1	11%	5	8%	8%	18	8%
In Sanction Status	4%	5	1%	4%	3	1%
Other	0%	na	0%	0%	na	1%
Cases Subject to All-Family Rate	**2,885**			**2,967**		
Number Participating - Avg. Monthly	**8,204**			**8,642**		
Participation Rate	**28%**	**37**	**33%**	**28%**	**35**	**33%**
Employment	54%	29	56%	39%	39	56%
On The Job Training	4%	2	0%	5%	4	0%
Work Exp./Community Service	13%	34	24%	13%	35	24%
Job Search	14%	27	17%	25%	10	16%
Vocational Education	23%	18	17%	29%	10	16%
Job Skills Training	1%	21	1%	1%	25	1%
School Attendance	6%	22	5%	2%	36	5%
Other	2%	18	9%	1%	21	8%
Participation Rate w/o Waiver	**28%**	**36**	**31%**	**28%**	**33**	**30%**
Percent of Cases Subject to All-Family Rate						
With Some Hours of Participation	**34%**		**45%**	**36%**		**43%**
With No Hours of Participation	**66%**	**44**	**55%**	**64%**	**39**	**57%**

California

FY 2006

Arnold A. Schwarzenegger (R)

Kimberle Belshe, Secretary, Health and Human Services Agency

California Work Opportunity and Responsibility to Kids (CALWORKS)

County Administered - 58 Counties

		Rank
Cases (September 2006):	**477,441**	1
FY 2006 Change:	**-4%**	39
Change Since Enactment:	**-45%**	37
SFAG (in Millions):	**$ 3,670**	1
Participation Rate:	**22%**	45
Zero Participation:	**65%**	43

TANF Benefit Structure

Monthly - Family of Three

		As % of FPL		As % of SMI	
		Percent	Rank	Percent	Rank
Max Grant (No Income)	**$786**	**57%**	1	**15%**	2
Max Earnings at Application	**$981**	**71%**	13	**18%**	15
Max Earnings at Close	**$1,797**	**130%**	1	**33%**	3

FY 2006 Funding (in Millions)

	Amount	Unobligated Balance
Beginning FY Balance	$ 387	$ -
Total Awarded	$ 3,670	
Expended/Transferred	$ 3,648	
Ending Balance	$ 409	$ -
State MOE	$ 2,903	

Caseload & Unemployment Rate



FY 2006 Caseload

	Sept. 2006	During FY 2006 Change	Percent	Rank
All Cases	**477,441**	**-21,633**	**-4%**	**39**
Adult-Headed	256,871	**-20,530**	**-7%**	**35**
Child-Only	220,570	**-1,103**	**0%**	**38**
Recipients	**1,027,282**	**-43,120**	**-4%**	**43**

TANF Time Limit:
Intermittent No
Lifetime 60 months

Month/ Yr of First Impact:
January 2003

Sanction Policy: Partial / Until Compliance

Expenditure Profile

	FY 2006 STATE		FY 2006 U.S. Avg	FY 2005 STATE		FY 2005 U.S. Avg
Total Expended & Transferred Plus State MOE	$ 6,552 (in Millions)			$ 6,423 (in Millions)		
		% of Total Funds	% of Total Funds		% of Total Funds	% of Total Funds
Cash Benefits	$ 3,703	57%	37%	$ 3,688	57%	39%
Services	$ 1,969	30%	45%	$ 1,637	25%	42%
Administration	$ 532	8%	8%	$ 468	7%	7%
Information Systems	$ 76	1%	1%	$ 89	1%	1%
Transferred to CCDF	$ 90	1%	7%	$ 413	6%	7%
Transferred to SSBG	$ 181	3%	3%	$ 128	2%	3%

All-Family Work Participation

	FY 2006 STATE	RANK	FY 2006 U.S. Avg	FY 2005 STATE	RANK	FY 2005 U.S. Avg
Total TANF Cases - Avg. Monthly	**449,971**			**463,569**		
Exempt From Participation	**62%**	**15**	**56%**	**61%**	**14**	**55%**
Child -Only	59%	11	47%	56%	8	45%
Child Under Age 1	4%	39	8%	5%	35	8%
In Sanction Status	0%	na	1%	0%	na	1%
Other	0%	na	0%	0%	na	1%
Cases Subject to All-Family Rate	**169,333**			**179,908**		
Number Participating - Avg. Monthly	**449,971**			**463,569**		
Participation Rate	**22%**	**45**	**33%**	**26%**	**38**	**33%**
Employment	74%	13	56%	79%	8	56%
On The Job Training	1%	9	0%	1%	7	0%
Work Exp./Community Service	5%	43	24%	4%	45	24%
Job Search	11%	34	17%	10%	34	16%
Vocational Education	15%	29	17%	16%	28	16%
Job Skills Training	1%	23	1%	1%	23	1%
School Attendance	5%	27	5%	4%	30	5%
Other	1%	22	9%	0%	na	8%
Participation Rate w/o Waiver	**22%**	**44**	**31%**	**26%**	**36**	**30%**
Percent of Cases Subject to All-Family Rate						
With Some Hours of Participation	**35%**		**45%**	**38%**		**43%**
With No Hours of Participation	**65%**	**43**	**55%**	**62%**	**35**	**57%**

Colorado

FY 2006

Bill Ritter (D)

Marva Livingston Hammons, Executive Director, Department of Human Services

Colorado Works

County Administered - 63 Counties

		Rank
Cases (September 2006):	**12,972**	32
FY 2006 Change:	**-15%**	9
Change Since Enactment:	**-61%**	20
SFAG (in Millions):	**$ 150**	26
Participation Rate:	**30%**	34
Zero Participation:	**62%**	36

TANF Benefit Structure

Monthly - Family of Three

		As % of FPL		As % of SMI	
		Percent	Rank	Percent	Rank
Max Grant (No Income)	**$356**	**26%**	30	**7%**	36
Max Earnings at Application	**$511**	**37%**	39	**9%**	41
Max Earnings at Close	**$1,264**	**91%**	12	**23%**	19

FY 2006 Funding (in Millions)

	Amount	Unobligated Balance
Beginning FY Balance	$ 77	$ 77
Total Awarded	$ 150	
Expended/Transferred	$ 142	
Ending Balance	$ 85	$ 85
State MOE	$ 94	

Caseload & Unemployment Rate



FY 2006 Caseload

	Sept. 2006	During FY 2006 Change	Percent	Rank
All Cases	**12,972**	**-2,242**	**-15%**	**9**
Adult-Headed	8,044	**-2,000**	**-20%**	**10**
Child-Only	4,928	**-242**	**-5%**	**17**
Recipients	**33,201**	**-6,369**	**-16%**	**11**

TANF Time Limit:
Intermittent No
Lifetime 60 months

Month/ Yr of First Impact:
July 2002

Sanction Policy: Partial / 1-3 months

Expenditure Profile

	FY 2006 STATE		FY 2006 U.S. Avg	FY 2005 STATE		FY 2005 U.S. Avg
Total Expended & Transferred Plus State MOE	$ 236 (in Millions)			$ 231 (in Millions)		
		% of Total Funds	% of Total Funds		% of Total Funds	% of Total Funds
Cash Benefits	$ 63	27%	37%	$ 75	32%	39%
Services	$ 128	54%	45%	$ 118	51%	42%
Administration	$ 11	4%	8%	$ 12	5%	7%
Information Systems	$ 8	3%	1%	$ 9	4%	1%
Transferred to CCDF	$ 12	5%	7%	$ 3	1%	7%
Transferred to SSBG	$ 15	6%	3%	$ 15	6%	3%

All-Family Work Participation

	FY 2006 STATE	RANK	FY 2006 U.S. Avg	FY 2005 STATE	RANK	FY 2005 U.S. Avg
Total TANF Cases - Avg. Monthly	**14,468**			**15,268**		
Exempt From Participation	**44%**	**36**	**56%**	**41%**	**40**	**55%**
Child -Only	35%	33	47%	33%	34	45%
Child Under Age 1	8%	23	8%	7%	24	8%
In Sanction Status	1%	28	1%	1%	29	1%
Other	0%	na	0%	0%	na	1%
Cases Subject to All-Family Rate	**8,145**			**9,067**		
Number Participating - Avg. Monthly	**14,468**			**15,268**		
Participation Rate	**30%**	**34**	**33%**	**26%**	**39**	**33%**
Employment	50%	33	56%	51%	31	56%
On The Job Training	0%	na	0%	0%	na	0%
Work Exp./Community Service	26%	23	24%	22%	24	24%
Job Search	15%	25	17%	19%	21	16%
Vocational Education	24%	17	17%	21%	24	16%
Job Skills Training	4%	8	1%	3%	10	1%
School Attendance	4%	31	5%	9%	11	5%
Other	0%	na	9%	0%	na	8%
Participation Rate w/o Waiver	**30%**	**33**	**31%**	**26%**	**37**	**30%**
Percent of Cases Subject to All-Family Rate						
With Some Hours of Participation	**38%**		**45%**	**33%**		**43%**
With No Hours of Participation	**62%**	**36**	**55%**	**67%**	**44**	**57%**

Connecticut

FY 2006

M. Jodi Rell (R)

Michael P. Starkowski, Commissioner, Department of Social Services

JOBS FIRST

State Administered - 8 Counties

		Rank
Cases (September 2006):	**21,543**	21
FY 2006 Change:	**-7%**	27
Change Since Enactment:	**-62%**	18
SFAG (in Millions):	**$ 264**	15
Participation Rate:	**31%**	32
Zero Participation:	**52%**	24

TANF Benefit Structure

Monthly - Family of Three

		As % of FPL		As % of SMI	
		Percent	Rank	Percent	Rank
Max Grant (No Income)	**$543**	**39%**	10	**8%**	23
Max Earnings at Application	**$835**	**60%**	16	**13%**	29
Max Earnings at Close	**$1,383**	**100%**	7	**22%**	24

FY 2006 Funding (in Millions)

	Amount	Unobligated Balance
Beginning FY Balance	$ -	$ -
Total Awarded	$ 264	
Expended/Transferred	$ 264	
Ending Balance	$ -	$ -
State MOE	$ 231	

Caseload & Unemployment Rate



FY 2006 Caseload

	Sept. 2006	During FY 2006 Change	Percent	Rank
All Cases	**21,543**	**-1,653**	**-7%**	**27**
Adult-Headed	13,737	**-1,299**	**-9%**	**31**
Child-Only	7,806	**-354**	**-4%**	**20**
Recipients	**35,549**	**-2,711**	**-7%**	**31**

TANF Time Limit:
Intermittent No
Lifetime 21 months

Month/ Yr of First Impact:
November 1997

Sanction Policy: Partial / 3 months

Expenditure Profile

	FY 2006 STATE		FY 2006 U.S. Avg	FY 2005 STATE		FY 2005 U.S. Avg
Total Expended & Transferred	(in Millions)			(in Millions)		
Plus State MOE	$ 496			$ 485		
		% of Total Funds	% of Total Funds		% of Total Funds	% of Total Funds
Cash Benefits	$ 127	26%	37%	$ 128	26%	39%
Services	$ 307	62%	45%	$ 302	62%	42%
Administration	$ 34	7%	8%	$ 29	6%	7%
Information Systems	$ 1	0%	1%	$ 1	0%	1%
Transferred to CCDF	$ -	0%	7%	$ -	0%	7%
Transferred to SSBG	$ 26	5%	3%	$ 27	5%	3%

All-Family Work Participation

	FY 2006 STATE	RANK	FY 2006 U.S. Avg	FY 2005 STATE	RANK	FY 2005 U.S. Avg
Total TANF Cases - Avg. Monthly	**18,491**			**19,828**		
Exempt From Participation	**57%**	**20**	**56%**	**53%**	**23**	**55%**
Child -Only	46%	25	47%	41%	26	45%
Child Under Age 1	10%	6	8%	11%	4	8%
In Sanction Status	1%	27	1%	1%	24	1%
Other	0%	na	0%	0%	na	1%
Cases Subject to All-Family Rate	**7,913**			**9,262**		
Number Participating - Avg. Monthly	**18,491**			**19,828**		
Participation Rate	**31%**	**32**	**33%**	**34%**	**27**	**33%**
Employment	84%	5	56%	80%	6	56%
On The Job Training	0%	17	0%	0%	na	0%
Work Exp./Community Service	2%	48	24%	1%	51	24%
Job Search	7%	42	17%	8%	40	16%
Vocational Education	22%	19	17%	24%	17	16%
Job Skills Training	1%	28	1%	0%	31	1%
School Attendance	5%	25	5%	6%	20	5%
Other	17%	5	9%	17%	5	8%
Participation Rate w/o Waiver	**31%**	**31**	**31%**	**34%**	**25**	**30%**
Percent of Cases Subject to All-Family Rate						
With Some Hours of Participation	**48%**		**45%**	**51%**		**43%**
With No Hours of Participation	**52%**	**24**	**55%**	**49%**	**21**	**57%**

Delaware

FY 2006

Ruth Ann Miner (D)

Vincent P. Meconi, Secretary, Delaware Health & Social Services

A Better Chance (ABC)

State Administered - 3 Counties

		Rank
Cases (September 2006):	**5,462**	45
FY 2006 Change:	**-6%**	29
Change Since Enactment:	**-48%**	34
SFAG (in Millions):	**$ 31**	48
Participation Rate:	**25%**	42
Zero Participation:	**66%**	45

TANF Benefit Structure

Monthly - Family of Three

		As % of FPL		As % of SMI	
		Percent	Rank	Percent	Rank
Max Grant (No Income)	**$338**	**24%**	35	**6%**	40
Max Earnings at Application	**$428**	**31%**	45	**8%**	50
Max Earnings at Close	**$1,620**	**117%**	4	**30%**	4

FY 2006 Funding (in Millions)

	Amount	Unobligated Balance
Beginning FY Balance	$ 8	$ 6
Total Awarded	$ 31	
Expended/Transferred	$ 36	
Ending Balance	$ 3	$ 2
State MOE	$ 43	

Caseload & Unemployment Rate



FY 2006 Caseload

	Sept. 2006	During FY 2006 Change	Percent	Rank
All Cases	**5,462**	**-357**	**-6%**	**29**
Adult-Headed	2,921	**-341**	**-10%**	**24**
Child-Only	2,541	**-16**	**-1%**	**37**
Recipients	**11,946**	**-852**	**-7%**	**33**

TANF Time Limit:
Intermittent No
Lifetime 48 months

Month/ Yr of First Impact:
October 1999

Sanction Policy: Partial / Until compliance or 2 months

Expenditure Profile

	FY 2006 STATE (in Millions)	% of Total Funds	FY 2006 U.S. Avg % of Total Funds	FY 2005 STATE (in Millions)	% of Total Funds	FY 2005 U.S. Avg % of Total Funds
Total Expended & Transferred Plus State MOE	**$ 79**			**$ 59**		
Cash Benefits	$ 18	23%	37%	$ 19	33%	39%
Services	$ 52	66%	45%	$ 36	61%	42%
Administration	$ 3	4%	8%	$ 3	5%	7%
Information Systems	$ 3	3%	1%	$ 3	5%	1%
Transferred to CCDF	$ -	0%	7%	$ (4)	-7%	7%
Transferred to SSBG	$ 3	3%	3%	$ 2	4%	3%

All-Family Work Participation

	FY 2006 STATE	RANK	U.S. Avg	FY 2005 STATE	RANK	U.S. Avg
Total TANF Cases - Avg. Monthly	**5,504**			**5,606**		
Exempt From Participation	**50%**	**27**	**56%**	**48%**	**27**	**55%**
Child -Only	47%	23	47%	46%	18	45%
Child Under Age 1	2%	47	8%	1%	48	8%
In Sanction Status	1%	25	1%	1%	26	1%
Other	0%	na	0%	0%	na	1%
Cases Subject to All-Family Rate	**2,768**			**2,896**		
Number Participating - Avg. Monthly	**5,504**			**5,606**		
Participation Rate	**25%**	**42**	**33%**	**23%**	**43**	**33%**
Employment	70%	17	56%	65%	17	56%
On The Job Training	0%	23	0%	0%	na	0%
Work Exp./Community Service	68%	6	24%	49%	9	24%
Job Search	10%	37	17%	0%	53	16%
Vocational Education	0%	na	17%	0%	na	16%
Job Skills Training	1%	16	1%	0%	33	1%
School Attendance	8%	11	5%	6%	25	5%
Other	0%	27	9%	0%	na	8%
Participation Rate w/o Waiver	**25%**	**41**	**31%**	**23%**	**41**	**30%**
Percent of Cases Subject to All-Family Rate						
With Some Hours of Participation	**34%**		**45%**	**30%**		**43%**
With No Hours of Participation	**66%**	**45**	**55%**	**70%**	**47**	**57%**



FY 2006

District of Columbia

Adrian M. Fenty (D), Mayor

Clarence H. Carter, Director, Department of Human Services

TANF

District Administered

		Rank
Cases (September 2006):	**15,871**	30
FY 2006 Change:	**-6%**	30
Change Since Enactment:	**-37%**	46
SFAG (in Millions):	**$ 91**	37
Participation Rate:	**17%**	49
Zero Participation:	**74%**	50

TANF Benefit Structure

Monthly - Family of Three

		As % of FPL		As % of SMI	
		Percent	Rank	Percent	Rank
Max Grant (No Income)	**$407**	**29%**	25	**8%**	26
Max Earnings at Application	**$567**	**41%**	33	**11%**	36
Max Earnings at Close	**$1,381**	**100%**	9	**28%**	8

FY 2006 Funding (in Millions)

	Amount	Unobligated Balance
Beginning FY Balance	$ 63	$ 54
Total Awarded	$ 91	
Expended/Transferred	$ 107	
Ending Balance	$ 46	$ 35
State MOE	$ 109	

Caseload & Unemployment Rate



FY 2006 Caseload

	Sept. 2006	During FY 2006 Change	Percent	Rank
All Cases	**15,871**	**-1,036**	**-6%**	**30**
Adult-Headed	9,128	**-943**	**-9%**	**28**
Child-Only	6,743	**-93**	**-1%**	**33**
Recipients	**37,415**	**-3,657**	**-9%**	**24**

TANF Time Limit:
Intermittent No
Lifetime 60 months

Month/ Yr of First Impact:
March 2002

Sanction Policy: Partial / 1 month

Expenditure Profile

	FY 2006 STATE (in Millions)	FY 2006 STATE % of Total Funds	FY 2006 U.S. Avg % of Total Funds	FY 2005 STATE (in Millions)	FY 2005 STATE % of Total Funds	FY 2005 U.S. Avg % of Total Funds
Total Expended & Transferred Plus State MOE	**$ 216**			**$ 178**		
Cash Benefits	$ 62	29%	37%	$ 66	37%	39%
Services	$ 113	52%	45%	$ 75	42%	42%
Administration	$ 12	6%	8%	$ 11	6%	7%
Information Systems	$ 6	3%	1%	$ 4	2%	1%
Transferred to CCDF	$ 19	9%	7%	$ 19	10%	7%
Transferred to SSBG	$ 4	2%	3%	$ 4	2%	3%

All-Family Work Participation

	FY 2006 STATE	FY 2006 RANK	FY 2006 U.S. Avg	FY 2005 STATE	FY 2005 RANK	FY 2005 U.S. Avg
Total TANF Cases - Avg. Monthly	**15,718**			**16,873**		
Exempt From Participation	**49%**	**28**	**56%**	**47%**	**28**	**55%**
Child -Only	38%	31	47%	38%	28	45%
Child Under Age 1	11%	4	8%	9%	10	8%
In Sanction Status	0%	34	1%	0%	na	1%
Other	0%	na	0%	0%	na	1%
Cases Subject to All-Family Rate	**7,859**			**8,323**		
Number Participating - Avg. Monthly	**15,718**			**16,873**		
Participation Rate	**17%**	**49**	**33%**	**24%**	**42**	**33%**
Employment	92%	1	56%	88%	3	56%
On The Job Training	1%	11	0%	0%	11	0%
Work Exp./Community Service	1%	52	24%	2%	46	24%
Job Search	5%	48	17%	12%	29	16%
Vocational Education	8%	37	17%	4%	43	16%
Job Skills Training	0%	33	1%	0%	na	1%
School Attendance	0%	na	5%	0%	na	5%
Other	0%	na	9%	0%	na	8%
Participation Rate w/o Waiver	**17%**	**48**	**31%**	**24%**	**40**	**30%**
Percent of Cases Subject to All-Family Rate						
With Some Hours of Participation	**26%**		**45%**	**29%**		**43%**
With No Hours of Participation	**74%**	**50**	**55%**	**71%**	**49**	**57%**

Florida

FY 2006

Charlie Crist (R)

Lucy Hadi, Secretary, Department of Children & Families

Welfare Transition Program

State Administered - 67 Counties

		Rank
Cases (September 2006):	**50,289**	9
FY 2006 Change:	**-13%**	10
Change Since Enactment:	**-75%**	6
SFAG (in Millions):	**$ 623**	6
Participation Rate:	**41%**	21
Zero Participation:	**59%**	34

TANF Benefit Structure

Monthly - Family of Three

		As % of FPL		As % of SMI	
		Percent	Rank	Percent	Rank
Max Grant (No Income)	**$303**	**22%**	38	**7%**	37
Max Earnings at Application	**$393**	**28%**	47	**9%**	47
Max Earnings at Close	**$806**	**58%**	33	**17%**	32

FY 2006 Funding (in Millions)

	Amount	Unobligated Balance
Beginning FY Balance	$ 34	$ -
Total Awarded	$ 623	
Expended/Transferred	$ 621	
Ending Balance	$ 36	$ 0
State MOE	$ 372	

Caseload & Unemployment Rate



FY 2006 Caseload

	Sept. 2006	During FY 2006 Change	Percent	Rank
All Cases	**50,289**	**-7,359**	**-13%**	**10**
Adult-Headed	11,955	**-4,422**	**-27%**	7
Child-Only	38,334	**-2,937**	**-7%**	**8**
Recipients	**80,008**	**-15,430**	**-16%**	**9**

TANF Time Limit:
Intermittent 24 mo. in 60 or 36 n
Lifetime 48 months

Month/ Yr of First Impact:
February 1996

Sanction Policy: Full / Until Compliance

Expenditure Profile

	FY 2006 STATE		FY 2006 U.S. Avg	FY 2005 STATE		FY 2005 U.S. Avg
Total Expended & Transferred	(in Millions)			(in Millions)		
Plus State MOE	$ 993			$ 1,053		
		% of Total Funds	% of Total Funds		% of Total Funds	% of Total Funds
Cash Benefits	$ 182	18%	37%	$ 196	19%	39%
Services	$ 557	56%	45%	$ 579	55%	42%
Administration	$ 65	7%	8%	$ 90	9%	7%
Information Systems	$ 4	0%	1%	$ 3	0%	1%
Transferred to CCDF	$ 123	12%	7%	$ 123	12%	7%
Transferred to SSBG	$ 62	6%	3%	$ 62	6%	3%

All-Family Work Participation

	FY 2006 STATE	RANK	FY 2006 U.S. Avg	FY 2005 STATE	RANK	FY 2005 U.S. Avg
Total TANF Cases - Avg. Monthly	**52,470**			**59,853**		
Exempt From Participation	**78%**	**4**	**56%**	**74%**	**4**	**55%**
Child -Only	70%	5	47%	65%	3	45%
Child Under Age 1	5%	35	8%	5%	33	8%
In Sanction Status	4%	4	1%	4%	5	1%
Other	0%	na	0%	0%	na	1%
Cases Subject to All-Family Rate	**10,855**			**15,163**		
Number Participating - Avg. Monthly	**52,470**			**59,853**		
Participation Rate	**41%**	**21**	**33%**	**38%**	**22**	**33%**
Employment	47%	36	56%	45%	35	56%
On The Job Training	0%	na	0%	0%	na	0%
Work Exp./Community Service	27%	22	24%	25%	23	24%
Job Search	22%	15	17%	23%	17	16%
Vocational Education	30%	8	17%	26%	13	16%
Job Skills Training	1%	24	1%	0%	28	1%
School Attendance	6%	18	5%	10%	10	5%
Other	0%	na	9%	0%	na	8%
Participation Rate w/o Waiver	**41%**	**20**	**31%**	**38%**	**20**	**30%**
Percent of Cases Subject to All-Family Rate						
With Some Hours of Participation	**41%**		**45%**	**40%**		**43%**
With No Hours of Participation	**59%**	**34**	**55%**	**60%**	**32**	**57%**

Georgia



FY 2006

Sonny Perdue (R)

B.J. Walker, Commissioner, Department of Human Resources

TANF

County Administered - 159 Counties

		Rank
Cases (September 2006):	**27,553**	20
FY 2006 Change:	**-28%**	2
Change Since Enactment:	**-77%**	5
SFAG (in Millions):	**$ 368**	12
Participation Rate:	**65%**	4
Zero Participation:	**46%**	21

TANF Benefit Structure

Monthly - Family of Three

		As % of FPL		As % of SMI	
		Percent	Rank	Percent	Rank
Max Grant (No Income)	**$280**	**20%**	42	**6%**	44
Max Earnings at Application	**$514**	**37%**	38	**11%**	39
Max Earnings at Close	**$756**	**55%**	36	**16%**	38

FY 2006 Funding (in Millions)

	Amount	Unobligated Balance
Beginning FY Balance	$ 191	$ 147
Total Awarded	$ 368	
Expended/Transferred	$ 396	
Ending Balance	$ 163	$ 124
State MOE	$ 176	

Caseload & Unemployment Rate



FY 2006 Caseload

	Sept. 2006	During FY 2006 Change	Percent	Rank
All Cases	**27,553**	**-10,500**	**-28%**	**2**
Adult-Headed	4,868	**-8,346**	**-63%**	**1**
Child-Only	22,685	**-2,154**	**-9%**	**4**
Recipients	**51,533**	**-27,517**	**-35%**	**1**

TANF Time Limit:
Intermittent No
Lifetime 48 months

Month/ Yr of First Impact:
January 2001

Sanction Policy: Partial / Up to 3 months

Expenditure Profile

	FY 2006 STATE		FY 2006 U.S. Avg	FY 2005 STATE		FY 2005 U.S. Avg
Total Expended & Transferred	(in Millions)			(in Millions)		
Plus State MOE	$ 572			$ 534		
		% of Total Funds	% of Total Funds		% of Total Funds	% of Total Funds
Cash Benefits	$ 96	17%	37%	$ 117	22%	39%
Services	$ 464	81%	45%	$ 384	72%	42%
Administration	$ 21	4%	8%	$ 17	3%	7%
Information Systems	$ 1	0%	1%	$ 2	0%	1%
Transferred to CCDF	$ (30)	-5%	7%	$ -	0%	7%
Transferred to SSBG	$ 20	4%	3%	$ 14	3%	3%

All-Family Work Participation

	FY 2006 STATE	RANK	FY 2006 U.S. Avg	FY 2005 STATE	RANK	FY 2005 U.S. Avg
Total TANF Cases - Avg. Monthly	**31,781**			**41,747**		
Exempt From Participation	**82%**	**2**	**56%**	**69%**	**6**	**55%**
Child -Only	75%	3	47%	61%	6	45%
Child Under Age 1	6%	30	8%	7%	25	8%
In Sanction Status	1%	29	1%	1%	27	1%
Other	0%	na	0%	0%	na	1%
Cases Subject to All-Family Rate	**5,875**			**13,142**		
Number Participating - Avg. Monthly	**31,781**			**41,747**		
Participation Rate	**65%**	**4**	**33%**	**57%**	**7**	**33%**
Employment	36%	43	56%	34%	44	56%
On The Job Training	3%	3	0%	3%	5	0%
Work Exp./Community Service	35%	18	24%	31%	17	24%
Job Search	16%	24	17%	15%	28	16%
Vocational Education	22%	20	17%	28%	11	16%
Job Skills Training	8%	3	1%	3%	9	1%
School Attendance	8%	12	5%	3%	31	5%
Other	3%	13	9%	7%	12	8%
Participation Rate w/o Waiver	**65%**	**4**	**31%**	**57%**	**6**	**30%**
Percent of Cases Subject to All-Family Rate						
With Some Hours of Participation	**54%**		**45%**	**52%**		**43%**
With No Hours of Participation	**46%**	**21**	**55%**	**48%**	**20**	**57%**

Hawaii

FY 2006

Linda Lingle (R)

Lillian Koller, Director, Department of Human Services

TANF

State Administered - 5 Counties

		Rank
Cases (September 2006):	**9,336**	40
FY 2006 Change:	**-6%**	31
Change Since Enactment:	**-57%**	25
SFAG (in Millions):	**$ 99**	34
Participation Rate:	**37%**	25
Zero Participation:	**66%**	46

TANF Benefit Structure

Monthly - Family of Three

		As % of FPL		As % of SMI	
		Percent	Rank	Percent	Rank
Max Grant (No Income)	**$570**	**36%**	14	**10%**	15
Max Earnings at Application	**$1,641**	**103%**	1	**28%**	1
Max Earnings at Close	**$1,363**	**86%**	17	**24%**	18

FY 2006 Funding (in Millions)

	Amount	Unobligated Balance
Beginning FY Balance	$ 147	$ 80
Total Awarded	$ 99	
Expended/Transferred	$ 100	
Ending Balance	$ 146	$ 109
State MOE	$ 71	

Caseload & Unemployment Rate



FY 2006 Caseload

	Sept. 2006	During FY 2006 Change	Percent	Rank
All Cases	**9,336**	**-590**	**-6%**	**31**
Adult-Headed	7,177	**-550**	**-7%**	**37**
Child-Only	2,159	**-40**	**-2%**	**32**
Recipients	**17,019**	**-1,442**	**-8%**	**28**

TANF Time Limit:
Intermittent No
Lifetime 60 months

Month/ Yr of First Impact:
December 2001

Sanction Policy: Full / Until Compliance

Expenditure Profile

	FY 2006 STATE		FY 2006 U.S. Avg	FY 2005 STATE		FY 2005 U.S. Avg
Total Expended & Transferred Plus State MOE	$ 171 (in Millions)			$ 149 (in Millions)		
		% of Total Funds	% of Total Funds		% of Total Funds	% of Total Funds
Cash Benefits	$ 85	49%	37%	$ 82	55%	39%
Services	$ 54	32%	45%	$ 32	22%	42%
Administration	$ 12	7%	8%	$ 11	7%	7%
Information Systems	$ 5	3%	1%	$ 3	2%	1%
Transferred to CCDF	$ 5	3%	7%	$ 10	7%	7%
Transferred to SSBG	$ 10	6%	3%	$ 10	7%	3%

All-Family Work Participation

	FY 2006 STATE	RANK	FY 2006 U.S. Avg	FY 2005 STATE	RANK	FY 2005 U.S. Avg
Total TANF Cases - Avg. Monthly	**6,954**			**7,997**		
Exempt From Participation	**48%**	**31**	**56%**	**43%**	**37**	**55%**
Child -Only	31%	39	47%	28%	42	45%
Child Under Age 1	16%	1	8%	15%	3	8%
In Sanction Status	0%	na	1%	0%	na	1%
Other	0%	na	0%	0%	na	1%
Cases Subject to All-Family Rate	**3,647**			**4,553**		
Number Participating - Avg. Monthly	**6,954**			**7,997**		
Participation Rate	**37%**	**25**	**33%**	**36%**	**23**	**33%**
Employment	78%	7	56%	79%	7	56%
On The Job Training	0%	na	0%	0%	na	0%
Work Exp./Community Service	14%	31	24%	16%	30	24%
Job Search	30%	7	17%	30%	8	16%
Vocational Education	22%	22	17%	22%	19	16%
Job Skills Training	1%	26	1%	1%	24	1%
School Attendance	2%	41	5%	2%	40	5%
Other	0%	na	9%	0%	na	8%
Participation Rate w/o Waiver	**37%**	**24**	**31%**	**36%**	**21**	**30%**
Percent of Cases Subject to All-Family Rate						
With Some Hours of Participation	**34%**		**45%**	**35%**		**43%**
With No Hours of Participation	**66%**	**46**	**55%**	**65%**	**40**	**57%**

Idaho

FY 2006

C.L. "Butch" Otter (R)

Karl Kurtz, Director, Department of Health and Welfare

Temporary Assistance for Families in Idaho

State Administered - 44 Counties

		Rank
Cases (September 2006):	1,767	52
FY 2006 Change:	-3%	45
Change Since Enactment:	-79%	4
SFAG (in Millions):	$ 34	47
Participation Rate:	44%	16
Zero Participation:	9%	1

TANF Benefit Structure

Monthly - Family of Three

		As % of FPL		As % of SMI	
		Percent	Rank	Percent	Rank
Max Grant (No Income)	$309	22%	37	7%	33
Max Earnings at Application	$648	47%	27	15%	25
Max Earnings at Close	$648	47%	41	15%	40

FY 2006 Funding (in Millions)

	Amount	Unobligated Balance
Beginning FY Balance	$ 7	$ -
Total Awarded	$ 34	
Expended/Transferred	$ 34	
Ending Balance	$ 7	$ -
State MOE	$ 15	

Caseload & Unemployment Rate



FY 2006 Caseload

	Sept. 2006	During FY 2006 Change	Percent	Rank
All Cases	1,767	-48	-3%	45
Adult-Headed	340	-130	-28%	6
Child-Only	1,427	82	6%	53
Recipients	2,881	-314	-10%	20

TANF Time Limit:
Intermittent No
Lifetime 24 months

Month/ Yr of First Impact:
July 1999

Sanction Policy: Full / 1 month

Expenditure Profile

	FY 2006 STATE (in Millions)	% of Total Funds	FY 2006 U.S. Avg % of Total Funds	FY 2005 STATE (in Millions)	% of Total Funds	FY 2005 U.S. Avg % of Total Funds
Total Expended & Transferred Plus State MOE	$ 49			$ 50		
Cash Benefits	$ 7	15%	37%	$ 7	15%	39%
Services	$ 29	60%	45%	$ 30	61%	42%
Administration	$ 1	2%	8%	$ 1	2%	7%
Information Systems	$ 1	2%	1%	$ 1	2%	1%
Transferred to CCDF	$ 9	18%	7%	$ 9	17%	7%
Transferred to SSBG	$ 1	3%	3%	$ 1	3%	3%

All-Family Work Participation

	FY 2006 STATE	RANK	U.S. Avg	FY 2005 STATE	RANK	U.S. Avg
Total TANF Cases - Avg. Monthly	1,818			1,860		
Exempt From Participation	80%	3	56%	74%	3	55%
Child -Only	78%	2	47%	72%	2	45%
Child Under Age 1	2%	46	8%	2%	47	8%
In Sanction Status	0%	na	1%	0%	na	1%
Other	0%	na	0%	0%	na	1%
Cases Subject to All-Family Rate	355			476		
Number Participating - Avg. Monthly	1,818			1,860		
Participation Rate	44%	16	33%	40%	17	33%
Employment	66%	19	56%	61%	20	56%
On The Job Training	0%	na	0%	0%	na	0%
Work Exp./Community Service	6%	40	24%	9%	38	24%
Job Search	42%	2	17%	42%	2	16%
Vocational Education	42%	1	17%	49%	1	16%
Job Skills Training	0%	na	1%	0%	na	1%
School Attendance	0%	na	5%	1%	44	5%
Other	32%	2	9%	36%	3	8%
Participation Rate w/o Waiver	44%	15	31%	40%	15	30%
Percent of Cases Subject to All-Family Rate						
With Some Hours of Participation	91%		45%	89%		43%
With No Hours of Participation	9%	1	55%	11%	1	57%

Illinois

FY 2006

Rod R. Blagojevich (D)

Carol L. Adams, Ph.D., Secretary, Department of Human Services

TANF

State Administered - 102 Counties

		Rank
Cases (September 2006):	**34,376**	15
FY 2006 Change:	**-11%**	11
Change Since Enactment:	**-84%**	2
SFAG (in Millions):	**$ 585**	7
Participation Rate:	**53%**	9
Zero Participation:	**20%**	4

TANF Benefit Structure

Monthly - Family of Three

		As % of FPL		As % of SMI	
		Percent	Rank	Percent	Rank
Max Grant (No Income)	**$396**	**29%**	27	**7%**	31
Max Earnings at Application	**$486**	**35%**	42	**9%**	45
Max Earnings at Close	**$1,185**	**86%**	18	**22%**	23

FY 2006 Funding (in Millions)

	Amount	Unobligated Balance
Beginning FY Balance	$ -	$ -
Total Awarded	$ 585	
Expended/Transferred	$ 585	
Ending Balance	$ -	$ -
State MOE	$ 430	

Caseload & Unemployment Rate



FY 2006 Caseload

	Sept. 2006	During FY 2006 Change	Percent	Rank
All Cases	**34,376**	**-4,446**	**-11%**	**11**
Adult-Headed	16,613	**-3,089**	**-16%**	**15**
Child-Only	17,763	**-1,357**	**-7%**	**9**
Recipients	**82,909**	**-12,115**	**-13%**	**14**

TANF Time Limit:
Intermittent No
Lifetime 60 months

Month/ Yr of First Impact:
July 2002

Sanction Policy: Partial / Until Compliance

Expenditure Profile

	FY 2006 STATE		FY 2006 U.S. Avg	FY 2005 STATE		FY 2005 U.S. Avg
Total Expended & Transferred	(in Millions)			(in Millions)		
Plus State MOE	$ 1,015			$ 1,016		
		% of Total Funds	% of Total Funds		% of Total Funds	% of Total Funds
Cash Benefits	$ 124	12%	37%	$ 122	12%	39%
Services	$ 833	82%	45%	$ 853	84%	42%
Administration	$ 24	2%	8%	$ 23	2%	7%
Information Systems	$ 1	0%	1%	$ 1	0%	1%
Transferred to CCDF	$ -	0%	7%	$ -	0%	7%
Transferred to SSBG	$ 33	3%	3%	$ 17	2%	3%

All-Family Work Participation

	FY 2006 STATE	RANK	FY 2006 U.S. Avg	FY 2005 STATE	RANK	FY 2005 U.S. Avg
Total TANF Cases - Avg. Monthly	**36,331**			**38,391**		
Exempt From Participation	**63%**	**14**	**56%**	**63%**	**11**	**55%**
Child -Only	47%	21	47%	46%	17	45%
Child Under Age 1	14%	3	8%	15%	2	8%
In Sanction Status	2%	21	1%	1%	25	1%
Other	0%	na	0%	0%	na	1%
Cases Subject to All-Family Rate	**11,738**			**12,127**		
Number Participating - Avg. Monthly	**36,331**			**38,391**		
Participation Rate	**53%**	**9**	**33%**	**43%**	**14**	**33%**
Employment	33%	45	56%	49%	32	56%
On The Job Training	0%	na	0%	0%	na	0%
Work Exp./Community Service	38%	16	24%	26%	22	24%
Job Search	2%	52	17%	3%	50	16%
Vocational Education	35%	3	17%	25%	15	16%
Job Skills Training	1%	27	1%	0%	29	1%
School Attendance	6%	23	5%	7%	18	5%
Other	20%	3	9%	19%	4	8%
Participation Rate w/o Waiver	**53%**	**8**	**31%**	**43%**	**12**	**30%**
Percent of Cases Subject to All-Family Rate						
With Some Hours of Participation	**80%**		**45%**	**67%**		**43%**
With No Hours of Participation	**20%**	**4**	**55%**	**33%**	**9**	**57%**

Indiana

FY 2006

Mitch Daniels (R)

Mitch Roob, Secretary, Family and Social Services Administration

Indiana Manpower Placement and Comprehensive Training (IMPACT)

State Administered - 92 Counties

		Rank
Cases (September 2006):	**42,835**	12
FY 2006 Change:	**-7%**	26
Change Since Enactment:	**-14%**	52
SFAG (in Millions):	**$ 207**	21
Participation Rate:	**27%**	38
Zero Participation:	**67%**	48

TANF Benefit Structure

Monthly - Family of Three

		As % of FPL		As % of SMI	
		Percent	Rank	Percent	Rank
Max Grant (No Income)	**$288**	**21%**	41	**6%**	42
Max Earnings at Application	**$378**	**27%**	48	**8%**	49
Max Earnings at Close	**$1,151**	**83%**	19	**25%**	14

FY 2006 Funding (in Millions)

	Amount	Unobligated Balance
Beginning FY Balance	$ 66	$ 21
Total Awarded	$ 207	
Expended/Transferred	$ 208	
Ending Balance	$ 65	$ -
State MOE	$ 121	

Caseload & Unemployment Rate



FY 2006 Caseload

	Sept. 2006	During FY 2006 Change	Percent	Rank
All Cases	**42,835**	**-3,313**	**-7%**	**26**
Adult-Headed	24,830	**-2,800**	**-10%**	**25**
Child-Only	18,005	**-513**	**-3%**	**28**
Recipients	**115,361**	**-7,878**	**-6%**	**34**

TANF Time Limit:
Intermittent No
Lifetime 24 months

Month/ Yr of First Impact:
July 1997

Sanction Policy: Partial / 2 months

Expenditure Profile

	FY 2006 STATE		FY 2006 U.S. Avg	FY 2005 STATE		FY 2005 U.S. Avg
Total Expended & Transferred	(in Millions)			(in Millions)		
Plus State MOE	$ 329			$ 314		
		% of Total Funds	% of Total Funds		% of Total Funds	% of Total Funds
Cash Benefits	$ 109	33%	37%	$ 113	36%	39%
Services	$ 173	53%	45%	$ 153	49%	42%
Administration	$ 27	8%	8%	$ 32	10%	7%
Information Systems	$ 7	2%	1%	$ 8	3%	1%
Transferred to CCDF	$ 11	3%	7%	$ 5	2%	7%
Transferred to SSBG	$ 2	1%	3%	$ 2	1%	3%

All-Family Work Participation

	FY 2006 STATE	RANK	FY 2006 U.S. Avg	FY 2005 STATE	RANK	FY 2005 U.S. Avg
Total TANF Cases - Avg. Monthly	**42,534**			**44,042**		
Exempt From Participation	**54%**	**24**	**56%**	**52%**	**24**	**55%**
Child -Only	43%	27	47%	41%	25	45%
Child Under Age 1	9%	12	8%	9%	14	8%
In Sanction Status	2%	18	1%	2%	19	1%
Other	0%	na	0%	0%	na	1%
Cases Subject to All-Family Rate	**19,451**			**21,203**		
Number Participating - Avg. Monthly	**42,534**			**44,042**		
Participation Rate	**27%**	**38**	**33%**	**31%**	**30**	**33%**
Employment	78%	8	56%	78%	9	56%
On The Job Training	0%	28	0%	0%	24	0%
Work Exp./Community Service	4%	45	24%	1%	49	24%
Job Search	17%	22	17%	19%	22	16%
Vocational Education	3%	46	17%	3%	47	16%
Job Skills Training	1%	25	1%	1%	22	1%
School Attendance	11%	7	5%	8%	12	5%
Other	0%	na	9%	0%	na	8%
Participation Rate w/o Waiver	**27%**	**37**	**31%**	**31%**	**28**	**30%**
Percent of Cases Subject to All-Family Rate						
With Some Hours of Participation	**33%**		**45%**	**36%**		**43%**
With No Hours of Participation	**67%**	**48**	**55%**	**64%**	**38**	**57%**

Iowa

FY 2006

Chet Culver (D)

Kevin Concannon, Director, Department of Human Services

Family Investment Program (FIP)

State Administered - 89 Counties

		Rank
Cases (September 2006):	**20,450**	22
FY 2006 Change:	**-8%**	21
Change Since Enactment:	**-34%**	48
SFAG (in Millions):	**$ 132**	28
Participation Rate:	**39%**	22
Zero Participation:	**43%**	18

TANF Benefit Structure

Monthly - Family of Three

		As % of FPL		As % of SMI	
		Percent	Rank	Percent	Rank
Max Grant (No Income)	**$426**	**31%**	22	**9%**	21
Max Earnings at Application	**$1,061**	**77%**	9	**22%**	8
Max Earnings at Close	**$1,065**	**77%**	25	**22%**	20

FY 2006 Funding (in Millions)

	Amount	Unobligated Balance
Beginning FY Balance	$ 26	$ 20
Total Awarded	$ 132	
Expended/Transferred	$ 133	
Ending Balance	$ 24	$ 19
State MOE	$ 67	

Caseload & Unemployment Rate



FY 2006 Caseload

	Sept. 2006	During FY 2006 Change	Percent	Rank
All Cases	**20,450**	**-1,698**	**-8%**	**21**
Adult-Headed	15,250	**-1,529**	**-9%**	**29**
Child-Only	5,200	**-169**	**-3%**	**26**
Recipients	**38,381**	**-3,964**	**-9%**	**23**

TANF Time Limit:
Intermittent No
Lifetime 60 months

Month/ Yr of First Impact:
January 2002

Sanction Policy: Full / Until Compliance

Expenditure Profile

	FY 2006 STATE		FY 2006 U.S. Avg	FY 2005 STATE		FY 2005 U.S. Avg
Total Expended & Transferred	(in Millions)			(in Millions)		
Plus State MOE	$ 200			$ 200		
		% of Total Funds	% of Total Funds		% of Total Funds	% of Total Funds
Cash Benefits	$ 74	37%	37%	$ 76	38%	39%
Services	$ 79	40%	45%	$ 72	36%	42%
Administration	$ 10	5%	8%	$ 12	6%	7%
Information Systems	$ 2	1%	1%	$ 2	1%	1%
Transferred to CCDF	$ 22	11%	7%	$ 25	13%	7%
Transferred to SSBG	$ 13	6%	3%	$ 13	6%	3%

All-Family Work Participation

	FY 2006 STATE	RANK	FY 2006 U.S. Avg	FY 2005 STATE	RANK	FY 2005 U.S. Avg
Total TANF Cases - Avg. Monthly	**16,675**			**17,707**		
Exempt From Participation	**41%**	**39**	**56%**	**38%**	**43**	**55%**
Child -Only	31%	40	47%	29%	39	45%
Child Under Age 1	8%	21	8%	7%	23	8%
In Sanction Status	2%	17	1%	1%	21	1%
Other	0%	na	0%	0%	na	1%
Cases Subject to All-Family Rate	**9,780**			**10,955**		
Number Participating - Avg. Monthly	**16,675**			**17,707**		
Participation Rate	**39%**	**22**	**33%**	**48%**	**10**	**33%**
Employment	80%	6	56%	88%	2	56%
On The Job Training	0%	na	0%	0%	na	0%
Work Exp./Community Service	1%	53	24%	1%	53	24%
Job Search	5%	49	17%	4%	49	16%
Vocational Education	26%	16	17%	19%	27	16%
Job Skills Training	0%	na	1%	0%	na	1%
School Attendance	7%	16	5%	7%	19	5%
Other	8%	8	9%	11%	8	8%
Participation Rate w/o Waiver	**39%**	**21**	**31%**	**48%**	**8**	**30%**
Percent of Cases Subject to All-Family Rate						
With Some Hours of Participation	**57%**		**45%**	**62%**		**43%**
With No Hours of Participation	**43%**	**18**	**55%**	**38%**	**13**	**57%**

Kansas

Kathleen Sebelius (D)

Don Jordan, Secretary, Department of Social and Rehabilitation Services

Kansas Works

State Administered - 105 Counties

FY 2006

		Rank
Cases (September 2006):	**16,974**	28
FY 2006 Change:	**-6%**	28
Change Since Enactment:	**-27%**	51
SFAG (in Millions):	**$ 102**	32
Participation Rate:	**77%**	2
Zero Participation:	**26%**	7

TANF Benefit Structure

Monthly - Family of Three

		As % of FPL		As % of SMI	
		Percent	Rank	Percent	Rank
Max Grant (No Income)	**$429**	**31%**	21	**9%**	20
Max Earnings at Application	**$519**	**38%**	37	**11%**	38
Max Earnings at Close	**$805**	**58%**	34	**17%**	33

FY 2006 Funding (in Millions)

	Amount	Unobligated Balance
Beginning FY Balance	$ 1	$ 1
Total Awarded	$ 102	
Expended/Transferred	$ 101	
Ending Balance	$ 1	$ 1
State MOE	$ 79	

Caseload & Unemployment Rate



FY 2006 Caseload

	Sept. 2006	During FY 2006 Change	Percent	Rank
All Cases	**16,974**	**-1,152**	**-6%**	**28**
Adult-Headed	12,612	**-817**	**-6%**	**38**
Child-Only	4,362	**-335**	**-7%**	**7**
Recipients	**44,290**	**-3,320**	**-7%**	**32**

TANF Time Limit:
Intermittent No
Lifetime 60 months

Month/ Yr of First Impact:
October 2001

Sanction Policy: Full / Until Compliance

Expenditure Profile

	FY 2006 STATE		FY 2006 U.S. Avg	FY 2005 STATE		FY 2005 U.S. Avg
Total Expended & Transferred Plus State MOE	**$ 181** (in Millions)			**$ 180** (in Millions)		
		% of Total Funds	% of Total Funds		% of Total Funds	% of Total Funds
Cash Benefits	$ 70	38%	37%	$ 84	47%	39%
Services	$ 74	41%	45%	$ 62	34%	42%
Administration	$ 8	5%	8%	$ 8	4%	7%
Information Systems	$ 0	0%	1%	$ 0	0%	1%
Transferred to CCDF	$ 22	12%	7%	$ 21	12%	7%
Transferred to SSBG	$ 7	4%	3%	$ 4	2%	3%

All-Family Work Participation

	FY 2006 STATE	RANK	FY 2006 U.S. Avg	FY 2005 STATE	RANK	FY 2005 U.S. Avg
Total TANF Cases - Avg. Monthly	**17,232**			**17,622**		
Exempt From Participation	**34%**	**47**	**56%**	**33%**	**45**	**55%**
Child -Only	27%	47	47%	27%	46	45%
Child Under Age 1	8%	24	8%	7%	26	8%
In Sanction Status	0%	na	1%	0%	na	1%
Other	0%	7	0%	0%	10	1%
Cases Subject to All-Family Rate	**11,321**			**11,732**		
Number Participating - Avg. Monthly	**17,232**			**17,622**		
Participation Rate	**77%**	**2**	**33%**	**87%**	**1**	**33%**
Employment	24%	49	56%	20%	49	56%
On The Job Training	0%	21	0%	0%	34	0%
Work Exp./Community Service	78%	4	24%	89%	2	24%
Job Search	12%	30	17%	6%	46	16%
Vocational Education	2%	48	17%	2%	49	16%
Job Skills Training	0%	30	1%	0%	30	1%
School Attendance	4%	33	5%	3%	34	5%
Other	0%	na	9%	0%	na	8%
Participation Rate w/o Waiver	**77%**	**2**	**31%**	**87%**	**1**	**30%**
Percent of Cases Subject to All-Family Rate						
With Some Hours of Participation	**74%**		**45%**	**82%**		**43%**
With No Hours of Participation	**26%**	7	**55%**	**18%**	3	**57%**

Kentucky

Ernie Fletcher (D)

Mark Birdwhistell, Secretary, Cabinet for Health and Family Services

Kentucky Transitional Assistance Program (K-TAP)

State Administered - 120 Counties

FY 2006

		Rank
Cases (September 2006):	**32,436**	17
FY 2006 Change:	**-5%**	38
Change Since Enactment:	**-54%**	27
SFAG (in Millions):	**$ 181**	22
Participation Rate:	**45%**	14
Zero Participation:	**53%**	26

TANF Benefit Structure

Monthly - Family of Three

		As % of FPL		As % of SMI	
		Percent	Rank	Percent	Rank
Max Grant (No Income)	**$262**	**19%**	44	**6%**	41
Max Earnings at Application	**$909**	**66%**	15	**22%**	9
Max Earnings at Close	**No limit**	**0%**	0	**0%**	0

FY 2006 Funding (in Millions)

	Amount	Unobligated Balance
Beginning FY Balance	$ 49	$ 49
Total Awarded	$ 181	
Expended/Transferred	$ 172	
Ending Balance	$ 58	$ 58
State MOE	$ 72	

Caseload & Unemployment Rate



FY 2006 Caseload

	Sept. 2006	During FY 2006 Change	Percent	Rank
All Cases	**32,436**	**-1,646**	**-5%**	**38**
Adult-Headed	15,203	**-1,887**	**-11%**	**22**
Child-Only	17,233	**241**	**1%**	**45**
Recipients	**67,790**	**-5,345**	**-7%**	**29**

TANF Time Limit:
Intermittent No
Lifetime 60 months

Month/ Yr of First Impact:
November 2001

Sanction Policy: Partial / Until Compliance

Expenditure Profile

	FY 2006 STATE	FY 2006 % of Total Funds	FY 2006 U.S. Avg % of Total Funds	FY 2005 STATE	FY 2005 % of Total Funds	FY 2005 U.S. Avg % of Total Funds
Total Expended & Transferred	(in Millions)			(in Millions)		
Plus State MOE	**$ 244**			**$ 271**		
Cash Benefits	$ 101	41%	37%	$ 105	39%	39%
Services	$ 72	30%	45%	$ 95	35%	42%
Administration	$ 14	6%	8%	$ 14	5%	7%
Information Systems	$ 4	1%	1%	$ 3	1%	1%
Transferred to CCDF	$ 54	22%	7%	$ 54	20%	7%
Transferred to SSBG	$ -	0%	3%	$ -	0%	3%

All-Family Work Participation

	FY 2006 STATE	FY 2006 RANK	FY 2006 U.S. Avg	FY 2005 STATE	FY 2005 RANK	FY 2005 U.S. Avg
Total TANF Cases - Avg. Monthly	**33,092**			**34,701**		
Exempt From Participation	**60%**	**16**	**56%**	**57%**	**19**	**55%**
Child -Only	52%	15	47%	48%	16	45%
Child Under Age 1	5%	32	8%	5%	31	8%
In Sanction Status	3%	10	1%	3%	9	1%
Other	0%	na	0%	0%	na	1%
Cases Subject to All-Family Rate	**13,183**			**14,962**		
Number Participating - Avg. Monthly	**33,092**			**34,701**		
Participation Rate	**45%**	**14**	**33%**	**40%**	**18**	**33%**
Employment	51%	31	56%	48%	34	56%
On The Job Training	0%	26	0%	0%	23	0%
Work Exp./Community Service	18%	29	24%	17%	28	24%
Job Search	4%	50	17%	6%	47	16%
Vocational Education	34%	5	17%	38%	4	16%
Job Skills Training	3%	9	1%	3%	13	1%
School Attendance	6%	20	5%	6%	24	5%
Other	1%	21	9%	1%	19	8%
Participation Rate w/o Waiver	**45%**	**13**	**31%**	**40%**	**16**	**30%**
Percent of Cases Subject to All-Family Rate						
With Some Hours of Participation	**47%**		**45%**	**45%**		**43%**
With No Hours of Participation	**53%**	**26**	**55%**	**55%**	**27**	**57%**

Louisiana

FY 2006

Kathleen Babineaux Blanco (D)

Ann S. Williamson, Secretary, Department of Social Services

Family Independence Temporary Assistance Program (FITAP)

State Administered - 64 Counties

		Rank
Cases (September 2006):	**11,183**	36
FY 2006 Change:	**-27%**	3
Change Since Enactment:	**-83%**	3
SFAG (in Millions):	**$ 181**	23
Participation Rate:	**38%**	23
Zero Participation:	**48%**	22

TANF Benefit Structure

Monthly - Family of Three

		As % of FPL		As % of SMI	
		Percent	Rank	Percent	Rank
Max Grant (No Income)	**$240**	**17%**	46	**6%**	45
Max Earnings at Application	**$360**	**26%**	49	**9%**	48
Max Earnings at Close	**$1,260**	**91%**	13	**30%**	5

FY 2006 Funding (in Millions)

	Amount	Unobligated Balance
Beginning FY Balance	$ 35	$ 6
Total Awarded	$ 181	
Expended/Transferred	$ 182	
Ending Balance	$ 34	$ -
State MOE	$ 55	

Caseload & Unemployment Rate



FY 2006 Caseload

	Sept. 2006	During FY 2006 Change	Percent	Rank
All Cases	**11,183**	**-4,154**	**-27%**	**3**
Adult-Headed	3,510	**-1,900**	**-35%**	**3**
Child-Only	7,673	**-2,254**	**-23%**	**1**
Recipients	**25,200**	**-10,343**	**-29%**	**3**

TANF Time Limit:		**Month/ Yr of First Impact:**
Intermittent	24 months in 60 mo	January 1999
Lifetime	60 months	

Sanction Policy: Partial / 3 months

Expenditure Profile

	FY 2006 STATE (in Millions)	% of Total Funds	FY 2006 U.S. Avg % of Total Funds	FY 2005 STATE (in Millions)	% of Total Funds	FY 2005 U.S. Avg % of Total Funds
Total Expended & Transferred Plus State MOE	**$ 238**			**$ 222**		
Cash Benefits	$ 45	19%	37%	$ 51	23%	39%
Services	$ 114	48%	45%	$ 109	49%	42%
Administration	$ 23	10%	8%	$ 22	10%	7%
Information Systems	$ 1	1%	1%	$ 4	2%	1%
Transferred to CCDF	$ 38	16%	7%	$ 20	9%	7%
Transferred to SSBG	$ 16	7%	3%	$ 16	7%	3%

All-Family Work Participation

	FY 2006 STATE	RANK	U.S. Avg	FY 2005 STATE	RANK	U.S. Avg
Total TANF Cases - Avg. Monthly	**11,916**			**16,103**		
Exempt From Participation	**73%**	**5**	**56%**	**68%**	**7**	**55%**
Child -Only	70%	4	47%	64%	5	45%
Child Under Age 1	3%	41	8%	5%	37	8%
In Sanction Status	0%	na	1%	0%	na	1%
Other	0%	na	0%	0%	na	1%
Cases Subject to All-Family Rate	**3,215**			**5,111**		
Number Participating - Avg. Monthly	**11,916**			**16,103**		
Participation Rate	**38%**	**23**	**33%**	**35%**	**25**	**33%**
Employment	57%	27	56%	53%	26	56%
On The Job Training	1%	10	0%	0%	9	0%
Work Exp./Community Service	10%	37	24%	11%	36	24%
Job Search	10%	35	17%	9%	37	16%
Vocational Education	34%	4	17%	34%	5	16%
Job Skills Training	0%	na	1%	0%	na	1%
School Attendance	8%	9	5%	11%	5	5%
Other	0%	na	9%	0%	na	8%
Participation Rate w/o Waiver	**38%**	**22**	**31%**	**35%**	**23**	**30%**
Percent of Cases Subject to All-Family Rate						
With Some Hours of Participation	**52%**		**45%**	**49%**		**43%**
With No Hours of Participation	**48%**	**22**	**55%**	**51%**	**22**	**57%**

Maine

FY 2006

John E. Baldacci (D)

Brenda Harvey, Commissioner, Department of Health and Human Services

TANF

State Administered - 16 Counties

		Rank
Cases (September 2006):	**11,000**	38
FY 2006 Change:	**-1%**	48
Change Since Enactment:	**-44%**	41
SFAG (in Millions):	**$ 78**	39
Participation Rate:	**27%**	39
Zero Participation:	**52%**	25

TANF Benefit Structure

Monthly - Family of Three

		As % of FPL		As % of SMI	
		Percent	Rank	Percent	Rank
Max Grant (No Income)	**$485**	**35%**	17	**10%**	13
Max Earnings at Application	**$1,023**	**74%**	11	**21%**	10
Max Earnings at Close	**$1,023**	**74%**	28	**21%**	26

FY 2006 Funding (in Millions)

	Amount	Unobligated Balance
Beginning FY Balance	$ 6	$ 6
Total Awarded	$ 78	
Expended/Transferred	$ 78	
Ending Balance	$ 6	$ 6
State MOE	$ 48	

Caseload & Unemployment Rate



FY 2006 Caseload

	Sept. 2006	During FY 2006 Change	Percent	Rank
All Cases	**11,000**	**-64**	**-1%**	**48**
Adult-Headed	8,434	**-148**	**-2%**	**48**
Child-Only	2,566	**84**	**3%**	**49**
Recipients	**24,931**	**310**	**1%**	**51**

TANF Time Limit:
Intermittent No
Lifetime 60 months

Month/ Yr of First Impact:
November 2001

Sanction Policy: Partial/Full (varies) / Until Compliance

Expenditure Profile

	FY 2006 STATE (in Millions)	% of Total Funds	FY 2006 U.S. Avg % of Total Funds	FY 2005 STATE (in Millions)	% of Total Funds	FY 2005 U.S. Avg % of Total Funds
Total Expended & Transferred Plus State MOE	**$ 126**			**$ 141**		
Cash Benefits	$ 65	52%	37%	$ 90	64%	39%
Services	$ 36	28%	45%	$ 31	22%	42%
Administration	$ 6	5%	8%	$ 6	4%	7%
Information Systems	$ 1	1%	1%	$ -	0%	1%
Transferred to CCDF	$ 15	12%	7%	$ 9	6%	7%
Transferred to SSBG	$ 3	3%	3%	$ 5	3%	3%

All-Family Work Participation

	FY 2006 STATE	RANK	U.S. Avg	FY 2005 STATE	RANK	U.S. Avg
Total TANF Cases - Avg. Monthly	**9,304**			**9,543**		
Exempt From Participation	**29%**	**51**	**56%**	**29%**	**50**	**55%**
Child -Only	27%	48	47%	26%	47	45%
Child Under Age 1	1%	48	8%	1%	49	8%
In Sanction Status	1%	26	1%	1%	23	1%
Other	0%	6	0%	0%	7	1%
Cases Subject to All-Family Rate	**6,574**			**6,800**		
Number Participating - Avg. Monthly	**9,304**			**9,543**		
Participation Rate	**27%**	**39**	**33%**	**28%**	**35**	**33%**
Employment	67%	18	56%	68%	16	56%
On The Job Training	0%	na	0%	0%	29	0%
Work Exp./Community Service	23%	25	24%	29%	18	24%
Job Search	7%	44	17%	8%	41	16%
Vocational Education	16%	28	17%	12%	34	16%
Job Skills Training	15%	1	1%	11%	3	1%
School Attendance	7%	14	5%	6%	23	5%
Other	0%	na	9%	0%	na	8%
Participation Rate w/o Waiver	**27%**	**38**	**31%**	**28%**	**33**	**30%**
Percent of Cases Subject to All-Family Rate						
With Some Hours of Participation	**48%**		**45%**	**48%**		**43%**
With No Hours of Participation	**52%**	**25**	**55%**	**52%**	**24**	**57%**

Maryland

FY 2006

Martin O'Malley (D)

Brenda Donald, Secretary, Department of Humans Resources

Family Investment Program (FIP)

County Administered - 24 Counties

		Rank
Cases (September 2006):	**19,049**	24
FY 2006 Change:	**-23%**	4
Change Since Enactment:	**-72%**	10
SFAG (in Millions):	**$ 228**	18
Participation Rate:	**45%**	15
Zero Participation:	**50%**	23

TANF Benefit Structure

Monthly - Family of Three

		As % of FPL		As % of SMI	
		Percent	Rank	Percent	Rank
Max Grant (No Income)	**$490**	**35%**	15	**8%**	28
Max Earnings at Application	**$613**	**44%**	30	**9%**	43
Max Earnings at Close	**$817**	**59%**	31	**13%**	45

FY 2006 Funding (in Millions)

	Amount	Unobligated Balance
Beginning FY Balance	$ 109	$ 102
Total Awarded	$ 228	
Expended/Transferred	$ 213	
Ending Balance	$ 124	$ 110
State MOE	$ 177	

Caseload & Unemployment Rate



FY 2006 Caseload

	Sept. 2006	During FY 2006 Change	Percent	Rank
All Cases	**19,049**	**-5,791**	**-23%**	**4**
Adult-Headed	10,610	**-5,590**	**-35%**	**4**
Child-Only	8,439	**-201**	**-2%**	**29**
Recipients	**43,032**	**-8,258**	**-16%**	**10**

TANF Time Limit:
Intermittent No
Lifetime 60 months

Month/ Yr of First Impact:
January 2002

Sanction Policy: Full / Until Compliance

Expenditure Profile

	FY 2006 STATE		FY 2006 U.S. Avg	FY 2005 STATE		FY 2005 U.S. Avg
Total Expended & Transferred	(in Millions)			(in Millions)		
Plus State MOE	$ 390			$ 372		
		% of Total Funds	% of Total Funds		% of Total Funds	% of Total Funds
Cash Benefits	$ 107	27%	37%	$ 124	33%	39%
Services	$ 211	54%	45%	$ 189	51%	42%
Administration	$ 37	9%	8%	$ 34	9%	7%
Information Systems	$ 2	1%	1%	$ 2	0%	1%
Transferred to CCDF	$ 10	3%	7%	$ -	0%	7%
Transferred to SSBG	$ 23	6%	3%	$ 23	6%	3%

All-Family Work Participation

	FY 2006 STATE	RANK	FY 2006 U.S. Avg	FY 2005 STATE	RANK	FY 2005 U.S. Avg
Total TANF Cases - Avg. Monthly	**20,364**			**23,097**		
Exempt From Participation	**55%**	**23**	**56%**	**47%**	**29**	**55%**
Child -Only	49%	18	47%	42%	23	45%
Child Under Age 1	5%	34	8%	4%	38	8%
In Sanction Status	1%	31	1%	1%	28	1%
Other	0%	na	0%	0%	na	1%
Cases Subject to All-Family Rate	**9,228**			**12,235**		
Number Participating - Avg. Monthly	**20,364**			**23,097**		
Participation Rate	**45%**	**15**	**33%**	**21%**	**47**	**33%**
Employment	77%	10	56%	34%	45	56%
On The Job Training	2%	5	0%	0%	na	0%
Work Exp./Community Service	37%	17	24%	40%	13	24%
Job Search	18%	21	17%	21%	19	16%
Vocational Education	13%	31	17%	22%	22	16%
Job Skills Training	1%	19	1%	0%	32	1%
School Attendance	6%	24	5%	10%	9	5%
Other	1%	19	9%	0%	na	8%
Participation Rate w/o Waiver	**45%**	**14**	**31%**	**21%**	**45**	**30%**
Percent of Cases Subject to All-Family Rate						
With Some Hours of Participation	**50%**		**45%**	**34%**		**43%**
With No Hours of Participation	**50%**	**23**	**55%**	**66%**	**43**	**57%**

Massachusetts

Deval Patrick (D)

Julia E. Kehoe, Commissioner, Department of Transitional Assistance

Transitional Aid to Families with Dependent Children

State Administered - 14 Counties

FY 2006

		Rank
Cases (September 2006):	49,034	10
FY 2006 Change:	0%	51
Change Since Enactment:	-42%	44
SFAG (in Millions):	$ 459	9
Participation Rate:	14%	52
Zero Participation:	76%	51

TANF Benefit Structure

Monthly - Family of Three

		As % of FPL		As % of SMI	
		Percent	Rank	Percent	Rank
Max Grant (No Income)	$633	46%	6	10%	12
Max Earnings at Application	$723	52%	22	11%	35
Max Earnings at Close	$1,143	83%	21	18%	29

FY 2006 Funding (in Millions)

	Amount	Unobligated Balance
Beginning FY Balance	$ 8	$ 8
Total Awarded	$ 459	
Expended/Transferred	$ 460	
Ending Balance	$ 7	$ -
State MOE	$ 472	

Caseload & Unemployment Rate



FY 2006 Caseload

	Sept. 2006	During FY 2006 Change	Percent	Rank
All Cases	49,034	64	0%	51
Adult-Headed	32,078	963	3%	50
Child-Only	16,956	-899	-5%	14
Recipients	95,067	-8,792	-8%	25

TANF Time Limit:		**Month/ Yr of First Impact:**
Intermittent	24 months in 60 mo	December 1998
Lifetime	No	

Sanction Policy: Partial / Until Compliance

Expenditure Profile

	FY 2006 STATE (in Millions)	% of Total Funds	FY 2006 U.S. Avg % of Total Funds	FY 2005 STATE (in Millions)	% of Total Funds	FY 2005 U.S. Avg % of Total Funds
Total Expended & Transferred Plus State MOE	$ 932			$ 826		
Cash Benefits	$ 320	34%	37%	$ 332	40%	39%
Services	$ 439	47%	45%	$ 329	40%	42%
Administration	$ 35	4%	8%	$ 28	3%	7%
Information Systems	$ -	0%	1%	$ -	0%	1%
Transferred to CCDF	$ 92	10%	7%	$ 92	11%	7%
Transferred to SSBG	$ 46	5%	3%	$ 46	6%	3%

All-Family Work Participation

	FY 2006 STATE	RANK	U.S. Avg	FY 2005 STATE	RANK	U.S. Avg
Total TANF Cases - Avg. Monthly	46,582			48,824		
Exempt From Participation	48%	29	56%	77%	2	55%
Child -Only	39%	29	47%	38%	30	45%
Child Under Age 1	9%	11	8%	4%	40	8%
In Sanction Status	0%	na	1%	0%	na	1%
Other	0%	na	0%	35%	1	1%
Cases Subject to All-Family Rate	23,699			11,061		
Number Participating - Avg. Monthly	46,582			48,824		
Participation Rate	14%	52	33%	60%	4	33%
Employment	57%	24	56%	49%	33	56%
On The Job Training	2%	6	0%	0%	na	0%
Work Exp./Community Service	8%	39	24%	14%	33	24%
Job Search	3%	51	17%	12%	30	16%
Vocational Education	21%	25	17%	4%	44	16%
Job Skills Training	0%	31	1%	16%	1	1%
School Attendance	18%	3	5%	13%	4	5%
Other	0%	na	9%	0%	22	8%
Participation Rate w/o Waiver	14%	52	31%	13%	53	30%
Percent of Cases Subject to All-Family Rate						
With Some Hours of Participation	24%		45%	25%		43%
With No Hours of Participation	76%	51	55%	75%	52	57%

Michigan

FY 2006

Jennifer Granholm (D)

Ismael Ahmed, Director, Department of Human Services

Family Independence Program (FIP)

State Administered - 83 Counties

		Rank
Cases (September 2006):	**89,806**	4
FY 2006 Change:	**12%**	54
Change Since Enactment:	**-46%**	36
SFAG (in Millions):	**$ 775**	3
Participation Rate:	**22%**	47
Zero Participation:	**72%**	49

TANF Benefit Structure

Monthly - Family of Three

		As % of FPL		As % of SMI	
		Percent	Rank	Percent	Rank
Max Grant (No Income)	**$489**	**35%**	16	**10%**	17
Max Earnings at Application	**$811**	**59%**	17	**16%**	19
Max Earnings at Close	**$811**	**59%**	32	**16%**	36

FY 2006 Funding (in Millions)

	Amount	Unobligated Balance
Beginning FY Balance	$ 46	$ 46
Total Awarded	$ 775	
Expended/Transferred	$ 821	
Ending Balance	$ -	$ -
State MOE	$ 568	

Caseload & Unemployment Rate



FY 2006 Caseload

	Sept. 2006	During FY 2006 Change	Percent	Rank
All Cases	**89,806**	**9,277**	**12%**	**54**
Adult-Headed	63,032	**9,310**	**17%**	**53**
Child-Only	26,774	**-33**	**0%**	**41**
Recipients	**238,766**	**24,410**	**11%**	**53**

TANF Time Limit:
Intermittent No
Lifetime No

Month/ Yr of First Impact:
October 2001

Sanction Policy: Full / 1 month

Expenditure Profile

	FY 2006 STATE (in Millions)	% of Total Funds	FY 2006 U.S. Avg % of Total Funds	FY 2005 STATE (in Millions)	% of Total Funds	FY 2005 U.S. Avg % of Total Funds
Total Expended & Transferred Plus State MOE	**$ 1,389**			**$ 1,350**		
Cash Benefits	$ 344	25%	37%	$ 412	31%	39%
Services	$ 733	53%	45%	$ 669	50%	42%
Administration	$ 97	7%	8%	$ 87	6%	7%
Information Systems	$ 13	1%	1%	$ 7	1%	1%
Transferred to CCDF	$ 134	10%	7%	$ 131	10%	7%
Transferred to SSBG	$ 68	5%	3%	$ 44	3%	3%

All-Family Work Participation

	FY 2006 STATE	RANK	U.S. Avg	FY 2005 STATE	RANK	U.S. Avg
Total TANF Cases - Avg. Monthly	**82,953**			**80,590**		
Exempt From Participation	**43%**	**37**	**56%**	**45%**	**32**	**55%**
Child -Only	33%	37	47%	34%	32	45%
Child Under Age 1	10%	9	8%	10%	5	8%
In Sanction Status	0%	na	1%	0%	na	1%
Other	0%	na	0%	0%	na	1%
Cases Subject to All-Family Rate	**47,639**			**44,638**		
Number Participating - Avg. Monthly	**82,953**			**80,590**		
Participation Rate	**22%**	**47**	**33%**	**22%**	**46**	**33%**
Employment	84%	3	56%	82%	5	56%
On The Job Training	0%	na	0%	1%	8	0%
Work Exp./Community Service	2%	51	24%	1%	50	24%
Job Search	18%	20	17%	25%	11	16%
Vocational Education	6%	42	17%	4%	42	16%
Job Skills Training	0%	34	1%	0%	na	1%
School Attendance	1%	42	5%	1%	42	5%
Other	0%	na	9%	0%	na	8%
Participation Rate w/o Waiver	**22%**	**46**	**31%**	**22%**	**44**	**30%**
Percent of Cases Subject to All-Family Rate						
With Some Hours of Participation	**28%**		**45%**	**31%**		**43%**
With No Hours of Participation	**72%**	**49**	**55%**	**69%**	**46**	**57%**

Minnesota



FY 2006

Tim Pawlenty (R)

Cal Ludeman, Commissioner, Department of Human Services

Minnesota Family Investment Program (MFIP)

County Administered - 87 Counties

		Rank
Cases (September 2006):	**30,176**	18
FY 2006 Change:	**-2%**	46
Change Since Enactment:	**-47%**	35
SFAG (in Millions):	**$ 263**	16
Participation Rate:	**30%**	33
Zero Participation:	**38%**	13

TANF Benefit Structure

Monthly - Family of Three

		As % of FPL		As % of SMI	
		Percent	Rank	Percent	Rank
Max Grant (No Income)	**$532**	**38%**	11	**9%**	19
Max Earnings at Application	**$1,076**	**78%**	8	**19%**	14
Max Earnings at Close	**$1,518**	**110%**	6	**27%**	10

FY 2006 Funding (in Millions)

	Amount	Unobligated Balance
Beginning FY Balance	$ 111	$ 34
Total Awarded	$ 263	
Expended/Transferred	$ 305	
Ending Balance	$ 70	$ -
State MOE	$ 177	

Caseload & Unemployment Rate



FY 2006 Caseload

	Sept. 2006	During FY 2006 Change	Percent	Rank
All Cases	**30,176**	**-548**	**-2%**	**46**
Adult-Headed	20,411	**-909**	**-4%**	**42**
Child-Only	9,765	**361**	**4%**	**51**
Recipients	**66,161**	**-2,322**	**-3%**	**45**

TANF Time Limit:
Intermittent No
Lifetime 60 months

Month/ Yr of First Impact: July 2002

Sanction Policy: Partial / 1 month

Expenditure Profile

	FY 2006 STATE (in Millions)	% of Total Funds	FY 2006 U.S. Avg % of Total Funds	FY 2005 STATE (in Millions)	% of Total Funds	FY 2005 U.S. Avg % of Total Funds
Total Expended & Transferred Plus State MOE	**$ 482**			**$ 415**		
Cash Benefits	$ 129	27%	37%	$ 137	33%	39%
Services	$ 228	47%	45%	$ 210	51%	42%
Administration	$ 45	9%	8%	$ 44	11%	7%
Information Systems	$ 1	0%	1%	$ 1	0%	1%
Transferred to CCDF	$ 74	15%	7%	$ 23	5%	7%
Transferred to SSBG	$ 5	1%	3%	$ -	0%	3%

All-Family Work Participation

	FY 2006 STATE	RANK	U.S. Avg	FY 2005 STATE	RANK	U.S. Avg
Total TANF Cases - Avg. Monthly	**27,479**			**28,996**		
Exempt From Participation	**48%**	**30**	**56%**	**46%**	**31**	**55%**
Child -Only	34%	34	47%	31%	37	45%
Child Under Age 1	8%	22	8%	9%	15	8%
In Sanction Status	3%	12	1%	3%	12	1%
Other	3%	3	0%	3%	5	1%
Cases Subject to All-Family Rate	**14,255**			**15,645**		
Number Participating - Avg. Monthly	**27,479**			**28,996**		
Participation Rate	**30%**	**33**	**33%**	**29%**	**34**	**33%**
Employment	57%	25	56%	59%	22	56%
On The Job Training	0%	na	0%	0%	na	0%
Work Exp./Community Service	11%	36	24%	8%	39	24%
Job Search	23%	14	17%	20%	20	16%
Vocational Education	5%	44	17%	5%	40	16%
Job Skills Training	2%	14	1%	1%	20	1%
School Attendance	27%	2	5%	30%	2	5%
Other	17%	6	9%	14%	6	8%
Participation Rate w/o Waiver	**30%**	**32**	**31%**	**29%**	**32**	**30%**
Percent of Cases Subject to All-Family Rate						
With Some Hours of Participation	**62%**		**45%**	**59%**		**43%**
With No Hours of Participation	**38%**	**13**	**55%**	**41%**	**15**	**57%**

Mississippi

FY 2006

Haley Barbour (R)

Don Taylor, Executive Director, Department of Human Services

TANF

State Administered - 82 Counties

		Rank
Cases (September 2006):	**12,594**	34
FY 2006 Change:	**-15%**	8
Change Since Enactment:	**-72%**	11
SFAG (in Millions):	**$ 96**	35
Participation Rate:	**36%**	28
Zero Participation:	**67%**	47

TANF Benefit Structure

Monthly - Family of Three

		As % of FPL		As % of SMI	
		Percent	Rank	Percent	Rank
Max Grant (No Income)	**$170**	**12%**	52	**5%**	52
Max Earnings at Application	**$458**	**33%**	44	**13%**	30
Max Earnings at Close	**No limit**	**0%**	0	**0%**	0

FY 2006 Funding (in Millions)

	Amount	Unobligated Balance
Beginning FY Balance	$ 19	$ 16
Total Awarded	$ 96	
Expended/Transferred	$ 80	
Ending Balance	$ 35	$ 30
State MOE	$ 22	

Caseload & Unemployment Rate



FY 2006 Caseload

	Sept. 2006	During FY 2006 Change	Percent	Rank
All Cases	**12,594**	**-2,234**	**-15%**	**8**
Adult-Headed	5,794	**-1,724**	**-23%**	**9**
Child-Only	6,800	**-510**	**-7%**	**10**
Recipients	**25,966**	**-5,459**	**-17%**	**7**

TANF Time Limit:
Intermittent No
Lifetime 60 months

Month/ Yr of First Impact:
October 2001

Sanction Policy: Full / 2 months

Expenditure Profile

	FY 2006 STATE		FY 2006 U.S. Avg	FY 2005 STATE		FY 2005 U.S. Avg
Total Expended & Transferred	(in Millions)			(in Millions)		
Plus State MOE	$ 102			$ 108		
		% of Total Funds	% of Total Funds		% of Total Funds	% of Total Funds
Cash Benefits	$ 22	22%	37%	$ 27	25%	39%
Services	$ 47	46%	45%	$ 47	43%	42%
Administration	$ 4	4%	8%	$ 5	4%	7%
Information Systems	$ 1	1%	1%	$ 1	1%	1%
Transferred to CCDF	$ 19	19%	7%	$ 20	18%	7%
Transferred to SSBG	$ 9	9%	3%	$ 10	9%	3%

All-Family Work Participation

	FY 2006 STATE	RANK	FY 2006 U.S. Avg	FY 2005 STATE	RANK	FY 2005 U.S. Avg
Total TANF Cases - Avg. Monthly	**13,417**			**16,071**		
Exempt From Participation	**67%**	**10**	**56%**	**58%**	**16**	**55%**
Child -Only	51%	16	47%	40%	27	45%
Child Under Age 1	15%	2	8%	18%	1	8%
In Sanction Status	0%	na	1%	0%	na	1%
Other	0%	9	0%	0%	8	1%
Cases Subject to All-Family Rate	**4,486**			**6,736**		
Number Participating - Avg. Monthly	**13,417**			**16,071**		
Participation Rate	**36%**	**28**	**33%**	**23%**	**43**	**33%**
Employment	57%	26	56%	64%	18	56%
On The Job Training	0%	na	0%	0%	na	0%
Work Exp./Community Service	32%	19	24%	16%	29	24%
Job Search	7%	43	17%	11%	32	16%
Vocational Education	7%	39	17%	12%	33	16%
Job Skills Training	0%	na	1%	0%	na	1%
School Attendance	6%	19	5%	6%	26	5%
Other	0%	na	9%	0%	na	8%
Participation Rate w/o Waiver	**36%**	**27**	**31%**	**23%**	**41**	**30%**
Percent of Cases Subject to All-Family Rate						
With Some Hours of Participation	**33%**		**45%**	**25%**		**43%**
With No Hours of Participation	**67%**	**47**	**55%**	**75%**	**51**	**57%**

Missouri

FY 2006

Matt Blunt (R)

Deborah E. Scott, Director, Department of Social Services

Beyond Welfare

State Administered - 115 Counties

		Rank
Cases (September 2006):	**43,520**	11
FY 2006 Change:	**-3%**	42
Change Since Enactment:	**-45%**	38
SFAG (in Millions):	**$ 217**	20
Participation Rate:	**19%**	48
Zero Participation:	**64%**	40

TANF Benefit Structure

Monthly - Family of Three

		As % of FPL		As % of SMI	
		Percent	Rank	Percent	Rank
Max Grant (No Income)	**$292**	**21%**	39	**6%**	38
Max Earnings at Application	**$558**	**40%**	35	**12%**	32
Max Earnings at Close	**$1,146**	**83%**	20	**25%**	13

FY 2006 Funding (in Millions)

	Amount	Unobligated Balance
Beginning FY Balance	$ 39	$ (0)
Total Awarded	$ 217	
Expended/Transferred	$ 240	
Ending Balance	$ 16	$ (0)
State MOE	$ 128	

Caseload & Unemployment Rate



FY 2006 Caseload

	Sept. 2006	During FY 2006 Change	Percent	Rank
All Cases	**43,520**	**-1,555**	**-3%**	**42**
Adult-Headed	32,824	**-1,012**	**-3%**	**46**
Child-Only	10,696	**-543**	**-5%**	**16**
Recipients	**92,133**	**-3,102**	**-3%**	**46**

TANF Time Limit:
Intermittent No
Lifetime 60 months

Month/ Yr of First Impact:
July 2002

Sanction Policy: Partial / Until Compliance

Expenditure Profile

	FY 2006 STATE (in Millions)	% of Total Funds	FY 2006 U.S. Avg % of Total Funds	FY 2005 STATE (in Millions)	% of Total Funds	FY 2005 U.S. Avg % of Total Funds
Total Expended & Transferred Plus State MOE	**$ 368**			**$ 348**		
Cash Benefits	$ 122	33%	37%	$ 125	36%	39%
Services	$ 187	51%	45%	$ 154	44%	42%
Administration	$ 9	2%	8%	$ 12	4%	7%
Information Systems	$ 6	2%	1%	$ 8	2%	1%
Transferred to CCDF	$ 23	6%	7%	$ 27	8%	7%
Transferred to SSBG	$ 22	6%	3%	$ 22	6%	3%

All-Family Work Participation

	FY 2006 STATE	RANK	U.S. Avg	FY 2005 STATE	RANK	U.S. Avg
Total TANF Cases - Avg. Monthly	**38,748**			**40,061**		
Exempt From Participation	**38%**	**43**	**56%**	**40%**	**41**	**55%**
Child -Only	28%	46	47%	29%	41	45%
Child Under Age 1	9%	14	8%	9%	13	8%
In Sanction Status	1%	22	1%	2%	15	1%
Other	0%	na	0%	0%	na	1%
Cases Subject to All-Family Rate	**23,915**			**24,095**		
Number Participating - Avg. Monthly	**38,748**			**40,061**		
Participation Rate	**19%**	**48**	**33%**	**20%**	**48**	**33%**
Employment	63%	21	56%	57%	24	56%
On The Job Training	0%	18	0%	0%	18	0%
Work Exp./Community Service	2%	47	24%	2%	47	24%
Job Search	8%	41	17%	8%	43	16%
Vocational Education	38%	2	17%	43%	2	16%
Job Skills Training	0%	na	1%	0%	na	1%
School Attendance	6%	21	5%	5%	27	5%
Other	7%	11	9%	8%	9	8%
Participation Rate w/o Waiver	**19%**	**47**	**31%**	**20%**	**46**	**30%**
Percent of Cases Subject to All-Family Rate						
With Some Hours of Participation	**36%**		**45%**	**42%**		**43%**
With No Hours of Participation	**64%**	**40**	**55%**	**58%**	**29**	**57%**

Montana

Brian Schweitzer (D)

Joan Miles, Director, Department of Public Health & Humans Services

Families Achieving Independence in Montana (FAIM)

County Administered - 57 Counties

FY 2006

		Rank
Cases (September 2006):	3,487	47
FY 2006 Change:	-9%	16
Change Since Enactment:	-64%	16
SFAG (in Millions):	$ 39	45
Participation Rate:	79%	1
Zero Participation:	16%	2

TANF Benefit Structure

Monthly - Family of Three

		As % of FPL		As % of SMI	
		Percent	Rank	Percent	Rank
Max Grant (No Income)	$442	32%	20	10%	9
Max Earnings at Application	$700	51%	24	16%	20
Max Earnings at Close	$700	51%	39	16%	37

FY 2006 Funding (in Millions)

	Amount	Unobligated Balance
Beginning FY Balance	$ 33	$ 33
Total Awarded	$ 39	
Expended/Transferred	$ 36	
Ending Balance	$ 36	$ 36
State MOE	$ 14	

Caseload & Unemployment Rate



FY 2006 Caseload

	Sept. 2006	During FY 2006 Change	Percent	Rank
All Cases	3,487	-355	-9%	16
Adult-Headed	2,179	-345	-14%	18
Child-Only	1,308	-10	-1%	36
Recipients	8,978	-1,157	-11%	17

TANF Time Limit:
Intermittent No
Lifetime 60 months

Month/ Yr of First Impact:
February 2002

Sanction Policy: Partial / 1 month

Expenditure Profile

	FY 2006 STATE (in Millions)	% of Total Funds	FY 2006 U.S. Avg % of Total Funds	FY 2005 STATE (in Millions)	% of Total Funds	FY 2005 U.S. Avg % of Total Funds
Total Expended & Transferred Plus State MOE	$ 50			$ 48		
Cash Benefits	$ 19	38%	37%	$ 22	46%	39%
Services	$ 18	37%	45%	$ 17	35%	42%
Administration	$ 5	10%	8%	$ 5	10%	7%
Information Systems	$ 0	1%	1%	$ 0	1%	1%
Transferred to CCDF	$ 5	10%	7%	$ 2	4%	7%
Transferred to SSBG	$ 2	4%	3%	$ 2	4%	3%

All-Family Work Participation

	FY 2006 STATE	RANK	U.S. Avg	FY 2005 STATE	RANK	U.S. Avg
Total TANF Cases - Avg. Monthly	3,812			4,614		
Exempt From Participation	34%	49	56%	33%	48	55%
Child -Only	32%	38	47%	30%	38	45%
Child Under Age 1	0%	na	8%	0%	na	8%
In Sanction Status	2%	16	1%	3%	10	1%
Other	0%	na	0%	0%	na	1%
Cases Subject to All-Family Rate	2,532			3,102		
Number Participating - Avg. Monthly	3,812			4,614		
Participation Rate	79%	1	33%	83%	2	33%
Employment	29%	47	56%	22%	48	56%
On The Job Training	0%	na	0%	0%	na	0%
Work Exp./Community Service	101%	1	24%	102%	1	24%
Job Search	10%	36	17%	10%	35	16%
Vocational Education	6%	41	17%	5%	41	16%
Job Skills Training	5%	6	1%	3%	12	1%
School Attendance	8%	10	5%	11%	7	5%
Other	0%	na	9%	0%	na	8%
Participation Rate w/o Waiver	79%	1	31%	83%	2	30%
Percent of Cases Subject to All-Family Rate						
With Some Hours of Participation	84%		45%	85%		43%
With No Hours of Participation	16%	2	55%	15%	2	57%

Nebraska

Dave Heineman (R)
Christine Peterson, Chief Executive Officer, Policy Secretary, Health & Human Services System

Employment First

State Administered - 93 Counties

FY 2006

		Rank
Cases (September 2006):	12,653	33
FY 2006 Change:	-3%	44
Change Since Enactment:	-12%	53
SFAG (in Millions):	$ 58	41
Participation Rate:	32%	31
Zero Participation:	59%	33

TANF Benefit Structure

Monthly - Family of Three

		As % of FPL		As % of SMI	
		Percent	Rank	Percent	Rank
Max Grant (No Income)	$364	26%	29	8%	29
Max Earnings at Application	$802	58%	18	17%	17
Max Earnings at Close	$802	58%	35	17%	34

FY 2006 Funding (in Millions)

	Amount	Unobligated Balance
Beginning FY Balance	$ 9	$ 9
Total Awarded	$ 58	
Expended/Transferred	$ 61	
Ending Balance	$ 6	$ 5
State MOE	$ 41	

Caseload & Unemployment Rate



FY 2006 Caseload

	Sept. 2006	During FY 2006 Change	Percent	Rank
All Cases	12,653	-401	-3%	44
Adult-Headed	9,186	-287	-3%	45
Child-Only	3,467	-114	-3%	25
Recipients	24,434	-475	-2%	49

TANF Time Limit:
Intermittent 24 months in 48 mo
Lifetime 60 months

Month/ Yr of First Impact:
December 1998

Sanction Policy: Full / 1 month

Expenditure Profile

	FY 2006 STATE (in Millions)	FY 2006 STATE % of Total Funds	FY 2006 U.S. Avg % of Total Funds	FY 2005 STATE (in Millions)	FY 2005 STATE % of Total Funds	FY 2005 U.S. Avg % of Total Funds
Total Expended & Transferred Plus State MOE	$ 101			$ 87		
Cash Benefits	$ 63	62%	37%	$ 54	62%	39%
Services	$ 23	22%	45%	$ 18	21%	42%
Administration	$ 5	5%	8%	$ 4	5%	7%
Information Systems	$ 1	1%	1%	$ 2	2%	1%
Transferred to CCDF	$ 9	9%	7%	$ 9	10%	7%
Transferred to SSBG	$ -	0%	3%	$ -	0%	3%

All-Family Work Participation

	FY 2006 STATE	FY 2006 RANK	FY 2006 U.S. Avg	FY 2005 STATE	FY 2005 RANK	FY 2005 U.S. Avg
Total TANF Cases - Avg. Monthly	10,097			9,313		
Exempt From Participation	35%	45	56%	33%	47	55%
Child -Only	35%	32	47%	28%	43	45%
Child Under Age 1	0%	na	8%	5%	32	8%
In Sanction Status	0%	na	1%	0%	na	1%
Other	0%	na	0%	0%	na	1%
Cases Subject to All-Family Rate	6,555			6,233		
Number Participating - Avg. Monthly	10,097			9,313		
Participation Rate	32%	31	33%	32%	28	33%
Employment	51%	32	56%	52%	30	56%
On The Job Training	1%	13	0%	0%	25	0%
Work Exp./Community Service	2%	49	24%	1%	52	24%
Job Search	28%	8	17%	29%	9	16%
Vocational Education	30%	9	17%	25%	16	16%
Job Skills Training	0%	32	1%	0%	na	1%
School Attendance	2%	40	5%	2%	41	5%
Other	0%	na	9%	0%	na	8%
Participation Rate w/o Waiver	32%	30	31%	32%	26	30%
Percent of Cases Subject to All-Family Rate						
With Some Hours of Participation	41%		45%	38%		43%
With No Hours of Participation	59%	33	55%	62%	34	57%

Nevada



FY 2006

Jim Gibbons (R)

Michael J. Willden, Director, Department of Health and Human Services

TANF

State Administered - 17 Counties

		Rank
Cases (September 2006):	**6,548**	42
FY 2006 Change:	**-11%**	12
Change Since Enactment:	**-50%**	30
SFAG (in Millions):	**$ 46**	44
Participation Rate:	**48%**	12
Zero Participation:	**20%**	3

TANF Benefit Structure

Monthly - Family of Three

		As % of FPL		As % of SMI	
		Percent	Rank	Percent	Rank
Max Grant (No Income)	**$348**	**25%**	31	**7%**	35
Max Earnings at Application	**$1,230**	**89%**	4	**23%**	7
Max Earnings at Close	**No limit**	**0%**	0	**0%**	0

FY 2006 Funding (in Millions)

	Amount	Unobligated Balance
Beginning FY Balance	$ 20	$ 20
Total Awarded	$ 46	
Expended/Transferred	$ 41	
Ending Balance	$ 26	$ 26
State MOE	$ 27	

Caseload & Unemployment Rate



FY 2006 Caseload

	Sept. 2006	During FY 2006 Change	Percent	Rank
All Cases	**6,548**	**-804**	**-11%**	**12**
Adult-Headed	3,316	-527	-14%	17
Child-Only	3,232	-277	-8%	6
Recipients	**11,677**	**-2,735**	**-19%**	**4**

TANF Time Limit:
Intermittent 24 mo. followed by 1
Lifetime 60 months

Month/ Yr of First Impact:
January 2000

Sanction Policy: Partial / 1 month

Expenditure Profile

	FY 2006 STATE		FY 2006 U.S. Avg	FY 2005 STATE		FY 2005 U.S. Avg
Total Expended & Transferred	(in Millions)			(in Millions)		
Plus State MOE	$ 68			$ 71		
		% of Total Funds	% of Total Funds		% of Total Funds	% of Total Funds
Cash Benefits	$ 33	49%	37%	$ 33	47%	39%
Services	$ 20	29%	45%	$ 20	28%	42%
Administration	$ 9	13%	8%	$ 10	15%	7%
Information Systems	$ 5	7%	1%	$ 6	9%	1%
Transferred to CCDF	$ -	0%	7%	$ -	0%	7%
Transferred to SSBG	$ 1	1%	3%	$ 1	2%	3%

All-Family Work Participation

	FY 2006 STATE	RANK	FY 2006 U.S. Avg	FY 2005 STATE	RANK	FY 2005 U.S. Avg
Total TANF Cases - Avg. Monthly	**5,399**			**6,788**		
Exempt From Participation	**68%**	**9**	**56%**	**62%**	**12**	**55%**
Child -Only	60%	10	47%	54%	11	45%
Child Under Age 1	8%	20	8%	8%	20	8%
In Sanction Status	0%	na	1%	0%	na	1%
Other	0%	na	0%	0%	na	1%
Cases Subject to All-Family Rate	**1,649**			**2,516**		
Number Participating - Avg. Monthly	**5,399**			**6,788**		
Participation Rate	**48%**	**12**	**33%**	**42%**	**15**	**33%**
Employment	84%	4	56%	86%	4	56%
On The Job Training	0%	na	0%	0%	na	0%
Work Exp./Community Service	72%	5	24%	67%	5	24%
Job Search	9%	38	17%	9%	39	16%
Vocational Education	10%	34	17%	7%	38	16%
Job Skills Training	0%	na	1%	0%	na	1%
School Attendance	1%	43	5%	1%	47	5%
Other	0%	na	9%	0%	na	8%
Participation Rate w/o Waiver	**48%**	**11**	**31%**	**42%**	**13**	**30%**
Percent of Cases Subject to All-Family Rate						
With Some Hours of Participation	**80%**		**45%**	**80%**		**43%**
With No Hours of Participation	**20%**	**3**	**55%**	**20%**	**4**	**57%**

New Hampshire

FY 2006

John Lynch (D)

John A. Stephen, Commissioner, Department of Health & Human Services

Family Assistance Program (FAP)

State Administered - 10 Counties

		Rank
Cases (September 2006):	**6,251**	43
FY 2006 Change:	**-1%**	47
Change Since Enactment:	**-30%**	49
SFAG (in Millions):	**$ 39**	46
Participation Rate:	**24%**	44
Zero Participation:	**55%**	30

TANF Benefit Structure

Monthly - Family of Three

		As % of FPL		As % of SMI	
		Percent	Rank	Percent	Rank
Max Grant (No Income)	**$625**	**45%**	7	**11%**	7
Max Earnings at Application	**$781**	**56%**	19	**13%**	28
Max Earnings at Close	**$1,248**	**90%**	15	**21%**	25

FY 2006 Funding (in Millions)

	Amount	Unobligated Balance
Beginning FY Balance	$ 48	$ 48
Total Awarded	$ 39	
Expended/Transferred	$ 44	
Ending Balance	$ 43	$ 43
State MOE	$ 32	

Caseload & Unemployment Rate



FY 2006 Caseload

	Sept. 2006	During FY 2006 Change	Percent	Rank
All Cases	**6,251**	**-91**	**-1%**	**47**
Adult-Headed	4,210	**-138**	**-3%**	**43**
Child-Only	2,041	**47**	**2%**	**47**
Recipients	**13,770**	**-432**	**-3%**	**47**

TANF Time Limit:
Intermittent No
Lifetime 60 months

Month/ Yr of First Impact:
October 2001

Sanction Policy: Partial / 1/2 month

Expenditure Profile

	FY 2006 STATE (in Millions)	FY 2006 STATE % of Total Funds	FY 2006 U.S. Avg % of Total Funds	FY 2005 STATE (in Millions)	FY 2005 STATE % of Total Funds	FY 2005 U.S. Avg % of Total Funds
Total Expended & Transferred Plus State MOE	**$ 76**			**$ 72**		
Cash Benefits	$ 42	55%	37%	$ 36	49%	39%
Services	$ 20	26%	45%	$ 20	28%	42%
Administration	$ 5	6%	8%	$ 4	6%	7%
Information Systems	$ 4	5%	1%	$ 3	4%	1%
Transferred to CCDF	$ 4	6%	7%	$ 6	8%	7%
Transferred to SSBG	$ 1	2%	3%	$ 4	5%	3%

All-Family Work Participation

	FY 2006 STATE	FY 2006 RANK	FY 2006 U.S. Avg	FY 2005 STATE	FY 2005 RANK	FY 2005 U.S. Avg
Total TANF Cases - Avg. Monthly	**6,105**			**6,144**		
Exempt From Participation	**46%**	**33**	**56%**	**45%**	**33**	**55%**
Child -Only	33%	36	47%	32%	35	45%
Child Under Age 1	10%	7	8%	9%	11	8%
In Sanction Status	3%	8	1%	3%	8	1%
Other	0%	na	0%	0%	na	1%
Cases Subject to All-Family Rate	**3,269**			**3,407**		
Number Participating - Avg. Monthly	**6,105**			**6,144**		
Participation Rate	**24%**	**44**	**33%**	**25%**	**40**	**33%**
Employment	75%	12	56%	74%	11	56%
On The Job Training	0%	24	0%	0%	14	0%
Work Exp./Community Service	19%	27	24%	18%	27	24%
Job Search	20%	19	17%	21%	18	16%
Vocational Education	6%	43	17%	6%	39	16%
Job Skills Training	7%	4	1%	8%	5	1%
School Attendance	7%	15	5%	7%	15	5%
Other	10%	7	9%	11%	7	8%
Participation Rate w/o Waiver	**24%**	**43**	**31%**	**25%**	**38**	**30%**
Percent of Cases Subject to All-Family Rate						
With Some Hours of Participation	**45%**		**45%**	**49%**		**43%**
With No Hours of Participation	**55%**	**30**	**55%**	**51%**	**23**	**57%**

New Jersey

FY 2006

Jon Corzine (D)

Jennifer Velez, Commissioner, Department of Human Services

Work First New Jersey (WFNJ)

County Administered - 21 Counties

		Rank
Cases (September 2006):	**41,363**	13
FY 2006 Change:	**-8%**	22
Change Since Enactment:	**-59%**	22
SFAG (in Millions):	**$ 404**	10
Participation Rate:	**29%**	36
Zero Participation:	**63%**	38

TANF Benefit Structure

Monthly - Family of Three

		As % of FPL		As % of SMI	
		Percent	Rank	Percent	Rank
Max Grant (No Income)	**$424**	**31%**	23	**6%**	39
Max Earnings at Application	**$636**	**46%**	28	**9%**	42
Max Earnings at Close	**$848**	**61%**	30	**13%**	44

FY 2006 Funding (in Millions)

	Amount	Unobligated Balance
Beginning FY Balance	$ 188	$ -
Total Awarded	$ 404	
Expended/Transferred	$ 309	
Ending Balance	$ 146	$ 137
State MOE	$ 347	

Caseload & Unemployment Rate



FY 2006 Caseload

	Sept. 2006	During FY 2006 Change	Percent	Rank
All Cases	**41,363**	**-3,402**	**-8%**	**22**
Adult-Headed	29,866	**-481**	**-2%**	**49**
Child-Only	11,497	**-2,921**	**-20%**	**2**
Recipients	**98,098**	**-5,866**	**-6%**	**39**

TANF Time Limit:
Intermittent No
Lifetime 60 months

Month/ Yr of First Impact:
February 2002

Sanction Policy: Partial / 1 month

Expenditure Profile

	FY 2006 STATE (in Millions)	% of Total Funds	FY 2006 U.S. Avg % of Total Funds	FY 2005 STATE (in Millions)	% of Total Funds	FY 2005 U.S. Avg % of Total Funds
Total Expended & Transferred Plus State MOE	**$ 656**			**$ 874**		
Cash Benefits	$ 78	12%	37%	$ 274	31%	39%
Services	$ 415	63%	45%	$ 501	57%	42%
Administration	$ 87	13%	8%	$ 74	8%	7%
Information Systems	$ 6	1%	1%	$ 9	1%	1%
Transferred to CCDF	$ 55	8%	7%	$ -	0%	7%
Transferred to SSBG	$ 16	2%	3%	$ 15	2%	3%

All-Family Work Participation

	FY 2006 STATE	RANK	U.S. Avg	FY 2005 STATE	RANK	U.S. Avg
Total TANF Cases - Avg. Monthly	**40,832**			**43,071**		
Exempt From Participation	**40%**	**42**	**56%**	**41%**	**39**	**55%**
Child -Only	30%	41	47%	34%	31	45%
Child Under Age 1	7%	25	8%	7%	27	8%
In Sanction Status	3%	9	1%	0%	na	1%
Other	0%	na	0%	0%	na	1%
Cases Subject to All-Family Rate	**24,440**			**25,427**		
Number Participating - Avg. Monthly	**40,832**			**43,071**		
Participation Rate	**29%**	**36**	**33%**	**29%**	**33**	**33%**
Employment	40%	40	56%	41%	38	56%
On The Job Training	0%	25	0%	0%	20	0%
Work Exp./Community Service	40%	14	24%	37%	14	24%
Job Search	9%	39	17%	9%	36	16%
Vocational Education	29%	13	17%	31%	6	16%
Job Skills Training	0%	na	1%	4%	7	1%
School Attendance	13%	6	5%	11%	6	5%
Other	0%	28	9%	0%	25	8%
Participation Rate w/o Waiver	**29%**	**35**	**31%**	**29%**	**31**	**30%**
Percent of Cases Subject to All-Family Rate						
With Some Hours of Participation	**37%**		**45%**	**40%**		**43%**
With No Hours of Participation	**63%**	**38**	**55%**	**60%**	**31**	**57%**

New Mexico

FY 2006

Bill Richardson (D)

Pamela S. Hyde, Secretary, Human Services Department

NM Works

State Administered - 33 Counties

		Rank
Cases (September 2006):	**16,175**	29
FY 2006 Change:	**-9%**	18
Change Since Enactment:	**-51%**	29
SFAG (in Millions):	**$ 117**	29
Participation Rate:	**42%**	18
Zero Participation:	**58%**	32

TANF Benefit Structure

Monthly - Family of Three

		As % of FPL		As % of SMI	
		Percent	Rank	Percent	Rank
Max Grant (No Income)	**$389**	**28%**	28	**10%**	16
Max Earnings at Application	**$1,056**	**76%**	10	**27%**	2
Max Earnings at Close	**$1,056**	**76%**	26	**27%**	9

FY 2006 Funding (in Millions)

	Amount	Unobligated Balance
Beginning FY Balance	$ 22	$ 21
Total Awarded	$ 117	
Expended/Transferred	$ 110	
Ending Balance	$ 29	$ 29
State MOE	$ 33	

Caseload & Unemployment Rate



FY 2006 Caseload

	Sept. 2006	During FY 2006 Change	Percent	Rank
All Cases	**16,175**	**-1,516**	**-9%**	**18**
Adult-Headed	10,506	**-1,295**	**-11%**	**23**
Child-Only	5,669	**-221**	**-4%**	**21**
Recipients	**41,073**	**-4,523**	**-10%**	**19**

TANF Time Limit:
Intermittent No
Lifetime 60 months

Month/ Yr of First Impact:
July 2002

Sanction Policy: Partial / 1 month

Expenditure Profile

	FY 2006 STATE (in Millions)	FY 2006 STATE % of Total Funds	FY 2006 U.S. Avg % of Total Funds	FY 2005 STATE (in Millions)	FY 2005 STATE % of Total Funds	FY 2005 U.S. Avg % of Total Funds
Total Expended & Transferred Plus State MOE	**$ 142**			**$ 159**		
Cash Benefits	$ 74	52%	37%	$ 75	47%	39%
Services	$ 31	22%	45%	$ 45	29%	42%
Administration	$ 4	2%	8%	$ 7	4%	7%
Information Systems	$ 1	0%	1%	$ 0	0%	1%
Transferred to CCDF	$ 34	24%	7%	$ 30	19%	7%
Transferred to SSBG	$ -	0%	3%	$ 2	1%	3%

All-Family Work Participation

	FY 2006 STATE	FY 2006 RANK	FY 2006 U.S. Avg	FY 2005 STATE	FY 2005 RANK	FY 2005 U.S. Avg
Total TANF Cases - Avg. Monthly	**16,895**			**17,566**		
Exempt From Participation	**46%**	**35**	**56%**	**44%**	**36**	**55%**
Child -Only	33%	35	47%	31%	36	45%
Child Under Age 1	9%	13	8%	9%	16	8%
In Sanction Status	3%	7	1%	4%	6	1%
Other	0%	na	0%	0%	na	1%
Cases Subject to All-Family Rate	**9,005**			**9,694**		
Number Participating - Avg. Monthly	**16,895**			**17,566**		
Participation Rate	**42%**	**18**	**33%**	**42%**	**16**	**33%**
Employment	64%	20	56%	60%	21	56%
On The Job Training	0%	na	0%	0%	21	0%
Work Exp./Community Service	19%	28	24%	22%	25	24%
Job Search	14%	28	17%	12%	31	16%
Vocational Education	22%	21	17%	23%	18	16%
Job Skills Training	1%	17	1%	1%	26	1%
School Attendance	4%	32	5%	3%	32	5%
Other	0%	26	9%	0%	23	8%
Participation Rate w/o Waiver	**42%**	**17**	**31%**	**42%**	**14**	**30%**
Percent of Cases Subject to All-Family Rate						
With Some Hours of Participation	**42%**		**45%**	**42%**		**43%**
With No Hours of Participation	**58%**	**32**	**55%**	**58%**	**30**	**57%**

New York



FY 2006

Eliot Spitzer (D)

David A. Hansell, Commissioner Office of Temporary and Disability Assistance

Family Assistance Program (FA)

County Administered - 62 Counties

		Rank
Cases (September 2006):	**169,727**	2
FY 2006 Change:	**-8%**	19
Change Since Enactment:	**-59%**	23
SFAG (in Millions):	**$ 2,443**	2
Participation Rate:	**38%**	24
Zero Participation:	**60%**	35

TANF Benefit Structure

Monthly - Family of Three

		As % of FPL		As % of SMI	
		Percent	Rank	Percent	Rank
Max Grant (No Income)	**$691**	**50%**	3	**13%**	3
Max Earnings at Application	**$781**	**56%**	19	**15%**	23
Max Earnings at Close	**$1,278**	**92%**	10	**24%**	16

FY 2006 Funding (in Millions)

	Amount	Unobligated Balance
Beginning FY Balance	$ 406	$ 221
Total Awarded	$ 2,443	
Expended/Transferred	$ 2,491	
Ending Balance	$ 358	$ 157
State MOE	$ 2,422	

Caseload & Unemployment Rate



FY 2006 Caseload

	Sept. 2006	During FY 2006 Change	Percent	Rank
All Cases	**169,727**	**-15,608**	**-8%**	**19**
Adult-Headed	108,994	**-14,268**	**-12%**	**21**
Child-Only	60,733	**-1,340**	**-2%**	**30**
Recipients	**294,264**	**-26,986**	**-8%**	**26**

TANF Time Limit:
Intermittent No
Lifetime No

Month/ Yr of First Impact:
December 2001

Sanction Policy: Partial / Until Compliance

Expenditure Profile

	FY 2006 STATE		FY 2006 U.S. Avg	FY 2005 STATE		FY 2005 U.S. Avg
Total Expended & Transferred	(in Millions)			(in Millions)		
Plus State MOE	$ 4,913			$ 4,471		
		% of Total Funds	% of Total Funds		% of Total Funds	% of Total Funds
Cash Benefits	$ 1,927	39%	37%	$ 2,043	46%	39%
Services	$ 1,862	38%	45%	$ 1,546	35%	42%
Administration	$ 432	9%	8%	$ 362	8%	7%
Information Systems	$ 19	0%	1%	$ 19	0%	1%
Transferred to CCDF	$ 549	11%	7%	$ 382	9%	7%
Transferred to SSBG	$ 124	3%	3%	$ 120	3%	3%

All-Family Work Participation

	FY 2006 STATE	RANK	FY 2006 U.S. Avg	FY 2005 STATE	RANK	FY 2005 U.S. Avg
Total TANF Cases - Avg. Monthly	**134,900**			**141,522**		
Exempt From Participation	**50%**	**26**	**56%**	**50%**	**25**	**55%**
Child -Only	43%	26	47%	42%	24	45%
Child Under Age 1	3%	43	8%	3%	44	8%
In Sanction Status	4%	3	1%	6%	2	1%
Other	0%	na	0%	0%	na	1%
Cases Subject to All-Family Rate	**66,820**			**70,344**		
Number Participating - Avg. Monthly	**134,900**			**141,522**		
Participation Rate	**38%**	**24**	**33%**	**35%**	**24**	**33%**
Employment	55%	28	56%	56%	25	56%
On The Job Training	0%	31	0%	0%	16	0%
Work Exp./Community Service	40%	13	24%	36%	15	24%
Job Search	1%	53	17%	1%	52	16%
Vocational Education	10%	33	17%	11%	35	16%
Job Skills Training	0%	na	1%	0%	34	1%
School Attendance	1%	47	5%	0%	49	5%
Other	1%	25	9%	0%	24	8%
Participation Rate w/o Waiver	**38%**	**23**	**31%**	**35%**	**22**	**30%**
Percent of Cases Subject to All-Family Rate						
With Some Hours of Participation	**40%**		**45%**	**34%**		**43%**
With No Hours of Participation	**60%**	**35**	**55%**	**66%**	**42**	**57%**

North Carolina

Mike Easley (D)

Dempsey Benton, Secretary, Department of Health & Human Services

Work First

County Administered - 100 Counties

FY 2006

		Rank
Cases (September 2006):	**28,514**	19
FY 2006 Change:	**-10%**	15
Change Since Enactment:	**-73%**	7
SFAG (in Millions):	**$ 338**	13
Participation Rate:	**32%**	30
Zero Participation:	**46%**	20

TANF Benefit Structure

Monthly - Family of Three

		As % of FPL		As % of SMI	
		Percent	Rank	Percent	Rank
Max Grant (No Income)	**$272**	**20%**	43	**6%**	43
Max Earnings at Application	**$681**	**49%**	25	**15%**	22
Max Earnings at Close	**No limit**	**0%**	0	**0%**	0

FY 2006 Funding (in Millions)

	Amount	Unobligated Balance
Beginning FY Balance	$ 58	$ -
Total Awarded	$ 338	
Expended/Transferred	$ 154	
Ending Balance	$ 243	$ 4
State MOE	$ 173	

Caseload & Unemployment Rate



FY 2006 Caseload

	Sept. 2006	During FY 2006 Change	Percent	Rank
All Cases	**28,514**	**-3,210**	**-10%**	**15**
Adult-Headed	10,070	**-2,106**	**-17%**	**13**
Child-Only	18,444	**-1,104**	**-6%**	**12**
Recipients	**55,095**	**-7,693**	**-12%**	**16**

TANF Time Limit:
Intermittent 24 mo. followed by
Lifetime 60 months

Month/ Yr of First Impact:
August 1998

Sanction Policy: Partial / 3 months

Expenditure Profile

	FY 2006 STATE (in Millions)	% of Total Funds	FY 2006 U.S. Avg % of Total Funds	FY 2005 STATE (in Millions)	% of Total Funds	FY 2005 U.S. Avg % of Total Funds
Total Expended & Transferred Plus State MOE	**$ 327**			**$ 540**		
Cash Benefits	$ 93	28%	37%	$ 111	21%	39%
Services	$ 146	45%	45%	$ 297	55%	42%
Administration	$ 9	3%	8%	$ 37	7%	7%
Information Systems	$ 3	1%	1%	$ 2	0%	1%
Transferred to CCDF	$ 72	22%	7%	$ 86	16%	7%
Transferred to SSBG	$ 5	1%	3%	$ 6	1%	3%

All-Family Work Participation

	FY 2006 STATE	RANK	U.S. Avg	FY 2005 STATE	RANK	U.S. Avg
Total TANF Cases - Avg. Monthly	**30,172**			**33,773**		
Exempt From Participation	**65%**	**12**	**56%**	**62%**	**13**	**55%**
Child -Only	58%	12	47%	56%	9	45%
Child Under Age 1	6%	29	8%	6%	29	8%
In Sanction Status	0%	35	1%	0%	32	1%
Other	0%	8	0%	0%	9	1%
Cases Subject to All-Family Rate	**9,377**			**11,846**		
Number Participating - Avg. Monthly	**30,172**			**33,773**		
Participation Rate	**32%**	**30**	**33%**	**28%**	**37**	**33%**
Employment	49%	34	56%	53%	28	56%
On The Job Training	0%	na	0%	0%	33	0%
Work Exp./Community Service	8%	38	24%	10%	37	24%
Job Search	21%	17	17%	19%	24	16%
Vocational Education	32%	6	17%	30%	8	16%
Job Skills Training	3%	12	1%	1%	15	1%
School Attendance	7%	13	5%	7%	17	5%
Other	17%	4	9%	0%	na	8%
Participation Rate w/o Waiver	**32%**	**29**	**31%**	**28%**	**35**	**30%**
Percent of Cases Subject to All-Family Rate						
With Some Hours of Participation	**54%**		**45%**	**38%**		**43%**
With No Hours of Participation	**46%**	**20**	**55%**	**62%**	**36**	**57%**

North Dakota

John Hoeven (R)

Carol K. Olsen, Executive Director, Department of Human Services

Training, Employment, Education Management (TEEM)

County Administered - 53 Counties

FY 2006

		Rank
Cases (September 2006):	2,409	51
FY 2006 Change:	-16%	6
Change Since Enactment:	-48%	33
SFAG (in Millions):	$ 26	49
Participation Rate:	52%	10
Zero Participation:	31%	8

TANF Benefit Structure

Monthly - Family of Three

		As % of FPL		As % of SMI	
		Percent	Rank	Percent	Rank
Max Grant (No Income)	$477	34%	18	10%	18
Max Earnings at Application	$1,252	91%	3	25%	4
Max Earnings at Close	$1,252	91%	14	25%	12

FY 2006 Funding (in Millions)

	Amount	Unobligated Balance
Beginning FY Balance	$ 16	$ 16
Total Awarded	$ 26	
Expended/Transferred	$ 23	
Ending Balance	$ 19	$ 19
State MOE	$ 9	

Caseload & Unemployment Rate



FY 2006 Caseload

	Sept. 2006	During FY 2006 Change	Percent	Rank
All Cases	2,409	-446	-16%	6
Adult-Headed	1,715	-425	-20%	11
Child-Only	694	-21	-3%	27
Recipients	6,056	-1,243	-17%	8

TANF Time Limit:
Intermittent No
Lifetime 60 months

Month/ Yr of First Impact:
July 2002

Sanction Policy: Partial / 1 month

Expenditure Profile

	FY 2006 STATE		FY 2006 U.S. Avg	FY 2005 STATE		FY 2005 U.S. Avg
Total Expended & Transferred Plus State MOE	$ 32 (in Millions)			$ 34 (in Millions)		
		% of Total Funds	% of Total Funds		% of Total Funds	% of Total Funds
Cash Benefits	$ 19	59%	37%	$ 19	58%	39%
Services	$ 9	29%	45%	$ 11	32%	42%
Administration	$ 3	10%	8%	$ 3	9%	7%
Information Systems	$ 1	2%	1%	$ 1	2%	1%
Transferred to CCDF	$ -	0%	7%	$ -	0%	7%
Transferred to SSBG	$ -	0%	3%	$ -	0%	3%

All-Family Work Participation

	FY 2006 STATE	RANK	FY 2006 U.S. Avg	FY 2005 STATE	RANK	FY 2005 U.S. Avg
Total TANF Cases - Avg. Monthly	2,702			2,877		
Exempt From Participation	35%	46	56%	44%	35	55%
Child -Only	26%	50	47%	24%	50	45%
Child Under Age 1	9%	16	8%	9%	9	8%
In Sanction Status	0%	na	1%	0%	na	1%
Other	0%	na	0%	10%	2	1%
Cases Subject to All-Family Rate	1,745			1,585		
Number Participating - Avg. Monthly	2,702			2,877		
Participation Rate	52%	10	33%	31%	29	33%
Employment	34%	44	56%	52%	29	56%
On The Job Training	0%	na	0%	0%	22	0%
Work Exp./Community Service	53%	9	24%	28%	19	24%
Job Search	15%	26	17%	18%	25	16%
Vocational Education	8%	38	17%	15%	29	16%
Job Skills Training	1%	18	1%	1%	17	1%
School Attendance	5%	29	5%	6%	22	5%
Other	0%	na	9%	0%	na	8%
Participation Rate w/o Waiver	52%	9	31%	31%	27	30%
Percent of Cases Subject to All-Family Rate						
With Some Hours of Participation	69%		45%	47%		43%
With No Hours of Participation	31%	8	55%	53%	25	57%

Ohio



FY 2006

Ted Strickland (D)

Helen E. Jones-Kelley, Director, Department of Job & Family Services

Ohio Works First (OWF)

County Administered - 88 Counties

		Rank
Cases (September 2006):	**77,746**	5
FY 2006 Change:	**-4%**	40
Change Since Enactment:	**-62%**	19
SFAG (in Millions):	**$ 728**	4
Participation Rate:	**55%**	7
Zero Participation:	**23%**	5

TANF Benefit Structure

Monthly - Family of Three

		As % of FPL		As % of SMI	
		Percent	Rank	Percent	Rank
Max Grant (No Income)	**$410**	**30%**	24	**8%**	24
Max Earnings at Application	**$980**	**71%**	14	**20%**	11
Max Earnings at Close	**$1,068**	**77%**	24	**22%**	22

FY 2006 Funding (in Millions)

	Amount	Unobligated Balance
Beginning FY Balance	$ 894	$ 473
Total Awarded	$ 728	
Expended/Transferred	$ 787	
Ending Balance	$ 834	$ 431
State MOE	$ 405	

Caseload & Unemployment Rate



FY 2006 Caseload

	Sept. 2006	During FY 2006 Change	Percent	Rank
All Cases	**77,746**	**-3,415**	**-4%**	**40**
Adult-Headed	35,000	**-2,900**	**-8%**	**34**
Child-Only	42,746	**-515**	**-1%**	**35**
Recipients	**165,068**	**-9,893**	**-6%**	**38**

TANF Time Limit:
Intermittent 36 mo.followed by 2
Lifetime 60 months

Month/ Yr of First Impact:
October 2000

Sanction Policy: Full / 1 month

Expenditure Profile

	FY 2006 STATE (in Millions)	% of Total Funds	FY 2006 U.S. Avg % of Total Funds	FY 2005 STATE (in Millions)	% of Total Funds	FY 2005 U.S. Avg % of Total Funds
Total Expended & Transferred Plus State MOE	**$ 1,193**			**$ 1,064**		
Cash Benefits	$ 331	28%	37%	$ 316	30%	39%
Services	$ 683	57%	45%	$ 541	51%	42%
Administration	$ 106	9%	8%	$ 131	12%	7%
Information Systems	$ 0	0%	1%	$ 1	0%	1%
Transferred to CCDF	$ -	0%	7%	$ -	0%	7%
Transferred to SSBG	$ 73	6%	3%	$ 74	7%	3%

All-Family Work Participation

	FY 2006 STATE	RANK	U.S. Avg	FY 2005 STATE	RANK	U.S. Avg
Total TANF Cases - Avg. Monthly	**79,485**			**82,597**		
Exempt From Participation	**57%**	**21**	**56%**	**55%**	**22**	**55%**
Child -Only	54%	13	47%	52%	13	45%
Child Under Age 1	3%	42	8%	4%	41	8%
In Sanction Status	0%	36	1%	0%	35	1%
Other	0%	na	0%	0%	na	1%
Cases Subject to All-Family Rate	**33,722**			**36,189**		
Number Participating - Avg. Monthly	**79,485**			**82,597**		
Participation Rate	**55%**	**7**	**33%**	**58%**	**5**	**33%**
Employment	38%	42	56%	36%	42	56%
On The Job Training	1%	14	0%	0%	15	0%
Work Exp./Community Service	42%	12	24%	53%	8	24%
Job Search	12%	32	17%	8%	44	16%
Vocational Education	29%	12	17%	27%	12	16%
Job Skills Training	1%	20	1%	1%	21	1%
School Attendance	3%	36	5%	2%	37	5%
Other	8%	10	9%	6%	13	8%
Participation Rate w/o Waiver	**55%**	**6**	**31%**	**58%**	**4**	**30%**
Percent of Cases Subject to All-Family Rate						
With Some Hours of Participation	**77%**		**45%**	**76%**		**43%**
With No Hours of Participation	**23%**	**5**	**55%**	**24%**	**5**	**57%**

Oklahoma

Brad Henry (D)

Howard H. Hendrick, Director Department of Human Services

TANF

State Administered - 77 Counties

FY 2006

		Rank
Cases (September 2006):	9,534	39
FY 2006 Change:	-15%	7
Change Since Enactment:	-73%	8
SFAG (in Millions):	$ 148	27
Participation Rate:	33%	29
Zero Participation:	46%	19

TANF Benefit Structure

Monthly - Family of Three

		As % of FPL		As % of SMI	
		Percent	Rank	Percent	Rank
Max Grant (No Income)	$292	21%	39	7%	30
Max Earnings at Application	$704	51%	23	18%	16
Max Earnings at Close	$704	51%	38	18%	30

FY 2006 Funding (in Millions)

	Amount	Unobligated Balance
Beginning FY Balance	$ 87	$ 87
Total Awarded	$ 148	
Expended/Transferred	$ 134	
Ending Balance	$ 100	$ 100
State MOE	$ 61	

Caseload & Unemployment Rate



FY 2006 Caseload

	Sept. 2006	During FY 2006 Change	Percent	Rank
All Cases	9,534	-1,704	-15%	7
Adult-Headed	3,456	-1,501	-30%	5
Child-Only	6,078	-203	-3%	24
Recipients	20,738	-4,732	-19%	5

TANF Time Limit:
Intermittent No
Lifetime 60 months

Month/ Yr of First Impact:
October 2001

Sanction Policy: Full / Until Compliance

Expenditure Profile

	FY 2006 STATE		FY 2006 U.S. Avg	FY 2005 STATE		FY 2005 U.S. Avg
Total Expended & Transferred	(in Millions)			(in Millions)		
Plus State MOE	$ 195			$ 221		
		% of Total Funds	% of Total Funds		% of Total Funds	% of Total Funds
Cash Benefits	$ 34	18%	37%	$ 45	20%	39%
Services	$ 94	48%	45%	$ 114	52%	42%
Administration	$ 20	10%	8%	$ 14	6%	7%
Information Systems	$ 3	2%	1%	$ 2	1%	1%
Transferred to CCDF	$ 30	15%	7%	$ 31	14%	7%
Transferred to SSBG	$ 15	8%	3%	$ 15	7%	3%

All-Family Work Participation

	FY 2006 STATE	RANK	FY 2006 U.S. Avg	FY 2005 STATE	RANK	FY 2005 U.S. Avg
Total TANF Cases - Avg. Monthly	10,300			12,073		
Exempt From Participation	69%	8	56%	63%	10	55%
Child -Only	61%	9	47%	54%	12	45%
Child Under Age 1	9%	17	8%	9%	12	8%
In Sanction Status	0%	na	1%	0%	na	1%
Other	0%	na	0%	0%	na	1%
Cases Subject to All-Family Rate	3,140			4,427		
Number Participating - Avg. Monthly	10,300			12,073		
Participation Rate	33%	29	33%	34%	26	33%
Employment	42%	39	56%	38%	41	56%
On The Job Training	0%	na	0%	0%	27	0%
Work Exp./Community Service	5%	42	24%	6%	41	24%
Job Search	31%	5	17%	34%	4	16%
Vocational Education	19%	26	17%	20%	25	16%
Job Skills Training	0%	na	1%	0%	na	1%
School Attendance	3%	34	5%	2%	38	5%
Other	0%	na	9%	0%	na	8%
Participation Rate w/o Waiver	33%	28	31%	34%	24	30%
Percent of Cases Subject to All-Family Rate						
With Some Hours of Participation	54%		45%	54%		43%
With No Hours of Participation	46%	19	55%	46%	18	57%

Oregon



FY 2006

Ted Kulongoski (D)

Bruce Goldberg, Director Department of Human Services

Job Opportunities and Basic Skills Program (JOBS)

State Administered - 36 Counties

		Rank
Cases (September 2006):	**18,045**	25
FY 2006 Change:	**-5%**	33
Change Since Enactment:	**-37%**	47
SFAG (in Millions):	**$ 167**	24
Participation Rate:	**15%**	50
Zero Participation:	**63%**	37

TANF Benefit Structure

Monthly - Family of Three

		As % of FPL		As % of SMI	
		Percent	Rank	Percent	Rank
Max Grant (No Income)	**$514**	**37%**	12	**11%**	6
Max Earnings at Application	**$616**	**45%**	29	**13%**	31
Max Earnings at Close	**$616**	**45%**	42	**13%**	43

FY 2006 Funding (in Millions)

	Amount	Unobligated Balance
Beginning FY Balance	$ 37	$ 37
Total Awarded	$ 167	
Expended/Transferred	$ 160	
Ending Balance	$ 44	$ 44
State MOE	$ 92	

Caseload & Unemployment Rate



FY 2006 Caseload

	Sept. 2006	During FY 2006 Change	Percent	Rank
All Cases	**18,045**	**-1,015**	**-5%**	**33**
Adult-Headed	9,681	**-623**	**-6%**	**39**
Child-Only	8,364	**-392**	**-4%**	**19**
Recipients	**40,582**	**-2,465**	**-6%**	**37**

TANF Time Limit:
Intermittent 24 months in 84 mo
Lifetime No

Month/ Yr of First Impact:
July 1998

Sanction Policy: Partial / Until Compliance

Expenditure Profile

	FY 2006 STATE (in Millions)	% of Total Funds	FY 2006 U.S. Avg % of Total Funds	FY 2005 STATE (in Millions)	% of Total Funds	FY 2005 U.S. Avg % of Total Funds
Total Expended & Transferred Plus State MOE	**$ 251**			**$ 269**		
Cash Benefits	$ 99	39%	37%	$ 112	42%	39%
Services	$ 118	47%	45%	$ 130	48%	42%
Administration	$ 30	12%	8%	$ 23	8%	7%
Information Systems	$ 4	2%	1%	$ 4	2%	1%
Transferred to CCDF	$ -	0%	7%	$ -	0%	7%
Transferred to SSBG	$ -	0%	3%	$ -	0%	3%

All-Family Work Participation

	FY 2006 STATE	RANK	FY 2006 U.S. Avg	FY 2005 STATE	RANK	FY 2005 U.S. Avg
Total TANF Cases - Avg. Monthly	**18,524**			**19,488**		
Exempt From Participation	**56%**	**22**	**56%**	**56%**	**20**	**55%**
Child -Only	46%	24	47%	45%	20	45%
Child Under Age 1	9%	18	8%	10%	6	8%
In Sanction Status	1%	24	1%	1%	22	1%
Other	0%	na	0%	0%	na	1%
Cases Subject to All-Family Rate	**8,109**			**8,492**		
Number Participating - Avg. Monthly	**18,524**			**19,488**		
Participation Rate	**15%**	**50**	**33%**	**15%**	**52**	**33%**
Employment	39%	41	56%	38%	40	56%
On The Job Training	1%	15	0%	0%	10	0%
Work Exp./Community Service	40%	15	24%	34%	16	24%
Job Search	12%	31	17%	17%	26	16%
Vocational Education	11%	32	17%	9%	37	16%
Job Skills Training	3%	11	1%	3%	8	1%
School Attendance	17%	4	5%	19%	3	5%
Other	6%	12	9%	7%	11	8%
Participation Rate w/o Waiver	**15%**	**50**	**31%**	**15%**	**50**	**30%**
Percent of Cases Subject to All-Family Rate						
With Some Hours of Participation	**37%**		**45%**	**39%**		**43%**
With No Hours of Participation	**63%**	**37**	**55%**	**61%**	**33**	**57%**

Pennsylvania

Edward G. Rendell (D)

Estelle B. Richman, Secretary, Department of Public Welfare

Pennsylvania TANF

State Administered - 67 Counties

FY 2006

		Rank
Cases (September 2006):	**89,967**	3
FY 2006 Change:	**-9%**	17
Change Since Enactment:	**-50%**	32
SFAG (in Millions):	**$ 719**	5
Participation Rate:	**26%**	41
Zero Participation:	**53%**	28

TANF Benefit Structure

Monthly - Family of Three

		As % of FPL		As % of SMI	
		Percent	Rank	Percent	Rank
Max Grant (No Income)	**$403**	**29%**	26	**8%**	27
Max Earnings at Application	**$493**	**36%**	41	**10%**	40
Max Earnings at Close	**$493**	**36%**	46	**10%**	48

FY 2006 Funding (in Millions)

	Amount	Unobligated Balance
Beginning FY Balance	$ 1	$ 0
Total Awarded	$ 719	
Expended/Transferred	$ 694	
Ending Balance	$ 26	$ 2
State MOE	$ 407	

Caseload & Unemployment Rate



FY 2006 Caseload

	Sept. 2006	During FY 2006 Change	Percent	Rank
All Cases	**89,967**	**-8,481**	**-9%**	**17**
Adult-Headed	60,945	**-9,290**	**-13%**	**20**
Child-Only	29,022	**809**	**3%**	**48**
Recipients	**230,646**	**-26,437**	**-10%**	**18**

TANF Time Limit:
Intermittent No
Lifetime 60 months

Month/ Yr of First Impact:
March 2002

Sanction Policy: Partial/Full (varies) / 30 days

Expenditure Profile

	FY 2006 STATE		FY 2006 U.S. Avg	FY 2005 STATE		FY 2005 U.S. Avg
Total Expended & Transferred Plus State MOE	**$ 1,102** (in Millions)			**$ 1,336** (in Millions)		
		% of Total Funds	% of Total Funds		% of Total Funds	% of Total Funds
Cash Benefits	$ 393	36%	37%	$ 407	30%	39%
Services	$ 509	46%	45%	$ 684	51%	42%
Administration	$ 78	7%	8%	$ 86	6%	7%
Information Systems	$ 14	1%	1%	$ 13	1%	1%
Transferred to CCDF	$ 93	8%	7%	$ 117	9%	7%
Transferred to SSBG	$ 15	1%	3%	$ 29	2%	3%

All-Family Work Participation

	FY 2006 STATE	RANK	FY 2006 U.S. Avg	FY 2005 STATE	RANK	FY 2005 U.S. Avg
Total TANF Cases - Avg. Monthly	**94,696**			**96,635**		
Exempt From Participation	**34%**	**48**	**56%**	**32%**	**49**	**55%**
Child -Only	30%	42	47%	29%	40	45%
Child Under Age 1	4%	36	8%	3%	46	8%
In Sanction Status	0%	na	1%	0%	na	1%
Other	0%	na	0%	0%	na	1%
Cases Subject to All-Family Rate	**62,396**			**65,832**		
Number Participating - Avg. Monthly	**94,696**			**96,635**		
Participation Rate	**26%**	**41**	**33%**	**15%**	**51**	**33%**
Employment	45%	37	56%	34%	43	56%
On The Job Training	0%	na	0%	0%	na	0%
Work Exp./Community Service	31%	20	24%	45%	10	24%
Job Search	11%	33	17%	2%	51	16%
Vocational Education	31%	7	17%	22%	20	16%
Job Skills Training	0%	na	1%	1%	19	1%
School Attendance	0%	na	5%	1%	48	5%
Other	3%	15	9%	1%	16	8%
Participation Rate w/o Waiver	**26%**	**40**	**31%**	**15%**	**49**	**30%**
Percent of Cases Subject to All-Family Rate						
With Some Hours of Participation	**47%**		**45%**	**31%**		**43%**
With No Hours of Participation	**53%**	**28**	**55%**	**69%**	**45**	**57%**

Rhode Island

FY 2006

Don L. Carcieri (R)

Janet Hayward, Secretary, Department of Human Services

Family Independence Program (FIP)

State Administered - 5 Counties

		Rank
Cases (September 2006):	**11,813**	35
FY 2006 Change:	**-8%**	20
Change Since Enactment:	**-42%**	43
SFAG (in Millions):	**$ 95**	36
Participation Rate:	**25%**	43
Zero Participation:	**64%**	39

TANF Benefit Structure

Monthly - Family of Three

		As % of FPL		As % of SMI	
		Percent	Rank	Percent	Rank
Max Grant (No Income)	**$554**	**40%**	8	**11%**	8
Max Earnings at Application	**$1,278**	**92%**	2	**24%**	5
Max Earnings at Close	**$1,278**	**92%**	10	**24%**	17

FY 2006 Funding (in Millions)

	Amount	Unobligated Balance
Beginning FY Balance	$ 6	$ 6
Total Awarded	$ 95	
Expended/Transferred	$ 96	
Ending Balance	$ 6	$ 6
State MOE	$ 73	

Caseload & Unemployment Rate



FY 2006 Caseload

	Sept. 2006	During FY 2006 Change	Percent	Rank
All Cases	**11,813**	**-1,032**	**-8%**	**20**
Adult-Headed	9,106	**-903**	**-9%**	**30**
Child-Only	2,707	**-129**	**-5%**	**18**
Recipients	**22,240**	**-3,633**	**-14%**	**12**

TANF Time Limit:
Intermittent No
Lifetime 60 months

Month/ Yr of First Impact:
May 2002

Sanction Policy: Partial / Until Compliance

Expenditure Profile

	FY 2006 STATE		FY 2006 U.S. Avg	FY 2005 STATE		FY 2005 U.S. Avg
Total Expended & Transferred Plus State MOE	$ 168 (in Millions)			$ 178 (in Millions)		
		% of Total Funds	% of Total Funds		% of Total Funds	% of Total Funds
Cash Benefits	$ 65	39%	37%	$ 72	41%	39%
Services	$ 65	39%	45%	$ 81	46%	42%
Administration	$ 12	7%	8%	$ 11	6%	7%
Information Systems	$ 3	1%	1%	$ 3	2%	1%
Transferred to CCDF	$ 20	12%	7%	$ 9	5%	7%
Transferred to SSBG	$ 4	3%	3%	$ 1	1%	3%

All-Family Work Participation

	FY 2006 STATE	RANK	FY 2006 U.S. Avg	FY 2005 STATE	RANK	FY 2005 U.S. Avg
Total TANF Cases - Avg. Monthly	**9,689**			**10,718**		
Exempt From Participation	**41%**	**40**	**56%**	**39%**	**42**	**55%**
Child -Only	29%	44	47%	27%	45	45%
Child Under Age 1	10%	8	8%	10%	8	8%
In Sanction Status	2%	14	1%	2%	14	1%
Other	0%	na	0%	0%	na	1%
Cases Subject to All-Family Rate	**5,748**			**6,564**		
Number Participating - Avg. Monthly	**9,689**			**10,718**		
Participation Rate	**25%**	**43**	**33%**	**24%**	**41**	**33%**
Employment	72%	15	56%	72%	14	56%
On The Job Training	0%	na	0%	0%	na	0%
Work Exp./Community Service	4%	44	24%	4%	42	24%
Job Search	13%	29	17%	10%	33	16%
Vocational Education	21%	24	17%	22%	21	16%
Job Skills Training	0%	na	1%	0%	na	1%
School Attendance	1%	45	5%	1%	46	5%
Other	3%	14	9%	3%	14	8%
Participation Rate w/o Waiver	**25%**	**42**	**31%**	**24%**	**39**	**30%**
Percent of Cases Subject to All-Family Rate						
With Some Hours of Participation	**36%**		**45%**	**34%**		**43%**
With No Hours of Participation	**64%**	**39**	**55%**	**66%**	**41**	**57%**

South Carolina



FY 2006

Mark Sanford (R)

Kathleen M. Hayes, State Director, Department of Social Service

Family Independence

County Administered - 46 Counties

		Rank
Cases (September 2006):	**17,889**	27
FY 2006 Change:	**-5%**	34
Change Since Enactment:	**-58%**	24
SFAG (in Millions):	**$ 100**	33
Participation Rate:	**50%**	11
Zero Participation:	**41%**	15

TANF Benefit Structure

Monthly - Family of Three

		As % of FPL		As % of SMI	
		Percent	Rank	Percent	Rank
Max Grant (No Income)	**$240**	**17%**	46	**6%**	48
Max Earnings at Application	**$670**	**48%**	26	**16%**	21
Max Earnings at Close	**$1,240**	**90%**	16	**29%**	7

FY 2006 Funding (in Millions)

	Amount	Unobligated Balance
Beginning FY Balance	$ 40	$ 40
Total Awarded	$ 100	
Expended/Transferred	$ 91	
Ending Balance	$ 49	$ 49
State MOE	$ 64	

Caseload & Unemployment Rate



FY 2006 Caseload

	Sept. 2006	During FY 2006 Change	Percent	Rank
All Cases	**17,889**	**-991**	**-5%**	**34**
Adult-Headed	10,270	**-892**	**-8%**	**33**
Child-Only	7,619	**-99**	**-1%**	**34**
Recipients	**35,732**	**-1,692**	**-5%**	**42**

TANF Time Limit:
Intermittent 24 months in 120 m
Lifetime 60 months

Month/ Yr of First Impact:
October 1998

Sanction Policy: Full / 1 month

Expenditure Profile

	FY 2006 STATE (in Millions)	% of Total Funds	FY 2006 U.S. Avg % of Total Funds	FY 2005 STATE (in Millions)	% of Total Funds	FY 2005 U.S. Avg % of Total Funds
Total Expended & Transferred Plus State MOE	**$ 155**			**$ 251**		
Cash Benefits	$ 39	25%	37%	$ 73	29%	39%
Services	$ 99	64%	45%	$ 135	54%	42%
Administration	$ 7	4%	8%	$ 14	6%	7%
Information Systems	$ 1	1%	1%	$ 7	3%	1%
Transferred to CCDF	$ -	0%	7%	$ 2	1%	7%
Transferred to SSBG	$ 10	6%	3%	$ 20	8%	3%

All-Family Work Participation

	FY 2006 STATE	RANK	U.S. Avg	FY 2005 STATE	RANK	U.S. Avg
Total TANF Cases - Avg. Monthly	**15,678**			**15,854**		
Exempt From Participation	**60%**	**18**	**56%**	**57%**	**18**	**55%**
Child -Only	53%	14	47%	51%	15	45%
Child Under Age 1	6%	31	8%	5%	30	8%
In Sanction Status	1%	30	1%	1%	31	1%
Other	0%	na	0%	0%	na	1%
Cases Subject to All-Family Rate	**6,304**			**6,692**		
Number Participating - Avg. Monthly	**15,678**			**15,854**		
Participation Rate	**50%**	**11**	**33%**	**54%**	**8**	**33%**
Employment	76%	11	56%	68%	15	56%
On The Job Training	0%	22	0%	0%	26	0%
Work Exp./Community Service	24%	24	24%	26%	21	24%
Job Search	5%	47	17%	8%	42	16%
Vocational Education	4%	45	17%	4%	45	16%
Job Skills Training	5%	7	1%	6%	6	1%
School Attendance	5%	26	5%	8%	13	5%
Other	1%	20	9%	1%	17	8%
Participation Rate w/o Waiver	**50%**	**10**	**31%**	**54%**	**7**	**30%**
Percent of Cases Subject to All-Family Rate						
With Some Hours of Participation	**59%**		**45%**	**62%**		**43%**
With No Hours of Participation	**41%**	**15**	**55%**	**38%**	**14**	**57%**

South Dakota



Mike Rounds (R)

Jerry Hofer, Secretary, Department of Social Services

TANF

State Administered - 66 Counties

FY 2006

		Rank
Cases (September 2006):	**2,840**	50
FY 2006 Change:	**0%**	49
Change Since Enactment:	**-50%**	31
SFAG (in Millions):	**$ 21**	50
Participation Rate:	**58%**	5
Zero Participation:	**32%**	9

TANF Benefit Structure

Monthly - Family of Three

		As % of FPL		As % of SMI	
		Percent	Rank	Percent	Rank
Max Grant (No Income)	**$508**	**37%**	13	**10%**	10
Max Earnings at Application	**$724**	**52%**	21	**14%**	26
Max Earnings at Close	**$724**	**52%**	37	**14%**	41

FY 2006 Funding (in Millions)

	Amount	Unobligated Balance
Beginning FY Balance	$ 21	$ 20
Total Awarded	$ 21	
Expended/Transferred	$ 22	
Ending Balance	$ 19	$ 19
State MOE	$ 9	

Caseload & Unemployment Rate



FY 2006 Caseload

	Sept. 2006	During FY 2006 Change	Percent	Rank
All Cases	**2,840**	**-13**	**0%**	**49**
Adult-Headed	984	**-27**	**-3%**	**47**
Child-Only	1,856	**14**	**1%**	**43**
Recipients	**6,099**	**-157**	**-3%**	**48**

TANF Time Limit:
Intermittent No
Lifetime 60 months

Month/ Yr of First Impact:
December 2001

Sanction Policy: Partial / 1 month

Expenditure Profile

	FY 2006 STATE (in Millions)	% of Total Funds	FY 2006 U.S. Avg % of Total Funds	FY 2005 STATE (in Millions)	% of Total Funds	FY 2005 U.S. Avg % of Total Funds
Total Expended & Transferred Plus State MOE	**$ 31**			**$ 33**		
Cash Benefits	$ 20	64%	37%	$ 21	66%	39%
Services	$ 7	22%	45%	$ 6	19%	42%
Administration	$ 2	8%	8%	$ 3	9%	7%
Information Systems	$ -	0%	1%	$ -	0%	1%
Transferred to CCDF	$ -	0%	7%	$ -	0%	7%
Transferred to SSBG	$ 2	7%	3%	$ 2	7%	3%

All-Family Work Participation

	FY 2006 STATE	RANK	U.S. Avg	FY 2005 STATE	RANK	U.S. Avg
Total TANF Cases - Avg. Monthly	**2,823**			**2,771**		
Exempt From Participation	**72%**	7	**56%**	**72%**	5	**55%**
Child -Only	65%	6	47%	64%	4	45%
Child Under Age 1	7%	27	8%	8%	21	8%
In Sanction Status	0%	33	1%	0%	33	1%
Other	0%	na	0%	0%	na	1%
Cases Subject to All-Family Rate	**793**			**785**		
Number Participating - Avg. Monthly	**2,823**			**2,771**		
Participation Rate	**58%**	5	**33%**	**58%**	6	**33%**
Employment	29%	46	56%	24%	46	56%
On The Job Training	3%	4	0%	6%	3	0%
Work Exp./Community Service	61%	7	24%	66%	6	24%
Job Search	6%	46	17%	5%	48	16%
Vocational Education	9%	36	17%	12%	31	16%
Job Skills Training	0%	na	1%	0%	na	1%
School Attendance	7%	17	5%	5%	28	5%
Other	1%	24	9%	0%	na	8%
Participation Rate w/o Waiver	**58%**	5	**31%**	**58%**	5	**30%**
Percent of Cases Subject to All-Family Rate						
With Some Hours of Participation	**68%**		**45%**	**66%**		**43%**
With No Hours of Participation	**32%**	9	**55%**	**34%**	10	**57%**

Tennessee

Phil Bredesen (D)

Gina Lodge, Commissioner Department of Human Services

Families First

County Administered - 95 Counties

FY 2006

		Rank
Cases (September 2006):	**67,487**	7
FY 2006 Change:	**-5%**	37
Change Since Enactment:	**-30%**	50
SFAG (in Millions):	**$ 232**	17
Participation Rate:	**57%**	6
Zero Participation:	**40%**	14

TANF Benefit Structure

Monthly - Family of Three

		As % of FPL		As % of SMI	
		Percent	Rank	Percent	Rank
Max Grant (No Income)	**$185**	**13%**	51	**4%**	53
Max Earnings at Application	**$1,112**	**80%**	6	**26%**	3
Max Earnings at Close	**$1,112**	**80%**	22	**26%**	11

FY 2006 Funding (in Millions)

	Amount	Unobligated Balance
Beginning FY Balance	$ 120	$ 118
Total Awarded	$ 232	
Expended/Transferred	$ 192	
Ending Balance	$ 160	$ 160
State MOE	$ 138	

Caseload & Unemployment Rate



FY 2006 Caseload

	Sept. 2006	During FY 2006 Change	Percent	Rank
All Cases	**67,487**	**-3,549**	**-5%**	**37**
Adult-Headed	49,902	**-3,172**	**-6%**	**40**
Child-Only	17,585	**-377**	**-2%**	**31**
Recipients	**175,285**	**-9,227**	**-5%**	**40**

TANF Time Limit:
Intermittent 18 mo. followed by 3
Lifetime 60 months

Month/ Yr of First Impact:
April 1998

Sanction Policy: Full / Until Compliance

Expenditure Profile

	FY 2006 STATE (in Millions)	% of Total Funds	FY 2006 U.S. Avg % of Total Funds	FY 2005 STATE (in Millions)	% of Total Funds	FY 2005 U.S. Avg % of Total Funds
Total Expended & Transferred Plus State MOE	**$ 330**			**$ 300**		
Cash Benefits	$ 104	31%	37%	$ 121	40%	39%
Services	$ 130	39%	45%	$ 84	28%	42%
Administration	$ 28	8%	8%	$ 24	8%	7%
Information Systems	$ 5	2%	1%	$ 5	2%	1%
Transferred to CCDF	$ 54	16%	7%	$ 58	19%	7%
Transferred to SSBG	$ 10	3%	3%	$ 9	3%	3%

All-Family Work Participation

	FY 2006 STATE	RANK	U.S. Avg	FY 2005 STATE	RANK	U.S. Avg
Total TANF Cases - Avg. Monthly	**68,129**			**70,572**		
Exempt From Participation	**46%**	**34**	**56%**	**44%**	**34**	**55%**
Child -Only	26%	49	47%	26%	48	45%
Child Under Age 1	9%	15	8%	9%	17	8%
In Sanction Status	2%	20	1%	1%	20	1%
Other	9%	1	0%	9%	4	1%
Cases Subject to All-Family Rate	**36,985**			**39,259**		
Number Participating - Avg. Monthly	**68,129**			**70,572**		
Participation Rate	**57%**	**6**	**33%**	**52%**	**9**	**33%**
Employment	44%	38	56%	41%	37	56%
On The Job Training	0%	27	0%	0%	28	0%
Work Exp./Community Service	2%	50	24%	2%	48	24%
Job Search	67%	1	17%	62%	1	16%
Vocational Education	0%	na	17%	0%	na	16%
Job Skills Training	0%	na	1%	0%	na	1%
School Attendance	0%	48	5%	0%	50	5%
Other	81%	1	9%	83%	1	8%
Participation Rate w/o Waiver	**17%**	**49**	**31%**	**14%**	**51**	**30%**
Percent of Cases Subject to All-Family Rate						
With Some Hours of Participation	**60%**		**45%**	**57%**		**43%**
With No Hours of Participation	**40%**	**14**	**55%**	**43%**	**16**	**57%**

Texas

FY 2006

Rick Perry (R)

Diane D. Rath, Commissioner, Department of Human Services

Texas Works

State Administered - 254 Counties

		Rank
Cases (September 2006):	**68,408**	6
FY 2006 Change:	**-17%**	5
Change Since Enactment:	**-71%**	13
SFAG (in Millions):	**$ 539**	8
Participation Rate:	**42%**	19
Zero Participation:	**54%**	29

TANF Benefit Structure

Monthly - Family of Three

		As % of FPL		As % of SMI	
		Percent	Rank	Percent	Rank
Max Grant (No Income)	**$223**	**16%**	48	**5%**	51
Max Earnings at Application	**$401**	**29%**	46	**9%**	44
Max Earnings at Close	**$1,708**	**123%**	2	**39%**	1

FY 2006 Funding (in Millions)

	Amount	Unobligated Balance
Beginning FY Balance	$ 182	$ -
Total Awarded	$ 539	
Expended/Transferred	$ 523	
Ending Balance	$ 198	$ -
State MOE	$ 239	

Caseload & Unemployment Rate



FY 2006 Caseload

	Sept. 2006	During FY 2006 Change	Percent	Rank
All Cases	**68,408**	**-13,843**	**-17%**	**5**
Adult-Headed	25,529	**-8,195**	**-24%**	**8**
Child-Only	42,879	**-5,648**	**-12%**	**3**
Recipients	**152,124**	**-32,096**	**-17%**	**6**

TANF Time Limit:
Intermittent 12, 24, or 36 mo. fol
Lifetime 60 months

Month/ Yr of First Impact:
June 1997

Sanction Policy: Partial / 1 month

Expenditure Profile

	FY 2006 STATE (in Millions)	% of Total Funds	FY 2006 U.S. Avg % of Total Funds	FY 2005 STATE (in Millions)	% of Total Funds	FY 2005 U.S. Avg % of Total Funds
Total Expended & Transferred						
Plus State MOE	$ 762			$ 912		
Cash Benefits	$ 190	25%	37%	$ 233	26%	39%
Services	$ 446	59%	45%	$ 496	54%	42%
Administration	$ 67	9%	8%	$ 115	13%	7%
Information Systems	$ 28	4%	1%	$ 7	1%	1%
Transferred to CCDF	$ -	0%	7%	$ -	0%	7%
Transferred to SSBG	$ 31	4%	3%	$ 61	7%	3%

All-Family Work Participation

	FY 2006 STATE	RANK	U.S. Avg	FY 2005 STATE	RANK	U.S. Avg
Total TANF Cases - Avg. Monthly	**72,255**			**88,466**		
Exempt From Participation	**64%**	**13**	**56%**	**58%**	**17**	**55%**
Child -Only	62%	8	47%	55%	10	45%
Child Under Age 1	2%	44	8%	3%	45	8%
In Sanction Status	0%	37	1%	0%	34	1%
Other	0%	na	0%	0%	na	1%
Cases Subject to All-Family Rate	**24,145**			**35,275**		
Number Participating - Avg. Monthly	**72,255**			**88,466**		
Participation Rate	**42%**	**19**	**33%**	**39%**	**19**	**33%**
Employment	70%	16	56%	74%	12	56%
On The Job Training	0%	na	0%	0%	na	0%
Work Exp./Community Service	13%	33	24%	15%	31	24%
Job Search	32%	4	17%	23%	14	16%
Vocational Education	6%	40	17%	9%	36	16%
Job Skills Training	2%	13	1%	1%	18	1%
School Attendance	2%	38	5%	4%	29	5%
Other	3%	16	9%	1%	18	8%
Participation Rate w/o Waiver	**42%**	**18**	**31%**	**39%**	**17**	**30%**
Percent of Cases Subject to All-Family Rate						
With Some Hours of Participation	**46%**		**45%**	**44%**		**43%**
With No Hours of Participation	**54%**	**29**	**55%**	**56%**	**28**	**57%**

Utah

FY 2006

Jon Huntsman, Jr. (R)

Kristen Cox, Executive Director, Department of Workforce Services

Family Employment Program (FEP)

State Administered - 29 Counties

		Rank
Cases (September 2006):	**6,247**	44
FY 2006 Change:	**-28%**	1
Change Since Enactment:	**-56%**	26
SFAG (in Millions):	**$ 84**	38
Participation Rate:	**43%**	17
Zero Participation:	**41%**	16

TANF Benefit Structure

Monthly - Family of Three

		As % of FPL		As % of SMI	
		Percent	Rank	Percent	Rank
Max Grant (No Income)	**$474**	**34%**	19	**10%**	11
Max Earnings at Application	**$573**	**41%**	32	**12%**	33
Max Earnings at Close	**$1,046**	**76%**	27	**22%**	21

FY 2006 Funding (in Millions)

	Amount	Unobligated Balance
Beginning FY Balance	$ 45	$ 45
Total Awarded	$ 84	
Expended/Transferred	$ 76	
Ending Balance	$ 53	$ 53
State MOE	$ 25	

Caseload & Unemployment Rate



FY 2006 Caseload

	Sept. 2006	During FY 2006 Change	Percent	Rank
All Cases	**6,247**	**-2,383**	**-28%**	**1**
Adult-Headed	3,402	**-2,233**	**-40%**	**2**
Child-Only	2,845	**-150**	**-5%**	**15**
Recipients	**14,844**	**-6,723**	**-31%**	**2**

TANF Time Limit:
Intermittent No
Lifetime 36 months

Month/ Yr of First Impact:
January 2000

Sanction Policy: Partial/Full / Until Compliance

Expenditure Profile

	FY 2006 STATE (in Millions)	% of Total Funds	FY 2006 U.S. Avg % of Total Funds	FY 2005 STATE (in Millions)	% of Total Funds	FY 2005 U.S. Avg % of Total Funds
Total Expended & Transferred Plus State MOE	**$ 101**			**$ 111**		
Cash Benefits	$ 37	37%	37%	$ 45	41%	39%
Services	$ 45	44%	45%	$ 43	39%	42%
Administration	$ 13	13%	8%	$ 13	12%	7%
Information Systems	$ 1	1%	1%	$ 7	6%	1%
Transferred to CCDF	$ -	0%	7%	$ -	0%	7%
Transferred to SSBG	$ 5	5%	3%	$ 3	3%	3%

All-Family Work Participation

	FY 2006 STATE	RANK	U.S. Avg	FY 2005 STATE	RANK	U.S. Avg
Total TANF Cases - Avg. Monthly	**7,463**			**9,030**		
Exempt From Participation	**41%**	**38**	**56%**	**35%**	**44**	**55%**
Child -Only	40%	28	47%	33%	33	45%
Child Under Age 1	0%	na	8%	0%	na	8%
In Sanction Status	2%	19	1%	2%	17	1%
Other	0%	na	0%	0%	na	1%
Cases Subject to All-Family Rate	**4,368**			**5,845**		
Number Participating - Avg. Monthly	**7,463**			**9,030**		
Participation Rate	**43%**	**17**	**33%**	**30%**	**31**	**33%**
Employment	53%	30	56%	53%	27	56%
On The Job Training	0%	19	0%	0%	12	0%
Work Exp./Community Service	21%	26	24%	7%	40	24%
Job Search	25%	10	17%	31%	7	16%
Vocational Education	28%	14	17%	29%	9	16%
Job Skills Training	9%	2	1%	9%	4	1%
School Attendance	5%	30	5%	7%	14	5%
Other	0%	na	9%	0%	na	8%
Participation Rate w/o Waiver	**43%**	**16**	**31%**	**30%**	**29**	**30%**
Percent of Cases Subject to All-Family Rate						
With Some Hours of Participation	**59%**		**45%**	**53%**		**43%**
With No Hours of Participation	**41%**	**16**	**55%**	**47%**	**19**	**57%**

Vermont

FY 2006

James H. Douglas (R)

Steve Dale, Secretary Agency of Human Services

Aid to Needy Families with Children (ANFC)

State Administered - 24 Counties

		Rank
Cases (September 2006):	4,792	46
FY 2006 Change:	-3%	43
Change Since Enactment:	-45%	40
SFAG (in Millions):	$ 47	43
Participation Rate:	22%	45
Zero Participation:	58%	31

TANF Benefit Structure

Monthly - Family of Three

		As % of FPL		As % of SMI	
		Percent	Rank	Percent	Rank
Max Grant (No Income)	$640	46%	5	13%	4
Max Earnings at Application	$1,003	73%	12	20%	12
Max Earnings at Close	$1,002	72%	29	20%	27

FY 2006 Funding (in Millions)

	Amount	Unobligated Balance
Beginning FY Balance	$ -	$ -
Total Awarded	$ 47	
Expended/Transferred	$ 47	
Ending Balance	$ -	$ -
State MOE	$ 31	

Caseload & Unemployment Rate



FY 2006 Caseload

	Sept. 2006	During FY 2006 Change	Percent	Rank
All Cases	4,792	-167	-3%	43
Adult-Headed	3,678	-220	-6%	41
Child-Only	1,114	53	5%	52
Recipients	10,954	-422	-4%	44

TANF Time Limit:
Intermittent No
Lifetime No

Month/ Yr of First Impact:
September 2002

Sanction Policy: Partial / Until Compliance

Expenditure Profile

	FY 2006 STATE (in Millions)	% of Total Funds	FY 2006 U.S. Avg % of Total Funds	FY 2005 STATE (in Millions)	% of Total Funds	FY 2005 U.S. Avg % of Total Funds
Total Expended & Transferred Plus State MOE	$ 78			$ 81		
Cash Benefits	$ 35	44%	37%	$ 36	44%	39%
Services	$ 23	30%	45%	$ 25	30%	42%
Administration	$ 6	7%	8%	$ 6	7%	7%
Information Systems	$ 0	1%	1%	$ 1	1%	1%
Transferred to CCDF	$ 9	12%	7%	$ 9	11%	7%
Transferred to SSBG	$ 5	6%	3%	$ 5	6%	3%

All-Family Work Participation

	FY 2006 STATE	RANK	U.S. Avg	FY 2005 STATE	RANK	U.S. Avg
Total TANF Cases - Avg. Monthly	4,429			4,570		
Exempt From Participation	36%	44	56%	33%	46	55%
Child -Only	24%	51	47%	22%	51	45%
Child Under Age 1	8%	19	8%	8%	22	8%
In Sanction Status	3%	6	1%	3%	7	1%
Other	0%	na	0%	0%	na	1%
Cases Subject to All-Family Rate	2,837			3,047		
Number Participating - Avg. Monthly	4,429			4,570		
Participation Rate	22%	45	33%	22%	45	33%
Employment	58%	22	56%	63%	19	56%
On The Job Training	1%	12	0%	0%	13	0%
Work Exp./Community Service	29%	21	24%	27%	20	24%
Job Search	23%	12	17%	25%	12	16%
Vocational Education	3%	47	17%	4%	46	16%
Job Skills Training	3%	10	1%	3%	11	1%
School Attendance	14%	5	5%	10%	8	5%
Other	0%	na	9%	37%	2	8%
Participation Rate w/o Waiver	22%	44	31%	22%	43	30%
Percent of Cases Subject to All-Family Rate						
With Some Hours of Participation	42%		45%	74%		43%
With No Hours of Participation	58%	31	55%	26%	7	57%

Virginia

Tim Kaine (D)

Anthony Conyers, Commissioner Department of Social Services

Virginia Initiative for Employment, Not Welfare (VIEW)

State Administered - 136 Counties

FY 2006

		Rank
Cases (September 2006):	**33,908**	16
FY 2006 Change:	**-7%**	25
Change Since Enactment:	**-44%**	42
SFAG (in Millions):	**$ 158**	25
Participation Rate:	**54%**	8
Zero Participation:	**25%**	6

TANF Benefit Structure

Monthly - Family of Three

		As % of FPL		As % of SMI	
		Percent	Rank	Percent	Rank
Max Grant (No Income)	**$320**	**23%**	36	**6%**	46
Max Earnings at Application	**$494**	**36%**	40	**9%**	46
Max Earnings at Close	**$1,383**	**100%**	7	**24%**	15

FY 2006 Funding (in Millions)

	Amount	Unobligated Balance
Beginning FY Balance	$ 15	$ 15
Total Awarded	$ 158	
Expended/Transferred	$ 168	
Ending Balance	$ 5	$ 2
State MOE	$ 140	

Caseload & Unemployment Rate



FY 2006 Caseload

	Sept. 2006	During FY 2006 Change	Percent	Rank
All Cases	**33,908**	**-2,676**	**-7%**	**25**
Adult-Headed	33,908	**-2,676**	**-7%**	**36**
Child-Only	0	**0**	**0%**	**42**
Recipients	**24,480**	**-2,623**	**-10%**	**22**

TANF Time Limit:
Intermittent 24 mo. followed by :
Lifetime 60 months

Month/ Yr of First Impact:
July 1997

Sanction Policy: Full / 1 month

Expenditure Profile

	FY 2006 STATE (in Millions)	% of Total Funds	FY 2006 U.S. Avg % of Total Funds	FY 2005 STATE (in Millions)	% of Total Funds	FY 2005 U.S. Avg % of Total Funds
Total Expended & Transferred Plus State MOE	**$ 309**			**$ 308**		
Cash Benefits	$ 136	44%	37%	$ 143	46%	39%
Services	$ 113	37%	45%	$ 100	32%	42%
Administration	$ 42	14%	8%	$ 43	14%	7%
Information Systems	$ -	0%	1%	$ 4	1%	1%
Transferred to CCDF	$ 3	1%	7%	$ 3	1%	7%
Transferred to SSBG	$ 15	5%	3%	$ 15	5%	3%

All-Family Work Participation

	FY 2006 STATE	RANK	U.S. Avg	FY 2005 STATE	RANK	U.S. Avg
Total TANF Cases - Avg. Monthly	**9,148**			**9,916**		
Exempt From Participation	**0%**	**na**	**56%**	**0%**	**na**	**55%**
Child -Only	0%	na	47%	0%	na	45%
Child Under Age 1	0%	na	8%	0%	na	8%
In Sanction Status	0%	na	1%	0%	na	1%
Other	0%	na	0%	0%	na	1%
Cases Subject to All-Family Rate	**9,148**			**9,916**		
Number Participating - Avg. Monthly	**9,148**			**9,916**		
Participation Rate	**54%**	**8**	**33%**	**46%**	**11**	**33%**
Employment	87%	2	56%	88%	1	56%
On The Job Training	2%	8	0%	1%	6	0%
Work Exp./Community Service	5%	41	24%	4%	44	24%
Job Search	24%	11	17%	23%	16	16%
Vocational Education	1%	49	17%	1%	51	16%
Job Skills Training	1%	22	1%	1%	16	1%
School Attendance	1%	44	5%	1%	43	5%
Other	0%	na	9%	0%	na	8%
Participation Rate w/o Waiver	**54%**	**7**	**31%**	**46%**	**9**	**30%**
Percent of Cases Subject to All-Family Rate						
With Some Hours of Participation	**75%**		**45%**	**68%**		**43%**
With No Hours of Participation	**25%**	**6**	**55%**	**32%**	**8**	**57%**

Washington

FY 2006

Christine "Chris" Gregoire (D)

Robin Arnold-Williams, Assistant Secretary for Economic Services

Work First

State Administered - 39 Counties

		Rank
Cases (September 2006):	**53,267**	8
FY 2006 Change:	**-8%**	24
Change Since Enactment:	**-45%**	39
SFAG (in Millions):	**$ 383**	11
Participation Rate:	**36%**	27
Zero Participation:	**35%**	11

TANF Benefit Structure

Monthly - Family of Three

		As % of FPL		As % of SMI	
		Percent	Rank	Percent	Rank
Max Grant (No Income)	**$546**	**39%**	9	**10%**	14
Max Earnings at Application	**$1,090**	**79%**	7	**20%**	13
Max Earnings at Close	**$1,090**	**79%**	23	**20%**	28

FY 2006 Funding (in Millions)

	Amount	Unobligated Balance
Beginning FY Balance	$ 18	$ 18
Total Awarded	$ 383	
Expended/Transferred	$ 383	
Ending Balance	$ 19	$ 19
State MOE	$ 365	

Caseload & Unemployment Rate



FY 2006 Caseload

	Sept. 2006	During FY 2006 Change	Percent	Rank
All Cases	**53,267**	**-4,350**	**-8%**	**24**
Adult-Headed	33,644	**-3,676**	**-10%**	**27**
Child-Only	19,623	**-674**	**-3%**	**23**
Recipients	**121,256**	**-13,088**	**-10%**	**21**

TANF Time Limit:
Intermittent No
Lifetime 60 months

Month/ Yr of First Impact:
August 2002

Sanction Policy: Partial / Until Compliance

Expenditure Profile

	FY 2006 STATE	FY 2006 % of Total Funds	FY 2006 U.S. Avg % of Total Funds	FY 2005 STATE	FY 2005 % of Total Funds	FY 2005 U.S. Avg % of Total Funds
Total Expended & Transferred Plus State MOE	**$ 748** (in Millions)			**$ 636** (in Millions)		
Cash Benefits	$ 284	38%	37%	$ 262	41%	39%
Services	$ 303	40%	45%	$ 218	34%	42%
Administration	$ 39	5%	8%	$ 36	6%	7%
Information Systems	$ 7	1%	1%	$ 10	2%	1%
Transferred to CCDF	$ 105	14%	7%	$ 103	16%	7%
Transferred to SSBG	$ 10	1%	3%	$ 8	1%	3%

All-Family Work Participation

	FY 2006 STATE	FY 2006 RANK	FY 2006 U.S. Avg	FY 2005 STATE	FY 2005 RANK	FY 2005 U.S. Avg
Total TANF Cases - Avg. Monthly	**54,168**			**56,823**		
Exempt From Participation	**47%**	**32**	**56%**	**47%**	**30**	**55%**
Child -Only	39%	30	47%	38%	29	45%
Child Under Age 1	6%	28	8%	6%	28	8%
In Sanction Status	2%	15	1%	3%	11	1%
Other	0%	na	0%	0%	na	1%
Cases Subject to All-Family Rate	**28,872**			**30,219**		
Number Participating - Avg. Monthly	**54,168**			**56,823**		
Participation Rate	**36%**	**27**	**33%**	**39%**	**20**	**33%**
Employment	47%	35	56%	44%	36	56%
On The Job Training	0%	32	0%	0%	30	0%
Work Exp./Community Service	55%	8	24%	60%	7	24%
Job Search	20%	18	17%	16%	27	16%
Vocational Education	14%	30	17%	12%	32	16%
Job Skills Training	2%	15	1%	2%	14	1%
School Attendance	8%	8	5%	7%	16	5%
Other	2%	17	9%	2%	15	8%
Participation Rate w/o Waiver	**36%**	**26**	**31%**	**39%**	**18**	**30%**
Percent of Cases Subject to All-Family Rate						
With Some Hours of Participation	**65%**		**45%**	**65%**		**43%**
With No Hours of Participation	**35%**	**11**	**55%**	**35%**	**11**	**57%**

West Virginia



FY 2006

Joe Manchin, III (D)

Martha Yeager Walker, Secretary, Department of Health & Human Resources

West Virginia Works

State Administered - 55 Counties

		Rank
Cases (September 2006):	**11,051**	37
FY 2006 Change:	**-10%**	14
Change Since Enactment:	**-71%**	14
SFAG (in Millions):	**$ 109**	30
Participation Rate:	**26%**	40
Zero Participation:	**64%**	42

TANF Benefit Structure

Monthly - Family of Three

		As % of FPL		As % of SMI	
		Percent	Rank	Percent	Rank
Max Grant (No Income)	**$340**	**25%**	33	**8%**	25
Max Earnings at Application	**$565**	**41%**	34	**14%**	27
Max Earnings at Close	**$565**	**41%**	44	**14%**	42

FY 2006 Funding (in Millions)

	Amount	Unobligated Balance
Beginning FY Balance	$ 14	$ 14
Total Awarded	$ 109	
Expended/Transferred	$ 92	
Ending Balance	$ 31	$ 31
State MOE	$ 34	

Caseload & Unemployment Rate



FY 2006 Caseload

	Sept. 2006	During FY 2006 Change	Percent	Rank
All Cases	**11,051**	**-1,265**	**-10%**	**14**
Adult-Headed	5,651	**-1,238**	**-18%**	**12**
Child-Only	5,400	**-27**	**0%**	**39**
Recipients	**22,373**	**-3,245**	**-13%**	**15**

TANF Time Limit:
Intermittent No
Lifetime 60 months

Month/ Yr of First Impact:
January 2002

Sanction Policy: Partial / 3 months

Expenditure Profile

	FY 2006 STATE (in Millions)	FY 2006 % of Total Funds	FY 2006 U.S. Avg % of Total Funds	FY 2005 STATE (in Millions)	FY 2005 % of Total Funds	FY 2005 U.S. Avg % of Total Funds
Total Expended & Transferred Plus State MOE	**$ 126**			**$ 135**		
Cash Benefits	$ 37	30%	37%	$ 43	32%	39%
Services	$ 53	42%	45%	$ 56	41%	42%
Administration	$ 17	13%	8%	$ 17	12%	7%
Information Systems	$ 8	6%	1%	$ 8	6%	1%
Transferred to CCDF	$ -	0%	7%	$ -	0%	7%
Transferred to SSBG	$ 11	9%	3%	$ 11	8%	3%

All-Family Work Participation

	FY 2006 STATE	FY 2006 RANK	FY 2006 U.S. Avg	FY 2005 STATE	FY 2005 RANK	FY 2005 U.S. Avg
Total TANF Cases - Avg. Monthly	**10,865**			**12,003**		
Exempt From Participation	**60%**	**17**	**56%**	**56%**	**21**	**55%**
Child -Only	49%	19	47%	44%	21	45%
Child Under Age 1	9%	10	8%	10%	7	8%
In Sanction Status	1%	23	1%	2%	16	1%
Other	0%	na	0%	0%	na	1%
Cases Subject to All-Family Rate	**4,388**			**5,296**		
Number Participating - Avg. Monthly	**10,865**			**12,003**		
Participation Rate	**26%**	**40**	**33%**	**16%**	**50**	**33%**
Employment	28%	48	56%	23%	47	56%
On The Job Training	0%	na	0%	0%	na	0%
Work Exp./Community Service	48%	11	24%	40%	12	24%
Job Search	6%	45	17%	7%	45	16%
Vocational Education	30%	10	17%	40%	3	16%
Job Skills Training	0%	na	1%	0%	na	1%
School Attendance	3%	35	5%	2%	39	5%
Other	0%	na	9%	0%	na	8%
Participation Rate w/o Waiver	**26%**	**39**	**31%**	**16%**	**48**	**30%**
Percent of Cases Subject to All-Family Rate						
With Some Hours of Participation	**36%**		**45%**	**30%**		**43%**
With No Hours of Participation	**64%**	**42**	**55%**	**70%**	**48**	**57%**

Wisconsin

FY 2006

Jim Doyle, Jr. (D)

Roberta Gassman, Secretary, Department of Workforce Development

Wisconsin Works W-2

County Administered - 72 Counties

		Rank
Cases (September 2006):	**17,910**	26
FY 2006 Change:	**-5%**	35
Change Since Enactment:	**-64%**	17
SFAG (in Millions):	**$ 314**	14
Participation Rate:	**36%**	26
Zero Participation:	**37%**	12

TANF Benefit Structure

Monthly - Family of Three

		As % of FPL		As % of SMI	
		Percent	Rank	Percent	Rank
Max Grant (No Income)	**$673**	**49%**	4	**13%**	5
Max Earnings at Application	**$0**	**0%**	53	**0%**	53
Max Earnings at Close	**$1,542**	**111%**	5	**29%**	6

FY 2006 Funding (in Millions)

	Amount	Unobligated Balance
Beginning FY Balance	$ -	$ -
Total Awarded	$ 314	
Expended/Transferred	$ 314	
Ending Balance	$ -	$ -
State MOE	$ 203	

Caseload & Unemployment Rate



FY 2006 Caseload

	Sept. 2006	During FY 2006 Change	Percent	Rank
All Cases	**17,910**	**-959**	**-5%**	**35**
Adult-Headed	6,369	**-1,073**	**-14%**	**16**
Child-Only	11,541	**114**	**1%**	**44**
Recipients	**38,348**	**-2,927**	**-7%**	**30**

TANF Time Limit:
Intermittent No
Lifetime 60 months

Month/ Yr of First Impact:
October 2001

Sanction Policy: Partial / Until Compliance

Expenditure Profile

	FY 2006 STATE (in Millions)	% of Total Funds	FY 2006 U.S. Avg % of Total Funds	FY 2005 STATE (in Millions)	% of Total Funds	FY 2005 U.S. Avg % of Total Funds
Total Expended & Transferred Plus State MOE	**$ 518**			**$ 524**		
Cash Benefits	$ 111	21%	37%	$ 115	22%	39%
Services	$ 302	58%	45%	$ 295	56%	42%
Administration	$ 19	4%	8%	$ 28	5%	7%
Information Systems	$ 8	2%	1%	$ 7	1%	1%
Transferred to CCDF	$ 63	12%	7%	$ 64	12%	7%
Transferred to SSBG	$ 15	3%	3%	$ 13	3%	3%

All-Family Work Participation

	FY 2006 STATE	RANK	U.S. Avg	FY 2005 STATE	RANK	U.S. Avg
Total TANF Cases - Avg. Monthly	**17,951**			**20,199**		
Exempt From Participation	**73%**	**6**	**56%**	**66%**	**8**	**55%**
Child -Only	64%	7	47%	57%	7	45%
Child Under Age 1	4%	37	8%	3%	42	8%
In Sanction Status	5%	1	1%	6%	1	1%
Other	0%	na	0%	0%	na	1%
Cases Subject to All-Family Rate	**4,868**			**6,779**		
Number Participating - Avg. Monthly	**17,951**			**20,199**		
Participation Rate	**36%**	**26**	**33%**	**44%**	**13**	**33%**
Employment	9%	52	56%	10%	52	56%
On The Job Training	0%	29	0%	0%	31	0%
Work Exp./Community Service	78%	3	24%	74%	4	24%
Job Search	27%	9	17%	33%	6	16%
Vocational Education	1%	50	17%	1%	50	16%
Job Skills Training	5%	5	1%	15%	2	1%
School Attendance	41%	1	5%	39%	1	5%
Other	0%	na	9%	0%	na	8%
Participation Rate w/o Waiver	**36%**	**25**	**31%**	**44%**	**11**	**30%**
Percent of Cases Subject to All-Family Rate						
With Some Hours of Participation	**63%**		**45%**	**76%**		**43%**
With No Hours of Participation	**37%**	**12**	**55%**	**24%**	**6**	**57%**

Wyoming

Dave Freudenthal (D)

Tony Lewis, Director, Department of Family Services

Personal Opportunities With Employment Responsibility (POWER)

State Administered - 23 Counties

FY 2006

		Rank
Cases (September 2006):	**305**	54
FY 2006 Change:	**-8%**	23
Change Since Enactment:	**-93%**	1
SFAG (in Millions):	**$ 18**	51
Participation Rate:	**77%**	2
Zero Participation:	**33%**	10

TANF Benefit Structure

Monthly - Family of Three

		As % of FPL		As % of SMI	
		Percent	Rank	Percent	Rank
Max Grant (No Income)	**$340**	**25%**	33	**7%**	34
Max Earnings at Application	**$540**	**39%**	36	**11%**	37
Max Earnings at Close	**$540**	**39%**	45	**11%**	47

FY 2006 Funding (in Millions)

	Amount	Unobligated Balance
Beginning FY Balance	$ 47	$ 41
Total Awarded	$ 18	
Expended/Transferred	$ 17	
Ending Balance	$ 48	$ 46
State MOE	$ 10	

Caseload & Unemployment Rate



FY 2006 Caseload

	Sept. 2006	During FY 2006 Change	Percent	Rank
All Cases	**305**	**-25**	**-8%**	**23**
Adult-Headed	78	**-12**	**-13%**	**19**
Child-Only	227	**-13**	**-5%**	**13**
Recipients	**518**	**-46**	**-8%**	**27**

TANF Time Limit:
Intermittent No
Lifetime 60 months

Month/ Yr of First Impact:
January 1999

Sanction Policy: Full / 1 month

Expenditure Profile

	FY 2006 STATE (in Millions)	FY 2006 STATE % of Total Funds	FY 2006 U.S. Avg % of Total Funds	FY 2005 STATE (in Millions)	FY 2005 STATE % of Total Funds	FY 2005 U.S. Avg % of Total Funds
Total Expended & Transferred Plus State MOE	**$ 27**			**$ 36**		
Cash Benefits	$ 10	39%	37%	$ 7	18%	39%
Services	$ 12	44%	45%	$ 25	68%	42%
Administration	$ 1	3%	8%	$ 1	3%	7%
Information Systems	$ 0	0%	1%	$ 0	0%	1%
Transferred to CCDF	$ 4	14%	7%	$ 4	10%	7%
Transferred to SSBG	$ -	0%	3%	$ -	0%	3%

All-Family Work Participation

	FY 2006 STATE	FY 2006 RANK	FY 2006 U.S. Avg	FY 2005 STATE	FY 2005 RANK	FY 2005 U.S. Avg
Total TANF Cases - Avg. Monthly	**291**			**310**		
Exempt From Participation	**87%**	**1**	**56%**	**85%**	**1**	**55%**
Child -Only	82%	1	47%	80%	1	45%
Child Under Age 1	2%	45	8%	3%	43	8%
In Sanction Status	2%	13	1%	3%	13	1%
Other	0%	na	0%	0%	na	1%
Cases Subject to All-Family Rate	**40**			**45**		
Number Participating - Avg. Monthly	**291**			**310**		
Participation Rate	**77%**	**2**	**33%**	**82%**	**3**	**33%**
Employment	13%	51	56%	19%	50	56%
On The Job Training	0%	na	0%	0%	na	0%
Work Exp./Community Service	84%	2	24%	76%	3	24%
Job Search	16%	23	17%	24%	13	16%
Vocational Education	0%	na	17%	3%	48	16%
Job Skills Training	0%	na	1%	0%	na	1%
School Attendance	0%	na	5%	0%	na	5%
Other	0%	na	9%	0%	na	8%
Participation Rate w/o Waiver	**77%**	**2**	**31%**	**82%**	**3**	**30%**
Percent of Cases Subject to All-Family Rate						
With Some Hours of Participation	**67%**		**45%**	**65%**		**43%**
With No Hours of Participation	**33%**	**10**	**55%**	**35%**	**12**	**57%**

APPENDIX

Chapter 1 239

Chart 1:1 AFDC/TANF Average Monthly Caseload, FYs 1996 – 2006

Chart 1:2 Proportion of Children and Adults in State AFDC/TANF Caseloads, FYs 1996 and 2006

Table 1:1 Average Monthly AFDC/TANF Families and Recipients, Fiscal Years 1960 – 2006

Table 1:2 Average Monthly TANF and SSP Families by Month, FYs 2004 – 2006

Table 1:3 Trend in Caseload and Child-Only Cases, FYs 1996 – 2006

Table 1:4 TANF Applications Approved and Cases Closed, FYs 2004 – 2006

Table 1:5 Average Monthly Number of TANF Families, FY 2006

Table 1:6 Average Monthly Number of TANF Recipients, FY 2006

Table 1:7 Average Monthly Number of AFDC/TANF Families, FYs 1996 – 2006

Table 1:8 Average Monthly Number of AFDC/TANF Recipients, FYs 1996 – 2006

Table 1:9 Total Number of Applications Received, Approved, or Denied, and Cases Closed, FY 2006

Table 1:10 Adherence to the Federal Five-Year Time Limit, FY 2006

Table 1:11 Average Number of Countable Months Toward the Federal Five-Year Time Limit, FY 2006

Chapter 2 252

Chapter 2 Figure A & B Source Information — Data Sources Used to Create Figures A and B

(Note: Complete sets of tables for FY 2004, FY 2005, and FY 2006 are included in the appendix. Table numbers are the same for each set of tables, and the year of the table is listed in the title)

Table 2:1 — TANF Financial Data: Combined Spending of Federal and States Funds Expended in FY 2006 Through the Fourth Quarter

Table 2:2 — TANF Financial Data: Total Federal Awards, Transfers, and Expenditures for all FY's Through the Fourth Quarter of FY 2006

Table 2:3 — TANF Financial Data: Overview of Federal Funds Available and Spent in FY 2006 by Grant Year

Table 2:4:a — TANF Financial Data: Combined Federal Funds Spent in FY 2006, Spending From Federal TANF Grant in FY 2006 Through the Fourth Quarter

Table 2:4:b — TANF Financial Data: Combined Federal Funds Spent in FY 2006, Summary of Expenditures on Assistance in FY 2006 Through the Fourth Quarter

Table 2:4:c — TANF Financial Data: Combined Federal Funds Spent in FY 2006, Expenditures on Non-Assistance in FY 2006 Through the Fourth Quarter

Table 2:4:d — TANF Financial Data: Combined Federal Funds Spent in FY 2006, Expenditures on Non-Assistance: Detailed Breakdown of Lines 6A and 6C in FY 2006 Through the Fourth Quarter

Table 2:4:e — TANF Financial Data: Combined Federal Funds Spent in FY 2006, Breakdown of Other Expenditures on Non-Assistance in FY 2006 Through the Fourth Quarter

Table 2:5 — TANF Financial Data: State Maintenance of Effort (MOE) Expenditures in the TANF Program in FY 2006, Expenditure of State TANF Funds in FY 2006 Through the Fourth Quarter

Table 2:5:1:a — TANF Financial Data: State Maintenance of Effort (MOE) Expenditures in the TANF Program in FY 2006, Expenditures on Non-Assistance in FY 2006 Through the Fourth Quarter

Table 2:5:1:b — TANF Financial Data: State Maintenance of Effort (MOE) Expenditures in the TANF Program in FY 2006, Expenditures on Non-Assistance in FY 2006 Through the Fourth Quarter

Table 2:5:2 TANF Financial Data: State Maintenance of Effort (MOE) Expenditures in the TANF Program in FY 2006, Expenditures on Non-Assistance: Detailed Breakdown of Lines 6A and 6C in FY 2006 Through the Fourth Quarter

Table 2:6 TANF Financial Data: State Maintenance of Effort (MOE) Expenditures in Separate State Programs in FY 2006, Expenditure of State Funds in FY 2006 Through the Fourth Quarter

Table 2:6:1:a TANF Financial Data: State Maintenance of Effort (MOE) Expenditures in Separate State Programs in FY 2006, Expenditures on Non-Assistance in FY 2006 Through the Fourth Quarter

Table 2:6:1:b TANF Financial Data: State Maintenance of Effort (MOE) Expenditures in Separate State Programs in FY 2006, Expenditures on Non-Assistance in FY 2006 Through the Fourth Quarter

Table 2:6:2 TANF Financial Data: State Maintenance of Effort (MOE) Expenditures in Separate State Programs in FY 2006, Expenditures on Non-Assistance: Detailed Breakdown of Lines 6A and 6C in FY 2006 Through the Fourth Quarter

Table 2:7 TANF Financial Data: State MOE Requirements, Updated TANF MOE Table for FY 2006

Table 2:8 TANF Financial Data: Analysis of State MOE Spending Levels in FY 2006 Through the Fourth Quarter

Chapter 3 307

Table 3:1 TANF Work Participation Rates, FYs 2002 – 2006

(Note: Complete sets of tables for FY 2004, FY 2005, and FY 2006 are included in the appendix. Table numbers are the same for each set of tables, and the year of the table is listed in the title)

Table 3:2 TANF Work Participation Rates, with and without Waivers, FY 2006

Table 3:3 Changes in TANF Work Participation Rates, FY 2005 to FY 2006

Table 3:4 Caseload Reduction Credits All-Families and Two-Parent Families, FY 2006

Table 3:5 Status of TANF Families as Related to All-Families Work Participation Rates, FY 2006

Table 3:6 Status of TANF Families as Related to Two-Parent Families Work Participation Rates, FY 2006

TANF Work Activities, Including Waivers

Table 3:7 Average Monthly Number of Adults Participating in Work Activities for a Sufficient Number of Hours for the Family to Count as Meeting the All-Families Work Requirements, FY 2006

Table 3:8 Average Monthly Percent of Adults Participating in Work Activities For a Sufficient Number of Hours for the Family to Count as Meeting the All-Families Work Requirements, FY 2006

Table 3:9 Average Monthly Number of Parents in Two-Parent Families Who are Participating in Work Activities for a Sufficient Number of Hours for the Family to Count as Meeting the Two-Parent Families Work Requirements, FY 2006

Table 3:10 Average Monthly Percent of Parents in Two-Parent Families Who are Participating in Work Activities for a Sufficient Number of Hours for the Family to Count as Meeting the Two-Parent Families Work Requirements, FY 2006

Table 3:11 Average Monthly Number of Adults with Hours of Participation by Work Activity, FY 2006

Table 3:12 Average Monthly Number of Adults with Hours of Participation by Work Activity as a Percent of the Number of Participating Adults, FY 2006

Table 3:13 Average Monthly Number of Adults with Hours of Participation by Work Activity as a Percent of the Total Number of Adults, FY 2006

Table 3:14 Total Hours of Participation for All Adults by Work Activity, FY 2006

Table 3:15 Average Hours of Participation in Work Activities, Including Waivers, for All Adults Participating in the Work Activity, FY 2006

Table 3:16 Number of Families Required to Participate in the All Families Work Participation Rate, FY 2006

Separate State Programs

Table 3:17 SSP-MOE Work Participation Rates, with and without Waivers, FY 2006

Chapter 4 386

Table 4:1 Employment Rates Among Single Mothers Under 200 Percent of Poverty, FY 2002-2006

Table 4:2 Income for Single-Mother Families with Children, FY 2001-2005

Chapter 5 387

Table 5:1 High Performance Bonus Formulas for Computing Bonus Year Rates

Awards for Performance Year 2004

Table 5:2 Awards for Performance Year 2004 by Category Amount

Table 5:3 Ranks of FY 2004 Performance and Percentage Point Changes over FY 2003 Performance, by Category

Table 5:4 Rates of FY 2004 and FY 2003 Performance, by Work Related Measure

Table 5:5 Rates and Ranks of FY 2004 Performance, by Work-Related Measures

Table 5:6 Rates and Ranks of FY 2004 Percentage Point Changes in Performance over FY 2003 Performance by Work Related Measures

Table 5:7 Medicaid/SCHIP Enrollment Measure: Rates and Ranks of FY 2004 and 2003 Performance and FY 2004 Percentage Point Changes over FY 2003 Performance (in percent)

Table 5:8 Food Stamp Measure: Rates and Ranks of CY 2004 and CY 2003 Performance and Percentage Point Changes of CY 2004 over CY 2003 Performance

Table 5:9 Child Care Subsidy Measure: Rates and Ranks of FY 2004 Performance Rates on the Accessibility and Affordability Components of the Measure

Table 5:10 Child Care Subsidy Measure: FY 2004 Components and Composite Weighted Rankings

Table 5:11 Family Formation and Stability Measure: Rates and Ranks of CY 2004 and CY 2003 Performance Rates and Percentage Point Changes of CY 2004 Performance over 2003 (in percent)

Results for Performance Year 2005

Table 5:12 Rates and Ranks of FY 2005 Performance, by Work-Related Measures

Table 5:13 Rates of FY 2005 and FY 2004 Performance, by Work-Related Measures (in percent)

Table 5:14 Rates and Ranks of FY 2005 Percentage Point Changes in Performance over FY 2004 Performance by Work Related Measures

Table 5:15 Food Stamp Measure: Rates and Ranks of CY 2005 and CY 2004 Performance and Percentage Point Changes of CY 2005 over CY 2004 Performance (in percent)

Table 5:16 Family Formation and Stability Measure: Rates and Ranks of CY 2005 and CY 2004 Performance Rates and Percentage Point Changes of CY 2005 Performance over 2004 (in percent)

Results for Performance Year 2006

Table 5:17 Rates and Ranks of FY 2006 Performance, by Work-Related Measures

Table 5:18 Rates of FY 2006 and FY 2005 Performance, by Work-Related Measures (in percent)

Table 5:19 Rates and Ranks of FY 2006 Percentage Point Changes in Performance over FY 2005 Performance by Work Related Measures

Table 5:20 Food Stamp Measure: Rates and Ranks of CY 2006 and CY 2005 Performance and Percentage Point Changes of CY 2006 over CY 2005 Performance (in percent)

Table 5:21 Family Formation and Stability Measure: Rates and Ranks of CY 2006 and CY 2005 Performance Rates and Percentage Point Changes of CY 2006 Performance over 2005 (in percent)

Chapter 6 412

(Note: Three sets of Table 6:1, Table 6:2, and Table 6:6 are included, representing FY 2004, FY 2005, and FY 2006 in the appendix. Table numbers are the same for each set of tables, and the year of the table is listed in the title)

Table 6:1 Financial Overview for FY 2006

Table 6:2 Statistical Overview for FY 2006

Table 6:3 Financial and Statistical Overview for FYs 2004, 2005, and 2006

Table 6:4 Total Distributed Collections for FYs 2002 – 2006

Table 6:5 Administrative Expenditures for FYs 2002 – 2006

Table 6:6 Amount of Total Collections Per Case with a Collection for FY 2006

Chapter 7 424

Table 7:1 Healthy Marriage Grantees by Type of Activity

Table 7:2 Promoting Responsible Fatherhood Grantees by Type of Activity

Chapter 8 431

(Note: Three sets of Table 8:1 are included, representing FY 2004, FY 2005, and FY 2006 in the appendix. Table numbers are the same for each set of tables, and the year of the table is listed in the title)

Table 8:1 Number of Total and Out-of-Wedlock Births in TANF Families for FY 2006; Ratio of Out-of-Wedlock to Total Births for FYs 2005 and 2006; and Change in Net Ratios from FY 2005 to FY 2006 with State Ranks from Low to High

Table 8:2 Number, Rate, and Percentage of Births to Unmarried Women: United States, CYs 1980 and 1960-2005

Table 8:3 Number and Percent of Births to Unmarried Women: United States and Each State and Territory, CY 2004, and Percent of Births to Unmarried Women, United States and Each State and Territory, CYs 1996-2004 by Place of Residence

Table 8:4 Number and Percent of Births to Unmarried Women: United States and Each State and Territory, CY 2004, in Ascending Rank Order by Percent

Chapter 9 437

Table 9:1 Poverty Rate for All Children, Official Poverty 1996-2006 and Selected Years for Comprehensive Measure.

(Note: Two versions of Table 9:2 are included, representing 2003 and 2004 in the appendix. Table numbers are the same for each set of tables, and the year of the table is listed in the title)

Table 9:2 State Estimates for Children Under 18 in Poverty for US: 2003 and 2004: Special Computation for 45 CFR Part 284

Chapter 10 440

(Note: Complete sets of tables for FY 2004, FY 2005, and FY 2006 are included in the appendix. Table numbers are the same for each set of tables, and the year of the table is listed in the title)

TANF Families and Households

Table 10:1 Percent Distribution of TANF Households by Number of Persons Living in the Household, FY 2006

Table 10:2 Percent Distribution of TANF Families by Number of Recipients, FY 2006

Table 10:3 Percent Distribution of TANF Families by Number of Adult Recipients, FY 2006

Table 10:4 Percent Distribution of TANF Families by Number of Recipient Children, FY 2006

Table 10:5 Percent Distribution of TANF Families with No Adults by Number of Recipient Children, FY 2006

Table 10:6 Percent Distribution of TANF Families with One Adult by Number of Recipient Children, FY 2006

Table 10:7 Percent Distribution of TANF Families with Two or More Adults by Number of Recipient Children, FY 2006

Table 10:8 Percent Distribution of TANF Families by Ethnicity/Race, FY 2006

Table 10:9 Percent Distribution of All Adults Living in the Household by the Family Affiliation, FY 2006

Table 10:10 Percent Distribution of TANF Teen Recipients with Teen Parent Status, FY 2006

Table 10:11 Percent Distribution of TANF Recipient Teen Parents by Relationship to Head of Household, FY 2006

Table 10:12 Percent Distribution of TANF Families with Parents Not In the Assistance Unit - Reasons for Parents Living in the Household but Not in the Assistant Unit (AU), FY 2006

Table 10:13 Percent Distribution of TANF Families Receiving Other Assistance, FY 2006

Table 10:14 Percent Distribution of TANF Families with Receipt of Child Support and with Cash Resources, FY 2006

Table 10:15 Percent Distribution of TANF Families by General Reason for Grant Reduction, FY 2006

Table 10:16 Percent Distribution of TANF Families by Specific Reason for Grant Reduction, FY 2006

Table 10:17 Percent Distribution of TANF Families by the Federal Time Limit Exemption Status, FY 2006

Table 10:18 Percent Distribution of Head of Household or Spouse Who Received Assistance by Number of Months Countable toward the Federal Time Limit, FY 2006

Adult Recipients

Table 10:19 Percent Distribution of TANF Adult Recipients by Age Group, FY 2006

Table 10:20 Percent Distribution of TANF Male Adult Recipients by Age Group, FY 2006

Table 10:21 Percent Distribution of TANF Female Adult Recipients by Age Group, FY 2006

Table 10:22 Percent Distribution of TANF Adult Recipients by Ethnicity/Race, FY 2006

Table 10:23 Percent Distribution of TANF Adult Recipients by Marital Status, FY 2006

Table 10:24 Percent Distribution of TANF Adults Receiving Disability Payments, FY 2006

Table 10:25 Percent Distribution of TANF Adult Recipients by Relationship to the Head of Household, FY 2006

Table 10:26 Percent Distribution of TANF Adult Recipients by Educational Level, FY 2006

Table 10:27 Percent Distribution of TANF Adult Recipients by Citizenship Status, FY 2006

Table 10:28 Percent Distribution of TANF Adult Recipients by Work Exemption Status, FY 2006

Table 10:29 Percent Distribution of TANF Adult Recipients Participating in Work Activities, FY 2006

Table 10:30 Percent Distribution of TANF Adult Recipients by Average Weekly Hours Participation in Work Activities, FY 2006

Table 10:31 Percent Distribution of TANF Adult Recipients by Employment Status, FY 2006

Table 10:32 Percent Distribution of TANF Male/Female Adult Recipients by Employment Status, FY 2006

Recipient Children

Table 10:33 Percent Distribution of TANF Recipient Children by Age Group, FY 2006

Table 10:34 Percent Distribution of TANF Male Recipient Children by Age Group, FY 2006

Table 10:35 Percent Distribution of TANF Female Recipient Children by Age Group, FY 2006

Table 10:36 Percent Distribution of TANF Youngest Child Recipient by Age Group, FY 2006

Table 10:37 Percent Distribution of TANF Recipient Children by Ethnicity/Race, FY 2006

Table 10:38 Percent Distribution of TANF Recipient Children Receiving Disability Benefits, FY 2006

Table 10:39 Percent Distribution of TANF Recipient Children by Relationship to the Head of Household, FY 2006

Table 10:40 Percent Distribution of TANF Recipient Children in TANF Families with No Adult Recipients by Relationship to Head of Household, FY 2006

Table 10:41 Percent Distribution of TANF Recipient Children by Educational Level, FY 2006

Table 10:42 Percent Distribution of TANF Recipient Children by Citizenship Status, FY 2006

Financial Circumstances

Table 10:43 TANF Families Receiving Cash Assistance by Number of Recipient Children, FY 2006

Table 10:44 TANF Families with No Adult Recipients Receiving Cash Assistance, FY 2006

Table 10:45 TANF Families with Income by Type of Non-TANF Income, FY 2006

Table 10:46 TANF Adult Recipients with Income by Type of Non-TANF Income, FY 2006

Table 10:47 TANF Recipient Children with Unearned Income, FY 2006

Closed Cases

Table 10:48 Percent Distribution of TANF Closed-Case Families by Reason for Closure, FY 2006

Table 10:49 Percent Distribution of TANF Closed-Case Families by Number of Family Members, FY 2006

Table 10:50 Percent Distribution of TANF Closed-Case Families by Number of Recipient Children, FY 2006

Table 10:51 Percent Distribution of TANF Closed-Case Families by Ethnicity/Race, FY 2006

Table 10:52 Percent Distribution of TANF Closed-Case Families that Received Other Assistance, FY 2006

Table 10:53 Percent Distribution of TANF Closed-Case Adult Recipients by Age Group, FY 2006

Table 10:54 Percent Distribution of TANF Closed-Case Recipient Children by Age Group, FY 2006

Table 10:55 Percent Distribution of TANF Closed-Case Youngest Child Recipient by Age Group, FY 2006

Table 10:56 Percent Distribution of TANF Closed-Case Adult Recipients by Marital Status, FY 2006

Table 10:57 Percent Distribution of TANF Closed-Case Adult Recipients by Educational Level, FY 2006

Table 10:58 Percent Distribution of TANF Closed-Case Adult Recipients by Employment Status, FY 2006

Table 10:59 TANF Closed-Case Families with Income by Type of Non-TANF Income, FY 2006

SSP-MOE Recipients

Table 10:60 Percent Distribution of SSP-MOE Families by Number of Family Members, FY 2006

Table 10:61 Percent Distribution of SSP-MOE Families by Number of Recipient Children, FY 2006

Table 10:62 Percent Distribution of SSP-MOE Families by Ethnicity/Race, FY 20063

Table 10:63 Percent Distribution of SSP-MOE Families Receiving Assistance, FY 2006

Table 10:64 Percent Distribution of SSP-MOE Adult Recipients by Age Group, FY 2006

Table 10:65 Percent Distribution of SSP-MOE Adult Recipients by Marital Status, FY 2006

Table 10:66 Percent Distribution of SSP-MOE Adult Recipients by Educational Level, FY 2006

Table 10:67 Percent Distribution of SSP-MOE Adult Recipients by Work Exemption Status, FY 2006

Table 10:68 Percent Distribution of SSP-MOE Adult Recipients by Citizenship Status, FY 2006

Table 10:69 Percent Distribution of SSP-MOE Adult Recipients by Employment Status, FY 2006

Table 10:70 Percent Distribution of SSP-MOE Adult Recipients Participating in Work Activities, FY 2006

Table 10:71 Percent Distribution of SSP-MOE Recipient Children by Age Group, FY 2006

Table 10:72 SSP-MOE Families Receiving Cash Assistance and Average Monthly Amount by Number of Recipient Children, FY 2006

Table 10:73 SSP-MOE Families with Income by Type of Non-SSP Income, FY 2006

Table 10:74 Percent Distribution of SSP-MOE Closed Case Families by Reason for Closure, FY 2006

Reliability of Estimates

Table 10:75 Average Monthly TANF Active Caseload, Sample Size, Sample Fraction and Percent by Which Estimate of 50 Percent Might Vary From True Value at the 95 Percent Confidence Level, FY 2006

Table 10:76 Total Number of Annual TANF Closed Cases, Sample Size, Sample Fraction and Percent by Which Estimate of 50 Percent Might Vary From True Value at the 95 Percent Confidence Level, FY 20063

Table 10:77 Approximate Standard Error of Estimated Percentages of TANF Recipient Families for FY 2006

Table 10:78 Significant Differences for Percentage Values between the United States and States with Samples of 3,000, FY 2006

Table 10:79 Significant Differences for Percentage Values between States with Samples of 3,000, FY 2006

Chapter 11 677

Table 11:1 Grant Amounts Awarded to American Indian Entities Administering a Federal TANF or NEW Program, FY 2006

Table 11:2 Tribal TANF Programs FY 2006 - Number of Tribes, Effective Dates, Grants, Estimated Caseloads, and State Match

Table 11:3 Estimated Number of AFDC/TANF Cases with an American Indian in the Assistance Unit in States with a Federally-Recognized Tribe, FYs 2004 - 2006

Table 11:4 Tribal TANF Program: Adults with Work Activities by Type of Activity, FY 2003-2005

Table 11:5 Tribal TANF Program: Adults by Work Participation Status, FY 2003-2005

Table 11:6 Tribal TANF Program: Adults by Relationship to Head of Household, FY 2003-2005

Table 11:7 Tribal TANF Program: Number of Families by Number of Children in the Family, FY 2003-2005

Table 11:8 Tribal TANF Program: Age of Children in the TANF Family, FY 2003-2005

Table 11:9 Tribal TANF Program: Work Participation Rates by Type of Family and Tribe, FY 2003-2005

Table 11:10 Tribal TANF Program Work Participation Rates Details of Negotiated Rates and Hourly Work Requirements

(Note: Complete sets of tables for PY 2004 – 2005 and PY 2005 - 2006 are included in the appendix. Table numbers are the same for each set of tables, and the year of the table is listed in the title)

Table 11:11 Native Employment Works (NEW), Program Year 2004 - 2005 (July 1, 2004 - June 30, 2005), Number of Adult Males, Adult Females, Youth Males, Youth Females, and Total Clients Served

Table 11:12 Native Employment Works (NEW), Program Year 2004 - 2005 (July 1, 2004 - June 30, 2005), Clients Entering Unsubsidized Employment, and Clients Receiving Temporary Assistance for Needy Families (TANF) and General Assistance (GA)

Table 11:13 Native Employment Works (NEW), Program Year 2004 - 2005 (July 1, 2004 - June 30, 2005), Clients Participating in Work Activities and Training

Table 11:14 Native Employment Works (NEW), Program Year 2004 - 2005 (July 1, 2004 - June 30, 2005), Clients Receiving Supportive and Job Retention Services

Chapter 12

No Appendices

Chapter 13

No Appendices

Chapter 14

No Appendices

Chart 1:1
AFDC/TANF Average Monthly Caseload
FY 1996 - FY 2006



Sources: Statistical Report on Recipients Under Public Assistance, Emergency TANF Data Report, TANF Data Report

Chart 1:2

Proportion of Children and Adults in State AFDC/TANF Caseloads
Fiscal Years 1996 and 2006



Sources: Statistical Report on Recipients Under Public Assistance, Emergency TANF Data Report, TANF Data Report

Table 1:1

Average Monthly AFDC/TANF Families and Recipients
Fiscal Years 1960 - 2006

Fiscal Years	Families	Recipients
1960	784,572	2,982,093
1961	844,587	3,240,970
1962	933,213	3,642,237
1963	956,762	3,902,991
1964	996,355	4,125,440
1965	1,049,211	4,374,584
1966	1,082,800	4,501,327
1967	1,178,059	4,854,972
1968	1,355,158	5,515,567
1969	1,612,197	6,400,350
1970	2,044,813	7,897,583
1971	2,660,894	9,955,401
1972	2,990,271	10,814,749
1973	3,138,386	11,003,388
1974	3,187,232	10,825,730
1975	3,414,869	11,203,027
1976	3,561,601	11,338,728
1977	3,574,660	11,108,354
1978	3,528,215	10,662,505
1979	3,495,706	10,311,292
1980	3,642,380	10,597,443
1981	3,870,765	11,159,843
1982	3,568,781	10,430,960
1983	3,650,746	10,659,365
1984	3,724,860	10,865,604
1985	3,691,610	10,812,625
1986	3,747,531	10,996,505
1987	3,784,014	11,065,027
1988	3,747,949	10,919,695
1989	3,770,959	10,933,981
1990	3,974,321	11,460,379
1991	4,373,881	12,592,268
1992	4,768,495	13,625,342
1993	4,981,248	14,142,710
1994	5,046,326	14,225,651
1995	4,870,903	13,660,192
1996	4,543,397	12,644,915
1997	3,936,610	10,935,125
1998	3,199,700	8,790,149
1999	2,673,610	7,187,658
2000	2,264,806	5,943,450
2001	2,117,389	5,423,300
2002	2,065,423	5,148,497
2003	2,032,140	4,966,631
2004	1,987,063	4,783,887
2005	1,920,504	4,548,903
2006	1,806,756	4,228,830

Sources: Statistical Supplement to the Social Security Bulletin, 2002 Edition; Statistical Report on Recipients Under Public Assistance; Emergency TANF Data Report; & TANF Data Report

Table 1:2

Average Monthly TANF and SSP Families by Month
Fiscal Years 2004 - 2006

Month	TANF	SSP	Total	FY Average
FY 2004				**2,159,822**
October	2,013,828	169,525	2,183,353	
November	2,000,005	169,395	2,169,400	
December	2,003,336	169,625	2,172,961	
January	1,994,789	174,115	2,168,904	
February	1,982,781	174,543	2,157,324	
March	1,989,390	176,411	2,165,801	
April	1,984,491	173,242	2,157,733	
May	1,976,491	171,715	2,148,206	
June	1,969,949	171,553	2,141,502	
July	1,972,372	173,855	2,146,227	
August	1,978,401	175,208	2,153,609	
September	1,978,928	173,917	2,152,845	
FY 2005				**2,090,728**
October	1,978,830	173,860	2,152,690	
November	1,969,458	172,985	2,142,443	
December	1,971,105	173,372	2,144,477	
January	1,954,183	172,912	2,127,095	
February	1,932,664	171,125	2,103,789	
March	1,924,673	171,911	2,096,584	
April	1,909,498	170,859	2,080,357	
May	1,895,114	168,841	2,063,955	
June	1,888,855	167,750	2,056,605	
July	1,874,270	162,303	2,036,573	
August	1,881,008	161,705	2,042,713	
September	1,879,679	161,773	2,041,452	
FY 2006				**1,965,317**
October	1,882,993	162,971	2,045,964	
November	1,871,638	161,697	2,033,335	
December	1,870,039	161,137	2,031,176	
January	1,846,381	162,264	2,008,645	
February	1,823,003	160,463	1,983,466	
March	1,814,040	159,637	1,973,677	
April	1,786,561	155,478	1,942,039	
May	1,781,484	154,037	1,935,521	
June	1,772,123	152,908	1,925,031	
July	1,752,454	144,506	1,896,960	
August	1,761,872	145,259	1,907,131	
September	1,756,750	144,110	1,900,860	

Sources: Tribal TANF Data Report & TANF Data Report

Table 1:3

Trend in Caseload and Child-Only Cases in Thousands Fiscal Years 1996-2006

	1996	1997	1998	1999	2000	2001	2002	2003	2004	2005	2006
TANF Families	4,543	3,937	3,200	2,674	2,265	2,117	2,065	2,032	1,987	1,920	1,920
Child-Only Cases	978	919	743	770	742	749	753	783	812	816	815
Percent of Total Caseload	21.5	22.7	23.4	29.1	32.7[1]	35.3[1]	36.6[1]	38.6[1]	40.9[1]	42.5[1]	42.4[1]

[1]Excludes cases with a sanctioned parent

Source: National Integrated Quality Control System, Emergency TANF Data Report, TANF Data Report

Table 1:4

TANF Applications Approved and Cases Closed
Fiscal Years 2004 - 2006

Month	Applications Approved	Cases Closed
FY 2004		
October	177,248	170,715
November	141,815	180,412
December	160,747	169,534
January	144,739	161,631
February	136,365	162,169
March	159,392	165,617
April	153,541	167,122
May	143,101	167,798
June	156,181	158,767
July	159,581	161,119
August	171,003	160,217
September	163,766	166,667
FY 2005		
October	154,904	165,608
November	148,202	152,657
December	151,492	152,786
January	123,648	140,356
February	115,092	129,396
March	131,801	144,008
April	141,189	141,491
May	148,460	147,574
June	163,124	157,962
July	139,114	153,457
August	155,241	180,940
September	157,412	178,900
FY 2006		
October	146,919	155,501
November	139,735	157,234
December	140,599	149,347
January	130,595	149,528
February	118,343	150,358
March	138,236	145,877
April	123,106	150,552
May	135,605	145,053
June	140,442	156,508
July	134,328	152,805
August	153,510	147,540
September	142,164	153,983

Source: TANF Data Report

Table 1:5

Average Monthly Number of TANF Families
Fiscal Year 2006

State	Oct-05	Nov-05	Dec-05	Jan-06	Feb-06	Mar-06	Apr-06	May-06	Jun-06	Jul-06	Aug-06	Sep-06	Average FY 2006
Alabama	20,312	20,133	20,141	19,527	18,941	18,790	18,570	18,567	18,338	18,440	19,010	19,174	19,162
Alaska	3,507	3,489	3,590	3,686	3,807	3,883	3,807	3,742	3,635	3,461	3,412	3,348	3,614
Arizona	42,375	42,010	41,943	40,678	39,865	39,496	38,514	38,248	37,827	37,555	38,019	38,086	39,551
Arkansas	8,448	8,385	8,283	8,208	8,140	8,194	7,914	7,923	7,893	8,068	8,399	8,596	8,204
California	457,127	454,149	453,868	451,820	451,529	452,624	448,823	450,781	449,079	438,838	442,293	440,968	449,325
Colorado	15,049	14,836	15,303	15,347	15,099	15,131	14,755	14,279	14,071	13,622	13,149	12,972	14,468
Connecticut	19,176	18,977	18,935	18,790	18,535	18,506	18,461	18,337	18,250	18,041	18,090	17,787	18,490
Delaware	5,799	5,768	5,744	5,661	5,528	5,434	5,408	5,375	5,307	5,312	5,337	5,376	5,504
Dist. of Col.	16,596	16,431	16,209	16,048	15,906	15,683	15,276	15,294	15,279	15,258	15,270	15,359	15,717
Florida	55,536	56,330	56,776	55,407	53,286	51,347	50,389	50,636	50,040	50,251	49,979	49,662	52,470
Georgia	37,267	36,400	35,621	34,066	32,729	31,775	30,662	29,863	29,194	28,299	27,967	27,525	31,781
Guam	3,078	3,066	3,072	3,078	3,066	3,072	3,078	3,066	3,072	3,078	3,066	3,072	3,072
Hawaii	7,322	7,196	7,243	7,142	6,904	6,935	6,897	6,755	6,784	6,662	6,780	6,818	6,953
Idaho	1,868	1,834	1,870	1,821	1,814	1,848	1,834	1,797	1,787	1,767	1,806	1,767	1,818
Illinois	37,855	37,962	38,129	38,223	37,528	37,019	36,199	35,824	35,289	34,302	34,011	33,623	36,330
Indiana	43,622	43,569	43,350	42,909	43,364	42,941	42,602	42,723	41,496	41,432	41,366	41,032	42,534
Iowa	17,462	17,219	17,215	16,978	16,699	16,720	16,715	16,515	16,385	16,091	16,067	16,038	16,675
Kansas	17,994	17,491	17,400	17,378	17,113	17,052	17,106	16,947	16,963	17,129	17,236	16,974	17,232
Kentucky	34,111	33,878	33,691	33,544	33,139	33,150	32,853	32,916	32,622	32,179	32,586	32,436	33,092
Louisiana	15,131	14,861	13,871	12,098	11,374	11,030	10,714	10,667	10,582	10,572	10,894	11,183	11,915
Maine	9,200	9,356	9,516	9,292	9,287	9,131	9,479	9,278	9,454	9,185	9,202	9,264	9,304
Maryland	22,597	22,210	22,530	20,878	20,264	20,164	19,754	19,252	19,256	19,191	19,262	19,013	20,364
Massachusetts	48,309	47,896	47,641	46,695	46,173	46,462	45,929	45,734	45,801	45,238	45,435	47,672	46,582
Michigan	80,703	81,317	81,882	81,278	81,388	81,326	80,587	81,417	83,196	84,635	87,898	89,806	82,953
Minnesota	27,636	27,466	27,549	27,629	27,205	27,738	27,745	27,456	27,721	27,207	27,058	27,335	27,479
Mississippi	14,832	14,691	14,636	14,180	13,581	13,078	12,866	12,689	12,597	12,613	12,642	12,594	13,417
Missouri	39,755	39,592	39,715	39,599	39,196	38,956	38,340	38,068	37,717	37,701	38,159	38,172	38,748
Montana	3,792	3,854	3,947	3,970	3,901	3,982	3,889	3,865	3,774	3,643	3,640	3,487	3,812
Nebraska	10,259	10,074	10,055	10,227	10,208	10,262	10,083	9,942	9,878	9,935	10,162	10,076	10,097
Nevada	5,772	5,759	5,691	5,472	5,318	5,269	5,248	5,276	5,334	5,255	5,215	5,173	5,399
New Hampshire	6,136	6,096	6,123	6,118	6,065	6,137	6,123	6,088	6,058	6,054	6,123	6,140	6,105
New Jersey	42,640	42,397	42,198	41,715	41,048	40,839	40,343	40,216	39,875	39,569	39,571	39,571	40,832
New Mexico	17,783	17,806	17,773	17,471	17,061	16,815	16,492	16,419	16,308	16,133	16,508	16,175	16,895
New York	140,404	139,008	139,220	137,860	135,434	135,256	133,939	133,521	132,842	130,912	130,595	129,841	134,903
North Carolina	31,776	31,757	31,746	31,189	30,454	30,111	29,707	29,684	29,209	28,856	29,063	28,514	30,172
North Dakota	2,806	2,767	2,775	2,753	2,696	2,720	2,721	2,699	2,711	2,671	2,506	2,409	2,686
Ohio	81,769	81,586	81,425	80,583	79,709	79,161	78,273	78,698	78,301	77,846	78,726	77,746	79,485
Oklahoma	10,991	11,057	11,104	9,968	10,324	10,537	9,702	9,866	9,857	9,819	9,741	9,534	10,208
Oregon	17,054	18,820	18,986	19,025	18,952	18,987	18,809	18,803	18,542	18,134	18,131	18,045	18,524
Pennsylvania	98,211	97,424	97,469	96,547	95,748	95,555	94,420	93,507	92,827	92,212	92,464	89,967	94,696
Puerto Rico	14,482	14,569	14,562	14,637	14,427	14,360	14,384	14,114	14,089	14,322	14,036	13,917	14,325
Rhode Island	10,187	10,110	10,031	9,931	9,765	9,659	9,624	9,562	9,566	9,439	9,279	9,116	9,689
South Carolina	16,592	16,431	16,234	15,901	15,514	15,291	15,111	15,140	15,236	15,276	15,696	15,709	15,678
South Dakota	2,831	2,813	2,875	2,864	2,774	2,779	2,802	2,839	2,849	2,770	2,839	2,840	2,823
Tennessee	70,287	69,642	69,361	69,291	68,690	68,381	67,524	67,509	66,898	66,894	66,607	66,464	68,129
Texas	80,463	78,273	77,693	74,855	70,625	68,742	67,595	66,175	66,224	66,095	66,107	66,731	70,798
Utah	8,419	8,225	8,151	7,976	7,758	7,745	7,444	7,259	7,097	6,714	6,537	6,235	7,463
Vermont	4,465	4,444	4,469	4,424	4,424	4,401	4,423	4,374	4,350	4,410	4,495	4,465	4,429
Virgin Islands	437	436	421	428	423	420	419	431	440	443	453	453	434
Virginia	9,670	9,625	9,615	9,506	9,354	9,240	9,117	8,960	8,909	8,745	8,484	8,547	9,148
Washington	55,672	55,393	55,910	56,263	55,661	55,128	54,238	53,723	52,804	51,745	51,994	51,483	54,168
West Virginia	11,521	11,431	11,275	11,347	11,051	11,004	10,755	10,591	10,333	10,216	10,346	10,506	10,865
Wisconsin	18,579	18,250	17,970	17,982	17,830	17,727	17,750	17,862	17,982	17,939	17,872	17,666	17,951
Wyoming	309	296	294	279	285	302	280	289	288	280	289	288	290
U.S. Totals	**1,875,974**	**1,864,855**	**1,863,096**	**1,840,542**	**1,816,959**	**1,808,295**	**1,786,492**	**1,781,561**	**1,772,216**	**1,752,454**	**1,761,872**	**1,756,750**	**1,806,756**

Source: TANF Data Report

Table 1:6

Average Monthly Number of TANF Recipients
Fiscal Year 2006

State	Oct-05	Nov-05	Dec-05	Jan-06	Feb-06	Mar-06	Apr-06	May-06	Jun-06	Jul-06	Aug-06	Sep-06	Average FY 2006
Alabama	47,911	47,397	47,400	45,598	44,073	43,651	43,085	42,893	42,475	42,736	44,253	44,838	44,693
Alaska	9,457	9,370	9,717	10,115	10,560	10,737	10,429	10,191	9,876	9,316	9,193	8,921	9,824
Arizona	95,476	94,113	94,000	90,140	87,665	86,493	84,650	83,990	82,861	82,293	83,372	83,434	87,374
Arkansas	18,583	18,445	18,137	17,890	17,666	17,770	17,053	17,025	16,981	17,643	18,650	19,260	17,925
California	1,066,356	1,058,165	1,063,297	1,054,470	1,053,901	1,053,658	1,044,898	1,049,101	1,041,854	1,029,447	1,033,047	1,027,282	1,047,956
Colorado	39,034	38,401	39,875	40,001	39,157	39,195	38,217	36,714	36,276	34,987	33,637	33,201	37,391
Connecticut	38,603	38,188	38,031	37,604	36,908	36,790	36,513	36,228	35,990	35,755	35,930	35,549	36,841
Delaware	12,959	12,858	12,818	12,595	12,248	11,980	11,900	11,822	11,690	11,813	11,884	11,946	12,209
Dist. of Col.	40,823	40,483	39,852	39,321	38,839	38,506	37,593	37,541	38,809	37,522	37,762	37,415	38,706
Florida	94,021	95,729	96,909	93,581	88,198	83,821	81,120	81,455	81,055	81,106	80,366	80,008	86,447
Georgia	77,583	75,166	72,944	68,584	65,003	62,252	59,222	57,198	55,535	53,427	52,555	51,533	62,584
Guam	10,692	10,873	10,783	10,692	10,873	10,783	10,692	10,873	10,783	10,692	10,873	10,783	10,783
Hawaii	18,429	18,007	18,234	17,955	17,290	17,407	17,206	16,789	16,894	16,497	16,863	17,019	17,383
Idaho	3,250	3,139	3,200	3,076	3,062	3,126	3,095	3,017	2,954	2,891	2,961	2,881	3,054
Illinois	94,665	94,747	95,356	95,695	93,417	91,857	89,467	88,268	86,822	84,535	83,470	82,909	90,101
Indiana	123,237	122,880	122,198	120,790	121,304	119,729	118,571	118,839	116,173	116,247	116,367	115,361	119,308
Iowa	42,253	41,612	41,600	40,938	40,010	40,223	40,248	39,812	39,551	38,789	38,793	38,381	40,184
Kansas	47,227	45,808	45,551	45,447	44,565	44,377	44,408	43,984	44,077	44,810	45,046	44,290	44,966
Kentucky	73,121	72,358	71,855	71,418	70,136	70,083	69,221	69,185	68,438	67,262	68,273	67,790	69,928
Louisiana	34,887	34,038	31,539	27,133	25,138	24,307	23,651	23,514	23,471	23,654	24,455	25,200	26,749
Maine	24,993	24,690	24,788	24,548	24,776	24,636	24,892	24,820	25,309	24,808	24,578	24,931	24,814
Maryland	53,297	52,003	52,741	48,158	46,675	46,207	45,306	43,974	43,707	43,649	43,802	43,032	46,879
Massachusetts	98,685	97,415	96,588	93,650	92,185	93,042	91,663	91,090	91,071	89,841	90,252	95,067	93,379
Michigan	214,356	215,747	217,155	215,519	215,385	215,319	212,903	214,859	219,946	224,360	233,443	238,766	219,813
Minnesota	68,158	67,000	67,208	67,480	66,058	67,469	67,448	66,535	67,331	65,876	65,389	66,161	66,843
Mississippi	31,416	30,914	30,747	29,634	28,153	26,939	26,493	26,001	25,824	25,917	25,992	25,966	27,833
Missouri	96,591	96,073	96,231	95,991	94,710	94,074	92,458	91,752	90,997	91,011	92,332	92,133	93,696
Montana	9,943	10,060	10,351	10,383	10,133	10,370	10,039	9,996	9,783	9,419	9,431	8,978	9,907
Nebraska	24,473	24,083	23,965	24,521	24,425	24,517	24,191	23,903	23,841	24,125	24,714	24,434	24,266
Nevada	13,292	13,253	13,098	12,460	11,988	11,818	11,752	11,821	12,075	11,848	11,767	11,677	12,237
New Hampshire	13,984	13,820	13,893	13,819	13,629	13,815	13,774	13,638	13,537	13,533	13,690	13,770	13,742
New Jersey	106,029	105,299	104,717	103,410	101,553	100,906	99,610	99,290	98,344	97,704	97,823	98,098	101,065
New Mexico	45,761	45,781	45,727	44,788	43,567	42,588	41,691	41,439	41,009	40,882	42,170	41,073	43,040
New York	322,844	319,027	319,742	316,221	310,193	309,042	305,379	304,041	301,236	296,856	296,073	294,264	307,910
North Carolina	62,918	62,724	62,555	61,159	59,348	58,318	57,425	57,477	56,404	55,722	56,470	55,095	58,801
North Dakota	7,191	7,029	7,075	7,035	6,854	6,923	6,941	6,887	6,934	6,806	6,354	6,056	6,840
Ohio	176,817	176,187	176,115	173,840	170,698	169,045	166,904	167,832	166,678	165,544	167,607	165,068	170,195
Oklahoma	24,787	24,967	25,131	21,746	22,804	23,492	21,193	21,576	21,502	21,380	21,236	20,738	22,546
Oregon	40,655	42,455	42,654	42,887	42,714	42,777	42,288	42,107	41,568	40,628	40,704	40,582	41,835
Pennsylvania	256,389	253,847	254,062	251,409	248,394	247,536	244,181	241,039	238,608	237,195	237,542	230,646	245,071
Puerto Rico	39,346	39,655	39,694	39,850	39,252	38,985	38,798	38,060	37,928	38,432	37,701	37,372	38,756
Rhode Island	25,514	25,252	24,977	24,630	24,155	23,855	23,718	23,572	23,560	23,320	22,930	22,240	23,977
South Carolina	38,082	37,700	37,124	36,097	34,993	34,473	33,987	34,067	34,300	34,443	35,578	35,732	35,548
South Dakota	6,230	6,125	6,249	6,168	5,939	5,933	5,988	6,115	6,178	5,972	6,121	6,099	6,093
Tennessee	185,806	183,793	183,005	182,896	181,458	180,792	178,502	178,529	176,863	176,888	176,003	175,285	179,985
Texas	185,793	180,231	178,675	171,120	159,387	154,153	151,533	148,225	148,871	149,300	150,256	152,124	160,806
Utah	21,069	20,547	20,283	19,674	18,995	18,890	18,078	17,507	17,112	16,100	15,651	14,844	18,229
Vermont	10,981	11,033	11,082	10,936	10,918	10,895	10,981	10,867	10,714	10,877	11,119	10,954	10,946
Virgin Islands	1,299	1,284	1,233	1,244	1,213	1,199	1,185	1,216	1,246	1,262	1,295	1,305	1,248
Virginia	27,423	27,254	27,354	27,136	26,655	26,259	25,939	25,444	25,427	24,962	24,225	24,480	26,047
Washington	133,685	132,406	133,199	134,001	131,941	130,227	127,945	126,651	124,216	121,777	122,573	121,256	128,323
West Virginia	25,557	25,294	24,941	25,019	24,323	24,040	23,425	22,930	22,345	22,180	22,279	22,373	23,726
Wisconsin	41,564	40,890	40,046	39,869	39,365	38,841	38,859	39,027	39,254	39,144	39,043	38,348	39,521
Wyoming	545	521	505	474	491	539	486	509	518	486	509	518	508
U.S. Totals	**4,424,040**	**4,386,136**	**4,386,206**	**4,320,815**	**4,253,340**	**4,224,659**	**4,167,194**	**4,151,235**	**4,126,801**	**4,093,669**	**4,114,402**	**4,097,466**	**4,228,830**

Source: TANF Data Report

Table 1:7

Average Monthly Number of AFDC/TANF Families - Fiscal Years 1996-2006

State	FY 1996	FY 1997	FY 1998	FY 1999	FY 2000	FY 2001	FY 2002	FY 2003	FY 2004	FY 2005	FY 2006	Net Change FY 1996-FY 2006	
Alabama	42,393	34,519	23,309	20,268	19,083	18,368	18,037	18,844	19,154	20,040	19,262	-23,131	-54.6%
Alaska	12,253	12,023	10,159	8,461	7,347	5,847	6,034	5,334	4,926	4,381	3,614	-8,639	-70.5%
Arizona	63,404	54,744	39,572	34,108	33,723	33,194	40,097	47,792	49,559	43,738	39,551	-23,853	-37.6%
Arkansas	22,747	20,896	13,844	11,939	12,354	11,607	12,004	11,162	10,023	8,642	8,177	-14,570	-64.1%
California	895,960	815,913	707,023	624,096	498,414	468,747	462,328	449,650	456,666	463,569	449,190	-446,770	-49.9%
Colorado	35,447	29,888	21,154	14,265	11,154	10,639	12,086	13,534	14,623	15,268	14,468	-20,979	-59.2%
Connecticut	58,117	55,796	48,089	33,932	28,095	25,943	23,749	21,014	20,720	19,830	18,291	-39,827	-68.5%
Delaware	10,388	9,761	7,199	6,241	6,058	5,376	5,469	5,597	5,643	5,606	5,504	-4,884	-47.0%
Dist. of Col.	25,721	24,119	21,148	19,062	17,439	16,241	16,157	16,589	17,177	16,873	15,717	-10,004	-38.9%
Florida	209,718	170,507	107,951	82,000	67,355	58,849	59,013	58,118	57,457	59,853	52,735	-156,983	-74.9%
Georgia	130,387	105,919	74,836	61,813	52,928	50,531	53,678	55,922	53,215	41,747	31,781	-98,607	-75.6%
Guam	2,137	2,309	2,098	2,533	2,743	2,807	3,072	3,072	3,072	3,072	3,072	936	43.8%
Hawaii	21,960	21,267	16,844	15,990	14,438	12,852	11,127	9,776	8,864	7,996	6,953	-15,007	-68.3%
Idaho	9,008	6,465	1,918	1,380	1,275	1,290	1,369	1,681	1,848	1,860	1,818	-7,190	-79.8%
Illinois	224,148	198,923	169,735	122,775	83,917	62,030	48,091	37,895	35,660	38,391	36,330	-187,818	-83.8%
Indiana	52,873	44,688	40,084	36,714	35,872	41,299	49,265	52,686	50,589	44,042	44,897	-7,976	-15.1%
Iowa	32,785	28,843	25,191	21,952	20,025	20,195	20,154	19,969	18,286	17,707	16,675	-16,110	-49.1%
Kansas	25,148	20,218	14,136	12,845	12,585	13,035	13,958	15,300	16,747	17,622	17,232	-7,916	-31.5%
Kentucky	71,827	65,294	52,882	42,637	38,542	36,127	34,904	34,923	35,629	34,701	33,092	-38,735	-53.9%
Louisiana	70,581	56,535	48,228	39,372	27,820	25,176	23,700	22,834	18,777	16,103	11,920	-58,660	-83.1%
Maine	20,461	18,470	15,408	13,473	10,864	9,661	9,692	9,150	9,713	9,543	9,320	-11,141	-54.5%
Maryland	74,106	59,230	47,388	34,748	29,313	27,915	27,132	26,134	25,430	23,134	20,364	-53,742	-72.5%
Massachusetts	88,365	77,989	66,490	54,463	44,189	42,570	47,264	49,377	49,753	48,824	46,716	-41,649	-47.1%
Michigan	178,002	151,620	123,693	95,208	74,231	70,725	74,338	75,111	79,432	80,590	82,953	-95,049	-53.4%
Minnesota	58,250	53,340	48,301	42,465	39,040	38,558	35,859	36,500	34,340	28,996	27,490	-30,761	-52.8%
Mississippi	47,954	38,513	23,700	16,644	14,970	15,657	17,607	19,823	18,795	16,060	13,417	-34,538	-72.0%
Missouri	82,717	71,752	60,041	50,917	46,776	45,556	45,001	40,845	40,979	40,061	38,748	-43,969	-53.2%
Montana	10,836	8,886	6,356	4,828	4,555	4,934	5,828	6,169	5,256	4,614	3,812	-7,024	-64.8%
Nebraska	14,569	13,859	12,960	11,336	9,538	9,486	10,335	10,945	10,901	10,017	10,098	-4,471	-30.7%
Nevada	14,827	11,918	10,383	8,034	6,274	7,439	11,015	10,636	8,844	6,788	5,399	-9,428	-63.6%
New Hampshire	9,538	8,120	6,857	6,324	5,841	5,659	6,040	6,079	6,049	6,144	6,118	-3,421	-35.9%
New Jersey	105,504	95,428	76,850	62,241	51,630	45,325	41,986	42,418	44,739	43,071	40,832	-64,672	-61.3%
New Mexico	33,852	26,954	22,053	25,501	23,655	19,322	17,015	16,638	17,590	17,566	16,895	-16,956	-50.1%
New York	431,717	384,377	366,032	325,547	258,702	226,921	170,430	148,803	147,050	141,512	134,903	-296,815	-68.8%
North Carolina	113,127	98,904	77,961	59,328	45,725	43,497	42,872	40,432	37,651	33,773	30,172	-82,955	-73.3%
North Dakota	4,892	4,195	3,322	3,098	2,901	2,999	3,232	3,376	3,064	2,856	2,692	-2,200	-45.0%
Ohio	206,722	186,206	140,286	108,635	97,969	85,005	84,031	84,292	84,574	82,597	79,485	-127,237	-61.5%
Oklahoma	38,809	30,336	24,462	19,990	14,316	13,934	14,801	15,049	14,199	12,073	10,208	-28,600	-73.7%
Oregon	33,444	24,076	18,242	16,870	17,058	16,270	17,946	18,708	18,525	19,488	19,143	-14,301	-42.8%
Pennsylvania	190,329	163,563	134,975	105,657	89,899	82,644	80,587	80,857	88,128	96,635	94,696	-95,634	-50.2%
Puerto Rico	50,888	47,726	41,933	36,155	31,812	26,212	23,363	18,929	17,493	15,146	14,325	-36,563	-71.9%
Rhode Island	21,226	19,811	19,308	17,987	16,324	15,228	14,405	13,348	12,295	10,715	9,704	-11,522	-54.3%
South Carolina	45,770	34,214	25,291	18,366	17,502	18,643	21,545	21,589	16,676	15,854	15,678	-30,092	-65.7%
South Dakota	5,995	5,105	3,837	3,225	2,802	2,713	2,851	2,791	2,745	2,771	2,823	-3,172	-52.9%
Tennessee	99,096	70,419	57,372	57,630	56,148	59,369	63,036	68,660	72,069	70,572	68,129	-30,967	-31.2%
Texas	254,953	208,974	145,253	114,112	127,880	130,893	129,937	133,239	105,197	86,739	70,798	-184,155	-72.2%
Utah	14,767	12,250	10,712	9,801	8,410	7,487	7,757	8,537	9,041	9,030	7,463	-7,304	-49.5%
Vermont	9,057	8,263	7,371	6,611	6,043	5,524	5,113	4,907	4,831	4,570	4,430	-4,627	-51.1%
Virgin Islands	1,399	1,278	1,106	970	936	724	615	473	538	476	434	-965	-69.0%
Virginia	64,937	53,856	43,269	37,022	31,864	29,271	30,051	25,245	9,430	9,916	9,148	-55,790	-85.9%
Washington	98,933	93,043	79,392	62,640	57,008	54,160	54,188	54,699	55,858	56,823	54,168	-44,765	-45.2%
West Virginia	36,562	33,639	19,674	11,447	12,146	14,732	15,855	15,822	14,401	12,003	10,865	-25,697	-70.3%
Wisconsin	60,058	38,874	12,777	19,140	16,719	17,680	18,955	20,460	22,493	20,199	17,951	-42,108	-70.1%
Wyoming	4,732	2,798	1,249	811	604	524	453	408	352	310	291	-4,442	-93.9%
U.S. Totals	**4,543,397**	**3,936,610**	**3,199,700**	**2,673,610**	**2,264,806**	**2,117,389**	**2,065,423**	**2,032,140**	**1,987,063**	**1,920,504**	**1,809,945**	**(2,733,452)**	**-60.2%**

Source: TANF Data Report

Table 1:8

Average Monthly Number of AFDC/TANF Recipients - Fiscal Years 1996-2006

State	FY 1996	FY 1997	FY 1998	FY 1999	FY 2000	FY 2001	FY 2002	FY 2003	FY 2004	FY 2005	FY 2006	Net Change FY 1996-FY 2006	
Alabama	105,204	85,552	56,853	47,768	45,708	43,555	42,754	44,704	45,377	47,388	44,693	-60,512	-57.5%
Alaska	36,192	35,371	30,997	25,863	22,367	16,997	17,623	15,190	13,768	12,048	9,824	-26,369	-72.9%
Arizona	171,533	147,380	107,949	90,140	87,217	82,595	94,279	112,952	114,970	99,294	87,374	-84,159	-49.1%
Arkansas	58,166	53,188	34,859	29,013	29,313	27,722	27,731	25,425	22,360	18,759	17,925	-40,241	-69.2%
California	2,625,833	2,403,510	2,071,482	1,791,291	1,298,875	1,185,399	1,160,882	1,111,558	1,103,152	1,087,862	1,047,956	-1,577,877	-60.1%
Colorado	98,525	79,874	56,216	38,273	28,837	27,132	31,491	35,391	38,162	38,313	37,391	-61,134	-62.0%
Connecticut	161,733	154,351	129,286	83,640	66,407	59,860	53,158	44,964	42,782	40,109	36,841	-124,893	-77.2%
Delaware	23,367	22,177	11,726	15,463	12,849	12,234	12,357	12,697	12,723	12,530	12,209	-11,158	-47.7%
Dist. of Col.	70,201	66,272	58,108	51,274	46,528	43,013	42,159	42,342	43,610	41,980	38,706	-31,496	-44.9%
Florida	560,561	447,369	277,961	198,215	150,636	124,586	123,247	120,013	116,208	106,815	86,447	-474,113	-84.6%
Georgia	352,607	278,881	193,725	155,796	128,607	120,501	128,177	133,772	124,239	90,123	62,584	-290,024	-82.3%
Guam	7,853	7,753	7,127	8,638	9,914	9,733	10,783	10,783	10,783	10,783	10,783	2,930	37.3%
Hawaii	66,539	64,898	47,401	44,871	47,136	41,478	30,466	25,715	22,908	20,307	17,383	-49,157	-73.9%
Idaho	22,926	16,147	4,428	2,754	2,309	2,246	2,374	3,124	3,405	3,310	3,054	-19,872	-86.7%
Illinois	655,396	580,324	506,580	368,249	254,238	182,673	133,708	98,049	89,018	96,336	90,101	-565,295	-86.3%
Indiana	147,995	120,179	114,406	108,301	99,073	115,543	138,885	140,302	131,125	124,777	119,308	-28,687	-19.4%
Iowa	89,208	78,378	68,700	59,339	53,267	54,071	53,434	52,170	44,753	42,884	40,184	-49,024	-55.0%
Kansas	68,497	53,844	36,892	32,603	31,620	32,967	35,808	39,745	43,640	46,026	44,966	-23,531	-34.4%
Kentucky	174,882	157,807	126,845	99,138	88,747	81,809	77,658	76,963	78,174	75,005	69,928	-104,953	-60.0%
Louisiana	235,551	186,565	136,421	109,389	74,888	65,505	60,704	57,775	45,506	37,458	26,749	-208,802	-88.6%
Maine	55,878	49,397	40,758	35,329	28,191	26,134	26,039	26,504	26,651	25,509	24,814	-31,064	-55.6%
Maryland	204,105	163,089	125,163	88,429	72,147	68,221	64,850	61,168	60,611	54,851	46,879	-157,226	-77.0%
Massachusetts	236,842	207,138	175,751	132,798	101,452	100,300	108,068	109,093	107,630	103,906	93,379	-143,463	-60.6%
Michigan	527,110	448,704	359,627	260,819	207,010	193,211	201,695	200,557	212,182	214,547	219,813	-307,296	-58.3%
Minnesota	171,109	156,872	143,932	123,003	115,749	112,688	94,584	94,605	88,302	72,812	66,843	-104,266	-60.9%
Mississippi	129,052	102,284	60,097	38,746	33,801	35,710	40,434	45,743	42,459	34,695	27,833	-101,219	-78.4%
Missouri	231,891	196,937	155,376	131,861	124,763	121,364	118,753	101,893	99,613	96,611	93,696	-138,195	-59.6%
Montana	31,192	26,218	18,895	13,991	12,948	14,004	16,440	17,294	14,284	12,224	9,907	-21,285	-68.2%
Nebraska	39,558	37,418	36,372	33,480	24,037	23,802	25,500	26,876	26,749	25,136	24,266	-15,292	-38.7%
Nevada	37,561	29,492	26,839	20,231	15,906	19,461	27,640	25,256	20,956	15,601	12,237	-25,323	-67.4%
New Hampshire	24,200	20,199	16,576	15,477	14,035	13,495	14,465	14,152	14,032	14,114	13,742	-10,458	-43.2%
New Jersey	288,486	253,913	198,436	165,489	130,317	113,481	103,123	102,564	107,703	105,135	101,065	-187,420	-65.0%
New Mexico	101,123	81,450	68,997	79,365	72,343	55,143	47,338	44,081	45,926	45,314	43,040	-58,083	-57.4%
New York	1,183,749	1,048,257	943,800	812,005	723,793	613,353	412,530	338,668	336,236	323,134	307,910	-875,839	-74.0%
North Carolina	277,841	242,714	187,797	135,119	99,553	93,366	91,084	84,214	77,119	67,644	58,801	-219,040	-78.8%
North Dakota	13,399	11,398	8,892	8,269	7,524	7,784	8,344	8,677	7,871	7,334	6,840	-6,558	-48.9%
Ohio	545,918	497,429	366,439	275,501	245,085	199,352	190,998	187,557	186,272	179,422	170,195	-375,723	-68.8%
Oklahoma	104,845	84,766	71,439	55,971	35,472	34,810	36,923	36,830	34,229	27,876	22,546	-82,299	-78.5%
Oregon	86,940	62,484	47,917	44,326	39,031	36,623	40,916	42,722	42,362	44,432	41,835	-45,105	-51.9%
Pennsylvania	543,502	460,607	377,611	298,133	249,721	216,186	210,528	210,409	231,260	253,352	245,071	-298,431	-54.9%
Puerto Rico	154,891	143,770	126,349	107,093	92,299	75,106	67,413	53,506	48,904	41,543	38,756	-116,135	-75.0%
Rhode Island	58,397	55,330	54,365	49,923	45,161	41,623	38,957	35,514	31,929	27,017	23,977	-34,420	-58.9%
South Carolina	119,184	89,761	66,226	43,835	41,324	45,051	53,255	52,976	38,567	36,069	35,548	-83,636	-70.2%
South Dakota	16,282	13,439	10,093	8,162	6,755	6,365	6,603	6,285	6,001	6,059	6,093	-10,189	-62.6%
Tennessee	260,257	183,973	148,540	149,560	145,473	154,905	164,631	180,940	190,132	186,025	179,985	-80,272	-30.8%
Texas	684,020	573,880	401,200	308,827	342,383	349,279	331,363	334,406	249,634	201,365	160,806	-523,214	-76.5%
Utah	40,330	33,805	28,689	29,231	22,151	19,184	19,887	21,812	23,012	22,758	18,229	-22,100	-54.8%
Vermont	25,299	22,936	20,307	17,979	16,170	14,604	13,407	12,701	12,257	11,412	10,946	-14,352	-56.7%
Virgin Islands	4,953	4,528	3,748	3,497	3,195	2,570	2,338	1,454	1,612	1,402	1,248	-3,705	-74.8%
Virginia	161,928	130,600	104,688	89,380	72,573	65,051	67,262	58,198	26,883	28,241	26,047	-135,881	-83.9%
Washington	274,160	254,039	214,701	171,701	153,057	141,397	137,755	135,893	136,747	136,882	128,323	-145,837	-53.2%
West Virginia	95,085	82,746	53,796	31,762	32,257	39,039	41,643	40,699	34,156	27,218	23,726	-71,360	-75.0%
Wisconsin	170,224	118,270	45,706	46,634	38,056	40,030	45,231	49,019	54,314	46,609	39,521	-130,703	-76.8%
Wyoming	12,839	7,466	3,064	1,717	1,183	987	826	733	633	548	508	-12,330	-96.0%
U.S. Totals	**12,644,915**	**10,935,125**	**8,790,149**	**7,187,658**	**5,943,450**	**5,423,300**	**5,148,497**	**4,966,631**	**4,783,887**	**4,548,903**	**4,228,830**	**(8,416,085)**	**-66.6%**

Source: TANF Data Report

Table 1:9

Total Number of Applications Approved, Denied, and Cases Closed
Fiscal Year 2006

State	Total Applications Received	Total Applications Approved		Total Applications Denied		Cases Closed
		Number	Percent	Number	Percent	
U. S. Totals	**3,538,229**	**1,643,582**	**46.5%**	**1,894,647**	**53.5%**	**1,814,286**
Alabama	21,147	20,722	98.0%	425	2.0%	20,570
Alaska	9,969	4,336	43.5%	5,633	56.5%	4,284
Arizona	100,711	35,891	35.6%	64,820	64.4%	35,887
Arkansas	31,122	9,873	31.7%	21,249	68.3%	7,209
California	394,241	214,293	54.4%	179,948	45.6%	417,074
Colorado	8,627	6,334	73.4%	2,293	26.6%	17,205
Connecticut	20,418	12,491	61.2%	7,927	38.8%	14,380
Delaware	12,871	8,586	66.7%	4,285	33.3%	6,660
Dist. of Col.	8,848	5,743	64.9%	3,105	35.1%	6,992
Florida	274,500	77,047	28.1%	197,453	71.9%	85,972
Georgia	114,367	21,047	18.4%	93,320	81.6%	28,361
Guam	10,996	5,406		5,590		5,803
Hawaii	18,060	2,052	11.4%	16,008	88.6%	2,207
Idaho	24,469	16,342	66.8%	8,127	33.2%	30,610
Illinois	116,641	48,484	41.6%	68,157	58.4%	58,095
Indiana	12,435	11,640	93.6%	795	6.4%	18,493
Iowa	39,281	19,102	48.6%	20,179	51.4%	20,822
Kansas	56,510	35,247	62.4%	21,263	37.6%	36,627
Kentucky	13,291	12,657	95.2%	634	4.8%	13,719
Louisiana	3,929	338	8.6%	3,591	91.4%	13,033
Maine	57,340	23,597	41.2%	33,743	58.8%	32,526
Maryland	70,844	51,509	72.7%	19,335	27.3%	29,963
Massachusetts	159,655	81,374	51.0%	78,281	49.0%	71,040
Michigan	65,345	31,763	48.6%	33,582	51.4%	32,212
Minnesota	30,008	11,376	37.9%	18,632	62.1%	13,254
Mississippi	68,678	40,799	59.4%	27,879	40.6%	36,801
Missouri	8,758	6,062	69.2%	2,696	30.8%	7,920
Montana	21,709	13,177	60.7%	8,532	39.3%	11,708
Nebraska	28,426	10,688	37.6%	17,738	62.4%	8,238
Nevada	14,919	8,999	60.3%	5,920	39.7%	6,487
New Hampshire	46,686	39,234	84.0%	7,452	16.0%	42,419
New Jersey	42,528	22,523	53.0%	20,005	47.0%	32,075
New Mexico	204,483	113,941	55.7%	90,542	44.3%	108,395
New York	52,106	32,810	63.0%	19,296	37.0%	31,343
North Carolina	6,279	3,635	57.9%	2,644	42.1%	4,108
North Dakota	223,212	77,682	34.8%	145,530	65.2%	84,293
Ohio	36,085	13,256	36.7%	22,829	63.3%	20,981
Oklahoma	30,569	26,926	88.1%	3,643	11.9%	22,860
Oregon	175,181	107,013	61.1%	68,168	38.9%	70,032
Pennsylvania	8,172	2,645	32.4%	5,527	67.6%	9,334
Puerto Rico	44,778	19,016	42.5%	25,762	57.5%	17,315
Rhode Island	5,998	3,662	61.1%	2,336	38.9%	3,599
South Carolina	229,885	114,450	49.8%	115,435	50.2%	51,860
South Dakota	344,209	87,411	25.4%	256,798	74.6%	96,116
Tennessee	22,768	7,374	32.4%	15,394	67.6%	11,514
Texas	12,535	7,214	57.6%	5,321	42.4%	6,203
Utah	54,406	27,998	51.5%	26,408	48.5%	11,848
Vermont	117,817	57,639	48.9%	60,178	51.1%	60,871
Virgin Islands	16,556	11,008	66.5%	5,548	33.5%	12,184
Virginia	34,216	13,273	38.8%	20,943	61.2%	14,089
Washington	1,076	401	37.3%	675	62.7%	317
West Virginia	0	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0
Wisconsin	10,271	7,240	70.5%	3,031	29.5%	8,074
Wyoming	298	256	85.9%	42	14.1%	304

Source: TANF Data Report

Table 1:10

Adherence To the Federal Five-Year Time Limit
Fiscal Year 2006

State	Average Monthly Caseload FY 2005[1]	Average Monthly Caseload FY 2006[1]	Average Monthly Caseload Used For Federal Five-Year Time Limit[2]	Avg. Monthly Number Of Families That Received Assistance For More Than 60 Countable Months Due To Hardship Or Domestic Violence Waiver	Percent Of Families That Received Assistance For More Than 60 Countable Months Due To Hardship Or Domestic Violence Waiver
United States	1,917,432	1,983,789	2,010,658	61,590	3.1%
Alabama	20,040	19,154	20,040	138	0.7%
Alaska	4,381	4,926	4,926	108	2.2%
Arizona	43,738	49,559	49,559	1	0.0%
Arkansas	8,642	10,023	10,023	4	0.0%
California	463,569	456,666	463,569	10,449	2.3%
Colorado	15,268	14,623	15,268	2,049	13.4%
Connecticut	19,830	20,720	20,720	59	0.3%
Delaware	5,606	5,643	5,643	-	0.0%
District of Columbia	16,873	17,177	17,177	1,197	7.0%
Florida	59,853	57,457	59,853	379	0.6%
Georgia	41,747	53,215	53,215	33	0.1%
Guam	-	-	-		
Hawaii	7,996	8,864	8,864	1	0.0%
Idaho	1,860	1,848	1,860	-	0.0%
Illinois	38,391	35,660	38,391	-	0.0%
Indiana	44,042	50,589	50,589	-	0.0%
Iowa	17,707	18,286	18,286	261	1.4%
Kansas	17,622	16,747	17,622	794	4.5%
Kentucky	34,701	35,629	35,629	96	0.3%
Louisiana	16,103	18,777	18,777	18	0.1%
Maine	9,543	9,713	9,713	1,215	12.5%
Maryland	23,134	25,430	25,430	1,560	6.1%
Massachusetts	48,824	49,753	49,753	-	0.0%
Michigan	80,590	79,432	80,590	9,854	12.2%
Minnesota	28,996	34,340	34,340	2,365	6.9%
Mississippi	16,060	18,795	18,795	11	0.1%
Missouri	40,061	40,979	40,979	332	0.8%
Montana	4,614	5,256	5,256	15	0.3%
Nebraska	10,017	10,901	10,901	601	5.5%
Nevada	6,788	8,844	8,844	7	0.1%
New Hampshire	6,144	6,049	6,144	161	2.6%
New Jersey	43,071	44,148	44,148	3,924	8.9%
New Mexico	17,566	17,590	17,590	-	0.0%
New York	141,512	146,971	146,971	7,555	5.1%
North Carolina	33,773	37,651	37,651	22	0.1%
North Dakota	2,856	3,064	3,064	4	0.1%
Ohio	82,597	84,574	84,574	314	0.4%
Oklahoma	12,073	14,199	14,199	81	0.6%
Oregon	19,488	18,525	19,488	-	0.0%
Pennsylvania	96,635	88,128	96,635	11,560	12.0%
Puerto Rico	15,146	17,493	17,493	-	0.0%
Rhode Island	10,715	12,295	12,295	1,186	9.6%
South Carolina	15,854	15,632	15,854	-	0.0%
South Dakota	2,771	2,745	2,771	6	0.2%
Tennessee	70,572	72,069	72,069	1	0.0%
Texas	86,739	105,197	105,197	-	0.0%
Utah	9,030	9,041	9,041	112	1.2%
Vermont	4,570	4,831	4,831	5	0.1%
Virgin Islands	476	538	538	8	1.5%
Virginia	9,916	9,430	9,916	-	0.0%
Washington	56,823	55,858	56,823	4,633	8.2%
West Virginia	12,003	15,912	15,912	3	0.0%
Wisconsin	20,199	22,493	22,493	468	2.1%
Wyoming	310	352	352	-	0.0%

[1] Caseload as reported on the TANF Data Report-Section Three (aggregated data).

[2] The larger of FY 2004 and FY 2005 caseloads.

Table 1:11

Avearge Monthly Number of Countable Months Toward the Federal Five-Year Time Limit
Fiscal Year 2006

STATE	Average Monthly Number of Families[1]		Average Countable Months For Families With A Head Of Household	Families With Countable Months As A Percent Of Families With A Head Of Household		
	Total	Families with a Head of Household		Percent With One Year Or Less	Percent Between Four And Five Years	Percent Greater Than Five Years
United States	1,805,900	941,478	24.8	38.8	8.3	6.6
Alabama	19,162	10,059	19.3	44.8	5.4	0.7
Alaska	3,614	2,589	19.9	47.3	6.1	3.0
Arizona	39,551	20,783	17.8	43.7	-	-
Arkansas	8,204	4,065	13.7	53.9	0.9	-
California	449,971	186,173	28.5	31.2	16.7	2.3
Colorado	14,468	9,403	92.4	33.5	3.8	14.2
Connecticut	18,491	10,015	19.5	39.7	3.1	0.5
Delaware	5,504	2,917	12.8	62.9	1.7	-
District of Columbia	15,718	9,233	39.5	20.7	27.8	7.8
Florida	52,470	15,355	15.9	54.4	1.7	0.7
Georgia	31,781	8,001	17.1	47.8	0.6	0.1
Guam	.	.	.	.	.	.
Hawaii	6,954	4,761	24.8	35.0	15.3	-
Idaho	1,818	392	7.3	81.7	-	-
Illinois	36,331	17,404	18.1	45.5	4.9	-
Indiana	42,534	24,011	14.7	52.9	1.1	-
Iowa	16,675	11,326	22.6	36.7	7.8	1.6
Kansas	17,232	12,570	23.8	37.8	6.5	4.6
Kentucky	33,092	15,898	21.3	38.9	7.2	0.3
Louisiana	11,916	3,615	16.6	50.1	3.1	0.1
Maine	9,304	6,722	34.2	27.2	8.7	13.1
Maryland	20,364	10,334	31.3	32.8	7.9	8.2
Massachusetts	46,582	27,997	12.1	62.6	2.5	-
Michigan	82,953	55,249	32.6	32.2	7.3	11.9
Minnesota	27,479	17,815	29.0	36.4	8.9	8.9
Mississippi	13,417	6,528	16.7	50.5	3.0	0.1
Missouri	38,748	27,895	23.4	35.4	10.2	0.9
Montana	3,812	2,600	14.1	58.9	3.7	0.4
Nebraska	10,097	6,555	24.5	40.6	6.3	6.0
Nevada	5,399	2,089	16.0	55.0	4.0	0.1
New Hampshire	6,105	4,073	21.5	42.3	6.2	2.6
New Jersey	40,832	28,685	28.8	35.5	7.4	9.6
New Mexico	16,895	11,068	20.0	42.5	7.2	-
New York	134,900	72,836	31.2	27.0	10.7	5.6
North Carolina	30,172	10,695	17.6	48.3	4.9	0.1
North Dakota	2,702	1,981	12.4	63.3	2.6	0.1
Ohio	79,485	36,227	17.7	43.7	2.6	0.4
Oklahoma	10,300	4,029	19.1	47.0	5.2	0.8
Oregon	18,524	9,924	14.3	51.9	-	-
Pennsylvania	94,696	65,569	30.3	27.6	9.8	12.2
Puerto Rico	14,325	10,433	24.0	32.2	9.2	-
Rhode Island	9,689	6,872	34.8	27.9	9.8	13.0
South Carolina	15,678	7,296	10.8	65.6	0.3	-
South Dakota	2,823	994	5.7	83.8	0.8	0.2
Tennessee	68,129	50,141	10.8	62.2	0.4	-
Texas	72,255	25,736	16.5	53.4	5.5	-
Utah	7,463	4,499	17.9	45.5	2.3	1.5
Vermont	4,429	3,328	21.8	36.0	8.3	0.1
Virgin Islands	434	304	25.2	33.0	10.1	1.8
Virginia	9,148	9,119	16.0	48.4	2.3	-
Washington	54,168	33,295	29.7	33.2	7.8	8.6
West Virginia	10,865	5,502	22.2	35.9	8.3	-
Wisconsin	17,951	6,466	25.2	34.5	7.3	2.6
Wyoming	291	52	0.6	100.0	-	-

[1] Caseload estimated from the TANF Data Report-Section One, disaggregated data.

Chapter 2 Figure A & B Source Information

Data Sources Used to Create Figures A and B

Cash Assistance	2:4:b 2:5 2:6
Work Activities	2:4:c 2:5:1:a 2:6:1:a
Transportation & Work Supports	2:4:b 2:5 2:6 2:4:c 2:5:1:a 2:6:1:a
Direct Child Care	2:4:b 2:5 2:6 2:4:c 2:5:1:a 2:6:1:a
Admin & Systems	2:4:e 2:5:1:b 2:6:1:b
Other	
Individual Development Accounts	
Refundable Earned Income Tax Credits	
Non-Recurrent Short Term Benefits	
Non-Assistance Under Prior Law	2:4:c 2:5:1:a 2:6:1:a
Pregnancy Prevention & Two-Parent Family Formation	
Other Benefits & Services	2:4:e 2:5:1:b 2:6:1:b

Table 2:1

TANF Financial Data
Combined Spending of Federal and State Funds Expended in FY 2004 Through the Fourth Quarter

Corresponds with the web version of Table F of the TANF Financial Report

STATE	Line 5 TOTAL EXPENDITURES ON ASSISTANCE (Sum of line 5a,5b,5c & 5d)	ASSISTANCE AS A PERCENTAGE OF TOTAL EXPENDITURES	Line 5a BASIC ASSISTANCE	Line 5b CHILD CARE	Line 5c TRANSPORTATION AND SUPPORTIVE SERVICES	Line 5d ASSISTANCE UNDER PRIOR LAW	Line 6 TOTAL EXPENDITURES ON NON-ASSISTANCE	NON-ASSISTANCE AS A PERCENTAGE OF TOTAL EXPENDITURES	Line 7 TOTAL EXPENDITURES (Assistance and Non)
ALABAMA	48,855,529	43%	44,854,961	55,931	3,944,637	0	65,339,447	57%	114,194,976
ALASKA	51,095,994	67%	43,349,868	7,152,536	593,590	0	25,140,605	33%	76,236,599
ARIZONA	182,513,924	60%	182,511,772	0	2,152	0	122,541,080	40%	305,055,004
ARKANSAS	16,843,026	41%	16,843,026	0	0	0	24,678,081	59%	41,521,107
CALIFORNIA 2/	3,923,229,717	64%	3,285,658,612	166,379,263	111,417,224	359,774,618	2,254,710,793	36%	6,177,940,510
COLORADO	67,918,176	32%	65,377,600	0	2,540,576	0	143,914,306	68%	211,832,482
CONNECTICUT	142,696,902	33%	126,194,003	1,301,425	12,963,710	2,237,764	292,179,976	67%	434,876,878
DELAWARE	32,810,127	61%	20,422,256	4,439,247	7,948,624	0	20,710,574	39%	53,520,701
DIST.OF COLUMBIA	69,662,385	41%	69,662,385	0	0	0	98,971,395	59%	168,633,780
FLORIDA	267,623,193	31%	239,455,744	15,598,344	1,264,105	11,305,000	609,442,542	69%	877,065,735
GEORGIA	196,831,693	37%	162,422,024	22,182,651	12,227,018	0	338,511,432	63%	535,343,125
HAWAII	87,270,088	68%	87,270,088	0	0	0	40,331,207	32%	127,601,295
IDAHO	7,265,149	18%	7,231,018	0	34,131	0	34,204,529	82%	41,469,678
ILLINOIS	114,043,074	12%	110,438,560	0	3,604,514	0	867,111,696	88%	981,154,770
INDIANA 2/	126,240,168	40%	121,920,690	0	4,319,478	0	187,746,858	60%	313,987,026
IOWA 2/	82,112,456	50%	79,837,153	0	2,275,303	0	81,109,844	50%	163,222,300
KANSAS	100,520,414	64%	60,973,716	6,673,024	10,549,235	22,324,439	55,542,926	36%	156,063,340
KENTUCKY	124,413,106	63%	106,055,259	13,681,340	4,676,507	0	72,016,483	37%	196,429,589
LOUISIANA	71,406,799	28%	64,720,343	5,219,488	1,466,968	0	180,671,017	72%	252,077,816
MAINE	85,979,858	84%	76,626,351	5,318,903	4,034,604	0	16,429,594	16%	102,409,452
MARYLAND	109,819,074	31%	109,819,074	0	0	0	239,749,804	69%	349,568,878
MASSACHUSETTS	360,645,819	53%	340,743,596	19,678,546	223,677	0	320,568,176	47%	681,213,995
MICHIGAN	381,972,785	30%	400,785,091	32,815,726	0	(51,628,032)	899,102,442	70%	1,281,075,227
MINNESOTA	167,469,728	42%	167,469,728	0	0	0	234,316,175	58%	401,785,903
MISSISSIPPI	(6,854,309)	-7%	32,101,605	4,411,689	(43,367,603)	0	109,587,334	107%	102,733,025
MISSOURI	137,836,944	46%	137,836,944	0	0	0	162,470,570	54%	300,307,514
MONTANA	24,698,315	55%	20,956,903	1,870,990	0	1,870,422	20,189,951	45%	44,888,266
NEBRASKA	64,387,452	72%	64,387,452	0	0	0	24,557,220	28%	88,944,672
NEVADA	38,591,041	56%	32,377,190	1,393,524	1,544,666	3,275,661	29,855,532	44%	68,446,573
NEW HAMPSHIRE 2/	34,390,222	57%	34,390,222	0	0	0	25,663,897	43%	60,054,119
NEW JERSEY	313,552,740	35%	267,422,432	37,282,890	12,477,060	(3,629,642)	575,340,299	65%	888,893,039
NEW MEXICO	73,802,967	56%	73,299,739	0	503,228	0	58,764,275	44%	132,567,242
NEW YORK	2,063,258,938	49%	1,585,867,556	101,983,998	0	375,407,384	2,132,640,162	51%	4,195,899,100
NORTH CAROLINA	121,580,699	28%	119,077,259	0	0	2,503,440	316,235,338	72%	437,816,037
NORTH DAKOTA	21,813,126	63%	12,445,395	767,821	805,980	7,793,930	12,660,149	37%	34,473,275
OHIO	325,465,762	39%	320,454,314	0	5,011,448	0	508,489,580	61%	833,955,342
OKLAHOMA	154,891,444	80%	42,515,638	70,342,691	27,248,815	14,784,300	39,705,694	20%	194,597,138
OREGON	127,521,767	52%	84,942,710	23,427,299	11,873,203	7,278,555	115,471,248	48%	242,993,015
PENNSYLVANIA	411,509,307	35%	385,049,100	0	26,460,207	0	780,445,868	65%	1,191,955,175
RHODE ISLAND	84,492,958	54%	78,610,574	5,494,832	387,552	0	70,706,768	46%	155,199,726
SOUTH CAROLINA	17,529,933	46%	17,863,235	0	(333,302)	0	20,844,776	54%	38,374,709
SOUTH DAKOTA	21,560,766	74%	11,252,265	802,914	0	9,505,587	7,420,386	26%	28,981,152
TENNESSEE	137,670,255	58%	119,673,358	13,779,327	4,217,570	0	98,560,511	42%	236,230,766
TEXAS	267,695,190	35%	213,053,902	6,891	291,121	54,343,276	500,230,593	65%	767,925,783
UTAH	53,450,975	47%	45,457,387	5,013,825	2,979,763	0	60,191,748	53%	113,642,723
VERMONT	42,981,495	63%	35,340,553	0	7,640,942	0	25,542,299	37%	68,523,794
VIRGINIA	111,839,526	40%	111,839,526	0	0	0	166,692,716	60%	278,532,242
WASHINGTON	321,510,334	55%	321,510,334	0	0	0	267,070,408	45%	588,580,742
WEST VIRGINIA	87,143,067	58%	69,012,579	4,931,697	13,198,791	0	62,712,092	42%	149,855,159
WISCONSIN	135,724,260	28%	135,716,584	0	7,676	0	357,010,195	72%	492,734,455
WYOMING	18,054,616	45%	5,280,357	1,553,707	11,220,552	0	21,790,279	55%	39,844,895
Total	**12,025,338,974**	-	**10,368,378,031**	**573,560,519**	**266,253,722**	**817,146,702**	**13,795,890,870**	-	**25,821,229,844**
Percentages 1/	**47%**	-	**40%**	**2%**	**1%**	**3%**	**53%**	-	**100%**

1/ Percentages show what proportion the total in each column of the Line 7, Total Expenditures.
2/ Negative expenditures represent an accounting adjustment to prior year expenditures.
Source: TANF Financial Report

Table 2:2

TANF Financial Data
Total Federal Awards, Transfers, and Expenditures all FY's Through the Fourth Quarter of FY 2004

Corresponds with the web version of Table G of the TANF Financial Report

STATE	TOTAL AWARDED	TRANSFERRED TO CCDF	TRANSFERRED TO SSBG	AVAILABLE FOR TANF	TOTAL EXPENDITURES	UNLIQUIDATED OBLIGATION	UNOBLIGATED BALANCE
ALABAMA	888,409,044	165,055,552	88,721,206	634,632,286	602,230,679	9,660,522	22,741,085
ALASKA	465,106,305	102,914,875	32,107,650	330,083,780	309,147,675	9,330,317	11,605,788
ARIZONA	1,857,068,961	103,614,508	174,428,272	1,579,026,181	1,553,933,197	25,092,984	0
ARKANSAS	462,368,666	33,202,199	11,411,306	417,755,161	331,731,011	64,066	85,960,084
CALIFORNIA	29,367,450,000	2,430,780,000	442,195,409	26,494,474,591	26,245,370,293	249,104,298	0
COLORADO	1,107,588,342	179,554,449	97,375,854	830,658,039	764,458,269	66,199,770	0
CONNECTICUT	2,164,346,832	0	184,988,533	1,979,358,299	1,979,358,299	0	0
DELAWARE	249,813,674	9,580,986	13,604,765	226,627,923	221,497,933	1,200,000	3,929,990
DIST.OF COLUMBIA	854,598,204	122,131,780	34,235,817	698,230,607	649,803,238	1,546,393	46,880,976
FLORIDA	4,905,088,894	782,726,619	446,192,160	3,676,170,115	3,576,915,787	99,254,328	0
GEORGIA	2,784,375,472	204,341,125	181,055,666	2,398,978,681	2,220,255,458	17,901,369	160,821,854
HAWAII	730,980,197	52,843,506	28,888,374	649,248,317	524,832,843	10,902,289	113,513,185
IDAHO	253,120,415	46,270,462	18,515,803	188,334,150	178,520,240	9,813,910	0
ILLINOIS	4,344,389,667	272,424,692	338,215,770	3,733,749,205	3,733,749,205	0	0
INDIANA	1,703,861,892	292,186,311	74,257,102	1,337,418,479	1,293,600,445	43,818,034	0
IOWA	1,041,966,983	152,698,347	83,477,061	805,791,575	779,626,086	5,729,628	20,435,861
KANSAS	824,834,107	88,760,309	69,629,670	666,444,128	661,262,282	0	5,181,846
KENTUCKY	1,464,850,952	281,699,279	64,740,244	1,118,411,429	1,052,242,988	3,900,000	62,268,441
LOUISIANA	1,388,565,859	312,023,702	49,081,917	1,027,460,240	1,010,615,909	16,844,331	0
MAINE	626,165,713	60,565,314	40,016,504	525,583,895	498,003,871	0	27,580,024
MARYLAND	1,840,482,076	182,526,990	160,368,621	1,497,586,465	1,423,987,158	7,100,097	66,499,210
MASSACHUSETTS	3,714,984,729	751,283,971	349,470,758	2,614,230,000	2,607,675,832	1,468,610	5,085,558
MICHIGAN	6,322,618,766	296,476,830	401,693,620	5,624,448,316	5,513,001,818	0	111,446,498
MINNESOTA	2,016,508,966	164,302,229	126,227,000	1,725,979,737	1,656,367,655	0	69,612,082
MISSISSIPPI	749,331,468	103,321,311	64,613,002	581,397,155	573,098,418	6,494,641	1,804,096
MISSOURI	1,756,560,646	147,700,226	139,455,743	1,469,404,677	1,438,721,478	30,683,204	(3)
MONTANA	356,418,698	52,366,625	22,232,893	281,819,180	260,053,012	1,023,000	20,743,168
NEBRASKA	463,951,228	45,000,000	4,400,000	414,551,228	407,058,554	7,492,674	0
NEVADA	372,120,274	0	6,996,646	365,123,628	348,653,108	1,165,874	15,304,646
NEW HAMPSHIRE	336,990,435	1,342,613	2,931,389	332,716,433	285,158,556	0	47,557,877
NEW JERSEY	3,154,659,376	364,532,948	262,751,713	2,527,374,715	2,347,189,850	86,036,469	94,148,396
NEW MEXICO	950,367,022	169,991,688	6,000,000	774,375,334	743,795,404	16,662,278	13,917,652
NEW YORK	19,292,120,097	1,978,838,564	1,731,400,000	15,581,881,533	15,148,304,602	193,834,441	239,742,490
NORTH CAROLINA	2,560,324,191	464,616,615	55,501,428	2,040,206,148	1,962,480,480	62,613,688	0
NORTH DAKOTA	199,718,243	500,000	0	199,218,243	186,785,206	0	12,433,037
OHIO	5,873,247,214	359,701,413	587,324,568	4,926,221,233	4,105,230,699	484,772,494	336,218,040
OKLAHOMA	1,198,431,507	239,080,253	119,540,124	839,811,130	747,282,388	74,416,497	18,112,245
OREGON	1,343,705,258	0	0	1,343,705,258	1,297,606,591	46,098,667	0
PENNSYLVANIA	5,544,189,218	541,479,000	196,419,526	4,806,290,692	4,600,194,382	63,973,667	142,122,643
RHODE ISLAND	721,892,710	40,429,593	6,866,097	674,597,020	674,597,020	0	0
SOUTH CAROLINA	800,184,619	14,129,879	64,630,801	721,423,939	619,912,839	0	1,543,276
SOUTH DAKOTA	170,728,826	13,919,291	17,072,882	139,736,653	116,857,027	658,988	22,220,638
TENNESSEE	1,686,897,934	356,428,203	15,615,430	1,314,854,301	1,294,154,324	4,738,166	15,961,811
TEXAS	4,257,756,579	164,291,412	200,107,512	3,893,357,655	3,713,833,521	176,785,026	2,739,108
UTAH	674,724,768	3,740,480	37,217,956	633,766,332	616,246,677	0	17,519,655
VERMONT	384,302,398	58,000,281	36,975,027	289,327,090	289,327,090	0	0
VIRGINIA	1,257,267,138	154,468,688	118,315,502	984,482,948	970,494,430	13,988,518	0
WASHINGTON	3,113,191,129	646,945,368	89,703,225	2,376,542,536	2,373,066,302	0	3,476,234
WEST VIRGINIA	874,489,833	15,353,655	51,022,849	808,113,329	804,289,855	0	3,823,474
WISCONSIN	2,586,555,388	433,591,106	176,743,878	1,976,220,404	1,953,615,764	122,189	22,482,452
WYOMING	179,998,759	15,471,356	17,871,899	146,655,504	92,537,255	13,001,886	41,116,363
Total	**132,239,669,672**	**13,473,204,603**	**7,542,609,172**	**111,223,855,897**	**107,358,733,003**	**1,863,493,313**	**1,886,549,780**
Percentages	**100.0%**	**10.2%**	**5.7%**	**84.1%**	**81.2%**	**1.4%**	**1.4%**

Source: TANF Financial Report

Table 2:3 (FY 2004)

TANF Financial Data
Overview of Federal Funds Available and Spent in Fiscal Year 2004 by Grant Year

FY YEAR	Line 1 TOTAL FEDERAL FUNDS AVAILABLE 1/	Line 2 TRANSFERRED TO CCDF	Line 3 TRANSFERRED TO SSBG	Line 4 NET AVAILABLE FOR TANF	Line 7 TOTAL EXPENDITURES	Line 9 UNLIQUIDATED OBLIGATIONS	Line 10 UNOBLIGATED BALANCE
1997	103,698,583	0	0	103,698,583	54,592,113	409,796	48,696,674
1998	85,998,835	0	0	85,998,835	45,941,759	13,426,104	26,630,972
1999	247,460,316	1	0	247,460,315	224,609,595	556,648	22,294,074
2000	37,649,858	(355,006)	2,550,135	35,454,729	30,233,482	0	5,221,247
2001	172,166,625	4,430,194	2,719,067	165,017,364	85,451,929	0	79,565,435
2002 2/	855,623,856	3,463,523	(5,715,242)	857,875,575	483,452,044	0	274,455,707
2003	2,384,082,947	(57,264,754)	(27,638,279)	2,468,985,980	1,781,353,729	99,855,608	587,776,643
2004	16,976,520,011	1,905,344,520	793,099,989	14,278,075,502	11,686,921,317	1,749,245,157	841,909,028
Total	**20,863,201,031**	**1,855,618,478**	**765,015,670**	**18,242,566,883**	**14,392,555,968**	**1,863,493,313**	**1,886,549,780**
Percentages	**100%**	**9%**	**4%**	**87%**	**69%**	**9%**	**9%**

1/ The amount reported under this column is the federal grant funds available to the State from that FY grant, for spending in FY 2004.
2/ Negative expenditures represent an accounting adjustment to prior year expenditures.
Source: TANF Financial Report

Table 2:4:a (FY 2004)

TANF Financial Data
Combined Federal Funds Spent in FY 2004
Spending from Federal TANF Grant in FY 2004 Through the Fourth Quarter

Corresponds with the web version of Table A of the TANF Financial Report

Data reported by States in Column A on TANF Financial Report (Form ACF-196) Line Items

STATE	Line 1 TOTAL FEDERAL FUNDS 1/	Line 2 TRANSFERRED TO CCDF	% OF TOTAL TRANSFERRED TO CCDF	Line 3 TRANSFERRED TO SSBG	% OF TOTAL TRANSFERRED TO SSBG	Line 4 AVAILABLE FOR TANF	Line 5 EXPENDITURES ON ASSISTANCE	Line 6 EXPENDITURES ON NON ASSISTANCE	Line 7 TOTAL EXPENDITURES (Assistance and Non)	Line 9 UNLIQUIDATED OBLIGATIONS	Line 10 UNOBLIGATED BALANCE
ALABAMA	137,940,165	19,913,961	14%	10,643,739	8%	107,382,465	42,693,030	32,287,828	74,980,858	9,660,522	22,741,085
ALASKA	73,714,025	15,380,000	21%	3,500,000	5%	54,834,025	14,935,292	18,962,628	33,897,920	9,330,317	11,605,788
ARIZONA	258,904,261	0	0%	22,613,054	9%	236,291,207	120,230,299	90,967,924	211,198,223	25,092,984	0
ARKANSAS	122,617,122	16,202,199	13%	2,674,150	2%	103,740,773	8,172,841	9,543,782	17,716,623	64,066	85,960,084
CALIFORNIA	3,921,792,007	280,496,000	7%	86,987,889	2%	3,554,308,118	1,806,794,896	1,498,408,924	3,305,203,820	249,104,298	0
COLORADO	230,867,168	28,089,730	12%	14,962,638	6%	187,814,800	51,148,601	70,466,429	121,615,030	66,199,770	0
CONNECTICUT	266,788,107	0	0%	26,678,810	10%	240,109,297	12,251,276	227,858,021	240,109,297	0	0
DELAWARE	37,924,573	3,064,166	8%	3,420,400	9%	31,440,007	23,263,023	3,046,994	26,310,017	1,200,000	3,929,990
DIST.OF COLUMBIA	162,532,314	18,521,964	11%	3,906,104	2%	140,104,246	28,858,225	62,818,652	91,676,877	1,546,393	46,880,976
FLORIDA	792,611,244	122,549,160	15%	62,274,580	8%	607,787,504	64,318,369	444,214,807	508,533,176	99,254,328	0
GEORGIA	549,591,820	13,191,000	2%	(40,531)	0%	536,441,351	102,855,217	254,862,911	357,718,128	17,901,369	160,821,854
HAWAII	192,981,239	7,780,000	4%	9,798,374	5%	175,402,865	34,858,763	16,128,628	50,987,391	10,902,289	113,513,185
IDAHO	48,591,080	6,782,122	14%	3,550,751	7%	38,258,207	7,265,149	21,179,150	28,444,299	9,813,910	0
ILLINOIS	585,056,960	0	0%	33,990,383	6%	551,066,577	63,019,561	488,047,016	551,066,577	0	0
INDIANA	242,764,656	4,052,906	2%	2,000,000	1%	236,711,750	110,449,722	82,443,994	192,893,716	43,818,034	0
IOWA	166,882,006	27,552,754	17%	11,904,734	7%	127,424,518	42,140,792	59,118,237	101,259,029	5,729,628	20,435,861
KANSAS	122,971,400	21,459,991	17%	4,332,070	4%	97,179,339	67,639,882	24,357,611	91,997,493	0	5,181,846
KENTUCKY	236,930,591	46,324,247	20%	0	0%	190,606,344	56,415,268	68,022,635	124,437,903	3,900,000	62,268,441
LOUISIANA	251,915,561	22,121,018	9%	16,287,524	6%	213,507,019	59,753,941	136,908,747	196,662,688	16,844,331	0
MAINE	126,098,425	16,974,772	13%	16,684,176	13%	92,439,477	50,857,036	14,002,417	64,859,453	0	27,580,024
MARYLAND	288,395,968	19,283,424	7%	22,909,803	8%	246,202,741	78,706,057	93,897,377	172,603,434	7,100,097	66,499,210
MASSACHUSETTS	466,631,977	91,874,224	20%	45,937,113	10%	328,820,640	154,095,371	168,171,101	322,266,472	1,468,610	5,085,558
MICHIGAN	898,902,452	0	0%	26,931,583	3%	871,970,869	164,742,978	595,781,393	760,524,371	0	111,446,498
MINNESOTA	322,007,096	25,012,000	8%	4,790,000	1%	292,205,096	100,359,996	122,233,018	222,593,014	0	69,612,082
MISSISSIPPI	101,969,594	2,828,019	3%	9,838,241	10%	89,303,334	(9,862,310)	90,866,907	81,004,597	6,494,641	1,804,096
MISSOURI	249,609,501	25,042,439	10%	21,705,174	9%	202,861,888	73,298,275	98,880,412	172,178,687	30,683,204	(3)
MONTANA	54,958,178	1,000,000	2%	1,998,226	4%	51,959,952	20,376,487	9,817,297	30,193,784	1,023,000	20,743,168
NEBRASKA	76,808,107	9,000,000	12%	0	0%	67,808,107	42,257,211	18,058,222	60,315,433	7,492,674	0
NEVADA	58,383,505	0	0%	654,534	1%	57,728,971	16,668,035	24,590,416	41,258,451	1,165,874	15,304,646
NEW HAMPSHIRE	75,643,598	146,703	0%	0	0%	75,496,895	16,283,973	11,655,045	27,939,018	0	47,557,877
NEW JERSEY	664,606,113	37,004,272	6%	15,177,540	2%	612,424,301	204,536,982	227,702,454	432,239,436	86,036,469	94,148,396
NEW MEXICO	162,161,683	33,071,224	20%	2,000,000	1%	127,090,459	57,853,701	38,656,828	96,510,529	16,662,278	13,917,652
NEW YORK	2,929,079,593	408,000,000	14%	122,000,000	4%	2,399,079,593	865,613,058	1,099,889,604	1,965,502,662	193,834,441	239,742,490
NORTH CAROLINA	397,880,608	83,763,165	21%	6,443,497	2%	307,673,946	98,759,291	146,300,967	245,060,258	62,613,688	0
NORTH DAKOTA	37,836,952	0	0%	0	0%	37,836,952	12,743,766	12,660,149	25,403,915	0	12,433,037
OHIO	1,337,642,134	0	0%	75,608,192	6%	1,262,033,942	140,559,326	300,484,082	441,043,408	484,772,494	336,218,040
OKLAHOMA	270,327,365	29,518,846	11%	14,759,423	5%	226,049,096	101,590,643	31,929,711	133,520,354	74,416,497	18,112,245
OREGON	197,455,383	0	0%	0	0%	197,455,383	88,133,258	63,223,458	151,356,716	46,098,667	0
PENNSYLVANIA	1,156,824,885	165,899,000	14%	0	0%	990,925,885	181,821,819	603,007,756	784,829,575	63,973,667	142,122,643
RHODE ISLAND	97,114,042	13,087,316	13%	397,030	0%	83,629,696	58,325,955	25,303,741	83,629,696	0	0
SOUTH CAROLINA	103,759,069	(200,000)	0%	0	0%	103,959,069	2,156,087	291,882	2,447,969	0	1,543,276
SOUTH DAKOTA	45,523,470	0	0%	2,202,692	5%	43,320,778	15,454,826	4,986,326	20,441,152	658,988	22,220,638
TENNESSEE	222,665,128	54,064,923	24%	0	0%	168,600,205	115,887,010	32,013,218	147,900,228	4,738,166	15,961,811
TEXAS 2/	705,119,871	0	0%	0	0%	705,119,871	182,365,406	343,230,331	525,595,737	176,785,026	2,739,108
UTAH	107,858,457	0	0%	1,585,114	1%	106,273,343	48,668,959	40,084,729	88,753,688	0	17,519,655
VERMONT	49,192,191	9,224,074	19%	4,735,318	10%	35,232,799	26,242,509	8,990,290	35,232,799	0	0
VIRGINIA 2/	196,992,361	16,816,255	9%	15,828,517	8%	164,347,589	69,623,469	80,735,602	150,359,071	13,988,518	0
WASHINGTON	419,252,235	95,537,933	23%	10,659,333	3%	313,054,969	157,012,911	152,565,824	309,578,735	0	3,476,234
WEST VIRGINIA	126,647,131	0	0%	7,414,943	6%	119,232,188	58,137,626	57,271,088	115,408,714	0	3,823,474
WISCONSIN	426,354,196	65,198,737	15%	13,420,499	3%	347,734,960	98,609,736	226,520,583	325,130,319	122,189	22,482,452
WYOMING	86,123,464	(10,066)	0%	1,850,053	2%	84,283,477	12,470,995	17,694,233	30,165,228	13,001,886	41,116,363
TOTAL	**20,863,201,031**	**1,855,618,478**		**765,015,670**		**18,242,566,883**	**6,021,414,589**	**8,371,141,379**	**14,392,555,968**	**1,863,493,313**	**1,886,549,780**
Percentages 3/	**100%**	**9%**	-	**4%**	-	**87%**	**29%**	**40%**	**69%**	**9%**	**9%**

1/ The amount reported under this column include unexpended federal grant funds the States have carried over from prior fiscal years.

2/ Negative expenditures represent an accounting adjustment to prior year expenditures.

3/ TANF Transfer and Available for TANF percentages are based on total amount awarded in 2004 and available as carryover (Line 1).Expenditures percentages are based on total expenditures (Line 7). Unliquidated and Unobligated balances percentages are based on the amount available for TANF (Line 4).

Source: TANF Financial Report

Table 2:4:b (FY 2004)

TANF Financial Data
Combined Federal Funds Spent in FY 2004
Summary of Expenditures on Assistance in FY 2004 Through the Fourth Quarter

Corresponds with the web version of Table A of the TANF Financial Report

Data reported by States in Column A on TANF Financial Report (Form ACF-196) Line Items

STATE	Line 5 TOTAL EXPENDITURES ON ASSISTANCE	Line 5a BASIC ASSISTANCE	Line 5b CHILD CARE	Line 5c TRANSPORTATION AND SUPPORTIVE SERVICES	Line 5d ASSISTANCE UNDER PRIOR LAW	% OF TOTAL EXPENDITURES SPENT ON ASSISTANCE
ALABAMA	42,693,030	40,932,789	41,416	1,718,825	0	57%
ALASKA	14,935,292	10,200,969	4,152,536	581,787	0	44%
ARIZONA	120,230,299	120,228,147	0	2,152	0	57%
ARKANSAS	8,172,841	8,172,841	0	0	0	46%
CALIFORNIA	1,806,794,896	1,185,011,695	159,438,895	102,569,688	359,774,618	55%
COLORADO	51,148,601	49,406,076	0	1,742,525	0	42%
CONNECTICUT	12,251,276	8,110,481	0	1,903,031	2,237,764	5%
DELAWARE	23,263,023	17,509,939	0	5,753,084	0	88%
DIST.OF COLUMBIA	28,858,225	28,858,225	0	0	0	31%
FLORIDA	64,318,369	36,150,920	15,598,344	1,264,105	11,305,000	13%
GEORGIA	102,855,217	94,210,611	0	8,644,606	0	0.29
HAWAII	34,858,763	34,858,763	0	0	0	68%
IDAHO	7,265,149	7,231,018	0	34,131	0	26%
ILLINOIS	63,019,561	59,971,983	0	3,047,578	0	11%
INDIANA 1/	110,449,722	108,578,593	0	1,871,129	0	57%
IOWA	42,140,792	42,140,792	0	0	0	42%
KANSAS	67,639,882	34,766,208	0	10,549,235	22,324,439	74%
KENTUCKY	56,415,268	40,657,478	11,081,283	4,676,507	0	45%
LOUISIANA	59,753,941	58,996,738	0	757,203	0	30%
MAINE	50,857,036	45,757,488	4,610,779	488,769	0	78%
MARYLAND	78,706,057	78,706,057	0	0	0	46%
MASSACHUSETTS	154,095,371	147,061,550	6,947,595	86,226	0	48%
MICHIGAN	164,742,978	183,555,284	32,815,726	0	(51,628,032)	22%
MINNESOTA	100,359,996	100,359,996	0	0	0	45%
MISSISSIPPI	(9,862,310)	32,093,604	1,411,689	(43,367,603)	0	-12%
MISSOURI	73,298,275	73,298,275	0	0	0	43%
MONTANA	20,376,487	18,506,065	0	0	1,870,422	67%
NEBRASKA	42,257,211	42,257,211	0	0	0	70%
NEVADA	16,668,035	11,847,708	0	1,544,666	3,275,661	40%
NEW HAMPSHIRE 2/	16,283,973	16,283,973	0	0	0	58%
NEW JERSEY	204,536,982	189,597,583	10,153,060	8,415,981	(3,629,642)	47%
NEW MEXICO	57,853,701	57,384,514	0	469,187	0	60%
NEW YORK	865,613,058	490,205,674	0	0	375,407,384	44%
NORTH CAROLINA	98,759,291	96,255,851	0	0	2,503,440	40%
NORTH DAKOTA	12,743,766	4,393,071	(249,215)	805,980	7,793,930	50%
OHIO	140,559,326	140,557,840	0	1,486	0	32%
OKLAHOMA	101,590,643	29,647,631	39,306,778	17,851,934	14,784,300	76%
OREGON	88,133,258	62,706,363	9,838,906	8,309,434	7,278,555	58%
PENNSYLVANIA	181,821,819	168,676,264	0	13,145,555	0	23%
RHODE ISLAND	58,325,955	58,086,852	0	239,103	0	70%
SOUTH CAROLINA	2,156,087	3,488,455	0	(1,332,368)	0	88%
SOUTH DAKOTA	15,454,826	5,949,239	0	0	9,505,587	76%
TENNESSEE	115,887,010	114,026,971	468,241	1,391,798	0	78%
TEXAS	182,365,406	127,724,118	6,891	291,121	54,343,276	35%
UTAH	48,668,959	40,696,544	5,013,825	2,958,590	0	55%
VERMONT	26,242,509	22,005,117	0	4,237,392	0	74%
VIRGINIA	69,623,469	69,623,469	0	0	0	46%
WASHINGTON	157,012,911	157,012,911	0	0	0	51%
WEST VIRGINIA	58,137,626	42,978,530	1,960,305	13,198,791	0	50%
WISCONSIN	98,609,736	98,602,060	0	7,676	0	30%
WYOMING 1/	12,470,995	1,250,443	0	11,220,552	0	41%
TOTAL	**6,021,414,589**	**4,716,590,977**	**302,597,054**	**185,079,856**	**817,146,702**	
Percentage 2/	**100%**	**78%**	**5%**	**3%**	**14%**	
Percentage 3/	**42%**	**33%**	**2%**	**1%**	**6%**	

1/ Negative expenditures represent an accounting adjustment to prior year expenditures.

2/ The percentages shown are calculated as a proportion of total expenditures on assistance (Line 5).

3/ The percentages shown are calculated as a proportion of total TANF expenditures (Line 7).

Source: TANF Financial Report

Table 2:4:c (FY 2004)

TANF Financial Data
Combined Federal Funds Spent in FY 2004
Expenditures on Non-Assistance in FY 2004 Through the Fourth Quarter

Corresponds with the web version of Table A of the TANF Financial Report

Data reported by States in Column A on TANF Financial Report (Form ACF-196) Line Items

STATE	Line 6 TOTAL EXPENDITURES ON NON-ASSISTANCE	Line 6a WORK RELATED ACTIVITIES	Line 6b CHILD CARE	Line 6c TRANS-PORTATION	Line 6d IDA	Line 6e REFUNDABLE EITC	Line 6f OTHER REFUNDABLE TAX CREDIT	Line 6g NON-RECUR SHORT-TERM BENEFITS	Line 6l NON-ASSIST SOLELY UNDER PRIOR LAW	Total of Other Categories of Non-Assistance Lines 6h - 6m	% OF TOTAL EXPENDITURES SPENT ON NON-ASSISTANCE
ALABAMA	32,287,828	8,774,033	(10,590,149)	327,780	0	0	0	0	0	33,776,164	43%
ALASKA	18,962,628	8,965,876	6,250,000	116,000	0	0	0	231,217	0	3,399,535	56%
ARIZONA	90,967,924	13,210,921	483,639	688,301	0	0	0	0	27,060,800	49,524,263	43%
ARKANSAS	9,543,782	2,762,885	(588,796)	1,744,833	397,283	0	0	0	0	5,227,577	54%
CALIFORNIA	1,498,408,924	342,678,530	272,397,574	24,694,962	0	0	0	1,426,793	0	857,211,065	45%
COLORADO	70,466,429	727,070	1,044,009	2,631,833	0	0	0	3,644,948	1,275,979	61,142,590	58%
CONNECTICUT	227,858,021	17,614,013	0	4,560,502	0	0	0	20,328	14,159,193	191,503,985	95%
DELAWARE	3,046,994	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3,046,994	12%
DIST.OF COLUMBIA	62,818,652	12,177,035	22,767,404	0	0	0	0	0	0	27,874,213	69%
FLORIDA	444,214,807	86,801,145	89,425,109	6,307,945	0	0	0	934,794	0	260,745,814	87%
GEORGIA	254,862,911	86,001,168	0	0	0	0	0	(2,332)	40,918,231	127,945,844	71%
HAWAII	16,128,628	6,720,085	0	978,758	0	0	0	0	0	8,429,785	32%
IDAHO	21,179,150	6,535,266	(744)	0	0	0	0	1,807,559	0	12,837,069	74%
ILLINOIS	488,047,016	85,917,128	120,006,662	1,245,201	0	0	0	0	150,000,000	130,878,025	89%
INDIANA	82,443,994	2,649,909	0	588,683	0	0	0	0	0	79,205,402	43%
IOWA	59,118,237	13,464,695	503,902	786,006	0	0	0	246,714	0	44,116,920	58%
KANSAS	24,357,611	2,062,436	0	2,052,091	0	0	0	0	0	20,243,084	26%
KENTUCKY	68,022,635	25,012,511	7,527,863	1,380,554	0	0	0	0	0	34,101,707	55%
LOUISIANA	136,908,747	20,062,983	2,838,818	3,188,665	3,012,347	0	0	(62,523)	0	107,868,457	70%
MAINE	14,002,417	833,426	7,640,463	2,485,882	0	0	0	1,411,407	0	1,631,239	22%
MARYLAND	93,897,377	38,748,866	624,756	10,525,854	0	0	0	442,887	0	43,555,014	54%
MASSACHUSETTS	168,171,101	6,846,481	122,713,948	170,081	0	0	0	92,038	0	38,348,553	52%
MICHIGAN	595,781,393	45,276,476	143,476,222	1,535,121	711,321	0	0	12,941,536	121,596,825	270,243,892	78%
MINNESOTA	122,233,018	49,261,179	0	3,338,685	0	18,924,190	0	18,408,719	0	32,300,245	55%
MISSISSIPPI 1/	90,866,907	4,469,651	11,853,538	52,123,736	0	0	0	0	0	22,419,982	112%
MISSOURI	98,880,412	26,067,688	0	0	0	0	0	0	62,972,156	9,840,568	57%
MONTANA	9,817,297	1,293,115	134,590	1	60,127	0	0	328,515	1,784,684	6,216,265	33%
NEBRASKA	18,058,222	12,663,433	0	0	0	0	0	0	3,356,751	2,038,038	30%
NEVADA	24,590,416	2,250,989	0	484,713	0	0	0	55,094	1,215,027	20,584,593	60%
NEW HAMPSHIRE	11,655,045	3,244,083	0	713,693	76,679	0	0	0	272,500	7,348,090	42%
NEW JERSEY	227,702,454	46,887,808	0	1,419,815	378,893	86,000,000	0	(136,394)	28,190,386	64,961,946	53%
NEW MEXICO	38,656,828	11,624,320	0	1,547,271	0	0	0	0	0	25,485,237	40%
NEW YORK	1,099,889,604	188,623,748	0	11,132,374	0	0	0	33,008,153	69,213,713	797,911,616	56%
NORTH CAROLINA	146,300,967	8,839,458	29,054,677	1,409,464	0	0	0	2,961,827	75,380,193	28,655,348	60%
NORTH DAKOTA	12,660,149	2,712,145	978,228	887,724	0	0	0	0	1,182,851	6,899,201	50%
OHIO	300,484,082	30,318,076	126,413,361	1,140,196	0	0	0	13,080,258	0	129,532,191	68%
OKLAHOMA	31,929,711	0	0	0	5,349	0	0	900,415	0	31,023,947	24%
OREGON	63,223,458	17,230,284	325,061	1,254,613	0	0	0	0	0	44,413,500	42%
PENNSYLVANIA	603,007,756	138,039,192	26,343,306	13,128,115	0	0	0	3,153,847	320,335,192	102,008,104	77%
RHODE ISLAND	25,303,741	5,164,035	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	20,139,706	30%
SOUTH CAROLINA	291,882	(12,831,747)	0	(549,752)	0	0	0	0	0	13,673,381	12%
SOUTH DAKOTA	4,986,326	2,123,530	0	61,120	0	0	0	0	0	2,801,676	24%
TENNESSEE	32,013,218	8,996,411	26,583	1,445,144	0	0	0	0	0	21,545,080	22%
TEXAS 1/	343,230,331	73,971,408	48,316	3,518,261	1,977,968	0	0	14,366,259	38,120,044	211,228,075	65%
UTAH	40,084,729	19,721,549	1,212	349,696	0	0	0	394,729	0	19,617,543	45%
VERMONT	8,990,290	609,849	2,657,454	0	0	0	0	1,223,884	0	4,499,103	26%
VIRGINIA	80,735,602	38,971,572	691,556	5,260,490	11,111	0	0	9,787,059	0	26,013,814	54%
WASHINGTON	152,565,824	73,737,578	33,528,452	2,991,893	432,237	0	0	0	16,741,755	25,133,909	49%
WEST VIRGINIA	57,271,088	6,209,427	17,529,993	0	0	0	0	2,461,103	0	31,070,565	50%
WISCONSIN	226,520,583	18,858,414	88,079,798	1,226,095	(9,015)	57,892,001	0	4,531,440	0	55,941,850	70%
WYOMING	17,694,233	507,809	0	171	0	0	0	0	0	17,186,253	59%
Total	**8,371,141,379**	**1,613,407,942**	**1,124,186,805**	**168,892,570**	**7,054,300**	**162,816,191**	**0**	**127,660,274**	**973,776,280**	**4,193,347,017**	
Percentage 2/	**100%**	**19%**	**70%**	**2%**	**0%**	**2%**	**0%**	**2%**	**12%**	**50%**	
Percentage 3/	**58%**	**11%**	**8%**	**1%**	**0%**	**1%**	**0%**	**1%**	**7%**	**29%**	

1/ Negative expenditures represent an accounting adjustment to prior year expenditures.

2/ The percentages shown are calculated as a proportion of total expenditures on non-assistance (Line 6).

3/ The percentages shown are calculated as a proportion of total TANF expenditures (Line 7).

Source: TANF Financial Report

Table 2:4:d (FY 2004)

TANF Financial Data
Combined Federal Funds Spent in FY 2004
Expenditures on Non-Assistance: Detailed Breakdown of Lines 6A and 6C in FY 2004 Through the Fourth Quarter

Corresponds with the web version of Table A of the TANF Financial Report
Data reported by States in Column A on TANF Financial Report (Form ACF-196) Line Items

STATE	Line 6a TOTAL EXPENDITURES ON WORK RELATED ACTIVITIES	Line 6a1 WORK SUBSIDIES	Line 6a2 EDUCATION AND TRAINING	Line 6a3 OTHER WORK ACTIVITIES/ EXPENSES	TOTAL EXPENDITURES ON TRANSPORTATION	Line 6c1 JOB ACCESS	Line 6c2 OTHER
ALABAMA 1/	8,774,033	0	776,114	7,997,919	327,780	327,780	0
ALASKA	8,965,876	251,809	0	8,714,067	116,000	0	116,000
ARIZONA	13,210,921	7,290	0	13,203,631	688,301	0	688,301
ARKANSAS	2,762,885	(4,837)	(426,290)	3,194,012	1,744,833	0	1,744,833
CALIFORNIA	342,678,530	2,112,749	22,167,044	318,398,737	24,694,962	0	24,694,962
COLORADO	727,070	(273)	616,494	110,849	2,631,833	0	2,631,833
CONNECTICUT	17,614,013	0	15,811,015	1,802,998	4,560,502	4,560,502	0
DELAWARE	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
DIST.OF COLUMBIA	12,177,035	0	2,457,352	9,719,683	0	0	0
FLORIDA	86,801,145	256,767	8,129,907	78,414,471	6,307,945	152,419	6,155,526
GEORGIA 1/	86,001,168	0	5,162,159	80,839,009	0	0	0
HAWAII	6,720,085	0	73,485	6,646,600	978,758	0	978,758
IDAHO	6,535,266	0	0	6,535,266	0	0	0
ILLINOIS	85,917,128	0	66,777,687	19,139,441	1,245,201	0	1,245,201
INDIANA	2,649,909	0	143,896	2,506,013	588,683	0	588,683
IOWA 1/	13,464,695	0	0	13,464,695	786,006	0	786,006
KANSAS	2,062,436	0	1,944,996	117,440	2,052,091	0	2,052,091
KENTUCKY	25,012,511	787,474	5,548,916	18,676,121	1,380,554	(1,348,537)	2,729,091
LOUISIANA	20,062,983	0	18,345,508	1,717,475	3,188,665	816,255	2,372,410
MAINE 1/	833,426	1,282,138	0	(448,712)	2,485,882	16,501,781	(14,015,899)
MARYLAND	38,748,866	714,909	12,150,626	25,883,331	10,525,854	1,779,131	8,746,723
MASSACHUSETTS 1/	6,846,481	2,163,499	4,449,958	233,024	170,081	164,741	5,340
MICHIGAN	45,276,476	0	0	45,276,476	1,535,121	197,493	1,337,628
MINNESOTA	49,261,179	0	1,494,180	47,766,999	3,338,685	3,334,660	4,025
MISSISSIPPI 1/	4,469,651	257,750	(8,942)	4,220,843	52,123,736	0	52,123,736
MISSOURI	26,067,688	4,398	0	26,063,290	0	0	0
MONTANA 1/	1,293,115	0	1,345,728	(52,613)	1	0	1
NEBRASKA 1/	12,663,433	12,663,433	0	0	0	0	0
NEVADA	2,250,989	0	0	2,250,989	484,713	0	484,713
NEW HAMPSHIRE	3,244,083	0	454,682	2,789,401	713,693	149,101	564,592
NEW JERSEY	46,887,808	4,347	732,426	46,151,035	1,419,815	427,239	992,576
NEW MEXICO	11,624,320	866,191	0	10,758,129	1,547,271	1,547,271	0
NEW YORK	188,623,748	15,574,184	6,511,168	166,538,396	11,132,374	0	11,132,374
NORTH CAROLINA	8,839,458	574	441,213	8,397,671	1,409,464	530	1,408,934
NORTH DAKOTA	2,712,145	0	67,778	2,644,367	887,724	0	887,724
OHIO	30,318,076	6,719,418	1,104	23,597,554	1,140,196	0	1,140,196
OKLAHOMA	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
OREGON 1/	17,230,284	1,060,418	2,325,616	13,844,250	1,254,613	1,254,613	0
PENNSYLVANIA	138,039,192	5,476,765	4,914,977	127,647,450	13,128,115	8,533,409	4,594,706
RHODE ISLAND	5,164,035	40,064	0	5,123,971	0	0	0
SOUTH CAROLINA	(12,831,747)	0	(7,157,768)	(5,673,979)	(549,752)	0	(549,752)
SOUTH DAKOTA	2,123,530	0	0	2,123,530	61,120	0	61,120
TENNESSEE	8,996,411	0	1,976,709	7,019,702	1,445,144	624,624	820,520
TEXAS 1/	73,971,408	3,999,082	11,640,365	58,331,961	3,518,261	531,357	2,986,904
UTAH	19,721,549	112,636	1,675,201	17,933,712	349,696	0	349,696
VERMONT	609,849	0	0	609,849	0	0	0
VIRGINIA	38,971,572	2,249,136	2,331,150	34,391,286	5,260,490	2,880,423	2,380,067
WASHINGTON	73,737,578	11,696,122	13,025,125	49,016,331	2,991,893	0	2,991,893
WEST VIRGINIA	6,209,427	0	69,935	6,139,492	0	0	0
WISCONSIN	18,858,414	4,411	1,571,221	17,282,782	1,226,095	(520,834)	1,746,929
WYOMING	507,809	0	0	507,809	171	0	171
Total	**1,613,407,942**	**68,300,454**	**207,540,735**	**1,337,566,753**	**168,892,570**	**41,913,958**	**126,978,612**
Percentage 2/	**19%**	**1%**	**3%**	**16%**	**2%**	**0%**	**1%**
Percentage 3/	**12%**	**0%**	**2%**	**10%**	**1%**	**0%**	**1%**

1/ Negative expenditures represent an accounting adjustment to prior year expenditures.
2/ The percentages shown are calculated as a proportion of total TANF expenditures on nonassistance.
3/ The percentages shown are calculated as a proportion of total TANF expenditures (Line 7).
Source: TANF Financial Report

Table 2:4:e (FY 2004)

TANF Financial Data
Combined Federal Funds Spent in FY 2004
Breakdown of Other Expenditures on Non-Assistance in FY 2004 Through the Fourth Quarter

Corresponds with the web version of Table A of the TANF Financial Report

Data reported by States in Column A on TANF Financial Report (Form ACF-196) Line Items

STATE	Line 6 TOTAL EXPENDITURES ON NON-ASSISTANCE	TOTAL OF OTHER CATEGORIES OF NON ASSISTANCE (Lines 6h - 6m)	Line 6h PREGNANCY PREVENTION	Line 6i 2 PARENT FORMATION	Line 6j ADMIN	% OF TOTAL EXPENDITURES SPENT ON ADMIN	Line 6k SYSTEMS	Line 6m OTHER
ALABAMA	32,287,828	33,776,164	4,908,626	0	5,678,889	8%	343,129	22,845,520
ALASKA	18,962,628	3,399,535	278	0	2,873,330	8%	331,954	193,973
ARIZONA	90,967,924	49,524,263	0	388,345	19,569,628	9%	2,441,486	27,124,804
ARKANSAS	9,543,782	5,227,577	346,336	1,536,977	2,559,898	14%	654,634	129,732
CALIFORNIA	1,498,408,924	857,211,065	22,936,910	0	319,582,622	10%	98,460,038	416,231,495
COLORADO	70,466,429	61,142,590	135,113	48,860	3,720,964	3%	11,039,882	46,197,771
CONNECTICUT	227,858,021	191,503,985	53,493,625	16,547,068	23,735,074	10%	0	97,728,218
DELAWARE	3,046,994	3,046,994	0	0	2,170,011	8%	876,983	0
DIST.OF COLUMBIA	62,818,652	27,874,213	1,634,716	4,500,000	7,687,895	8%	4,386,534	9,665,068
FLORIDA 2/	444,214,807	260,745,814	5,824,014	379,141	39,405,844	8%	4,127,913	211,008,902
GEORGIA	254,862,911	127,945,844	16,717,997	8,573,107	17,440,421	5%	1,535,162	83,679,157
HAWAII	16,128,628	8,429,785	0	0	5,174,241	10%	3,255,544	0
IDAHO	21,179,150	12,837,069	400,691	(978,513)	4,148	0%	268,494	13,142,249
ILLINOIS	488,047,016	130,878,025	1,000,000	0	14,640,684	3%	1,008,340	114,229,001
INDIANA	82,443,994	79,205,402	1,653,358	0	14,769,536	8%	5,796,080	56,986,428
IOWA	59,118,237	44,116,920	3,429,751	90,450	3,657,256	4%	1,780,881	35,158,582
KANSAS	24,357,611	20,243,084	0	0	9,286,344	10%	858,071	10,098,669
KENTUCKY	68,022,635	34,101,707	0	0	10,997,374	9%	3,171,965	19,932,368
LOUISIANA 2/	136,908,747	107,868,457	26,356,706	54,096,254	20,610,888	10%	0	6,804,609
MAINE 2/	14,002,417	1,631,239	(13,687)	0	4,103,500	6%	(2,458,574)	0
MARYLAND	93,897,377	43,555,014	542,441	26,805,413	14,755,954	9%	1,451,206	0
MASSACHUSETTS	168,171,101	38,348,553	479,211	0	14,287,254	4%	0	23,582,088
MICHIGAN	595,781,393	270,243,892	49,840,648	28,899,549	76,728,731	10%	5,934,151	108,840,813
MINNESOTA	122,233,018	32,300,245	0	0	24,781,619	11%	900,473	6,618,153
MISSISSIPPI	90,866,907	22,419,982	3,176,108	3,253,945	5,070,858	6%	834,759	10,084,312
MISSOURI	98,880,412	9,840,568	0	0	6,163,541	4%	3,677,027	0
MONTANA 2/	9,817,297	6,216,265	0	0	5,409,748	18%	806,517	0
NEBRASKA	18,058,222	2,038,038	0	0	560,560	1%	350,186	1,127,292
NEVADA	24,590,416	20,584,593	138,149	0	9,209,028	22%	4,428,785	6,808,631
NEW HAMPSHIRE	11,655,045	7,348,090	1,396,378	0	2,454,197	9%	2,129,714	1,367,801
NEW JERSEY	227,702,454	64,961,946	21,234,558	1,317,836	34,448,472	8%	5,622,173	2,338,907
NEW MEXICO	38,656,828	25,485,237	1,938,272	0	1,604,993	2%	130,571	21,811,401
NEW YORK	1,099,889,604	797,911,616	237,326,585	19,784,354	197,293,936	10%	22,250,759	321,255,982
NORTH CAROLINA	146,300,967	28,655,348	100,880	93,013	17,256,728	7%	0	11,204,727
NORTH DAKOTA	12,660,149	6,899,201	0	2,446,904	2,990,861	12%	773,163	688,273
OHIO	300,484,082	129,532,191	21,640	8,659	23,830,246	5%	6,621,321	99,050,325
OKLAHOMA	31,929,711	31,023,947	926,022	1,550,789	4,789,457	4%	1,399,231	22,358,448
OREGON	63,223,458	44,413,500	0	0	15,518,359	10%	1,665,022	27,230,119
PENNSYLVANIA	603,007,756	102,008,104	37,126,640	6,920,193	44,311,574	6%	9,644,024	4,005,673
RHODE ISLAND	25,303,741	20,139,706	0	0	7,830,471	9%	1,805,728	10,503,507
SOUTH CAROLINA	291,882	13,673,381	(562,821)	0	(1,013,822)	-41%	342,827	14,907,197
SOUTH DAKOTA	4,986,326	2,801,676	517,322	0	1,372,021	7%	0	912,333
TENNESSEE	32,013,218	21,545,080	0	0	12,600,504	9%	2,801,902	6,142,674
TEXAS	343,230,331	211,228,075	97,152	9,393	82,272,938	16%	15,275,346	113,573,246
UTAH	40,084,729	19,617,543	406,372	83,616	12,124,208	14%	7,276,308	(272,961)
VERMONT	8,990,290	4,499,103	0	0	4,021,558	11%	477,545	0
VIRGINIA	80,735,602	26,013,814	1,539,112	0	11,049,259	7%	324,631	13,100,812
WASHINGTON	152,565,824	25,133,909	0	0	20,103,017	6%	5,030,892	0
WEST VIRGINIA 2/	57,271,088	31,070,565	(333,614)	6,378,212	12,237,429	11%	7,607,252	5,181,286
WISCONSIN	226,520,583	55,941,850	1,238,857	7,934,510	27,837,747	9%	9,362,611	9,568,125
WYOMING	17,694,233	17,186,253	0	0	307,257	1%	(5,380,935)	22,259,931
Total	**8,371,141,379**	**4,193,347,017**	**495,974,346**	**190,668,075**	**1,219,877,250**		**251,421,705**	**2,035,405,641**
Percentage 1/	**58%**	**29%**	**3%**	**1%**	**8%**		**2%**	**14%**

1/ The percentages shown are calculated as a proportion of total TANF expenditures (Line 7).

2/ Negative expenditures represent an accounting adjustment to prior year expenditures.

Source: TANF Financial Report

Table 2:5 (FY 2004)

TANF Financial Data
State Maintenance of Effort (MOE) Expenditures in the TANF Program in FY 2004
Expenditure of State Funds in FY 2004 Through the Fourth Quarter

Corresponds with the web version of Table B of the TANF Financial Report
Data reported by States in Column B on TANF Financial Report (Form ACF-196) Line Items

STATE	Line 5 TOTAL EXPENDITURES ON ASSISTANCE (Sum of line 5a, 5b & 5c)	Line 5a BASIC ASSISTANCE	Line 5b CHILD CARE	Line 5c TRANSPORTATION AND SUPPORTIVE SERVICES	Line 6 TOTAL EXPENDITURES ON NON-ASSISTANCE (See Tables B1 and B2)	Line 7 TOTAL EXPENDITURES (Assistance and Non)
ALABAMA	5,488,620	3,249,533	13,275	2,225,812	32,989,833	38,478,453
ALASKA	36,160,702	33,148,899	3,000,000	11,803	6,177,977	42,338,679
ARIZONA	62,283,625	62,283,625	0	0	21,540,220	83,823,845
ARKANSAS	8,670,185	8,670,185	0	0	15,134,299	23,804,484
CALIFORNIA 1/	1,799,135,009	1,792,257,074	3,301,664	3,576,271	666,589,617	2,465,724,626
COLORADO	16,769,575	15,971,524	0	798,051	73,447,877	90,217,452
CONNECTICUT	105,836,368	97,395,323	1,301,425	7,139,620	64,321,955	170,158,323
DELAWARE	8,643,793	2,009,006	4,439,247	2,195,540	17,663,580	26,307,373
DIST.OF COLUMBIA	39,110,000	39,110,000	0	0	34,865,564	73,975,564
FLORIDA	186,263,991	186,263,991	0	0	165,227,735	351,491,726
GEORGIA	92,449,779	66,684,716	22,182,651	3,582,412	83,648,521	176,098,300
HAWAII	25,732,661	25,732,661	0	0	10,159,973	35,892,634
IDAHO	0	0	0	0	13,025,379	13,025,379
ILLINOIS	48,259,711	47,786,454	0	473,257	378,620,903	426,880,614
INDIANA 1/	7,374,481	5,446,113	0	1,928,368	50,790,906	58,165,387
IOWA 1/	37,692,810	37,692,810	0	0	14,233,080	51,925,890
KANSAS	32,880,532	26,207,508	6,673,024	0	31,185,315	64,065,847
KENTUCKY	67,997,838	65,397,781	2,600,057	0	3,993,848	71,991,686
LOUISIANA	11,652,858	5,723,605	5,219,488	709,765	17,629,213	29,282,071
MAINE	21,596,877	20,013,408	0	1,583,469	(18,971)	21,577,906
MARYLAND	15,580,396	15,580,396	0	0	46,756,381	62,336,777
MASSACHUSETTS	200,460,362	187,591,960	12,730,951	137,451	152,397,075	352,857,437
MICHIGAN	217,229,807	217,229,807	0	0	199,745,282	416,975,089
MINNESOTA	41,630,495	41,630,495	0	0	111,293,444	152,923,939
MISSISSIPPI	3,008,001	8,001	3,000,000	0	18,720,427	21,728,428
MISSOURI	52,195,658	52,195,658	0	0	63,590,158	115,785,816
MONTANA 1/	4,321,828	2,450,838	1,870,990	0	10,372,654	14,694,482
NEBRASKA	16,184,202	16,184,202	0	0	6,498,998	22,683,200
NEVADA	19,092,837	17,699,313	1,393,524	0	5,265,116	24,357,953
NEW HAMPSHIRE	17,272,536	17,272,536	0	0	14,008,852	31,281,388
NEW JERSEY	96,901,710	66,749,106	26,374,178	3,778,426	347,637,645	444,539,355
NEW MEXICO	15,949,266	15,915,225	0	34,041	20,107,447	36,056,713
NEW YORK	802,207,499	700,223,501	101,983,998	0	301,582,554	1,103,790,053
NORTH CAROLINA	22,821,408	22,821,408	0	0	169,654,344	192,475,752
NORTH DAKOTA	9,069,360	8,052,324	1,017,036	0	0	9,069,360
OHIO	184,906,436	179,896,474	0	5,009,962	203,498,774	388,405,210
OKLAHOMA	53,300,801	12,868,007	31,035,913	9,396,881	7,775,983	61,076,784
OREGON	39,388,509	22,236,347	13,588,393	3,563,769	52,247,790	91,636,299
PENNSYLVANIA	229,687,488	216,372,836	0	13,314,652	177,438,112	407,125,600
RHODE ISLAND	14,172,941	8,529,660	5,494,832	148,449	45,090,892	59,263,833
SOUTH CAROLINA	15,373,846	14,374,780	0	999,066	20,552,894	35,926,740
SOUTH DAKOTA	6,105,940	5,303,026	802,914	0	2,434,060	8,540,000
TENNESSEE	18,654,143	2,604,790	13,311,086	2,738,267	65,023,750	83,677,893
TEXAS	73,027,142	73,027,142	0	0	153,014,436	226,041,578
UTAH	4,545,739	4,545,739	0	0	19,892,093	24,437,832
VERMONT	16,738,986	13,335,436	0	3,403,550	16,552,009	33,290,995
VIRGINIA	26,605,343	26,605,343	0	0	85,957,114	112,562,457
WASHINGTON	162,400,606	162,400,606	0	0	114,504,584	276,905,190
WEST VIRGINIA	29,005,441	26,034,049	2,971,392	0	5,441,004	34,446,445
WISCONSIN	34,505,098	34,505,098	0	0	129,194,359	163,699,457
WYOMING	5,571,496	4,017,789	1,553,707	0	4,096,046	9,667,542
Total	**5,061,914,735**	**4,729,306,108**	**265,859,745**	**66,748,882**	**4,271,571,101**	**9,333,485,836**
Percentages 2/	**54%**	**51%**	**3%**	**1%**	**46%**	**100%**

1/ Negative expenditures represent an accounting adjustment to prior year expenditures.
2/ Percentages show what proportion the State total in each column is the Total State TANF MOE Expenditures as reported in Line 7.
Source: TANF Financial Report

Table 2:5:1:a (FY 2004)

TANF Financial Data
State Maintenance of Effort (MOE) Expenditures in the TANF Program in FY 2004
Expenditures on Non-Assistance in FY 2004 Through the Fourth Quarter

Corresponds with the web version of Table B1 of the TANF Financial Report
Data reported by States in Column B on TANF Financial Report (Form ACF-196) Line Items

STATE	Line 6 TOTAL EXPENDITURES ON NON-ASSISTANCE (sum of 6a-6m)	Line 6a WORK RELATED ACTIVITIES (break down on table B2)	Line 6b CHILD CARE	Line 6c TRANSPORTATION (break down on table B2)	Line 6d IDA	Line 6e REFUNDABLE EITC	Line 6f OTHER REFUNDABLE TAX CREDIT
ALABAMA	32,989,833	8,177,990	6,193,501	49,051	0	0	0
ALASKA	6,177,977	3,009,806	0	0	0	0	0
ARIZONA	21,540,220	2,971,121	0	53	0	0	0
ARKANSAS	15,134,299	2,148,210	1,723,616	4,513,333	0	0	0
CALIFORNIA	666,589,617	30,068,131	373,470,907	8,216,496	0	0	0
COLORADO	73,447,877	134,418	94,341	531,013	0	398,391	6,047,104
CONNECTICUT	64,321,955	15,764,635	11,617,605	0	0	0	0
DELAWARE	17,663,580	0	16,913,553	0	0	0	0
DIST.OF COLUMBIA	34,865,564	9,380,719	20,223,787	0	0	0	0
FLORIDA	165,227,735	0	121,517,273	0	0	0	0
GEORGIA	83,648,521	21,311,428	0	421,001	0	0	0
HAWAII	10,159,973	3,912,445	0	652,506	0	0	0
IDAHO	13,025,379	1,283,947	1,175,820	328,996	0	0	0
ILLINOIS	378,620,903	15,094,230	254,911,375	64,033	0	13,189,074	0
INDIANA	50,790,906	1,993,952	15,356,947	573,837	0	0	0
IOWA	14,233,080	6,425,299	0	0	0	0	0
KANSAS	31,185,315	0	0	0	0	27,337,955	0
KENTUCKY	3,993,848	2,394,358	800,000	0	0	0	0
LOUISIANA	17,629,213	2,108,497	0	1,519,258	0	0	0
MAINE	(18,971)	2,331	0	0	0	0	0
MARYLAND	46,756,381	1,842,767	23,301,407	897,388	0	0	0
MASSACHUSETTS	152,397,075	3,735,557	34,402,247	97,415	0	61,733,264	0
MICHIGAN	199,745,282	2,827,776	164,825,327	289,772	0	0	0
MINNESOTA	111,293,444	7,507,369	70,312,055	0	0	12,964,145	0
MISSISSIPPI	18,720,427	2,888,942	4,035,115	11,216,389	0	0	0
MISSOURI	63,590,158	0	53,749,590	0	0	0	0
MONTANA	10,372,654	8,827,224	0	0	0	0	0
NEBRASKA 1/	6,498,998	0	6,498,998	0	0	0	0
NEVADA	5,265,116	0	1,186,898	0	0	0	0
NEW HAMPSHIRE	14,008,852	2,162,721	4,581,870	376,396	0	0	0
NEW JERSEY	347,637,645	19,692,801	0	471,429	0	0	0
NEW MEXICO	20,107,447	0	3,569,499	0	0	0	0
NEW YORK	301,582,554	49,474,888	0	255,877	0	0	0
NORTH CAROLINA	169,654,344	54,749,716	71,583,773	4,753,687	0	0	0
NORTH DAKOTA	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
OHIO	203,498,774	35,301,001	45,403,943	9,055,649	18,482	0	0
OKLAHOMA	7,775,983	0	0	0	2,825	0	0
OREGON 1/	52,247,790	10,684,464	184,750	536,938	0	0	3,137,872
PENNSYLVANIA	177,438,112	42,362,232	79,942,638	1,440,800	0	0	0
RHODE ISLAND	45,090,892	2,944,739	26,253,049	0	0	0	0
SOUTH CAROLINA	20,552,894	9,554,228	4,085,272	179,307	0	0	0
SOUTH DAKOTA	2,434,060	1,091,940	0	61,120	0	0	0
TENNESSEE	65,023,750	18,647,653	5,664,696	2,864,214	0	0	0
TEXAS	153,014,436	1,268,506	22,836,745	0	0	0	0
UTAH	19,892,093	11,089,172	4,476,136	0	0	0	0
VERMONT	16,552,009	275,437	4,343,045	0	0	7,461,406	0
VIRGINIA	85,957,114	45,347,621	21,328,766	2,337,311	95,267	0	0
WASHINGTON	114,504,584	28,867,191	38,707,605	384,828	75,818	0	0
WEST VIRGINIA	5,441,004	0	0	0	0	0	0
WISCONSIN	129,194,359	13,458,313	97,289,469	311,549	0	0	0
WYOMING 1/	4,096,046	36	0	0	0	0	0
Total	**4,271,571,101**	**500,783,811**	**1,612,561,618**	**52,399,646**	**192,392**	**123,084,235**	**9,184,976**
Percentages 2/	**46%**	**5%**	**17%**	**1%**	**0%**	**1%**	**0%**

1/ Negative expenditures represent an accounting adjustment to prior year expenditures.
2/ The percentages show what portion of the total State expenditures in TANF (Line 7, Table C) is represented by this category of expenditures.
Source: TANF Financial Report

Table 2:5:1:b (FY 2004)

TANF Financial Data
State Maintenance of Effort (MOE) Expenditures in the TANF Program in FY 2004
Expenditures on Non-Assistance in FY 2004 Through the Fourth Quarter

Corresponds with the web version of Table B1 of the TANF Financial Report
Data reported by States in Column B on TANF Financial Report (Form ACF-196) Line Items

STATE	Line 6 TOTAL EXPENDITURES ON NON-ASSISTANCE (sum of 6a - 6m)	Line 6g NON-RECURRENT SHORT TERM BENEFITS	Line 6h PREGNANCY PREVENTION	Line 6i 2 PARENT FORMATION	Line 6j ADMIN	Line6k SYSTEMS	Line 6m OTHER
ALABAMA	32,989,833	0	0	0	5,766,239	110,440	12,692,612
ALASKA	6,177,977	741,810	70	0	2,310,013	116,278	0
ARIZONA	21,540,220	0	0	0	9,233,439	690,637	8,644,970
ARKANSAS	15,134,299	0	0	0	2,065,593	723,165	3,960,382
CALIFORNIA	666,589,617	75,307	417,898	0	145,005,474	270,777	109,064,627
COLORADO	73,447,877	676,386	36,717	17	5,151,265	1,417,785	58,960,440
CONNECTICUT	64,321,955	0	0	0	3,723,982	884,536	32,331,197
DELAWARE	17,663,580	0	0	0	651,785	98,242	0
DIST.OF COLUMBIA	34,865,564	0	0	0	4,416,273	844,785	0
FLORIDA	165,227,735	788,883	0	0	23,532,030	1,096,942	18,292,607
GEORGIA	83,648,521	0	0	0	2,269,128	1,025,288	58,621,676
HAWAII	10,159,973	0	0	0	4,960,824	634,198	0
IDAHO	13,025,379	327,478	0	0	1,806,724	606,639	7,495,775
ILLINOIS	378,620,903	0	0	0	6,057,871	758,196	88,546,124
INDIANA	50,790,906	0	0	0	12,158,236	1,034,548	19,673,386
IOWA	14,233,080	0	0	0	6,857,148	950,633	0
KANSAS	31,185,315	0	0	0	0	0	3,847,360
KENTUCKY	3,993,848	0	0	0	743,796	55,694	0
LOUISIANA 1/	17,629,213	0	0	0	7,918,754	2,130,296	3,952,408
MAINE	(18,971)	(21,302)	0	0	0	0	0
MARYLAND	46,756,381	442,887	0	187,780	19,134,209	949,943	0
MASSACHUSETTS	152,397,075	35,968,575	0	0	16,460,017	0	0
MICHIGAN	199,745,282	10,088,396	0	0	4,819,329	804,754	16,089,928
MINNESOTA	111,293,444	102,233	0	0	19,641,355	766,287	0
MISSISSIPPI	18,720,427	0	0	0	366,979	213,002	0
MISSOURI	63,590,158	0	0	0	6,163,541	3,677,027	0
MONTANA	10,372,654	0	0	0	309,252	204,357	1,031,821
NEBRASKA	6,498,998	0	0	0	0	0	0
NEVADA	5,265,116	0	0	0	4,078,218	0	0
NEW HAMPSHIRE	14,008,852	0	15,008	0	2,319,884	1,873,976	2,678,997
NEW JERSEY 1/	347,637,645	0	310,171,102	0	14,684,329	1,783,962	834,022
NEW MEXICO	20,107,447	0	0	0	4,215,404	0	12,322,544
NEW YORK	301,582,554	33,756,588	0	0	173,002,974	5,971,554	39,120,673
NORTH CAROLINA	169,654,344	5,613,843	0	0	17,750,278	1,351,271	13,851,776
NORTH DAKOTA	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
OHIO	203,498,774	25,249,652	1,291,110	7,004,952	50,330,732	80,689	29,762,564
OKLAHOMA	7,775,983	473,996	487,465	816,326	5,258,952	736,419	0
OREGON	52,247,790	0	0	0	13,006,828	1,660,737	23,036,201
PENNSYLVANIA	177,438,112	3,931,983	0	23,739	44,319,154	5,417,566	0
RHODE ISLAND	45,090,892	0	0	0	3,394,860	777,767	11,720,477
SOUTH CAROLINA	20,552,894	0	1,045,000	0	3,442,657	1,924,300	322,130
SOUTH DAKOTA	2,434,060	0	0	0	1,281,000	0	0
TENNESSEE	65,023,750	0	0	0	13,049,509	2,695,217	22,102,461
TEXAS	153,014,436	0	0	0	7,404,702	746,344	120,758,139
UTAH	19,892,093	394,729	0	0	3,660,359	271,697	0
VERMONT	16,552,009	1,341,253	0	0	2,776,361	354,507	0
VIRGINIA	85,957,114	16,226	9,472	0	10,918,340	3,268,638	2,635,473
WASHINGTON	114,504,584	7,379,948	0	0	21,289,593	5,426,575	12,373,026
WEST VIRGINIA	5,441,004	0	0	0	5,166,968	274,036	0
WISCONSIN	129,194,359	2,012,851	0	8,452,695	7,518,806	0	150,676
WYOMING	4,096,046	0	0	0	407,281	116,237	3,572,492
Total	**4,271,571,101**	**129,361,722**	**313,473,842**	**16,485,509**	**720,800,445**	**54,795,941**	**738,446,964**
Percentages 2/	**46%**	**1%**	**3%**	**0%**	**8%**	**1%**	**8%**

1/ Negative expenditures represent an accounting adjustment to prior year expenditures.
2/ The percentages show what portion of the total State expenditures in TANF (Line 7, Table C) is represented by this category of expenditures.
Source: TANF Financial Report

Table 2:5:2 (FY 2004)

TANF Financial Data
State Maintenance of Effort (MOE) Expenditures in the TANF Program in FY 2004
Expenditures on Non-Assistance: Detailed Breakdown of Lines 6A and 6C in FY 2004 Through the Fourth Quarter

Corresponds with the web version of Table B2 of the TANF Financial Report
Data reported by States in Column B on TANF Financial Report (Form ACF-196) Line Items

STATE	Line 6a TOTAL EXPENDITURES ON WORK RELATED ACTIVITIES	Line 6a1 WORK SUBSIDIES	Line 6a2 EDUCATION AND TRAINING	Line 6a3 OTHER WORK ACTIVITIES/ EXPENSES	Line 6c TOTAL EXPENDITURES ON TRANSPORTATION	Line 6c1 JOB ACCESS	Line 6c2 OTHER
ALABAMA	8,177,990	0	0	0	49,051	0	49,051
ALASKA	3,009,806	0	0	0	0	0	0
ARIZONA	2,971,121	0	0	0	53	0	53
ARKANSAS	2,148,210	94,430	252,816	0	4,513,333	0	4,513,333
CALIFORNIA 1/	30,068,131	0	22,018,871	0	8,216,496	0	8,216,496
COLORADO	134,418	(341)	114,680	0	531,013	0	531,013
CONNECTICUT 1/	15,764,635	0	0	0	0	0	0
DELAWARE	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
DIST.OF COLUMBIA	9,380,719	0	0	0	0	0	0
FLORIDA	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
GEORGIA 1/	21,311,428	0	13,592,502	0	421,001	0	421,001
HAWAII	3,912,445	0	46,854	0	652,506	0	652,506
IDAHO	1,283,947	0	438,811	328,996	328,996	328,996	0
ILLINOIS	15,094,230	0	1,781,806	0	64,033	0	64,033
INDIANA	1,993,952	0	46,124	0	573,837	0	573,837
IOWA 1/	6,425,299	0	0	0	0	0	0
KANSAS	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
KENTUCKY	2,394,358	0	0	0	0	0	0
LOUISIANA	2,108,497	0	0	0	1,519,258	0	1,519,258
MAINE	2,331	0	0	0	0	0	0
MARYLAND	1,842,767	714,908	0	0	897,388	0	897,388
MASSACHUSETTS	3,735,557	1,778,576	1,931,292	45,390	97,415	45,390	52,025
MICHIGAN	2,827,776	0	0	0	289,772	0	289,772
MINNESOTA	7,507,369	0	0	0	0	0	0
MISSISSIPPI	2,888,942	0	286,122	0	11,216,389	0	11,216,389
MISSOURI	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
MONTANA	8,827,224	0	6,097,554	0	0	0	0
NEBRASKA 1/	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
NEVADA	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
NEW HAMPSHIRE	2,162,721	0	303,121	0	376,396	0	376,396
NEW JERSEY	19,692,801	0	406,117	0	471,429	0	471,429
NEW MEXICO	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
NEW YORK	49,474,888	0	342,940	0	255,877	0	255,877
NORTH CAROLINA	54,749,716	6,513	1,917,195	0	4,753,687	0	4,753,687
NORTH DAKOTA	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
OHIO	35,301,001	332,509	(1,087,568)	0	9,055,649	0	9,055,649
OKLAHOMA	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
OREGON 1/	10,684,464	562,794	4,500,113	536,938	536,938	536,938	0
PENNSYLVANIA	42,362,232	0	0	0	1,440,800	0	1,440,800
RHODE ISLAND	2,944,739	8,895	0	0	0	0	0
SOUTH CAROLINA	9,554,228	0	7,578,838	0	179,307	0	179,307
SOUTH DAKOTA	1,091,940	0	0	0	61,120	0	61,120
TENNESSEE	18,647,653	0	5,417,041	1,244,019	2,864,214	1,244,019	1,620,195
TEXAS	1,268,506	0	1,268,506	0	0	0	0
UTAH	11,089,172	111,500	463,065	0	0	0	0
VERMONT	275,437	0	0	0	0	0	0
VIRGINIA	45,347,621	6,452,298	47,994	108,895	2,337,311	108,895	2,228,416
WASHINGTON	28,867,191	0	6,548,758	0	384,828	0	384,828
WEST VIRGINIA	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
WISCONSIN 1/	13,458,313	8,318	329,142	0	311,549	0	311,549
WYOMING 1/	36	0	0	0	0	0	0
Total	**500,783,811**	**10,070,400**	**74,642,694**	**2,264,238**	**52,399,646**	**2,264,238**	**50,135,408**
Percentages 2/	**6%**	**0%**	**1%**	**0%**	**0%**	**0%**	**0%**

1/ Negative expenditures represent an accounting adjustment to prior year expenditures.
2/ The Percentage calculation are based on Total Expenditures (Line 7).
Source: TANF Financial Report

Table 2:6 (FY 2004)

TANF Financial Data
State Maintenance of Effort (MOE) Expenditures in Separate State Programs in FY 2004
Expenditure of State Funds in FY 2004 Through the Fourth Quarter

Corresponds with the web version of Table C of the TANF Financial Report

Data reported by States in Column C on TANF Financial Report (Form ACF-196) Line Items

STATE	Line 5 TOTAL EXPENDITURES ON ASSISTANCE (Sum of line 5a, 5b & 5c)	Line 5a BASIC ASSISTANCE	Line 5b CHILD CARE	Line 5c TRANSPORTATION AND SUPPORTIVE SERVICES	Line 6 TOTAL EXPENDITURES ON NON-ASSISTANCE (See Tables C1 and C2)	Line 7 TOTAL EXPENDITURES (Assistance and Non)
ALABAMA	673,879	672,639	1,240	0	61,786	735,665
ALASKA	0	0	0	0	0	0
ARIZONA	0	0	0	0	10,032,936	10,032,936
ARKANSAS	0	0	0	0	0	0
CALIFORNIA 1/	317,299,812	308,389,843	3,638,704	5,271,265	89,712,252	407,012,064
COLORADO	0	0	0	0	0	0
CONNECTICUT	24,609,258	20,688,199	0	3,921,059	0	24,609,258
DELAWARE	903,311	903,311	0	0	0	903,311
DIST.OF COLUMBIA	1,694,160	1,694,160	0	0	1,287,179	2,981,339
FLORIDA	17,040,833	17,040,833	0	0	0	17,040,833
GEORGIA	1,526,697	1,526,697	0	0	0	1,526,697
HAWAII	26,678,664	26,678,664	0	0	14,042,606	40,721,270
IDAHO	0	0	0	0	0	0
ILLINOIS	2,763,802	2,680,123	0	83,679	443,777	3,207,579
INDIANA	8,415,965	7,895,984	0	519,981	54,511,958	62,927,923
IOWA 1/	2,278,854	3,551	0	2,275,303	7,758,527	10,037,381
KANSAS	0	0	0	0	0	0
KENTUCKY	0	0	0	0	0	0
LOUISIANA	0	0	0	0	26,133,057	26,133,057
MAINE	13,525,945	10,855,455	708,124	1,962,366	2,446,148	15,972,093
MARYLAND 1/	15,532,621	15,532,621	0	0	99,096,046	114,628,667
MASSACHUSETTS	6,090,086	6,090,086	0	0	0	6,090,086
MICHIGAN	0	0	0	0	103,575,767	103,575,767
MINNESOTA 1/	25,479,237	25,479,237	0	0	789,713	26,268,950
MISSISSIPPI	0	0	0	0	0	0
MISSOURI	12,343,011	12,343,011	0	0	0	12,343,011
MONTANA	0	0	0	0	0	0
NEBRASKA	5,946,039	5,946,039	0	0	0	5,946,039
NEVADA	2,830,169	2,830,169	0	0	0	2,830,169
NEW HAMPSHIRE	833,713	833,713	0	0	0	833,713
NEW JERSEY 1/	12,114,048	11,075,743	755,652	282,653	200	12,114,248
NEW MEXICO	0	0	0	0	0	0
NEW YORK	395,438,381	395,438,381	0	0	731,168,004	1,126,606,385
NORTH CAROLINA	0	0	0	0	280,027	280,027
NORTH DAKOTA	0	0	0	0	0	0
OHIO	0	0	0	0	4,506,724	4,506,724
OKLAHOMA	0	0	0	0	0	0
OREGON	0	0	0	0	0	0
PENNSYLVANIA	0	0	0	0	0	0
RHODE ISLAND	11,994,062	11,994,062	0	0	312,135	12,306,197
SOUTH CAROLINA	0	0	0	0	0	0
SOUTH DAKOTA	0	0	0	0	0	0
TENNESSEE	3,129,102	3,041,597	0	87,505	1,523,543	4,652,645
TEXAS	12,302,642	12,302,642	0	0	3,985,826	16,288,468
UTAH	236,277	215,104	0	21,173	214,926	451,203
VERMONT	0	0	0	0	0	0
VIRGINIA	15,610,714	15,610,714	0	0	0	15,610,714
WASHINGTON	2,096,817	2,096,817	0	0	0	2,096,817
WEST VIRGINIA	0	0	0	0	0	0
WISCONSIN	2,609,426	2,609,426	0	0	1,295,253	3,904,679
WYOMING	12,125	12,125	0	0	0	12,125
Total	**942,009,650**	**922,480,946**	**5,103,720**	**14,424,984**	**1,153,178,390**	**2,095,188,040**
Percentages 2/	**45%**	**44%**	**0%**	**1%**	**55%**	**100%**

1/ Negative expenditures represent an accounting adjustment to prior year expenditures.

2/ Percentages show what proportion the State total in each column is the Total State TANF MOE Expenditures as reported in Line 7.

Source: TANF Financial Report

Table 2:6:1:a (FY 2004)

TANF Financial Data
State Maintenance of Effort (MOE) Expenditures in Separate State Programs in FY 2004
Expenditure of State Funds in FY 2004 Through the Fourth Quarter

Corresponds with the web version of Table C of the TANF Financial Report
Data reported by States in Column C on TANF Financial Report (Form ACF-196) Line Items

STATE	Line 6 TOTAL EXPENDITURES ON NON-ASSISTANCE (sum of 6a - 6m)	Line 6a WORK RELATED ACTIVITIES (break down on table C2)	Line 6b CHILD CARE	Line 6c TRANSPORTATION (break down on table B2)	Line 6d IDA	Line 6e REFUNDABLE EITC	Line 6f OTHER REFUNDABLE TAX CREDIT
ALABAMA	61,786	10,391	42	51,353	0	0	0
ALASKA	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
ARIZONA	10,032,936	0	10,032,936	0	0	0	0
ARKANSAS	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
CALIFORNIA 1/	89,712,252	26,586,299	9,147,096	2,528,577	0	0	0
COLORADO	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
CONNECTICUT	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
DELAWARE	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
DIST.OF COLUMBIA	1,287,179	1,287,179	0	0	0	0	0
FLORIDA	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
GEORGIA	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
HAWAII	14,042,606	0	12,894,822	0	0	0	0
IDAHO	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
ILLINOIS	443,777	19,704	0	6,727	0	0	0
INDIANA 1/	54,511,958	4,740,480	0	92,564	616,147	34,998,880	0
IOWA	7,758,527	225,339	6,306,877	1,226,311	0	0	0
KANSAS	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
KENTUCKY	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
LOUISIANA	26,133,057	0	0	0	0	0	0
MAINE	2,446,148	0	1,652,289	793,859	0	0	0
MARYLAND	99,096,046	5,375,123	0	0	0	76,334,482	0
MASSACHUSETTS	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
MICHIGAN	103,575,767	0	0	0	0	0	0
MINNESOTA 1/	789,713	0	0	0	0	0	0
MISSISSIPPI	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
MISSOURI	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
MONTANA	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
NEBRASKA	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
NEVADA	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
NEW HAMPSHIRE	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
NEW JERSEY 1/	200	200	0	0	0	0	0
NEW MEXICO	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
NEW YORK	731,168,004	11,294	0	83,701	0	521,446,379	192,255,548
NORTH CAROLINA	280,027	0	0	0	0	0	0
NORTH DAKOTA	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
OHIO	4,506,724	0	0	0	0	0	0
OKLAHOMA	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
OREGON	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
PENNSYLVANIA	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
RHODE ISLAND	312,135	0	0	0	0	0	0
SOUTH CAROLINA	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
SOUTH DAKOTA	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
TENNESSEE	1,523,543	1,108,656	0	69,866	0	0	0
TEXAS	3,985,826	0	0	0	0	0	0
UTAH	214,926	148,254	0	0	0	0	0
VERMONT	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
VIRGINIA	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
WASHINGTON	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
WEST VIRGINIA	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
WISCONSIN	1,295,253	80,735	0	0	0	0	0
WYOMING	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Total	**1,153,178,390**	**39,593,654**	**40,034,062**	**4,852,958**	**616,147**	**632,779,741**	**192,255,548**
Percentages 2/	**55%**	**2%**	**2%**	**0%**	**0%**	**30%**	**9%**

1/ Negative expenditures represent an accounting adjustment to prior year expenditures.
2/ The percentages show what portion of the total State expenditures in separate State programs (Line 7) is represented by this category of expenditures.
Source: TANF Financial Report

Table 2:6:1:b (FY 2004)

TANF Financial Data
State Maintenance of Effort (MOE) Expenditures in Separate State Programs in FY 2004
Expenditure of State Funds in FY 2004 Through the Fourth Quarter

Corresponds with the web version of Table C of the TANF Financial Report
Data reported by States in Column C on TANF Financial Report (Form ACF-196) Line Items

STATE	Line 6 TOTAL EXPENDITURES ON NON-ASSISTANCE (sum of 6a - 6m)	Line 6g NON-RECURRENT SHORT TERM BENEFITS	Line 6h PREGNANCY PREVENTION	Line 6i 2 PARENT FORMATION	Line 6j ADMIN	Line6k SYSTEMS	Line 6m OTHER
ALABAMA	61,786	0	0	0	0	0	0
ALASKA	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
ARIZONA	10,032,936	0	0	0	0	0	0
ARKANSAS	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
CALIFORNIA	89,712,252	0	20,837	153,772	31,933,738	863,433	18,478,500
COLORADO	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
CONNECTICUT	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
DELAWARE	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
DIST.OF COLUMBIA	1,287,179	0	0	0	0	0	0
FLORIDA	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
GEORGIA	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
HAWAII	14,042,606	0	0	0	969,152	178,632	0
IDAHO	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
ILLINOIS	443,777	0	0	0	372,215	45,131	0
INDIANA	54,511,958	0	0	0	253,541	0	13,810,346
IOWA	7,758,527	0	0	0	0	0	0
KANSAS	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
KENTUCKY	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
LOUISIANA	26,133,057	0	2,533,426	0	393,516	0	23,206,115
MAINE	2,446,148	0	0	0	0	0	0
MARYLAND	99,096,046	7,850,111	0	0	2,089,178	0	7,447,152
MASSACHUSETTS	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
MICHIGAN	103,575,767	0	98,407,178	5,168,589	0	0	0
MINNESOTA 1/	789,713	0	0	0	0	0	789,713
MISSISSIPPI	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
MISSOURI	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
MONTANA	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
NEBRASKA	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
NEVADA	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
NEW HAMPSHIRE	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
NEW JERSEY 1/	200	0	0	0	0	0	0
NEW MEXICO	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
NEW YORK	731,168,004	6,213,664	0	0	11,157,418	0	0
NORTH CAROLINA	280,027	0	0	0	0	0	280,027
NORTH DAKOTA	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
OHIO	4,506,724	0	0	0	0	0	4,506,724
OKLAHOMA	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
OREGON	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
PENNSYLVANIA	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
RHODE ISLAND	312,135	0	0	0	0	0	312,135
SOUTH CAROLINA	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
SOUTH DAKOTA	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
TENNESSEE	1,523,543	0	0	0	200,071	0	144,950
TEXAS	3,985,826	0	0	0	3,985,826	0	0
UTAH	214,926	3,676	0	0	62,996	0	0
VERMONT	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
VIRGINIA	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
WASHINGTON	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
WEST VIRGINIA	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
WISCONSIN	1,295,253	0	0	0	232,595	0	981,923
WYOMING	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Total	**1,153,178,390**	**14,067,451**	**100,961,441**	**5,322,361**	**51,650,246**	**1,087,196**	**69,957,585**
Percentages 2/	**55%**	**1%**	**5%**	**0%**	**2%**	**0%**	**3%**

1/ Negative expenditures represent an accounting adjustment to prior year expenditures.
2/ The percentages show what portion of the total State expenditures in separate State programs (Line 7) is represented by this category of expenditures.
Source: TANF Financial Report

Table 2:6:2 (FY 2004)

TANF Financial Data
State Maintenance of Effort (MOE) Expenditures in Separate State Programs in FY 2004
Expenditure of State Funds in FY 2004 Through the Fourth Quarter

Corresponds with the web version of Table C of the TANF Financial Report
Data reported by States in Column C on TANF Financial Report (Form ACF-196) Line Items

STATE	Line 6a TOTAL EXPENDITURES ON WORK RELATED ACTIVITIES	Line 6a1 WORK SUBSIDIES	Line 6a2 EDUCATION AND TRAINING	Line 6a3 OTHER WORK ACTIVITIES/ EXPENSES	Line 6c TOTAL EXPENDITURES ON TRANSPORTATION	Line 6c1 JOB ACCESS	Line 6c2 OTHER
ALABAMA	10,391	0	0	0	51,353	0	51,353
ALASKA	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
ARIZONA	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
ARKANSAS	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
CALIFORNIA 1/	26,586,299	192	1,024,634	0	2,528,577	0	2,528,577
COLORADO	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
CONNECTICUT	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
DELAWARE	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
DIST.OF COLUMBIA	1,287,179	0	0	0	0	0	0
FLORIDA	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
GEORGIA	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
HAWAII	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
IDAHO	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
ILLINOIS	19,704	0	0	0	6,727	0	6,727
INDIANA	4,740,480	0	4,023,077	0	92,564	0	92,564
IOWA 1/	225,339	0	152,109	0	1,226,311	0	1,226,311
KANSAS	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
KENTUCKY	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
LOUISIANA	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
MAINE	0	0	0	793,859	793,859	793,859	0
MARYLAND	5,375,123	0	5,375,123	0	0	0	0
MASSACHUSETTS	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
MICHIGAN	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
MINNESOTA	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
MISSISSIPPI	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
MISSOURI	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
MONTANA	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
NEBRASKA	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
NEVADA	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
NEW HAMPSHIRE	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
NEW JERSEY 1/	200	0	0	0	0	0	0
NEW MEXICO	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
NEW YORK	11,294	0	0	0	83,701	0	83,701
NORTH CAROLINA	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
NORTH DAKOTA	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
OHIO	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
OKLAHOMA	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
OREGON	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
PENNSYLVANIA	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
RHODE ISLAND	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
SOUTH CAROLINA	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
SOUTH DAKOTA	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
TENNESSEE	1,108,656	0	104,497	24,157	69,866	24,157	45,709
TEXAS	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
UTAH	148,254	1,136	10,011	0	0	0	0
VERMONT	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
VIRGINIA	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
WASHINGTON	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
WEST VIRGINIA	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
WISCONSIN	80,735	0	0	0	0	0	0
WYOMING	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Total	**39,593,654**	**1,328**	**10,689,451**	**818,016**	**4,852,958**	**818,016**	**4,034,942**
Percentages 2/	**2%**	**0%**	**1%**	**1%**	**0%**	**0%**	**0%**

1/ Negative expenditures represent an accounting adjustment to prior year expenditures.
2/ The percentages show what portion of the total State expenditures in separate State programs (Line 7) is represented
Source: TANF Financial Report

Table 2:7 (FY 2004)

TANF Financial Data
State MOE Requirements

Corresponds with the web version of Table D of the TANF Financial Report

UPDATED TANF MOE TABLE FOR FY 2004

STATE	100% MOE LEVEL	75% MOE LEVEL	80% MOE LEVEL
ALABAMA	52,285,491	39,214,118	41,828,393
ALASKA	54,759,566	41,069,674	43,807,653
ARIZONA	115,188,292	86,391,219	92,150,633
ARKANSAS	27,785,269	20,838,952	22,228,215
CALIFORNIA	3,590,920,863	2,693,190,647	2,872,736,690
COLORADO	110,494,527	82,870,895	88,395,622
CONNECTICUT	244,561,409	183,421,057	195,649,127
DELAWARE	29,028,092	21,771,069	23,222,474
DIST.OF COLUMBIA	93,931,934	70,448,951	75,145,547
FLORIDA	491,151,302	368,363,477	392,921,042
GEORGIA	231,158,036	173,368,527	184,926,429
HAWAII	94,866,459	71,149,844	75,893,167
IDAHO	17,367,172	13,025,379	13,893,738
ILLINOIS	573,450,924	430,088,193	458,760,739
INDIANA	151,367,364	113,525,523	121,093,891
IOWA	82,617,695	61,963,271	66,094,156
KANSAS	82,332,787	61,749,590	65,866,230
KENTUCKY	89,891,250	67,418,438	71,913,000
LOUISIANA	73,886,837	55,415,128	59,109,470
MAINE	50,031,924	37,523,943	40,025,539
MARYLAND	235,953,925	176,965,444	188,763,140
MASSACHUSETTS	478,596,697	358,947,523	382,877,358
MICHIGAN	624,691,167	468,518,375	499,752,934
MINNESOTA	238,923,852	179,192,889	191,139,081
MISSISSIPPI	28,965,744	21,724,308	23,172,595
MISSOURI	160,161,033	120,120,775	128,128,826
MONTANA	19,592,642	14,694,482	15,674,114
NEBRASKA	38,002,079	28,501,559	30,401,663
NEVADA	33,985,152	25,488,864	27,188,122
NEW HAMPSHIRE	42,820,004	32,115,003	34,256,003
NEW JERSEY	400,213,342	300,160,007	320,170,674
NEW MEXICO	43,664,402	32,748,302	34,931,522
NEW YORK	2,291,437,926	1,718,578,445	1,833,150,341
NORTH CAROLINA	205,567,684	154,175,763	164,454,147
NORTH DAKOTA	12,092,381	9,069,286	9,673,905
OHIO	521,108,327	390,831,245	416,886,662
OKLAHOMA	81,435,709	61,076,782	65,148,567
OREGON	122,181,732	91,636,299	97,745,386
PENNSYLVANIA	542,834,133	407,125,600	434,267,306
RHODE ISLAND	80,489,394	60,367,046	64,391,515
SOUTH CAROLINA	47,902,320	35,926,740	38,321,856
SOUTH DAKOTA	11,371,029	8,528,271	9,096,823
TENNESSEE	110,413,171	82,809,878	88,330,537
TEXAS	314,301,005	235,725,754	251,440,804
UTAH	33,185,380	24,889,035	26,548,304
VERMONT	34,066,533	25,549,900	27,253,226
VIRGINIA	170,897,560	128,173,170	136,718,048
WASHINGTON	348,752,634	261,564,476	279,002,107
WEST VIRGINIA	43,058,053	32,293,540	34,446,442
WISCONSIN	223,471,839	167,603,879	178,777,471
WYOMING	12,078,426	9,058,820	9,662,741

Source: TANF Financial Report

Table 2:8 (FY 2004)

TANF Financial Data
Analysis of State MOE Spending Levels in FY 2004 Through the Fourth Quarter

Corresponds with the web version of Table E of the TANF Financial Report

STATE	TOTAL STATE MOE EXPENDITURES IN THE TANF PROGRAM	TOTAL STATE MOE EXPENDITURES IN SEPARATE STATE PROGRAMS	TOTAL STATE TANF EXPENDITURES	STATE MOE AT 80%	DIFFERENCE OF MOE AT 80% AND TOTAL STATE SPENDING	STATE MOE AT 75%	DIFFERENCE OF MOE AT 75% AND TOTAL STATE SPENDING
ALABAMA	38,478,453	735,665	39,214,118	41,828,393	(2,614,275)	39,214,118	0
ALASKA	42,338,679	0	42,338,679	43,807,653	(1,468,974)	41,069,674	1,269,005
ARIZONA	83,823,845	10,032,936	93,856,781	92,150,633	1,706,148	86,391,219	7,465,562
ARKANSAS	23,804,484	0	23,804,484	22,228,215	1,576,269	20,838,952	2,965,532
CALIFORNIA	2,465,724,626	407,012,064	2,872,736,690	2,872,736,690	0	2,693,190,647	179,546,043
COLORADO	90,217,452	0	90,217,452	88,395,622	1,821,830	82,870,895	7,346,557
CONNECTICUT	170,158,323	24,609,258	194,767,581	195,649,127	(881,546)	183,421,057	11,346,524
DELAWARE	26,307,373	903,311	27,210,684	23,222,474	3,988,210	21,771,069	5,439,615
DIST.OF COLUMBIA	73,975,564	2,981,339	76,956,903	75,145,547	1,811,356	70,448,951	6,507,952
FLORIDA	351,491,726	17,040,833	368,532,559	392,921,042	(24,388,483)	368,363,477	169,082
GEORGIA	176,098,300	1,526,697	177,624,997	184,926,429	(7,301,432)	173,368,527	4,256,470
HAWAII	35,892,634	40,721,270	76,613,904	75,893,167	720,737	71,149,844	5,464,060
IDAHO	13,025,379	0	13,025,379	13,893,738	(868,359)	13,025,379	0
ILLINOIS	426,880,614	3,207,579	430,088,193	458,760,739	(28,672,546)	430,088,193	0
INDIANA	58,165,387	62,927,923	121,093,310	121,093,891	(581)	113,525,523	7,567,787
IOWA	51,925,890	10,037,381	61,963,271	66,094,156	(4,130,885)	61,963,271	0
KANSAS	64,065,847	0	64,065,847	65,866,230	(1,800,383)	61,749,590	2,316,257
KENTUCKY	71,991,686	0	71,991,686	71,913,000	78,686	67,418,438	4,573,248
LOUISIANA	29,282,071	26,133,057	55,415,128	59,109,470	(3,694,342)	55,415,128	0
MAINE	21,577,906	15,972,093	37,549,999	40,025,539	(2,475,540)	37,523,943	26,056
MARYLAND	62,336,777	114,628,667	176,965,444	188,763,140	(11,797,696)	176,965,444	0
MASSACHUSETTS	352,857,437	6,090,086	358,947,523	382,877,358	(23,929,835)	358,947,523	0
MICHIGAN	416,975,089	103,575,767	520,550,856	499,752,934	20,797,922	468,518,375	52,032,481
MINNESOTA	152,923,939	26,268,950	179,192,889	191,139,081	(11,946,192)	179,192,889	0
MISSISSIPPI	21,728,428	0	21,728,428	23,172,595	(1,444,167)	21,724,308	4,120
MISSOURI	115,785,816	12,343,011	128,128,827	128,128,826	1	120,120,775	8,008,052
MONTANA	14,694,482	0	14,694,482	15,674,114	(979,632)	14,694,482	0
NEBRASKA	22,683,200	5,946,039	28,629,239	30,401,663	(1,772,424)	28,501,559	127,680
NEVADA	24,357,953	2,830,169	27,188,122	27,188,122	0	25,488,864	1,699,258
NEW HAMPSHIRE	31,281,388	833,713	32,115,101	34,256,003	(2,140,902)	32,115,003	98
NEW JERSEY	444,539,355	12,114,248	456,653,603	320,170,674	136,482,929	300,160,007	156,493,596
NEW MEXICO	36,056,713	0	36,056,713	34,931,522	1,125,191	32,748,302	3,308,411
NEW YORK	1,103,790,053	1,126,606,385	2,230,396,438	1,833,150,341	397,246,097	1,718,578,445	511,817,993
NORTH CAROLINA	192,475,752	280,027	192,755,779	164,454,147	28,301,632	154,175,763	38,580,016
NORTH DAKOTA	9,069,360	0	9,069,360	9,673,905	(604,545)	9,069,286	74
OHIO	388,405,210	4,506,724	392,911,934	416,886,662	(23,974,728)	390,831,245	2,080,689
OKLAHOMA	61,076,784	0	61,076,784	65,148,567	(4,071,783)	61,076,782	2
OREGON	91,636,299	0	91,636,299	97,745,386	(6,109,087)	91,636,299	0
PENNSYLVANIA	407,125,600	0	407,125,600	434,267,306	(27,141,706)	407,125,600	0
RHODE ISLAND	59,263,833	12,306,197	71,570,030	64,391,515	7,178,515	60,367,046	11,202,984
SOUTH CAROLINA	35,926,740	0	35,926,740	38,321,856	(2,395,116)	35,926,740	0
SOUTH DAKOTA	8,540,000	0	8,540,000	9,096,823	(556,823)	8,528,271	11,729
TENNESSEE	83,677,893	4,652,645	88,330,538	88,330,537	1	82,809,878	5,520,660
TEXAS	226,041,578	16,288,468	242,330,046	251,440,804	(9,110,758)	235,725,754	6,604,292
UTAH	24,437,832	451,203	24,889,035	26,548,304	(1,659,269)	24,889,035	0
VERMONT	33,290,995	0	33,290,995	27,253,226	6,037,769	25,549,900	7,741,095
VIRGINIA	112,562,457	15,610,714	128,173,171	136,718,048	(8,544,877)	128,173,170	1
WASHINGTON	276,905,190	2,096,817	279,002,007	279,002,107	(100)	261,564,476	17,437,531
WEST VIRGINIA	34,446,445	0	34,446,445	34,446,442	3	32,293,540	2,152,905
WISCONSIN	163,699,457	3,904,679	167,604,136	178,777,471	(11,173,335)	167,603,879	257
WYOMING	9,667,542	12,125	9,679,667	9,662,741	16,926	9,058,820	620,847
Total	**9,333,485,836**	**2,095,188,040**	**11,428,673,876**	**11,047,433,975**	**381,239,901**	**10,356,969,355**	**1,071,704,521**

GENERAL NOTES:
The MOE reports (Tables 2:5 through 2:6:2) are programmed to reflect adjustments in MOE activity that occurred in FY 2004 regardless of the year from which these activity changes occurred.
Table 2:8 reflects only MOE activity in the current funding year (FY 2004). Therefore, numbers from Tables 2:5 through 2:6:2 may not coincide with those in this table.
This table shows total State TANF MOE expenditures through this period and the comparison of State MOE expenditures to meet the 75 and 80 percent annual MOE levels.
The MOE levels at 75 and 80 percent have been adjusted for States with Tribes operating TANF.
Source: TANF Financial Report

Table 2:1 (FY 2005)

TANF Financial Data
Combined Spending of Federal and State Funds Expended in FY 2005 Through the Fourth Quarter

Corresponds with the web version of Table F of the TANF Financial Report

STATE	Line 5 TOTAL EXPENDITURES ON ASSISTANCE (Sum of line 5a,5b,5c & 5d)	ASSISTANCE AS A PERCENTAGE OF TOTAL EXPENDITURES	Line 5a BASIC ASSISTANCE	Line 5b CHILD CARE	Line 5c TRANSPORTATION AND SUPPORTIVE SERVICES	Line 5d ASSISTANCE UNDER PRIOR LAW	Line 6 TOTAL EXPENDITURES ON NON-ASSISTANCE	NON-ASSISTANCE AS A PERCENTAGE OF TOTAL EXPENDITURES	Line 7 TOTAL EXPENDITURES (Assistance and Non)
ALABAMA	50,551,262	41%	47,390,331	41,292	3,119,639	0	72,827,118	59%	123,378,380
ALASKA	47,874,680	64%	41,117,680	6,268,403	488,597	0	26,378,578	36%	74,253,258
ARIZONA	163,323,089	55%	160,067,455	0	3,255,634	0	135,258,721	45%	298,581,810
ARKANSAS	18,499,019	28%	18,499,019	0	0	0	48,128,056	72%	66,627,075
CALIFORNIA 2/	3,957,963,718	67%	3,503,655,295	156,133,251	113,358,534	184,816,638	1,924,463,984	33%	5,882,427,702
COLORADO	78,467,766	37%	75,131,653	0	3,336,113	0	135,272,164	63%	213,739,930
CONNECTICUT	143,103,619	31%	125,739,101	1,365,291	13,841,841	2,157,386	315,685,996	69%	458,789,615
DELAWARE	38,744,234	63%	19,314,086	6,931,571	12,498,577	0	22,565,978	37%	61,310,212
DIST.OF COLUMBIA	66,266,572	42%	66,266,572	0	0	0	89,671,518	58%	155,938,090
FLORIDA	211,530,772	24%	184,194,021	14,227,514	1,116,171	11,993,066	656,795,846	76%	868,326,618
GEORGIA	152,217,801	29%	117,324,721	22,182,651	12,710,429	0	367,848,916	71%	520,056,717
HAWAII	81,730,818	64%	81,730,818	0	0	0	46,654,283	36%	128,385,101
IDAHO	7,294,220	18%	7,294,220	0	0	0	32,490,565	82%	39,784,785
ILLINOIS	126,605,107	13%	122,179,852	0	4,425,255	0	871,824,331	87%	998,429,438
INDIANA 2/	115,367,503	38%	113,221,948	0	2,145,555	0	191,364,505	62%	306,732,008
IOWA 2/	78,141,468	48%	75,700,353	0	2,441,115	0	83,393,697	52%	161,535,165
KANSAS	98,602,090	64%	65,355,979	7,890,102	6,733,545	18,622,464	55,699,901	36%	154,301,991
KENTUCKY	122,646,029	57%	104,864,090	13,504,082	4,277,857	0	93,631,438	43%	216,277,467
LOUISIANA	53,056,196	28%	51,323,617	0	1,732,579	0	133,326,092	72%	186,382,288
MAINE	102,049,732	80%	90,017,113	4,118,559	7,914,060	0	24,855,116	20%	126,904,848
MARYLAND	124,291,318	36%	124,291,318	0	0	0	224,647,897	64%	348,939,215
MASSACHUSETTS	352,486,453	51%	331,585,188	20,584,197	317,068	0	336,033,817	49%	688,520,270
MICHIGAN	445,598,654	38%	411,966,196	33,632,458	0	0	729,694,440	62%	1,175,293,094
MINNESOTA	137,346,821	35%	137,346,821	0	0	0	255,006,906	65%	392,353,727
MISSISSIPPI	27,154,488	34%	26,862,023	292,465	0	0	51,716,169	66%	78,870,657
MISSOURI	124,949,019	42%	124,949,019	0	0	0	173,989,106	58%	298,938,125
MONTANA	23,319,803	53%	19,790,186	1,313,990	0	2,215,627	20,601,272	47%	43,921,075
NEBRASKA	53,962,664	69%	53,962,664	0	0	0	24,101,580	31%	78,064,244
NEVADA	40,597,544	58%	33,145,945	2,163,740	5,287,859	0	29,352,787	42%	69,950,331
NEW HAMPSHIRE 2/	35,549,526	57%	35,276,842	0	0	272,684	27,216,146	43%	62,765,672
NEW JERSEY	496,493,514	50%	440,937,357	26,374,178	29,181,979	0	497,351,155	50%	993,844,669
NEW MEXICO	78,350,586	62%	74,761,924	2,895,258	693,404	0	49,047,771	38%	127,398,357
NEW YORK	2,144,919,120	54%	1,761,846,561	101,983,998	0	281,088,561	1,824,949,564	46%	3,969,868,684
NORTH CAROLINA	111,366,096	25%	108,391,953	0	0	2,974,143	336,496,812	75%	447,862,908
NORTH DAKOTA	21,330,482	63%	11,176,558	1,389,141	487,491	8,277,292	12,301,560	37%	33,632,042
OHIO	329,548,989	33%	316,362,166	0	13,186,823	0	660,522,492	67%	990,071,481
OKLAHOMA	133,199,018	76%	33,200,546	62,112,717	26,297,745	11,587,910	41,181,635	24%	174,380,653
OREGON	131,009,886	49%	105,140,667	8,961,023	10,229,151	6,679,045	137,812,978	51%	268,822,864
PENNSYLVANIA	440,283,972	37%	407,136,317	0	33,147,655	0	750,079,144	63%	1,190,363,116
RHODE ISLAND	76,022,158	45%	72,080,115	3,657,164	284,879	0	92,030,471	55%	168,052,629
SOUTH CAROLINA	78,366,851	34%	73,380,519	0	4,986,332	0	151,173,043	66%	229,539,894
SOUTH DAKOTA	22,265,075	73%	11,646,469	802,914	0	9,815,692	8,133,411	27%	30,398,486
TENNESSEE	137,820,512	59%	120,840,196	13,311,086	3,669,230	0	95,447,012	41%	233,267,524
TEXAS	233,712,493	27%	181,130,450	0	234,000	52,348,043	616,880,274	73%	850,592,767
UTAH	51,332,097	48%	45,178,092	5,000,000	1,154,005	0	56,503,536	52%	107,835,633
VERMONT	42,929,899	64%	36,080,714	0	6,849,185	0	24,571,135	36%	67,501,034
VIRGINIA	143,118,997	49%	143,118,997	0	0	0	146,626,831	51%	289,745,828
WASHINGTON	261,910,028	50%	261,910,028	0	0	0	263,047,509	50%	524,957,537
WEST VIRGINIA	57,531,079	46%	43,002,800	4,479,784	10,048,495	0	66,445,601	54%	123,976,680
WISCONSIN	115,469,434	26%	115,469,434	0	0	0	330,472,481	74%	445,941,915
WYOMING	10,587,707	33%	6,644,598	1,553,707	2,389,402	0	21,679,017	67%	32,266,724
Total	**12,196,859,978**		**10,739,000,687**	**523,170,536**	**341,840,204**	**592,848,551**	**13,383,250,355**		**25,580,110,333**
Percentages 1/	**48%**	**-**	**42%**	**2%**	**1%**	**2%**	**52%**	**-**	**100%**

1/ Percentages show what proportion the total in each column of the Line 7, Total Expenditures.
2/ Negative expenditures represent an accounting adjustment to prior year expenditures.
Source: TANF Financial Report

Table 2:2 (FY 2005)

TANF Financial Data
Total Federal Awards, Transfers, and Expenditures all FY's Through FY 2005 and the Fourth Quarter

Corresponds with the web version of Table G of the TANF Financial Report

STATE	TOTAL AWARDED	TRANSFERRED TO CCDF	TRANSFERRED TO SSBG	AVAILABLE FOR TANF	TOTAL EXPENDITURES	UNLIQUIDATED OBLIGATION	UNOBLIGATED BALANCE
ALABAMA	992,372,464	169,172,633	99,117,548	724,082,283	686,394,941	6,086,923	31,600,419
ALASKA	526,679,836	118,084,875	35,207,650	373,387,311	342,614,346	8,352,097	22,420,868
ARIZONA	2,083,485,466	103,614,508	197,473,708	1,782,397,250	1,754,338,468	28,058,782	0
ARKANSAS	528,156,542	40,702,199	13,841,306	473,613,037	375,599,803	220,695	97,792,539
CALIFORNIA	33,061,373,450	2,843,422,000	570,358,662	29,647,592,788	29,260,257,861	387,334,927	0
COLORADO	1,257,214,723	182,303,097	112,338,492	962,573,134	885,107,670	0	77,465,464
CONNECTICUT	2,431,134,939	0	211,667,343	2,219,467,596	2,219,467,596	0	0
DELAWARE	283,161,747	5,284,896	16,006,963	261,869,888	254,159,737	1,465,891	6,244,260
DIST.OF COLUMBIA	972,127,436	140,653,744	38,141,921	793,331,771	730,047,702	9,647,347	53,636,722
FLORIDA	5,527,834,682	905,275,776	508,466,738	4,114,092,168	4,080,396,590	33,695,578	0
GEORGIA	3,156,403,850	204,341,125	195,155,666	2,756,907,059	2,565,741,515	44,375,303	146,790,241
HAWAII	830,227,266	63,143,506	38,870,479	728,213,281	581,147,051	67,160,519	79,563,430
IDAHO	287,031,023	55,002,443	19,957,004	212,071,576	205,279,646	6,791,930	0
ILLINOIS	4,930,198,845	272,424,692	355,683,703	4,302,090,450	4,302,090,450	0	0
INDIANA	1,918,105,768	297,186,311	76,257,102	1,544,662,355	1,478,917,982	44,371,138	21,373,235
IOWA	1,179,794,613	178,031,093	96,285,902	905,477,618	879,197,980	6,367,189	19,912,449
KANSAS	926,896,223	110,177,451	73,961,740	742,757,032	741,981,340	0	775,692
KENTUCKY	1,646,138,621	336,085,579	64,740,244	1,245,312,798	1,196,607,355	0	48,705,443
LOUISIANA	1,574,116,153	331,661,943	65,479,113	1,176,975,097	1,141,583,069	29,049,764	6,342,264
MAINE	707,319,429	69,470,968	44,925,597	592,922,864	587,379,730	0	5,543,134
MARYLAND	2,069,580,108	182,526,990	183,278,424	1,703,774,694	1,594,434,709	7,807,431	101,532,554
MASSACHUSETTS	4,183,560,669	843,158,195	395,407,871	2,944,994,603	2,937,248,579	0	7,746,024
MICHIGAN	7,103,126,030	427,414,894	445,600,286	6,230,110,850	6,184,339,432	90,653	45,680,765
MINNESOTA	2,295,205,918	186,921,229	126,227,000	1,982,057,689	1,870,778,490	77,157,961	34,121,238
MISSISSIPPI	846,955,724	122,845,338	74,375,016	649,735,370	630,242,416	3,699,965	15,792,989
MISSOURI	1,984,464,973	175,054,665	161,160,917	1,648,249,391	1,609,530,777	38,718,619	(3)
MONTANA	399,929,895	54,231,199	24,001,360	321,697,336	288,333,042	0	33,364,294
NEBRASKA	521,720,610	54,000,000	4,400,000	463,320,610	454,659,564	0	8,661,046
NEVADA	419,506,149	0	8,246,103	411,260,046	391,415,318	0	19,844,728
NEW HAMPSHIRE	377,437,759	6,847,694	6,783,515	363,806,550	315,423,915	0	48,382,635
NEW JERSEY	3,559,213,674	364,532,948	278,102,967	2,916,577,759	2,729,026,005	187,551,754	0
NEW MEXICO	1,065,604,472	199,573,782	8,000,000	858,030,690	836,262,239	1,041,000	20,727,451
NEW YORK	21,779,431,781	2,360,629,769	1,851,238,433	17,567,563,579	17,161,539,741	184,768,239	221,255,599
NORTH CAROLINA	2,898,673,738	550,645,032	61,127,030	2,286,901,676	2,213,909,954	57,879,742	0
NORTH DAKOTA	227,438,042	500,000	0	226,938,042	211,347,888	0	15,590,154
OHIO	6,615,893,799	359,701,413	661,589,227	5,594,603,159	4,701,013,457	420,316,145	473,273,557
OKLAHOMA	1,352,228,481	269,839,648	134,919,821	947,469,012	860,586,257	0	86,882,755
OREGON	1,511,620,219	0	0	1,511,620,219	1,474,793,156	0	36,827,063
PENNSYLVANIA	6,268,344,452	658,233,000	225,793,944	5,384,317,508	5,383,431,898	867,410	18,200
RHODE ISLAND	819,775,362	49,202,388	7,926,097	762,646,877	756,540,684	0	6,106,193
SOUTH CAROLINA	925,144,399	15,629,879	84,624,365	824,890,155	784,903,025	0	39,987,130
SOUTH DAKOTA	192,449,925	13,919,291	19,244,991	159,285,643	138,715,513	677,650	19,892,480
TENNESSEE	1,947,839,080	414,127,199	24,670,161	1,509,041,720	1,389,098,963	2,052,929	117,889,828
TEXAS	4,802,606,923	164,291,412	261,164,861	4,377,150,650	4,195,415,087	181,735,563	0
UTAH	787,720,293	3,740,480	40,223,956	743,755,857	699,193,273	0	44,562,584
VERMONT	432,774,257	67,224,355	41,710,345	323,839,557	323,839,557	0	0
VIRGINIA	1,423,466,569	157,468,688	133,601,002	1,132,396,879	1,117,719,717	0	14,677,162
WASHINGTON	3,505,856,188	749,981,287	97,579,793	2,658,295,108	2,639,890,534	0	18,404,574
WEST VIRGINIA	984,807,799	15,353,655	62,054,645	907,399,499	893,820,091	0	13,579,408
WISCONSIN	2,907,470,507	497,774,129	190,164,378	2,219,532,000	2,219,532,001	0	0
WYOMING	199,202,127	19,171,462	17,871,899	162,158,766	115,121,505	5,736,339	41,300,922
Total	**149,228,822,998**	**15,410,578,860**	**8,465,025,288**	**125,353,218,850**	**121,390,417,619**	**1,843,079,483**	**2,104,267,490**
Percentages	**100.0%**	**10.0%**	**6.0%**	**84.0%**	**81.0%**	**1.0%**	**1.0%**

Source: TANF Financial Report

Table 2:3 (FY 2005)

TANF Financial Data
Overview of Federal Funds Available and Spent in Fiscal Year 2005 by Grant Year

FY YEAR	Line 1 TOTAL FEDERAL FUNDS AVAILABLE 1/	Line 2 TRANSFERRED TO CCDF	Line 3 TRANSFERRED TO SSBG	Line 4 NET AVAILABLE FOR TANF	Line 7 TOTAL EXPENDITURES	Line 9 UNLIQUIDATED OBLIGATIONS	Line 10 UNOBLIGATED BALANCE
1997	49,106,470	0	0	49,106,470	49,106,470	0	0
1998	40,057,076	0	0	40,057,076	25,305,485	2,839,761	11,911,830
1999	22,850,722	0	0	22,850,722	7,472,559	0	15,378,163
2000	5,221,247	0	0	5,221,247	1,116,519	0	4,104,728
2001	79,565,435	0	0	79,565,435	72,861,894	0	6,703,541
2002 2/	274,455,707	1,500,000	9,996,782	262,958,925	247,529,308	0	115,397,441
2003	687,661,772	(9,437,964)	(1,434,342)	698,534,078	445,062,496	34,006,287	219,465,295
2004	2,547,564,266	(59,042,679)	29,070,479	2,577,536,466	1,933,872,548	42,081,670	641,479,046
2005	16,989,119,686	2,004,354,900	884,783,197	14,099,981,589	11,381,337,859	1,628,474,003	1,089,827,446
Total	**20,695,602,381**	**1,937,374,257**	**922,416,116**	**17,835,812,008**	**14,163,665,138**	**1,707,401,721**	**2,104,267,490**
Percentages	**100%**	**9%**	**4%**	**86%**	**68%**	**8%**	**10%**

1/ The amount reported under this column is the federal grant funds available to the State from that FY grant, for spending in FY 2005.
2/ Negative expenditures represent an accounting adjustment to prior year expenditures.
Source: TANF Financial Report

Table 2:4:a (FY 2005)

TANF Financial Data
Combined Federal Funds Spent in FY 2005
Spending from Federal TANF Grant in FY 2005 Through the Fourth Quarter

Corresponds with the web version of Table A of the TANF Financial Report

Data reported by States in Column A on TANF Financial Report (Form ACF-196) Line Items

STATE	Line 1 TOTAL FEDERAL FUNDS 1/	Line 2 TRANSFERRED TO CCDF	% OF TOTAL TRANSFERRED TO CCDF	Line 3 TRANSFERRED TO SSBG	% OF TOTAL TRANSFERRED TO SSBG	Line 4 AVAILABLE FOR TANF	Line 5 EXPENDITURES ON ASSISTANCE	Line 6 EXPENDITURES ON NON ASSISTANCE	Line 7 TOTAL EXPENDITURES (Assistance and Non)	Line 9 UNLIQUIDATED OBLIGATIONS	Line 10 UNOBLIGATED BALANCE
ALABAMA	136,365,027	4,117,081	3%	10,396,342	8%	121,851,604	47,839,923	36,324,339	84,164,262	6,086,923	31,600,419
ALASKA	82,509,636	15,170,000	18%	3,100,000	4%	64,239,636	13,000,476	20,466,195	33,466,671	8,352,097	22,420,868
ARIZONA	251,509,489	0	0%	23,045,436	9%	228,464,053	99,606,066	100,799,205	200,405,271	28,058,782	0
ARKANSAS	151,812,026	7,500,000	5%	2,430,000	2%	141,882,026	13,217,449	30,651,343	43,868,792	220,695	97,792,539
CALIFORNIA	3,943,027,748	412,642,000	10%	128,163,253	3%	3,402,222,495	1,759,577,285	1,255,310,283	3,014,887,568	387,334,927	0
COLORADO	215,826,151	2,748,648	1%	14,962,638	7%	198,114,865	60,452,083	60,197,318	120,649,401	0	77,465,464
CONNECTICUT	266,788,107	0	0%	26,678,810	10%	240,109,297	11,817,294	228,292,003	240,109,297	0	0
DELAWARE	38,478,063	(4,296,090)	-11%	2,402,198	6%	40,371,955	27,879,675	4,782,129	32,661,804	1,465,891	6,244,260
DIST.OF COLUMBIA	165,956,601	18,521,964	11%	3,906,104	2%	143,528,533	16,043,395	64,201,069	80,244,464	9,647,347	53,636,722
FLORIDA	718,302,876	122,549,157	17%	62,274,578	9%	533,479,141	12,757,420	487,026,143	499,783,563	33,695,578	0
GEORGIA	550,751,601	0	0%	14,100,000	3%	536,651,601	53,339,882	292,146,175	345,486,057	44,375,303	146,790,241
HAWAII	223,662,543	10,300,000	5%	9,982,105	4%	203,380,438	31,734,017	24,580,191	56,314,208	67,160,519	79,563,430
IDAHO	43,724,518	8,731,981	20%	1,441,201	3%	33,551,336	7,294,220	19,465,186	26,759,406	6,791,930	0
ILLINOIS	585,809,178	0	0%	17,467,933	3%	568,341,245	75,028,871	493,312,374	568,341,245	0	0
INDIANA	258,061,910	5,000,000	2%	2,000,000	1%	251,061,910	102,420,779	82,896,758	185,317,537	44,371,138	21,373,235
IOWA	163,993,119	25,332,746	15%	12,808,841	8%	125,851,532	37,916,615	61,655,279	99,571,894	6,367,189	19,912,449
KANSAS	107,243,962	21,417,142	20%	4,332,070	4%	81,494,750	58,166,606	22,552,452	80,719,058	0	775,692
KENTUCKY	247,456,110	54,386,300	22%	0	0%	193,069,810	51,469,786	92,894,581	144,364,367	0	48,705,443
LOUISIANA	202,394,625	19,638,241	10%	16,397,196	8%	166,359,188	42,246,330	88,720,830	130,967,160	29,049,764	6,342,264
MAINE	108,733,740	8,905,654	8%	4,909,093	5%	94,918,993	67,847,662	21,528,197	89,375,859	0	5,543,134
MARYLAND	302,697,339	0	0%	22,909,803	8%	279,787,536	91,275,338	79,172,213	170,447,551	7,807,431	101,532,554
MASSACHUSETTS	475,130,108	91,874,224	19%	45,937,113	10%	337,318,771	141,388,169	188,184,578	329,572,747	0	7,746,024
MICHIGAN	891,953,762	130,938,064	15%	43,906,666	5%	717,109,032	231,242,742	440,094,872	671,337,614	90,653	45,680,765
MINNESOTA	348,309,034	22,619,000	6%	0	0%	325,690,034	61,709,089	152,701,746	214,410,835	77,157,961	34,121,238
MISSISSIPPI	105,922,993	19,524,027	18%	9,762,014	9%	76,636,952	26,863,328	30,280,670	57,143,998	3,699,965	15,792,989
MISSOURI	258,587,528	27,354,439	11%	21,705,174	8%	209,527,915	78,071,588	92,737,711	170,809,299	38,718,619	(3)
MONTANA	65,277,365	1,864,574	3%	1,768,467	3%	61,644,324	20,511,669	7,768,361	28,280,030	0	33,364,294
NEBRASKA	65,262,056	9,000,000	14%	0	0%	56,262,056	29,998,428	17,602,582	47,601,010	0	8,661,046
NEVADA	63,856,395	0	0%	1,249,457	2%	62,606,938	19,330,826	23,431,384	42,762,210	0	19,844,728
NEW HAMPSHIRE	88,005,201	5,505,081	6%	3,852,126	4%	78,647,994	17,597,925	12,667,434	30,265,359	0	48,382,635
NEW JERSEY	544,842,365	0	0%	15,351,254	3%	529,491,111	391,158,498	126,355,419	517,513,917	51,873,992	0
NEW MEXICO	145,817,380	29,582,094	20%	2,000,000	1%	114,235,286	52,193,068	40,273,767	92,466,835	1,041,000	20,727,451
NEW YORK	2,920,888,615	381,791,205	13%	119,838,433	4%	2,419,258,977	1,227,293,210	785,941,929	2,013,235,139	184,768,239	221,255,599
NORTH CAROLINA	400,963,235	86,028,417	21%	5,625,602	1%	309,309,216	92,535,414	158,894,060	251,429,474	57,879,742	0
NORTH DAKOTA	40,152,836	0	0%	0	0%	40,152,836	13,406,568	11,156,114	24,562,682	0	15,590,154
OHIO	1,563,637,119	0	0%	74,264,659	5%	1,489,372,460	149,207,554	446,575,204	595,782,758	420,316,145	473,273,557
OKLAHOMA	246,325,716	30,759,395	12%	15,379,697	6%	200,186,624	83,380,656	29,923,213	113,303,869	0	86,882,755
OREGON	214,013,628	0	0%	0	0%	214,013,628	95,462,751	81,723,814	177,186,565	0	36,827,063
PENNSYLVANIA	930,251,544	116,754,000	13%	29,374,418	3%	784,123,126	209,521,193	573,716,323	783,237,516	867,410	18,200
RHODE ISLAND	97,882,652	8,772,795	9%	1,060,000	1%	88,049,857	56,221,586	25,722,078	81,943,664	0	6,106,193
SOUTH CAROLINA	126,503,056	1,500,000	1%	19,993,564	16%	105,009,492	33,341,211	131,648,975	164,990,186	0	39,987,130
SOUTH DAKOTA	44,600,725	0	0%	2,172,109	5%	42,428,616	16,222,232	5,636,254	21,858,486	677,650	19,892,480
TENNESSEE	281,641,123	57,698,996	20%	9,054,731	3%	214,887,396	59,554,137	35,390,502	94,944,639	2,052,929	117,889,828
TEXAS 2/	724,374,478	0	0%	61,057,349	8%	663,317,129	149,035,803	332,545,763	481,581,566	181,735,563	0
UTAH	130,515,180	0	0%	3,006,000	2%	127,509,180	49,046,775	33,899,821	82,946,596	0	44,562,584
VERMONT	48,471,859	9,224,074	19%	4,735,318	10%	34,512,467	26,216,168	8,296,299	34,512,467	0	0
VIRGINIA 2/	180,187,949	3,000,000	2%	15,285,500	8%	161,902,449	67,756,851	79,468,436	147,225,287	0	14,677,162
WASHINGTON	396,141,293	103,035,919	26%	7,876,568	2%	285,228,806	118,421,429	148,402,803	266,824,232	0	18,404,574
WEST VIRGINIA	114,141,440	0	0%	11,031,796	10%	103,109,644	29,084,750	60,445,486	89,530,236	0	13,579,408
WISCONSIN	343,519,760	64,183,023	19%	13,420,500	4%	265,916,237	80,849,408	185,066,829	265,916,237	0	0
WYOMING	73,321,617	3,700,106	5%	0	0%	69,621,511	5,405,587	17,178,663	22,584,250	5,736,339	41,300,922
TOTAL	**20,695,602,381**	**1,937,374,257**		**922,416,116**		**17,835,812,008**	**6,312,959,785**	**7,850,705,353**	**14,163,665,138**	**1,707,401,721**	**2,104,267,490**
Percentages 3/	**100%**	**9%**	**-**	**5%**	**-**	**86%**	**44%**	**56%**	**100%**	**11%**	**12%**

1/ The amount reported under this column include unexpended federal grant funds the States have carried over from prior fiscal years.

2/ Negative expenditures represent an accounting adjustment to prior year expenditures.

3/ TANF Transfer and Available for TANF percentages are based on total amount awarded in 2005 and available as carryover (Line 1).Expenditures percentages are based on total expenditures (Line 7). Unliquidated and Unobligated balances percentages are based on the amount available for TANF (Line 4).

Source: TANF Financial Report

Table 2:4:b (FY 2005)

TANF Financial Data
Combined Federal Funds Spent in FY 2005
Summary of Expenditures on Assistance in FY 2005 Through the Fourth Quarter

Corresponds with the web version of Table A of the TANF Financial Report
Data reported by States in Column A on TANF Financial Report (Form ACF-196) Line Items

STATE	Line 5 TOTAL EXPENDITURES ON ASSISTANCE	Line 5a BASIC ASSISTANCE	Line 5b CHILD CARE	Line 5c TRANSPORTATION AND SUPPORTIVE SERVICES	Line 5d ASSISTANCE UNDER PRIOR LAW	% OF TOTAL EXPENDITURES SPENT ON ASSISTANCE
ALABAMA	47,839,923	46,613,654	14,399	1,211,870	0	57%
ALASKA	13,000,476	9,254,600	3,257,279	488,597	0	39%
ARIZONA	99,606,066	96,635,371	0	2,970,695	0	50%
ARKANSAS	13,217,449	13,217,449	0	0	0	30%
CALIFORNIA	1,759,577,285	1,322,971,607	147,960,026	103,829,014	184,816,638	58%
COLORADO	60,452,083	58,099,066	0	2,353,017	0	50%
CONNECTICUT	11,817,294	7,804,430	0	1,855,478	2,157,386	5%
DELAWARE	27,879,675	15,767,224	2,520,894	9,591,557	0	85%
DIST.OF COLUMBIA	16,043,395	16,043,395	0	0	0	20%
FLORIDA	12,757,420	(14,462,498)	14,110,681	1,116,171	11,993,066	3%
GEORGIA	53,339,882	46,344,551	0	6,995,331	0	0.15
HAWAII	31,734,017	31,734,017	0	0	0	56%
IDAHO	7,294,220	7,294,220	0	0	0	27%
ILLINOIS	75,028,871	71,238,915	0	3,789,956	0	13%
INDIANA 1/	102,420,779	102,642,389	0	(221,610)	0	55%
IOWA	37,916,615	37,916,615	0	0	0	38%
KANSAS	58,166,606	32,810,597	0	6,733,545	18,622,464	72%
KENTUCKY	51,469,786	33,687,413	13,504,516	4,277,857	0	36%
LOUISIANA	42,246,330	40,513,751	0	1,732,579	0	32%
MAINE	67,847,662	60,070,407	3,263,054	4,514,201	0	76%
MARYLAND	91,275,338	91,275,338	0	0	0	54%
MASSACHUSETTS	141,388,169	133,557,236	7,691,728	139,205	0	43%
MICHIGAN	231,242,742	197,610,284	33,632,458	0	0	34%
MINNESOTA	61,709,089	61,709,089	0	0	0	29%
MISSISSIPPI	26,863,328	26,860,863	2,465	0	0	47%
MISSOURI	78,071,588	78,071,588	0	0	0	46%
MONTANA	20,511,669	18,296,042	0	0	2,215,627	73%
NEBRASKA	29,998,428	29,998,428	0	0	0	63%
NEVADA	19,330,826	14,042,967	0	5,287,859	0	45%
NEW HAMPSHIRE 2/	17,597,925	17,325,241	0	0	272,684	58%
NEW JERSEY	391,158,498	366,306,040	0	24,852,458	0	76%
NEW MEXICO	52,193,068	51,499,664	0	693,404	0	56%
NEW YORK	1,227,293,210	946,204,649	0	0	281,088,561	61%
NORTH CAROLINA	92,535,414	89,561,271	0	0	2,974,143	37%
NORTH DAKOTA	13,406,568	4,269,680	372,105	487,491	8,277,292	55%
OHIO	149,207,554	138,404,184	0	10,803,370	0	25%
OKLAHOMA	83,380,656	21,666,837	32,963,925	17,161,984	11,587,910	74%
OREGON	95,462,751	77,984,748	2,974,465	7,824,493	6,679,045	54%
PENNSYLVANIA	209,521,193	191,711,751	0	17,809,442	0	27%
RHODE ISLAND	56,221,586	56,034,626	0	186,960	0	69%
SOUTH CAROLINA	33,341,211	29,208,057	0	4,133,154	0	20%
SOUTH DAKOTA	16,222,232	6,406,540	0	0	9,815,692	74%
TENNESSEE	59,554,137	58,429,173	0	1,124,964	0	63%
TEXAS	149,035,803	96,453,760	0	234,000	52,348,043	31%
UTAH	49,046,775	42,917,153	5,000,000	1,129,622	0	59%
VERMONT	26,216,168	23,888,738	0	2,327,430	0	76%
VIRGINIA	67,756,851	67,756,851	0	0	0	46%
WASHINGTON	118,421,429	118,421,429	0	0	0	44%
WEST VIRGINIA	29,084,750	17,527,863	1,508,392	10,048,495	0	32%
WISCONSIN	80,849,408	80,849,408	0	0	0	30%
WYOMING 1/	5,405,587	3,016,185	0	2,389,402	0	24%
TOTAL	**6,312,959,785**	**5,193,462,856**	**268,776,387**	**257,871,991**	**592,848,551**	
Percentage 2/	**100%**	**82%**	**4%**	**4%**	**9%**	
Percentage 3/	**45%**	**37%**	**2%**	**2%**	**4%**	

1/ Negative expenditures represent an accounting adjustment to prior year expenditures.
2/ The percentages shown are calculated as a proportion of total expenditures on assistance (Line 5).
3/ The percentages shown are calculated as a proportion of total TANF expenditures (Line 7).
Source: TANF Financial Report

Table 2:4:c (FY 2005)

TANF Financial Data
Combined Federal Funds Spent in FY 2005
Expenditures on Non-Assistance in FY 2005 Through the Fourth Quarter

Corresponds with the web version of Table A of the TANF Financial Report

Data reported by States in Column A on TANF Financial Report (Form ACF-196) Line Items

STATE	Line 6 TOTAL EXPENDITURES ON NON-ASSISTANCE	Line 6a WORK RELATED ACTIVITIES	Line 6b CHILD CARE	Line 6c TRANS-PORTATION	Line 6d IDA	Line 6e REFUNDABLE EITC	Line 6f OTHER REFUNDABLE TAX CREDIT	Line 6g NON-RECUR SHORT-TERM BENEFITS	Line 6l NON-ASSIST SOLELY UNDER PRIOR LAW	Total of Other Categories of Non-Assistance Lines 6h - 6m	% OF TOTAL EXPENDITURES SPENT ON NON-ASSISTANCE
ALABAMA	36,324,339	5,413,059	2	493,518	0	0	0	0	0	30,417,760	43%
ALASKA	20,466,195	9,269,058	6,557,000	239,056	0	0	0	342,334	0	4,058,747	61%
ARIZONA	100,799,205	14,483,075	(109,118)	268,024	0	0	0	0	34,135,396	52,021,828	50%
ARKANSAS	30,651,343	12,082,533	0	2,910,872	410,246	0	0	80,015	0	15,167,677	70%
CALIFORNIA	1,255,310,283	377,377,277	255,429,413	26,169,488	0	0	0	1,783,041	0	594,551,064	42%
COLORADO	60,197,318	1,033,876	1,711,646	13,005	0	0	0	2,603,103	982,111	53,853,577	50%
CONNECTICUT	228,292,003	7,727,371	0	4,380,968	0	0	0	18,716	15,752,082	200,412,866	95%
DELAWARE	4,782,129	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	4,782,129	15%
DIST.OF COLUMBIA	64,201,069	18,909,267	20,282,350	0	0	0	0	0	0	25,009,452	80%
FLORIDA	487,026,143	81,794,716	104,825,798	6,159,057	0	0	0	992,341	0	293,254,231	97%
GEORGIA	292,146,175	69,079,231	0	0	0	0	0	0	92,232,844	130,834,100	85%
HAWAII	24,580,191	16,546,876	0	737,928	0	0	0	0	0	7,295,387	44%
IDAHO	19,465,186	5,634,335	0	0	0	0	0	2,043,609	0	11,787,242	73%
ILLINOIS	493,312,374	73,591,411	165,407,447	698,684	0	14,835,790	0	324,549	165,845,459	72,609,034	87%
INDIANA	82,896,758	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	82,896,758	45%
IOWA	61,655,279	12,973,787	2,796	941,891	0	0	0	407,511	0	47,329,294	62%
KANSAS	22,552,452	1,736,886	0	1,894,737	0	0	0	0	0	18,920,829	28%
KENTUCKY	92,894,581	27,311,752	7,380,154	1,535,010	0	0	0	0	0	56,667,665	64%
LOUISIANA	88,720,830	12,460,309	(83)	6,291,596	31,079	0	0	0	0	69,937,929	68%
MAINE	21,528,197	2,115,680	7,613,792	3,752,555	0	0	0	2,129,474	0	5,916,696	24%
MARYLAND	79,172,213	26,380,555	639,909	7,836,099	0	0	0	407,003	0	43,908,647	46%
MASSACHUSETTS	188,184,578	10,131,082	130,957,218	177,950	0	0	0	3,609,933	0	43,308,395	57%
MICHIGAN	440,094,872	79,889,087	5,187,416	1,090,518	929,873	0	0	50,000	87,206,495	265,741,483	66%
MINNESOTA	152,701,746	63,513,934	0	4,385,168	0	17,825,935	0	35,484,007	0	31,492,702	71%
MISSISSIPPI 1/	30,280,670	7,845,722	1,789,176	3,919,041	0	0	0	0	0	16,726,731	53%
MISSOURI	92,737,711	29,799,202	0	0	0	0	0	0	52,776,261	10,162,248	54%
MONTANA	7,768,361	1,089,474	0	0	0	0	0	218,277	1,382,850	5,077,760	27%
NEBRASKA	17,602,582	11,849,707	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	5,752,875	37%
NEVADA	23,431,384	1,313,688	0	347,468	0	0	0	39,275	1,243,559	20,487,394	55%
NEW HAMPSHIRE	12,667,434	5,333,686	0	695,038	28,701	0	0	0	0	6,610,009	42%
NEW JERSEY	126,355,419	27,847,966	0	3,911,120	151,228	18,393,000	0	3,359,947	(21,000,693)	93,692,851	24%
NEW MEXICO	40,273,767	12,215,463	0	1,240,514	0	0	0	0	0	26,817,790	44%
NEW YORK	785,941,929	143,835,166	0	14,794,285	0	0	0	52,144,851	78,426,692	496,740,935	39%
NORTH CAROLINA	158,894,060	8,289,406	37,393,547	1,390,101	0	0	0	2,675,838	80,947,335	28,197,833	63%
NORTH DAKOTA	11,156,114	2,620,876	1,048,161	1,001,952	0	0	0	0	1,387,909	5,097,216	45%
OHIO	446,575,204	62,476,288	117,811,934	8,970,154	0	0	0	36,025,643	0	221,291,185	75%
OKLAHOMA	29,923,213	0	0	0	764	0	0	1,223,522	0	28,698,927	26%
OREGON	81,723,814	18,065,014	485,944	1,336,219	0	0	0	0	0	61,836,637	46%
PENNSYLVANIA	573,716,323	153,453,484	29,003,933	11,502,244	0	0	0	5,147,195	284,655,864	89,953,603	73%
RHODE ISLAND	25,722,078	4,933,123	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	20,788,955	31%
SOUTH CAROLINA	131,648,975	46,246,914	0	1,886,644	0	0	0	0	0	83,515,417	80%
SOUTH DAKOTA	5,636,254	2,257,429	0	67,446	0	0	0	0	0	3,311,379	26%
TENNESSEE	35,390,502	8,465,935	12,303,373	654,229	0	0	0	0	0	13,966,965	37%
TEXAS 1/	332,545,763	82,907,074	0	2,501,296	820,199	0	0	11,161,978	42,405,026	192,750,190	69%
UTAH	33,899,821	17,299,752	239	110,988	0	0	0	426,354	0	16,062,488	41%
VERMONT	8,296,299	528,667	2,002,692	0	0	0	0	607,277	0	5,157,663	24%
VIRGINIA	79,468,436	34,348,474	712,293	5,174,154	12,836	0	0	5,671,347	0	33,549,332	54%
WASHINGTON	148,402,803	66,316,376	30,348,855	3,833,527	206,764	0	0	0	26,980,808	20,716,473	56%
WEST VIRGINIA	60,445,486	2,594,386	16,025,648	0	0	0	0	2,039,112	0	39,786,340	68%
WISCONSIN	185,066,829	20,138,648	54,144,127	2,104,892	0	59,532,000	0	3,915,716	0	45,231,446	70%
WYOMING	17,178,663	386,222	1,440,000	189	0	0	0	0	0	15,352,252	76%
Total	**7,850,705,353**	**1,701,913,299**	**1,010,395,662**	**135,417,625**	**2,591,690**	**110,586,725**	**0**	**174,931,968**	**945,359,998**	**3,769,508,386**	
Percentage 2/	**100%**	**22%**	**13%**	**2%**	**0%**	**2%**	**0%**	**2%**	**12%**	**48%**	
Percentage 3/	**55%**	**12%**	**7%**	**1%**	**0%**	**1%**	**0%**	**1%**	**7%**	**27%**	

1/ Negative expenditures represent an accounting adjustment to prior year expenditures.

2/ The percentages shown are calculated as a proportion of total expenditures on non-assistance (Line 6).

3/ The percentages shown are calculated as a proportion of total TANF expenditures (Line 7).

Source: TANF Financial Report

Table 2:4:d (FY 2005)

TANF Financial Data
Combined Federal Funds Spent in FY 2005
Expenditures on Non-Assistance: Detailed Breakdown of Lines 6A and 6C in FY 2005 Through the Fourth Quarter

Corresponds with the web version of Table A of the TANF Financial Report
Data reported by States in Column A on TANF Financial Report (Form ACF-196) Line Items

STATE	Line 6a TOTAL EXPENDITURES ON WORK RELATED ACTIVITIES	Line 6a1 WORK SUBSIDIES	Line 6a2 EDUCATION AND TRAINING	Line 6a3 OTHER WORK ACTIVITIES/ EXPENSES	TOTAL EXPENDITURES ON TRANSPORTATION	Line 6c1 JOB ACCESS	Line 6c2 OTHER
ALABAMA 1/	5,413,059	0	469,588	4,943,471	493,518	493,518	0
ALASKA	9,269,058	361,537	0	8,907,521	239,056	0	239,056
ARIZONA	14,483,075	7,347	177,942	14,297,786	268,024	0	268,024
ARKANSAS	12,082,533	128,337	2,071,444	9,882,752	2,910,872	0	2,910,872
CALIFORNIA	377,377,277	2,915,195	23,177,707	351,284,375	26,169,488	0	26,169,488
COLORADO	1,033,876	0	921,110	112,766	13,005	0	13,005
CONNECTICUT	7,727,371	0	6,537,876	1,189,495	4,380,968	4,380,968	0
DELAWARE	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
DIST.OF COLUMBIA	18,909,267	0	3,782,006	15,127,261	0	0	0
FLORIDA	81,794,716	140,511	6,965,666	74,688,539	6,159,057	2,292	6,156,765
GEORGIA 1/	69,079,231	0	4,100,040	64,979,191	0	0	0
HAWAII	16,546,876	0	48,867	16,498,009	737,928	0	737,928
IDAHO	5,634,335	0	0	5,634,335	0	0	0
ILLINOIS	73,591,411	0	59,815,413	13,775,998	698,684	0	698,684
INDIANA	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
IOWA 1/	12,973,787	0	0	12,973,787	941,891	0	941,891
KANSAS	1,736,886	0	1,698,594	38,292	1,894,737	0	1,894,737
KENTUCKY	27,311,752	3,677,179	7,454,234	16,180,339	1,535,010	0	1,535,010
LOUISIANA	12,460,309	0	2,501,432	9,958,877	6,291,596	266,087	6,025,509
MAINE 1/	2,115,680	(64,493)	0	2,180,173	3,752,555	(6,782,984)	10,535,539
MARYLAND	26,380,555	216,527	4,802,538	21,361,490	7,836,099	2,441,950	5,394,149
MASSACHUSETTS 1/	10,131,082	1,884,150	4,048,233	4,198,699	177,950	0	177,950
MICHIGAN	79,889,087	0	0	79,889,087	1,090,518	400,767	689,751
MINNESOTA	63,513,934	0	1,012,495	62,501,439	4,385,168	(3,334,660)	7,719,828
MISSISSIPPI 1/	7,845,722	23,585	(100)	7,822,237	3,919,041	(44,948)	3,963,989
MISSOURI	29,799,202	0	0	29,799,202	0	0	0
MONTANA 1/	1,089,474	0	1,085,335	4,139	0	0	0
NEBRASKA 1/	11,849,707	11,849,707	0	0	0	0	0
NEVADA	1,313,688	0	0	1,313,688	347,468	0	347,468
NEW HAMPSHIRE	5,333,686	14,290	492,663	4,826,733	695,038	118,462	576,576
NEW JERSEY	27,847,966	0	2,428,748	25,419,218	3,911,120	819,567	3,091,553
NEW MEXICO	12,215,463	349,908	0	11,865,555	1,240,514	1,240,514	0
NEW YORK	143,835,166	22,526,649	1,583,961	119,724,556	14,794,285	8,350,922	6,443,363
NORTH CAROLINA	8,289,406	126	212,637	8,076,643	1,390,101	977,359	412,742
NORTH DAKOTA	2,620,876	0	25,422	2,595,454	1,001,952	0	1,001,952
OHIO	62,476,288	21,026,872	4,657,055	36,792,361	8,970,154	377	8,969,777
OKLAHOMA	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
OREGON 1/	18,065,014	1,278,784	1,687,566	15,098,664	1,336,219	1,336,219	0
PENNSYLVANIA	153,453,484	4,422,565	8,506,912	140,524,007	11,502,244	8,841,686	2,660,558
RHODE ISLAND	4,933,123	30,793	0	4,902,330	0	0	0
SOUTH CAROLINA	46,246,914	0	30,263,531	15,983,383	1,886,644	0	1,886,644
SOUTH DAKOTA	2,257,429	0	0	2,257,429	67,446	0	67,446
TENNESSEE	8,465,935	0	2,308,821	6,157,114	654,229	37,189	617,040
TEXAS 1/	82,907,074	4,755,699	15,424,751	62,726,624	2,501,296	0	2,501,296
UTAH	17,299,752	103,067	1,370,896	15,825,789	110,988	0	110,988
VERMONT	528,667	0	0	528,667	0	0	0
VIRGINIA	34,348,474	219,744	1,381,322	32,747,408	5,174,154	2,618,959	2,555,195
WASHINGTON	66,316,376	10,416,437	18,675,536	37,224,403	3,833,527	0	3,833,527
WEST VIRGINIA	2,594,386	0	(1)	2,594,387	0	0	0
WISCONSIN	20,138,648	29,326	1,182,384	18,926,938	2,104,892	0	2,104,892
WYOMING	386,222	0	0	386,222	189	0	189
Total	**1,701,913,299**	**86,313,842**	**220,872,624**	**1,394,726,833**	**135,417,625**	**22,164,244**	**113,253,381**
Percentage 2/	**20%**	**1%**	**3%**	**17%**	**1%**	**0%**	**1%**
Percentage 3/	**12%**	**1%**	**2%**	**10%**	**1%**	**0%**	**1%**

1/ Negative expenditures represent an accounting adjustment to prior year expenditures.
2/ The percentages shown are calculated as a proportion of total TANF expenditures on nonassistance.
3/ The percentages shown are calculated as a proportion of total TANF expenditures (Line 7).
Source: TANF Financial Report

Table 2:4:e (FY 2005)

TANF Financial Data
Combined Federal Funds Spent in FY 2005
Breakdown of Other Expenditures on Non-Assistance in FY 2005 Through the Fourth Quarter

Corresponds with the web version of Table A of the TANF Financial Report

Data reported by States in Column A on TANF Financial Report (Form ACF-196) Line Items

STATE	Line 6 TOTAL EXPENDITURES ON NON-ASSISTANCE	TOTAL OF OTHER CATEGORIES OF NON ASSISTANCE (Lines 6h - 6m)	Line 6h PREGNANCY PREVENTION	Line 6i 2 PARENT FORMATION	Line 6j ADMIN	% OF TOTAL EXPENDITURES SPENT ON ADMIN	Line 6k SYSTEMS	Line 6m OTHER
ALABAMA	36,324,339	30,417,760	1,898,583	0	8,093,647	10%	250,878	20,174,652
ALASKA	20,466,195	4,058,747	0	648,942	2,780,694	8%	245,078	384,033
ARIZONA	100,799,205	52,021,828	0	22,470	24,829,566	12%	2,060,832	25,108,960
ARKANSAS	30,651,343	15,167,677	572,528	1,950,164	6,517,296	15%	1,227,486	4,900,203
CALIFORNIA	1,255,310,283	594,551,064	20,242,332	0	257,250,414	9%	88,088,846	228,969,472
COLORADO	60,197,318	53,853,577	86,992	0	7,639,323	6%	8,537,928	37,589,334
CONNECTICUT	228,292,003	200,412,866	66,403,584	7,699,759	18,079,118	8%	0	108,230,405
DELAWARE	4,782,129	4,782,129	0	0	2,373,334	7%	2,408,795	0
DIST.OF COLUMBIA	64,201,069	25,009,452	1,303,058	1,506,550	6,260,050	8%	3,274,676	12,665,118
FLORIDA 2/	487,026,143	293,254,231	10,934,951	339,934	68,862,923	14%	2,272,702	210,843,721
GEORGIA	292,146,175	130,834,100	19,338,932	12,169,097	14,336,649	4%	1,011,331	83,978,091
HAWAII	24,580,191	7,295,387	0	0	4,866,464	9%	2,428,923	0
IDAHO	19,465,186	11,787,242	400,425	2,117,711	2,193	0%	521,945	8,744,968
ILLINOIS	493,312,374	72,609,034	1,200,000	0	16,365,112	3%	538,267	54,505,655
INDIANA	82,896,758	82,896,758	1,560,801	0	17,438,557	9%	7,080,804	56,816,596
IOWA	61,655,279	47,329,294	8,201,972	113,480	2,374,762	2%	992,026	35,647,054
KANSAS	22,552,452	18,920,829	0	0	7,908,573	10%	465,422	10,546,834
KENTUCKY	92,894,581	56,667,665	0	0	13,285,506	9%	2,861,823	40,520,336
LOUISIANA 2/	88,720,830	69,937,929	21,303,120	26,055,335	13,821,514	11%	2,121,629	6,636,331
MAINE 2/	21,528,197	5,916,696	0	0	5,916,696	7%	0	0
MARYLAND	79,172,213	43,908,647	388,788	21,050,783	21,211,619	12%	1,257,457	0
MASSACHUSETTS	188,184,578	43,308,395	561,344	0	11,107,162	3%	0	31,639,889
MICHIGAN	440,094,872	265,741,483	23,471,382	30,969,338	78,867,372	12%	5,267,834	127,165,557
MINNESOTA	152,701,746	31,492,702	0	0	25,188,330	12%	746,013	5,558,359
MISSISSIPPI	30,280,670	16,726,731	2,864,515	4,430,869	2,945,651	5%	379,671	6,106,025
MISSOURI	92,737,711	10,162,248	0	0	6,200,113	4%	3,962,135	0
MONTANA 2/	7,768,361	5,077,760	384,175	0	4,575,869	16%	(73,879)	191,595
NEBRASKA	17,602,582	5,752,875	0	0	3,958,308	8%	1,794,567	0
NEVADA	23,431,384	20,487,394	331,583	0	6,307,355	15%	6,226,528	7,621,928
NEW HAMPSHIRE	12,667,434	6,610,009	1,064,128	0	2,015,498	7%	1,614,342	1,916,041
NEW JERSEY	126,355,419	93,692,851	13,984,283	2,808,151	57,791,480	11%	7,483,179	11,625,758
NEW MEXICO	40,273,767	26,817,790	1,249,579	0	6,721,177	7%	481,955	18,365,079
NEW YORK	785,941,929	496,740,935	39,363,645	133,612	185,718,815	9%	14,289,168	257,235,695
NORTH CAROLINA	158,894,060	28,197,833	51,920	56,626	18,206,012	7%	592,389	9,290,886
NORTH DAKOTA	11,156,114	5,097,216	0	1,033,798	2,890,098	12%	537,834	635,486
OHIO	446,575,204	221,291,185	985,179	5,834,004	87,660,816	15%	1,113,289	125,697,897
OKLAHOMA	29,923,213	28,698,927	741,885	1,769,483	4,488,481	4%	2,027,605	19,671,473
OREGON	81,723,814	61,836,637	0	0	14,623,712	8%	3,984,236	43,228,689
PENNSYLVANIA	573,716,323	89,953,603	29,363,540	2,583,193	47,109,423	6%	8,827,597	2,069,850
RHODE ISLAND	25,722,078	20,788,955	0	0	7,882,815	10%	2,354,535	10,551,605
SOUTH CAROLINA	131,648,975	83,515,417	6,873,863	0	10,212,082	6%	5,137,324	61,292,148
SOUTH DAKOTA	5,636,254	3,311,379	567,038	0	1,620,189	7%	0	1,124,152
TENNESSEE	35,390,502	13,966,965	0	0	9,237,609	10%	2,467,332	2,262,024
TEXAS	332,545,763	192,750,190	7,588,947	39,112	72,568,951	15%	6,698,870	105,854,310
UTAH	33,899,821	16,062,488	279,597	113,379	9,421,252	11%	6,239,460	8,800
VERMONT	8,296,299	5,157,663	0	0	4,978,551	14%	179,112	0
VIRGINIA	79,468,436	33,549,332	635,867	0	22,079,126	15%	1,502,042	9,332,297
WASHINGTON	148,402,803	20,716,473	0	0	17,058,366	6%	3,658,107	0
WEST VIRGINIA 2/	60,445,486	39,786,340	(100,000)	15,282,584	11,565,798	13%	7,387,896	5,650,062
WISCONSIN	185,066,829	45,231,446	2,168,712	6,074,213	23,050,244	9%	7,434,492	6,503,785
WYOMING	17,178,663	15,352,252	0	0	449,648	2%	9,185	14,893,419
Total	**7,850,705,353**	**3,769,508,386**	**286,267,248**	**144,802,587**	**1,276,714,313**		**229,969,666**	**1,831,754,572**
Percentage 1/	**56%**	**27%**	**2%**	**1%**	**9%**	**-**	**2%**	**13%**

1/ The percentages shown are calculated as a proportion of total TANF expenditures (Line 7).
2/ Negative expenditures represent an accounting adjustment to prior year expenditures.
Source: TANF Financial Report

Table 2:5 (FY 2005)

TANF Financial Data
State Maintenance of Effort (MOE) Expenditures in the TANF Program in FY 2005
Expenditure of State Funds in FY 2005 Through the Fourth Quarter

Corresponds with the web version of Table B of the TANF Financial Report

Data reported by States in Column B on TANF Financial Report (Form ACF-196) Line Items

STATE	Line 5 TOTAL EXPENDITURES ON ASSISTANCE (Sum of line 5a, 5b & 5c)	Line 5a BASIC ASSISTANCE	Line 5b CHILD CARE	Line 5c TRANSPORTATION AND SUPPORTIVE SERVICES	Line 6 TOTAL EXPENDITURES ON NON-ASSISTANCE (See Tables B1 and B2)	Line 7 TOTAL EXPENDITURES (Assistance and Non)
ALABAMA	1,933,033	8	25,256	1,907,769	36,432,180	38,365,213
ALASKA	34,874,204	31,863,080	3,011,124	0	5,912,383	40,786,587
ARIZONA	63,717,023	63,432,084	0	284,939	24,426,580	88,143,603
ARKANSAS	5,281,570	5,281,570	0	0	17,476,713	22,758,283
CALIFORNIA 1/	1,858,767,922	1,851,621,287	2,951,537	4,195,098	586,378,306	2,445,146,228
COLORADO	18,015,683	17,032,587	0	983,096	75,074,846	93,090,529
CONNECTICUT	103,458,512	92,957,889	1,365,291	9,135,332	75,737,330	179,195,842
DELAWARE	10,188,588	2,870,891	4,410,677	2,907,020	17,783,849	27,972,437
DIST.OF COLUMBIA	48,610,000	48,610,000	0	0	24,795,358	73,405,358
FLORIDA	188,156,622	188,039,789	116,833	0	169,769,703	357,926,325
GEORGIA	98,033,702	70,135,953	22,182,651	5,715,098	75,702,741	173,736,443
HAWAII	23,597,544	23,597,544	0	0	9,294,450	32,891,994
IDAHO	0	0	0	0	13,025,379	13,025,379
ILLINOIS	48,101,473	47,607,891	0	493,582	377,763,648	425,865,121
INDIANA 1/	5,841,973	3,684,395	0	2,157,578	53,011,982	58,853,955
IOWA 1/	29,487,846	29,487,846	0	0	15,135,522	44,623,368
KANSAS	40,435,484	32,545,382	7,890,102	0	33,147,449	73,582,933
KENTUCKY	71,176,243	71,176,677	(434)	0	736,857	71,913,100
LOUISIANA	10,809,866	10,809,866	0	0	18,471,857	29,281,723
MAINE	18,814,018	17,007,069	0	1,806,949	161,107	18,975,125
MARYLAND	10,815,801	10,815,801	0	0	50,781,686	61,597,487
MASSACHUSETTS	204,789,617	191,719,285	12,892,469	177,863	147,849,239	352,638,856
MICHIGAN	214,355,912	214,355,912	0	0	241,933,742	456,289,654
MINNESOTA	56,384,341	56,384,341	0	0	102,305,160	158,689,501
MISSISSIPPI	291,160	1,160	290,000	0	21,435,499	21,726,659
MISSOURI	36,863,975	36,863,975	0	0	73,868,524	110,732,499
MONTANA 1/	2,808,134	1,494,144	1,313,990	0	12,832,911	15,641,045
NEBRASKA	16,704,412	16,704,412	0	0	6,498,998	23,203,410
NEVADA	16,476,428	14,312,688	2,163,740	0	5,921,403	22,397,831
NEW HAMPSHIRE	17,002,078	17,002,078	0	0	14,548,712	31,550,790
NEW JERSEY	87,203,941	57,996,800	25,249,285	3,957,856	368,018,111	455,222,052
NEW MEXICO	26,157,518	23,262,260	2,895,258	0	8,774,004	34,931,522
NEW YORK	436,136,511	436,136,511	0	0	282,817,117	718,953,628
NORTH CAROLINA	18,830,682	18,830,682	0	0	177,311,670	196,142,352
NORTH DAKOTA	7,923,914	6,906,878	1,017,036	0	1,145,446	9,069,360
OHIO	180,341,435	177,957,982	0	2,383,453	209,859,588	390,201,023
OKLAHOMA	49,818,362	11,533,809	29,148,792	9,135,761	11,258,422	61,076,784
OREGON	35,547,135	27,155,919	5,986,558	2,404,658	56,089,164	91,636,299
PENNSYLVANIA	230,762,779	215,424,566	0	15,338,213	176,362,821	407,125,600
RHODE ISLAND	5,830,010	2,074,927	3,657,164	97,919	63,760,539	69,590,549
SOUTH CAROLINA	38,791,994	37,953,830	0	838,164	19,451,871	58,243,865
SOUTH DAKOTA	6,042,843	5,239,929	802,914	0	2,497,157	8,540,000
TENNESSEE	75,283,281	59,516,946	13,311,086	2,455,249	59,046,101	134,329,382
TEXAS	75,743,797	75,743,797	0	0	284,116,284	359,860,081
UTAH	2,013,445	2,013,445	0	0	22,358,379	24,371,824
VERMONT	13,465,491	9,349,032	0	4,116,459	8,246,832	21,712,323
VIRGINIA	6,093,918	6,093,918	0	0	67,158,395	73,252,313
WASHINGTON	141,099,436	141,099,436	0	0	114,644,706	255,744,142
WEST VIRGINIA	23,504,953	20,533,561	2,971,392	0	6,000,115	29,505,068
WISCONSIN	30,922,555	30,922,555	0	0	143,991,322	174,913,877
WYOMING	5,162,599	3,608,892	1,553,707	0	4,500,354	9,662,953
Total	**4,752,469,763**	**4,536,771,279**	**145,206,428**	**70,492,056**	**4,395,622,512**	**9,148,092,275**
Percentages 2/	**52%**	**50%**	**2%**	**1%**	**48%**	**100%**

1/ Negative expenditures represent an accounting adjustment to prior year expenditures.

2/ Percentages show what proportion the State total in each column is the Total State TANF MOE Expenditures as reported in Line 7.

Source: TANF Financial Report

Table 2:5:1:a (FY 2005)

TANF Financial Data
State Maintenance of Effort (MOE) Expenditures in the TANF Program in FY 2005
Expenditures on Non-Assistance in FY 2005 Through the Fourth Quarter

Corresponds with the web version of Table B1 of the TANF Financial Report
Data reported by States in Column B on TANF Financial Report (Form ACF-196) Line Items

STATE	Line 6 TOTAL EXPENDITURES ON NON-ASSISTANCE (sum of 6a-6m)	Line 6a WORK RELATED ACTIVITIES (break down on table B2)	Line 6b CHILD CARE	Line 6c TRANSPORTATION (break down on table B2)	Line 6d IDA	Line 6e REFUNDABLE EITC	Line 6f OTHER REFUNDABLE TAX CREDIT
ALABAMA	36,432,180	10,332,161	6,206,775	43,907	0	0	0
ALASKA	5,912,383	2,514,309	0	0	0	0	0
ARIZONA	24,426,580	3,989,069	0	4,476	0	0	0
ARKANSAS	17,476,713	166,832	14,843,469	2,207,698	0	0	0
CALIFORNIA	586,378,306	31,199,975	248,670,644	8,500,006	0	0	0
COLORADO	75,074,846	198,293	141,467	(14,947)	0	130,716	4,920,989
CONNECTICUT	75,737,330	15,385,961	0	0	0	0	0
DELAWARE	17,783,849	0	16,804,700	0	0	0	0
DIST.OF COLUMBIA	24,795,358	347,988	19,080,565	0	0	0	0
FLORIDA	169,769,703	0	123,255,121	0	0	0	0
GEORGIA	75,702,741	17,715,984	0	892,813	0	0	0
HAWAII	9,294,450	4,070,298	0	491,945	0	0	0
IDAHO	13,025,379	2,017,066	793,766	265,862	0	0	0
ILLINOIS	377,763,648	11,954,809	249,915,971	86,845	0	108,365	0
INDIANA	53,011,982	2,547,721	15,250,027	1,109,090	0	0	0
IOWA	15,135,522	5,100,635	0	0	0	0	0
KANSAS	33,147,449	0	0	0	0	0	27,407,123
KENTUCKY	736,857	305,820	0	0	0	0	0
LOUISIANA	18,471,857	0	5,219,488	0	0	0	0
MAINE	161,107	623	0	0	0	0	0
MARYLAND	50,781,686	1,762,763	29,182,445	737,797	0	0	0
MASSACHUSETTS	147,849,239	8,963,753	32,088,890	283,153	0	69,887,916	0
MICHIGAN	241,933,742	3,860,891	188,084,202	375,791	0	0	0
MINNESOTA	102,305,160	7,286,782	39,981,436	0	0	35,532,713	0
MISSISSIPPI	21,435,499	7,265,602	2,845,430	9,320,000	0	0	0
MISSOURI	73,868,524	2,453,210	61,268,029	0	0	0	0
MONTANA	12,832,911	10,104,433	0	0	0	0	0
NEBRASKA 1/	6,498,998	0	6,498,998	0	0	0	0
NEVADA	5,921,403	0	1,843,185	0	0	0	0
NEW HAMPSHIRE	14,548,712	3,432,360	4,581,866	356,608	0	0	0
NEW JERSEY	368,018,111	17,574,279	0	642,547	0	0	0
NEW MEXICO	8,774,004	0	0	0	0	0	0
NEW YORK	282,817,117	56,361,026	0	0	0	0	0
NORTH CAROLINA	177,311,670	54,079,222	80,018,527	5,076,285	0	0	0
NORTH DAKOTA	1,145,446	0	0	0	0	0	0
OHIO	209,859,588	15,222,657	102,934,376	3,131,527	0	0	0
OKLAHOMA	11,258,422	0	0	0	406	0	0
OREGON 1/	56,089,164	4,210,392	72,093	405,783	0	0	3,523,890
PENNSYLVANIA	176,362,821	26,314,628	100,817,510	1,293,425	0	0	0
RHODE ISLAND	63,760,539	2,160,901	47,685,131	0	0	0	0
SOUTH CAROLINA	19,451,871	9,236,347	4,085,272	393,469	0	0	0
SOUTH DAKOTA	2,497,157	1,148,711	0	67,446	0	0	0
TENNESSEE	59,046,101	16,275,376	5,664,696	1,519,969	0	0	0
TEXAS	284,116,284	3,166,411	22,610,937	0	0	0	0
UTAH	22,358,379	13,438,132	4,475,163	0	0	0	0
VERMONT	8,246,832	110,331	6,281,499	0	0	0	0
VIRGINIA	67,158,395	16,644,268	21,328,766	2,259,304	3,275	0	0
WASHINGTON	114,644,706	27,377,746	38,707,605	0	49,485	0	0
WEST VIRGINIA	6,000,115	0	0	0	0	0	0
WISCONSIN	143,991,322	12,763,792	114,547,318	726,001	0	0	0
WYOMING 1/	4,500,354	23	0	0	0	0	0
Total	**4,395,622,512**	**429,061,580**	**1,615,785,367**	**40,176,800**	**53,166**	**105,659,710**	**35,852,002**
Percentages 2/	**48%**	**5%**	**18%**	**0%**	**0%**	**1%**	**0%**

1/ Negative expenditures represent an accounting adjustment to prior year expenditures.
2/ The percentages show what portion of the total State expenditures in TANF (Line 7, Table C) is represented by this category of expenditures.
Source: TANF Financial Report

Table 2:5:1:b (FY 2005)

TANF Financial Data
State Maintenance of Effort (MOE) Expenditures in the TANF Program in FY 2005
Expenditures on Non-Assistance in FY 2005 Through the Fourth Quarter

Corresponds with the web version of Table B1 of the TANF Financial Report
Data reported by States in Column B on TANF Financial Report (Form ACF-196) Line Items

STATE	Line 6 TOTAL EXPENDITURES ON NON-ASSISTANCE (sum of 6a - 6m)	Line 6g NON-RECURRENT SHORT TERM BENEFITS	Line 6h PREGNANCY PREVENTION	Line 6i 2 PARENT FORMATION	Line 6j ADMIN	Line6k SYSTEMS	Line 6m OTHER
ALABAMA	36,432,180	0	0	0	3,753,646	283,209	15,812,482
ALASKA	5,912,383	622,307	0	0	2,691,819	83,948	0
ARIZONA	24,426,580	0	0	0	10,859,328	737,189	8,836,518
ARKANSAS	17,476,713	25,672	0	0	977	183	231,882
CALIFORNIA	586,378,306	81,508	840,811	0	184,874,556	0	112,210,806
COLORADO	75,074,846	482,312	13,639	0	4,437,696	442,023	64,322,658
CONNECTICUT	75,737,330	0	0	0	10,623,797	558,852	49,168,720
DELAWARE	17,783,849	0	0	0	469,144	510,005	0
DIST.OF COLUMBIA	24,795,358	0	0	0	4,873,981	492,824	0
FLORIDA	169,769,703	963,848	0	0	21,059,189	763,797	23,727,748
GEORGIA	75,702,741	0	153	0	2,661,022	996,589	53,436,180
HAWAII	9,294,450	0	0	0	4,154,783	577,424	0
IDAHO	13,025,379	248,032	0	0	1,023,318	663,552	8,013,783
ILLINOIS	377,763,648	26,421	0	0	5,910,814	336,151	109,424,272
INDIANA	53,011,982	0	0	0	14,599,064	1,354,872	18,151,208
IOWA	15,135,522	0	0	0	9,294,491	740,396	0
KANSAS	33,147,449	0	0	0	0	0	5,740,326
KENTUCKY	736,857	0	0	0	425,708	5,329	0
LOUISIANA 1/	18,471,857	0	0	2,490,253	6,467,693	1,950,190	2,344,233
MAINE	161,107	160,484	0	0	0	0	0
MARYLAND	50,781,686	3,494,886	0	127,540	11,152,306	513,915	3,810,034
MASSACHUSETTS	147,849,239	19,337,834	0	0	17,287,693	0	0
MICHIGAN	241,933,742	0	0	0	8,564,654	1,822,555	39,225,649
MINNESOTA	102,305,160	262,780	0	0	18,495,436	746,013	0
MISSISSIPPI	21,435,499	0	0	0	1,764,846	239,621	0
MISSOURI	73,868,524	0	0	0	6,185,150	3,962,135	0
MONTANA	12,832,911	507,737	0	0	345,056	483,469	1,392,216
NEBRASKA	6,498,998	0	0	0	0	0	0
NEVADA	5,921,403	0	0	0	4,078,218	0	0
NEW HAMPSHIRE	14,548,712	0	121,463	0	2,420,575	1,076,228	2,559,612
NEW JERSEY 1/	368,018,111	485,404	333,937,743	0	13,145,493	1,484,238	748,407
NEW MEXICO	8,774,004	0	0	0	0	0	8,774,004
NEW YORK	282,817,117	24,524,249	0	0	164,976,160	4,541,692	32,413,990
NORTH CAROLINA	177,311,670	4,968,091	0	0	19,270,224	1,040,316	12,859,005
NORTH DAKOTA	1,145,446	0	0	1,145,446	0	0	0
OHIO	209,859,588	11,913,492	487,796	2,875,407	43,498,784	32,985	29,762,564
OKLAHOMA	11,258,422	651,313	394,922	941,940	9,161,517	108,324	0
OREGON	56,089,164	0	0	0	8,197,701	114,974	39,564,331
PENNSYLVANIA	176,362,821	4,400,767	0	0	39,357,576	4,178,915	0
RHODE ISLAND	63,760,539	0	0	0	3,290,460	1,008,744	9,615,303
SOUTH CAROLINA	19,451,871	0	0	0	4,007,648	1,729,135	0
SOUTH DAKOTA	2,497,157	0	0	0	1,281,000	0	0
TENNESSEE	59,046,101	0	0	0	14,578,986	2,367,692	18,639,382
TEXAS	284,116,284	0	0	0	41,769,890	0	216,569,046
UTAH	22,358,379	426,354	0	74,884	3,660,750	283,096	0
VERMONT	8,246,832	330,051	0	0	1,129,024	395,927	0
VIRGINIA	67,158,395	13,254	9,863	0	20,832,595	2,119,574	3,947,496
WASHINGTON	114,644,706	8,585,308	0	0	18,455,520	6,180,472	15,288,570
WEST VIRGINIA	6,000,115	0	0	0	5,166,968	833,147	0
WISCONSIN	143,991,322	2,425,860	0	8,276,319	5,099,705	0	152,327
WYOMING	4,500,354	0	0	0	481,347	89,081	3,929,903
Total	**4,395,622,512**	**84,937,964**	**335,806,390**	**15,931,789**	**775,836,308**	**45,848,781**	**910,672,655**
Percentages 2/	**48%**	**1%**	**4%**	**0%**	**8%**	**1%**	**10%**

1/ Negative expenditures represent an accounting adjustment to prior year expenditures.
2/ The percentages show what portion of the total State expenditures in TANF (Line 7, Table C) is represented by this category of expenditures.
Source: TANF Financial Report

Table 2:5:2 (FY 2005)

TANF Financial Data
State Maintenance of Effort (MOE) Expenditures in the TANF Program in FY 2005
Expenditures on Non-Assistance: Detailed Breakdown of Lines 6A and 6C in FY 2005 Through the Fourth Quarter

Corresponds with the web version of Table B2 of the TANF Financial Report
Data reported by States in Column B on TANF Financial Report (Form ACF-196) Line Items

STATE	Line 6a TOTAL EXPENDITURES ON WORK RELATED ACTIVITIES	Line 6a1 WORK SUBSIDIES	Line 6a2 EDUCATION AND TRAINING	Line 6a3 OTHER WORK ACTIVITIES/ EXPENSES	Line 6c TOTAL EXPENDITURES ON TRANSPORTATION	Line 6c1 JOB ACCESS	Line 6c2 OTHER
ALABAMA	10,332,161	0	0	10,332,161	43,907	0	43,907
ALASKA	2,514,309	0	0	2,514,309	0	0	0
ARIZONA	3,989,069	0	13,667	3,975,402	4,476	0	4,476
ARKANSAS	166,832	18,780	28,661	119,391	2,207,698	0	2,207,698
CALIFORNIA 1/	31,199,975	0	24,352,843	6,847,132	8,500,006	0	8,500,006
COLORADO	198,293	0	177,524	20,769	(14,947)	0	(14,947)
CONNECTICUT 1/	15,385,961	0	0	15,385,961	0	0	0
DELAWARE	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
DIST.OF COLUMBIA	347,988	0	0	347,988	0	0	0
FLORIDA	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
GEORGIA 1/	17,715,984	0	8,498,882	9,217,102	892,813	0	892,813
HAWAII	4,070,298	0	32,578	4,037,720	491,945	0	491,945
IDAHO	2,017,066	0	336,259	1,680,807	265,862	265,862	0
ILLINOIS	11,954,809	0	2,721,734	9,233,075	86,845	0	86,845
INDIANA	2,547,721	0	1,595	2,546,126	1,109,090	0	1,109,090
IOWA 1/	5,100,635	0	0	5,100,635	0	0	0
KANSAS	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
KENTUCKY	305,820	0	0	305,820	0	0	0
LOUISIANA	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
MAINE	623	0	0	623	0	0	0
MARYLAND	1,762,763	216,527	0	1,546,236	737,797	0	737,797
MASSACHUSETTS	8,963,753	2,061,443	4,183,314	2,718,996	283,153	0	283,153
MICHIGAN	3,860,891	0	0	3,860,891	375,791	0	375,791
MINNESOTA	7,286,782	0	0	7,286,782	0	0	0
MISSISSIPPI	7,265,602	70,000	1,506,754	5,688,848	9,320,000	0	9,320,000
MISSOURI	2,453,210	0	0	2,453,210	0	0	0
MONTANA	10,104,433	0	7,775,627	2,328,806	0	0	0
NEBRASKA 1/	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
NEVADA	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
NEW HAMPSHIRE	3,432,360	9,526	328,442	3,094,392	356,608	0	356,608
NEW JERSEY	17,574,279	0	656,933	16,917,346	642,547	0	642,547
NEW MEXICO	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
NEW YORK	56,361,026	0	0	56,361,026	0	0	0
NORTH CAROLINA	54,079,222	6,602	1,833,262	52,239,358	5,076,285	5,076,285	0
NORTH DAKOTA	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
OHIO	15,222,657	49,362	1,443,394	13,729,901	3,131,527	480	3,131,047
OKLAHOMA	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
OREGON 1/	4,210,392	419,351	1,307,737	2,483,304	405,783	405,783	0
PENNSYLVANIA	26,314,628	0	0	26,314,628	1,293,425	0	1,293,425
RHODE ISLAND	2,160,901	0	0	2,160,901	0	0	0
SOUTH CAROLINA	9,236,347	0	7,083,295	2,153,052	393,469	0	393,469
SOUTH DAKOTA	1,148,711	0	0	1,148,711	67,446	0	67,446
TENNESSEE	16,275,376	0	6,676,242	9,599,134	1,519,969	74,069	1,445,900
TEXAS	3,166,411	0	3,166,411	0	0	0	0
UTAH	13,438,132	101,799	372,526	12,963,807	0	0	0
VERMONT	110,331	0	0	110,331	0	0	0
VIRGINIA	16,644,268	1,500	47,467	16,595,301	2,259,304	129,074	2,130,230
WASHINGTON	27,377,746	0	330,915	27,046,831	0	0	0
WEST VIRGINIA	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
WISCONSIN 1/	12,763,792	10,917	484,051	12,268,824	726,001	0	726,001
WYOMING 1/	23	0	0	23	0	0	0
Total	**429,061,580**	**2,965,807**	**73,360,113**	**352,735,660**	**40,176,800**	**5,951,553**	**34,225,247**
Percentages 2/	**5%**	**0%**	**1%**	**4%**	**0%**	**0%**	**0%**

1/ Negative expenditures represent an accounting adjustment to prior year expenditures.
2/ The Percentage calculation are based on Total Expenditures (Line 7).
Source: TANF Financial Report

Table 2:6 (FY 2005)

TANF Financial Data
State Maintenance of Effort (MOE) Expenditures in Separate State Programs in FY 2005
Expenditure of State Funds in FY 2005 Through the Fourth Quarter

Corresponds with the web version of Table C of the TANF Financial Report

Data reported by States in Column C on TANF Financial Report (Form ACF-196) Line Items

STATE	Line 5 TOTAL EXPENDITURES ON ASSISTANCE (Sum of line 5a, 5b & 5c)	Line 5a BASIC ASSISTANCE	Line 5b CHILD CARE	Line 5c TRANSPORTATION AND SUPPORTIVE SERVICES	Line 6 TOTAL EXPENDITURES ON NON-ASSISTANCE (See Tables C1 and C2)	Line 7 TOTAL EXPENDITURES (Assistance and Non)
ALABAMA	778,306	776,669	1,637	0	70,599	848,905
ALASKA	0	0	0	0	0	0
ARIZONA	0	0	0	0	10,032,936	10,032,936
ARKANSAS	0	0	0	0	0	0
CALIFORNIA 1/	339,618,511	329,062,401	5,221,688	5,334,422	82,775,395	422,393,906
COLORADO	0	0	0	0	0	0
CONNECTICUT	27,827,813	24,976,782	0	2,851,031	11,656,663	39,484,476
DELAWARE	675,971	675,971	0	0	0	675,971
DIST.OF COLUMBIA	1,613,177	1,613,177	0	0	675,091	2,288,268
FLORIDA	10,616,730	10,616,730	0	0	0	10,616,730
GEORGIA	844,217	844,217	0	0	0	844,217
HAWAII	26,399,257	26,399,257	0	0	12,779,642	39,178,899
IDAHO	0	0	0	0	0	0
ILLINOIS	3,474,763	3,333,046	0	141,717	748,309	4,223,072
INDIANA	7,104,751	6,895,164	0	209,587	55,455,765	62,560,516
IOWA 1/	10,737,007	8,295,892	0	2,441,115	6,602,896	17,339,903
KANSAS	0	0	0	0	0	0
KENTUCKY	0	0	0	0	0	0
LOUISIANA	0	0	0	0	26,133,405	26,133,405
MAINE	15,388,052	12,939,637	855,505	1,592,910	3,165,812	18,553,864
MARYLAND 1/	22,200,179	22,200,179	0	0	94,693,998	116,894,177
MASSACHUSETTS	6,308,667	6,308,667	0	0	0	6,308,667
MICHIGAN	0	0	0	0	47,665,826	47,665,826
MINNESOTA 1/	19,253,391	19,253,391	0	0	0	19,253,391
MISSISSIPPI	0	0	0	0	0	0
MISSOURI	10,013,456	10,013,456	0	0	7,382,871	17,396,327
MONTANA	0	0	0	0	0	0
NEBRASKA	7,259,824	7,259,824	0	0	0	7,259,824
NEVADA	4,790,290	4,790,290	0	0	0	4,790,290
NEW HAMPSHIRE	949,523	949,523	0	0	0	949,523
NEW JERSEY 1/	18,131,075	16,634,517	1,124,893	371,665	2,977,625	21,108,700
NEW MEXICO	0	0	0	0	0	0
NEW YORK	481,489,399	379,505,401	101,983,998	0	756,190,518	1,237,679,917
NORTH CAROLINA	0	0	0	0	291,082	291,082
NORTH DAKOTA	0	0	0	0	0	0
OHIO	0	0	0	0	4,087,700	4,087,700
OKLAHOMA	0	0	0	0	0	0
OREGON	0	0	0	0	0	0
PENNSYLVANIA	0	0	0	0	0	0
RHODE ISLAND	13,970,562	13,970,562	0	0	2,547,854	16,518,416
SOUTH CAROLINA	6,233,646	6,218,632	0	15,014	72,197	6,305,843
SOUTH DAKOTA	0	0	0	0	0	0
TENNESSEE	2,983,094	2,894,077	0	89,017	1,010,409	3,993,503
TEXAS	8,932,893	8,932,893	0	0	218,227	9,151,120
UTAH	271,877	247,494	0	24,383	245,336	517,213
VERMONT	3,248,240	2,842,944	0	405,296	8,028,004	11,276,244
VIRGINIA	69,268,228	69,268,228	0	0	0	69,268,228
WASHINGTON	2,389,163	2,389,163	0	0	0	2,389,163
WEST VIRGINIA	4,941,376	4,941,376	0	0	0	4,941,376
WISCONSIN	3,697,471	3,697,471	0	0	1,414,330	5,111,801
WYOMING	19,521	19,521	0	0	0	19,521
Total	**1,131,430,430**	**1,008,766,552**	**109,187,721**	**13,476,157**	**1,136,922,490**	**2,268,352,920**
Percentages 2/	**50%**	**44%**	**5%**	**1%**	**50%**	**100%**

1/ Negative expenditures represent an accounting adjustment to prior year expenditures.

2/ Percentages show what proportion the State total in each column is the Total State TANF MOE Expenditures as reported in Line 7.

Source: TANF Financial Report

Table 2:6:1:a (FY 2005)

TANF Financial Data
State Maintenance of Effort (MOE) Expenditures in Separate State Programs in FY 2005
Expenditure of State Funds in FY 2005 Through the Fourth Quarter

Corresponds with the web version of Table C of the TANF Financial Report
Data reported by States in Column C on TANF Financial Report (Form ACF-196) Line Items

STATE	Line 6 TOTAL EXPENDITURES ON NON-ASSISTANCE (sum of 6a - 6m)	Line 6a WORK RELATED ACTIVITIES (break down on table C2)	Line 6b CHILD CARE	Line 6c TRANSPORTATION (break down on table B2)	Line 6d IDA	Line 6e REFUNDABLE EITC	Line 6f OTHER REFUNDABLE TAX CREDIT
ALABAMA	70,599	11,087	374	59,138	0	0	0
ALASKA	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
ARIZONA	10,032,936	0	10,032,936	0	0	0	0
ARKANSAS	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
CALIFORNIA 1/	82,775,395	28,087,258	9,143,549	3,026,222	0	0	0
COLORADO	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
CONNECTICUT	11,656,663	743,911	10,912,752	0	0	0	0
DELAWARE	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
DIST.OF COLUMBIA	675,091	675,091	0	0	0	0	0
FLORIDA	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
GEORGIA	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
HAWAII	12,779,642	0	10,556,383	0	0	0	0
IDAHO	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
ILLINOIS	748,309	23,407	0	21,135	0	0	0
INDIANA 1/	55,455,765	4,793,332	0	68,594	514,407	36,059,657	0
IOWA	6,602,896	253,613	5,078,586	1,270,697	0	0	0
KANSAS	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
KENTUCKY	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
LOUISIANA	26,133,405	0	0	0	0	0	0
MAINE	3,165,812	0	1,996,179	1,169,633	0	0	0
MARYLAND	94,693,998	0	0	0	0	91,970,465	0
MASSACHUSETTS	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
MICHIGAN	47,665,826	0	0	0	0	0	0
MINNESOTA 1/	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
MISSISSIPPI	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
MISSOURI	7,382,871	0	0	0	0	0	0
MONTANA	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
NEBRASKA	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
NEVADA	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
NEW HAMPSHIRE	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
NEW JERSEY 1/	2,977,625	400	0	0	0	0	0
NEW MEXICO	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
NEW YORK	756,190,518	0	0	0	0	532,407,493	206,371,920
NORTH CAROLINA	291,082	0	0	0	0	0	0
NORTH DAKOTA	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
OHIO	4,087,700	0	0	0	0	0	0
OKLAHOMA	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
OREGON	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
PENNSYLVANIA	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
RHODE ISLAND	2,547,854	0	0	0	0	0	0
SOUTH CAROLINA	72,197	66,416	0	5,781	0	0	0
SOUTH DAKOTA	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
TENNESSEE	1,010,409	703,337	0	51,379	0	0	0
TEXAS	218,227	0	0	0	0	0	0
UTAH	245,336	168,352	0	0	0	0	0
VERMONT	8,028,004	0	0	0	0	8,028,004	0
VIRGINIA	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
WASHINGTON	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
WEST VIRGINIA	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
WISCONSIN	1,414,330	377,279	0	0	0	0	0
WYOMING	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Total	**1,136,922,490**	**35,903,483**	**47,720,759**	**5,672,579**	**514,407**	**668,465,619**	**206,371,920**
Percentages 2/	**50%**	**2%**	**2%**	**0%**	**0%**	**29%**	**9%**

1/ Negative expenditures represent an accounting adjustment to prior year expenditures.
2/ The percentages show what portion of the total State expenditures in separate State programs (Line 7) is represented by this category of expenditures.
Source: TANF Financial Report

Table 2:6:1:b (FY 2005)

TANF Financial Data
State Maintenance of Effort (MOE) Expenditures in Separate State Programs in FY 2005
Expenditure of State Funds in FY 2005 Through the Fourth Quarter

Corresponds with the web version of Table C of the TANF Financial Report
Data reported by States in Column C on TANF Financial Report (Form ACF-196) Line Items

STATE	Line 6 TOTAL EXPENDITURES ON NON-ASSISTANCE (sum of 6a - 6m)	Line 6g NON-RECURRENT SHORT TERM BENEFITS	Line 6h PREGNANCY PREVENTION	Line 6i 2 PARENT FORMATION	Line 6j ADMIN	Line6k SYSTEMS	Line 6m OTHER
ALABAMA	70,599	0	0	0	0	0	0
ALASKA	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
ARIZONA	10,032,936	0	0	0	0	0	0
ARKANSAS	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
CALIFORNIA	82,775,395	165,891	915,034	62,707	25,781,971	1,352,718	14,240,045
COLORADO	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
CONNECTICUT	11,656,663	0	0	0	0	0	0
DELAWARE	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
DIST.OF COLUMBIA	675,091	0	0	0	0	0	0
FLORIDA	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
GEORGIA	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
HAWAII	12,779,642	0	0	0	1,983,181	240,078	0
IDAHO	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
ILLINOIS	748,309	200,733	0	0	475,991	27,043	0
INDIANA	55,455,765	0	0	0	66,950	0	13,952,825
IOWA	6,602,896	0	0	0	0	0	0
KANSAS	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
KENTUCKY	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
LOUISIANA	26,133,405	0	1,380,254	0	1,787,576	0	22,965,575
MAINE	3,165,812	0	0	0	0	0	0
MARYLAND	94,693,998	903,274	0	0	1,820,259	0	0
MASSACHUSETTS	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
MICHIGAN	47,665,826	0	41,723,285	5,942,541	0	0	0
MINNESOTA 1/	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
MISSISSIPPI	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
MISSOURI	7,382,871	0	0	7,382,871	0	0	0
MONTANA	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
NEBRASKA	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
NEVADA	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
NEW HAMPSHIRE	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
NEW JERSEY 1/	2,977,625	0	0	0	2,669,553	307,672	0
NEW MEXICO	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
NEW YORK	756,190,518	6,141,898	0	0	11,269,207	0	0
NORTH CAROLINA	291,082	0	0	0	0	0	291,082
NORTH DAKOTA	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
OHIO	4,087,700	0	0	0	0	0	4,087,700
OKLAHOMA	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
OREGON	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
PENNSYLVANIA	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
RHODE ISLAND	2,547,854	0	0	0	0	0	2,547,854
SOUTH CAROLINA	72,197	0	0	0	0	0	0
SOUTH DAKOTA	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
TENNESSEE	1,010,409	0	0	0	181,139	757	73,797
TEXAS	218,227	0	0	0	218,227	0	0
UTAH	245,336	4,379	0	0	72,605	0	0
VERMONT	8,028,004	0	0	0	0	0	0
VIRGINIA	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
WASHINGTON	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
WEST VIRGINIA	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
WISCONSIN	1,414,330	0	0	0	1,111	0	1,035,940
WYOMING	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Total	**1,136,922,490**	**7,416,175**	**44,018,573**	**13,388,119**	**46,327,770**	**1,928,268**	**59,194,818**
Percentages 2/	**50%**	**0%**	**2%**	**1%**	**2%**	**0%**	**3%**

1/ Negative expenditures represent an accounting adjustment to prior year expenditures.
2/ The percentages show what portion of the total State expenditures in separate State programs (Line 7) is represented by this category of expenditures.
Source: TANF Financial Report

Table 2:6:2 (FY 2005)

TANF Financial Data
State Maintenance of Effort (MOE) Expenditures in Separate State Programs in FY 2005
Expenditure of State Funds in FY 2005 Through the Fourth Quarter

Corresponds with the web version of Table C of the TANF Financial Report
Data reported by States in Column C on TANF Financial Report (Form ACF-196) Line Items

STATE	Line 6a TOTAL EXPENDITURES ON WORK RELATED ACTIVITIES	Line 6a1 WORK SUBSIDIES	Line 6a2 EDUCATION AND TRAINING	Line 6a3 OTHER WORK ACTIVITIES/ EXPENSES	Line 6c TOTAL EXPENDITURES ON TRANSPORTATION	Line 6c1 JOB ACCESS	Line 6c2 OTHER
ALABAMA	11,087	0	0	11,087	59,138	0	59,138
ALASKA	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
ARIZONA	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
ARKANSAS	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
CALIFORNIA 1/	28,087,258	22,829	1,199,577	26,864,852	3,026,222	39,449	2,986,773
COLORADO	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
CONNECTICUT	743,911	0	299,688	444,223	0	0	0
DELAWARE	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
DIST.OF COLUMBIA	675,091	0	0	675,091	0	0	0
FLORIDA	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
GEORGIA	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
HAWAII	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
IDAHO	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
ILLINOIS	23,407	0	0	23,407	21,135	0	21,135
INDIANA	4,793,332	0	4,417,666	375,666	68,594	0	68,594
IOWA 1/	253,613	0	196,523	57,090	1,270,697	0	1,270,697
KANSAS	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
KENTUCKY	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
LOUISIANA	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
MAINE	0	0	0	0	1,169,633	0	1,169,633
MARYLAND	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
MASSACHUSETTS	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
MICHIGAN	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
MINNESOTA	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
MISSISSIPPI	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
MISSOURI	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
MONTANA	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
NEBRASKA	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
NEVADA	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
NEW HAMPSHIRE	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
NEW JERSEY 1/	400	0	0	400	0	0	0
NEW MEXICO	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
NEW YORK	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
NORTH CAROLINA	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
NORTH DAKOTA	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
OHIO	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
OKLAHOMA	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
OREGON	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
PENNSYLVANIA	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
RHODE ISLAND	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
SOUTH CAROLINA	66,416	0	66,416	0	5,781	0	5,781
SOUTH DAKOTA	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
TENNESSEE	703,337	0	119,506	583,831	51,379	1,437	49,942
TEXAS	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
UTAH	168,352	1,268	9,487	157,597	0	0	0
VERMONT	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
VIRGINIA	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
WASHINGTON	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
WEST VIRGINIA	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
WISCONSIN	377,279	0	0	377,279	0	0	0
WYOMING	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Total	**35,903,483**	**24,097**	**6,308,863**	**29,570,523**	**5,672,579**	**40,886**	**5,631,693**
Percentages 2/	**2%**	**0%**	**0%**	**1%**	**0%**	**0%**	**0%**

1/ Negative expenditures represent an accounting adjustment to prior year expenditures.
2/ The percentages show what portion of the total State expenditures in separate State programs (Line 7) is represented.
Source: TANF Financial Report

Table 2:7 (FY 2005)

TANF Financial Data
State MOE Requirements

Corresponds with the web version of Table D of the TANF Financial Report

UPDATED TANF MOE TABLE FOR FY 2005

STATE	100% MOE LEVEL	75% MOE LEVEL	80% MOE LEVEL
ALABAMA	52,285,491	39,214,118	41,828,393
ALASKA	53,367,399	40,025,549	42,693,919
ARIZONA	115,188,292	86,391,219	92,150,633
ARKANSAS	27,785,269	20,838,952	22,228,215
CALIFORNIA	3,584,425,167	2,688,318,875	2,867,540,134
COLORADO	110,494,527	82,870,895	88,395,622
CONNECTICUT	244,561,409	183,421,057	195,649,127
DELAWARE	29,028,092	21,771,069	23,222,474
DIST.OF COLUMBIA	93,931,934	70,448,951	75,145,547
FLORIDA	491,151,302	368,363,477	392,921,042
GEORGIA	231,158,036	173,368,527	184,926,429
HAWAII	94,866,459	71,149,844	75,893,167
IDAHO	17,367,172	13,025,379	13,893,738
ILLINOIS	573,450,924	430,088,193	458,760,739
INDIANA	151,367,364	113,525,523	121,093,891
IOWA	82,617,695	61,963,271	66,094,156
KANSAS	82,332,787	61,749,590	65,866,230
KENTUCKY	89,891,250	67,418,438	71,913,000
LOUISIANA	73,886,837	55,415,128	59,109,470
MAINE	50,031,924	37,523,943	40,025,539
MARYLAND	235,953,925	176,965,444	188,763,140
MASSACHUSETTS	478,596,697	358,947,523	382,877,358
MICHIGAN	624,691,167	468,518,375	499,752,934
MINNESOTA	237,257,189	177,942,892	189,805,751
MISSISSIPPI	28,965,744	21,724,308	23,172,595
MISSOURI	160,161,033	120,120,775	128,128,826
MONTANA	18,454,704	13,841,028	14,763,763
NEBRASKA	38,002,079	28,501,559	30,401,663
NEVADA	33,985,152	25,488,864	27,188,122
NEW HAMPSHIRE	42,820,004	32,115,003	34,256,003
NEW JERSEY	400,213,342	300,160,007	320,170,674
NEW MEXICO	43,664,402	32,748,302	34,931,522
NEW YORK	2,291,437,926	1,718,578,445	1,833,150,341
NORTH CAROLINA	205,567,684	154,175,763	164,454,147
NORTH DAKOTA	12,092,381	9,069,286	9,673,905
OHIO	521,108,327	390,831,245	416,886,662
OKLAHOMA	81,435,709	61,076,782	65,148,567
OREGON	122,181,732	91,636,299	97,745,386
PENNSYLVANIA	542,834,133	407,125,600	434,267,306
RHODE ISLAND	80,489,394	60,367,046	64,391,515
SOUTH CAROLINA	47,902,320	35,926,740	38,321,856
SOUTH DAKOTA	11,371,029	8,528,271	9,096,823
TENNESSEE	110,413,171	82,809,878	88,330,537
TEXAS	314,301,005	235,725,754	251,440,804
UTAH	33,185,380	24,889,035	26,548,304
VERMONT	34,066,533	25,549,900	27,253,226
VIRGINIA	170,897,560	128,173,170	136,718,048
WASHINGTON	344,177,738	258,133,303	275,342,190
WEST VIRGINIA	43,058,053	32,293,540	34,446,442
WISCONSIN	223,022,273	167,266,705	178,417,818
WYOMING	12,078,426	9,058,820	9,662,741

Source: TANF Financial Report

Table 2:8 (FY 2005)

TANF Financial Data
Analysis of State MOE Spending Levels in FY 2005 Through the Fourth Quarter

Corresponds with the web version of Table E of the TANF Financial Report

STATE	TOTAL STATE MOE EXPENDITURES IN THE TANF PROGRAM	TOTAL STATE MOE EXPENDITURES IN SEPARATE STATE PROGRAMS	TOTAL STATE TANF EXPENDITURES	STATE MOE AT 80%	DIFFERENCE OF MOE AT 80% AND TOTAL STATE SPENDING	STATE MOE AT 75%	DIFFERENCE OF MOE AT 75% AND TOTAL STATE SPENDING
ALABAMA	38,365,213	848,905	39,214,118	41,828,393	(2,614,275)	39,214,118	0
ALASKA	40,786,587	0	40,786,587	42,693,919	(1,907,332)	40,025,549	761,038
ARIZONA	88,143,603	10,032,936	98,176,539	92,150,633	6,025,906	86,391,219	11,785,320
ARKANSAS	22,758,283	0	22,758,283	22,228,215	530,068	20,838,952	1,919,331
CALIFORNIA	2,445,146,228	422,393,906	2,867,540,134	2,867,540,134	0	2,688,318,875	179,221,259
COLORADO	93,090,529	0	93,090,529	88,395,622	4,694,907	82,870,895	10,219,634
CONNECTICUT	179,195,842	39,484,476	218,680,318	195,649,127	23,031,191	183,421,057	35,259,261
DELAWARE	27,972,437	675,971	28,648,408	23,222,474	5,425,934	21,771,069	6,877,339
DIST.OF COLUMBIA	73,405,358	2,288,268	75,693,626	75,145,547	548,079	70,448,951	5,244,675
FLORIDA	357,926,325	10,616,730	368,543,055	392,921,042	(24,377,987)	368,363,477	179,578
GEORGIA	173,736,443	844,217	174,580,660	184,926,429	(10,345,769)	173,368,527	1,212,133
HAWAII	32,891,994	39,178,899	72,070,893	75,893,167	(3,822,274)	71,149,844	921,049
IDAHO	13,025,379	0	13,025,379	13,893,738	(868,359)	13,025,379	0
ILLINOIS	425,865,121	4,223,072	430,088,193	458,760,739	(28,672,546)	430,088,193	0
INDIANA	58,853,955	62,560,516	121,414,471	121,093,891	320,580	113,525,523	7,888,948
IOWA	44,623,368	17,339,903	61,963,271	66,094,156	(4,130,885)	61,963,271	0
KANSAS	73,582,933	0	73,582,933	65,866,230	7,716,703	61,749,590	11,833,343
KENTUCKY	71,913,100	0	71,913,100	71,913,000	100	67,418,438	4,494,662
LOUISIANA	29,281,723	26,133,405	55,415,128	59,109,470	(3,694,342)	55,415,128	0
MAINE	18,975,125	18,553,864	37,528,989	40,025,539	(2,496,550)	37,523,943	5,046
MARYLAND	61,597,487	116,894,177	178,491,664	188,763,140	(10,271,476)	176,965,444	1,526,220
MASSACHUSETTS	352,638,856	6,308,667	358,947,523	382,877,358	(23,929,835)	358,947,523	0
MICHIGAN	456,289,654	47,665,826	503,955,480	499,752,934	4,202,546	468,518,375	35,437,105
MINNESOTA	158,689,501	19,253,391	177,942,892	189,805,751	(11,862,859)	177,942,892	0
MISSISSIPPI	21,726,659	0	21,726,659	23,172,595	(1,445,936)	21,724,308	2,351
MISSOURI	110,732,499	17,396,327	128,128,826	128,128,826	0	120,120,775	8,008,051
MONTANA	15,641,045	0	15,641,045	14,763,763	877,282	13,841,028	1,800,017
NEBRASKA	23,203,410	7,259,824	30,463,234	30,401,663	61,571	28,501,559	1,961,675
NEVADA	22,397,831	4,790,290	27,188,121	27,188,122	(1)	25,488,864	1,699,257
NEW HAMPSHIRE	31,550,790	949,523	32,500,313	34,256,003	(1,755,690)	32,115,003	385,310
NEW JERSEY	455,222,052	21,108,700	476,330,752	320,170,674	156,160,078	300,160,007	176,170,745
NEW MEXICO	34,931,522	0	34,931,522	34,931,522	0	32,748,302	2,183,220
NEW YORK	718,953,628	1,237,679,917	1,956,633,545	1,833,150,341	123,483,204	1,718,578,445	238,055,100
NORTH CAROLINA	196,142,352	291,082	196,433,434	164,454,147	31,979,287	154,175,763	42,257,671
NORTH DAKOTA	9,069,360	0	9,069,360	9,673,905	(604,545)	9,069,286	74
OHIO	390,201,023	4,087,700	394,288,723	416,886,662	(22,597,939)	390,831,245	3,457,478
OKLAHOMA	61,076,784	0	61,076,784	65,148,567	(4,071,783)	61,076,782	2
OREGON	91,636,299	0	91,636,299	97,745,386	(6,109,087)	91,636,299	0
PENNSYLVANIA	407,125,600	0	407,125,600	434,267,306	(27,141,706)	407,125,600	0
RHODE ISLAND	69,590,549	16,518,416	86,108,965	64,391,515	21,717,450	60,367,046	25,741,919
SOUTH CAROLINA	58,243,865	6,305,843	64,549,708	38,321,856	26,227,852	35,926,740	28,622,968
SOUTH DAKOTA	8,540,000	0	8,540,000	9,096,823	(556,823)	8,528,271	11,729
TENNESSEE	134,329,382	3,993,503	138,322,885	88,330,537	49,992,348	82,809,878	55,513,007
TEXAS	359,860,081	9,151,120	369,011,201	251,440,804	117,570,397	235,725,754	133,285,447
UTAH	24,371,824	517,213	24,889,037	26,548,304	(1,659,267)	24,889,035	2
VERMONT	21,712,323	11,276,244	32,988,567	27,253,226	5,735,341	25,549,900	7,438,667
VIRGINIA	73,252,313	69,268,228	142,520,541	136,718,048	5,802,493	128,173,170	14,347,371
WASHINGTON	255,744,142	2,389,163	258,133,305	275,342,190	(17,208,885)	258,133,303	2
WEST VIRGINIA	29,505,068	4,941,376	34,446,444	34,446,442	2	32,293,540	2,152,904
WISCONSIN	174,913,877	5,111,801	180,025,678	178,417,818	1,607,860	167,266,705	12,758,973
WYOMING	9,662,953	19,521	9,682,474	9,662,741	19,733	9,058,820	623,654
Total	**9,148,092,275**	**2,268,352,920**	**11,416,445,195**	**11,034,860,434**	**381,584,761**	**10,345,181,660**	**1,071,263,535**

GENERAL NOTES:
The MOE reports (Tables 2:5 through 2:6:2) are programmed to reflect adjustments in MOE activity that occurred in FY 2005 regardless of the year from which these activity changes occurred.
Table 2:8 reflects only MOE activity in the current funding year (FY 2005). Therefore, numbers from Tables 2:5 through 2:6:2 may not coincide with those in this table.
This table shows total State TANF MOE expenditures through this period and the comparison of State MOE expenditures to meet the 75 and 80 percent annual MOE levels.
The MOE levels at 75 and 80 percent have been adjusted for States with Tribes operating TANF.
Source: TANF Financial Report

Table 2:1 (FY 2006)

TANF Financial Data
Combined Spending of Federal and State Funds Expended in FY 2006 Through the Fourth Quarter

Corresponds with the web version of Table F of the TANF Financial Report

STATE	Line 5 TOTAL EXPENDITURES ON ASSISTANCE (Sum of line 5a,5b,5c & 5d)	ASSISTANCE AS A PERCENTAGE OF TOTAL EXPENDITURES	Line 5a BASIC ASSISTANCE	Line 5b CHILD CARE	Line 5c TRANSPORTATION AND SUPPORTIVE SERVICES	Line 5d ASSISTANCE UNDER PRIOR LAW	Line 6 TOTAL EXPENDITURES ON NON-ASSISTANCE	NON-ASSISTANCE AS A PERCENTAGE OF TOTAL EXPENDITURES	Line 7 TOTAL EXPENDITURES (Assistance and Non)
ALABAMA	37,773,391	35%	34,578,824	44,641	3,149,926	0	69,556,590	65%	107,329,981
ALASKA	42,956,774	62%	36,401,528	5,873,003	682,243	0	26,889,505	38%	69,846,279
ARIZONA	143,559,816	46%	137,114,201	0	6,445,615	0	165,700,699	54%	309,260,515
ARKANSAS	15,301,923	21%	15,301,923	0	0	0	59,065,853	79%	74,367,776
CALIFORNIA 2/	3,978,718,013	63%	3,479,718,022	158,215,744	117,488,032	223,296,215	2,301,656,908	37%	6,280,374,921
COLORADO	67,208,851	32%	62,977,079	0	4,231,772	0	142,224,520	68%	209,433,371
CONNECTICUT	132,399,601	28%	124,148,328	3,351,907	2,109,622	2,789,744	336,728,508	72%	469,128,109
DELAWARE	40,588,058	53%	18,335,253	9,346,927	12,905,878	0	36,046,598	47%	76,634,656
DIST.OF COLUMBIA	62,137,218	32%	62,137,218	0	0	0	131,220,382	68%	193,357,600
FLORIDA	199,695,058	25%	169,525,763	16,908,662	796,330	12,464,303	608,722,498	75%	808,417,556
GEORGIA	131,260,039	23%	95,716,821	22,182,651	13,360,567	0	450,401,349	77%	581,661,388
HAWAII	84,656,952	54%	84,656,952	0	0	0	71,750,802	46%	156,407,754
IDAHO	7,237,698	19%	7,237,698	0	0	0	31,688,029	81%	38,925,727
ILLINOIS	128,189,618	13%	123,502,434	0	4,687,184	0	853,528,907	87%	981,718,525
INDIANA 2/	111,355,789	35%	108,652,149	0	2,703,640	0	204,610,874	65%	315,966,663
IOWA 2/	77,520,327	47%	73,909,488	0	3,610,839	0	88,117,946	53%	165,638,273
KANSAS	84,928,199	56%	62,761,619	10,728,534	4,667,459	6,770,587	67,078,559	44%	152,006,758
KENTUCKY	118,241,853	62%	100,567,247	12,819,758	4,854,848	0	71,768,423	38%	190,010,276
LOUISIANA	46,282,424	25%	44,789,652	0	1,492,772	0	137,120,943	75%	183,403,367
MAINE	79,498,955	74%	65,237,115	5,202,540	9,059,300	0	28,594,387	26%	108,093,342
MARYLAND	107,377,578	30%	106,319,758	130,436	150,385	776,999	249,695,639	70%	357,073,217
MASSACHUSETTS	335,799,448	42%	320,378,316	15,089,251	331,881	0	458,351,753	58%	794,151,201
MICHIGAN	377,269,440	32%	422,333,792	33,223,418	0	(78,287,770)	809,295,472	68%	1,186,564,912
MINNESOTA	129,122,511	32%	129,122,511	0	0	0	273,614,972	68%	402,737,483
MISSISSIPPI	23,835,819	32%	22,325,652	(3,113,888)	4,624,055	0	50,169,299	68%	74,005,118
MISSOURI	122,226,617	38%	122,226,617	0	0	0	201,246,012	62%	323,472,629
MONTANA	20,527,790	48%	16,510,872	1,567,100	0	2,449,818	22,241,447	52%	42,769,237
NEBRASKA	63,267,151	68%	63,267,151	0	0	0	29,122,080	32%	92,389,231
NEVADA	40,867,526	61%	33,028,225	1,528,956	6,310,345	0	26,087,929	39%	66,955,455
NEW HAMPSHIRE 2/	41,877,152	60%	34,949,364	0	0	6,927,788	28,435,770	40%	70,312,922
NEW JERSEY	103,526,489	18%	77,742,396	15,305,099	10,478,994	0	481,976,877	82%	585,503,366
NEW MEXICO	76,951,770	71%	73,594,819	2,895,259	461,692	0	31,693,905	29%	108,645,675
NEW YORK	2,029,146,446	48%	1,623,752,053	101,983,998	0	303,410,395	2,211,809,867	52%	4,240,956,313
NORTH CAROLINA	92,568,755	37%	94,433,523	0	0	(1,864,768)	157,735,539	63%	250,304,294
NORTH DAKOTA	19,930,358	63%	10,499,701	829,725	358,805	8,242,127	11,817,988	37%	31,748,346
OHIO	341,180,632	30%	330,576,858	0	10,603,774	0	778,873,888	70%	1,120,054,520
OKLAHOMA	97,560,193	65%	27,657,220	42,778,774	20,450,693	6,673,506	53,412,429	35%	150,972,622
OREGON	114,994,265	46%	88,626,854	10,906,214	5,124,720	10,336,477	136,424,528	54%	251,418,793
PENNSYLVANIA	426,689,460	43%	392,857,310	0	33,832,150	0	567,081,430	57%	993,770,890
RHODE ISLAND	68,181,914	47%	64,976,630	2,947,623	257,661	0	75,748,193	53%	143,930,107
SOUTH CAROLINA	39,348,357	27%	38,531,355	0	817,002	0	105,926,667	73%	145,275,024
SOUTH DAKOTA	20,621,871	71%	12,156,628	802,914	0	7,662,329	8,280,563	29%	28,902,434
TENNESSEE	122,098,394	46%	103,705,182	13,216,735	5,176,477	0	144,310,841	54%	266,409,235
TEXAS	190,931,653	26%	138,932,208	0	535,023	51,464,422	540,102,322	74%	731,033,975
UTAH	40,612,503	42%	36,925,910	2,500,000	1,186,593	0	55,184,181	58%	95,796,684
VERMONT	41,126,247	64%	34,582,138	0	6,544,109	0	22,957,842	36%	64,084,089
VIRGINIA	142,767,201	49%	135,734,389	7,032,812	0	0	148,165,411	51%	290,932,612
WASHINGTON	284,435,431	45%	284,435,431	0	0	0	348,884,369	55%	633,319,800
WEST VIRGINIA	58,436,639	51%	37,252,382	4,525,850	16,658,407	0	56,613,035	49%	115,049,674
WISCONSIN	110,874,726	25%	110,874,726	0	0	0	329,385,642	75%	440,260,368
WYOMING	13,636,527	59%	10,459,397	1,416,859	1,760,271	0	9,389,472	41%	23,025,999
Total	**11,287,301,420**	-	**9,906,038,682**	**500,241,502**	**317,909,064**	**563,112,172**	**14,306,507,642**	-	**25,593,809,062**
Percentages 1/	**44%**	-	**39%**	**2%**	**1%**	**2%**	**56%**	-	**100%**

1/ Percentages show what proportion the total in each column of the Line 7, Total Expenditures.
2/ Negative expenditures represent an accounting adjustment to prior year expenditures.
Source: TANF Financial Report

Table 2:2 (FY 2006)

TANF Financial Data
Total Federal Awards, Transfers, and Expenditures all FY's Through FY 2006 and the Fourth Quarter

Corresponds with the web version of Table G of the TANF Financial Report

STATE	TOTAL AWARDED	TRANSFERRED TO CCDF	TRANSFERRED TO SSBG	AVAILABLE FOR TANF	TOTAL EXPENDITURES	UNLIQUIDATED OBLIGATION	UNOBLIGATED BALANCE
ALABAMA	1,096,780,925	177,814,952	109,558,394	809,407,579	753,445,420	3,525,500	52,436,659
ALASKA	581,516,670	130,435,945	39,307,650	411,773,075	373,402,997	37,340,418	1,029,660
ARIZONA	2,309,616,002	103,614,508	220,086,761	1,985,914,733	1,969,598,983	16,315,750	0
ARKANSAS	591,107,775	48,202,199	12,140,306	530,765,270	426,806,576	4,029,065	99,929,629
CALIFORNIA	36,731,254,284	2,933,202,000	751,753,783	33,046,298,501	32,637,432,896	408,865,605	0
COLORADO	1,406,841,104	194,445,872	126,961,888	1,085,433,344	1,000,351,228	0	85,082,116
CONNECTICUT	2,695,521,953	0	238,106,044	2,457,415,909	2,457,415,909	0	0
DELAWARE	314,572,261	5,284,896	18,769,476	290,517,889	287,357,364	1,023,458	2,137,067
DIST.OF COLUMBIA	1,062,632,765	159,175,708	42,137,464	861,319,593	814,900,425	11,020,332	35,398,836
FLORIDA	6,150,580,470	1,027,824,932	570,741,316	4,552,014,222	4,516,397,078	35,617,143	1
GEORGIA	3,524,428,817	174,641,125	215,270,174	3,134,517,518	2,971,255,707	39,265,098	123,996,713
HAWAII	929,132,054	68,143,506	48,703,081	812,285,467	666,400,994	37,352,551	108,531,922
IDAHO	320,941,631	63,734,425	21,398,205	235,809,001	229,308,194	6,500,807	0
ILLINOIS	5,515,255,805	272,424,692	389,110,331	4,853,720,782	4,853,720,782	0	0
INDIANA	2,124,904,877	308,186,311	78,257,102	1,738,461,464	1,673,731,191	64,730,273	0
IOWA	1,311,319,572	199,837,653	109,305,373	1,002,176,546	977,746,139	5,233,328	19,197,079
KANSAS	1,028,827,284	131,861,768	81,152,994	815,812,522	814,555,641	0	1,256,881
KENTUCKY	1,827,426,290	390,471,979	64,740,244	1,372,214,067	1,314,704,531	0	57,509,536
LOUISIANA	1,755,115,150	369,564,443	81,876,309	1,303,674,398	1,269,571,308	34,103,090	0
MAINE	785,440,318	84,576,703	48,189,083	652,674,532	647,131,398	0	5,543,134
MARYLAND	2,297,105,103	192,812,657	206,030,874	1,898,261,572	1,774,510,101	13,545,547	110,205,924
MASSACHUSETTS	4,642,931,785	935,032,420	441,344,982	3,266,554,383	3,259,500,926	7,053,457	0
MICHIGAN	7,878,478,888	561,759,099	513,587,386	6,803,132,403	6,803,132,403	0	0
MINNESOTA	2,558,639,988	261,184,929	130,990,750	2,166,464,309	2,096,823,077	69,641,232	0
MISSISSIPPI	942,758,976	142,005,988	83,376,220	717,376,768	681,942,961	5,003,970	30,429,836
MISSOURI	2,201,516,713	198,054,665	182,866,091	1,820,595,957	1,804,874,579	15,721,383	(3)
MONTANA	439,101,712	59,292,487	25,999,586	353,809,639	317,523,446	600,000	35,686,193
NEBRASKA	579,489,992	63,000,000	4,400,000	512,089,992	506,173,467	752,085	5,164,440
NEVADA	465,867,890	0	9,073,978	456,793,912	431,182,651	0	25,611,261
NEW HAMPSHIRE	415,959,020	11,039,832	7,931,389	396,987,799	353,621,735	0	43,366,064
NEW JERSEY	3,963,248,497	419,459,948	293,732,967	3,250,055,582	3,103,624,804	9,649,982	136,780,796
NEW MEXICO	1,182,735,676	233,370,921	8,000,000	941,364,755	912,159,612	0	29,205,143
NEW YORK	24,222,362,383	2,909,212,277	1,974,742,221	19,338,407,885	18,980,452,691	200,567,685	157,387,509
NORTH CAROLINA	3,237,023,285	622,876,756	65,634,448	2,548,512,081	2,290,888,093	238,994,344	3,517,664
NORTH DAKOTA	253,837,851	500,000	0	253,337,851	234,026,874	29,781	19,281,196
OHIO	7,343,862,059	359,701,413	734,386,053	6,249,774,593	5,415,619,600	403,044,718	431,110,275
OKLAHOMA	1,499,822,711	299,358,494	149,679,244	1,050,784,973	950,482,095	0	100,302,878
OREGON	1,678,418,848	0	0	1,678,418,848	1,634,575,650	0	43,843,198
PENNSYLVANIA	6,987,843,757	750,910,000	240,903,944	5,996,029,813	5,970,077,188	23,564,107	2,388,518
RHODE ISLAND	914,796,949	69,223,247	12,254,880	833,318,822	827,797,069	0	5,521,753
SOUTH CAROLINA	1,025,112,223	15,629,879	94,621,147	914,861,197	865,685,441	0	49,175,756
SOUTH DAKOTA	213,729,576	13,919,291	21,372,956	178,437,329	159,077,947	0	19,359,382
TENNESSEE	2,180,080,396	467,753,880	34,970,161	1,677,356,355	1,517,201,349	0	160,155,006
TEXAS	5,341,571,449	164,291,412	292,400,633	4,884,879,404	4,687,359,088	197,520,316	0
UTAH	872,034,164	3,740,480	45,530,956	822,762,728	770,100,922	0	52,661,806
VERMONT	480,127,438	76,448,429	46,445,663	357,233,346	357,233,346	0	0
VIRGINIA	1,581,751,741	160,468,688	148,182,502	1,273,100,551	1,268,271,663	2,911,000	1,917,888
WASHINGTON	3,888,709,959	855,079,287	107,313,721	2,926,316,951	2,907,808,448	0	18,508,503
WEST VIRGINIA	1,093,992,522	15,353,655	72,973,117	1,005,665,750	974,423,319	0	31,242,431
WISCONSIN	3,221,969,861	560,673,999	204,879,578	2,456,416,284	2,456,416,285	0	0
WYOMING	217,632,553	22,871,568	17,871,899	176,889,086	128,426,011	2,539,657	45,923,418
Total	**165,885,729,972**	**17,288,469,318**	**9,439,063,324**	**139,158,197,330**	**135,096,227,602**	**1,896,061,682**	**2,150,796,068**
Percentages	**100.0%**	**10.0%**	**6.0%**	**84.0%**	**81.0%**	**1.0%**	**1.0%**

Source: TANF Financial Report

Table 2:3 (FY 2006)

TANF Financial Data
Overview of Federal Funds Available and Spent in Fiscal Year 2006 by Grant Year

FY YEAR	Line 1 TOTAL FEDERAL FUNDS AVAILABLE 1/	Line 2 TRANSFERRED TO CCDF	Line 3 TRANSFERRED TO SSBG	Line 4 NET AVAILABLE FOR TANF	Line 7 TOTAL EXPENDITURES	Line 9 UNLIQUIDATED OBLIGATIONS	Line 10 UNOBLIGATED BALANCE
1997	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
1998	14,751,591	0	0	14,751,591	1,799,891	1,039,870	11,911,830
1999	15,378,163	0	0	15,378,163	0	0	15,378,163
2000	4,104,728	0	0	4,104,728	0	0	4,104,728
2001	6,703,541	0	0	6,703,541	3,064,548	0	3,638,993
2002 2/	115,397,441	0	0	115,397,441	115,308,701	0	88,740
2003	253,471,582	0	0	253,471,582	166,696,166	56,013,413	30,762,003
2004	683,560,716	(7,477,157)	(313,644)	691,351,517	55,100,597	62,613,688	573,637,232
2005	2,718,301,449	(40,005,245)	(7,863,300)	2,766,169,994	2,003,476,971	143,595,297	619,440,007
2006	16,656,906,974	1,925,372,860	982,214,980	13,749,319,134	11,224,685,347	1,632,799,414	891,834,372
Total	**20,468,576,185**	**1,877,890,458**	**974,038,036**	**17,616,647,691**	**13,570,132,221**	**1,896,061,682**	**2,150,796,068**
Percentages	**100%**	**9%**	**5%**	**86%**	**66%**	**9%**	**11%**

1/ The amount reported under this column is the federal grant funds available to the State from that FY grant, for spending in FY 2006.
2/ Negative expenditures represent an accounting adjustment to prior year expenditures.

Source: TANF Financial Report

Table 2:4:a (FY 2006)

TANF Financial Data
Combined Federal Funds Spent in FY 2006
Spending from Federal TANF Grant in FY 2006 Through the Fourth Quarter

Corresponds with the web version of Table A of the TANF Financial Report

Data reported by States in Column A on TANF Financial Report (Form ACF-196) Line Items

STATE	Line 1 TOTAL FEDERAL FUNDS 1/	Line 2 TRANSFERRED TO CCDF	% OF TOTAL TRANSFERRED TO CCDF	Line 3 TRANSFERRED TO SSBG	% OF TOTAL TRANSFERRED TO SSBG	Line 4 AVAILABLE FOR TANF	Line 5 EXPENDITURES ON ASSISTANCE	Line 6 EXPENDITURES ON NON ASSISTANCE	Line 7 TOTAL EXPENDITURES (Assistance and Non)	Line 9 UNLIQUIDATED OBLIGATIONS	Line 10 UNOBLIGATED BALANCE
ALABAMA	142,095,803	8,642,319	6%	10,440,846	7%	123,012,638	34,910,920	32,139,559	67,050,479	3,525,500	52,436,659
ALASKA	85,609,799	12,351,070	14%	4,100,000	5%	69,158,729	11,501,190	19,287,461	30,788,651	37,340,418	1,029,660
ARIZONA	254,189,318	0	0%	22,613,053	9%	231,576,265	98,389,722	116,870,793	215,260,515	16,315,750	0
ARKANSAS	160,964,467	7,500,000	5%	<1,701,000>	-1%	155,165,467	13,945,617	37,261,156	51,206,773	4,029,065	99,929,629
CALIFORNIA	4,057,215,761	89,780,000	2%	181,395,121	4%	3,786,040,640	2,044,080,570	1,333,094,465	3,377,175,035	408,865,605	0
COLORADO	227,091,845	12,142,775	5%	14,623,396	6%	200,325,674	51,873,434	63,370,124	115,243,558	0	85,082,116
CONNECTICUT	264,387,014	0	0%	26,438,701	10%	237,948,313	18,973,854	218,974,459	237,948,313	0	0
DELAWARE	39,120,665	0	0%	2,762,513	7%	36,358,152	28,614,952	4,582,675	33,197,627	1,023,458	2,137,067
DIST.OF COLUMBIA	153,789,398	18,521,964	12%	3,995,543	3%	131,271,891	14,536,942	70,315,781	84,852,723	11,020,332	35,398,836
FLORIDA	656,441,366	122,549,156	19%	62,274,578	9%	471,617,632	35,676,817	400,323,671	436,000,488	35,617,143	1
GEORGIA	559,190,511	<29,700,000>	-5%	20,114,508	4%	568,776,003	82,309,202	323,204,990	405,514,192	39,265,098	123,996,713
HAWAII	245,628,737	5,000,000	2%	9,832,602	4%	230,796,135	40,624,185	44,629,758	85,253,943	37,352,551	108,531,922
IDAHO	40,702,538	8,731,982	21%	1,441,201	4%	30,529,355	7,237,698	16,790,850	24,028,548	6,500,807	0
ILLINOIS	585,056,960	0	0%	33,426,628	6%	551,630,332	76,956,738	474,673,594	551,630,332	0	0
INDIANA	272,543,482	11,000,000	4%	2,000,000	1%	259,543,482	101,814,800	92,998,409	194,813,209	64,730,273	0
IOWA	157,804,597	21,806,560	14%	13,019,471	8%	122,978,566	30,791,357	67,756,802	98,548,159	5,233,328	19,197,079
KANSAS	102,706,753	21,684,317	21%	7,191,254	7%	73,831,182	46,431,520	26,142,781	72,574,301	0	1,256,881
KENTUCKY	229,993,112	54,386,400	24%	0	0%	175,606,712	56,883,668	61,213,508	118,097,176	0	57,509,536
LOUISIANA	216,391,025	37,902,500	18%	16,397,196	8%	162,091,329	45,817,376	82,170,863	127,988,239	34,103,090	0
MAINE	83,664,023	15,105,735	18%	3,263,486	4%	65,294,802	34,421,386	25,330,282	59,751,668	0	5,543,134
MARYLAND	336,864,980	10,285,667	3%	22,752,450	7%	303,826,863	86,448,829	93,626,563	180,075,392	13,545,547	110,205,924
MASSACHUSETTS	467,117,140	91,874,225	20%	45,937,111	10%	329,305,804	111,180,998	211,071,349	322,252,347	7,053,457	0
MICHIGAN	821,124,276	134,344,205	16%	67,987,100	8%	618,792,971	100,722,633	518,070,338	618,792,971	0	0
MINNESOTA	374,713,269	74,263,700	20%	4,763,750	1%	295,685,819	63,184,336	162,860,251	226,044,587	69,641,232	0
MISSISSIPPI	115,296,206	19,160,650	17%	9,001,204	8%	87,134,352	20,745,346	30,955,199	51,700,545	5,003,970	30,429,836
MISSOURI	255,770,356	23,000,000	9%	21,705,174	8%	211,065,182	75,146,989	120,196,813	195,343,802	15,721,383	<3>
MONTANA	72,536,111	5,061,288	7%	1,998,226	3%	65,476,597	18,960,690	10,229,714	29,190,404	600,000	35,686,193
NEBRASKA	66,430,428	9,000,000	14%	0	0%	57,430,428	28,890,822	22,623,081	51,513,903	752,085	5,164,440
NEVADA	66,206,469	0	0%	827,875	1%	65,378,594	19,258,369	20,508,964	39,767,333	0	25,611,261
NEW HAMPSHIRE	86,903,896	4,192,138	5%	1,147,874	1%	81,563,884	23,156,800	15,041,020	38,197,820	0	43,366,064
NEW JERSEY	455,908,815	54,927,000	12%	15,630,000	3%	385,351,815	4,458,951	234,462,086	238,921,037	9,649,982	136,780,796
NEW MEXICO	138,899,655	33,797,139	24%	0	0%	105,102,516	54,069,091	21,828,282	75,897,373	0	29,205,143
NEW YORK	2,848,954,440	548,582,508	19%	123,503,788	4%	2,176,868,144	1,089,538,857	729,374,093	1,818,912,950	200,567,685	157,387,509
NORTH CAROLINA	396,229,289	72,231,724	18%	4,507,418	1%	319,490,147	87,301,438	<10,323,299>	76,978,139	238,994,344	3,517,664
NORTH DAKOTA	41,989,963	0	0%	0	0%	41,989,963	10,860,998	11,817,988	22,678,986	29,781	19,281,196
OHIO	1,621,557,962	0	0%	72,796,826	4%	1,548,761,136	221,478,184	493,127,959	714,606,143	403,044,718	431,110,275
OKLAHOMA	234,476,985	29,518,846	13%	14,759,423	6%	190,198,716	49,558,298	40,337,540	89,895,838	0	100,302,878
OREGON	203,625,692	0	0%	0	0%	203,625,692	81,800,098	77,982,396	159,782,494	0	43,843,198
PENNSYLVANIA	720,384,915	92,677,000	13%	15,110,000	2%	612,597,915	232,177,776	354,467,514	586,645,290	23,564,107	2,388,518
RHODE ISLAND	101,127,780	20,020,859	20%	4,328,783	4%	76,778,138	48,896,418	22,359,967	71,256,385	0	5,521,753
SOUTH CAROLINA	139,954,954	0	0%	9,996,782	7%	129,958,172	27,562,382	53,220,034	80,782,416	0	49,175,756
SOUTH DAKOTA	41,849,781	0	0%	2,127,965	5%	39,721,816	14,813,726	5,548,708	20,362,434	0	19,359,382
TENNESSEE	352,184,073	53,626,681	15%	10,300,000	3%	288,257,392	76,185,608	51,916,778	128,102,386	0	160,155,006
TEXAS 2/	720,700,089	0	0%	31,235,772	4%	689,464,317	125,191,345	366,752,656	491,944,001	197,520,316	0
UTAH	128,876,455	0	0%	5,307,000	4%	123,569,455	40,061,577	30,846,072	70,907,649	0	52,661,806
VERMONT	47,353,181	9,224,074	19%	4,735,318	10%	33,393,789	26,105,609	7,288,180	33,393,789	0	0
VIRGINIA 2/	172,962,334	3,000,000	2%	14,581,500	8%	155,380,834	80,247,175	70,304,771	150,551,946	2,911,000	1,917,888
WASHINGTON	401,258,345	105,098,000	26%	9,733,928	2%	286,426,417	127,624,700	140,293,214	267,917,914	0	18,508,503
WEST VIRGINIA	122,764,131	0	0%	10,918,472	9%	111,845,659	30,046,742	50,556,486	80,603,228	0	31,242,431
WISCONSIN	314,499,354	62,899,870	20%	14,715,200	5%	236,884,284	87,269,243	149,615,041	236,884,284	0	0
WYOMING	65,467,687	3,700,106	6%	0	0%	61,767,581	8,971,617	4,332,889	13,304,506	2,539,657	45,923,418
TOTAL	**20,468,576,185**	**1,877,890,458**	-	**974,038,036**	-	**17,616,647,691**	**5,947,707,593**	**7,622,424,628**	**13,570,132,221**	**1,896,061,682**	**2,150,796,068**
Percentages 3/	**100%**	**9%**	-	**5%**	-	**86%**	**44%**	**56%**	**100%**	**11%**	**12%**

1/ The amount reported under this column include unexpended federal grant funds the States have carried over from prior fiscal years.

2/ Negative expenditures represent an accounting adjustment to prior year expenditures.

3/ TANF Transfer and Available for TANF percentages are based on total amount awarded in 2006 and available as carryover (Line 1).Expenditures percentages are based on total expenditures (Line 7). Unliquidated and Unobligated balances percentages are based on the amount available for TANF (Line 4).

Source: TANF Financial Report

Table 2:4:b (FY 2006)

TANF Financial Data
Combined Federal Funds Spent in FY 2006
Summary of Expenditures on Assistance in FY 2006 Through the Fourth Quarter

Corresponds with the web version of Table A of the TANF Financial Report
Data reported by States in Column A on TANF Financial Report (Form ACF-196) Line Items

STATE	Line 5 TOTAL EXPENDITURES ON ASSISTANCE	Line 5a BASIC ASSISTANCE	Line 5b CHILD CARE	Line 5c TRANSPORTATION AND SUPPORTIVE SERVICES	Line 5d ASSISTANCE UNDER PRIOR LAW	% OF TOTAL EXPENDITURES SPENT ON ASSISTANCE
ALABAMA	34,910,920	33,751,089	0	1,159,831	0	52%
ALASKA	11,501,190	7,945,944	2,873,003	682,243	0	37%
ARIZONA	98,389,722	92,511,162	0	5,878,560	0	46%
ARKANSAS	13,945,617	13,945,617	0	0	0	27%
CALIFORNIA	2,044,080,570	1,564,319,217	148,928,589	107,536,549	223,296,215	61%
COLORADO	51,873,434	48,592,740	0	3,280,694	0	45%
CONNECTICUT	18,973,854	16,184,110	0	0	2,789,744	8%
DELAWARE	28,614,952	14,872,439	4,205,539	9,536,974	0	86%
DIST.OF COLUMBIA	14,536,942	14,536,942	0	0	0	17%
FLORIDA	35,676,817	5,507,522	16,908,662	796,330	12,464,303	8%
GEORGIA	82,309,202	73,988,933	0	8,320,269	0	0.2
HAWAII	40,624,185	40,624,185	0	0	0	48%
IDAHO	7,237,698	7,237,698	0	0	0	30%
ILLINOIS	76,956,738	72,897,065	0	4,059,673	0	14%
INDIANA 1/	101,814,800	101,549,470	0	265,330	0	52%
IOWA	30,791,357	30,791,357	0	0	0	31%
KANSAS	46,431,520	33,997,921	995,553	4,667,459	6,770,587	64%
KENTUCKY	56,883,668	50,997,266	2,128,716	3,757,686	0	48%
LOUISIANA	45,817,376	44,488,410	0	1,328,966	0	36%
MAINE	34,421,386	23,709,472	4,315,756	6,396,158	0	58%
MARYLAND	86,448,829	85,671,830	0	0	776,999	48%
MASSACHUSETTS	111,180,998	107,477,163	3,691,929	11,906	0	35%
MICHIGAN	100,722,633	150,775,298	28,235,105	0	(78,287,770)	16%
MINNESOTA	63,184,336	63,184,336	0	0	0	28%
MISSISSIPPI	20,745,346	19,235,179	(3,113,888)	4,624,055	0	40%
MISSOURI	75,146,989	75,146,989	0	0	0	38%
MONTANA	18,960,690	16,510,872	0	0	2,449,818	65%
NEBRASKA	28,890,822	28,890,822	0	0	0	56%
NEVADA	19,258,369	12,948,024	0	6,310,345	0	48%
NEW HAMPSHIRE 2/	23,156,800	16,229,012	0	0	6,927,788	61%
NEW JERSEY	4,458,951	11,981,889	(11,069,079)	3,546,141	0	2%
NEW MEXICO	54,069,091	53,607,399	0	461,692	0	71%
NEW YORK	1,089,538,857	786,128,462	0	0	303,410,395	60%
NORTH CAROLINA	87,301,438	89,166,206	0	0	(1,864,768)	113%
NORTH DAKOTA	10,860,998	2,447,377	(187,311)	358,805	8,242,127	48%
OHIO	221,478,184	213,425,338	0	8,052,846	0	31%
OKLAHOMA	49,558,298	16,817,308	15,368,960	10,698,524	6,673,506	55%
OREGON	81,800,098	67,988,364	1,859,327	1,615,930	10,336,477	51%
PENNSYLVANIA	232,177,776	212,587,804	0	19,589,972	0	40%
RHODE ISLAND	48,896,418	48,723,907	0	172,511	0	69%
SOUTH CAROLINA	27,562,382	27,562,382	0	0	0	34%
SOUTH DAKOTA	14,813,726	7,151,397	0	0	7,662,329	73%
TENNESSEE	76,185,608	74,360,388	0	1,825,220	0	59%
TEXAS	125,191,345	73,191,900	0	535,023	51,464,422	25%
UTAH	40,061,577	36,394,575	2,500,000	1,167,002	0	56%
VERMONT	26,105,609	21,811,403	0	4,294,206	0	78%
VIRGINIA	80,247,175	78,564,405	1,682,770	0	0	53%
WASHINGTON	127,624,700	127,624,700	0	0	0	48%
WEST VIRGINIA	30,046,742	13,295,697	1,554,457	15,196,588	0	37%
WISCONSIN	87,269,243	87,269,243	0	0	0	37%
WYOMING 1/	8,971,617	7,211,346	0	1,760,271	0	67%
TOTAL	**5,947,707,593**	**4,925,829,574**	**220,878,088**	**237,887,759**	**563,112,172**	-
Percentage 2/	**100%**	**83%**	**4%**	**4%**	**9%**	-
Percentage 3/	**44%**	**36%**	**2%**	**2%**	**4%**	-

1/ Negative expenditures represent an accounting adjustment to prior year expenditures.
2/ The percentages shown are calculated as a proportion of total expenditures on assistance (Line 5).
3/ The percentages shown are calculated as a proportion of total TANF expenditures (Line 7).
Source: TANF Financial Report

Table 2:4:c (FY 2006)

TANF Financial Data
Combined Federal Funds Spent in FY 2006
Expenditures on Non-Assistance in FY 2006 Through the Fourth Quarter

Corresponds with the web version of Table A of the TANF Financial Report

Data reported by States in Column A on TANF Financial Report (Form ACF-196) Line Items

STATE	Line 6 TOTAL EXPENDITURES ON NON-ASSISTANCE	Line 6a WORK RELATED ACTIVITIES	Line 6b CHILD CARE	Line 6c TRANS-PORTATION	Line 6d IDA	Line 6e REFUNDABLE EITC	Line 6f OTHER REFUNDABLE TAX CREDIT	Line 6g NON-RECUR SHORT-TERM BENEFITS	Line 6l NON-ASSIST SOLELY UNDER PRIOR LAW	Total of Other Categories of Non-Assistance Lines 6h - 6m	% OF TOTAL EXPENDITURES SPENT ON NON-ASSISTANCE
ALABAMA	32,139,559	5,117,225	0	466,878	0	0	0	84,483	0	26,470,973	48%
ALASKA	19,287,461	6,828,670	6,999,975	240,010	0	0	0	292,341	0	4,926,465	63%
ARIZONA	116,870,793	10,652,595	6,475,934	825,548	0	0	0	2,645,144	40,060,278	56,211,294	54%
ARKANSAS	37,261,156	14,664,924	239,287	2,993,384	482,319	0	0	245,736	0	18,635,506	73%
CALIFORNIA	1,333,094,465	411,253,501	247,206,593	28,132,375	0	0	0	2,014,934	0	644,487,062	39%
COLORADO	63,370,124	776,621	705,237	(790)	0	0	0	2,659,332	1,290,779	57,938,945	55%
CONNECTICUT	218,974,459	17,813,899	0	2,947,248	0	0	0	14,601	14,218,930	183,979,781	92%
DELAWARE	4,582,675	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	4,582,675	14%
DIST.OF COLUMBIA	70,315,781	16,783,356	17,426,761	0	200,000	0	0	0	0	35,905,664	83%
FLORIDA	400,323,671	83,560,351	95,301,423	6,790,416	0	0	0	1,508,285	0	213,163,196	92%
GEORGIA	323,204,990	61,476,229	0	0	0	0	0	0	109,809,786	151,918,975	80%
HAWAII	44,629,758	31,940,800	0	964,269	0	0	0	0	0	11,724,689	52%
IDAHO	16,790,850	1,741,221	0	0	0	0	0	1,956,681	5,179,800	7,913,148	70%
ILLINOIS	474,673,594	61,252,763	151,847,095	1,250,469	0	13,881,298	0	0	167,895,633	78,546,336	86%
INDIANA	92,998,409	427,082	0	80,815	0	0	0	0	0	92,490,512	48%
IOWA	67,756,802	12,874,787	6,755	1,023,012	0	0	0	494,087	19,464,918	33,893,243	69%
KANSAS	26,142,781	3,448,455	0	2,831,948	0	0	0	0	0	19,862,378	36%
KENTUCKY	61,213,508	22,955,312	69	2,406,598	0	0	0	0	0	35,851,529	52%
LOUISIANA	82,170,863	9,689,999	83	2,556,779	0	0	0	0	0	69,924,002	64%
MAINE	25,330,282	2,215,936	7,226,272	5,033,775	0	0	0	3,673,868	0	7,180,431	42%
MARYLAND	93,626,563	26,616,347	547,414	5,178,928	0	0	0	430,640	0	60,853,234	52%
MASSACHUSETTS	211,071,349	112,911	145,608,426	11,906	0	10,000,000	0	14,235,330	0	41,102,776	65%
MICHIGAN	518,070,338	90,869,681	(1,358,120)	315,906	519,207	0	0	49,997	149,323,744	278,349,923	84%
MINNESOTA	162,860,251	64,925,350	0	5,371,708	0	17,466,560	0	41,188,679	0	33,907,954	72%
MISSISSIPPI 1/	30,955,199	9,700,363	0	2,358,918	0	0	0	241	0	18,895,677	60%
MISSOURI	120,196,813	25,128,265	0	0	0	0	0	0	87,938,138	7,130,410	62%
MONTANA	10,229,714	1,850,466	0	0	0	0	0	965,355	1,417,942	5,995,951	35%
NEBRASKA	22,623,081	15,885,353	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	6,737,728	44%
NEVADA	20,508,964	922,350	0	271,154	0	0	0	57,262	1,215,690	18,042,508	52%
NEW HAMPSHIRE	15,041,020	4,842,142	0	537,311	0	0	0	0	77,814	9,583,753	39%
NEW JERSEY	234,462,086	120,242,993	0	518,326	0	18,393,000	0	128,175	14,160,693	81,018,899	98%
NEW MEXICO	21,828,282	10,633,431	0	622,450	0	0	0	0	0	10,572,401	29%
NEW YORK	729,374,093	146,703,195	0	9,127,686	0	0	0	32,639,987	17,284,061	523,619,164	40%
NORTH CAROLINA	(10,323,299)	(5,905,026)	37,908,466	(140,225)	0	0	0	(1,115,951)	(35,446,291)	(5,624,272)	-13%
NORTH DAKOTA	11,817,988	2,414,248	(126,105)	1,214,443	0	0	0	165,215	1,733,840	6,416,347	52%
OHIO	493,127,959	39,331,734	146,456,696	6,071,584	0	0	0	34,431,676	0	266,836,269	69%
OKLAHOMA	40,337,540	0	0	0	0	0	0	1,016,332	0	39,321,208	45%
OREGON	77,982,396	3,021,659	402,967	0	0	0	0	0	0	74,557,770	49%
PENNSYLVANIA	354,467,514	135,875,147	31,352,089	2,804,423	0	0	0	1,799,740	95,691,690	86,944,425	60%
RHODE ISLAND	22,359,967	2,920,262	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	19,439,705	31%
SOUTH CAROLINA	53,220,034	0	0	633,457	0	0	0	0	0	52,586,577	66%
SOUTH DAKOTA	5,548,708	2,676,167	0	57,380	0	0	0	0	0	2,815,161	27%
TENNESSEE	51,916,778	13,892,979	19,135,067	1,455,804	0	0	0	0	0	17,432,928	41%
TEXAS 1/	366,752,656	71,649,134	0	433,254	(456,846)	0	0	8,646,616	43,305,668	243,174,830	75%
UTAH	30,846,072	19,051,508	0	108,017	0	0	0	409,818	0	11,276,729	44%
VERMONT	7,288,180	640,466	1,440,537	0	0	0	0	738,853	0	4,468,324	22%
VIRGINIA	70,304,771	27,187,889	657,730	3,663,928	8,088	0	0	11,111,725	0	27,675,411	47%
WASHINGTON	140,293,214	60,945,287	35,250,679	3,342,718	0	0	0	0	15,323,733	25,430,797	52%
WEST VIRGINIA	50,556,486	1,391,634	16,397,623	0	0	0	0	1,580,812	0	31,186,417	63%
WISCONSIN	149,615,041	11,383,329	48,372,691	796,729	0	55,232,000	0	5,011,990	0	28,818,302	63%
WYOMING	4,332,889	519,448	1,900,000	630	0	0	0	0	0	1,912,811	33%
Total	**7,622,424,628**	**1,680,902,438**	**1,017,381,644**	**103,299,169**	**752,768**	**114,972,858**	**0**	**169,081,984**	**749,946,846**	**3,786,086,921**	-
Percentage 2/	**100%**	**22%**	**13%**	**1%**	**0%**	**2%**	**0%**	**2%**	**10%**	**50%**	-
Percentage 3/	**56%**	**12%**	**7%**	**1%**	**0%**	**1%**	**0%**	**1%**	**6%**	**28%**	-

1/ Negative expenditures represent an accounting adjustment to prior year expenditures.

2/ The percentages shown are calculated as a proportion of total expenditures on non-assistance (Line 6).

3/ The percentages shown are calculated as a proportion of total TANF expenditures (Line 7).

Source: TANF Financial Report

Table 2:4:d (FY 2006)

TANF Financial Data
Combined Federal Funds Spent in FY 2006
Expenditures on Non-Assistance: Detailed Breakdown of Lines 6A and 6C in FY 2006 Through the Fourth Quarter

Corresponds with the web version of Table A of the TANF Financial Report
Data reported by States in Column A on TANF Financial Report (Form ACF-196) Line Items

STATE	Line 6a TOTAL EXPENDITURES ON WORK RELATED ACTIVITIES	Line 6a1 WORK SUBSIDIES	Line 6a2 EDUCATION AND TRAINING	Line 6a3 OTHER WORK ACTIVITIES/ EXPENSES	TOTAL EXPENDITURES ON TRANSPORTATION	Line 6c1 JOB ACCESS	Line 6c2 OTHER
ALABAMA 1/	5,117,225	0	726,929	4,390,296	466,878	466,878	0
ALASKA	6,828,670	275,909	0	6,552,761	240,010	0	240,010
ARIZONA	10,652,595	3,553	368,855	10,280,187	825,548	0	825,548
ARKANSAS	14,664,924	151,276	4,690,260	9,823,388	2,993,384	0	2,993,384
CALIFORNIA	411,253,501	2,566,856	24,985,610	383,701,035	28,132,375	0	28,132,375
COLORADO	776,621	0	548,109	228,512	(790)	0	(790)
CONNECTICUT	17,813,899	0	15,161,465	2,652,434	2,947,248	2,947,248	0
DELAWARE	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
DIST.OF COLUMBIA	16,783,356	0	4,132,509	12,650,847	0	0	0
FLORIDA	83,560,351	249,513	6,095,560	77,215,278	6,790,416	354,057	6,436,359
GEORGIA 1/	61,476,229	0	7,194,413	54,281,816	0	0	0
HAWAII	31,940,800	0	54,859	31,885,941	964,269	0	964,269
IDAHO	1,741,221	0	0	1,741,221	0	0	0
ILLINOIS	61,252,763	0	48,254,016	12,998,747	1,250,469	0	1,250,469
INDIANA	427,082	0	83,717	343,365	80,815	0	80,815
IOWA 1/	12,874,787	0	0	12,874,787	1,023,012	0	1,023,012
KANSAS	3,448,455	0	3,246,592	201,863	2,831,948	0	2,831,948
KENTUCKY	22,955,312	314,984	5,818,923	16,821,405	2,406,598	0	2,406,598
LOUISIANA	9,689,999	0	1,811,941	7,878,058	2,556,779	101,497	2,455,282
MAINE 1/	2,215,936	0	0	2,215,936	5,033,775	0	5,033,775
MARYLAND	26,616,347	567,951	4,772,845	21,275,551	5,178,928	3,028,841	2,150,087
MASSACHUSETTS 1/	112,911	102,447	0	10,464	11,906	0	11,906
MICHIGAN	90,869,681	0	0	90,869,681	315,906	0	315,906
MINNESOTA	64,925,350	0	870,995	64,054,355	5,371,708	0	5,371,708
MISSISSIPPI 1/	9,700,363	36,130	0	9,664,233	2,358,918	0	2,358,918
MISSOURI	25,128,265	29,799,202	0	(4,670,937)	0	0	0
MONTANA 1/	1,850,466	0	1,729,388	121,078	0	0	0
NEBRASKA 1/	15,885,353	15,885,353	0	0	0	0	0
NEVADA	922,350	0	0	922,350	271,154	0	271,154
NEW HAMPSHIRE	4,842,142	25,555	657,672	4,158,915	537,311	98,594	438,717
NEW JERSEY	120,242,993	0	145,962	120,097,031	518,326	160,347	357,979
NEW MEXICO	10,633,431	376,550	0	10,256,881	622,450	622,450	0
NEW YORK	146,703,195	4,799,600	2,346,474	139,557,121	9,127,686	0	9,127,686
NORTH CAROLINA	(5,905,026)	(435)	(66,063)	(5,838,528)	(140,225)	(977,889)	837,664
NORTH DAKOTA	2,414,248	0	28,139	2,386,109	1,214,443	0	1,214,443
OHIO	39,331,734	12,701,844	4,156,126	22,473,764	6,071,584	0	6,071,584
OKLAHOMA	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
OREGON 1/	3,021,659	800,704	1	2,220,954	0	0	0
PENNSYLVANIA	135,875,147	4,006,266	9,846,632	122,022,249	2,804,423	(12,651)	2,817,074
RHODE ISLAND	2,920,262	44,488	0	2,875,774	0	0	0
SOUTH CAROLINA	0	0	0	0	633,457	0	633,457
SOUTH DAKOTA	2,676,167	0	0	2,676,167	57,380	0	57,380
TENNESSEE	13,892,979	0	1,450,637	12,442,342	1,455,804	0	1,455,804
TEXAS 1/	71,649,134	3,125,570	10,174,456	58,349,108	433,254	0	433,254
UTAH	19,051,508	99,035	1,609,238	17,343,235	108,017	0	108,017
VERMONT	640,466	0	0	640,466	0	0	0
VIRGINIA	27,187,889	6,300	811,811	26,369,778	3,663,928	1,018,242	2,645,686
WASHINGTON	60,945,287	10,913,927	17,792,602	32,238,758	3,342,718	0	3,342,718
WEST VIRGINIA	1,391,634	0	0	1,391,634	0	0	0
WISCONSIN	11,383,329	20,940	195,713	11,166,676	796,729	0	796,729
WYOMING	519,448	0	0	519,448	630	0	630
Total	**1,680,902,438**	**86,873,518**	**179,696,386**	**1,414,332,534**	**103,299,169**	**7,807,614**	**95,491,555**
Percentage 2/	**20%**	**1%**	**2%**	**17%**	**1%**	**0%**	**1%**
Percentage 3/	**12%**	**0%**	**1%**	**10%**	**1%**	**0%**	**1%**

1/ Negative expenditures represent an accounting adjustment to prior year expenditures.
2/ The percentages shown are calculated as a proportion of total TANF expenditures on nonassistance.
3/ The percentages shown are calculated as a proportion of total TANF expenditures (Line 7).
Source: TANF Financial Report

Table 2:4:e (FY 2006)

TANF Financial Data
Combined Federal Funds Spent in FY 2006
Breakdown of Other Expenditures on Non-Assistance in FY 2006 Through the Fourth Quarter

Corresponds with the web version of Table A of the TANF Financial Report

Data reported by States in Column A on TANF Financial Report (Form ACF-196) Line Items

STATE	Line 6 TOTAL EXPENDITURES ON NON-ASSISTANCE	TOTAL OF OTHER CATEGORIES OF NON ASSISTANCE (Lines 6h - 6m)	Line 6h PREGNANCY PREVENTION	Line 6i 2 PARENT FORMATION	Line 6j ADMIN	% OF TOTAL EXPENDITURES SPENT ON ADMIN	Line 6k SYSTEMS	Line 6m OTHER
ALABAMA	32,139,559	26,470,973	406,300	0	8,936,477	13%	259,784	16,868,412
ALASKA	19,287,461	4,926,465	275,181	367,699	3,151,124	10%	263,309	869,152
ARIZONA	116,870,793	56,211,294	0	174	24,766,197	12%	1,528,279	29,916,644
ARKANSAS	37,261,156	18,635,506	629,686	2,147,606	9,148,907	18%	1,483,241	5,226,066
CALIFORNIA	1,333,094,465	644,487,062	11,995,066	0	290,060,324	9%	75,624,968	266,806,704
COLORADO	63,370,124	57,938,945	72,880	0	6,069,809	5%	7,844,858	43,951,398
CONNECTICUT	218,974,459	183,979,781	73,903,794	5,175,918	18,515,874	8%	0	86,384,195
DELAWARE	4,582,675	4,582,675	0	0	2,406,876	7%	2,175,799	0
DIST.OF COLUMBIA	70,315,781	35,905,664	1,977,182	3,071,919	9,264,904	11%	5,727,877	15,863,782
FLORIDA 2/	400,323,671	213,163,196	5,955,102	397,364	44,314,863	10%	2,180,826	160,315,041
GEORGIA	323,204,990	151,918,975	22,362,255	13,519,952	18,326,524	5%	559,206	97,151,038
HAWAII	44,629,758	11,724,689	0	0	7,053,149	8%	4,671,540	0
IDAHO	16,790,850	7,913,148	410,733	1,959,039	6,656	0%	616,244	4,920,476
ILLINOIS	474,673,594	78,546,336	1,200,000	0	17,195,840	3%	598,951	59,551,545
INDIANA	92,998,409	92,490,512	1,602,367	0	15,042,542	8%	6,095,272	69,750,331
IOWA	67,756,802	33,893,243	15,212,952	52,356	2,363,854	2%	1,402,778	14,861,303
KANSAS	26,142,781	19,862,378	0	0	8,288,393	11%	395,017	11,178,968
KENTUCKY	61,213,508	35,851,529	0	0	12,959,222	11%	2,857,091	20,035,216
LOUISIANA 2/	82,170,863	69,924,002	18,833,438	22,826,579	21,073,036	16%	1,284,898	5,906,051
MAINE 2/	25,330,282	7,180,431	0	0	5,768,444	10%	1,411,987	0
MARYLAND	93,626,563	60,853,234	675,519	29,812,630	28,129,816	16%	2,235,269	0
MASSACHUSETTS	211,071,349	41,102,776	1,296,764	0	6,973,588	2%	0	32,832,424
MICHIGAN	518,070,338	278,349,923	38,518,947	27,340,560	81,579,395	13%	7,692,556	123,218,465
MINNESOTA	162,860,251	33,907,954	0	0	28,288,763	13%	717,259	4,901,932
MISSISSIPPI	30,955,199	18,895,677	3,057,051	2,485,152	3,659,454	7%	405,622	9,288,398
MISSOURI	120,196,813	7,130,410	0	0	4,320,950	2%	2,809,460	0
MONTANA 2/	10,229,714	5,995,951	363,960	0	4,619,314	16%	258,839	753,838
NEBRASKA	22,623,081	6,737,728	50,000	92,677	5,106,636	10%	1,488,415	0
NEVADA	20,508,964	18,042,508	0	0	7,443,188	19%	4,339,143	6,260,177
NEW HAMPSHIRE	15,041,020	9,583,753	1,249,200	0	2,991,643	8%	2,164,067	3,178,843
NEW JERSEY	234,462,086	81,018,899	7,117,542	4,818,590	69,318,065	29%	4,577,097	<4,812,395>
NEW MEXICO	21,828,282	10,572,401	0	0	3,512,148	5%	642,757	6,417,496
NEW YORK	729,374,093	523,619,164	30,721,734	53,168	229,733,620	13%	14,453,800	248,656,842
NORTH CAROLINA	<10,323,299>	<5,624,272>	<97,200>	<93,011>	<10,417,609>	-14%	2,106,447	2,877,101
NORTH DAKOTA	11,817,988	6,416,347	0	2,286,310	3,058,757	13%	652,730	418,550
OHIO	493,127,959	266,836,269	19,233,897	47,988,480	63,758,914	9%	24,535	135,830,443
OKLAHOMA	40,337,540	39,321,208	694,222	2,506,881	10,499,405	12%	1,853,867	23,766,833
OREGON	77,982,396	74,557,770	0	0	18,408,464	12%	4,141,766	52,007,540
PENNSYLVANIA	354,467,514	86,944,425	28,767,888	8,310,325	40,096,744	7%	10,302,965	<533,497>
RHODE ISLAND	22,359,967	19,439,705	0	0	7,530,480	11%	1,665,735	10,243,490
SOUTH CAROLINA	53,220,034	52,586,577	2,746,874	0	2,457,582	3%	0	47,382,121
SOUTH DAKOTA	5,548,708	2,815,161	0	0	1,107,424	5%	0	1,707,737
TENNESSEE	51,916,778	17,432,928	0	0	11,906,786	9%	2,547,020	2,979,122
TEXAS	366,752,656	243,174,830	3,741,707	11,583	62,881,756	13%	27,667,613	148,872,171
UTAH	30,846,072	11,276,729	324,000	111,865	10,071,470	14%	778,194	<8,800>
VERMONT	7,288,180	4,468,324	0	0	4,127,138	12%	341,186	0
VIRGINIA	70,304,771	27,675,411	949,000	0	21,113,153	14%	0	5,613,258
WASHINGTON	140,293,214	25,430,797	0	0	20,835,662	8%	4,595,135	0
WEST VIRGINIA 2/	50,556,486	31,186,417	<75,082>	0	11,667,257	14%	7,254,842	12,339,400
WISCONSIN	149,615,041	28,818,302	760,348	4,249,183	13,696,447	6%	8,421,807	1,690,517
WYOMING	4,332,889	1,912,811	0	0	362,503	3%	0	1,550,308
Total	**7,622,424,628**	**3,786,086,921**	**294,933,307**	**179,492,999**	**1,293,551,928**	**-**	**231,120,051**	**1,786,988,636**
Percentage 1/	**56%**	**28%**	**2%**	**1%**	**10%**	**-**	**2%**	**13%**

1/ The percentages shown are calculated as a proportion of total TANF expenditures (Line 7).
2/ Negative expenditures represent an accounting adjustment to prior year expenditures.
Source: TANF Financial Report

Table 2:5 (FY 2006)

TANF Financial Data
State Maintenance of Effort (MOE) Expenditures in the TANF Program in FY 2006
Expenditure of State Funds in FY 2006 Through the Fourth Quarter

Corresponds with the web version of Table B of the TANF Financial Report

Data reported by States in Column B on TANF Financial Report (Form ACF-196) Line Items

STATE	Line 5 TOTAL EXPENDITURES ON ASSISTANCE (Sum of line 5a, 5b & 5c)	Line 5a BASIC ASSISTANCE	Line 5b CHILD CARE	Line 5c TRANSPORTATION AND SUPPORTIVE SERVICES	Line 6 TOTAL EXPENDITURES ON NON-ASSISTANCE (See Tables B1 and B2)	Line 7 TOTAL EXPENDITURES (Assistance and Non)
ALABAMA	2,033,416	0	43,321	1,990,095	37,339,363	39,372,779
ALASKA	31,455,584	28,455,584	3,000,000	0	7,602,044	39,057,628
ARIZONA	45,170,094	44,603,039	0	567,055	38,796,970	83,967,064
ARKANSAS	1,356,306	1,356,306	0	0	21,804,697	23,161,003
CALIFORNIA 1/	1,623,256,542	1,615,575,652	3,583,290	4,097,600	878,575,690	2,501,832,232
COLORADO	15,335,417	14,384,339	0	951,078	78,854,396	94,189,813
CONNECTICUT	87,223,255	83,871,348	3,351,907	0	49,521,675	136,744,930
DELAWARE	11,226,425	2,716,133	5,141,388	3,368,904	31,463,923	42,690,348
DIST.OF COLUMBIA	45,900,276	45,900,276	0	0	59,728,241	105,628,517
FLORIDA	156,325,970	156,325,970	0	0	208,398,827	364,724,797
GEORGIA	48,686,410	21,463,461	22,182,651	5,040,298	127,196,359	175,882,769
HAWAII	3,284,538	3,284,538	0	0	10,286,938	13,571,476
IDAHO	0	0	0	0	14,897,179	14,897,179
ILLINOIS	47,137,398	46,655,211	0	482,187	378,233,048	425,370,446
INDIANA 1/	2,502,604	432,828	0	2,069,776	54,342,629	56,845,233
IOWA 1/	35,976,444	35,976,444	0	0	13,339,813	49,316,257
KANSAS	38,496,679	28,763,698	9,732,981	0	3,553,527	42,050,206
KENTUCKY	61,358,185	49,569,981	10,691,042	1,097,162	10,554,915	71,913,100
LOUISIANA	465,048	301,242	0	163,806	11,766,651	12,231,699
MAINE	28,206,331	27,062,091	0	1,144,240	269	28,206,600
MARYLAND	3,983,940	3,983,940	0	0	42,273,749	46,257,689
MASSACHUSETTS	213,475,396	201,758,099	11,397,322	319,975	247,280,404	460,755,800
MICHIGAN	276,546,807	271,558,494	4,988,313	0	251,799,265	528,346,072
MINNESOTA	47,523,362	47,523,362	0	0	110,409,847	157,933,209
MISSISSIPPI	3,090,473	3,090,473	0	0	19,214,100	22,304,573
MISSOURI	39,813,514	39,813,514	0	0	69,463,138	109,276,652
MONTANA 1/	1,567,100	0	1,567,100	0	12,011,733	13,578,833
NEBRASKA	21,896,031	21,896,031	0	0	6,498,999	28,395,030
NEVADA	17,434,857	15,905,901	1,528,956	0	5,578,965	23,013,822
NEW HAMPSHIRE	17,885,296	17,885,296	0	0	13,394,750	31,280,046
NEW JERSEY	77,994,454	49,541,278	25,444,373	3,008,803	32,938,810	110,933,264
NEW MEXICO	22,882,679	19,987,420	2,895,259	0	9,865,623	32,748,302
NEW YORK	519,901,843	519,901,843	0	0	319,307,398	839,209,241
NORTH CAROLINA	5,267,317	5,267,317	0	0	168,058,838	173,326,155
NORTH DAKOTA	9,069,360	8,052,324	1,017,036	0	0	9,069,360
OHIO	119,702,448	117,151,520	0	2,550,928	280,131,514	399,833,962
OKLAHOMA	48,001,895	10,839,912	27,409,814	9,752,169	13,074,889	61,076,784
OREGON	33,194,167	20,638,490	9,046,887	3,508,790	58,442,132	91,636,299
PENNSYLVANIA	194,511,684	180,269,506	0	14,242,178	212,613,916	407,125,600
RHODE ISLAND	4,908,492	1,875,719	2,947,623	85,150	53,285,172	58,193,664
SOUTH CAROLINA	5,876,112	5,059,110	0	817,002	52,602,984	58,479,096
SOUTH DAKOTA	5,808,145	5,005,231	802,914	0	2,731,855	8,540,000
TENNESSEE	43,179,051	26,736,733	13,216,735	3,225,583	91,150,331	134,329,382
TEXAS	59,560,489	59,560,489	0	0	171,979,228	231,539,717
UTAH	369,171	369,171	0	0	24,118,168	24,487,339
VERMONT	12,035,360	10,277,362	0	1,757,998	4,218,612	16,253,972
VIRGINIA	38,243,794	38,243,794	0	0	77,860,640	116,104,434
WASHINGTON	152,318,217	152,318,217	0	0	208,591,155	360,909,372
WEST VIRGINIA	22,568,222	19,596,829	2,971,393	0	6,021,079	28,589,301
WISCONSIN	21,081,649	21,081,649	0	0	178,234,838	199,316,487
WYOMING	4,613,928	3,197,069	1,416,859	0	5,056,583	9,670,511
Total	**4,329,702,175**	**4,105,084,234**	**164,377,164**	**60,240,777**	**4,784,465,869**	**9,114,168,044**
Percentages 2/	**48%**	**45%**	**2%**	**1%**	**52%**	**100%**

1/ Negative expenditures represent an accounting adjustment to prior year expenditures.
2/ Percentages show what proportion the State total in each column is the Total State TANF MOE Expenditures as reported in Line 7.
Source: TANF Financial Report

Table 2:5:1:a (FY 2006)

TANF Financial Data
State Maintenance of Effort (MOE) Expenditures in the TANF Program in FY 2006
Expenditures on Non-Assistance in FY 2006 Through the Fourth Quarter

Corresponds with the web version of Table B1 of the TANF Financial Report
Data reported by States in Column B on TANF Financial Report (Form ACF-196) Line Items

STATE	Line 6 TOTAL EXPENDITURES ON NON-ASSISTANCE (sum of 6a-6m)	Line 6a WORK RELATED ACTIVITIES (break down on table B2)	Line 6b CHILD CARE	Line 6c TRANSPORTATION (break down on table B2)	Line 6d IDA	Line 6e REFUNDABLE EITC	Line 6f OTHER REFUNDABLE TAX CREDIT
ALABAMA	37,339,363	10,476,504	6,163,290	16,693	0	0	0
ALASKA	7,602,044	3,572,513	0	0	0	0	0
ARIZONA	38,796,970	4,043,810	16,665,017	58,524	0	0	0
ARKANSAS	21,804,697	220	20,214,541	1,575,200	0	0	0
CALIFORNIA	878,575,690	73,838,649	467,738,305	7,760,119	0	0	0
COLORADO	78,854,396	159,710	151,679	<174>	0	39,002	4,520,894
CONNECTICUT	49,521,675	16,547,908	0	0	0	0	0
DELAWARE	31,463,923	1,318,720	25,274,514	0	0	0	0
DIST.OF COLUMBIA	59,728,241	2,709,000	45,281,804	0	0	0	0
FLORIDA	208,398,827	0	139,144,242	0	0	0	0
GEORGIA	127,196,359	14,140,065	0	750,717	0	0	0
HAWAII	10,286,938	5,997,110	0	388,823	0	0	0
IDAHO	14,897,179	6,007,366	1,175,820	316,683	0	0	0
ILLINOIS	378,233,048	10,114,785	254,282,678	66,107	0	0	0
INDIANA	54,342,629	3,331,572	15,248,217	630,419	0	0	0
IOWA	13,339,813	4,814,909	0	0	0	0	0
KANSAS	3,553,527	0	0	0	0	0	0
KENTUCKY	10,554,915	991,685	8,138,692	0	0	0	0
LOUISIANA	11,766,651	<10>	0	0	0	0	0
MAINE	269	<2,228>	0	0	0	0	0
MARYLAND	42,273,749	1,213,907	31,060,624	8,893	0	0	0
MASSACHUSETTS	247,280,404	21,854,111	33,156,973	725,217	0	66,800,360	0
MICHIGAN	251,799,265	7,312,368	150,048,557	343,375	0	0	0
MINNESOTA	110,409,847	4,552,926	33,762,604	630,425	0	54,259,142	0
MISSISSIPPI	19,214,100	9,111,955	1,715,430	7,692,376	0	0	0
MISSOURI	69,463,138	2,876,757	59,455,972	0	0	0	0
MONTANA	12,011,733	9,594,761	0	0	0	0	0
NEBRASKA 1/	6,498,999	0	6,498,999	0	0	0	0
NEVADA	5,578,965	0	1,302,444	0	0	0	0
NEW HAMPSHIRE	13,394,750	2,999,384	4,581,866	396,479	0	0	0
NEW JERSEY	32,938,810	16,018,554	0	0	0	0	0
NEW MEXICO	9,865,623	0	0	0	0	0	0
NEW YORK	319,307,398	60,567,775	0	365,582	0	0	0
NORTH CAROLINA	168,058,838	52,735,615	67,216,233	4,511,412	0	0	0
NORTH DAKOTA	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
OHIO	280,131,514	20,931,902	155,208,946	4,888,275	0	0	0
OKLAHOMA	13,074,889	0	0	0	0	0	0
OREGON 1/	58,442,132	18,312,778	180,704	1,609,792	0	0	2,462,925
PENNSYLVANIA	212,613,916	26,127,575	137,948,296	1,191,940	0	0	0
RHODE ISLAND	53,285,172	3,050,787	28,544,570	0	0	0	0
SOUTH CAROLINA	52,602,984	8,029,258	4,085,272	410,641	0	0	0
SOUTH DAKOTA	2,731,855	1,393,475	0	57,380	0	0	0
TENNESSEE	91,150,331	22,124,277	5,759,047	2,552,383	0	0	0
TEXAS	171,979,228	1,351,379	26,846,943	0	0	0	0
UTAH	24,118,168	15,808,424	4,474,924	0	0	0	0
VERMONT	4,218,612	76,711	2,268,402	0	0	0	0
VIRGINIA	77,860,640	13,607,362	31,328,762	2,705,842	353,354	0	0
WASHINGTON	208,591,155	125,755,574	38,707,605	0	0	0	0
WEST VIRGINIA	6,021,079	0	0	0	0	0	0
WISCONSIN	178,234,838	26,941,313	131,939,519	2,584,779	0	0	0
WYOMING 1/	5,056,583	<1,337>	0	0	0	0	0
Total	**4,784,465,869**	**630,409,879**	**1,955,571,491**	**42,237,902**	**353,354**	**121,098,504**	**6,983,819**
Percentages 2/	**52%**	**7%**	**21%**	**0%**	**0%**	**1%**	**0%**

1/ Negative expenditures represent an accounting adjustment to prior year expenditures.
2/ The percentages show what portion of the total State expenditures in TANF (Line 7, Table C) is represented by this category of expenditures.
Source: TANF Financial Report

Table 2:5:1:b (FY 2006)

TANF Financial Data
State Maintenance of Effort (MOE) Expenditures in the TANF Program in FY 2006
Expenditures on Non-Assistance in FY 2006 Through the Fourth Quarter

Corresponds with the web version of Table B1 of the TANF Financial Report
Data reported by States in Column B on TANF Financial Report (Form ACF-196) Line Items

STATE	Line 6 TOTAL EXPENDITURES ON NON-ASSISTANCE (sum of 6a - 6m)	Line 6g NON-RECURRENT SHORT TERM BENEFITS	Line 6h PREGNANCY PREVENTION	Line 6i 2 PARENT FORMATION	Line 6j ADMIN	Line6k SYSTEMS	Line 6m OTHER
ALABAMA	37,339,363	0	2,000,000	0	4,810,521	216,684	13,655,671
ALASKA	7,602,044	728,430	0	0	3,211,296	89,805	0
ARIZONA	38,796,970	0	0	0	7,363,547	624,207	10,041,865
ARKANSAS	21,804,697	14,736	0	0	0	0	0
CALIFORNIA	878,575,690	83,265	1,218,218	0	214,996,448	0	112,940,686
COLORADO	78,854,396	518,357	17,879	0	4,459,795	103,336	68,883,918
CONNECTICUT	49,521,675	0	0	0	15,739,765	927,327	16,306,675
DELAWARE	31,463,923	2,697,206	615,972	0	1,055,027	502,484	0
DIST.OF COLUMBIA	59,728,241	0	0	8,626,449	2,786,948	324,040	0
FLORIDA	208,398,827	1,471,265	520,446	0	20,905,033	1,994,815	44,363,026
GEORGIA	127,196,359	0	737	0	2,863,354	564,270	108,877,216
HAWAII	10,286,938	0	0	0	3,392,110	508,895	0
IDAHO	14,897,179	190,920	0	0	1,215,378	608,381	5,382,631
ILLINOIS	378,233,048	0	0	0	6,371,939	376,436	107,021,103
INDIANA	54,342,629	0	0	0	12,306,303	1,099,776	21,726,342
IOWA	13,339,813	0	0	0	7,826,442	698,462	0
KANSAS	3,553,527	0	0	0	0	0	3,553,527
KENTUCKY	10,554,915	0	0	0	638,580	785,958	0
LOUISIANA 1/	11,766,651	0	0	4,074,090	1,964,088	170,267	5,558,216
MAINE	269	2,497	0	0	0	0	0
MARYLAND	42,273,749	387,707	961,763	1,521,378	7,038,499	80,978	0
MASSACHUSETTS	247,280,404	12,647,927	28,501,721	0	28,128,243	0	55,465,852
MICHIGAN	251,799,265	0	0	0	15,336,541	4,862,505	73,895,919
MINNESOTA	110,409,847	232,714	0	0	16,254,777	717,259	0
MISSISSIPPI	19,214,100	241	164,243	0	274,064	255,791	0
MISSOURI	69,463,138	0	0	0	4,320,949	2,809,460	0
MONTANA	12,011,733	0	0	0	418,986	155,188	1,842,798
NEBRASKA	6,498,999	0	0	0	0	0	0
NEVADA	5,578,965	0	0	0	1,666,631	483,073	2,126,817
NEW HAMPSHIRE	13,394,750	0	73,872	0	1,920,829	1,442,712	1,979,608
NEW JERSEY 1/	32,938,810	0	0	0	15,212,128	1,066,994	641,134
NEW MEXICO	9,865,623	0	0	0	0	0	9,865,623
NEW YORK	319,307,398	30,332,058	0	0	187,207,098	4,711,837	36,123,048
NORTH CAROLINA	168,058,838	5,952,985	0	0	19,211,820	1,055,352	17,375,421
NORTH DAKOTA	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
OHIO	280,131,514	26,400,230	103,378	170,772	42,664,800	647	29,762,564
OKLAHOMA	13,074,889	655,095	447,474	1,615,858	9,161,518	1,194,944	0
OREGON	58,442,132	0	0	0	11,461,981	113,373	24,300,579
PENNSYLVANIA	212,613,916	5,828,256	0	0	37,891,967	3,625,882	0
RHODE ISLAND	53,285,172	0	0	0	4,014,185	854,762	16,820,868
SOUTH CAROLINA	52,602,984	0	0	0	4,435,228	1,169,581	34,473,004
SOUTH DAKOTA	2,731,855	0	0	0	1,281,000	0	0
TENNESSEE	91,150,331	0	0	0	15,669,145	2,547,020	42,498,459
TEXAS	171,979,228	74,000	0	0	2,351,483	19,605	141,335,818
UTAH	24,118,168	409,818	0	111,865	3,067,075	246,062	0
VERMONT	4,218,612	52,098	0	0	1,705,980	115,421	0
VIRGINIA	77,860,640	5,889	4,346	0	20,985,031	0	8,870,054
WASHINGTON	208,591,155	11,139,467	0	0	18,057,347	2,557,393	12,373,769
WEST VIRGINIA	6,021,079	0	0	0	5,166,968	854,111	0
WISCONSIN	178,234,838	3,497,272	0	7,055,830	5,661,272	0	554,853
WYOMING	5,056,583	0	0	0	391,599	98,130	4,568,191
Total	**4,784,465,869**	**103,322,433**	**34,630,049**	**23,176,242**	**792,863,718**	**40,633,223**	**1,033,185,255**
Percentages 2/	**52%**	**1%**	**0%**	**0%**	**9%**	**0%**	**11%**

1/ Negative expenditures represent an accounting adjustment to prior year expenditures.
2/ The percentages show what portion of the total State expenditures in TANF (Line 7, Table C) is represented by this category of expenditures.
Source: TANF Financial Report

Table 2:5:2 (FY 2006)

TANF Financial Data
State Maintenance of Effort (MOE) Expenditures in the TANF Program in FY 2006
Expenditures on Non-Assistance: Detailed Breakdown of Lines 6A and 6C in FY 2006 Through the Fourth Quarter

Corresponds with the web version of Table B2 of the TANF Financial Report
Data reported by States in Column B on TANF Financial Report (Form ACF-196) Line Items

STATE	Line 6a TOTAL EXPENDITURES ON WORK RELATED ACTIVITIES	Line 6a1 WORK SUBSIDIES	Line 6a2 EDUCATION AND TRAINING	Line 6a3 OTHER WORK ACTIVITIES/ EXPENSES	Line 6c TOTAL EXPENDITURES ON TRANSPORTATION	Line 6c1 JOB ACCESS	Line 6c2 OTHER
ALABAMA	10,476,504	0	0	10,476,504	16,693	0	16,693
ALASKA	3,572,513	0	0	3,572,513	0	0	0
ARIZONA	4,043,810	0	4,157	4,039,653	58,524	0	58,524
ARKANSAS	220	0	0	220	1,575,200	0	1,575,200
CALIFORNIA 1/	73,838,649	5,572,491	59,762,735	8,503,423	7,760,119	0	7,760,119
COLORADO	159,710	0	113,587	46,123	<174>	0	<174>
CONNECTICUT 1/	16,547,908	0	0	16,547,908	0	0	0
DELAWARE	1,318,720	0	0	1,318,720	0	0	0
DIST.OF COLUMBIA	2,709,000	0	0	2,709,000	0	0	0
FLORIDA	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
GEORGIA 1/	14,140,065	0	5,320,866	8,819,199	750,717	0	750,717
HAWAII	5,997,110	0	28,142	5,968,968	388,823	0	388,823
IDAHO	6,007,366	0	231,700	5,775,666	316,683	316,683	0
ILLINOIS	10,114,785	0	0	10,114,785	66,107	0	66,107
INDIANA	3,331,572	0	653,058	2,678,514	630,419	0	630,419
IOWA 1/	4,814,909	0	0	4,814,909	0	0	0
KANSAS	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
KENTUCKY	991,685	0	0	991,685	0	0	0
LOUISIANA	<10>	0	0	<10>	0	0	0
MAINE	<2,228>	0	0	<2,228>	0	0	0
MARYLAND	1,213,907	1,014,952	0	198,955	8,893	0	8,893
MASSACHUSETTS	21,854,111	4,506,709	9,690,096	7,657,306	725,217	0	725,217
MICHIGAN	7,312,368	0	0	7,312,368	343,375	0	343,375
MINNESOTA	4,552,926	0	36,179	4,516,747	630,425	0	630,425
MISSISSIPPI	9,111,955	76,000	3,773,792	5,262,163	7,692,376	0	7,692,376
MISSOURI	2,876,757	0	0	2,876,757	0	0	0
MONTANA	9,594,761	0	7,552,218	2,042,543	0	0	0
NEBRASKA 1/	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
NEVADA	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
NEW HAMPSHIRE	2,999,384	17,038	438,447	2,543,899	396,479	0	396,479
NEW JERSEY	16,018,554	0	0	16,018,554	0	0	0
NEW MEXICO	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
NEW YORK	60,567,775	77,052	1,019,929	59,470,794	365,582	0	365,582
NORTH CAROLINA	52,735,615	4,181	2,680,744	50,050,690	4,511,412	0	4,511,412
NORTH DAKOTA	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
OHIO	20,931,902	4,137,980	2,229,421	14,564,501	4,888,275	0	4,888,275
OKLAHOMA	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
OREGON 1/	18,312,778	718,386	4,020,804	13,573,588	1,609,792	1,609,792	0
PENNSYLVANIA	26,127,575	0	0	26,127,575	1,191,940	0	1,191,940
RHODE ISLAND	3,050,787	0	0	3,050,787	0	0	0
SOUTH CAROLINA	8,029,258	0	6,703,208	1,326,050	410,641	0	410,641
SOUTH DAKOTA	1,393,475	0	0	1,393,475	57,380	0	57,380
TENNESSEE	22,124,277	0	7,531,583	14,592,694	2,552,383	0	2,552,383
TEXAS	1,351,379	0	1,351,379	0	0	0	0
UTAH	15,808,424	98,067	432,258	15,278,099	0	0	0
VERMONT	76,711	0	0	76,711	0	0	0
VIRGINIA	13,607,362	0	35,805	13,571,557	2,705,842	119,974	2,585,868
WASHINGTON	125,755,574	0	103,880,720	21,874,854	0	0	0
WEST VIRGINIA	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
WISCONSIN 1/	26,941,313	25,638	1,484,234	25,431,441	2,584,779	0	2,584,779
WYOMING 1/	<1,337>	0	0	<1,337>	0	0	0
Total	**630,409,879**	**16,248,494**	**218,975,062**	**395,186,323**	**42,237,902**	**2,046,449**	**40,191,453**
Percentages 2/	**7%**	**0%**	**2%**	**4%**	**0%**	**0%**	**0%**

1/ Negative expenditures represent an accounting adjustment to prior year expenditures.
2/ The Percentage calculation are based on Total Expenditures (Line 7).
Source: TANF Financial Report

Table 2:6 (FY 2006)

TANF Financial Data
State Maintenance of Effort (MOE) Expenditures in Separate State Programs in FY 2006
Expenditure of State Funds in FY 2006 Through the Fourth Quarter

Corresponds with the web version of Table C of the TANF Financial Report

Data reported by States in Column C on TANF Financial Report (Form ACF-196) Line Items

STATE	Line 5 TOTAL EXPENDITURES ON ASSISTANCE (Sum of line 5a, 5b & 5c)	Line 5a BASIC ASSISTANCE	Line 5b CHILD CARE	Line 5c TRANSPORTATION AND SUPPORTIVE SERVICES	Line 6 TOTAL EXPENDITURES ON NON-ASSISTANCE (See Tables C1 and C2)	Line 7 TOTAL EXPENDITURES (Assistance and Non)
ALABAMA	829,055	827,735	1,320	0	77,668	906,723
ALASKA	0	0	0	0	0	0
ARIZONA	0	0	0	0	10,032,936	10,032,936
ARKANSAS	0	0	0	0	0	0
CALIFORNIA 1/	311,380,901	299,823,153	5,703,865	5,853,883	89,986,753	401,367,654
COLORADO	0	0	0	0	0	0
CONNECTICUT	26,202,492	24,092,870	0	2,109,622	68,232,374	94,434,866
DELAWARE	746,681	746,681	0	0	0	746,681
DIST.OF COLUMBIA	1,700,000	1,700,000	0	0	1,176,360	2,876,360
FLORIDA	7,692,271	7,692,271	0	0	0	7,692,271
GEORGIA	264,427	264,427	0	0	0	264,427
HAWAII	40,748,229	40,748,229	0	0	16,834,106	57,582,335
IDAHO	0	0	0	0	0	0
ILLINOIS	4,095,482	3,950,158	0	145,324	622,265	4,717,747
INDIANA	7,038,385	6,669,851	0	368,534	57,269,836	64,308,221
IOWA 1/	10,752,526	7,141,687	0	3,610,839	7,021,331	17,773,857
KANSAS	0	0	0	0	37,382,251	37,382,251
KENTUCKY	0	0	0	0	0	0
LOUISIANA	0	0	0	0	43,183,429	43,183,429
MAINE	16,871,238	14,465,552	886,784	1,518,902	3,263,836	20,135,074
MARYLAND 1/	16,944,809	16,663,988	130,436	150,385	113,795,327	130,740,136
MASSACHUSETTS	11,143,054	11,143,054	0	0	0	11,143,054
MICHIGAN	0	0	0	0	39,425,869	39,425,869
MINNESOTA 1/	18,414,813	18,414,813	0	0	344,874	18,759,687
MISSISSIPPI	0	0	0	0	0	0
MISSOURI	7,266,114	7,266,114	0	0	11,586,061	18,852,175
MONTANA	0	0	0	0	0	0
NEBRASKA	12,480,298	12,480,298	0	0	0	12,480,298
NEVADA	4,174,300	4,174,300	0	0	0	4,174,300
NEW HAMPSHIRE	835,056	835,056	0	0	0	835,056
NEW JERSEY 1/	21,073,084	16,219,229	929,805	3,924,050	214,575,981	235,649,065
NEW MEXICO	0	0	0	0	0	0
NEW YORK	419,705,746	317,721,748	101,983,998	0	1,163,128,376	1,582,834,122
NORTH CAROLINA	0	0	0	0	0	0
NORTH DAKOTA	0	0	0	0	0	0
OHIO	0	0	0	0	5,614,415	5,614,415
OKLAHOMA	0	0	0	0	0	0
OREGON	0	0	0	0	0	0
PENNSYLVANIA	0	0	0	0	0	0
RHODE ISLAND	14,377,004	14,377,004	0	0	103,054	14,480,058
SOUTH CAROLINA	5,909,863	5,909,863	0	0	103,649	6,013,512
SOUTH DAKOTA	0	0	0	0	0	0
TENNESSEE	2,733,735	2,608,061	0	125,674	1,243,732	3,977,467
TEXAS	6,179,819	6,179,819	0	0	1,370,438	7,550,257
UTAH	181,755	162,164	0	19,591	219,941	401,696
VERMONT	2,985,278	2,493,373	0	491,905	11,451,050	14,436,328
VIRGINIA	24,276,232	18,926,190	5,350,042	0	0	24,276,232
WASHINGTON	4,492,514	4,492,514	0	0	0	4,492,514
WEST VIRGINIA	5,821,675	4,359,856	0	1,461,819	35,470	5,857,145
WISCONSIN	2,523,834	2,523,834	0	0	1,535,763	4,059,597
WYOMING	50,982	50,982	0	0	0	50,982
Total	**1,009,891,652**	**875,124,874**	**114,986,250**	**19,780,528**	**1,899,617,145**	**2,909,508,797**
Percentages 2/	**35%**	**30%**	**4%**	**1%**	**65%**	**100%**

1/ Negative expenditures represent an accounting adjustment to prior year expenditures.

2/ Percentages show what proportion the State total in each column is the Total State TANF MOE Expenditures as reported in Line 7.

Source: TANF Financial Report

Table 2:6:1:a (FY 2006)

TANF Financial Data
State Maintenance of Effort (MOE) Expenditures in Separate State Programs in FY 2006
Expenditure of State Funds in FY 2006 Through the Fourth Quarter

Corresponds with the web version of Table C of the TANF Financial Report
Data reported by States in Column C on TANF Financial Report (Form ACF-196) Line Items

STATE	Line 6 TOTAL EXPENDITURES ON NON-ASSISTANCE (sum of 6a - 6m)	Line 6a WORK RELATED ACTIVITIES (break down on table C2)	Line 6b CHILD CARE	Line 6c TRANSPORTATION (break down on table B2)	Line 6d IDA	Line 6e REFUNDABLE EITC	Line 6f OTHER REFUNDABLE TAX CREDIT
ALABAMA	77,668	6,824	935	69,909	0	0	0
ALASKA	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
ARIZONA	10,032,936	0	10,032,936	0	0	0	0
ARKANSAS	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
CALIFORNIA 1/	89,986,753	30,593,149	8,976,422	3,315,573	0	0	0
COLORADO	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
CONNECTICUT	68,232,374	511,172	19,672,001	0	0	0	0
DELAWARE	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
DIST.OF COLUMBIA	1,176,360	1,176,360	0	0	0	0	0
FLORIDA	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
GEORGIA	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
HAWAII	16,834,106	0	14,738,280	0	0	0	0
IDAHO	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
ILLINOIS	622,265	19,677	0	17,897	0	0	0
INDIANA 1/	57,269,836	4,849,191	0	51,199	546,889	38,405,489	0
IOWA	7,021,331	219,792	5,712,927	1,088,612	0	0	0
KANSAS	37,382,251	0	5,561,534	0	0	29,193,727	0
KENTUCKY	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
LOUISIANA	43,183,429	0	0	0	0	0	0
MAINE	3,263,836	0	1,456,821	1,807,015	0	0	0
MARYLAND	113,795,327	936,721	0	0	0	104,100,441	0
MASSACHUSETTS	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
MICHIGAN	39,425,869	0	0	0	0	0	0
MINNESOTA 1/	344,874	0	0	0	0	0	0
MISSISSIPPI	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
MISSOURI	11,586,061	0	0	0	0	0	0
MONTANA	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
NEBRASKA	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
NEVADA	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
NEW HAMPSHIRE	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
NEW JERSEY 1/	214,575,981	11,016,124	0	2,403,047	0	0	0
NEW MEXICO	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
NEW YORK	1,163,128,376	2,118,644	0	109,999	0	617,671,181	201,063,931
NORTH CAROLINA	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
NORTH DAKOTA	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
OHIO	5,614,415	0	0	0	0	0	0
OKLAHOMA	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
OREGON	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
PENNSYLVANIA	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
RHODE ISLAND	103,054	0	0	0	0	0	0
SOUTH CAROLINA	103,649	103,649	0	0	0	0	0
SOUTH DAKOTA	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
TENNESSEE	1,243,732	811,654	0	95,336	0	0	0
TEXAS	1,370,438	0	0	0	0	0	0
UTAH	219,941	158,134	0	0	0	0	0
VERMONT	11,451,050	0	2,681,842	0	0	8,769,208	0
VIRGINIA	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
WASHINGTON	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
WEST VIRGINIA	35,470	0	0	0	0	0	0
WISCONSIN	1,535,763	445,549	0	0	0	0	0
WYOMING	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Total	**1,899,617,145**	**52,966,640**	**68,833,698**	**8,958,587**	**546,889**	**798,140,046**	**201,063,931**
Percentages 2/	**65%**	**2%**	**2%**	**0%**	**0%**	**27%**	**7%**

1/ Negative expenditures represent an accounting adjustment to prior year expenditures.
2/ The percentages show what portion of the total State expenditures in separate State programs (Line 7) is represented by this category of expenditures.
Source: TANF Financial Report

Table 2:6:1:b (FY 2006)

TANF Financial Data
State Maintenance of Effort (MOE) Expenditures in Separate State Programs in FY 2006
Expenditure of State Funds in FY 2006 Through the Fourth Quarter

Corresponds with the web version of Table C of the TANF Financial Report
Data reported by States in Column C on TANF Financial Report (Form ACF-196) Line Items

STATE	Line 6 TOTAL EXPENDITURES ON NON-ASSISTANCE (sum of 6a - 6m)	Line 6g NON-RECURRENT SHORT TERM BENEFITS	Line 6h PREGNANCY PREVENTION	Line 6i 2 PARENT FORMATION	Line 6j ADMIN	Line6k SYSTEMS	Line 6m OTHER
ALABAMA	77,668	0	0	0	0	0	0
ALASKA	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
ARIZONA	10,032,936	0	0	0	0	0	0
ARKANSAS	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
CALIFORNIA	89,986,753	134,077	1,019,940	258,340	27,355,233	799,758	17,534,261
COLORADO	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
CONNECTICUT	68,232,374	0	0	0	0	0	48,049,201
DELAWARE	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
DIST.OF COLUMBIA	1,176,360	0	0	0	0	0	0
FLORIDA	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
GEORGIA	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
HAWAII	16,834,106	0	0	0	1,855,334	240,492	0
IDAHO	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
ILLINOIS	622,265	0	0	0	551,817	32,874	0
INDIANA	57,269,836	0	0	0	27,662	0	13,389,406
IOWA	7,021,331	0	0	0	0	0	0
KANSAS	37,382,251	0	0	0	0	0	2,626,990
KENTUCKY	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
LOUISIANA	43,183,429	0	12,017,932	0	0	0	31,165,497
MAINE	3,263,836	0	0	0	0	0	0
MARYLAND	113,795,327	6,522,946	35,560	0	1,887,993	0	311,666
MASSACHUSETTS	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
MICHIGAN	39,425,869	0	37,223,147	2,202,722	0	0	0
MINNESOTA 1/	344,874	0	0	0	0	0	344,874
MISSISSIPPI	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
MISSOURI	11,586,061	0	0	11,586,061	0	0	0
MONTANA	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
NEBRASKA	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
NEVADA	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
NEW HAMPSHIRE	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
NEW JERSEY 1/	214,575,981	1,855,550	196,452,322	0	2,642,088	206,850	0
NEW MEXICO	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
NEW YORK	1,163,128,376	8,477,502	147,100,538	0	15,397,407	0	171,189,174
NORTH CAROLINA	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
NORTH DAKOTA	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
OHIO	5,614,415	0	0	0	0	0	5,614,415
OKLAHOMA	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
OREGON	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
PENNSYLVANIA	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
RHODE ISLAND	103,054	0	0	0	0	0	103,054
SOUTH CAROLINA	103,649	0	0	0	0	0	0
SOUTH DAKOTA	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
TENNESSEE	1,243,732	0	0	0	239,033	911	96,798
TEXAS	1,370,438	0	0	0	1,344,472	25,966	0
UTAH	219,941	1,456	0	0	60,351	0	0
VERMONT	11,451,050	0	0	0	0	0	0
VIRGINIA	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
WASHINGTON	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
WEST VIRGINIA	35,470	0	0	0	0	0	35,470
WISCONSIN	1,535,763	0	0	0	0	0	1,090,214
WYOMING	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Total	**1,899,617,145**	**16,991,531**	**393,849,439**	**14,047,123**	**51,361,390**	**1,306,851**	**291,551,020**
Percentages 2/	**65%**	**1%**	**14%**	**0%**	**2%**	**0%**	**10%**

1/ Negative expenditures represent an accounting adjustment to prior year expenditures.
2/ The percentages show what portion of the total State expenditures in separate State programs (Line 7) is represented by this category of expenditures.
Source: TANF Financial Report

Table 2:6:2 (FY 2006)

TANF Financial Data
State Maintenance of Effort (MOE) Expenditures in Separate State Programs in FY 2006
Expenditure of State Funds in FY 2006 Through the Fourth Quarter

Corresponds with the web version of Table C of the TANF Financial Report
Data reported by States in Column C on TANF Financial Report (Form ACF-196) Line Items

STATE	Line 6a TOTAL EXPENDITURES ON WORK RELATED ACTIVITIES	Line 6a1 WORK SUBSIDIES	Line 6a2 EDUCATION AND TRAINING	Line 6a3 OTHER WORK ACTIVITIES/ EXPENSES	Line 6c TOTAL EXPENDITURES ON TRANSPORTATION	Line 6c1 JOB ACCESS	Line 6c2 OTHER
ALABAMA	6,824	0	0	6,824	69,909	0	69,909
ALASKA	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
ARIZONA	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
ARKANSAS	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
CALIFORNIA 1/	30,593,149	9,847	2,207,195	28,376,107	3,315,573	118,579	3,196,994
COLORADO	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
CONNECTICUT	511,172	0	389,816	121,356	0	0	0
DELAWARE	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
DIST.OF COLUMBIA	1,176,360	0	0	1,176,360	0	0	0
FLORIDA	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
GEORGIA	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
HAWAII	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
IDAHO	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
ILLINOIS	19,677	0	0	19,677	17,897	0	17,897
INDIANA	4,849,191	0	4,379,324	469,867	51,199	0	51,199
IOWA 1/	219,792	0	177,716	42,076	1,088,612	0	1,088,612
KANSAS	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
KENTUCKY	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
LOUISIANA	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
MAINE	0	0	0	0	1,807,015	0	1,807,015
MARYLAND	936,721	0	0	936,721	0	0	0
MASSACHUSETTS	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
MICHIGAN	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
MINNESOTA	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
MISSISSIPPI	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
MISSOURI	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
MONTANA	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
NEBRASKA	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
NEVADA	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
NEW HAMPSHIRE	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
NEW JERSEY 1/	11,016,124	0	803,524	10,212,600	2,403,047	0	2,403,047
NEW MEXICO	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
NEW YORK	2,118,644	0	0	2,118,644	109,999	0	109,999
NORTH CAROLINA	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
NORTH DAKOTA	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
OHIO	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
OKLAHOMA	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
OREGON	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
PENNSYLVANIA	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
RHODE ISLAND	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
SOUTH CAROLINA	103,649	0	0	103,649	0	0	0
SOUTH DAKOTA	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
TENNESSEE	811,654	0	121,557	690,097	95,336	0	95,336
TEXAS	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
UTAH	158,134	967	9,554	147,613	0	0	0
VERMONT	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
VIRGINIA	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
WASHINGTON	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
WEST VIRGINIA	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
WISCONSIN	445,549	0	0	445,549	0	0	0
WYOMING	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Total	**52,966,640**	**10,814**	**8,088,686**	**44,867,140**	**8,958,587**	**118,579**	**8,840,008**
Percentages 2/	**2%**	**0%**	**0%**	**2%**	**0%**	**0%**	**0%**

1/ Negative expenditures represent an accounting adjustment to prior year expenditures.
2/ The percentages show what portion of the total State expenditures in separate State programs (Line 7) is represented.
Source: TANF Financial Report

Table 2:7 (FY 2006)

TANF Financial Data
State MOE Requirements

Corresponds with the web version of Table D of the TANF Financial Report

UPDATED TANF MOE TABLE FOR FY 2006

STATE	100% MOE LEVEL	75% MOE LEVEL	80% MOE LEVEL
ALABAMA	52,285,491	39,214,118	41,828,393
ALASKA	49,190,903	36,893,177	39,352,722
ARIZONA	115,188,292	86,391,219	92,150,633
ARKANSAS	27,785,269	20,838,952	22,228,215
CALIFORNIA	3,573,595,995	2,680,196,996	2,858,876,796
COLORADO	110,494,527	82,870,895	88,395,622
CONNECTICUT	244,561,409	183,421,057	195,649,127
DELAWARE	29,028,092	21,771,069	23,222,474
DIST.OF COLUMBIA	93,931,934	70,448,951	75,145,547
FLORIDA	491,151,302	368,363,477	392,921,042
GEORGIA	231,158,036	173,368,527	184,926,429
HAWAII	94,866,459	71,149,844	75,893,167
IDAHO	17,367,172	13,025,379	13,893,738
ILLINOIS	573,450,924	430,088,193	458,760,739
INDIANA	151,367,364	113,525,523	121,093,891
IOWA	82,617,695	61,963,271	66,094,156
KANSAS	82,332,787	61,749,590	65,866,230
KENTUCKY	89,891,250	67,418,438	71,913,000
LOUISIANA	73,886,837	55,415,128	59,109,470
MAINE	50,031,924	37,523,943	40,025,539
MARYLAND	235,953,925	176,965,444	188,763,140
MASSACHUSETTS	478,596,697	358,947,523	382,877,358
MICHIGAN	624,691,167	468,518,375	499,752,934
MINNESOTA	235,590,527	176,692,896	188,472,422
MISSISSIPPI	28,965,744	21,724,308	23,172,595
MISSOURI	160,161,033	120,120,775	128,128,826
MONTANA	17,505,466	13,129,100	14,004,373
NEBRASKA	38,002,079	28,501,559	30,401,663
NEVADA	33,936,107	25,452,080	27,148,886
NEW HAMPSHIRE	42,820,004	32,115,003	34,256,003
NEW JERSEY	400,213,342	300,160,007	320,170,674
NEW MEXICO	43,664,402	32,748,302	34,931,522
NEW YORK	2,291,437,926	1,718,578,445	1,833,150,341
NORTH CAROLINA	205,567,684	154,175,763	164,454,147
NORTH DAKOTA	12,092,381	9,069,286	9,673,905
OHIO	521,108,327	390,831,245	416,886,662
OKLAHOMA	81,435,709	61,076,782	65,148,567
OREGON	122,181,732	91,636,299	97,745,386
PENNSYLVANIA	542,834,133	407,125,600	434,267,306
RHODE ISLAND	80,489,394	60,367,046	64,391,515
SOUTH CAROLINA	47,902,320	35,926,740	38,321,856
SOUTH DAKOTA	11,371,029	8,528,271	9,096,823
TENNESSEE	110,413,171	82,809,878	88,330,537
TEXAS	314,301,005	235,725,754	251,440,804
UTAH	33,185,380	24,889,035	26,548,304
VERMONT	34,066,533	25,549,900	27,253,226
VIRGINIA	170,897,560	128,173,170	136,718,048
WASHINGTON	343,478,711	257,609,033	274,782,969
WEST VIRGINIA	43,058,053	32,293,540	34,446,442
WISCONSIN	223,022,273	167,266,705	178,417,818
WYOMING	12,078,426	9,058,820	9,662,741

Source: TANF Financial Report

Table 2:8 (FY 2006)

TANF Financial Data
Analysis of State MOE Spending Levels in FY 2006 Through the Fourth Quarter

Corresponds with the web version of Table E of the TANF Financial Report

STATE	TOTAL STATE MOE EXPENDITURES IN THE TANF PROGRAM	TOTAL STATE MOE EXPENDITURES IN SEPARATE STATE PROGRAMS	TOTAL STATE TANF EXPENDITURES	STATE MOE AT 80%	DIFFERENCE OF MOE AT 80% AND TOTAL STATE SPENDING	STATE MOE AT 75%	DIFFERENCE OF MOE AT 75% AND TOTAL STATE SPENDING
ALABAMA	39,372,779	906,723	40,279,502	41,828,393	<1,548,891>	39,214,118	1,065,384
ALASKA	39,057,628	0	39,057,628	39,352,722	<295,094>	36,893,177	2,164,451
ARIZONA	83,967,064	10,032,936	94,000,000	92,150,633	1,849,367	86,391,219	7,608,781
ARKANSAS	23,161,003	0	23,161,003	22,228,215	932,788	20,838,952	2,322,051
CALIFORNIA	2,501,832,232	401,367,654	2,903,199,886	2,858,876,796	44,323,090	2,680,196,996	223,002,890
COLORADO	94,189,813	0	94,189,813	88,395,622	5,794,191	82,870,895	11,318,918
CONNECTICUT	136,744,930	94,434,866	231,179,796	195,649,127	35,530,669	183,421,057	47,758,739
DELAWARE	42,690,348	746,681	43,437,029	23,222,474	20,214,555	21,771,069	21,665,960
DIST.OF COLUMBIA	105,628,517	2,876,360	108,504,877	75,145,547	33,359,330	70,448,951	38,055,926
FLORIDA	364,724,797	7,692,271	372,417,068	392,921,042	<20,503,974>	368,363,477	4,053,591
GEORGIA	175,882,769	264,427	176,147,196	184,926,429	<8,779,233>	173,368,527	2,778,669
HAWAII	13,571,476	57,582,335	71,153,811	75,893,167	<4,739,356>	71,149,844	3,967
IDAHO	14,897,179	0	14,897,179	13,893,738	1,003,441	13,025,379	1,871,800
ILLINOIS	425,370,446	4,717,747	430,088,193	458,760,739	<28,672,546>	430,088,193	0
INDIANA	56,845,233	64,308,221	121,153,454	121,093,891	59,563	113,525,523	7,627,931
IOWA	49,316,257	17,773,857	67,090,114	66,094,156	995,958	61,963,271	5,126,843
KANSAS	42,050,206	37,382,251	79,432,457	65,866,230	13,566,227	61,749,590	17,682,867
KENTUCKY	71,913,100	0	71,913,100	71,913,000	100	67,418,438	4,494,662
LOUISIANA	12,231,699	43,183,429	55,415,128	59,109,470	<3,694,342>	55,415,128	55,415,128
MAINE	28,206,600	20,135,074	48,341,674	40,025,539	8,316,135	37,523,943	10,817,731
MARYLAND	46,257,689	130,740,136	176,997,825	188,763,140	<11,765,315>	176,965,444	32,381
MASSACHUSETTS	460,755,800	11,143,054	471,898,854	382,877,358	89,021,496	358,947,523	112,951,331
MICHIGAN	528,346,072	39,425,869	567,771,941	499,752,934	68,019,007	468,518,375	99,253,566
MINNESOTA	157,933,209	18,759,687	176,692,896	188,472,422	<11,779,526>	176,692,896	0
MISSISSIPPI	22,304,573	0	22,304,573	23,172,595	<868,022>	21,724,308	580,265
MISSOURI	109,276,652	18,852,175	128,128,827	128,128,826	1	120,120,775	8,008,052
MONTANA	13,578,833	0	13,578,833	14,004,373	<425,540>	13,129,100	449,733
NEBRASKA	28,395,030	12,480,298	40,875,328	30,401,663	10,473,665	28,501,559	12,373,769
NEVADA	23,013,822	4,174,300	27,188,122	27,148,886	39,236	25,452,080	1,736,042
NEW HAMPSHIRE	31,280,046	835,056	32,115,102	34,256,003	<2,140,901>	32,115,003	99
NEW JERSEY	110,933,264	235,649,065	346,582,329	320,170,674	26,411,655	300,160,007	46,422,322
NEW MEXICO	32,748,302	0	32,748,302	34,931,522	<2,183,220>	32,748,302	0
NEW YORK	839,209,241	1,582,834,122	2,422,043,363	1,833,150,341	588,893,022	1,718,578,445	703,464,918
NORTH CAROLINA	173,326,155	0	173,326,155	164,454,147	8,872,008	154,175,763	19,150,392
NORTH DAKOTA	9,069,360	0	9,069,360	9,673,905	<604,545>	9,069,286	74
OHIO	399,833,962	5,614,415	405,448,377	416,886,662	<11,438,285>	390,831,245	14,617,132
OKLAHOMA	61,076,784	0	61,076,784	65,148,567	<4,071,783>	61,076,782	2
OREGON	91,636,299	0	91,636,299	97,745,386	<6,109,087>	91,636,299	0
PENNSYLVANIA	407,125,600	0	407,125,600	434,267,306	<27,141,706>	407,125,600	0
RHODE ISLAND	58,193,664	14,480,058	72,673,722	64,391,515	8,282,207	60,367,046	12,306,676
SOUTH CAROLINA	58,479,096	6,013,512	64,492,608	38,321,856	26,170,752	35,926,740	28,565,868
SOUTH DAKOTA	8,540,000	0	8,540,000	9,096,823	<556,823>	8,528,271	11,729
TENNESSEE	134,329,382	3,977,467	138,306,849	88,330,537	49,976,312	82,809,878	55,496,971
TEXAS	231,539,717	7,550,257	239,089,974	251,440,804	<12,350,830>	235,725,754	3,364,220
UTAH	24,487,339	401,696	24,889,035	26,548,304	<1,659,269>	24,889,035	0
VERMONT	16,253,972	14,436,328	30,690,300	27,253,226	3,437,074	25,549,900	5,140,400
VIRGINIA	116,104,434	24,276,232	140,380,666	136,718,048	3,662,618	128,173,170	12,207,496
WASHINGTON	360,909,372	4,492,514	365,401,886	274,782,969	90,618,917	257,609,033	107,792,853
WEST VIRGINIA	28,589,301	5,857,145	34,446,446	34,446,442	4	32,293,540	2,152,906
WISCONSIN	199,316,487	4,059,597	203,376,084	178,417,818	24,958,266	167,266,705	36,109,379
WYOMING	9,670,511	50,982	9,721,493	9,662,741	58,752	9,058,820	662,673
Total	**9,114,168,044**	**2,909,508,797**	**12,023,676,841**	**10,961,055,253**	**1,062,621,588**	**10,275,989,303**	**1,747,687,538**

GENERAL NOTES:
The MOE reports (Tables 2:5 through 2:6:2) are programmed to reflect adjustments in MOE activity that occurred in FY 2006 regardless of the year from which these activity changes occurred. T
only MOE activity in the current funding year (FY 2006). Therefore, numbers from Tables 2:5 through 2:6:2 may not coincide with those in this table.
This table shows total State TANF MOE expenditures through this period and the comparison of State MOE expenditures to meet the 75 and 80 percent annual MOE levels.
The MOE levels at 75 and 80 percent have been adjusted for States with Tribes operating TANF.
Source: TANF Financial Report

Table 3:1

Temporary Assistance for Needy Families
Work Participation Rates
Fiscal Years 1997 - 2006

Year	All Families	Two-Parent Families
1997	30.7%	44.5%
1998	35.3%	42.4%
1999	38.3%	54.7%
2000	34.0%	48.9%
2001	34.4%	51.1%
2002	33.4%	49.4%
2003	31.3%	48.4%
2004	32.0%	47.4%
2005	33.0%	42.6%
2006	32.5%	45.9%

Source: TANF Data Report

Table 3:2 (FY 2004)

Temporary Assistance for Needy Families
TANF Work Participation Rates, With and Without Waivers
Fiscal Year 2004

State	All-Families				Two-Parent Families			
	Absent Waiver	With Waiver	Adjusted Standard[1]	Met Target	Absent Waiver	With Waiver	Adjusted Standard[1]	Met Target
United States	29.2%	32.0%			45.3%	47.4%		
Alabama	37.9%		0.0%	YES	1/			NA
Alaska	43.6%		6.9%	YES	52.8%		34.2%	YES
Arizona	25.5%		19.6%	YES	65.6%		59.6%	YES
Arkansas	27.3%		4.3%	YES	34.4%		44.3%	NO
California	23.1%		3.9%	YES	1/			NA
Colorado	34.7%		0.0%	YES	37.5%		26.2%	YES
Connecticut	24.3%		20.2%	YES	1/			NA
Delaware	22.1%		12.5%	YES	1/			NA
Dist. of Col.	18.2%		13.3%	YES	20.1%		53.3%	NO
Florida	40.4%		0.0%	YES	1/			NA
Georgia	24.8%		4.3%	YES	1/			NA
Guam	0.0%		50.0%	NO	0.0%		90.0%	NO
Hawaii	40.3%	70.5%	16.4%	YES	1/			NA
Idaho	41.0%		34.5%	YES	37.1%		21.0%	YES
Illinois	46.1%		0.0%	YES	1/			NA
Indiana	30.0%		35.4%	NO	1/			NA
Iowa	50.0%		8.8%	YES	1/			NA
Kansas	88.0%		37.6%	YES	93.7%		77.6%	YES
Kentucky	38.1%		6.2%	YES	51.2%		11.0%	YES
Louisiana	35.4%		0.0%	YES	38.0%		30.8%	YES
Maine	32.1%		0.0%	YES	1/			NA
Maryland	16.0%		6.6%	YES	1/			NA
Massachusetts	10.3%	60.0%	6.3%	YES	15.4%	65.4%	46.3%	YES
Michigan	24.5%		0.0%	YES	35.7%		6.0%	YES
Minnesota	26.8%		18.6%	YES	1/			NA
Mississippi	21.0%		22.9%	NO	1/			NA
Missouri	19.5%		3.7%	YES	1/			NA
Montana	86.7%	92.7%	10.8%	YES	90.8%	95.7%	50.8%	YES
Nebraska	34.5%		28.7%	YES	1/			NA
Nevada	34.5%		31.8%	YES	1/			NA
New Hampshire	30.2%		7.8%	YES	1/			NA
New Jersey	34.6%		0.0%	YES	1/			NA
New Mexico	46.2%		8.2%	YES	55.3%		48.2%	YES
New York	37.8%		0.0%	YES	48.3%		14.2%	YES
North Carolina	31.4%		0.0%	YES	47.2%		26.8%	YES
North Dakota	25.3%		14.7%	YES	1/			NA
Ohio	65.2%		9.7%	YES	68.4%		46.8%	YES
Oklahoma	33.2%		0.0%	YES	1/			NA
Oregon	32.1%		0.0%	YES	35.5%		13.0%	YES
Pennsylvania	7.1%		0.0%	YES	15.0%		8.2%	YES
Puerto Rico	7.5%		0.0%	YES	1/			NA
Rhode Island	23.7%		15.4%	YES	94.9%		55.4%	YES
South Carolina	53.7%		3.0%	YES	55.9%		43.0%	YES
South Dakota	54.8%		11.7%	YES	1/			NA
Tennessee	13.0%	50.6%	11.6%	YES	1/			NA
Texas	34.2%		0.9%	YES	1/			NA
Utah	26.2%		24.6%	YES	1/			NA
Vermont	24.9%		5.7%	YES	38.2%		37.1%	YES
Virgin Islands	10.6%		0.0%	YES	1/			NA
Virginia	50.1%		0.0%	YES	1/			NA
Washington	35.4%		8.8%	YES	31.1%		39.3%	NO
West Virginia	11.7%		0.0%	YES	1/			NA
Wisconsin	61.3%		0.4%	YES	33.1%		20.6%	YES
Wyoming	77.8%		0.0%	YES	87.5%		3.1%	YES

[1]The work participation rate standard before application of the caseload reduction credit is 50% for the all families rate and 90% for the two-parent families rate.

N/A - State has no two-parent families in its TANF program.
Source: TANF Data Report

Table 3:3 (FY 2004)

Temporary Assistance for Needy Families
Changes in TANF Work Participation Rates
From FY 2003 to FY 2004

State	All-Families				Two-Parent Families			
	Fy 2003 Rate	Fy 2004 Rate	Difference	Percent Change	Fy 2003 Rate	Fy 2004 Rate	Difference	Percent Change
United States	31.3%	32.0%	0.7%	2.2%	48.4%	47.4%	-1.0%	-2.1%
Alabama	37.1%	37.9%	0.8%	2.2%	1/	1/		
Alaska	41.1%	43.6%	2.5%	6.1%	44.6%	52.8%	8.2%	18.4%
Arizona	13.4%	25.5%	12.1%	90.3%	55.3%	65.6%	10.3%	18.6%
Arkansas	22.4%	27.3%	4.9%	21.9%	31.8%	34.4%	2.6%	8.2%
California	24.0%	23.1%	-0.9%	-3.7%	1/	1/		
Colorado	32.5%	34.7%	2.2%	6.8%	40.1%	37.5%	-2.6%	-6.5%
Connecticut	30.6%	24.3%	-6.3%	-20.6%	1/	1/		
Delaware	18.2%	22.1%	3.9%	21.4%	1/	1/		
Dist. of Col.	23.1%	18.2%	-4.9%	-21.2%	19.6%	20.1%	0.5%	2.6%
Florida	33.1%	40.4%	7.3%	22.1%	1/	1/		
Georgia	10.9%	24.8%	13.9%	127.5%	1/	1/		
Guam	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%		0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	
Hawaii	65.8%	70.5%	4.7%	7.1%	1/	1/		
Idaho	43.7%	41.0%	-2.7%	-6.2%	42.3%	37.1%	-5.2%	-12.3%
Illinois	57.8%	46.1%	-11.7%	-20.2%	1/	1/		
Indiana	40.3%	30.0%	-10.3%	-25.6%	1/	1/		
Iowa	45.1%	50.0%	4.9%	10.9%	39.2%	1/		
Kansas	87.9%	88.0%	0.1%	0.1%	87.1%	93.7%	6.6%	7.6%
Kentucky	32.8%	38.1%	5.3%	16.2%	46.2%	51.2%	5.0%	10.8%
Louisiana	34.6%	35.4%	0.8%	2.3%	39.0%	38.0%	-1.0%	-2.6%
Maine	27.7%	32.1%	4.4%	15.9%	29.2%	1/		
Maryland	9.1%	16.0%	6.9%	75.8%	1/	1/		
Massachusetts	61.0%	60.0%	-1.0%	-1.6%	73.9%	65.4%	-8.5%	-11.5%
Michigan	25.3%	24.5%	-0.8%	-3.2%	36.2%	35.7%	-0.5%	-1.4%
Minnesota	25.0%	26.8%	1.8%	7.2%	1/	1/		
Mississippi	17.2%	21.0%	3.8%	22.1%	1/	1/		
Missouri	28.0%	19.5%	-8.5%	-30.4%	1/	1/		
Montana	85.9%	92.7%	6.8%	7.9%	95.7%	95.7%	0.0%	0.0%
Nebraska	33.4%	34.5%	1.1%	3.3%	1/	1/		
Nevada	22.3%	34.5%	12.2%	54.7%	1/	1/		
New Hampshire	28.2%	30.2%	2.0%	7.1%	1/	1/		
New Jersey	35.0%	34.6%	-0.4%	-1.1%	1/	1/		
New Mexico	42.0%	46.2%	4.2%	10.0%	52.0%	55.3%	3.3%	6.3%
New York	37.1%	37.8%	0.7%	1.9%	52.2%	48.3%	-3.9%	-7.5%
North Carolina	25.3%	31.4%	6.1%	24.1%	49.2%	47.2%	-2.0%	-4.1%
North Dakota	27.0%	25.3%	-1.7%	-6.3%	1/	1/		
Ohio	62.3%	65.2%	2.9%	4.7%	67.8%	68.4%	0.6%	0.9%
Oklahoma	29.2%	33.2%	4.0%	13.7%	50.5%	1/		
Oregon	60.0%	32.1%	-27.9%	-46.5%	52.7%	35.5%	-17.2%	-32.6%
Pennsylvania	9.9%	7.1%	-2.8%	-28.3%	8.8%	15.0%	6.2%	70.5%
Puerto Rico	6.1%	7.5%	1.4%	23.0%	1/	1/		
Rhode Island	24.3%	23.7%	-0.6%	-2.5%	94.9%	94.9%	0.0%	0.0%
South Carolina	54.3%	53.7%	-0.6%	-1.1%	50.6%	55.9%	5.3%	10.5%
South Dakota	46.1%	54.8%	8.7%	18.9%	1/	1/		
Tennessee	42.7%	50.6%	7.9%	18.5%	1/	1/		
Texas	28.1%	34.2%	6.1%	21.7%	1/	1/		
Utah	28.1%	26.2%	-1.9%	-6.8%	1/	1/		
Vermont	24.3%	24.9%	0.6%	2.5%	37.5%	38.2%	0.7%	1.9%
Virgin Islands	5.0%	10.6%	5.6%	112.0%	1/	1/		
Virginia	44.6%	50.1%	5.5%	12.3%	1/	1/		
Washington	46.2%	35.4%	-10.8%	-23.4%	44.3%	31.1%	-13.2%	-29.8%
West Virginia	14.2%	11.7%	-2.5%	-17.6%	25.2%	1/		
Wisconsin	67.2%	61.3%	-5.9%	-8.8%	40.3%	33.1%	-7.2%	-17.9%
Wyoming	83.0%	77.8%	-5.2%	-6.3%	91.5%	87.5%	-4.0%	-4.4%

1/ State has no two-parent families in its TANF program.
Source: TANF Data Report

Table 3:4 (FY 2004)

Temporary Assistance for Needy Families
Caseload Reduction Credit
All Families and Two-Parent Families
Fiscal Year 2004

State	All-Families						Two-Parent Families					
	FY 1995	FY 2003	Adjustments	Adjusted FY 2003	Percent Change	Adjusted Standard	FY 1995	FY 2003	Adjustments	Adjusted FY 2003	Percent Change	Adjusted Standard
United States	4,907,131	2,121,653	278,971	2,400,624	-51.1%		340,985	32,435	10,316	42,751	-87.5%	
Alabama	46,030	19,094	-	19,094	-58.5%	0.0%	137	41		40.75	-70.3%	2/
Alaska	10,246	5,334	493	5,827	-43.1%	6.9%	1,284	568	-	568	-55.8%	34.2%
Arizona	71,142	47,792	1,738	49,530	-30.4%	19.6%	1,166	549	1/		-30.4%	59.6%
Arkansas	24,296	11,162	2,032	13,194	-45.7%	4.3%	279	257	1/		-45.7%	44.3%
California	919,471	495,378	-	495,378	-46.1%	3.9%	164,268	-		-	-100.0%	2/
Colorado	38,557	13,534	420	13,954	-63.8%	0.0%	750	846	1/		-63.8%	26.2%
Connecticut	60,985	24,484	18,315	42,799	-29.8%	20.2%	3,027	-	1/		-29.8%	1
Delaware	10,775	5,035	1,700	6,735	-37.5%	12.5%	78	-		-	-100.0%	2/
Dist. of Col.	26,789	16,961	-	16,961	-36.7%	13.3%	198	135	1/		-36.7%	53.3%
Florida	229,391	60,012	6,726	66,738	-70.9%	0.0%	3,615	-		-	-100.0%	2/
Georgia	139,135	56,572	19,001	75,573	-45.7%	4.3%	562	-		-	-100.0%	2/
Guam	2,099	-	3/		0.0%	50.0%	189	-	3/		0.0%	90.0%
Hawaii	21,674	13,631	753	14,384	-33.6%	16.4%	1,484	-		-	-100.0%	2/
Idaho	8,872	1,681	5,816	7,497	-15.5%	34.5%	606	63	125	188	-69.0%	21.0%
Illinois	236,205	38,373	826	39,199	-83.4%	0.0%	11,252	0		0	-100.0%	2/
Indiana	65,618	56,018	-	56,018	-14.6%	35.4%	2,217	-		-	-100.0%	2/
Iowa	36,483	19,969	1,477	21,446	-41.2%	8.8%	3,434	0		0	-100.0%	2/
Kansas	28,232	15,300	9,436	24,736	-12.4%	37.6%	1,667	976	1/		-12.4%	77.6%
Kentucky	75,384	34,923	7,444	42,367	-43.8%	6.2%	4,019	769	76	845	-79.0%	11.0%
Louisiana	79,825	22,834	9,748	32,582	-59.2%	0.0%	706	198	1/		-59.2%	30.8%
Maine	21,694	10,669	-	10,669	-50.8%	0.0%	1,904	0		0	-100.0%	2/
Maryland	81,185	28,983	17,005	45,988	-43.4%	6.6%	678	-	-	-	-100.0%	2/
Massachusetts	100,852	49,460	7,306	56,766	-43.7%	6.3%	3,433	1,626	1/		-43.7%	46.3%
Michigan	201,696	75,111	3,226	78,337	-61.2%	0.0%	23,088	3,481	213	3,694	-84.0%	6.0%
Minnesota	61,339	41,633	425	42,058	-31.4%	18.6%	4,789	0	0	-	-100.0%	2/
Mississippi	52,528	19,823	18,444	38,267	-27.1%	22.9%	42	0	0	-	-100.0%	2/
Missouri	89,299	46,913	1,082	47,995	-46.3%	3.7%	2,365	0	-	0	-100.0%	2/
Montana	11,205	6,169	648	6,817	-39.2%	10.8%	977	813	1/		-39.2%	50.8%
Nebraska	15,293	12,035	-	12,035	-21.3%	28.7%	802	-	-	-	-100.0%	2/
Nevada	15,708	11,441	1,402	12,843	-18.2%	31.8%	393	0	0	-	-100.0%	2/

(continued...)

Table 3:4 (FY 2004)
(continued)

Temporary Assistance for Needy Families
Caseload Reduction Credit
All Families and Two-Parent Families
Fiscal Year 2004

State	All-Families						Two-Parent Families					
	FY 1995	FY 2003	Adjustments	Adjusted FY 2003	Percent Change	Adjusted Standard	FY 1995	FY 2003	Adjustments	Adjusted FY 2003	Percent Change	Adjusted Standard
New Hampshire	10,800	6,244	-	6,244	-42.2%	7.8%	278	0	-	0	-100.0%	-10.0%
New Jersey	111,734	44,067	2,847	46,914	-58.0%	0.0%	3,739	-		-	-100.0%	2/
New Mexico	31,639	16,638	1,778	18,416	-41.8%	8.2%	1,430	779	1/		-41.8%	48.2%
New York	499,415	148,803	48,218	197,021	-60.5%	0.0%	27,522	4,129	2,538.00	6,667	-75.8%	14.2%
North Carolina	125,503	40,432	5,738	46,170	-63.2%	0.0%	2,696	366	1/		-63.2%	26.8%
North Dakota	5,215	3,376	-	3,376	-35.3%	14.7%	136	-		-	-100.0%	2/
Ohio	228,171	84,292	51,854	136,146	-40.3%	9.7%	16,551	3,423	5,979.00	9,402	-43.2%	46.8%
Oklahoma	44,790	15,049	4,165	19,214	-57.1%	0.0%	421	0		-	-100.0%	2/
Oregon	38,988	18,708	-	18,708	-52.0%	0.0%	3,019	695	0	695.00	-77.0%	13.0%
Pennsylvania	204,771	80,857	-	80,857	-60.5%	0.0%	8,263	1,505	-	1,505	-81.8%	8.2%
Puerto Rico	54,799	18,929	3,359	22,288	-59.3%	0.0%	-	-				2/
Rhode Island	22,194	14,521	-	14,521	-34.6%	15.4%	618	321	1/		-34.6%	55.4%
South Carolina	48,981	21,589	4,349	25,938	-47.0%	3.0%	691	924	1/		-47.0%	43.0%
South Dakota	6,092	2,791	965	3,756	-38.3%	11.7%	-	-				2/
Tennessee	104,009	64,039	-	64,039	-38.4%	11.6%	1,959	-		-	-100.0%	2/
Texas	274,606	139,838	-	139,838	-49.1%	0.9%	6,820	0		-	-100.0%	2/
Utah	16,648	8,582	3,832	12,414	-25.4%	24.6%	88	-		-	-100.0%	2/
Vermont	9,638	5,280	85	5,365	-44.3%	5.7%	1,393	656	0	656	-52.9%	37.1%
Virgin Islands	1,308	473	44	517	-60.5%	0.0%	-	-				2/
Virginia	72,147	32,240	237	32,477	-55.0%	0.0%	-	-				2/
Washington	98,189	57,704	-	57,704	-41.2%	8.8%	14,868	7,335	-	7,335	-50.7%	39.3%
West Virginia	38,404	15,822	-	15,822	-58.8%	0.0%	5,350	1,627	1/		-58.8%	31.2%
Wisconsin	72,366	20,641	15,835	36,476	-49.6%	0.4%	5,667	349	1,385	1,734.00	-69.4%	20.6%
Wyoming	4,716	414	202	616	-86.9%	0.0%	67	4	1/		-86.9%	3.1%

1/ State has opted to use overall caseload reduction credit for two-parent rate.
2/ State does not have a two-parent TANF program.
3/ Did not submit a caseload reduction report.
Source: TANF Data Report

Table 3:5 (FY 2004)

Temporary Assistance for Needy Families
Status of TANF Families as Related to All Families Work Participation Rates
Fiscal Year 2004

State	Average Monthly Number Of Families									
						Disregarded From Participation				
	TANF Families	No Adult in Assistance Unit	Families Listed in Error	Families Used in All-Family Rate	Participating Families in All-Family Rate	Single Custodial Parent with Child Under One	Subjected to a Sanction	Part of an Ongoing Research Evaluation	Inconsistency Under an Approved Welfare Reform Waiver	Participation in a Tribal Work Program
United States	1,984,560	859,944	7,010	952,523	307,784	112,740	23,576	-	25,845	2,915
Alabama	19,154	9,099	-	7,893	2,990	1,473	690	-	-	-
Alaska	4,926	1,181	-	2,891	1,259	252	54	-	-	548
Arizona	49,559	19,755	-	25,929	6,610	2,567	319	-	-	989
Arkansas	10,023	4,623	110	4,752	1,316	265	272	-	-	-
California	456,666	245,928	-	190,245	44,091	20,494	-	-	-	-
Colorado	14,623	4,988	-	8,483	2,996	1,010	143	-	-	-
Connecticut	20,720	8,649	-	9,570	2,323	2,342	160	-	-	-
Delaware	5,643	2,589	-	2,933	647	66	54	-	-	-
Dist. of Col.	17,174	6,016	245	9,245	1,683	1,669	-	-	-	-
Florida	57,457	34,728	-	17,081	7,125	2,850	2,797	-	-	-
Georgia	53,215	25,016	-	25,230	6,052	2,785	183	-	-	-
Guam	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.
Hawaii	8,864	2,290	-	3,468	2,453	1,294	-	-	1,812	-
Idaho	1,848	1,224	-	575	250	50	-	-	-	-
Illinois	35,660	18,472	1,333	10,552	5,181	4,784	518	-	-	-
Indiana	50,589	20,489	-	24,684	7,398	4,688	727	-	-	-
Iowa	18,286	5,001	-	11,589	5,798	1,373	323	-	-	-
Kansas	16,747	4,617	-	10,965	9,652	1,165	-	-	-	-
Kentucky	35,629	16,480	-	16,025	6,838	1,973	1,151	-	-	-
Louisiana	18,777	10,700	-	6,925	2,466	1,153	-	-	-	-
Maine	9,713	2,351	-	7,130	2,285	89	124	-	-	18
Maryland	25,430	9,956	-	14,230	2,306	1,114	130	-	-	-
Massachusetts	49,753	19,082	365	10,987	6,522	875	-	-	18,444	-
Michigan	79,432	25,688	-	44,334	10,794	9,409	-	-	-	-
Minnesota	34,340	8,858	-	21,360	5,749	1,875	1,251	-	-	996
Mississippi	18,792	7,836	-	7,939	1,672	3,006	-	-	-	10
Missouri	40,979	11,565	31	24,732	4,929	4,101	550	-	-	-
Montana	5,256	1,384	-	3,675	3,408	-	198	-	-	-
Nebraska	10,879	3,648	-	6,109	2,142	1,121	-	-	-	-
Nevada	8,558	3,925	337	3,664	1,239	632	-	-	-	-
New Hampshire	6,049	1,836	-	3,391	1,024	539	282	-	-	-
New Jersey	44,739	17,312	-	24,720	8,566	2,707	-	-	-	-
New Mexico	17,590	4,923	95	10,474	4,842	1,644	454	-	-	-
New York	147,034	65,109	119	69,663	26,211	4,555	7,588	-	-	-
North Carolina	37,651	20,138	715	14,276	4,813	2,160	361	-	-	-
North Dakota	3,064	680	-	1,733	450	297	-	-	-	354
Ohio	84,574	42,256	-	39,843	26,000	2,407	69	-	-	-
Oklahoma	14,199	6,524	-	6,122	2,031	1,553	-	-	-	-
Oregon	18,538	8,385	-	8,474	2,733	1,570	108	-	-	-
Pennsylvania	88,128	26,314	-	57,384	4,294	4,430	-	-	-	-
Puerto Rico	17,494	2,172	996	13,650	1,035	661	14	-	-	-
Rhode Island	12,295	2,956	-	7,939	1,889	1,168	232	-	-	-
South Carolina	16,676	8,544	131	7,136	3,817	779	86	-	-	-
South Dakota	2,745	1,768	-	749	412	219	9	-	-	-
Tennessee	72,069	18,089	-	42,340	21,412	5,375	676	-	5,589	-
Texas	106,329	55,415	2,527	45,934	15,684	2,453	-	-	-	-
Utah	9,041	2,804	-	6,049	1,599	-	188	-	-	-
Vermont	4,831	1,000	-	3,356	834	406	68	-	-	-
Virgin Islands	538	134	6	399	42	-	-	-	-	-
Virginia	9,430	-	-	9,430	4,728	-	-	-	-	-
Washington	55,858	20,556	-	29,688	10,514	3,580	2,033	-	-	-
West Virginia	14,151	5,369	-	7,325	999	1,039	417	-	-	-
Wisconsin	22,493	11,246	-	9,202	5,641	710	1,335	-	-	-
Wyoming	352	276	-	51	40	13	12	-	-	-

Source: TANF Data Report

Table 3:6 (FY 2004)

Temporary Assistance for Needy Families
Status of Two-Parent Families as Related to Two-Parent Families Work Participation Rates
Fiscal Year 2004

State	Average Monthly Number Of Two-Parent Families								
						Disregarded From Two-Parent Rate			
	Two-Parent Families	Two-Parent Families with a Disabled Parent	Two-Parent Families with a Non-custodial Parent 1/	Two-Parent Families Used in Two-Parent Families Rate	Participating Families in Two-Parent Families Rate	Subjected to a Sanction	Part of an Ongoing Research Evaluation	Inconsistency Under Approved Welfare Reform Waiver	Participation in a Tribal Work Program
United States	31,977	4,739	-	24,553	11,715	1,395	-	1,147	142
Alabama	50	50	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Alaska	646	118	-	415	218	9	-	-	103
Arizona	401	7	-	354	234	-	-	-	39
Arkansas	209	60	-	141	49	8	-	-	-
California	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Colorado	978	177	-	792	293	9	-	-	-
Connecticut	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Delaware	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Dist. of Col.	160	9	-	151	30	-	-	-	-
Florida	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Georgia	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Guam	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.
Hawaii	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Idaho	61	-	-	61	24	-	-	-	-
Illinois	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Indiana	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Iowa	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Kansas	1,176	1	-	1,175	1,101	-	-	-	-
Kentucky	798	19	-	761	421	17	-	-	-
Louisiana	154	42	-	112	42	-	-	-	-
Maine	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Maryland	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Massachusetts	1,453	-	-	306	197	-	-	1,147	-
Michigan	2,130	-	-	2,130	750	-	-	-	-
Minnesota	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Mississippi	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Missouri	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Montana	768	5	-	728	697	36	-	-	-
Nebraska	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Nevada	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
New Hampshire	146	146	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
New Jersey	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
New Mexico	1,044	24	-	964	543	56	-	-	-
New York	6,381	1,281	-	4,401	2,114	699	-	-	-
North Carolina	381	236	-	142	69	2	-	-	-
North Dakota	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Ohio	3,460	19	-	3,441	2,354	-	-	-	-
Oklahoma	103	103	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Oregon	477	69	-	382	133	27	-	-	-
Pennsylvania	3,768	1,705	-	2,064	338	-	-	-	-
Puerto Rico	12	-	-	12	-	-	-	-	-
Rhode Island	306	145	-	160	152	1	-	-	-
South Carolina	471	32	-	426	233	13	-	-	-
South Dakota	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Tennessee	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Texas	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Utah	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Vermont	576	162	-	405	155	9	-	-	-
Virgin Islands	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Virginia	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Washington	5,475	315	-	4,723	1,465	437	-	-	-
West Virginia	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Wisconsin	391	14	-	305	101	72	-	-	-
Wyoming	2	-	-	2	2	-	-	-	-

1/ Not used in two-parent rates.

Source: TANF Data Report

Table 3:7 (FY 2004)

Temporary Assistance for Needy Families
Average Monthly Number of Adults Participating in Work Activities For a Sufficient Number of Hours for the Family to Count as Meeting the ALL-FAMILY Work Requirements
Fiscal Year 2004

State	Average Monthly Number Of Persons Engaged In Work By Work Activity For Families Counted As Participating In The All-Family Work Rates																
	Total Families	Families in All-Family Rate	Participating Families	Unsubsidized Employment	Subsidized Private Employment	Subsidized Public Employment	Work Experience	On-the-Job Training	Job Search	Community Service	Vocational Education	Job Skills Training	Education Related to Employment	Satisfactory School Attendance	Providing Child Care	Additional Waiver Activities	Other
United States	1,984,560	952,523	307,784	163,889	1,118	2,777	41,104	721	55,765	30,409	46,487	6,371	7,120	11,455	402	19,145	6,043
Alabama	19,154	7,893	2,990	1,884	38	111	132	1	762	19	439	-	-	198	-	-	21
Alaska	4,926	2,891	1,259	923	-	8	23	3	273	103	246	-	-	32	-	-	108
Arizona	49,559	25,929	6,610	4,351	-	1	868	5	1,734	229	1,011	20	12	132	-	-	-
Arkansas	10,023	4,752	1,316	655	21	17	42	22	357	2	342	11	5	22	-	-	-
California	456,666	190,245	44,091	32,305	190	-	1,038	164	4,876	1,369	6,682	18	347	1,106	-	-	-
Colorado	14,623	8,483	2,996	1,284	12	60	302	-	278	459	790	25	211	286	7	-	-
Connecticut	20,720	9,570	2,323	1,753	60	8	-	15	133	17	527	-	31	38	-	-	232
Delaware	5,643	2,933	647	362	-	-	370	-	-	-	-	-	1	18	-	-	-
Dist. of Col.	17,174	9,245	1,683	1,462	-	-	36	-	157	-	89	-	9	-	-	-	-
Florida	57,457	17,081	7,125	3,215	88	35	401	-	1,791	970	1,510	-	296	838	17	-	-
Georgia	53,215	25,230	6,052	2,207	13	22	948	117	791	567	1,946	76	7	111	306	-	132
Guam	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.
Hawaii	8,864	3,468	2,453	1,963	-	-	415	6	670	6	480	35	23	12	-	-	-
Idaho	1,848	575	250	149	-	1	20	-	117	7	112	-	-	5	-	-	79
Illinois	35,660	10,552	5,181	2,654	-	-	896	-	57	149	1,606	28	224	18	-	-	743
Indiana	50,589	24,684	7,398	5,364	41	-	82	14	1,439	-	332	164	304	410	-	-	-
Iowa	18,286	11,589	5,798	5,040	14	-	32	-	189	9	1,241	-	-	312	-	-	387
Kansas	16,747	10,965	9,652	2,086	-	-	697	2	1,855	6,662	249	38	26	341	-	-	-
Kentucky	35,629	16,025	6,838	3,225	22	-	372	22	482	839	2,717	206	158	-	-	-	60
Louisiana	18,777	6,925	2,466	1,443	5	7	384	15	181	-	681	-	15	206	-	-	-
Maine	9,713	7,130	2,285	1,268	-	-	166	2	621	16	542	547	1	107	-	-	-
Maryland	25,430	14,230	2,306	841	9	83	398	9	460	264	435	-	-	240	-	-	-
Massachusetts	49,753	10,987	6,522	3,675	81	25	-	-	627	979	320	791	76	330	-	-	-
Michigan	79,432	44,334	10,794	8,199	-	48	58	-	3,150	-	656	49	7	301	-	-	-
Minnesota	34,340	21,360	5,749	3,245	-	-	79	-	1,389	401	451	17	151	1,488	1	-	806
Mississippi	18,792	7,939	1,672	932	-	-	224	-	119	186	199	19	27	90	-	-	-
Missouri	40,979	24,732	4,929	2,469	16	64	201	15	435	-	1,359	-	788	170	-	-	289
Montana	5,256	3,675	3,408	708	-	-	2,722	-	643	-	142	6	316	45	-	866	-
Nebraska	10,879	6,109	2,142	809	-	-	-	4	853	-	589	2	-	172	-	-	-
Nevada	8,558	3,664	1,239	1,028	-	3	165	-	212	104	135	-	3	25	-	-	-

(continued...)

Table 3:7 (FY 2004)
(continued)

Temporary Assistance for Needy Families
Average Monthly Number of Adults Participating in Work Activities For a Sufficient Number of Hours for the Family to Count as Meeting the ALL-FAMILY Work Requirements
Fiscal Year 2004

State	Average Monthly Number Of Persons Engaged In Work By Work Activity For Families Counted As Participating In The All-Family Work Rates																
	Total Families	Families in All-Family Rate	Participating Families	Unsubsidized Employment	Subsidized Private Employment	Subsidized Public Employment	Work Experience	On-the-Job Training	Job Search	Community Service	Vocational Education	Job Skills Training	Education Related to Employment	Satisfactory School Attendance	Providing Child Care	Additional Waiver Activities	Other
New Hampshire	6,049	3,391	1,024	614	-	-	152	3	289	10	68	145	-	146	-	-	172
New Jersey	44,739	24,720	8,566	3,283	-	-	3,032	12	914	36	2,419	578	1,148	175	1	-	-
New Mexico	17,590	10,474	4,842	3,146	8	-	256	-	381	684	974	133	75	199	25	4	17
New York	147,034	69,663	26,211	12,921	174	1,297	3,537	-	515	4,969	3,463	68	153	217	-	-	270
North Carolina	37,651	14,276	4,813	2,425	-	58	586	19	1,030	-	1,481	73	78	133	-	-	-
North Dakota	3,064	1,733	450	298	2	-	37	-	92	-	99	3	14	24	-	-	-
Ohio	84,574	39,843	26,000	8,312	3	50	15,559	41	1,653	-	5,919	121	11	611	-	-	1,183
Oklahoma	14,199	6,122	2,031	646	21	1	88	-	697	-	334	113	81	49	-	-	-
Oregon	18,538	8,474	2,733	642	1	-	696	5	1,780	-	139	162	165	173	-	-	24
Pennsylvania	88,128	57,384	4,294	2,937	43	-	206	-	242	38	975	289	45	-	-	-	94
Puerto Rico	17,494	13,650	1,035	115	35	1	177	95	44	360	226	-	-	7	6	-	-
Rhode Island	12,295	7,939	1,889	1,339	11	-	72	-	177	-	423	-	22	21	-	-	45
South Carolina	16,676	7,136	3,817	2,488	1	-	267	24	251	850	122	277	11	348	24	-	33
South Dakota	2,745	749	412	120	-	-	-	14	45	228	69	1	33	9	-	-	-
Tennessee	72,069	42,340	21,412	8,381	-	-	308	15	13,579	49	-	-	-	66	-	18,075	944
Texas	106,329	45,934	15,684	10,512	195	42	666	-	3,801	2,890	1,693	311	150	353	-	200	-
Utah	9,041	6,049	1,599	865	-	-	107	4	557	-	351	182	14	119	-	-	-
Vermont	4,831	3,356	834	447	1	-	91	12	197	98	31	67	10	138	-	-	252
Virgin Islands	538	399	42	2	-	-	5	5	14	5	11	-	-	-	-	-	-
Virginia	9,430	9,430	4,728	4,030	12	-	230	44	1,184	-	33	79	52	4	-	-	-
Washington	55,858	29,688	10,514	4,011	-	834	-	8	1,932	6,087	1,391	286	131	835	15	-	152
West Virginia	14,151	7,325	999	234	-	1	130	3	94	227	353	-	-	72	-	-	-
Wisconsin	22,493	9,202	5,641	613	1	-	3,800	1	1,636	521	85	1,431	1,889	701	-	-	-
Wyoming	352	51	40	9	-	-	31	-	10	-	-	-	-	2	-	-	-

Source: TANF Data Report

Table 3:8 (FY 2004)

Temporary Assistance for Needy Families
Average Monthly Percent of Adults Participating in Work Activities For a Sufficient Number of Hours For the Family to Count as Meeting the ALL-FAMILY Work Requirements
Fiscal Year 2004

State	Total Families	Families in All-Family Rate	Participating Families	Unsubsidized Employment	Subsidized Private Employment	Subsidized Public Employment	Work Experience	On-the-Job Training	Job Search	Community Service	Vocational Education	Job Skills Training	Education Related to Employment	Satisfactory School Attendance	Providing Child Care	Additional Waiver Activities	Other
	Average Monthly Percent Of Persons Engaged In Work By Work Activity For Families Counted As Participating In The All-Family Work Rates																
United States	1,984,560	952,523	307,784	53.2%	0.4%	0.9%	13.4%	0.2%	18.1%	9.9%	15.1%	2.1%	2.3%	3.7%	0.1%	6.2%	2.0%
Alabama	19,154	7,893	2,990	63.0%	1.3%	3.7%	4.4%	0.0%	25.5%	0.6%	14.7%	0.0%	0.0%	6.6%	0.0%	0.0%	0.7%
Alaska	4,926	2,891	1,259	73.3%	0.0%	0.6%	1.8%	0.2%	21.7%	8.2%	19.5%	0.0%	0.0%	2.5%	0.0%	0.0%	8.6%
Arizona	49,559	25,929	6,610	65.8%	0.0%	0.0%	13.1%	0.1%	26.2%	3.5%	15.3%	0.3%	0.2%	2.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Arkansas	10,023	4,752	1,316	49.8%	1.6%	1.3%	3.2%	1.7%	27.1%	0.2%	26.0%	0.8%	0.4%	1.7%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
California	456,666	190,245	44,091	73.3%	0.4%	0.0%	2.4%	0.4%	11.1%	3.1%	15.2%	0.0%	0.8%	2.5%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Colorado	14,623	8,483	2,996	42.9%	0.4%	2.0%	10.1%	0.0%	9.3%	15.3%	26.4%	0.8%	7.0%	9.5%	0.2%	0.0%	0.0%
Connecticut	20,720	9,570	2,323	75.5%	2.6%	0.3%	0.0%	0.6%	5.7%	0.7%	22.7%	0.0%	1.3%	1.6%	0.0%	0.0%	10.0%
Delaware	5,643	2,933	647	56.0%	0.0%	0.0%	57.2%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.2%	2.8%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Dist. of Col.	17,174	9,245	1,683	86.9%	0.0%	0.0%	2.1%	0.0%	9.3%	0.0%	5.3%	0.0%	0.5%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Florida	57,457	17,081	7,125	45.1%	1.2%	0.5%	5.6%	0.0%	25.1%	13.6%	21.2%	0.0%	4.2%	11.8%	0.2%	0.0%	0.0%
Georgia	53,215	25,230	6,052	36.5%	0.2%	0.4%	15.7%	1.9%	13.1%	9.4%	32.2%	1.3%	0.1%	1.8%	5.1%	0.0%	2.2%
Guam																	
Hawaii	8,864	3,468	2,453	80.0%	0.0%	0.0%	16.9%	0.2%	27.3%	0.2%	19.6%	1.4%	0.9%	0.5%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Idaho	1,848	575	250	59.6%	0.0%	0.4%	8.0%	0.0%	46.8%	2.8%	44.8%	0.0%	0.0%	2.0%	0.0%	0.0%	31.6%
Illinois	35,660	10,552	5,181	51.2%	0.0%	0.0%	17.3%	0.0%	1.1%	2.9%	31.0%	0.5%	4.3%	0.3%	0.0%	0.0%	14.3%
Indiana	50,589	24,684	7,398	72.5%	0.6%	0.0%	1.1%	0.2%	19.5%	0.0%	4.5%	2.2%	4.1%	5.5%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Iowa	18,286	11,589	5,798	86.9%	0.2%	0.0%	0.6%	0.0%	3.3%	0.2%	21.4%	0.0%	0.0%	5.4%	0.0%	0.0%	6.7%
Kansas	16,747	10,965	9,652	21.6%	0.0%	0.0%	7.2%	0.0%	19.2%	69.0%	2.6%	0.4%	0.3%	3.5%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Kentucky	35,629	16,025	6,838	47.2%	0.3%	0.0%	5.4%	0.3%	7.0%	12.3%	39.7%	3.0%	2.3%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.9%
Louisiana	18,777	6,925	2,466	58.5%	0.2%	0.3%	15.6%	0.6%	7.3%	0.0%	27.6%	0.0%	0.6%	8.4%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Maine	9,713	7,130	2,285	55.5%	0.0%	0.0%	7.3%	0.1%	27.2%	0.7%	23.7%	23.9%	0.0%	4.7%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Maryland	25,430	14,230	2,306	36.5%	0.4%	3.6%	17.3%	0.4%	19.9%	11.4%	18.9%	0.0%	0.0%	10.4%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Massachusetts	49,753	10,987	6,522	56.3%	1.2%	0.4%	0.0%	0.0%	9.6%	15.0%	4.9%	12.1%	1.2%	5.1%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Michigan	79,432	44,334	10,794	76.0%	0.0%	0.4%	0.5%	0.0%	29.2%	0.0%	6.1%	0.5%	0.1%	2.8%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Minnesota	34,340	21,360	5,749	56.4%	0.0%	0.0%	1.4%	0.0%	24.2%	7.0%	7.8%	0.3%	2.6%	25.9%	0.0%	0.0%	14.0%
Mississippi	18,792	7,939	1,672	55.7%	0.0%	0.0%	13.4%	0.0%	7.1%	11.1%	11.9%	1.1%	1.6%	5.4%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Missouri	40,979	24,732	4,929	50.1%	0.3%	1.3%	4.1%	0.3%	8.8%	0.0%	27.6%	0.0%	16.0%	3.4%	0.0%	0.0%	5.9%
Montana	5,256	3,675	3,408	20.8%	0.0%	0.0%	79.9%	0.0%	18.9%	0.0%	4.2%	0.2%	9.3%	1.3%	0.0%	25.4%	0.0%
Nebraska	10,879	6,109	2,142	37.8%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.2%	39.8%	0.0%	27.5%	0.1%	0.0%	8.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Nevada	8,558	3,664	1,239	83.0%	0.0%	0.2%	13.3%	0.0%	17.1%	8.4%	10.9%	0.0%	0.2%	2.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%

(continued…)

Table 3:8 (FY 2004)
(continued)

Temporary Assistance for Needy Families
Average Monthly Percent of Adults Participating in Work Activities For a Sufficient Number of Hours
For the Family to Count as Meeting the ALL-FAMILY Work Requirements
Fiscal Year 2004

State	Average Monthly Percent Of Persons Engaged In Work By Work Activity For Families Counted As Participating In The All-Family Work Rates																
	Total Families	Families in All-Family Rate	Participating Families	Unsubsidized Employment	Subsidized Private Employment	Subsidized Public Employment	Work Experience	On-the-Job Training	Job Search	Community Service	Vocational Education	Job Skills Training	Education Related to Employment	Satisfactory School Attendance	Providing Child Care	Additional Waiver Activities	Other
New Hampshire	6,049	3,391	1,024	60.0%	0.0%	0.0%	14.8%	0.3%	28.2%	1.0%	6.6%	14.2%	0.0%	14.3%	0.0%	0.0%	16.8%
New Jersey	44,739	24,720	8,566	38.3%	0.0%	0.0%	35.4%	0.1%	10.7%	0.4%	28.2%	6.7%	13.4%	2.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
New Mexico	17,590	10,474	4,842	65.0%	0.2%	0.0%	5.3%	0.0%	7.9%	14.1%	20.1%	2.7%	1.5%	4.1%	0.5%	0.1%	0.4%
New York	147,034	69,663	26,211	49.3%	0.7%	4.9%	13.5%	0.0%	2.0%	19.0%	13.2%	0.3%	0.6%	0.8%	0.0%	0.0%	1.0%
North Carolina	37,651	14,276	4,813	50.4%	0.0%	1.2%	12.2%	0.4%	21.4%	0.0%	30.8%	1.5%	1.6%	2.8%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
North Dakota	3,064	1,733	450	66.2%	0.4%	0.0%	8.2%	0.0%	20.4%	0.0%	22.0%	0.7%	3.1%	5.3%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Ohio	84,574	39,843	26,000	32.0%	0.0%	0.2%	59.8%	0.2%	6.4%	0.0%	22.8%	0.5%	0.0%	2.4%	0.0%	0.0%	4.6%
Oklahoma	14,199	6,122	2,031	31.8%	1.0%	0.0%	4.3%	0.0%	34.3%	0.0%	16.4%	5.6%	4.0%	2.4%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Oregon	18,538	8,474	2,733	23.5%	0.0%	0.0%	25.5%	0.2%	65.1%	0.0%	5.1%	5.9%	6.0%	6.3%	0.0%	0.0%	0.9%
Pennsylvania	88,128	57,384	4,294	68.4%	1.0%	0.0%	4.8%	0.0%	5.6%	0.9%	22.7%	6.7%	1.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	2.2%
Puerto Rico	17,494	13,650	1,035	11.1%	3.4%	0.1%	17.1%	9.2%	4.3%	34.8%	21.8%	0.0%	0.0%	0.7%	0.6%	0.0%	0.0%
Rhode Island	12,295	7,939	1,889	70.9%	0.6%	0.0%	3.8%	0.0%	9.4%	0.0%	22.4%	0.0%	1.2%	1.1%	0.0%	0.0%	2.4%
South Carolina	16,676	7,136	3,817	65.2%	0.0%	0.0%	7.0%	0.6%	6.6%	22.3%	3.2%	7.3%	0.3%	9.1%	0.6%	0.0%	0.9%
South Dakota	2,745	749	412	29.1%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	3.4%	10.9%	55.3%	16.7%	0.2%	8.0%	2.2%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Tennessee	72,069	42,340	21,412	39.1%	0.0%	0.0%	1.4%	0.1%	63.4%	0.2%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.3%	0.0%	84.4%	4.4%
Texas	106,329	45,934	15,684	67.0%	1.2%	0.3%	4.2%	0.0%	24.2%	18.4%	10.8%	2.0%	1.0%	2.3%	0.0%	1.3%	0.0%
Utah	9,041	6,049	1,599	54.1%	0.0%	0.0%	6.7%	0.3%	34.8%	0.0%	22.0%	11.4%	0.9%	7.4%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Vermont	4,831	3,356	834	53.6%	0.1%	0.0%	10.9%	1.4%	23.6%	11.8%	3.7%	8.0%	1.2%	16.5%	0.0%	0.0%	30.2%
Virgin Islands	538	399	42	4.8%	0.0%	0.0%	11.9%	11.9%	33.3%	11.9%	26.2%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Virginia	9,430	9,430	4,728	85.2%	0.3%	0.0%	4.9%	0.9%	25.0%	0.0%	0.7%	1.7%	1.1%	0.1%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Washington	55,858	29,688	10,514	38.1%	0.0%	7.9%	0.0%	0.1%	18.4%	57.9%	13.2%	2.7%	1.2%	7.9%	0.1%	0.0%	1.4%
West Virginia	14,151	7,325	999	23.4%	0.0%	0.1%	13.0%	0.3%	9.4%	22.7%	35.3%	0.0%	0.0%	7.2%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Wisconsin	22,493	9,202	5,641	10.9%	0.0%	0.0%	67.4%	0.0%	29.0%	9.2%	1.5%	25.4%	33.5%	12.4%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Wyoming	352	51	40	22.5%	0.0%	0.0%	77.5%	0.0%	25.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	5.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%

Source: TANF Data Report

Table 3:9 (FY 2004)

Temporary Assistance for Needy Families
Average Monthly Number of Parents in Two-Parent Families Who are Participating in Work Activities
For a Sufficient Number of Hours for the Family to Count as Meeting the Two-Parent Families Work Requirements
Fiscal Year 2004

State	Average Monthly Number Of Persons Engaged In Work By Work Activity For Two-Parent Families Counted As Participating In The Two-Parent Families Work Rates																
	Total Two-parent Families	Total Families inTwo-Parent Work Rates	Families Participating in Two-Parent Work Rates	Unsubsidized Employment	Subsidized Private Employment	Subsidized Public Employment	Work Experience	On-the-Job Training	Job Search	Community Service	Vocational Education	Job Skills Training	Education Related to Employment	Satisfactory School Attendance	Providing Child Care	Additional Waiver Activities	Other
United States	31,977	24,553	11,715	8,660	106	199	4,179	20	3,129	4,662	2,124	180	342	411	59	355	541
Alabama	50	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Alaska	646	415	218	239	-	1	5	2	97	85	50	-	-	7	-	-	39
Arizona	401	354	234	192	-	-	96	1	244	6	36	-	2	6	-	-	-
Arkansas	209	141	49	32	-	1	3	1	25	2	20	1	-	1	-	-	1
California	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Colorado	978	792	293	264	6	13	26	-	94	37	84	1	10	30	1	-	-
Connecticut	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Delaware	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Dist. of Col.	160	151	30	34	-	-	1	-	4	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Florida	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Georgia	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Guam	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.
Hawaii	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Idaho	61	61	24	24	-	-	3	-	23	1	16	-	-	1	-	-	15
Illinois	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Indiana	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Iowa	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Kansas	1,176	1,175	1,101	454	-	-	100	-	281	1,733	34	7	6	64	-	-	-
Kentucky	798	761	421	225	1	-	31	3	82	102	240	16	19	-	-	-	3
Louisiana	154	112	42	47	-	-	6	-	7	-	16	-	-	5	-	-	-
Maine	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Maryland	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Massachusetts	1,453	306	197	216	-	-	-	-	14	42	19	5	2	21	-	-	-
Michigan	2,130	2,130	750	881	-	-	13	-	471	-	34	3	19	4	-	-	-
Minnesota	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Mississippi	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Missouri	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Montana	768	728	697	252	-	-	1,102	-	266	-	36	2	149	14	-	347	-
Nebraska	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Nevada	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

(continued…)

Table 3:9 (FY 2004)
(continued)

Temporary Assistance for Needy Families
Average Monthly Number of Parents in Two-Parent Families Who are Participating in Work Activities
For a Sufficient Number of Hours for the Family to Count as Meeting the Two-Parent Families Work Requirements
Fiscal Year 2004

State	Average Monthly Number Of Persons Engaged In Work By Work Activity For Two-Parent Families Counted As Participating In The Two-Parent Families Work Rates																
	Total Two-parent Families	Total Families inTwo-Parent Work Rates	Families Participating in Two-Parent Work Rates	Unsubsidized Employment	Subsidized Private Employment	Subsidized Public Employment	Work Experience	On-the-Job Training	Job Search	Community Service	Vocational Education	Job Skills Training	Education Related to Employment	Satisfactory School Attendance	Providing Child Care	Additional Waiver Activities	Other
New Hampshire	146	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
New Jersey	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
New Mexico	1,044	964	543	511	-	-	68	-	95	142	182	16	16	16	1	8	-
New York	6,381	4,401	2,114	1,729	93	61	354	-	98	496	256	-	42	8	9	-	-
North Carolina	381	142	69	72	-	1	9	-	17	-	29	-	-	-	-	-	-
North Dakota	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Ohio	3,460	3,441	2,354	1,477	-	3	2,176	6	248	-	619	11	-	107	-	-	193
Oklahoma	103	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Oregon	477	382	133	77	-	-	64	1	147	-	9	15	4	5	-	-	3
Pennsylvania	3,768	2,064	338	325	3	-	3	-	53	-	147	16	15	-	-	-	18
Puerto Rico	12	12	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Rhode Island	306	160	152	163	1	-	6	-	16	-	16	-	1	-	-	-	4
South Carolina	471	426	233	235	2	-	21	3	34	67	9	9	1	15	46	-	4
South Dakota	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Tennessee	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Texas	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Utah	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Vermont	576	405	155	113	-	-	19	1	84	19	3	3	2	8	-	-	82
Virgin Islands	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Virginia	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Washington	5,475	4,723	1,465	1,067	-	119	-	2	658	1,911	265	46	20	90	2	-	179
West Virginia	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Wisconsin	391	305	101	30	-	-	70	-	70	19	4	29	34	9	-	-	-
Wyoming	2	2	2	1	-	-	3	-	1	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

Source: TANF Data Report

Table 3:10 (FY 2004)

Temporary Assistance for Needy Families
Average Monthly Percent of Parents in Two-Parent Families Who are Participating in Work Activities For a Sufficient Number of Hours For the Family to Count as Meeting the Two-Parent Families Work Requirements
Fiscal Year 2004

State	Total Two-Parent Families	Total Families in Two-Parent Work Rates	Families Participating in Two-Parent Work Rates	Average Monthly Number Of Parents Engaged In Work By Work Activity For Two-Parent Families As A Percent Of The Number Of Parents In Families Participating In The Two Parent Families Work Rates													
				Unsubsidized Employment	Subsidized Private Employment	Subsidized Public Employment	Work Experience	On-the-Job Training	Job Search	Community Service	Vocational Education	Job Skills Training	Education Related to Employment	Satisfactory School Attendance	Providing Child Care	Additional Waiver Requirements	Other
United States	31,977	24,553	11,715	37.0%	0.5%	0.8%	17.8%	0.1%	13.4%	19.9%	9.1%	0.8%	1.5%	1.8%	0.3%	1.5%	2.3%
Alabama	50	-	-														
Alaska	646	415	218	54.8%	0.0%	0.2%	1.1%	0.5%	22.2%	19.5%	11.5%	0.0%	0.0%	1.6%	0.0%	0.0%	8.9%
Arizona	401	354	234	41.0%	0.0%	0.0%	20.5%	0.2%	52.1%	1.3%	7.7%	0.0%	0.4%	1.3%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Arkansas	209	141	49	32.7%	0.0%	1.0%	3.1%	1.0%	25.5%	2.0%	20.4%	1.0%	0.0%	1.0%	0.0%	0.0%	1.0%
California	-	-	-														
Colorado	978	792	293	45.1%	1.0%	2.2%	4.4%	0.0%	16.0%	6.3%	14.3%	0.2%	1.7%	5.1%	0.2%	0.0%	0.0%
Connecticut	-	-	-														
Delaware	-	-	-														
Dist. of Col.	160	151	30	56.7%	0.0%	0.0%	1.7%	0.0%	6.7%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Florida	-	-	-														
Georgia	-	-	-														
Guam																	
Hawaii	-	-	-														
Idaho	61	61	24	50.0%	0.0%	0.0%	6.3%	0.0%	47.9%	2.1%	33.3%	0.0%	0.0%	2.1%	0.0%	0.0%	31.3%
Illinois	-	-	-														
Indiana	-	-	-														
Iowa	-	-	-														
Kansas	1,176	1,175	1,101	20.6%	0.0%	0.0%	4.5%	0.0%	12.8%	78.7%	1.5%	0.3%	0.3%	2.9%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Kentucky	798	761	421	26.7%	0.1%	0.0%	3.7%	0.4%	9.7%	12.1%	28.5%	1.9%	2.3%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.4%
Louisiana	154	112	42	56.0%	0.0%	0.0%	7.1%	0.0%	8.3%	0.0%	19.0%	0.0%	0.0%	6.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Maine	-	-	-														
Maryland	-	-	-														
Massachusetts	1,453	306	197	54.8%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	3.6%	10.7%	4.8%	1.3%	0.5%	5.3%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Michigan	2,130	2,130	750	58.7%	0.0%	0.0%	0.9%	0.0%	31.4%	0.0%	2.3%	0.2%	1.3%	0.3%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Minnesota	-	-	-														
Mississippi	-	-	-														
Missouri	-	-	-														
Montana	768	728	697	18.1%	0.0%	0.0%	79.1%	0.0%	19.1%	0.0%	2.6%	0.1%	10.7%	1.0%	0.0%	24.9%	0.0%
Nebraska	-	-	-														
Nevada	-	-	-														

(continued…)

Table 3:10 (FY 2004)
(continued)

Temporary Assistance for Needy Families
Average Monthly Percent of Parents in Two-Parent Families Who are Participating in Work Activities For a Sufficient Number of Hours For the Family to Count as Meeting the Two-Parent Families Work Requirements
Fiscal Year 2004

State	Average Monthly Number Of Parents Engaged In Work By Work Activity For Two-Parent Families As A Percent Of The Number Of Parents In Families Participating In The Two Parent Families Work Rates																
	Total Two-Parent Families	Total Families in Two-Parent Work Rates	Families Participating in Two-Parent Work Rates	Unsubsidized Employment	Subsidized Private Employment	Subsidized Public Employment	Work Experience	On-the-Job Training	Job Search	Community Service	Vocational Education	Job Skills Training	Education Related to Employment	Satisfactory School Attendance	Providing Child Care	Additional Waiver Requirements	Other
New Hampshire	146	-	-														
New Jersey	-	-	-														
New Mexico	1,044	964	543	47.1%	0.0%	0.0%	6.3%	0.0%	8.7%	13.1%	16.8%	1.5%	1.5%	1.5%	0.1%	0.7%	0.0%
New York	6,381	4,401	2,114	40.9%	2.2%	1.4%	8.4%	0.0%	2.3%	11.7%	6.1%	0.0%	1.0%	0.2%	0.2%	0.0%	0.0%
North Carolina	381	142	69	52.2%	0.0%	0.7%	6.5%	0.0%	12.3%	0.0%	21.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
North Dakota	-	-	-														
Ohio	3,460	3,441	2,354	31.4%	0.0%	0.1%	46.2%	0.1%	5.3%	0.0%	13.1%	0.2%	0.0%	2.3%	0.0%	0.0%	4.1%
Oklahoma	103	-	-														
Oregon	477	382	133	28.9%	0.0%	0.0%	24.1%	0.4%	55.3%	0.0%	3.4%	5.6%	1.5%	1.9%	0.0%	0.0%	1.1%
Pennsylvania	3,768	2,064	338	48.1%	0.4%	0.0%	0.4%	0.0%	7.8%	0.0%	21.7%	2.4%	2.2%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	2.7%
Puerto Rico	12	12	-														
Rhode Island	306	160	152	53.6%	0.3%	0.0%	2.0%	0.0%	5.3%	0.0%	5.3%	0.0%	0.3%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	1.3%
South Carolina	471	426	233	50.4%	0.4%	0.0%	4.5%	0.6%	7.3%	14.4%	1.9%	1.9%	0.2%	3.2%	9.9%	0.0%	0.9%
South Dakota	-	-	-														
Tennessee	-	-	-														
Texas	-	-	-														
Utah	-	-	-														
Vermont	576	405	155	36.5%	0.0%	0.0%	6.1%	0.3%	27.1%	6.1%	1.0%	1.0%	0.6%	2.6%	0.0%	0.0%	26.5%
Virgin Islands	-	-	-														
Virginia	-	-	-														
Washington	5,475	4,723	1,465	36.4%	0.0%	4.1%	0.0%	0.1%	22.5%	65.2%	9.0%	1.6%	0.7%	3.1%	0.1%	0.0%	6.1%
West Virginia	-	-	-														
Wisconsin	391	305	101	14.9%	0.0%	0.0%	34.7%	0.0%	34.7%	9.4%	2.0%	14.4%	16.8%	4.5%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Wyoming	2	2	2	25.0%	0.0%	0.0%	75.0%	0.0%	25.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%

Source: TANF Data Report

Table 3:11 (FY 2004)

Temporary Assistance for Needy Families
Average Monthly Number of Adults With Hours of Participation by Work Activity
Fiscal Year 2004

State	Average Monthly Number of Adults With Hours Of Participation By Work Activity															
	Total Adults	Adults with Hours of Participation 1/	Unsubsidized Employment	Subsidized Private Employment	Subsidized Public Employment	Work Experience	On-the-Job Training	Job Search	Community Service	Vocational Education	Job Skills Training	Education Related to Employment	Satisfactory School Attendance	Providing Child Care	Additional Waiver Activities	Other
United States	1,164,873	494,761	239,125	1,456	3,511	56,719	976	88,840	48,021	59,015	12,841	15,243	18,355	522	24,524	40,873
Alabama	10,135	4,509	2,451	50	160	164	2	1,127	24	644	-	-	474	-	-	178
Alaska	4,391	2,413	1,367	-	11	45	4	618	265	452	-	-	67	-	-	434
Arizona	30,721	9,961	6,249	-	1	1,235	6	2,807	296	1,267	39	28	238	-	-	-
Arkansas	5,514	2,007	849	23	23	83	23	590	9	397	75	37	105	-	-	21
California	212,953	75,988	53,194	190	163	1,377	164	10,298	1,700	8,709	185	1,382	2,991	-	167	2,119
Colorado	10,596	4,701	1,858	12	70	473	-	466	808	1,009	37	396	515	13	-	-
Connecticut	12,182	4,704	3,056	75	8	-	15	292	40	620	23	273	38	-	-	1,121
Delaware	3,055	889	583	-	-	392	-	-	-	-	-	1	18	-	-	-
Dist. of Col.	11,079	2,476	1,965	-	-	78	-	354	-	124	8	25	-	-	8	-
Florida	22,765	9,303	4,279	88	89	523	-	1,791	1,493	1,510	52	573	1,188	17	17	-
Georgia	28,190	9,522	2,874	14	27	1,689	152	979	821	2,538	137	29	525	376	-	1,009
Guam	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.
Hawaii	6,714	2,611	2,053	-	-	430	6	717	7	509	37	27	15	-	-	-
Idaho	686	585	223	1	1	37	-	242	14	190	-	1	15	-	-	336
Illinois	15,854	11,092	3,288	-	-	936	-	78	177	1,810	155	825	85	-	-	5,384
Indiana	30,545	10,008	7,567	72	-	150	34	1,439	-	332	286	498	442	-	-	-
Iowa	13,747	8,133	6,114	15	-	62	-	413	15	1,602	-	-	659	-	-	978
Kansas	13,686	11,360	2,480	-	-	765	2	2,094	7,832	282	64	35	432	-	-	-
Kentucky	20,242	8,760	4,304	22	-	404	24	515	904	2,919	346	442	-	-	-	282
Louisiana	8,231	3,540	1,816	5	8	608	20	350	-	912	-	30	411	-	-	-
Maine	7,596	3,557	2,003	-	-	243	4	950	22	594	648	6	208	-	-	-
Maryland	15,506	4,207	1,252	9	158	524	9	1,423	407	727	10	19	312	-	-	-
Massachusetts	31,759	8,190	4,803	81	25	-	-	698	1,186	381	979	103	346	-	-	-
Michigan	57,907	17,665	13,454	-	97	79	-	4,960	-	745	53	118	352	-	-	8
Minnesota	25,489	13,126	6,926	-	-	120	-	2,731	595	591	27	275	1,628	1	-	4,423
Mississippi	10,970	3,132	1,529	-	-	455	-	301	443	329	38	93	111	-	-	25
Missouri	29,398	11,727	3,519	16	64	474	31	1,343	-	2,004	-	1,406	170	-	-	4,522
Montana	4,725	4,454	883	-	-	3,523	-	854	-	180	7	396	50	-	1,155	-
Nebraska	7,156	3,301	1,372	-	-	-	13	1,274	-	597	35	-	294	-	9	16
Nevada	4,297	2,143	1,241	-	3	522	3	569	197	180	4	20	25	-	-	-

(continued...)

Table 3:11 (FY 2004)
(continued)

Temporary Assistance for Needy Families
Average Monthly Number of Adults With Hours of Participation by Work Activity
Fiscal Year 2004

State	Average Monthly Number of Adults With Hours Of Participation By Work Activity															
	Total Adults	Adults with Hours of Participation 1/	Unsubsidized Employment	Subsidized Private Employment	Subsidized Public Employment	Work Experience	On-the-Job Training	Job Search	Community Service	Vocational Education	Job Skills Training	Education Related to Employment	Satisfactory School Attendance	Providing Child Care	Additional Waiver Activities	Other
New Hampshire	4,364	2,139	970	-	-	274	3	507	18	87	234	-	224	-	-	766
New Jersey	27,427	11,850	4,196	-	-	3,970	17	1,619	48	3,065	931	1,603	184	1	-	-
New Mexico	14,005	6,377	4,098	8	-	303	8	525	837	1,117	296	118	212	25	8	26
New York	93,169	33,881	17,078	174	1,364	5,357	68	1,401	5,831	3,680	68	200	263	9	-	284
North Carolina	17,178	6,690	3,163	15	58	913	37	1,331	-	1,922	86	296	133	-	-	-
North Dakota	2,397	1,008	548	3	-	98	-	301	2	129	31	68	46	-	-	-
Ohio	46,359	36,282	10,941	3	52	18,690	50	2,379	-	6,953	338	25	1,051	-	-	5,300
Oklahoma	7,778	3,988	890	41	1	177	1	1,570	-	471	485	275	78	-	-	-
Oregon	10,897	7,378	1,123	1	-	1,720	7	4,878	-	258	338	393	214	-	-	361
Pennsylvania	66,070	17,665	8,209	43	-	990	3	1,410	609	2,971	1,809	1,107	-	-	-	3,480
Puerto Rico	14,187	1,351	186	79	13	177	95	88	390	283	30	11	27	6	6	19
Rhode Island	9,647	3,444	2,320	13	-	91	-	331	-	535	-	43	21	-	-	394
South Carolina	8,472	5,109	2,980	12	-	417	29	439	1,186	172	283	12	373	48	-	257
South Dakota	977	620	163	-	-	-	16	67	370	75	3	57	9	-	-	-
Tennessee	54,633	33,465	10,857	-	-	342	18	16,952	59	-	-	-	474	-	22,689	6,038
Texas	48,387	20,124	12,125	362	42	755	-	5,873	3,783	1,849	377	270	353	-	465	-
Utah	6,544	3,282	1,265	-	-	197	6	1,111	-	557	880	18	119	-	-	-
Vermont	4,407	2,767	906	1	-	128	19	438	126	47	188	35	264	-	-	1,579
Virgin Islands	405	64	2	-	-	7	6	14	6	15	6	6	3	-	-	2
Virginia	9,634	6,596	4,886	27	-	412	66	2,200	-	46	189	115	9	-	-	-
Washington	40,778	24,648	7,306	-	1,063	-	10	3,242	16,239	1,923	693	286	1,311	26	-	1,511
West Virginia	9,352	2,393	471	-	10	313	3	219	617	620	-	-	340	-	-	-
Wisconsin	11,639	9,527	879	1	-	5,962	2	1,658	645	86	2,331	3,267	931	-	-	-
Wyoming	78	49	11	-	-	35	-	14	-	-	-	-	2	-	-	-

1/ Adults participating in more than one activity are included once in this total.

Source: TANF Data Report

Table 3:12 (FY 2004)

Temporary Assistance for Needy Families
Average Monthly Number of Adults With Hours of Participation by Work Activity
As a Percent of the Number of Participating Adults
Fiscal Year 2004

State	Average Monthly Number Of Adults With Hours Of Participation By Work Activity As A Percent Of The Number Of Participating Adults															
	Total Adults	Adults with Hours of Participation 1/	Unsubsidized Employment	Subsidized Private Employment	Subsidized Public Employment	Work Experience	On-the-Job Training	Job Search	Community Service	Vocational Education	Job Skills Training	Education Related to Employment	Satisfactory School Attendance	Providing Child Care	Additional Waiver Activities	Other
United States	1,164,873	494,761	48.3%	0.3%	0.7%	11.5%	0.2%	18.0%	9.7%	11.9%	2.6%	3.1%	3.7%	0.1%	5.0%	8.3%
Alabama	10,135	4,509	54.4%	1.1%	3.5%	3.6%	0.0%	25.0%	0.5%	14.3%	0.0%	0.0%	10.5%	0.0%	0.0%	3.9%
Alaska	4,391	2,413	56.7%	0.0%	0.5%	1.9%	0.2%	25.6%	11.0%	18.7%	0.0%	0.0%	2.8%	0.0%	0.0%	18.0%
Arizona	30,721	9,961	62.7%	0.0%	0.0%	12.4%	0.1%	28.2%	3.0%	12.7%	0.4%	0.3%	2.4%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Arkansas	5,514	2,007	42.3%	1.1%	1.1%	4.1%	1.1%	29.4%	0.4%	19.8%	3.7%	1.8%	5.2%	0.0%	0.0%	1.0%
California	212,953	75,988	70.0%	0.3%	0.2%	1.8%	0.2%	13.6%	2.2%	11.5%	0.2%	1.8%	3.9%	0.0%	0.2%	2.8%
Colorado	10,596	4,701	39.5%	0.3%	1.5%	10.1%	0.0%	9.9%	17.2%	21.5%	0.8%	8.4%	11.0%	0.3%	0.0%	0.0%
Connecticut	12,182	4,704	65.0%	1.6%	0.2%	0.0%	0.3%	6.2%	0.9%	13.2%	0.5%	5.8%	0.8%	0.0%	0.0%	23.8%
Delaware	3,055	889	65.6%	0.0%	0.0%	44.1%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.1%	2.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Dist. of Col.	11,079	2,476	79.4%	0.0%	0.0%	3.2%	0.0%	14.3%	0.0%	5.0%	0.3%	1.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.3%	0.0%
Florida	22,765	9,303	46.0%	0.9%	1.0%	5.6%	0.0%	19.3%	16.0%	16.2%	0.6%	6.2%	12.8%	0.2%	0.2%	0.0%
Georgia	28,190	9,522	30.2%	0.1%	0.3%	17.7%	1.6%	10.3%	8.6%	26.7%	1.4%	0.3%	5.5%	3.9%	0.0%	10.6%
Guam	.	.														
Hawaii	6,714	2,611	78.6%	0.0%	0.0%	16.5%	0.2%	27.5%	0.3%	19.5%	1.4%	1.0%	0.6%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Idaho	686	585	38.1%	0.2%	0.2%	6.3%	0.0%	41.4%	2.4%	32.5%	0.0%	0.2%	2.6%	0.0%	0.0%	57.4%
Illinois	15,854	11,092	29.6%	0.0%	0.0%	8.4%	0.0%	0.7%	1.6%	16.3%	1.4%	7.4%	0.8%	0.0%	0.0%	48.5%
Indiana	30,545	10,008	75.6%	0.7%	0.0%	1.5%	0.3%	14.4%	0.0%	3.3%	2.9%	5.0%	4.4%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Iowa	13,747	8,133	75.2%	0.2%	0.0%	0.8%	0.0%	5.1%	0.2%	19.7%	0.0%	0.0%	8.1%	0.0%	0.0%	12.0%
Kansas	13,686	11,360	21.8%	0.0%	0.0%	6.7%	0.0%	18.4%	68.9%	2.5%	0.6%	0.3%	3.8%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Kentucky	20,242	8,760	49.1%	0.3%	0.0%	4.6%	0.3%	5.9%	10.3%	33.3%	3.9%	5.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	3.2%
Louisiana	8,231	3,540	51.3%	0.1%	0.2%	17.2%	0.6%	9.9%	0.0%	25.8%	0.0%	0.8%	11.6%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Maine	7,596	3,557	56.3%	0.0%	0.0%	6.8%	0.1%	26.7%	0.6%	16.7%	18.2%	0.2%	5.8%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Maryland	15,506	4,207	29.8%	0.2%	3.8%	12.5%	0.2%	33.8%	9.7%	17.3%	0.2%	0.5%	7.4%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Massachusetts	31,759	8,190	58.6%	1.0%	0.3%	0.0%	0.0%	8.5%	14.5%	4.7%	12.0%	1.3%	4.2%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Michigan	57,907	17,665	76.2%	0.0%	0.5%	0.4%	0.0%	28.1%	0.0%	4.2%	0.3%	0.7%	2.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Minnesota	25,489	13,126	52.8%	0.0%	0.0%	0.9%	0.0%	20.8%	4.5%	4.5%	0.2%	2.1%	12.4%	0.0%	0.0%	33.7%
Mississippi	10,970	3,132	48.8%	0.0%	0.0%	14.5%	0.0%	9.6%	14.1%	10.5%	1.2%	3.0%	3.5%	0.0%	0.0%	0.8%
Missouri	29,398	11,727	30.0%	0.1%	0.5%	4.0%	0.3%	11.5%	0.0%	17.1%	0.0%	12.0%	1.4%	0.0%	0.0%	38.6%
Montana	4,725	4,454	19.8%	0.0%	0.0%	79.1%	0.0%	19.2%	0.0%	4.0%	0.2%	8.9%	1.1%	0.0%	25.9%	0.0%
Nebraska	7,156	3,301	41.6%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.4%	38.6%	0.0%	18.1%	1.1%	0.0%	8.9%	0.0%	0.3%	0.5%
Nevada	4,297	2,143	57.9%	0.0%	0.1%	24.4%	0.1%	26.6%	9.2%	8.4%	0.2%	0.9%	1.2%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%

(continued...)

Table 3:12 (FY 2004)
(continued)

Temporary Assistance for Needy Families
Average Monthly Number of Adults With Hours of Participation by Work Activity
As a Percent of the Number of Participating Adults
Fiscal Year 2004

State	Average Monthly Number Of Adults With Hours Of Participation By Work Activity As A Percent Of The Number Of Participating Adults															
	Total Adults	Adults with Hours of Participation 1/	Unsubsidized Employment	Subsidized Private Employment	Subsidized Public Employment	Work Experience	On-the-Job Training	Job Search	Community Service	Vocational Education	Job Skills Training	Education Related to Employment	Satisfactory School Attendance	Providing Child Care	Additional Waiver Activities	Other
New Hampshire	4,364	2,139	45.3%	0.0%	0.0%	12.8%	0.1%	23.7%	0.8%	4.1%	10.9%	0.0%	10.5%	0.0%	0.0%	35.8%
New Jersey	27,427	11,850	35.4%	0.0%	0.0%	33.5%	0.1%	13.7%	0.4%	25.9%	7.9%	13.5%	1.6%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
New Mexico	14,005	6,377	64.3%	0.1%	0.0%	4.8%	0.1%	8.2%	13.1%	17.5%	4.6%	1.9%	3.3%	0.4%	0.1%	0.4%
New York	93,169	33,881	50.4%	0.5%	4.0%	15.8%	0.2%	4.1%	17.2%	10.9%	0.2%	0.6%	0.8%	0.0%	0.0%	0.8%
North Carolina	17,178	6,690	47.3%	0.2%	0.9%	13.6%	0.6%	19.9%	0.0%	28.7%	1.3%	4.4%	2.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
North Dakota	2,397	1,008	54.4%	0.3%	0.0%	9.7%	0.0%	29.9%	0.2%	12.8%	3.1%	6.7%	4.6%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Ohio	46,359	36,282	30.2%	0.0%	0.1%	51.5%	0.1%	6.6%	0.0%	19.2%	0.9%	0.1%	2.9%	0.0%	0.0%	14.6%
Oklahoma	7,778	3,988	22.3%	1.0%	0.0%	4.4%	0.0%	39.4%	0.0%	11.8%	12.2%	6.9%	2.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Oregon	10,897	7,378	15.2%	0.0%	0.0%	23.3%	0.1%	66.1%	0.0%	3.5%	4.6%	5.3%	2.9%	0.0%	0.0%	4.9%
Pennsylvania	66,070	17,665	46.5%	0.2%	0.0%	5.6%	0.0%	8.0%	3.4%	16.8%	10.2%	6.3%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	19.7%
Puerto Rico	14,187	1,351	13.8%	5.8%	1.0%	13.1%	7.0%	6.5%	28.9%	20.9%	2.2%	0.8%	2.0%	0.4%	0.4%	1.4%
Rhode Island	9,647	3,444	67.4%	0.4%	0.0%	2.6%	0.0%	9.6%	0.0%	15.5%	0.0%	1.2%	0.6%	0.0%	0.0%	11.4%
South Carolina	8,472	5,109	58.3%	0.2%	0.0%	8.2%	0.6%	8.6%	23.2%	3.4%	5.5%	0.2%	7.3%	0.9%	0.0%	5.0%
South Dakota	977	620	26.3%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	2.6%	10.8%	59.7%	12.1%	0.5%	9.2%	1.5%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Tennessee	54,633	33,465	32.4%	0.0%	0.0%	1.0%	0.1%	50.7%	0.2%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	1.4%	0.0%	67.8%	18.0%
Texas	48,387	20,124	60.3%	1.8%	0.2%	3.8%	0.0%	29.2%	18.8%	9.2%	1.9%	1.3%	1.8%	0.0%	2.3%	0.0%
Utah	6,544	3,282	38.5%	0.0%	0.0%	6.0%	0.2%	33.9%	0.0%	17.0%	26.8%	0.5%	3.6%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Vermont	4,407	2,767	32.7%	0.0%	0.0%	4.6%	0.7%	15.8%	4.6%	1.7%	6.8%	1.3%	9.5%	0.0%	0.0%	57.1%
Virgin Islands	405	64	3.1%	0.0%	0.0%	10.9%	9.4%	21.9%	9.4%	23.4%	9.4%	9.4%	4.7%	0.0%	0.0%	3.1%
Virginia	9,634	6,596	74.1%	0.4%	0.0%	6.2%	1.0%	33.4%	0.0%	0.7%	2.9%	1.7%	0.1%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Washington	40,778	24,648	29.6%	0.0%	4.3%	0.0%	0.0%	13.2%	65.9%	7.8%	2.8%	1.2%	5.3%	0.1%	0.0%	6.1%
West Virginia	9,352	2,393	19.7%	0.0%	0.4%	13.1%	0.1%	9.2%	25.8%	25.9%	0.0%	0.0%	14.2%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Wisconsin	11,639	9,527	9.2%	0.0%	0.0%	62.6%	0.0%	17.4%	6.8%	0.9%	24.5%	34.3%	9.8%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Wyoming	78	49	22.4%	0.0%	0.0%	71.4%	0.0%	28.6%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	4.1%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%

1/ Adults participating in more than one activity are included once in this total.

Source: TANF Data Report

Table 3:13 (FY 2004)

Temporary Assistance for Needy Families
Average Monthly Number of Adults With Hours of Participation by Work Activity as a Percent of the Total Number of Adults
Fiscal Year 2004

State	Average Monthly Number Of Adults With Hours Of Participation By Work Activity As A Percent Of The Total Number Of Adults															
	Total Adults	Adults with Hours of Participation 1/	Unsubsidized Employment	Subsidized Private Employment	Subsidized Public Employment	Work Experience	On-the-Job Training	Job Search	Community Service	Vocational Education	Job Skills Training	Education Related to Employment	Satisfactory School Attendance	Providing Child Care	Additional Waiver Activities	Other
United States	1,164,873	42.5%	20.5%	0.1%	0.3%	4.9%	0.1%	7.6%	4.1%	5.1%	1.1%	1.3%	1.6%	0.0%	2.1%	3.5%
Alabama	10,135	44.5%	24.2%	0.5%	1.6%	1.6%	0.0%	11.1%	0.2%	6.4%	0.0%	0.0%	4.7%	0.0%	0.0%	1.8%
Alaska	4,391	55.0%	31.1%	0.0%	0.3%	1.0%	0.1%	14.1%	6.0%	10.3%	0.0%	0.0%	1.5%	0.0%	0.0%	9.9%
Arizona	30,721	32.4%	20.3%	0.0%	0.0%	4.0%	0.0%	9.1%	1.0%	4.1%	0.1%	0.1%	0.8%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Arkansas	5,514	36.4%	15.4%	0.4%	0.4%	1.5%	0.4%	10.7%	0.2%	7.2%	1.4%	0.7%	1.9%	0.0%	0.0%	0.4%
California	212,953	35.7%	25.0%	0.1%	0.1%	0.6%	0.1%	4.8%	0.8%	4.1%	0.1%	0.6%	1.4%	0.0%	0.1%	1.0%
Colorado	10,596	44.4%	17.5%	0.1%	0.7%	4.5%	0.0%	4.4%	7.6%	9.5%	0.3%	3.7%	4.9%	0.1%	0.0%	0.0%
Connecticut	12,182	38.6%	25.1%	0.6%	0.1%	0.0%	0.1%	2.4%	0.3%	5.1%	0.2%	2.2%	0.3%	0.0%	0.0%	9.2%
Delaware	3,055	29.1%	19.1%	0.0%	0.0%	12.8%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.6%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Dist. of Col.	11,079	22.3%	17.7%	0.0%	0.0%	0.7%	0.0%	3.2%	0.0%	1.1%	0.1%	0.2%	0.0%	0.0%	0.1%	0.0%
Florida	22,765	40.9%	18.8%	0.4%	0.4%	2.3%	0.0%	7.9%	6.6%	6.6%	0.2%	2.5%	5.2%	0.1%	0.1%	0.0%
Georgia	28,190	33.8%	10.2%	0.0%	0.1%	6.0%	0.5%	3.5%	2.9%	9.0%	0.5%	0.1%	1.9%	1.3%	0.0%	3.6%
Guam	.															
Hawaii	6,714	38.9%	30.6%	0.0%	0.0%	6.4%	0.1%	10.7%	0.1%	7.6%	0.6%	0.4%	0.2%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Idaho	686	85.3%	32.5%	0.1%	0.1%	5.4%	0.0%	35.3%	2.0%	27.7%	0.0%	0.1%	2.2%	0.0%	0.0%	49.0%
Illinois	15,854	70.0%	20.7%	0.0%	0.0%	5.9%	0.0%	0.5%	1.1%	11.4%	1.0%	5.2%	0.5%	0.0%	0.0%	34.0%
Indiana	30,545	32.8%	24.8%	0.2%	0.0%	0.5%	0.1%	4.7%	0.0%	1.1%	0.9%	1.6%	1.4%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Iowa	13,747	59.2%	44.5%	0.1%	0.0%	0.5%	0.0%	3.0%	0.1%	11.7%	0.0%	0.0%	4.8%	0.0%	0.0%	7.1%
Kansas	13,686	83.0%	18.1%	0.0%	0.0%	5.6%	0.0%	15.3%	57.2%	2.1%	0.5%	0.3%	3.2%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Kentucky	20,242	43.3%	21.3%	0.1%	0.0%	2.0%	0.1%	2.5%	4.5%	14.4%	1.7%	2.2%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	1.4%
Louisiana	8,231	43.0%	22.1%	0.1%	0.1%	7.4%	0.2%	4.3%	0.0%	11.1%	0.0%	0.4%	5.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Maine	7,596	46.8%	26.4%	0.0%	0.0%	3.2%	0.1%	12.5%	0.3%	7.8%	8.5%	0.1%	2.7%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Maryland	15,506	27.1%	8.1%	0.1%	1.0%	3.4%	0.1%	9.2%	2.6%	4.7%	0.1%	0.1%	2.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Massachusetts	31,759	25.8%	15.1%	0.3%	0.1%	0.0%	0.0%	2.2%	3.7%	1.2%	3.1%	0.3%	1.1%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Michigan	57,907	30.5%	23.2%	0.0%	0.2%	0.1%	0.0%	8.6%	0.0%	1.3%	0.1%	0.2%	0.6%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Minnesota	25,489	51.5%	27.2%	0.0%	0.0%	0.5%	0.0%	10.7%	2.3%	2.3%	0.1%	1.1%	6.4%	0.0%	0.0%	17.4%
Mississippi	10,970	28.6%	13.9%	0.0%	0.0%	4.1%	0.0%	2.7%	4.0%	3.0%	0.3%	0.8%	1.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.2%
Missouri	29,398	39.9%	12.0%	0.1%	0.2%	1.6%	0.1%	4.6%	0.0%	6.8%	0.0%	4.8%	0.6%	0.0%	0.0%	15.4%
Montana	4,725	94.3%	18.7%	0.0%	0.0%	74.6%	0.0%	18.1%	0.0%	3.8%	0.1%	8.4%	1.1%	0.0%	24.4%	0.0%
Nebraska	7,156	46.1%	19.2%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.2%	17.8%	0.0%	8.3%	0.5%	0.0%	4.1%	0.0%	0.1%	0.2%
Nevada	4,297	49.9%	28.9%	0.0%	0.1%	12.1%	0.1%	13.2%	4.6%	4.2%	0.1%	0.5%	0.6%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%

(continued…)

Table 3:13 (FY 2004)
(continued)

Temporary Assistance for Needy Families
Average Monthly Number of Adults With Hours of Participation by Work Activity as a Percent of the Total Number of Adults
Fiscal Year 2004

State	Average Monthly Number Of Adults With Hours Of Participation By Work Activity As A Percent Of The Total Number Of Adults															
	Total Adults	Adults with Hours of Participation 1/	Unsubsidized Employment	Subsidized Private Employment	Subsidized Public Employment	Work Experience	On-the-Job Training	Job Search	Community Service	Vocational Education	Job Skills Training	Education Related to Employment	Satisfactory School Attendance	Providing Child Care	Additional Waiver Activities	Other
New Hampshire	4,364	49.0%	22.2%	0.0%	0.0%	6.3%	0.1%	11.6%	0.4%	2.0%	5.4%	0.0%	5.1%	0.0%	0.0%	17.6%
New Jersey	27,427	43.2%	15.3%	0.0%	0.0%	14.5%	0.1%	5.9%	0.2%	11.2%	3.4%	5.8%	0.7%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
New Mexico	14,005	45.5%	29.3%	0.1%	0.0%	2.2%	0.1%	3.7%	6.0%	8.0%	2.1%	0.8%	1.5%	0.2%	0.1%	0.2%
New York	93,169	36.4%	18.3%	0.2%	1.5%	5.7%	0.1%	1.5%	6.3%	3.9%	0.1%	0.2%	0.3%	0.0%	0.0%	0.3%
North Carolina	17,178	38.9%	18.4%	0.1%	0.3%	5.3%	0.2%	7.7%	0.0%	11.2%	0.5%	1.7%	0.8%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
North Dakota	2,397	42.1%	22.9%	0.1%	0.0%	4.1%	0.0%	12.6%	0.1%	5.4%	1.3%	2.8%	1.9%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Ohio	46,359	78.3%	23.6%	0.0%	0.1%	40.3%	0.1%	5.1%	0.0%	15.0%	0.7%	0.1%	2.3%	0.0%	0.0%	11.4%
Oklahoma	7,778	51.3%	11.4%	0.5%	0.0%	2.3%	0.0%	20.2%	0.0%	6.1%	6.2%	3.5%	1.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Oregon	10,897	67.7%	10.3%	0.0%	0.0%	15.8%	0.1%	44.8%	0.0%	2.4%	3.1%	3.6%	2.0%	0.0%	0.0%	3.3%
Pennsylvania	66,070	26.7%	12.4%	0.1%	0.0%	1.5%	0.0%	2.1%	0.9%	4.5%	2.7%	1.7%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	5.3%
Puerto Rico	14,187	9.5%	1.3%	0.6%	0.1%	1.2%	0.7%	0.6%	2.7%	2.0%	0.2%	0.1%	0.2%	0.0%	0.0%	0.1%
Rhode Island	9,647	35.7%	24.0%	0.1%	0.0%	0.9%	0.0%	3.4%	0.0%	5.5%	0.0%	0.4%	0.2%	0.0%	0.0%	4.1%
South Carolina	8,472	60.3%	35.2%	0.1%	0.0%	4.9%	0.3%	5.2%	14.0%	2.0%	3.3%	0.1%	4.4%	0.6%	0.0%	3.0%
South Dakota	977	63.5%	16.7%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	1.6%	6.9%	37.9%	7.7%	0.3%	5.8%	0.9%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Tennessee	54,633	61.3%	19.9%	0.0%	0.0%	0.6%	0.0%	31.0%	0.1%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.9%	0.0%	41.5%	11.1%
Texas	48,387	41.6%	25.1%	0.7%	0.1%	1.6%	0.0%	12.1%	7.8%	3.8%	0.8%	0.6%	0.7%	0.0%	1.0%	0.0%
Utah	6,544	50.2%	19.3%	0.0%	0.0%	3.0%	0.1%	17.0%	0.0%	8.5%	13.4%	0.3%	1.8%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Vermont	4,407	62.8%	20.6%	0.0%	0.0%	2.9%	0.4%	9.9%	2.9%	1.1%	4.3%	0.8%	6.0%	0.0%	0.0%	35.8%
Virgin Islands	405	15.8%	0.5%	0.0%	0.0%	1.7%	1.5%	3.5%	1.5%	3.7%	1.5%	1.5%	0.7%	0.0%	0.0%	0.5%
Virginia	9,634	68.5%	50.7%	0.3%	0.0%	4.3%	0.7%	22.8%	0.0%	0.5%	2.0%	1.2%	0.1%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Washington	40,778	60.4%	17.9%	0.0%	2.6%	0.0%	0.0%	8.0%	39.8%	4.7%	1.7%	0.7%	3.2%	0.1%	0.0%	3.7%
West Virginia	9,352	25.6%	5.0%	0.0%	0.1%	3.3%	0.0%	2.3%	6.6%	6.6%	0.0%	0.0%	3.6%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Wisconsin	11,639	81.9%	7.6%	0.0%	0.0%	51.2%	0.0%	14.2%	5.5%	0.7%	20.0%	28.1%	8.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Wyoming	78	62.8%	14.1%	0.0%	0.0%	44.9%	0.0%	17.9%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	2.6%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%

1/ Adults participating in more than one activity are included once in this total.

Source: TANF Data Report

Table 3:14 (FY 2004)

Temporary Assistance for Needy Families
Total Hours of Participation for All Adults by Work Activity
Fiscal Year 2004

State	Total Hours Of Reported TANF Participation By Activity						
	Employment	Community Service/ Work Experience	Job Search	Vocational Education	Education and Training	Waiver & Other	Total Hours
United States	6,260,820	2,337,081	1,489,517	1,479,401	859,749	1,240,066	13,666,634
Percent	45.8%	17.1%	10.9%	10.8%	6.3%	9.1%	100.0%
Alabama	70,897	4,800	24,096	13,165	10,019	2,630	125,607
Alaska	40,409	5,342	10,170	8,305	1,405	5,713	71,344
Arizona	159,259	34,458	52,666	34,046	4,803	0	285,232
Arkansas	23,695	1,807	12,981	11,078	5,574	503	55,638
California	1,373,209	80,118	186,729	214,723	108,498	42,217	2,005,494
Colorado	47,030	21,778	9,741	28,656	15,350	0	122,555
Connecticut	73,840	694	4,974	15,537	6,013	28,987	130,045
Delaware	13,336	11,679	0	0	405	0	25,420
Dist. Of Col.	59,543	1,776	6,490	2,689	668	34	71,200
Florida	110,578	40,341	40,019	43,572	43,050	226	277,786
Georgia	75,327	53,101	25,227	62,035	23,913	11,116	250,719
Guam	0	0	.	.	0	0	0
Hawaii	53,607	9,068	13,237	7,273	1,475	0	84,660
Idaho	4,602	520	3,119	3,163	305	5,357	17,066
Illinois	91,434	32,190	1,557	51,339	21,537	106,283	304,340
Indiana	206,567	1,811	40,032	8,644	24,811	0	281,865
Iowa	238,390	1,141	2,878	47,952	6,226	20,395	316,982
Kansas	69,726	257,717	57,169	8,482	12,611	0	405,705
Kentucky	100,680	32,668	15,277	81,034	14,650	5,178	249,487
Louisiana	47,339	11,255	4,977	20,214	6,041	0	89,826
Maine	46,268	5,115	20,574	11,609	10,936	0	94,502
Maryland	34,639	21,683	20,103	11,768	7,707	0	95,900
Massachusetts	112,057	21,791	13,347	7,726	33,152	0	188,073
Michigan	332,188	961	98,594	15,581	7,859	124	455,307
Minnesota	133,606	13,765	45,208	14,873	53,596	83,691	344,739
Mississippi	34,949	16,239	4,751	7,274	5,679	380	69,272
Missouri	84,997	7,152	20,277	40,675	32,756	72,692	258,549
Montana	17,319	92,647	20,077	3,368	3,534	11,369	148,314
Nebraska	30,377	0	29,631	20,757	9,359	552	90,676
Nevada	37,238	4,343	2,477	3,749	707	0	48,514
New Hampshire	21,892	3,597	8,594	1,904	8,987	13,219	58,193
New Jersey	112,822	80,360	29,775	72,408	39,931	0	335,296
New Mexico	120,350	29,431	11,755	36,041	17,258	412	215,247
New York	553,253	301,481	18,637	121,728	12,132	1,562	1,008,793
North Carolina	83,743	15,895	27,781	43,792	10,338	0	181,549
North Dakota	11,845	1,461	3,659	3,759	2,425	0	23,149
Ohio	283,744	527,671	52,465	191,829	27,174	99,166	1,182,049
Oklahoma	26,191	3,873	30,879	12,557	19,802	0	93,302
Oregon	23,545	26,145	75,759	4,342	14,162	10,288	154,241
Pennsylvania	181,847	21,557	20,761	57,668	42,229	30,314	354,376
Puerto Rico	7,432	17,396	1,822	8,206	4,828	649	40,333
Rhode Island	55,535	2,347	6,616	12,494	1,542	9,273	87,807
South Carolina	80,457	32,331	5,360	4,060	19,293	6,825	148,326
South Dakota	3,329	6,548	637	2,012	1,432	0	13,958
Tennessee	267,155	6,821	170,506	0	16,073	621,762	1,082,317
Texas	332,086	78,878	95,206	34,242	10,747	3,552	554,711
Utah	30,524	3,790	18,975	11,309	15,808	0	80,406
Vermont	18,303	5,917	7,970	1,090	7,138	18,019	58,437
Virgin Islands	61	360	427	489	556	39	1,932
Virginia	137,563	7,160	34,257	862	5,883	0	185,725
Washington	158,542	252,025	43,354	40,355	40,604	27,539	562,419
West Virginia	12,628	18,703	3,629	17,689	5,242	0	57,891
Wisconsin	14,610	106,233	34,026	1,278	63,486	0	219,633
Wyoming	257	1,141	289	0	40	0	1,727

Source: TANF Data Report

Table 3:15 (FY 2004)

Temporary Assistance for Needy Families
Average Hours of Participation in Work Activities, Including Waivers, For All Adults Participating in the Work Activity
Fiscal Year 2004

State	Average Monthly Number Of Hours Of Participation In Work Activity By Adults Participating In The Work Activity																
	Total Adults	Adults with Hours of Participation 1/	Unsubsidized Employment	Subsidized Private Employment	Subsidized Public Employment	Work Experience	On-the-Job Training	Job Search	Community Service	Vocational Education	Job Skills Training	Education Related to Employment	Satisfactory School Attendance	Providing Child Care	Additional Waiver Activities	Other	All Fourteen Activities
United States	1,164,873	494,761	25.6	29.0	28.3	22.9	22.1	16.8	21.6	25.1	15.8	14.2	22.1	26.5	21.4	17.5	27.6
Alabama	10,135	4,509	26.9	25.9	22.6	25.6	28.2	21.4	24.9	20.4	30.0	-	21.0	-	-	14.7	27.9
Alaska	4,391	2,413	29.3	-	29.7	16.6	35.1	16.5	17.4	18.4	-	-	19.1	-	-	13.2	29.6
Arizona	30,721	9,961	25.5	-	9.1	20.8	32.0	18.8	29.6	26.9	15.9	12.5	15.3	-	-	-	28.6
Arkansas	5,514	2,007	26.6	27.6	20.1	20.3	22.3	22.0	14.2	27.9	22.6	21.7	24.6	-	-	23.6	27.7
California	212,953	75,988	25.6	47.6	10.0	23.9	10.0	18.1	27.8	24.7	29.3	17.5	25.8	-	5.0	19.5	26.4
Colorado	10,596	4,701	24.6	20.0	16.4	20.0	-	20.9	15.2	28.4	15.5	15.8	16.4	4.8	-	-	26.1
Connecticut	12,182	4,704	23.5	25.8	20.0	-	40.0	17.0	17.2	25.1	24.4	14.7	21.2	-	-	25.8	27.6
Delaware	3,055	889	22.9	-	-	29.8	-	-	-	-	-	30.0	21.0	-	-	-	28.6
Dist. of Col.	11,079	2,476	30.3	-	-	22.7	-	18.3	-	21.8	10.0	23.5	-	-	4.1	-	28.8
Florida	22,765	9,303	25.1	21.1	16.9	23.0	-	22.3	19.0	28.9	14.8	12.2	29.2	36.0	13.0	-	29.9
Georgia	28,190	9,522	25.8	28.5	27.1	18.6	25.8	25.8	26.3	24.4	8.9	14.7	16.5	25.8	-	11.0	26.3
Guam	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.
Hawaii	6,714	2,611	26.1	-	-	20.9	29.3	18.5	12.7	14.3	17.6	13.8	19.5	-	-	-	32.4
Idaho	686	585	20.5	13.9	23.0	11.6	-	12.9	6.5	16.6	-	23.6	19.0	-	-	16.0	29.2
Illinois	15,854	11,092	27.8	-	-	29.6	-	20.0	25.1	28.4	23.9	18.4	31.4	-	-	19.7	27.4
Indiana	30,545	10,008	27.1	17.8	-	12.1	6.8	27.8	-	26.1	18.9	16.3	25.0	-	-	-	28.2
Iowa	13,747	8,133	38.9	54.2	-	15.4	-	7.0	12.5	29.9	-	-	9.5	-	-	20.8	39.0
Kansas	13,686	11,360	28.1	-	-	30.2	26.6	27.3	30.0	30.1	20.0	16.8	24.8	-	-	-	35.7
Kentucky	20,242	8,760	23.2	31.1	-	25.1	35.0	29.6	24.9	27.8	16.1	18.6	-	-	-	18.4	28.5
Louisiana	8,231	3,540	25.9	25.3	17.9	18.5	21.8	14.2	-	22.2	-	17.2	12.4	-	-	-	25.4
Maine	7,596	3,557	23.1	-	-	19.2	22.2	21.7	21.1	19.6	13.7	18.0	8.9	-	-	-	26.6
Maryland	15,506	4,207	25.4	36.0	15.7	24.2	30.0	14.1	22.1	16.2	8.0	17.3	22.5	-	-	-	22.8
Massachusetts	31,759	8,190	22.6	28.7	40.0	-	-	19.1	18.4	20.3	24.0	17.5	22.7	-	-	-	23.0
Michigan	57,907	17,665	24.5	-	29.8	12.2	-	19.9	-	20.9	10.3	4.0	19.4	-	-	16.1	25.8
Minnesota	25,489	13,126	19.3	-	-	21.1	-	16.6	18.9	25.2	18.4	18.0	29.6	20.7	-	18.9	26.3
Mississippi	10,970	3,132	22.9	-	-	19.2	-	15.8	17.0	22.1	19.5	16.4	30.7	-	-	15.0	22.1
Missouri	29,398	11,727	23.6	40.0	21.9	15.1	31.5	15.1	-	20.3	-	19.2	28.1	-	-	16.1	22.0
Montana	4,725	4,454	19.6	-	-	26.3	-	23.5	7.0	18.7	8.7	7.6	9.2	-	9.8	-	33.3
Nebraska	7,156	3,301	22.1	-	-	-	15.2	23.3	-	34.8	34.1	-	27.0	-	35.0	15.1	27.5
Nevada	4,297	2,143	30.0	-	12.0	2.8	13.0	4.4	14.7	20.9	17.4	11.0	14.7	-	-	-	22.6

(continued…)

Table 3:15 (FY 2004)
(continued)

Temporary Assistance for Needy Families
Average Hours of Participation in Work Activities, Including Waivers,
For All Adults Participating in the Work Activity
Fiscal Year 2004

State	Average Monthly Number Of Hours Of Participation In Work Activity By Adults Participating In The Work Activity																
	Total Adults	Adults with Hours of Participation 1/	Unsubsidized Employment	Subsidized Private Employment	Subsidized Public Employment	Work Experience	On-the-Job Training	Job Search	Community Service	Vocational Education	Job Skills Training	Education Related to Employment	Satisfactory School Attendance	Providing Child Care	Additional Waiver Activities	Other	All Fourteen Activities
New Hampshire	4,364	2,139	22.6	-	-	12.6	28.7	17.0	8.8	21.8	20.1	-	18.8	-	-	17.3	27.2
New Jersey	27,427	11,850	26.9	-	-	20.0	22.4	18.4	16.8	23.6	13.2	13.7	28.8	30.4	-	-	28.3
New Mexico	14,005	6,377	29.3	26.0	-	25.9	11.0	22.4	25.8	32.3	30.1	20.9	22.8	37.8	1.0	15.8	33.8
New York	93,169	33,881	29.0	37.9	37.4	23.4	20.0	13.3	30.2	33.1	8.0	19.6	22.9	35.0	-	5.5	29.8
North Carolina	17,178	6,690	25.9	14.0	29.5	17.4	17.5	20.9	-	22.8	12.7	20.0	20.0	-	-	-	27.1
North Dakota	2,397	1,008	21.6	13.7	-	14.8	20.3	12.2	10.2	29.2	13.1	17.0	18.1	-	-	-	23.0
Ohio	46,359	36,282	25.8	40.0	28.4	28.2	35.6	22.1	-	27.6	18.2	20.9	17.9	-	-	18.7	32.6
Oklahoma	7,778	3,988	28.4	22.0	36.3	21.9	25.6	19.7	-	26.7	25.4	20.2	24.4	-	-	-	23.4
Oregon	10,897	7,378	21.0	4.3	-	15.2	16.8	15.5	9.0	16.9	13.8	12.5	21.1	-	-	28.5	20.9
Pennsylvania	66,070	17,665	21.9	39.9	-	14.2	4.0	14.7	12.4	19.4	14.6	14.3	-	-	-	8.7	20.1
Puerto Rico	14,187	1,351	27.6	25.6	21.4	31.8	33.2	20.6	30.2	29.0	25.5	30.0	14.9	30.0	35.0	22.6	29.9
Rhode Island	9,647	3,444	23.7	33.3	-	25.7	-	20.0	-	23.3	-	23.2	25.6	-	-	23.5	25.5
South Carolina	8,472	5,109	26.9	14.7	-	21.6	16.2	12.2	19.7	23.6	28.1	10.0	24.9	30.2	-	26.5	29.0
South Dakota	977	620	20.4	-	-	-	32.0	9.5	17.7	26.8	14.0	11.8	22.1	-	-	-	22.5
Tennessee	54,633	33,465	24.6	-	-	15.6	20.0	10.1	25.6	-	-	-	33.2	-	22.4	18.9	32.3
Texas	48,387	20,124	26.7	21.2	14.0	17.0	-	16.2	17.4	18.5	2.2	6.9	22.7	-	7.6	-	27.6
Utah	6,544	3,282	24.1	-	-	19.3	20.7	17.1	-	20.3	15.4	12.3	16.1	-	-	-	24.5
Vermont	4,407	2,767	20.2	25.0	23.5	23.2	20.4	18.2	23.5	23.4	11.7	10.4	15.9	-	-	11.4	21.1
Virgin Islands	405	64	33.9	-	39.0	31.8	31.4	30.1	26.6	32.6	24.3	27.4	30.0	-	-	26.1	30.0
Virginia	9,634	6,596	28.1	16.5	-	17.4	18.5	15.6	-	18.6	17.7	10.4	15.3	-	-	-	28.2
Washington	40,778	24,648	18.1	-	24.8	-	18.0	13.4	15.5	21.0	14.9	18.3	18.6	20.3	-	18.2	22.8
West Virginia	9,352	2,393	26.2	-	28.3	21.9	30.0	16.6	19.2	28.5	-	-	15.2	-	-	-	24.2
Wisconsin	11,639	9,527	16.6	10.3	7.0	15.3	13.9	20.5	23.5	14.9	10.2	8.1	14.4	-	-	-	23.1
Wyoming	78	49	23.5	20.0	-	32.3	40.0	20.9	-	-	-	-	14.9	-	-	-	35.3

1/ Adults participating in more than one activity are included once in this total.
Source: TANF Data Report

Table 3:16 (FY 2004)

Temporary Assistance for Needy Families
Number of Families Required to Participate in the All Families Work Participation Rate
Fiscal Year 2004

State	Number Of Families Used In All-Families Rate	Number Of Participating Families In All-Families Rate	Average Monthly Number Of Families Required To Participate, But Not Participating					
			Number Of Non-Participating Families In All-Families Rate	Zero Hours Of Participation	One To Ten Hours Of Participation	Eleven To Twenty Hours Of Participation	Twenty-One To Thirty Hours Of Participation	Thirty-One Or More Hours Of Participation
United States	952,523	307,784	644,739	515,628	46,888	52,741	25,993	3,504
Alabama	7,893	2,990	4,903	3,869	390	409	170	65
Alaska	2,891	1,259	1,632	1,149	222	176	84	1
Arizona	25,929	6,610	19,319	16,589	1,443	901	363	23
Arkansas	4,752	1,316	3,436	2,838	157	230	200	12
California	190,245	44,091	146,154	118,655	9,436	10,564	6,307	1,192
Colorado	8,483	2,996	5,487	4,310	561	372	230	15
Connecticut	9,570	2,323	7,247	5,772	403	766	268	38
Delaware	2,933	647	2,286	2,059	70	136	22	-
Dist. Of Col.	9,245	1,683	7,562	6,807	189	336	214	16
Florida	17,081	7,125	9,956	8,273	536	556	432	159
Georgia	25,230	6,052	19,178	16,484	896	1,165	586	47
Guam	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.
Hawaii	3,468	2,453	1,016	874	54	71	17	-
Idaho	575	250	326	163	65	61	32	4
Illinois	10,552	5,181	5,371	4,365	375	350	253	28
Indiana	24,684	7,398	17,286	15,077	673	1,016	508	13
Iowa	11,589	5,798	5,791	4,510	543	523	201	15
Kansas	10,965	9,652	1,313	1,074	86	77	59	17
Kentucky	16,025	6,838	9,187	7,895	410	577	265	40
Louisiana	6,925	2,466	4,458	3,431	382	422	210	13
Maine	7,130	2,285	4,846	3,673	379	518	272	4
Maryland	14,230	2,306	11,924	10,073	1,014	578	237	23
Massachusetts	10,987	6,522	4,465	3,691	328	447	-	-
Michigan	44,334	10,794	33,540	28,925	1,243	2,313	1,058	1
Minnesota	21,360	5,749	15,611	11,212	1,967	1,824	567	43
Mississippi	7,939	1,672	6,267	4,993	489	619	154	13
Missouri	24,732	4,929	19,803	16,655	1,133	1,420	545	50
Montana	3,675	3,408	267	20	126	47	74	-
Nebraska	6,109	2,142	3,967	3,004	470	367	78	48
Nevada	3,664	1,239	2,426	1,605	561	180	78	2

(continued…)

Table 3:16 (FY 2004)
(continued)

Temporary Assistance for Needy Families
Number of Families Required to Participate in the All Families Work Participation Rate
Fiscal Year 2004

State	Number Of Families Used In All-Families Rate	Number Of Participating Families In All-Families Rate	Average Monthly Number Of Families Required To Participate, But Not Participating					
			Number Of Non-Participating Families In All-Families Rate	Zero Hours Of Participation	One To Ten Hours Of Participation	Eleven To Twenty Hours Of Participation	Twenty-One To Thirty Hours Of Participation	Thirty-One Or More Hours Of Participation
New Hampshire	3,391	1,024	2,367	1,756	227	245	118	20
New Jersey	24,720	8,566	16,154	13,233	1,219	1,114	463	125
New Mexico	10,474	4,842	5,632	4,758	252	306	212	105
New York	69,663	26,211	43,452	37,569	1,962	3,025	896	-
North Carolina	14,276	4,813	9,462	7,697	632	707	426	-
North Dakota	1,733	450	1,283	800	167	223	81	12
Ohio	39,843	26,000	13,843	9,319	1,425	1,832	1,166	102
Oklahoma	6,122	2,031	4,091	2,442	611	490	323	226
Oregon	8,474	2,733	5,741	2,502	1,652	1,110	447	30
Pennsylvania	57,384	4,294	53,089	42,741	3,628	3,952	2,473	295
Puerto Rico	13,650	1,035	12,615	12,331	46	126	106	7
Rhode Island	7,939	1,889	6,051	4,974	312	536	221	8
South Carolina	7,136	3,817	3,319	2,428	289	452	150	-
South Dakota	749	412	336	181	61	68	25	1
Tennessee	42,340	21,412	20,929	14,037	1,518	3,307	1,728	339
Texas	45,934	15,684	30,250	25,946	1,951	1,603	751	-
Utah	6,049	1,599	4,450	2,822	623	587	296	122
Vermont	3,356	834	2,522	1,797	339	284	94	9
Virgin Islands	399	42	356	336	2	6	12	1
Virginia	9,430	4,728	4,701	2,870	572	784	434	41
Washington	29,688	10,514	19,174	10,461	3,412	3,584	1,565	152
West Virginia	7,325	999	6,326	5,248	401	401	273	3
Wisconsin	9,202	5,641	3,561	1,329	983	977	248	24
Wyoming	51	40	11	6	3	1	1	-

Source: TANF Data Report

Table 3:17 (FY 2004)

Separate State Programs
SSP-MOE Work Participation Rates
Fiscal Year 2004

State	All-Family		Two-Parent	
	Absent Waiver	With Waiver	Absent Waiver	With Waiver
United States	32.7%	33.4%	31.0%	31.7%
Alabama	33.0%		27.2%	
Alaska				
Arizona				
Arkansas				
California	34.3%		32.1%	
Colorado				
Connecticut	16.2%		0.0%	
Delaware	23.7%		19.7%	
Dist. of Col.	0.3%			
Florida	48.3%		35.0%	
Georgia	27.2%		19.1%	
Guam				
Hawaii	38.9%	69.2%	26.2%	41.2%
Idaho				
Illinois	16.6%		46.1%	
Indiana	44.8%		26.8%	
Iowa	30.0%		42.6%	
Kansas				
Kentucky				
Louisiana				
Maine	24.7%		32.6%	
Maryland	6.1%		12.2%	
Massachusetts	26.0%	41.7%	37.2%	37.7%
Michigan				
Minnesota	31.3%		27.4%	
Mississippi				
Missouri	12.3%		19.8%	
Montana				
Nebraska	23.4%		27.8%	
Nevada	31.7%		29.6%	
New Hampshire	36.8%		35.5%	
New Jersey	33.1%		29.3%	
New Mexico				
New York	49.1%		58.4%	
North Carolina				
North Dakota				
Ohio				
Oklahoma				
Oregon				
Pennsylvania				
Puerto Rico				
Rhode Island	13.0%		4.0%	
South Carolina	5.9%		25.1%	
South Dakota				
Tennessee	14.3%	45.4%	13.0%	47.7%
Texas	42.1%		50.6%	
Utah	46.8%		44.5%	
Vermont	4.8%		0.9%	
Virgin Islands				
Virginia	6.7%		30.8%	
Washington	16.6%		10.6%	
West Virginia	20.5%		18.9%	
Wisconsin	46.5%		28.4%	
Wyoming	0.0%			

1/ SSP provides additional assistance to TANF recipients. Work activities posted in TANF Program.
Source: TANF Data Report

Table 3:2 (FY 2005)

Temporary Assistance for Needy Families
TANF Work Participation Rates, With and Without Waivers
Fiscal Year 2005

State	All-Families				Two-Parent Families			
	Absent Waiver	With Waiver	Adjusted Standard[1]	Met Target	Absent Waiver	With Waiver	Adjusted Standard[1]	Met Target
United States	30.3%	33.0%			40.8%	42.6%		
Alabama	38.6%		0.0%	YES	1/			NA
Alaska	45.7%		4.8%	YES	54.7%		31.1%	YES
Arizona	30.3%		24.0%	YES	74.2%		64.0%	YES
Arkansas	28.3%		3.9%	YES	45.9%		43.9%	YES
California	25.9%		4.5%	YES	1/			NA
Colorado	25.8%		0.0%	YES	32.1%		30.8%	YES
Connecticut	33.8%		23.4%	YES	1/			NA
Delaware	22.6%		17.6%	YES	1/			NA
Dist. of Col.	23.5%		15.3%	YES	35.9%		55.3%	NO
Florida	38.0%		0.0%	YES	1/			NA
Georgia	57.2%		5.9%	YES	1/			NA
Guam	0.0%		50.0%	NO	0.0%		90.0%	NO
Hawaii	35.5%		12.1%	YES	1/			NA
Idaho	39.9%		27.9%	YES	41.4%		22.7%	YES
Illinois	43.0%		0.0%	YES	1/			NA
Indiana	30.9%		33.4%	NO	1/			NA
Iowa	47.8%		11.0%	YES	1/			NA
Kansas	86.7%		38.8%	YES	92.8%		78.8%	YES
Kentucky	39.7%		10.1%	YES	48.9%		13.0%	YES
Louisiana	34.6%		0.0%	YES	37.0%		26.8%	YES
Maine	28.3%		1.1%	YES	1/			NA
Maryland	20.5%		6.5%	YES	1/			NA
Massachusetts	12.6%	59.9%	8.4%	YES	13.5%	58.2%	48.4%	YES
Michigan	22.0%		0.0%	YES	30.4%		7.6%	YES
Minnesota	28.9%		18.8%	YES	1/			NA
Mississippi	22.6%		5.4%	YES	1/			NA
Missouri	20.0%		4.5%	YES	1/			NA
Montana	83.1%		13.2%	YES	85.4%		53.2%	YES
Nebraska	31.8%		28.6%	YES	1/			NA
Nevada	42.3%		10.3%	YES	1/			NA
New Hampshire	24.6%		7.4%	YES	1/			NA
New Jersey	29.0%		0.0%	YES	1/			NA
New Mexico	41.6%		12.0%	YES	57.5%		52.0%	YES
New York	35.2%		0.0%	YES	43.4%		6.7%	YES
North Carolina	27.5%		0.0%	YES	44.7%		31.4%	YES
North Dakota	31.4%		8.8%	YES	1/			NA
Ohio	58.3%		15.7%	YES	58.1%		43.3%	YES
Oklahoma	34.0%		0.0%	YES	1/			NA
Oregon	14.9%		0.0%	YES	21.1%		3.6%	YES
Pennsylvania	15.2%		0.0%	YES	17.7%		15.6%	YES
Puerto Rico	13.1%		0.0%	YES	1/			NA
Rhode Island	24.2%		13.1%	YES	95.1%		53.1%	YES
South Carolina	54.3%		3.0%	YES	63.7%		43.0%	YES
South Dakota	57.5%		10.9%	YES	1/			NA
Tennessee	14.3%	52.1%	19.6%	YES	1/			NA
Texas	38.9%		0.0%	YES	1/			NA
Utah	30.3%		17.8%	YES	1/			NA
Vermont	22.4%		5.5%	YES	35.8%		34.9%	YES
Virgin Islands	16.9%		0.0%	YES	1/			NA
Virginia	46.3%		0.1%	YES	1/			NA
Washington	38.6%		6.9%	YES	37.7%		26.8%	YES
West Virginia	16.3%		0.0%	YES	1/			NA
Wisconsin	44.3%		1.3%	YES	25.5%		21.1%	YES
Wyoming	82.1%		0.0%	YES	65.2%		1.2%	YES

[1]The work participation rate standard before application of the caseload reduction credit is 50% for the all families rate and 90% for the two-parent families rate.

N/A - State has no two-parent families in its TANF program.
Source: TANF Data Report

Table 3:3 (FY 2005)

Temporary Assistance for Needy Families
Changes in TANF Work Participation Rates
From FY 2004 to FY 2005

State	All-Families				Two-Parent Families			
	Fy 2004 Rate	Fy 2005 Rate	Difference	Percent Change	Fy 2004 Rate	Fy 2005 Rate	Difference	Percent Change
United States	32.0%	33.0%	1.0%	3.1%	47.4%	42.6%	-4.8%	-10.1%
Alabama	37.9%	38.6%	0.7%	1.8%	1/	1/		
Alaska	43.6%	45.7%	2.1%	4.8%	52.8%	54.7%	1.9%	3.6%
Arizona	25.5%	30.3%	4.8%	18.8%	65.6%	74.2%	8.6%	13.1%
Arkansas	27.3%	28.3%	1.0%	3.7%	34.4%	45.9%	11.5%	33.4%
California	23.1%	25.9%	2.8%	12.1%	1/	1/		
Colorado	34.7%	25.8%	-8.9%	-25.6%	37.5%	32.1%	-5.4%	-14.4%
Connecticut	24.3%	33.8%	9.5%	39.1%	1/	1/		
Delaware	22.1%	22.6%	0.5%	2.3%	1/	1/		
Dist. of Col.	18.2%	23.5%	5.3%	29.1%	20.1%	35.9%	15.8%	78.6%
Florida	40.4%	38.0%	-2.4%	-5.9%	1/	1/		
Georgia	24.8%	57.2%	32.4%	130.6%	1/	1/		
Guam	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%		0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	
Hawaii	70.5%	35.5%	-35.0%	-49.6%	1/	1/		
Idaho	41.0%	39.9%	-1.1%	-2.7%	37.1%	41.4%	4.3%	11.6%
Illinois	46.1%	43.0%	-3.1%	-6.7%	1/	1/		
Indiana	30.0%	30.9%	0.9%	3.0%	1/	1/		
Iowa	50.0%	47.8%	-2.2%	-4.4%	1/	1/		
Kansas	88.0%	86.7%	-1.3%	-1.5%	93.7%	92.8%	-0.9%	-1.0%
Kentucky	38.1%	39.7%	1.6%	4.2%	51.2%	48.9%	-2.3%	-4.5%
Louisiana	35.4%	34.6%	-0.8%	-2.3%	38.0%	37.0%	-1.0%	-2.6%
Maine	32.1%	28.3%	-3.8%	-11.8%	1/	1/		
Maryland	16.0%	20.5%	4.5%	28.1%	1/	1/		
Massachusetts	60.0%	59.9%	-0.1%	-0.2%	65.4%	58.2%	-7.2%	-11.0%
Michigan	24.5%	22.0%	-2.5%	-10.2%	35.7%	30.4%	-5.3%	-14.8%
Minnesota	26.8%	28.9%	2.1%	7.8%	1/	1/		
Mississippi	21.0%	22.6%	1.6%	7.6%	1/	1/		
Missouri	19.5%	20.0%	0.5%	2.6%	1/	1/		
Montana	92.7%	83.1%	-9.6%	-10.4%	95.7%	85.4%	-10.3%	-10.8%
Nebraska	34.5%	31.8%	-2.7%	-7.8%	1/	1/		
Nevada	34.5%	42.3%	7.8%	22.6%	1/	1/		
New Hampshire	30.2%	24.6%	-5.6%	-18.5%	1/	1/		
New Jersey	34.6%	29.0%	-5.6%	-16.2%	1/	1/		
New Mexico	46.2%	41.6%	-4.6%	-10.0%	55.3%	57.5%	2.2%	4.0%
New York	37.8%	35.2%	-2.6%	-6.9%	48.3%	43.4%	-4.9%	-10.1%
North Carolina	31.4%	27.5%	-3.9%	-12.4%	47.2%	44.7%	-2.5%	-5.3%
North Dakota	25.3%	31.4%	6.1%	24.1%	1/	1/		
Ohio	65.2%	58.3%	-6.9%	-10.6%	68.4%	58.1%	-10.3%	-15.1%
Oklahoma	33.2%	34.0%	0.8%	2.4%	1/	1/		
Oregon	32.1%	14.9%	-17.2%	-53.6%	35.5%	21.1%	-14.4%	-40.6%
Pennsylvania	7.1%	15.2%	8.1%	114.1%	15.0%	17.7%	2.7%	18.0%
Puerto Rico	7.5%	13.1%	5.6%	74.7%	1/	1/		
Rhode Island	23.7%	24.2%	0.5%	2.1%	94.9%	95.1%	0.2%	0.2%
South Carolina	53.7%	54.3%	0.6%	1.1%	55.9%	63.7%	7.8%	14.0%
South Dakota	54.8%	57.5%	2.7%	4.9%	1/	1/		
Tennessee	50.6%	52.1%	1.5%	3.0%	1/	1/		
Texas	34.2%	38.9%	4.7%	13.7%	1/	1/		
Utah	26.2%	30.3%	4.1%	15.6%	1/	1/		
Vermont	24.9%	22.4%	-2.5%	-10.0%	38.2%	35.8%	-2.4%	-6.3%
Virgin Islands	10.6%	16.9%	6.3%	59.4%	1/	1/		
Virginia	50.1%	46.3%	-3.8%	-7.6%	1/	1/		
Washington	35.4%	38.6%	3.2%	9.0%	31.1%	37.7%	6.6%	21.2%
West Virginia	11.7%	16.3%	4.6%	39.3%	1/	1/		
Wisconsin	61.3%	44.3%	-17.0%	-27.7%	33.1%	25.5%	-7.6%	-23.0%
Wyoming	77.8%	82.1%	4.3%	5.5%	87.5%	65.2%	-22.3%	-25.5%

1/ State has no two-parent families in its TANF program.
Source: TANF Data Report

Table 3:4 (FY 2005)

Temporary Assistance for Needy Families
Caseload Reduction Credit
All Families and Two-Parent Families
Fiscal Year 2005

	All-Families						Two-Parent Families					
State	FY 1995	FY 2004	Adjustments	Adjusted FY 2004	Percent Change	Adjusted Standard	FY 1995	FY 2004	Adjustments	Adjusted FY 2004	Percent Change	Adjusted Standard
United States	4,907,131	2,101,015	286,313	2,387,328	-51.3%		340,985	29,889	7,415	37,304	-89.1%	
Alabama	46,030	19,369	-	19,369	-57.9%	0.0%	137	0	-	-	-100.0%	2/
Alaska	10,246	4,926	685	5,611	-45.2%	4.8%	1,284	528	-	528	-58.9%	31.1%
Arizona	71,142	49,559	3,112	52,671	-26.0%	24.0%	1,166	549	1/		-26.0%	64.0%
Arkansas	24,296	10,023	3,061	13,084	-46.1%	3.9%	279	257	1/		-46.1%	43.9%
California	919,471	500,816	-	500,816	-45.5%	4.5%	164,268	-		-	-100.0%	2/
Colorado	38,557	14,623	1,121	15,744	-59.2%	0.0%	750	846	1/		-59.2%	30.8%
Connecticut	60,985	24,928	19,847	44,775	-26.6%	23.4%	3,027	-	1/		-26.6%	1
Delaware	10,775	5,162	2,124	7,286	-32.4%	17.6%	78	-		-	-100.0%	2/
Dist. of Col.	26,789	17,489	-	17,489	-34.7%	15.3%	198	135	1/		-34.7%	55.3%
Florida	229,391	59,252	6,846	66,098	-71.2%	0.0%	3,615	-		-	-100.0%	2/
Georgia	139,135	53,739	24,076	77,815	-44.1%	5.9%	562	-		-	-100.0%	2/
Guam	2,099	-	3/		0.0%	50.0%	189	-	3/		0.0%	90.0%
Hawaii	21,674	12,247	1,216	13,463	-37.9%	12.1%	1,484	-		-	-100.0%	2/
Idaho	8,872	1,848	5,064	6,912	-22.1%	27.9%	606	62	136	198	-67.3%	22.7%
Illinois	236,205	36,287	1,010	37,297	-84.2%	0.0%	11,252	0		0	-100.0%	2/
Indiana	65,618	53,755	941	54,696	-16.6%	33.4%	2,217	-		-	-100.0%	2/
Iowa	36,483	20,215	2,056	22,271	-39.0%	11.0%	3,434	0		0	-100.0%	2/
Kansas	28,232	16,747	8,327	25,074	-11.2%	38.8%	1,667	976	1/		-11.2%	78.8%
Kentucky	75,384	35,629	9,660	45,289	-39.9%	10.1%	4,019	798	126	924	-77.0%	13.0%
Louisiana	79,825	18,777	10,627	29,404	-63.2%	0.0%	706	198	1/		-63.2%	26.8%
Maine	21,694	11,096	-	11,096	-48.9%	1.1%	1,904	0		0	-100.0%	2/
Maryland	81,185	28,388	17,517	45,905	-43.5%	6.5%	678	-	-	-	-100.0%	2/
Massachusetts	100,852	49,868	8,996	58,864	-41.6%	8.4%	3,433	1,626	1/		-41.6%	48.4%
Michigan	201,696	79,432	5,283	84,715	-58.0%	0.0%	23,088	3,712	342	4,054	-82.4%	7.6%
Minnesota	61,339	38,950	3,234	42,184	-31.2%	18.8%	4,789	0	0	-	-100.0%	2/
Mississippi	52,528	18,795	10,308	29,103	-44.6%	5.4%	42	0	0	-	-100.0%	2/
Missouri	89,299	47,750	874	48,624	-45.5%	4.5%	2,365	0	-	0	-100.0%	2/
Montana	11,205	5,256	1,829	7,085	-36.8%	13.2%	977	813	1/		-36.8%	53.2%
Nebraska	15,293	12,027	-	12,027	-21.4%	28.6%	802	-	-	-	-100.0%	2/
Nevada	15,708	9,470	-	9,470	-39.7%	10.3%	393	0	0	-	-100.0%	2/

(continued…)

Table 3:4 (FY 2005)
(continued)

Temporary Assistance for Needy Families
Caseload Reduction Credit
All Families and Two-Parent Families
Fiscal Year 2005

State	All-Families						Two-Parent Families					
	FY 1995	FY 2004	Adjustments	Adjusted FY 2004	Percent Change	Adjusted Standard	FY 1995	FY 2004	Adjustments	Adjusted FY 2004	Percent Change	Adjusted Standard
New Hampshire	10,800	6,194	-	6,194	-42.6%	7.4%	278	0	-	0	-100.0%	-10.0%
New Jersey	111,734	46,620	2,564	49,184	-56.0%	0.0%	3,739	-		-	-100.0%	2/
New Mexico	31,639	17,590	2,024	19,614	-38.0%	12.0%	1,430	779	1/		-38.0%	52.0%
New York	499,415	147,050	1,646	148,696	-70.2%	0.0%	27,522	4,514	85.00	4,599	-83.3%	6.7%
North Carolina	125,503	37,651	14,290	51,941	-58.6%	0.0%	2,696	366	1/		-58.6%	31.4%
North Dakota	5,215	3,064	-	3,064	-41.2%	8.8%	136	-		-	-100.0%	2/
Ohio	228,171	84,574	65,237	149,811	-34.3%	15.7%	16,551	3,406	5,415.00	8,821	-46.7%	43.3%
Oklahoma	44,790	14,199	4,020	18,219	-59.3%	0.0%	421	0		-	-100.0%	2/
Oregon	38,988	18,525	159	18,684	-52.1%	0.0%	3,019	410	0	410.00	-86.4%	3.6%
Pennsylvania	204,771	88,128	-	88,128	-57.0%	0.0%	8,263	2,112	-	2,112	-74.4%	15.6%
Puerto Rico	54,799	17,493	49	17,542	-68.0%	0.0%	-	-				2/
Rhode Island	22,194	13,995	-	13,995	-36.9%	13.1%	618	321	1/		-36.9%	53.1%
South Carolina	48,981	19,265	6,671	25,936	-47.0%	3.0%	691	924	1/		-47.0%	43.0%
South Dakota	6,092	2,745	966	3,711	-39.1%	10.9%	-	-				2/
Tennessee	104,009	72,439	-	72,439	-30.4%	19.6%	1,959	-		-	-100.0%	2/
Texas	274,606	109,377	23,711	133,088	-51.5%	0.0%	6,820	0		-	-100.0%	2/
Utah	16,648	9,081	2,199	11,280	-32.2%	17.8%	88	-		-	-100.0%	2/
Vermont	9,638	5,255	93	5,348	-44.5%	5.5%	1,393	626	0	626	-55.1%	34.9%
Virgin Islands	1,308	538	41	579	-55.7%	0.0%	-	-				2/
Virginia	72,147	35,816	365	36,181	-49.9%	0.1%	-	-				2/
Washington	98,189	55,858	-	55,858	-43.1%	6.9%	14,868	5,475	-	5,475	-63.2%	26.8%
West Virginia	38,404	15,912	-	15,912	-58.6%	0.0%	5,350	0		-	-100.0%	2/
Wisconsin	72,366	22,867	14,292	37,159	-48.7%	1.3%	5,667	452	1,311	1,763.00	-68.9%	21.1%
Wyoming	4,716	356	172	528	-88.8%	0.0%	67	4	1/		-88.8%	1.2%

1/ State has opted to use overall caseload reduction credit for two-parent rate.
2/ State does not have a two-parent TANF program.
3/ Did not submit a caseload reduction report.
Source: TANF Data Report

Table 3:5 (FY 2005)

Temporary Assistance for Needy Families
Status of TANF Families as Related to All Families Work Participation Rates
Fiscal Year 2005

State	Average Monthly Number Of Families									
						Disregarded From Participation				
	TANF Families	No Adult in Assistance Unit	Families Listed in Error	Families Used in All-Family Rate	Participating Families in All-Family Rate	Single Custodial Parent with Child Under One	Subjected to a Sanction	Part of an Ongoing Research Evaluation	Inconsistency Under an Approved Welfare Reform Waiver	Participation in a Tribal Work Program
United States	1,918,466	863,102	8,536	885,730	296,764	112,129	23,131	-	23,351	2,482
Alabama	20,040	9,215	-	8,383	3,235	1,613	829	-	-	-
Alaska	4,381	1,120	-	2,549	1,165	220	79	-	-	413
Arizona	43,738	18,815	-	21,993	6,645	1,783	258	-	-	888
Arkansas	8,642	4,428	157	2,967	913	721	368	-	-	-
California	463,569	261,106	-	179,908	47,037	22,555	-	-	-	-
Colorado	15,268	4,989	-	9,067	2,341	1,113	99	-	-	-
Connecticut	19,828	8,149	-	9,262	3,154	2,208	209	-	-	-
Delaware	5,606	2,581	-	2,896	654	80	48	-	-	-
Dist. of Col.	16,873	6,374	578	8,323	1,937	1,599	-	-	-	-
Florida	59,853	38,888	416	15,163	6,082	3,044	2,343	-	-	-
Georgia	41,747	25,395	-	13,142	7,303	2,895	315	-	-	-
Guam	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.
Hawaii	7,997	2,247	-	4,553	1,616	1,197	-	-	-	-
Idaho	1,860	1,337	-	476	211	46	-	-	-	-
Illinois	38,391	17,847	2,266	12,127	5,366	5,762	388	-	-	-
Indiana	44,042	18,160	-	21,203	6,559	3,987	691	-	-	-
Iowa	17,707	5,203	-	10,955	5,243	1,305	244	-	-	-
Kansas	17,622	4,705	-	11,732	10,168	1,183	-	-	-	1
Kentucky	34,701	16,814	-	14,962	6,827	1,835	1,091	-	-	-
Louisiana	16,103	10,229	-	5,111	2,024	764	-	-	-	-
Maine	9,543	2,478	-	6,800	1,927	126	123	-	-	16
Maryland	23,097	9,690	-	12,235	2,544	1,010	161	-	-	-
Massachusetts	48,824	18,313	256	11,061	6,624	1,960	-	-	17,234	-
Michigan	80,590	27,541	-	44,638	9,864	8,410	-	-	-	-
Minnesota	28,996	9,087	-	15,645	4,673	2,611	796	-	-	857
Mississippi	16,071	6,410	-	6,736	1,381	2,914	-	-	-	11
Missouri	40,061	11,462	-	24,095	5,943	3,653	851	-	-	-
Montana	4,614	1,369	-	3,102	2,581	-	144	-	-	-
Nebraska	9,313	2,602	-	6,233	2,182	477	-	-	-	-
Nevada	6,788	3,696	53	2,516	1,054	522	-	-	-	-
New Hampshire	6,144	1,950	-	3,407	839	581	206	-	-	-
New Jersey	43,071	14,798	-	25,427	7,460	2,846	-	-	-	-
New Mexico	17,566	5,518	165	9,694	4,061	1,570	620	-	-	-
New York	141,522	59,338	-	70,344	24,814	4,051	7,790	-	-	-
North Carolina	33,773	18,791	1,017	11,846	3,734	1,968	146	-	-	4
North Dakota	2,877	701	27	1,585	511	273	-	-	-	292
Ohio	82,597	42,825	619	36,189	21,117	2,931	33	-	-	-
Oklahoma	12,073	6,503	4	4,427	1,506	1,139	-	-	-	-
Oregon	19,488	8,783	-	8,492	1,267	1,952	261	-	-	-
Pennsylvania	96,635	28,314	-	65,832	10,003	2,489	-	-	-	-
Puerto Rico	15,147	3,088	747	10,591	1,411	721	-	-	-	-
Rhode Island	10,718	2,872	-	6,564	1,589	1,023	259	-	-	-
South Carolina	15,854	8,105	117	6,692	3,643	847	92	-	-	-
South Dakota	2,771	1,768	2	785	449	210	5	-	-	-
Tennessee	70,572	18,156	-	39,259	20,449	6,036	1,005	-	6,117	-
Texas	88,466	48,695	2,108	35,275	13,667	2,295	93	-	-	-
Utah	9,030	3,008	-	5,845	1,818	-	178	-	-	-
Vermont	4,570	1,026	-	3,047	683	344	154	-	-	-
Virgin Islands	476	130	4	341	59	-	-	-	-	-
Virginia	9,916	-	-	9,916	4,588	-	-	-	-	-
Washington	56,823	21,435	-	30,219	11,663	3,445	1,723	-	-	-
West Virginia	12,003	5,314	-	5,296	1,031	1,153	240	-	-	-
Wisconsin	20,199	11,487	-	6,779	3,112	652	1,281	-	-	-
Wyoming	310	247	-	45	37	10	8	-	-	-

Source: TANF Data Report

Table 3:6 (FY 2005)

Temporary Assistance for Needy Families
Status of Two-Parent Families as Related to Two-Parent Families Work Participation Rates
Fiscal Year 2005

State	Average Monthly Number Of Two-Parent Families								
						Disregarded From Two-Parent Rate			
	Two-Parent Families	Two-Parent Families with a Disabled Parent	Two-Parent Families with a Non-custodial Parent 1/	Two-Parent Families Used in Two-Parent Families Rate	Participating Families in Two-Parent Families Rate	Subjected to a Sanction	Part of an Ongoing Research Evaluation	Inconsistency Under Approved Welfare Reform Waiver	Participation in a Tribal Work Program
United States	33,250	4,741	-	26,063	11,006	1,203	-	1,086	152
Alabama	48	48	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Alaska	596	111	-	377	207	13	-	-	95
Arizona	439	7	-	375	278	-	-	-	57
Arkansas	122	40	-	72	35	9	-	-	-
California	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Colorado	1,061	230	-	827	263	3	-	-	-
Connecticut	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Delaware	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Dist. of Col.	104	5	-	99	26	-	-	-	-
Florida	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Georgia	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Guam	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.
Hawaii	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Idaho	40	-	-	40	17	-	-	-	-
Illinois	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Indiana	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Iowa	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Kansas	1,283	1	-	1,281	1,189	-	-	-	-
Kentucky	777	13	-	746	406	17	-	-	-
Louisiana	112	33	-	79	30	-	-	-	-
Maine	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Maryland	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Massachusetts	1,470	-	-	384	214	-	-	1,086	-
Michigan	2,233	-	-	2,233	671	-	-	-	-
Minnesota	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Mississippi	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Missouri	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Montana	629	7	-	598	512	24	-	-	-
Nebraska	1,461	-	-	1,461	-	-	-	-	-
Nevada	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
New Hampshire	149	149	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
New Jersey	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
New Mexico	1,023	-	-	957	551	66	-	-	-
New York	5,559	1,073	-	3,898	1,681	588	-	-	-
North Carolina	321	162	-	157	78	2	-	-	-
North Dakota	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Ohio	3,512	88	-	3,424	1,985	-	-	-	-
Oklahoma	59	59	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Oregon	717	265	-	425	89	27	-	-	-
Pennsylvania	4,960	1,803	-	3,156	556	-	-	-	-
Puerto Rico	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Rhode Island	306	146	-	159	151	2	-	-	-
South Carolina	379	18	-	355	225	6	-	-	-
South Dakota	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Tennessee	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Texas	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Utah	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Vermont	522	133	-	357	127	31	-	-	-
Virgin Islands	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Virginia	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Washington	5,129	342	-	4,429	1,667	358	-	-	-
West Virginia	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Wisconsin	236	8	-	172	47	56	-	-	-
Wyoming	3	-	-	2	1	1	-	-	-

1/ Not used in two-parent rates.

Source: TANF Data Report

Table 3:7 (FY 2005)

Temporary Assistance for Needy Families
Average Monthly Number of Adults Participating in Work Activities For a Sufficient Number of Hours for the Family to Count as Meeting the ALL-FAMILY Work Requirements
Fiscal Year 2005

State	Average Monthly Number Of Persons Engaged In Work By Work Activity For Families Counted As Participating In The All-Family Work Rates																
	Total Families	Families in All-Family Rate	Participating Families	Unsubsidized Employment	Subsidized Private Employment	Subsidized Public Employment	Work Experience	On-the-Job Training	Job Search	Community Service	Vocational Education	Job Skills Training	Education Related to Employment	Satisfactory School Attendance	Providing Child Care	Additional Waiver Activities	Other
United States	1,918,466	885,730	296,764	162,834	878	3,019	39,399	1,365	47,521	31,160	46,620	4,423	4,527	9,978	420	16,214	6,525
Alabama	20,040	8,383	3,235	2,211	41	131	105	1	744	27	407	-	-	200	-	-	26
Alaska	4,381	2,549	1,165	871	-	10	-	3	220	170	243	-	-	33	-	-	91
Arizona	43,738	21,993	6,645	3,844	-	-	874	14	2,220	36	1,309	26	15	170	-	-	-
Arkansas	8,642	2,967	913	343	-	12	113	42	231	6	266	6	8	14	-	-	5
California	463,569	179,908	47,037	36,864	-	178	1,364	494	4,608	321	7,670	399	362	1,377	-	-	-
Colorado	15,268	9,067	2,341	1,133	50	-	112	-	446	407	481	72	18	187	-	-	-
Connecticut	19,828	9,262	3,154	2,432	49	41	31	-	258	2	752	8	135	67	2	-	533
Delaware	5,606	2,896	654	424	-	-	319	-	3	-	-	1	2	36	-	-	-
Dist. of Col.	16,873	8,323	1,937	1,695	-	-	38	9	233	-	82	-	-	-	-	-	-
Florida	59,853	15,163	6,082	2,717	18	-	655	-	1,369	857	1,601	17	145	437	-	-	-
Georgia	41,747	13,142	7,303	2,414	30	27	1,590	223	1,117	649	2,036	243	7	234	352	-	147
Guam	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.
Hawaii	7,997	4,553	1,616	1,280	-	-	259	-	483	4	353	12	15	11	-	-	-
Idaho	1,860	476	211	127	1	1	16	-	89	4	103	-	-	2	-	-	75
Illinois	38,391	12,127	5,366	2,654	-	-	1,238	-	162	133	1,337	14	313	44	-	-	1,030
Indiana	44,042	21,203	6,559	5,104	42	-	78	9	1,249	-	228	56	159	367	-	-	-
Iowa	17,707	10,955	5,243	4,581	7	-	34	-	217	7	997	-	-	342	-	-	562
Kansas	17,622	11,732	10,168	2,010	-	-	862	2	660	8,144	169	26	11	273	-	-	-
Kentucky	34,701	14,962	6,827	3,224	33	-	327	13	438	844	2,598	192	109	296	-	-	73
Louisiana	16,103	5,111	2,024	1,070	2	7	225	10	178	-	693	-	27	198	-	-	-
Maine	9,543	6,800	1,927	1,303	-	-	422	1	154	135	232	218	1	115	-	-	-
Maryland	23,097	12,235	2,544	752	8	100	282	-	537	733	547	6	8	256	-	-	-
Massachusetts	48,824	11,061	6,624	3,134	81	56	-	-	790	950	274	1,031	220	621	25	-	-
Michigan	80,590	44,638	9,864	8,079	-	7	115	63	2,481	-	437	-	1	120	-	-	-
Minnesota	28,996	15,645	4,673	2,741	-	-	127	-	915	270	249	42	38	1,362	-	-	675
Mississippi	16,071	6,736	1,381	884	-	-	134	-	157	91	168	-	23	53	-	-	-
Missouri	40,061	24,095	5,943	3,227	60	83	101	15	456	-	2,541	-	270	48	-	-	478
Montana	4,614	3,102	2,581	564	-	-	2,581	-	249	52	127	78	222	61	-	-	-
Nebraska	9,313	6,233	2,182	1,137	-	-	13	2	633	9	543	-	-	34	-	-	-
Nevada	6,788	2,516	1,054	905	-	4	662	-	90	48	72	-	1	6	-	-	-

(continued…)

Table 3:7 (FY 2005)
(continued)

Temporary Assistance for Needy Families
Average Monthly Number of Adults Participating in Work Activities For a Sufficient Number of Hours for the Family to Count as Meeting the ALL-FAMILY Work Requirements
Fiscal Year 2005

State	Average Monthly Number Of Persons Engaged In Work By Work Activity For Families Counted As Participating In The All-Family Work Rates																
	Total Families	Families in All-Family Rate	Participating Families	Unsubsidized Employment	Subsidized Private Employment	Subsidized Public Employment	Work Experience	On-the-Job Training	Job Search	Community Service	Vocational Education	Job Skills Training	Education Related to Employment	Satisfactory School Attendance	Providing Child Care	Additional Waiver Activities	Other
New Hampshire	6,144	3,407	839	622	-	-	87	3	179	65	50	71	1	58	-	-	95
New Jersey	43,071	25,427	7,460	3,022	-	-	2,730	15	693	40	2,283	262	796	23	2	-	-
New Mexico	17,566	9,694	4,061	2,445	-	-	344	8	471	556	949	23	64	55	8	-	-
New York	141,522	70,344	24,814	12,748	-	1,171	3,053	66	353	5,808	2,829	8	81	-	-	-	13
North Carolina	33,773	11,846	3,734	1,959	1	1	383	1	692	-	1,128	48	83	167	-	-	-
North Dakota	2,877	1,585	511	267	1	-	144	1	91	1	78	6	11	20	-	-	-
Ohio	82,597	36,189	21,117	7,582	-	49	11,184	61	1,606	-	5,610	181	27	470	-	-	1,169
Oklahoma	12,073	4,427	1,506	546	21	1	87	1	514	-	303	-	5	27	-	-	-
Oregon	19,488	8,492	1,267	480	-	-	436	6	210	-	108	43	71	166	-	-	87
Pennsylvania	96,635	65,832	10,003	3,420	5	-	4,477	-	197	43	2,153	90	56	-	-	-	117
Puerto Rico	15,147	10,591	1,411	131	100	17	132	186	123	461	354	-	1	10	-	-	-
Rhode Island	10,718	6,564	1,589	1,135	2	-	71	-	164	-	342	-	5	7	-	-	44
South Carolina	15,854	6,692	3,643	2,482	3	3	147	3	284	813	143	227	3	271	17	-	25
South Dakota	2,771	785	449	106	1	-	-	26	23	297	56	-	16	7	-	-	-
Tennessee	70,572	39,259	20,449	8,411	-	-	315	13	12,580	28	-	-	-	61	-	16,063	841
Texas	88,466	35,275	13,667	9,685	312	135	808	-	3,195	1,268	1,234	127	170	416	-	151	-
Utah	9,030	5,845	1,818	966	-	-	133	8	566	-	534	156	15	115	-	-	-
Vermont	4,570	3,047	683	430	2	-	-	3	168	182	25	21	24	47	-	-	256
Virgin Islands	476	341	59	1	-	-	7	6	22	5	18	-	-	-	-	-	-
Virginia	9,916	9,916	4,588	4,016	7	-	170	51	1,039	-	37	58	46	3	-	-	-
Washington	56,823	30,219	11,663	4,115	-	981	-	5	1,860	6,961	1,419	178	60	730	14	-	183
West Virginia	12,003	5,296	1,031	233	-	4	84	-	74	329	411	-	-	21	-	-	-
Wisconsin	20,199	6,779	3,112	301	1	-	1,902	1	1,021	404	40	477	882	340	-	-	-
Wyoming	310	45	37	7	-	-	28	-	9	-	1	-	-	-	-	-	-

Source: TANF Data Report

Table 3:8 (FY 2005)

Temporary Assistance for Needy Families
Average Monthly Percent of Adults Participating in Work Activities For a Sufficient Number of Hours For the Family to Count as Meeting the ALL-FAMILY Work Requirements
Fiscal Year 2005

State	Total Families	Families in All-Family Rate	Participating Families	Unsubsidized Employment	Subsidized Private Employment	Subsidized Public Employment	Work Experience	On-the-Job Training	Job Search	Community Service	Vocational Education	Job Skills Training	Education Related to Employment	Satisfactory School Attendance	Providing Child Care	Additional Waiver Activities	Other
	Average Monthly Percent Of Persons Engaged In Work By Work Activity For Families Counted As Participating In The All-Family Work Rates																
United States	1,918,466	885,730	296,764	54.9%	0.3%	1.0%	13.3%	0.5%	16.0%	10.5%	15.7%	1.5%	1.5%	3.4%	0.1%	5.5%	2.2%
Alabama	20,040	8,383	3,235	68.3%	1.3%	4.0%	3.2%	0.0%	23.0%	0.8%	12.6%	0.0%	0.0%	6.2%	0.0%	0.0%	0.8%
Alaska	4,381	2,549	1,165	74.8%	0.0%	0.9%	0.0%	0.3%	18.9%	14.6%	20.9%	0.0%	0.0%	2.8%	0.0%	0.0%	7.8%
Arizona	43,738	21,993	6,645	57.8%	0.0%	0.0%	13.2%	0.2%	33.4%	0.5%	19.7%	0.4%	0.2%	2.6%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Arkansas	8,642	2,967	913	37.6%	0.0%	1.3%	12.4%	4.6%	25.3%	0.7%	29.1%	0.7%	0.9%	1.5%	0.0%	0.0%	0.5%
California	463,569	179,908	47,037	78.4%	0.0%	0.4%	2.9%	1.1%	9.8%	0.7%	16.3%	0.8%	0.8%	2.9%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Colorado	15,268	9,067	2,341	48.4%	2.1%	0.0%	4.8%	0.0%	19.1%	17.4%	20.5%	3.1%	0.8%	8.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Connecticut	19,828	9,262	3,154	77.1%	1.6%	1.3%	1.0%	0.0%	8.2%	0.1%	23.8%	0.3%	4.3%	2.1%	0.1%	0.0%	16.9%
Delaware	5,606	2,896	654	64.8%	0.0%	0.0%	48.8%	0.0%	0.5%	0.0%	0.0%	0.2%	0.3%	5.5%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Dist. of Col.	16,873	8,323	1,937	87.5%	0.0%	0.0%	2.0%	0.5%	12.0%	0.0%	4.2%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Florida	59,853	15,163	6,082	44.7%	0.3%	0.0%	10.8%	0.0%	22.5%	14.1%	26.3%	0.3%	2.4%	7.2%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Georgia	41,747	13,142	7,303	33.1%	0.4%	0.4%	21.8%	3.1%	15.3%	8.9%	27.9%	3.3%	0.1%	3.2%	4.8%	0.0%	2.0%
Guam																	
Hawaii	7,997	4,553	1,616	79.2%	0.0%	0.0%	16.0%	0.0%	29.9%	0.2%	21.8%	0.7%	0.9%	0.7%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Idaho	1,860	476	211	60.2%	0.5%	0.5%	7.6%	0.0%	42.2%	1.9%	48.8%	0.0%	0.0%	0.9%	0.0%	0.0%	35.5%
Illinois	38,391	12,127	5,366	49.5%	0.0%	0.0%	23.1%	0.0%	3.0%	2.5%	24.9%	0.3%	5.8%	0.8%	0.0%	0.0%	19.2%
Indiana	44,042	21,203	6,559	77.8%	0.6%	0.0%	1.2%	0.1%	19.0%	0.0%	3.5%	0.9%	2.4%	5.6%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Iowa	17,707	10,955	5,243	87.4%	0.1%	0.0%	0.6%	0.0%	4.1%	0.1%	19.0%	0.0%	0.0%	6.5%	0.0%	0.0%	10.7%
Kansas	17,622	11,732	10,168	19.8%	0.0%	0.0%	8.5%	0.0%	6.5%	80.1%	1.7%	0.3%	0.1%	2.7%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Kentucky	34,701	14,962	6,827	47.2%	0.5%	0.0%	4.8%	0.2%	6.4%	12.4%	38.1%	2.8%	1.6%	4.3%	0.0%	0.0%	1.1%
Louisiana	16,103	5,111	2,024	52.9%	0.1%	0.3%	11.1%	0.5%	8.8%	0.0%	34.2%	0.0%	1.3%	9.8%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Maine	9,543	6,800	1,927	67.6%	0.0%	0.0%	21.9%	0.1%	8.0%	7.0%	12.0%	11.3%	0.1%	6.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Maryland	23,097	12,235	2,544	29.6%	0.3%	3.9%	11.1%	0.0%	21.1%	28.8%	21.5%	0.2%	0.3%	10.1%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Massachusetts	48,824	11,061	6,624	47.3%	1.2%	0.8%	0.0%	0.0%	11.9%	14.3%	4.1%	15.6%	3.3%	9.4%	0.4%	0.0%	0.0%
Michigan	80,590	44,638	9,864	81.9%	0.0%	0.1%	1.2%	0.6%	25.2%	0.0%	4.4%	0.0%	0.0%	1.2%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Minnesota	28,996	15,645	4,673	58.7%	0.0%	0.0%	2.7%	0.0%	19.6%	5.8%	5.3%	0.9%	0.8%	29.1%	0.0%	0.0%	14.4%
Mississippi	16,071	6,736	1,381	64.0%	0.0%	0.0%	9.7%	0.0%	11.4%	6.6%	12.2%	0.0%	1.7%	3.8%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Missouri	40,061	24,095	5,943	54.3%	1.0%	1.4%	1.7%	0.3%	7.7%	0.0%	42.8%	0.0%	4.5%	0.8%	0.0%	0.0%	8.0%
Montana	4,614	3,102	2,581	21.9%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	0.0%	9.6%	2.0%	4.9%	3.0%	8.6%	2.4%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Nebraska	9,313	6,233	2,182	52.1%	0.0%	0.0%	0.6%	0.1%	29.0%	0.4%	24.9%	0.0%	0.0%	1.6%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Nevada	6,788	2,516	1,054	85.9%	0.0%	0.4%	62.8%	0.0%	8.5%	4.6%	6.8%	0.0%	0.1%	0.6%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%

(continued…)

Table 3:8 (FY 2005)
(continued)

Temporary Assistance for Needy Families
Average Monthly Percent of Adults Participating in Work Activities For a Sufficient Number of Hours
For the Family to Count as Meeting the ALL-FAMILY Work Requirements
Fiscal Year 2005

State	Average Monthly Percent Of Persons Engaged In Work By Work Activity For Families Counted As Participating In The All-Family Work Rates																
	Total Families	Families in All-Family Rate	Participating Families	Unsubsidized Employment	Subsidized Private Employment	Subsidized Public Employment	Work Experience	On-the-Job Training	Job Search	Community Service	Vocational Education	Job Skills Training	Education Related to Employment	Satisfactory School Attendance	Providing Child Care	Additional Waiver Activities	Other
New Hampshire	6,144	3,407	839	74.1%	0.0%	0.0%	10.4%	0.4%	21.3%	7.7%	6.0%	8.5%	0.1%	6.9%	0.0%	0.0%	11.3%
New Jersey	43,071	25,427	7,460	40.5%	0.0%	0.0%	36.6%	0.2%	9.3%	0.5%	30.6%	3.5%	10.7%	0.3%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
New Mexico	17,566	9,694	4,061	60.2%	0.0%	0.0%	8.5%	0.2%	11.6%	13.7%	23.4%	0.6%	1.6%	1.4%	0.2%	0.0%	0.0%
New York	141,522	70,344	24,814	51.4%	0.0%	4.7%	12.3%	0.3%	1.4%	23.4%	11.4%	0.0%	0.3%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.1%
North Carolina	33,773	11,846	3,734	52.5%	0.0%	0.0%	10.3%	0.0%	18.5%	0.0%	30.2%	1.3%	2.2%	4.5%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
North Dakota	2,877	1,585	511	52.3%	0.2%	0.0%	28.2%	0.2%	17.8%	0.2%	15.3%	1.2%	2.2%	3.9%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Ohio	82,597	36,189	21,117	35.9%	0.0%	0.2%	53.0%	0.3%	7.6%	0.0%	26.6%	0.9%	0.1%	2.2%	0.0%	0.0%	5.5%
Oklahoma	12,073	4,427	1,506	36.3%	1.4%	0.1%	5.8%	0.1%	34.1%	0.0%	20.1%	0.0%	0.3%	1.8%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Oregon	19,488	8,492	1,267	37.9%	0.0%	0.0%	34.4%	0.5%	16.6%	0.0%	8.5%	3.4%	5.6%	13.1%	0.0%	0.0%	6.9%
Pennsylvania	96,635	65,832	10,003	34.2%	0.0%	0.0%	44.8%	0.0%	2.0%	0.4%	21.5%	0.9%	0.6%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	1.2%
Puerto Rico	15,147	10,591	1,411	9.3%	7.1%	1.2%	9.4%	13.2%	8.7%	32.7%	25.1%	0.0%	0.1%	0.7%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Rhode Island	10,718	6,564	1,589	71.4%	0.1%	0.0%	4.5%	0.0%	10.3%	0.0%	21.5%	0.0%	0.3%	0.4%	0.0%	0.0%	2.8%
South Carolina	15,854	6,692	3,643	68.1%	0.1%	0.1%	4.0%	0.1%	7.8%	22.3%	3.9%	6.2%	0.1%	7.4%	0.5%	0.0%	0.7%
South Dakota	2,771	785	449	23.6%	0.2%	0.0%	0.0%	5.8%	5.1%	66.1%	12.5%	0.0%	3.6%	1.6%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Tennessee	70,572	39,259	20,449	41.1%	0.0%	0.0%	1.5%	0.1%	61.5%	0.1%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.3%	0.0%	78.6%	4.1%
Texas	88,466	35,275	13,667	70.9%	2.3%	1.0%	5.9%	0.0%	23.4%	9.3%	9.0%	0.9%	1.2%	3.0%	0.0%	1.1%	0.0%
Utah	9,030	5,845	1,818	53.1%	0.0%	0.0%	7.3%	0.4%	31.1%	0.0%	29.4%	8.6%	0.8%	6.3%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Vermont	4,570	3,047	683	63.0%	0.3%	0.0%	0.0%	0.4%	24.6%	26.6%	3.7%	3.1%	3.5%	6.9%	0.0%	0.0%	37.5%
Virgin Islands	476	341	59	1.7%	0.0%	0.0%	11.9%	10.2%	37.3%	8.5%	30.5%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Virginia	9,916	9,916	4,588	87.5%	0.2%	0.0%	3.7%	1.1%	22.6%	0.0%	0.8%	1.3%	1.0%	0.1%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Washington	56,823	30,219	11,663	35.3%	0.0%	8.4%	0.0%	0.0%	15.9%	59.7%	12.2%	1.5%	0.5%	6.3%	0.1%	0.0%	1.6%
West Virginia	12,003	5,296	1,031	22.6%	0.0%	0.4%	8.1%	0.0%	7.2%	31.9%	39.9%	0.0%	0.0%	2.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Wisconsin	20,199	6,779	3,112	9.7%	0.0%	0.0%	61.1%	0.0%	32.8%	13.0%	1.3%	15.3%	28.3%	10.9%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Wyoming	310	45	37	18.9%	0.0%	0.0%	75.7%	0.0%	24.3%	0.0%	2.7%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%

Source: TANF Data Report

Table 3:9 (FY 2005)

Temporary Assistance for Needy Families
Average Monthly Number of Parents in Two-Parent Families Who are Participating in Work Activities
For a Sufficient Number of Hours for the Family to Count as Meeting the Two-Parent Families Work Requirements
Fiscal Year 2005

State	Average Monthly Number Of Persons Engaged In Work By Work Activity For Two-Parent Families Counted As Participating In The Two-Parent Families Work Rates																
	Total Two-parent Families	Total Families inTwo-Parent Work Rates	Families Participating in Two-Parent Work Rates	Unsubsidized Employment	Subsidized Private Employment	Subsidized Public Employment	Work Experience	On-the-Job Training	Job Search	Community Service	Vocational Education	Job Skills Training	Education Related to Employment	Satisfactory School Attendance	Providing Child Care	Additional Waiver Activities	Other
United States	33,250	26,063	11,006	7,911	27	192	3,553	19	2,515	5,182	2,023	213	248	367	20	-	696
Alabama	48	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Alaska	596	377	207	228	-	1	-	-	90	95	65	-	-	13	-	-	47
Arizona	439	375	278	224	-	-	103	1	274	5	49	1	4	9	-	-	-
Arkansas	122	72	35	23	-	-	6	1	15	1	16	1	-	2	-	-	-
California	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Colorado	1,061	827	263	187	14	-	23	-	116	51	69	17	-	13	-	-	-
Connecticut	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Delaware	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Dist. of Col.	104	99	26	27	-	-	1	-	4	-	1	-	-	-	-	-	-
Florida	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Georgia	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Guam	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.
Hawaii	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Idaho	40	40	17	19	-	-	2	-	15	1	11	-	-	-	-	-	15
Illinois	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Indiana	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Iowa	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Kansas	1,283	1,281	1,189	406	-	-	143	-	103	2,025	24	5	2	50	-	-	-
Kentucky	777	746	406	233	6	-	29	3	86	82	236	18	14	8	-	-	4
Louisiana	112	79	30	32	-	-	4	1	5	-	14	-	1	4	-	-	-
Maine	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Maryland	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Massachusetts	1,470	384	214	220	-	8	-	-	30	34	8	17	14	14	-	-	-
Michigan	2,233	2,233	671	812	-	2	6	2	292	1	30	-	-	11	-	-	-
Minnesota	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Mississippi	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Missouri	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Montana	629	598	512	184	-	-	992	-	96	28	51	15	98	20	-	-	-
Nebraska	1,461	1,461	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Nevada	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

(continued…)

Table 3:9 (FY 2005)
(continued)

Temporary Assistance for Needy Families
Average Monthly Number of Parents in Two-Parent Families Who are Participating in Work Activities
For a Sufficient Number of Hours for the Family to Count as Meeting the Two-Parent Families Work Requirements
Fiscal Year 2005

State	Average Monthly Number Of Persons Engaged In Work By Work Activity For Two-Parent Families Counted As Participating In The Two-Parent Families Work Rates																
	Total Two-parent Families	Total Families inTwo-Parent Work Rates	Families Participating in Two-Parent Work Rates	Unsubsidized Employment	Subsidized Private Employment	Subsidized Public Employment	Work Experience	On-the-Job Training	Job Search	Community Service	Vocational Education	Job Skills Training	Education Related to Employment	Satisfactory School Attendance	Providing Child Care	Additional Waiver Activities	Other
New Hampshire	149	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
New Jersey	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
New Mexico	1,023	957	551	534	-	-	72	-	137	155	212	9	15	9	-	-	-
New York	5,559	3,898	1,681	1,417	-	32	266	-	89	433	178	-	40	-	-	-	-
North Carolina	321	157	78	62	1	-	14	-	22	-	48	-	-	-	-	-	-
North Dakota	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Ohio	3,512	3,424	1,985	1,262	-	-	1,543	5	220	-	638	11	-	77	-	-	140
Oklahoma	59	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Oregon	717	425	89	70	-	-	40	1	24	-	11	8	3	7	-	-	12
Pennsylvania	4,960	3,156	556	411	5	-	254	-	30	5	76	10	15	-	-	-	74
Puerto Rico	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Rhode Island	306	159	151	155	-	-	11	-	17	-	15	-	-	-	-	-	6
South Carolina	379	355	225	227	1	-	10	3	46	89	19	11	1	8	18	-	1
South Dakota	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Tennessee	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Texas	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Utah	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Vermont	522	357	127	100	-	-	-	-	59	35	2	4	7	15	-	-	113
Virgin Islands	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Virginia	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Washington	5,129	4,429	1,667	1,064	-	149	-	2	709	2,132	249	73	19	103	2	-	284
West Virginia	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Wisconsin	236	172	47	13	-	-	32	-	35	10	1	13	15	4	-	-	-
Wyoming	3	2	1	1	-	-	2	-	1	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

Source: TANF Data Report

Table 3:10 (FY 2005)

Temporary Assistance for Needy Families
Average Monthly Percent of Parents in Two-Parent Families Who are Participating in Work Activities For a Sufficient Number of Hours For the Family to Count as Meeting the Two-Parent Families Work Requirements
Fiscal Year 2005

State	Total Two-Parent Families	Total Families in Two-Parent Work Rates	Families Participating in Two-Parent Work Rates	Average Monthly Number Of Parents Engaged In Work By Work Activity For Two-Parent Families As A Percent Of The Number Of Parents In Families Participating In The Two Parent Families Work Rates													
				Unsubsidized Employment	Subsidized Private Employment	Subsidized Public Employment	Work Experience	On-the-Job Training	Job Search	Community Service	Vocational Education	Job Skills Training	Education Related to Employment	Satisfactory School Attendance	Providing Child Care	Additional Waiver Requirements	Other
United States	33,250	26,063	11,006	35.9%	0.1%	0.9%	16.1%	0.1%	11.4%	23.5%	9.2%	1.0%	1.1%	1.7%	0.1%	0.0%	3.2%
Alabama	48	-	-														
Alaska	596	377	207	55.1%	0.0%	0.2%	0.0%	0.0%	21.7%	22.9%	15.7%	0.0%	0.0%	3.1%	0.0%	0.0%	11.4%
Arizona	439	375	278	40.3%	0.0%	0.0%	18.5%	0.2%	49.3%	0.9%	8.8%	0.2%	0.7%	1.6%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Arkansas	122	72	35	32.9%	0.0%	0.0%	8.6%	1.4%	21.4%	1.4%	22.9%	1.4%	0.0%	2.9%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
California	-	-	-														
Colorado	1,061	827	263	35.6%	2.7%	0.0%	4.4%	0.0%	22.1%	9.7%	13.1%	3.2%	0.0%	2.5%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Connecticut	-	-	-														
Delaware	-	-	-														
Dist. of Col.	104	99	26	51.9%	0.0%	0.0%	1.9%	0.0%	7.7%	0.0%	1.9%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Florida	-	-	-														
Georgia	-	-	-														
Guam																	
Hawaii	-	-	-														
Idaho	40	40	17	55.9%	0.0%	0.0%	5.9%	0.0%	44.1%	2.9%	32.4%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	44.1%
Illinois	-	-	-														
Indiana	-	-	-														
Iowa	-	-	-														
Kansas	1,283	1,281	1,189	17.1%	0.0%	0.0%	6.0%	0.0%	4.3%	85.2%	1.0%	0.2%	0.1%	2.1%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Kentucky	777	746	406	28.7%	0.7%	0.0%	3.6%	0.4%	10.6%	10.1%	29.1%	2.2%	1.7%	1.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.5%
Louisiana	112	79	30	53.3%	0.0%	0.0%	6.7%	1.7%	8.3%	0.0%	23.3%	0.0%	1.7%	6.7%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Maine	-	-	-														
Maryland	-	-	-														
Massachusetts	1,470	384	214	51.4%	0.0%	1.9%	0.0%	0.0%	7.0%	7.9%	1.9%	4.0%	3.3%	3.3%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Michigan	2,233	2,233	671	60.5%	0.0%	0.1%	0.4%	0.1%	21.8%	0.1%	2.2%	0.0%	0.0%	0.8%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Minnesota	-	-	-														
Mississippi	-	-	-														
Missouri	-	-	-														
Montana	629	598	512	18.0%	0.0%	0.0%	96.9%	0.0%	9.4%	2.7%	5.0%	1.5%	9.6%	2.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Nebraska	1,461	1,461	-														
Nevada	-	-	-														

(continued…)

Table 3:10 (FY 2005)
(continued)

Temporary Assistance for Needy Families
Average Monthly Percent of Parents in Two-Parent Families Who are Participating in Work Activities For a Sufficient Number of Hours
For the Family to Count as Meeting the Two-Parent Families Work Requirements
Fiscal Year 2005

State	Average Monthly Number Of Parents Engaged In Work By Work Activity For Two-Parent Families As A Percent Of The Number Of Parents In Families Participating In The Two Parent Families Work Rates																
	Total Two-Parent Families	Total Families in Two-Parent Work Rates	Families Participating in Two-Parent Work Rates	Unsubsidized Employment	Subsidized Private Employment	Subsidized Public Employment	Work Experience	On-the-Job Training	Job Search	Community Service	Vocational Education	Job Skills Training	Education Related to Employment	Satisfactory School Attendance	Providing Child Care	Additional Waiver Requirements	Other
New Hampshire	149	-	-														
New Jersey	-	-	-														
New Mexico	1,023	957	551	48.5%	0.0%	0.0%	6.5%	0.0%	12.4%	14.1%	19.2%	0.8%	1.4%	0.8%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
New York	5,559	3,898	1,681	42.1%	0.0%	1.0%	7.9%	0.0%	2.6%	12.9%	5.3%	0.0%	1.2%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
North Carolina	321	157	78	39.7%	0.6%	0.0%	9.0%	0.0%	14.1%	0.0%	30.8%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
North Dakota	-	-	-														
Ohio	3,512	3,424	1,985	31.8%	0.0%	0.0%	38.9%	0.1%	5.5%	0.0%	16.1%	0.3%	0.0%	1.9%	0.0%	0.0%	3.5%
Oklahoma	59	-	-														
Oregon	717	425	89	39.3%	0.0%	0.0%	22.5%	0.6%	13.5%	0.0%	6.2%	4.5%	1.7%	3.9%	0.0%	0.0%	6.7%
Pennsylvania	4,960	3,156	556	37.0%	0.4%	0.0%	22.8%	0.0%	2.7%	0.4%	6.8%	0.9%	1.3%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	6.7%
Puerto Rico	-	-	-														
Rhode Island	306	159	151	51.3%	0.0%	0.0%	3.6%	0.0%	5.6%	0.0%	5.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	2.0%
South Carolina	379	355	225	50.4%	0.2%	0.0%	2.2%	0.7%	10.2%	19.8%	4.2%	2.4%	0.2%	1.8%	4.0%	0.0%	0.2%
South Dakota	-	-	-														
Tennessee	-	-	-														
Texas	-	-	-														
Utah	-	-	-														
Vermont	522	357	127	39.4%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	23.2%	13.8%	0.8%	1.6%	2.8%	5.9%	0.0%	0.0%	44.5%
Virgin Islands	-	-	-														
Virginia	-	-	-														
Washington	5,129	4,429	1,667	31.9%	0.0%	4.5%	0.0%	0.1%	21.3%	63.9%	7.5%	2.2%	0.6%	3.1%	0.1%	0.0%	8.5%
West Virginia	-	-	-														
Wisconsin	236	172	47	13.8%	0.0%	0.0%	34.0%	0.0%	37.2%	10.6%	1.1%	13.8%	16.0%	4.3%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Wyoming	3	2	1	50.0%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	0.0%	50.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%

Source: TANF Data Report

Table 3:11 (FY 2005)

Temporary Assistance for Needy Families
Average Monthly Number of Adults With Hours of Participation by Work Activity
Fiscal Year 2005

State	Average Monthly Number of Adults With Hours Of Participation By Work Activity															
	Total Adults	Adults with Hours of Participation 1/	Unsubsidized Employment	Subsidized Private Employment	Subsidized Public Employment	Work Experience	On-the-Job Training	Job Search	Community Service	Vocational Education	Job Skills Training	Education Related to Employment	Satisfactory School Attendance	Providing Child Care	Additional Waiver Activities	Other
United States	1,095,346	475,300	234,229	1,170	3,509	55,484	1,550	73,050	47,803	59,781	11,728	13,742	16,660	494	20,487	44,296
Alabama	10,897	4,938	2,948	61	196	129	1	1,085	33	595	-	-	505	-	-	190
Alaska	3,857	2,118	1,248	-	12	-	4	465	371	441	-	-	66	-	-	337
Arizona	25,842	9,452	5,417	-	-	1,237	16	3,031	55	1,561	53	37	305	-	-	-
Arkansas	4,174	1,494	504	1	13	170	47	377	15	340	45	30	56	-	-	79
California	203,527	76,825	56,411	-	178	2,016	494	8,945	482	10,890	756	1,264	2,874	-	589	1,377
Colorado	11,501	3,817	1,525	57	-	244	-	601	812	657	289	74	382	-	-	-
Connecticut	11,719	5,962	3,707	72	64	55	-	561	17	938	47	528	79	2	-	1,745
Delaware	3,026	918	668	-	-	339	-	6	1	-	1	2	37	-	-	-
Dist. of Col.	9,989	2,871	2,233	-	-	84	9	465	-	174	-	36	-	-	18	-
Florida	20,625	8,170	3,904	18	-	816	-	1,404	1,130	1,601	17	454	703	-	-	-
Georgia	16,349	8,470	2,578	31	28	1,931	235	1,180	745	2,219	307	10	314	392	-	471
Guam	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.
Hawaii	5,868	2,038	1,633	-	-	274	-	539	4	397	21	22	11	-	-	-
Idaho	563	502	197	3	1	28	-	184	10	171	-	-	9	-	-	312
Illinois	18,278	12,195	3,356	-	-	1,253	-	194	133	1,540	134	1,300	44	-	2	6,560
Indiana	26,256	9,463	7,604	79	-	139	20	1,260	-	229	170	376	397	-	-	-
Iowa	12,797	7,906	5,757	8	-	71	-	479	17	1,266	-	-	729	-	-	1,474
Kansas	14,577	11,940	2,397	-	-	958	2	743	9,482	194	53	14	348	-	-	-
Kentucky	18,929	8,574	4,264	43	-	356	14	470	918	2,774	360	238	313	-	-	320
Louisiana	5,986	2,956	1,344	3	10	376	13	393	-	859	-	49	446	-	-	-
Maine	7,309	3,494	2,095	-	-	604	2	564	185	252	310	8	298	-	-	-
Maryland	13,426	4,514	1,131	11	113	412	8	1,728	951	819	6	8	289	-	-	-
Massachusetts	31,741	7,977	4,120	83	59	-	-	866	1,009	353	1,193	233	652	25	-	-
Michigan	56,966	17,504	13,999	-	8	237	111	4,330	2	608	10	59	134	-	-	-
Minnesota	19,918	11,667	5,549	-	-	192	-	1,974	523	323	132	290	1,610	-	-	4,767
Mississippi	9,675	2,440	1,231	-	-	313	-	287	295	324	7	91	60	-	-	11
Missouri	28,614	11,981	4,253	75	127	337	29	1,389	-	3,326	-	1,372	48	-	-	3,495
Montana	3,924	3,321	690	-	-	3,321	-	317	68	165	89	275	72	-	-	-
Nebraska	8,175	3,125	1,761	-	-	17	2	830	9	568	-	-	134	-	-	-
Nevada	3,054	2,458	1,161	-	6	1,954	2	252	101	122	-	37	6	-	-	-

(continued...)

Table 3:11 (FY 2005)
(continued)

Temporary Assistance for Needy Families
Average Monthly Number of Adults With Hours of Participation by Work Activity
Fiscal Year 2005

State	Average Monthly Number of Adults With Hours Of Participation By Work Activity															
	Total Adults	Adults with Hours of Participation 1/	Unsubsidized Employment	Subsidized Private Employment	Subsidized Public Employment	Work Experience	On-the-Job Training	Job Search	Community Service	Vocational Education	Job Skills Training	Education Related to Employment	Satisfactory School Attendance	Providing Child Care	Additional Waiver Activities	Other
New Hampshire	4,348	2,137	1,013	-	-	180	4	372	153	63	303	1	216	1	-	686
New Jersey	28,273	11,420	4,044	-	-	4,016	19	1,341	51	3,125	777	1,473	152	2	-	-
New Mexico	13,240	5,536	3,264	-	-	404	8	776	711	1,119	44	148	80	16	-	-
New York	93,466	31,645	17,121	-	1,236	4,695	66	506	6,264	3,112	24	278	16	-	-	13
North Carolina	14,285	5,384	2,536	1	17	604	1	925	-	1,606	48	282	203	-	-	-
North Dakota	2,162	1,015	463	2	-	197	1	285	2	101	42	76	47	-	-	-
Ohio	42,973	32,455	10,235	-	52	14,231	71	2,392	-	6,600	467	55	877	-	-	6,366
Oklahoma	5,625	3,039	727	39	1	152	1	1,007	-	391	447	216	57	-	-	-
Oregon	11,497	4,531	1,181	1	-	1,635	8	519	-	323	335	364	203	-	-	895
Pennsylvania	74,247	22,946	7,848	5	-	5,160	-	742	515	3,828	1,469	784	-	-	-	5,095
Puerto Rico	12,152	1,666	190	152	28	132	191	133	466	401	28	27	10	-	5	11
Rhode Island	8,153	2,808	1,818	2	-	90	-	305	-	441	-	37	13	-	-	340
South Carolina	8,012	4,935	3,043	3	3	223	5	532	1,087	206	263	4	367	29	-	197
South Dakota	1,000	663	141	1	-	-	27	35	440	65	1	58	7	1	-	-
Tennessee	53,089	30,507	10,637	-	-	339	15	15,319	32	-	-	-	436	-	19,253	5,292
Texas	37,663	16,733	10,763	388	135	917	-	4,642	1,581	1,309	181	338	416	-	620	-
Utah	6,320	3,340	1,346	-	-	219	13	1,090	-	803	651	17	117	-	-	-
Vermont	4,066	3,017	903	2	-	-	5	447	254	44	125	152	190	-	-	2,072
Virgin Islands	347	88	1	-	-	9	6	22	6	23	4	4	10	-	-	3
Virginia	10,173	6,902	5,227	27	-	349	88	2,198	-	57	188	118	9	-	-	-
Washington	40,517	26,487	7,078	-	1,217	-	7	3,097	17,490	1,882	854	277	1,585	26	-	2,188
West Virginia	7,163	2,157	424	-	4	171	3	227	793	531	-	-	201	-	-	-
Wisconsin	8,947	6,756	533	2	1	3,867	2	1,207	590	44	1,477	2,226	526	-	-	-
Wyoming	66	43	8	-	-	31	-	12	-	1	-	-	1	-	-	-

1/ Adults participating in more than one activity are included once in this total.

Source: TANF Data Report

Table 3:12 (FY 2005)

Temporary Assistance for Needy Families
Average Monthly Number of Adults With Hours of Participation by Work Activity
As a Percent of the Number of Participating Adults
Fiscal Year 2005

State	Average Monthly Number Of Adults With Hours Of Participation By Work Activity As A Percent Of The Number Of Participating Adults															
	Total Adults	Adults with Hours of Participation 1/	Unsubsidized Employment	Subsidized Private Employment	Subsidized Public Employment	Work Experience	On-the-Job Training	Job Search	Community Service	Vocational Education	Job Skills Training	Education Related to Employment	Satisfactory School Attendance	Providing Child Care	Additional Waiver Activities	Other
United States	1,095,346	475,300	49.3%	0.2%	0.7%	11.7%	0.3%	15.4%	10.1%	12.6%	2.5%	2.9%	3.5%	0.1%	4.3%	9.3%
Alabama	10,897	4,938	59.7%	1.2%	4.0%	2.6%	0.0%	22.0%	0.7%	12.0%	0.0%	0.0%	10.2%	0.0%	0.0%	3.8%
Alaska	3,857	2,118	58.9%	0.0%	0.6%	0.0%	0.2%	22.0%	17.5%	20.8%	0.0%	0.0%	3.1%	0.0%	0.0%	15.9%
Arizona	25,842	9,452	57.3%	0.0%	0.0%	13.1%	0.2%	32.1%	0.6%	16.5%	0.6%	0.4%	3.2%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Arkansas	4,174	1,494	33.7%	0.1%	0.9%	11.4%	3.1%	25.2%	1.0%	22.8%	3.0%	2.0%	3.7%	0.0%	0.0%	5.3%
California	203,527	76,825	73.4%	0.0%	0.2%	2.6%	0.6%	11.6%	0.6%	14.2%	1.0%	1.6%	3.7%	0.0%	0.8%	1.8%
Colorado	11,501	3,817	40.0%	1.5%	0.0%	6.4%	0.0%	15.7%	21.3%	17.2%	7.6%	1.9%	10.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Connecticut	11,719	5,962	62.2%	1.2%	1.1%	0.9%	0.0%	9.4%	0.3%	15.7%	0.8%	8.9%	1.3%	0.0%	0.0%	29.3%
Delaware	3,026	918	72.8%	0.0%	0.0%	36.9%	0.0%	0.7%	0.1%	0.0%	0.1%	0.2%	4.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Dist. of Col.	9,989	2,871	77.8%	0.0%	0.0%	2.9%	0.3%	16.2%	0.0%	6.1%	0.0%	1.3%	0.0%	0.0%	0.6%	0.0%
Florida	20,625	8,170	47.8%	0.2%	0.0%	10.0%	0.0%	17.2%	13.8%	19.6%	0.2%	5.6%	8.6%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Georgia	16,349	8,470	30.4%	0.4%	0.3%	22.8%	2.8%	13.9%	8.8%	26.2%	3.6%	0.1%	3.7%	4.6%	0.0%	5.6%
Guam	.	.														
Hawaii	5,868	2,038	80.1%	0.0%	0.0%	13.4%	0.0%	26.4%	0.2%	19.5%	1.0%	1.1%	0.5%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Idaho	563	502	39.2%	0.6%	0.2%	5.6%	0.0%	36.7%	2.0%	34.1%	0.0%	0.0%	1.8%	0.0%	0.0%	62.2%
Illinois	18,278	12,195	27.5%	0.0%	0.0%	10.3%	0.0%	1.6%	1.1%	12.6%	1.1%	10.7%	0.4%	0.0%	0.0%	53.8%
Indiana	26,256	9,463	80.4%	0.8%	0.0%	1.5%	0.2%	13.3%	0.0%	2.4%	1.8%	4.0%	4.2%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Iowa	12,797	7,906	72.8%	0.1%	0.0%	0.9%	0.0%	6.1%	0.2%	16.0%	0.0%	0.0%	9.2%	0.0%	0.0%	18.6%
Kansas	14,577	11,940	20.1%	0.0%	0.0%	8.0%	0.0%	6.2%	79.4%	1.6%	0.4%	0.1%	2.9%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Kentucky	18,929	8,574	49.7%	0.5%	0.0%	4.2%	0.2%	5.5%	10.7%	32.4%	4.2%	2.8%	3.7%	0.0%	0.0%	3.7%
Louisiana	5,986	2,956	45.5%	0.1%	0.3%	12.7%	0.4%	13.3%	0.0%	29.1%	0.0%	1.7%	15.1%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Maine	7,309	3,494	60.0%	0.0%	0.0%	17.3%	0.1%	16.1%	5.3%	7.2%	8.9%	0.2%	8.5%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Maryland	13,426	4,514	25.1%	0.2%	2.5%	9.1%	0.2%	38.3%	21.1%	18.1%	0.1%	0.2%	6.4%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Massachusetts	31,741	7,977	51.6%	1.0%	0.7%	0.0%	0.0%	10.9%	12.6%	4.4%	15.0%	2.9%	8.2%	0.3%	0.0%	0.0%
Michigan	56,966	17,504	80.0%	0.0%	0.0%	1.4%	0.6%	24.7%	0.0%	3.5%	0.1%	0.3%	0.8%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Minnesota	19,918	11,667	47.6%	0.0%	0.0%	1.6%	0.0%	16.9%	4.5%	2.8%	1.1%	2.5%	13.8%	0.0%	0.0%	40.9%
Mississippi	9,675	2,440	50.5%	0.0%	0.0%	12.8%	0.0%	11.8%	12.1%	13.3%	0.3%	3.7%	2.5%	0.0%	0.0%	0.5%
Missouri	28,614	11,981	35.5%	0.6%	1.1%	2.8%	0.2%	11.6%	0.0%	27.8%	0.0%	11.5%	0.4%	0.0%	0.0%	29.2%
Montana	3,924	3,321	20.8%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	0.0%	9.5%	2.0%	5.0%	2.7%	8.3%	2.2%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Nebraska	8,175	3,125	56.4%	0.0%	0.0%	0.5%	0.1%	26.6%	0.3%	18.2%	0.0%	0.0%	4.3%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Nevada	3,054	2,458	47.2%	0.0%	0.2%	79.5%	0.1%	10.3%	4.1%	5.0%	0.0%	1.5%	0.2%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%

(continued...)

Table 3:12 (FY 2005)
(continued)

Temporary Assistance for Needy Families
Average Monthly Number of Adults With Hours of Participation by Work Activity
As a Percent of the Number of Participating Adults
Fiscal Year 2005

State	Average Monthly Number Of Adults With Hours Of Participation By Work Activity As A Percent Of The Number Of Participating Adults															
	Total Adults	Adults with Hours of Participation 1/	Unsubsidized Employment	Subsidized Private Employment	Subsidized Public Employment	Work Experience	On-the-Job Training	Job Search	Community Service	Vocational Education	Job Skills Training	Education Related to Employment	Satisfactory School Attendance	Providing Child Care	Additional Waiver Activities	Other
New Hampshire	4,348	2,137	47.4%	0.0%	0.0%	8.4%	0.2%	17.4%	7.2%	2.9%	14.2%	0.0%	10.1%	0.0%	0.0%	32.1%
New Jersey	28,273	11,420	35.4%	0.0%	0.0%	35.2%	0.2%	11.7%	0.4%	27.4%	6.8%	12.9%	1.3%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
New Mexico	13,240	5,536	59.0%	0.0%	0.0%	7.3%	0.1%	14.0%	12.8%	20.2%	0.8%	2.7%	1.4%	0.3%	0.0%	0.0%
New York	93,466	31,645	54.1%	0.0%	3.9%	14.8%	0.2%	1.6%	19.8%	9.8%	0.1%	0.9%	0.1%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
North Carolina	14,285	5,384	47.1%	0.0%	0.3%	11.2%	0.0%	17.2%	0.0%	29.8%	0.9%	5.2%	3.8%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
North Dakota	2,162	1,015	45.6%	0.2%	0.0%	19.4%	0.1%	28.1%	0.2%	10.0%	4.1%	7.5%	4.6%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Ohio	42,973	32,455	31.5%	0.0%	0.2%	43.8%	0.2%	7.4%	0.0%	20.3%	1.4%	0.2%	2.7%	0.0%	0.0%	19.6%
Oklahoma	5,625	3,039	23.9%	1.3%	0.0%	5.0%	0.0%	33.1%	0.0%	12.9%	14.7%	7.1%	1.9%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Oregon	11,497	4,531	26.1%	0.0%	0.0%	36.1%	0.2%	11.5%	0.0%	7.1%	7.4%	8.0%	4.5%	0.0%	0.0%	19.8%
Pennsylvania	74,247	22,946	34.2%	0.0%	0.0%	22.5%	0.0%	3.2%	2.2%	16.7%	6.4%	3.4%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	22.2%
Puerto Rico	12,152	1,666	11.4%	9.1%	1.7%	7.9%	11.5%	8.0%	28.0%	24.1%	1.7%	1.6%	0.6%	0.0%	0.3%	0.7%
Rhode Island	8,153	2,808	64.7%	0.1%	0.0%	3.2%	0.0%	10.9%	0.0%	15.7%	0.0%	1.3%	0.5%	0.0%	0.0%	12.1%
South Carolina	8,012	4,935	61.7%	0.1%	0.1%	4.5%	0.1%	10.8%	22.0%	4.2%	5.3%	0.1%	7.4%	0.6%	0.0%	4.0%
South Dakota	1,000	663	21.3%	0.2%	0.0%	0.0%	4.1%	5.3%	66.4%	9.8%	0.2%	8.7%	1.1%	0.2%	0.0%	0.0%
Tennessee	53,089	30,507	34.9%	0.0%	0.0%	1.1%	0.0%	50.2%	0.1%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	1.4%	0.0%	63.1%	17.3%
Texas	37,663	16,733	64.3%	2.3%	0.8%	5.5%	0.0%	27.7%	9.4%	7.8%	1.1%	2.0%	2.5%	0.0%	3.7%	0.0%
Utah	6,320	3,340	40.3%	0.0%	0.0%	6.6%	0.4%	32.6%	0.0%	24.0%	19.5%	0.5%	3.5%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Vermont	4,066	3,017	29.9%	0.1%	0.0%	0.0%	0.2%	14.8%	8.4%	1.5%	4.1%	5.0%	6.3%	0.0%	0.0%	68.7%
Virgin Islands	347	88	1.1%	0.0%	0.0%	10.2%	6.8%	25.0%	6.8%	26.1%	4.5%	4.5%	11.4%	0.0%	0.0%	3.4%
Virginia	10,173	6,902	75.7%	0.4%	0.0%	5.1%	1.3%	31.8%	0.0%	0.8%	2.7%	1.7%	0.1%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Washington	40,517	26,487	26.7%	0.0%	4.6%	0.0%	0.0%	11.7%	66.0%	7.1%	3.2%	1.0%	6.0%	0.1%	0.0%	8.3%
West Virginia	7,163	2,157	19.7%	0.0%	0.2%	7.9%	0.1%	10.5%	36.8%	24.6%	0.0%	0.0%	9.3%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Wisconsin	8,947	6,756	7.9%	0.0%	0.0%	57.2%	0.0%	17.9%	8.7%	0.7%	21.9%	32.9%	7.8%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Wyoming	66	43	18.6%	0.0%	0.0%	72.1%	0.0%	27.9%	0.0%	2.3%	0.0%	0.0%	2.3%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%

1/ Adults participating in more than one activity are included once in this total.

Source: TANF Data Report

Table 3:13 (FY 2005)

Temporary Assistance for Needy Families
Average Monthly Number of Adults With Hours of Participation by Work Activity as a Percent of the Total Number of Adults
Fiscal Year 2005

State	Total Adults	Adults with Hours of Participation 1/	Unsubsidized Employment	Subsidized Private Employment	Subsidized Public Employment	Work Experience	On-the-Job Training	Job Search	Community Service	Vocational Education	Job Skills Training	Education Related to Employment	Satisfactory School Attendance	Providing Child Care	Additional Waiver Activities	Other
	Average Monthly Number Of Adults With Hours Of Participation By Work Activity As A Percent Of The Total Number Of Adults															
United States	1,095,346	43.4%	21.4%	0.1%	0.3%	5.1%	0.1%	6.7%	4.4%	5.5%	1.1%	1.3%	1.5%	0.0%	1.9%	4.0%
Alabama	10,897	45.3%	27.1%	0.6%	1.8%	1.2%	0.0%	10.0%	0.3%	5.5%	0.0%	0.0%	4.6%	0.0%	0.0%	1.7%
Alaska	3,857	54.9%	32.4%	0.0%	0.3%	0.0%	0.1%	12.1%	9.6%	11.4%	0.0%	0.0%	1.7%	0.0%	0.0%	8.7%
Arizona	25,842	36.6%	21.0%	0.0%	0.0%	4.8%	0.1%	11.7%	0.2%	6.0%	0.2%	0.1%	1.2%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Arkansas	4,174	35.8%	12.1%	0.0%	0.3%	4.1%	1.1%	9.0%	0.4%	8.1%	1.1%	0.7%	1.3%	0.0%	0.0%	1.9%
California	203,527	37.7%	27.7%	0.0%	0.1%	1.0%	0.2%	4.4%	0.2%	5.4%	0.4%	0.6%	1.4%	0.0%	0.3%	0.7%
Colorado	11,501	33.2%	13.3%	0.5%	0.0%	2.1%	0.0%	5.2%	7.1%	5.7%	2.5%	0.6%	3.3%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Connecticut	11,719	50.9%	31.6%	0.6%	0.5%	0.5%	0.0%	4.8%	0.1%	8.0%	0.4%	4.5%	0.7%	0.0%	0.0%	14.9%
Delaware	3,026	30.3%	22.1%	0.0%	0.0%	11.2%	0.0%	0.2%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.1%	1.2%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Dist. of Col.	9,989	28.7%	22.4%	0.0%	0.0%	0.8%	0.1%	4.7%	0.0%	1.7%	0.0%	0.4%	0.0%	0.0%	0.2%	0.0%
Florida	20,625	39.6%	18.9%	0.1%	0.0%	4.0%	0.0%	6.8%	5.5%	7.8%	0.1%	2.2%	3.4%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Georgia	16,349	51.8%	15.8%	0.2%	0.2%	11.8%	1.4%	7.2%	4.6%	13.6%	1.9%	0.1%	1.9%	2.4%	0.0%	2.9%
Guam	.															
Hawaii	5,868	34.7%	27.8%	0.0%	0.0%	4.7%	0.0%	9.2%	0.1%	6.8%	0.4%	0.4%	0.2%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Idaho	563	89.2%	35.0%	0.5%	0.2%	5.0%	0.0%	32.7%	1.8%	30.4%	0.0%	0.0%	1.6%	0.0%	0.0%	55.4%
Illinois	18,278	66.7%	18.4%	0.0%	0.0%	6.9%	0.0%	1.1%	0.7%	8.4%	0.7%	7.1%	0.2%	0.0%	0.0%	35.9%
Indiana	26,256	36.0%	29.0%	0.3%	0.0%	0.5%	0.1%	4.8%	0.0%	0.9%	0.6%	1.4%	1.5%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Iowa	12,797	61.8%	45.0%	0.1%	0.0%	0.6%	0.0%	3.7%	0.1%	9.9%	0.0%	0.0%	5.7%	0.0%	0.0%	11.5%
Kansas	14,577	81.9%	16.4%	0.0%	0.0%	6.6%	0.0%	5.1%	65.0%	1.3%	0.4%	0.1%	2.4%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Kentucky	18,929	45.3%	22.5%	0.2%	0.0%	1.9%	0.1%	2.5%	4.8%	14.7%	1.9%	1.3%	1.7%	0.0%	0.0%	1.7%
Louisiana	5,986	49.4%	22.5%	0.1%	0.2%	6.3%	0.2%	6.6%	0.0%	14.4%	0.0%	0.8%	7.5%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Maine	7,309	47.8%	28.7%	0.0%	0.0%	8.3%	0.0%	7.7%	2.5%	3.4%	4.2%	0.1%	4.1%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Maryland	13,426	33.6%	8.4%	0.1%	0.8%	3.1%	0.1%	12.9%	7.1%	6.1%	0.0%	0.1%	2.2%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Massachusetts	31,741	25.1%	13.0%	0.3%	0.2%	0.0%	0.0%	2.7%	3.2%	1.1%	3.8%	0.7%	2.1%	0.1%	0.0%	0.0%
Michigan	56,966	30.7%	24.6%	0.0%	0.0%	0.4%	0.2%	7.6%	0.0%	1.1%	0.0%	0.1%	0.2%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Minnesota	19,918	58.6%	27.9%	0.0%	0.0%	1.0%	0.0%	9.9%	2.6%	1.6%	0.7%	1.5%	8.1%	0.0%	0.0%	23.9%
Mississippi	9,675	25.2%	12.7%	0.0%	0.0%	3.2%	0.0%	3.0%	3.0%	3.3%	0.1%	0.9%	0.6%	0.0%	0.0%	0.1%
Missouri	28,614	41.9%	14.9%	0.3%	0.4%	1.2%	0.1%	4.9%	0.0%	11.6%	0.0%	4.8%	0.2%	0.0%	0.0%	12.2%
Montana	3,924	84.6%	17.6%	0.0%	0.0%	84.6%	0.0%	8.1%	1.7%	4.2%	2.3%	7.0%	1.8%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Nebraska	8,175	38.2%	21.5%	0.0%	0.0%	0.2%	0.0%	10.2%	0.1%	6.9%	0.0%	0.0%	1.6%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Nevada	3,054	80.5%	38.0%	0.0%	0.2%	64.0%	0.1%	8.3%	3.3%	4.0%	0.0%	1.2%	0.2%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%

(continued…)

Table 3:13 (FY 2005)
(continued)

Temporary Assistance for Needy Families
Average Monthly Number of Adults With Hours of Participation by Work Activity as a Percent of the Total Number of Adults
Fiscal Year 2005

State	Average Monthly Number Of Adults With Hours Of Participation By Work Activity As A Percent Of The Total Number Of Adults															
	Total Adults	Adults with Hours of Participation 1/	Unsubsidized Employment	Subsidized Private Employment	Subsidized Public Employment	Work Experience	On-the-Job Training	Job Search	Community Service	Vocational Education	Job Skills Training	Education Related to Employment	Satisfactory School Attendance	Providing Child Care	Additional Waiver Activities	Other
New Hampshire	4,348	49.1%	23.3%	0.0%	0.0%	4.1%	0.1%	8.6%	3.5%	1.4%	7.0%	0.0%	5.0%	0.0%	0.0%	15.8%
New Jersey	28,273	40.4%	14.3%	0.0%	0.0%	14.2%	0.1%	4.7%	0.2%	11.1%	2.7%	5.2%	0.5%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
New Mexico	13,240	41.8%	24.7%	0.0%	0.0%	3.1%	0.1%	5.9%	5.4%	8.5%	0.3%	1.1%	0.6%	0.1%	0.0%	0.0%
New York	93,466	33.9%	18.3%	0.0%	1.3%	5.0%	0.1%	0.5%	6.7%	3.3%	0.0%	0.3%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
North Carolina	14,285	37.7%	17.8%	0.0%	0.1%	4.2%	0.0%	6.5%	0.0%	11.2%	0.3%	2.0%	1.4%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
North Dakota	2,162	46.9%	21.4%	0.1%	0.0%	9.1%	0.0%	13.2%	0.1%	4.7%	1.9%	3.5%	2.2%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Ohio	42,973	75.5%	23.8%	0.0%	0.1%	33.1%	0.2%	5.6%	0.0%	15.4%	1.1%	0.1%	2.0%	0.0%	0.0%	14.8%
Oklahoma	5,625	54.0%	12.9%	0.7%	0.0%	2.7%	0.0%	17.9%	0.0%	7.0%	7.9%	3.8%	1.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Oregon	11,497	39.4%	10.3%	0.0%	0.0%	14.2%	0.1%	4.5%	0.0%	2.8%	2.9%	3.2%	1.8%	0.0%	0.0%	7.8%
Pennsylvania	74,247	30.9%	10.6%	0.0%	0.0%	6.9%	0.0%	1.0%	0.7%	5.2%	2.0%	1.1%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	6.9%
Puerto Rico	12,152	13.7%	1.6%	1.3%	0.2%	1.1%	1.6%	1.1%	3.8%	3.3%	0.2%	0.2%	0.1%	0.0%	0.0%	0.1%
Rhode Island	8,153	34.4%	22.3%	0.0%	0.0%	1.1%	0.0%	3.7%	0.0%	5.4%	0.0%	0.5%	0.2%	0.0%	0.0%	4.2%
South Carolina	8,012	61.6%	38.0%	0.0%	0.0%	2.8%	0.1%	6.6%	13.6%	2.6%	3.3%	0.0%	4.6%	0.4%	0.0%	2.5%
South Dakota	1,000	66.3%	14.1%	0.1%	0.0%	0.0%	2.7%	3.5%	44.0%	6.5%	0.1%	5.8%	0.7%	0.1%	0.0%	0.0%
Tennessee	53,089	57.5%	20.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.6%	0.0%	28.9%	0.1%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.8%	0.0%	36.3%	10.0%
Texas	37,663	44.4%	28.6%	1.0%	0.4%	2.4%	0.0%	12.3%	4.2%	3.5%	0.5%	0.9%	1.1%	0.0%	1.6%	0.0%
Utah	6,320	52.8%	21.3%	0.0%	0.0%	3.5%	0.2%	17.2%	0.0%	12.7%	10.3%	0.3%	1.9%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Vermont	4,066	74.2%	22.2%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.1%	11.0%	6.2%	1.1%	3.1%	3.7%	4.7%	0.0%	0.0%	51.0%
Virgin Islands	347	25.4%	0.3%	0.0%	0.0%	2.6%	1.7%	6.3%	1.7%	6.6%	1.2%	1.2%	2.9%	0.0%	0.0%	0.9%
Virginia	10,173	67.8%	51.4%	0.3%	0.0%	3.4%	0.9%	21.6%	0.0%	0.6%	1.8%	1.2%	0.1%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Washington	40,517	65.4%	17.5%	0.0%	3.0%	0.0%	0.0%	7.6%	43.2%	4.6%	2.1%	0.7%	3.9%	0.1%	0.0%	5.4%
West Virginia	7,163	30.1%	5.9%	0.0%	0.1%	2.4%	0.0%	3.2%	11.1%	7.4%	0.0%	0.0%	2.8%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Wisconsin	8,947	75.5%	6.0%	0.0%	0.0%	43.2%	0.0%	13.5%	6.6%	0.5%	16.5%	24.9%	5.9%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Wyoming	66	65.2%	12.1%	0.0%	0.0%	47.0%	0.0%	18.2%	0.0%	1.5%	0.0%	0.0%	1.5%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%

1/ Adults participating in more than one activity are included once in this total.

Source: TANF Data Report

Table 3:14 (FY 2005)

Temporary Assistance for Needy Families
Total Hours of Participation for All Adults by Work Activity
Fiscal Year 2005

State	Total Hours Of Reported TANF Participation By Activity						
	Employment	Community Service/ Work Experience	Job Search	Vocational Education	Education and Training	Waiver & Other	Total Hours
United States	6,181,423	2,339,471	1,240,930	1,502,681	809,304	1,255,573	13,329,382
Percent	46.4%	17.6%	9.3%	11.3%	6.1%	9.4%	100.0%
Alabama	84,325	4,039	23,356	12,210	10,420	2,734	137,084
Alaska	35,834	6,738	6,895	7,749	1,468	3,961	62,645
Arizona	133,503	28,464	64,937	45,489	6,401	0	278,794
Arkansas	12,823	3,741	7,565	8,901	4,078	1,970	39,078
California	1,479,077	46,424	149,177	254,830	104,147	37,931	2,071,586
Colorado	47,565	21,358	23,173	20,830	15,137	0	128,063
Connecticut	92,890	1,087	8,501	21,335	10,868	42,458	177,139
Delaware	15,450	9,214	32	0	859	1	25,556
Dist. Of Col.	69,031	1,769	8,855	3,138	930	207	83,930
Florida	98,201	44,492	30,915	48,413	28,829	0	250,850
Georgia	73,273	64,082	32,914	58,080	27,809	4,696	260,854
Guam	0	0	.	.	0	0	0
Hawaii	42,684	5,740	9,654	5,589	843	0	64,510
Idaho	4,022	368	2,269	2,885	164	5,065	14,773
Illinois	90,576	43,268	4,628	47,081	28,384	137,257	351,194
Indiana	203,151	1,882	36,038	5,813	20,690	0	267,574
Iowa	215,717	1,216	3,436	38,905	7,573	34,268	301,115
Kansas	64,724	309,797	16,405	5,473	9,959	0	406,358
Kentucky	98,870	31,869	14,140	76,679	19,495	6,099	247,152
Louisiana	34,805	6,401	5,283	20,622	7,394	0	74,505
Maine	49,376	16,201	8,808	5,230	11,899	0	91,514
Maryland	30,185	32,925	22,095	16,404	6,944	0	108,553
Massachusetts	100,719	20,966	18,967	6,891	47,467	0	195,010
Michigan	341,869	3,867	83,607	12,144	6,151	0	447,638
Minnesota	110,096	12,395	31,954	7,634	56,421	69,791	288,291
Mississippi	31,372	10,297	5,207	6,463	2,883	204	56,426
Missouri	105,930	6,337	19,527	74,623	27,670	53,152	287,239
Montana	13,893	85,892	3,339	4,405	3,053	0	110,582
Nebraska	41,296	620	21,889	17,408	3,113	0	84,326
Nevada	33,496	5,860	2,704	2,509	677	0	45,246
New Hampshire	23,832	4,297	5,697	1,379	10,443	11,655	57,303
New Jersey	105,921	79,006	23,284	71,300	35,036	0	314,547
New Mexico	94,930	26,932	14,766	34,589	7,428	0	178,645
New York	526,578	297,990	9,495	100,952	6,363	13	941,391
North Carolina	65,629	11,097	20,920	35,710	10,097	0	143,453
North Dakota	10,151	4,607	3,626	2,819	2,708	0	23,911
Ohio	265,887	366,939	48,473	179,512	24,249	108,158	993,218
Oklahoma	22,119	3,610	21,554	10,992	17,922	0	76,197
Oregon	22,977	24,340	9,626	4,894	13,756	22,399	97,992
Pennsylvania	179,532	198,562	9,630	87,264	36,669	59,186	570,843
Puerto Rico	10,817	17,567	4,115	11,212	8,097	392	52,200
Rhode Island	44,281	2,263	6,233	10,360	1,165	8,163	72,465
South Carolina	80,032	26,632	7,252	5,116	16,767	4,223	140,022
South Dakota	2,818	8,404	361	1,681	1,760	0	15,024
Tennessee	266,718	5,721	174,840	0	15,100	559,391	1,021,770
Texas	315,380	38,033	81,400	27,821	13,494	8,728	484,856
Utah	33,945	4,369	18,511	18,017	12,326	0	87,168
Vermont	18,154	5,680	6,914	910	6,671	29,415	67,744
Virgin Islands	53	422	658	744	699	89	2,665
Virginia	147,983	5,730	32,802	1,055	6,048	0	193,618
Washington	170,959	289,992	45,721	41,968	50,354	43,967	642,961
West Virginia	9,328	17,327	3,271	16,041	2,972	0	48,939
Wisconsin	8,457	71,593	25,226	584	37,442	0	143,302
Wyoming	189	1,049	285	28	12	0	1,563

Source: TANF Data Report

Table 3:15 (FY 2005)

Temporary Assistance for Needy Families
Average Hours of Participation in Work Activities, Including Waivers, For All Adults Participating in the Work Activity
Fiscal Year 2005

State	Average Monthly Number Of Hours Of Participation In Work Activity By Adults Participating In The Work Activity																
	Total Adults	Adults with Hours of Participation 1/	Unsubsidized Employment	Subsidized Private Employment	Subsidized Public Employment	Work Experience	On-the-Job Training	Job Search	Community Service	Vocational Education	Job Skills Training	Education Related to Employment	Satisfactory School Attendance	Providing Child Care	Additional Waiver Activities	Other	All Fourteen Activities
United States	1,095,346	475,300	25.8	24.1	29.4	22.8	28.7	17.0	22.4	25.1	16.3	14.6	21.6	26.7	23.3	17.6	28.0
Alabama	10,897	4,938	26.6	24.9	21.9	25.4	27.5	21.5	23.2	20.5	16.7	-	20.6	-	-	14.4	27.8
Alaska	3,857	2,118	28.4	-	28.9	-	26.2	14.8	18.2	17.6	-	-	20.6	-	-	11.8	29.6
Arizona	25,842	9,452	24.6	-	-	22.2	25.8	21.4	17.6	29.1	15.3	12.6	15.4	-	-	-	29.5
Arkansas	4,174	1,494	24.8	27.0	22.4	20.3	31.8	20.1	19.2	26.2	16.5	22.3	20.8	10.0	-	24.9	26.2
California	203,527	76,825	26.2	-	20.2	16.8	34.5	16.7	26.0	23.4	14.7	17.2	18.9	-	26.4	16.2	27.0
Colorado	11,501	3,817	30.3	24.9	-	19.6	-	38.5	20.4	31.7	21.9	17.1	19.8	-	-	-	33.6
Connecticut	11,719	5,962	24.2	26.1	20.0	17.4	-	15.1	7.5	22.7	15.5	16.0	21.1	30.0	-	24.3	29.7
Delaware	3,026	918	23.1	-	-	27.2	-	5.1	18.0	-	18.6	26.3	21.0	-	-	7.0	27.8
Dist. of Col.	9,989	2,871	30.9	-	-	21.0	1.0	19.0	55.0	18.0	-	25.3	-	-	11.5	-	29.2
Florida	20,625	8,170	25.0	32.0	-	25.0	-	22.0	21.3	30.2	6.0	15.7	30.8	-	-	-	30.7
Georgia	16,349	8,470	27.7	33.0	30.5	22.7	27.3	27.9	27.2	26.2	9.7	13.4	23.9	27.5	-	10.0	30.8
Guam	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.
Hawaii	5,868	2,038	26.1	-	-	20.8	27.0	17.9	9.6	14.1	17.0	12.6	19.6	-	-	-	31.7
Idaho	563	502	20.2	13.4	13.8	10.9	-	12.3	6.1	16.9	-	8.0	18.0	-	-	16.2	29.4
Illinois	18,278	12,195	27.0	-	-	31.6	-	23.9	28.2	30.6	20.3	18.7	31.7	-	5.0	20.9	28.8
Indiana	26,256	9,463	26.5	16.2	-	13.5	12.3	28.6	-	25.4	22.7	17.7	25.0	-	-	-	28.3
Iowa	12,797	7,906	37.4	52.3	-	14.4	-	7.2	11.6	30.7	-	-	10.4	-	-	23.3	38.1
Kansas	14,577	11,940	27.0	-	-	29.9	22.1	22.1	29.7	28.1	22.7	15.2	24.3	-	-	-	34.0
Kentucky	18,929	8,574	23.0	23.7	-	25.1	37.6	30.1	25.0	27.6	16.5	15.4	30.0	-	-	19.0	28.8
Louisiana	5,986	2,956	25.7	22.6	20.7	17.0	20.7	13.4	-	24.0	-	22.6	13.6	-	-	-	25.2
Maine	7,309	3,494	23.6	-	-	20.5	23.6	15.6	20.5	20.7	16.7	28.1	21.7	-	-	-	26.2
Maryland	13,426	4,514	24.2	19.8	23.5	19.9	16.0	12.8	26.0	20.0	20.4	16.0	22.7	-	-	-	24.0
Massachusetts	31,741	7,977	23.8	22.3	16.8	-	-	21.9	20.8	19.5	22.6	19.3	23.7	20.0	-	-	24.4
Michigan	56,966	17,504	24.4	-	31.5	16.2	27.9	19.3	12.8	20.0	9.9	2.4	20.9	-	-	-	25.6
Minnesota	19,918	11,667	19.8	-	-	20.1	-	16.2	16.3	23.7	16.3	21.0	29.9	-	-	14.6	24.7
Mississippi	9,675	2,440	25.5	-	-	18.3	-	18.1	15.5	19.9	8.4	13.6	26.7	-	-	18.0	23.1
Missouri	28,614	11,981	24.0	23.1	15.9	18.8	5.5	14.1	-	22.4	-	19.1	26.4	-	-	15.2	24.0
Montana	3,924	3,321	20.1	-	-	25.7	-	10.5	9.8	26.7	6.5	6.6	9.1	-	-	-	33.3
Nebraska	8,175	3,125	23.4	-	-	24.5	15.9	26.4	25.0	30.6	-	-	23.0	-	-	-	27.0
Nevada	3,054	2,458	28.8	-	5.3	2.1	4.0	10.7	17.3	20.5	-	14.4	22.0	-	-	-	18.4

(continued…)

Table 3:15 (FY 2005)
(continued)

Temporary Assistance for Needy Families
Average Hours of Participation in Work Activities, Including Waivers,
For All Adults Participating in the Work Activity
Fiscal Year 2005

State	Average Monthly Number Of Hours Of Participation In Work Activity By Adults Participating In The Work Activity																
	Total Adults	Adults with Hours of Participation 1/	Unsubsidized Employment	Subsidized Private Employment	Subsidized Public Employment	Work Experience	On-the-Job Training	Job Search	Community Service	Vocational Education	Job Skills Training	Education Related to Employment	Satisfactory School Attendance	Providing Child Care	Additional Waiver Activities	Other	All Fourteen Activities
New Hampshire	4,348	2,137	23.5	-	-	14.7	24.6	15.3	10.8	22.0	20.6	7.2	18.9	11.6	-	17.0	26.8
New Jersey	28,273	11,420	26.2	-	-	19.4	26.1	17.4	19.1	22.8	12.7	13.8	28.0	27.6	-	-	27.5
New Mexico	13,240	5,536	29.1	-	-	25.9	44.0	19.0	23.2	30.9	35.0	16.3	33.6	26.0	-	-	32.3
New York	93,466	31,645	28.0	-	37.6	21.9	25.0	18.8	31.2	32.4	13.4	14.1	30.0	-	-	1.0	29.7
North Carolina	14,285	5,384	25.8	30.2	16.4	18.4	19.5	22.6	-	22.2	7.8	20.0	20.0	-	-	-	26.6
North Dakota	2,162	1,015	21.8	19.3	-	23.3	28.1	12.7	12.4	27.9	13.7	17.1	17.0	34.0	-	-	23.6
Ohio	42,973	32,455	25.8	-	34.5	25.8	24.7	20.3	-	27.2	15.8	23.6	15.7	-	-	17.0	30.6
Oklahoma	5,625	3,039	29.1	23.7	36.3	23.7	29.0	21.4	-	28.1	26.4	21.3	26.0	-	-	-	25.1
Oregon	11,497	4,531	19.4	9.5	-	14.9	22.7	18.5	2.5	15.2	13.0	12.4	23.1	-	-	25.0	21.6
Pennsylvania	74,247	22,946	22.9	36.0	-	37.0	-	13.0	14.4	22.8	18.9	11.4	-	-	-	11.6	24.9
Puerto Rico	12,152	1,666	28.6	30.6	25.5	26.1	32.4	31.0	30.3	27.9	35.2	29.4	13.5	-	35.0	20.0	31.3
Rhode Island	8,153	2,808	24.3	30.6	-	25.1	-	20.5	-	23.5	-	23.0	23.4	-	-	24.0	25.8
South Carolina	8,012	4,935	26.3	7.7	2.0	18.6	16.2	13.6	20.7	24.9	24.9	9.5	25.2	28.7	-	21.4	28.4
South Dakota	1,000	663	19.9	8.0	-	-	32.0	10.3	19.1	26.0	9.0	12.1	22.4	6.8	-	-	22.7
Tennessee	53,089	30,507	25.1	-	-	14.5	21.5	11.4	24.6	-	-	-	33.9	-	23.5	20.1	33.5
Texas	37,663	16,733	28.2	22.3	23.1	12.8	-	17.5	16.6	21.2	2.6	8.1	24.7	-	14.1	-	29.0
Utah	6,320	3,340	25.2	50.0	-	19.9	15.7	17.0	-	22.4	15.0	14.9	18.1	-	-	-	26.1
Vermont	4,066	3,017	20.1	21.0	-	-	18.2	15.5	22.4	20.9	14.2	10.8	16.7	-	-	14.2	22.5
Virgin Islands	347	88	29.0	-	40.0	30.4	30.6	30.0	28.5	32.6	29.0	29.5	29.1	-	-	26.8	30.4
Virginia	10,173	6,902	28.2	17.5	-	16.4	18.2	14.9	-	18.6	16.8	9.8	15.6	-	-	-	28.1
Washington	40,517	26,487	19.4	-	27.7	-	20.7	14.8	16.6	22.3	14.9	19.5	19.9	20.7	-	20.1	24.3
West Virginia	7,163	2,157	21.9	-	12.5	19.9	15.0	14.4	17.5	30.2	-	-	14.6	-	-	-	22.7
Wisconsin	8,947	6,756	15.8	11.4	11.7	15.1	16.4	20.9	22.5	13.3	8.7	7.4	15.2	-	-	-	21.2
Wyoming	66	43	24.1	-	-	33.6	-	23.3	8.0	25.8	-	-	17.8	-	-	-	36.2

1/ Adults participating in more than one activity are included once in this total.
Source: TANF Data Report

Table 3:16 (FY 2005)

Temporary Assistance for Needy Families
Number of Families Required to Participate in the All Families Work Participation Rate
Fiscal Year 2005

State	Number Of Families Used In All-Families Rate	Number Of Participating Families In All-Families Rate	Average Monthly Number Of Families Required To Participate, But Not Participating					
			Number Of Non-Participating Families In All-Families Rate	Zero Hours Of Participation	One To Ten Hours Of Participation	Eleven To Twenty Hours Of Participation	Twenty-One To Thirty Hours Of Participation	Thirty-One Or More Hours Of Participation
United States	885,730	296,764	588,968	468,279	40,835	50,281	25,210	4,368
Alabama	8,383	3,235	5,148	4,007	429	457	187	68
Alaska	2,549	1,165	1,384	975	196	143	69	1
Arizona	21,993	6,645	15,348	13,081	1,138	741	362	26
Arkansas	2,967	913	2,055	1,647	143	156	99	9
California	179,908	47,037	132,872	106,967	7,305	12,340	5,183	1,075
Colorado	9,067	2,341	6,726	5,705	278	335	307	101
Connecticut	9,262	3,154	6,108	4,376	574	907	220	31
Delaware	2,896	654	2,242	1,998	69	149	27	-
Dist. Of Col.	8,323	1,937	6,386	5,464	284	371	257	9
Florida	15,163	6,082	9,081	7,538	327	574	494	148
Georgia	13,142	7,303	5,839	5,002	306	325	179	26
Guam	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.
Hawaii	4,553	1,616	2,937	2,531	150	176	72	10
Idaho	476	211	265	129	53	52	29	3
Illinois	12,127	5,366	6,761	5,507	538	302	383	31
Indiana	21,203	6,559	14,644	12,271	774	1,022	547	31
Iowa	10,955	5,243	5,711	4,297	616	565	212	22
Kansas	11,732	10,168	1,564	1,336	68	79	69	13
Kentucky	14,962	6,827	8,135	7,024	394	477	208	32
Louisiana	5,111	2,024	3,087	2,195	331	363	180	18
Maine	6,800	1,927	4,872	3,425	410	527	451	61
Maryland	12,235	2,544	9,691	7,789	932	732	230	8
Massachusetts	11,061	6,624	4,437	3,954	119	364	-	-
Michigan	44,638	9,864	34,775	28,790	1,802	2,701	1,482	-
Minnesota	15,645	4,673	10,972	7,673	1,306	1,296	560	137
Mississippi	6,736	1,381	5,355	4,370	420	447	113	6
Missouri	24,095	5,943	18,152	14,480	1,186	1,914	542	30
Montana	3,102	2,581	521	325	74	72	50	1
Nebraska	6,233	2,182	4,051	3,533	226	188	79	25
Nevada	2,516	1,054	1,462	349	798	221	91	4

(continued...)

Table 3:16 (FY 2005)
(continued)

Temporary Assistance for Needy Families
Number of Families Required to Participate in the All Families Work Participation Rate
Fiscal Year 2005

State	Number Of Families Used In All-Families Rate	Number Of Participating Families In All-Families Rate	Average Monthly Number Of Families Required To Participate, But Not Participating					
			Number Of Non-Participating Families In All-Families Rate	Zero Hours Of Participation	One To Ten Hours Of Participation	Eleven To Twenty Hours Of Participation	Twenty-One To Thirty Hours Of Participation	Thirty-One Or More Hours Of Participation
New Hampshire	3,407	839	2,568	1,747	247	236	243	94
New Jersey	25,427	7,460	17,966	14,403	1,305	1,255	614	389
New Mexico	9,694	4,061	5,632	4,801	321	265	221	24
New York	70,344	24,814	45,529	40,083	1,788	2,647	1,004	8
North Carolina	11,846	3,734	8,113	6,541	446	758	357	11
North Dakota	1,585	511	1,074	639	146	195	82	12
Ohio	36,189	21,117	15,072	10,144	1,567	1,939	1,334	88
Oklahoma	4,427	1,506	2,921	1,609	341	322	419	230
Oregon	8,492	1,267	7,225	5,168	869	770	363	55
Pennsylvania	65,832	10,003	55,829	47,284	3,340	2,953	1,927	326
Puerto Rico	10,591	1,411	9,180	8,946	11	100	106	16
Rhode Island	6,564	1,589	4,975	4,183	234	395	156	7
South Carolina	6,692	3,643	3,049	2,136	218	479	182	34
South Dakota	785	449	336	167	56	86	25	1
Tennessee	39,259	20,449	18,811	13,325	1,294	2,405	1,477	311
Texas	35,275	13,667	21,608	18,758	1,160	1,203	487	-
Utah	5,845	1,818	4,027	2,567	577	549	253	81
Vermont	3,047	683	2,364	1,560	335	288	138	43
Virgin Islands	341	59	282	257	1	7	17	1
Virginia	9,916	4,588	5,329	3,062	595	887	746	38
Washington	30,219	11,663	18,557	9,263	3,474	3,506	1,752	563
West Virginia	5,296	1,031	4,265	3,440	419	279	122	4
Wisconsin	6,779	3,112	3,667	1,453	844	760	503	106
Wyoming	45	37	8	5	1	1	-	-

Source: TANF Data Report

Table 3:17 (FY 2005)

Separate State Programs
SSP-MOE Work Participation Rates
Fiscal Year 2005

State	All-Family		Two-Parent	
	Absent Waiver	With Waiver	Absent Waiver	With Waiver
United States	31.0%	31.2%	32.4%	32.8%
Alabama	34.5%		28.8%	
Alaska				
Arizona				
Arkansas				
California	35.8%		33.7%	
Colorado				
Connecticut	18.1%		20.0%	
Delaware	29.2%		24.4%	
Dist. of Col.	0.7%			
Florida	48.1%		35.9%	
Georgia	53.7%		44.6%	
Guam				
Hawaii	36.5%		24.6%	
Idaho				
Illinois	19.0%		52.3%	
Indiana	45.2%		29.5%	
Iowa	26.9%		40.5%	
Kansas				
Kentucky				
Louisiana				
Maine	21.7%		33.6%	
Maryland	7.9%		17.8%	
Massachusetts	24.1%	43.3%	47.7%	47.7%
Michigan				
Minnesota	28.6%		27.5%	
Mississippi				
Missouri	11.7%		17.9%	
Montana				
Nebraska	12.0%		16.8%	
Nevada	20.6%		39.6%	
New Hampshire	33.0%		32.2%	
New Jersey	27.7%		26.4%	
New Mexico				
New York	44.3%		47.7%	
North Carolina				
North Dakota				
Ohio				
Oklahoma				
Oregon				
Pennsylvania				
Puerto Rico				
Rhode Island	13.5%		2.2%	
South Carolina	7.0%		24.5%	
South Dakota				
Tennessee	16.6%	50.5%	15.5%	53.3%
Texas	43.5%		55.8%	
Utah	59.0%		40.3%	
Vermont	2.1%		0.9%	
Virgin Islands				
Virginia	7.2%		29.4%	
Washington	17.0%		11.9%	
West Virginia	22.6%		22.0%	
Wisconsin	30.6%		18.5%	
Wyoming	0.8%			

1/ SSP provides additional assistance to TANF recipients. Work activities posted in TANF Program.
Source: TANF Data Report

Table 3:2 (FY 2006)

Temporary Assistance for Needy Families
TANF Work Participation Rates, With and Without Waivers
Fiscal Year 2006

State	All-Families				Two-Parent Families			
	Absent Waiver	With Waiver	Adjusted Standard[1]	Met Target	Absent Waiver	With Waiver	Adjusted Standard[1]	Met Target
United States	30.6%	32.5%			45.9%	45.9%		
Alabama	41.6%		0.0%	YES	1/			NA
Alaska	45.6%		6.8%	YES	54.2%		43.3%	YES
Arizona	29.6%		11.6%	YES	67.5%		51.6%	YES
Arkansas	27.9%		2.7%	YES	22.3%		42.7%	NO
California	22.2%		5.1%	YES	1/			NA
Colorado	30.0%		0.0%	YES	35.2%		33.8%	YES
Connecticut	30.8%		23.4%	YES	1/			NA
Delaware	25.3%		18.2%	YES	1/			NA
Dist. of Col.	17.1%		14.4%	YES	13.1%		54.4%	NO
Florida	41.0%		0.0%	YES	1/			NA
Georgia	64.9%		0.0%	YES	1/			NA
Guam	0.0%		50.0%	NO	0.0%		90.0%	NO
Hawaii	37.3%		0.0%	YES	1/			NA
Idaho	44.2%		28.5%	YES	39.2%		19.2%	YES
Illinois	53.0%		0.0%	YES	1/			NA
Indiana	26.7%		27.1%	NO	1/			NA
Iowa	39.0%		17.3%	YES	1/			NA
Kansas	77.2%		38.8%	YES	82.3%		78.8%	YES
Kentucky	44.6%		11.9%	YES	51.3%		15.6%	YES
Louisiana	38.4%		0.0%	YES	42.5%		24.7%	YES
Maine	26.6%		2.9%	YES	1/			NA
Maryland	44.5%		5.2%	YES	1/			NA
Massachusetts	13.6%		8.5%	YES	1/			NA
Michigan	21.6%		0.0%	YES	26.2%		8.2%	YES
Minnesota	30.3%		14.9%	YES	1/			NA
Mississippi	35.5%		4.1%	YES	1/			NA
Missouri	18.7%		2.8%	YES	1/			NA
Montana	79.2%		16.3%	YES	83.3%		56.3%	YES
Nebraska	32.0%		31.1%	YES	1/			NA
Nevada	47.8%		10.7%	YES	1/			NA
New Hampshire	24.1%		8.4%	YES	1/			NA
New Jersey	29.2%		0.0%	YES	1/			NA
New Mexico	42.3%		13.2%	YES	54.5%		53.2%	YES
New York	37.8%		0.0%	YES	48.9%		28.2%	YES
North Carolina	32.4%		0.0%	YES	54.0%		27.3%	YES
North Dakota	51.9%		4.8%	YES	1/			NA
Ohio	54.9%		19.1%	YES	55.5%		48.5%	YES
Oklahoma	32.9%		0.0%	YES	1/			NA
Oregon	15.2%		0.3%	YES	22.6%		13.9%	YES
Pennsylvania	26.1%		0.0%	YES	32.5%		28.8%	YES
Puerto Rico	13.1%		0.0%	YES	1/			NA
Rhode Island	24.9%		10.7%	YES	94.3%		50.7%	YES
South Carolina	49.5%		3.3%	YES	64.7%		43.3%	YES
South Dakota	57.9%		13.7%	YES	1/			NA
Tennessee	16.8%	57.2%	19.1%	YES	1/			NA
Texas	42.0%		0.0%	YES	1/			NA
Utah	42.5%		27.3%	YES	1/			NA
Vermont	22.2%		2.4%	YES	33.9%		30.8%	YES
Virgin Islands	14.5%		0.0%	YES	1/			NA
Virginia	53.9%		0.8%	YES	1/			NA
Washington	36.1%		10.7%	YES	43.1%		32.5%	YES
West Virginia	26.2%		0.0%	YES	1/			NA
Wisconsin	36.2%		0.0%	YES	17.1%		11.6%	YES
Wyoming	77.2%		0.0%	YES	75.9%		0.0%	YES

[1]The work participation rate standard before application of the caseload reduction credit is 50% for the all families rate and 90% for the two-parent families rate.

N/A - State has no two-parent families in its TANF program.

Source: TANF Data Report

Table 3:3 (FY 2006)

Temporary Assistance for Needy Families
Changes in TANF Work Participation Rates
From FY 2005 to FY 2006

State	All-Families				Two-Parent Families			
	Fy 2005 Rate	Fy 2006 Rate	Difference	Percent Change	Fy 2005 Rate	Fy 2006 Rate	Difference	Percent Change
United States	33.0%	32.5%	-0.5%	-1.5%	42.6%	45.9%	3.3%	7.7%
Alabama	38.6%	41.6%	3.0%	7.8%	1/	1/		
Alaska	45.7%	45.6%	-0.1%	-0.2%	54.7%	54.2%	-0.5%	-0.9%
Arizona	30.3%	29.6%	-0.7%	-2.3%	74.2%	67.5%	-6.7%	-9.0%
Arkansas	28.3%	27.9%	-0.4%	-1.4%	45.9%	22.3%	-23.6%	-51.4%
California	25.9%	22.2%	-3.7%	-14.3%	1/	1/		
Colorado	25.8%	30.0%	4.2%	16.3%	32.1%	35.2%	3.1%	9.7%
Connecticut	33.8%	30.8%	-3.0%	-8.9%	1/	1/		
Delaware	22.6%	25.3%	2.7%	11.9%	1/	1/		
Dist. of Col.	23.5%	17.1%	-6.4%	-27.2%	35.9%	13.1%	-22.8%	-63.5%
Florida	38.0%	41.0%	3.0%	7.9%	1/	1/		
Georgia	57.2%	64.9%	7.7%	13.5%	1/	1/		
Guam	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%		0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	
Hawaii	35.5%	37.3%	1.8%	5.1%	1/	1/		
Idaho	39.9%	44.2%	4.3%	10.8%	41.4%	39.2%	-2.2%	-5.3%
Illinois	43.0%	53.0%	10.0%	23.3%	1/	1/		
Indiana	30.9%	26.7%	-4.2%	-13.6%	1/	1/		
Iowa	47.8%	39.0%	-8.8%	-18.4%	1/	1/		
Kansas	86.7%	77.2%	-9.5%	-11.0%	92.8%	82.3%	-10.5%	-11.3%
Kentucky	39.7%	44.6%	4.9%	12.3%	48.9%	51.3%	2.4%	4.9%
Louisiana	34.6%	38.4%	3.8%	11.0%	37.0%	42.5%	5.5%	14.9%
Maine	28.3%	26.6%	-1.7%	-6.0%	1/	1/		
Maryland	20.5%	44.5%	24.0%	117.1%	1/	1/		
Massachusetts	59.9%	13.6%	-46.3%	-77.3%	58.2%	1/		
Michigan	22.0%	21.6%	-0.4%	-1.8%	30.4%	26.2%	-4.2%	-13.8%
Minnesota	28.9%	30.3%	1.4%	4.8%	1/	1/		
Mississippi	22.6%	35.5%	12.9%	57.1%	1/	1/		
Missouri	20.0%	18.7%	-1.3%	-6.5%	1/	1/		
Montana	83.1%	79.2%	-3.9%	-4.7%	85.4%	83.3%	-2.1%	-2.5%
Nebraska	31.8%	32.0%	0.2%	0.6%	1/	1/		
Nevada	42.3%	47.8%	5.5%	13.0%	1/	1/		
New Hampshire	24.6%	24.1%	-0.5%	-2.0%	1/	1/		
New Jersey	29.0%	29.2%	0.2%	0.7%	1/	1/		
New Mexico	41.6%	42.3%	0.7%	1.7%	57.5%	54.5%	-3.0%	-5.2%
New York	35.2%	37.8%	2.6%	7.4%	43.4%	48.9%	5.5%	12.7%
North Carolina	27.5%	32.4%	4.9%	17.8%	44.7%	54.0%	9.3%	20.8%
North Dakota	31.4%	51.9%	20.5%	65.3%	1/	1/		
Ohio	58.3%	54.9%	-3.4%	-5.8%	58.1%	55.5%	-2.6%	-4.5%
Oklahoma	34.0%	32.9%	-1.1%	-3.2%	1/	1/		
Oregon	14.9%	15.2%	0.3%	2.0%	21.1%	22.6%	1.5%	7.1%
Pennsylvania	15.2%	26.1%	10.9%	71.7%	17.7%	32.5%	14.8%	83.6%
Puerto Rico	13.1%	13.1%	0.0%	0.0%	1/	1/		
Rhode Island	24.2%	24.9%	0.7%	2.9%	95.1%	94.3%	-0.8%	-0.8%
South Carolina	54.3%	49.5%	-4.8%	-8.8%	63.7%	64.7%	1.0%	1.6%
South Dakota	57.5%	57.9%	0.4%	0.7%	1/	1/		
Tennessee	52.1%	57.2%	5.1%	9.8%	1/	1/		
Texas	38.9%	42.0%	3.1%	8.0%	1/	1/		
Utah	30.3%	42.5%	12.2%	40.3%	1/	1/		
Vermont	22.4%	22.2%	-0.2%	-0.9%	35.8%	33.9%	-1.9%	-5.3%
Virgin Islands	16.9%	14.5%	-2.4%	-14.2%	1/	1/		
Virginia	46.3%	53.9%	7.6%	16.4%	1/	1/		
Washington	38.6%	36.1%	-2.5%	-6.5%	37.7%	43.1%	5.4%	14.3%
West Virginia	16.3%	26.2%	9.9%	60.7%	1/	1/		
Wisconsin	44.3%	36.2%	-8.1%	-18.3%	25.5%	17.1%	-8.4%	-32.9%
Wyoming	82.1%	77.2%	-4.9%	-6.0%	65.2%	75.9%	10.7%	16.4%

1/ State has no two-parent families in its TANF program.
Source: TANF Data Report

Table 3:4 (FY 2006)

Temporary Assistance for Needy Families
Caseload Reduction Credit
All Families and Two-Parent Families
Fiscal Year 2006

	All-Families						Two-Parent Families					
State	FY 1995	FY 2005	Adjustments	Adjusted FY 2005	Percent Change	Adjusted Standard	FY 1995	FY 2005	Adjustments	Adjusted FY 2005	Percent Change	Adjusted Standard
United States	4,903,627	2,084,931	373,298	2,458,229	-49.9%		340,699	33,413	11,083	44,496	-86.9%	
Alabama	46,030	20,297	-	20,297	-55.9%	0.0%	137	0		-	-100.0%	2/
Alaska	9,123	4,381	803	5,184	-43.2%	6.8%	1,136	596	10.00	606	-46.7%	43.3%
Arizona	71,142	43,738	78	43,816	-38.4%	11.6%	1,166	549	1/		-38.4%	51.6%
Arkansas	24,296	8,642	4,170	12,812	-47.3%	2.7%	279	257	1/		-47.3%	42.7%
California	919,471	506,503	-	506,503	-44.9%	5.1%	164,268	-		-	-100.0%	2/
Colorado	38,557	15,268	1,618	16,886	-56.2%	0.0%	750	846	1/		-56.2%	33.8%
Connecticut	60,985	24,088	20,655	44,743	-26.6%	23.4%	3,027	-		-	-100.0%	2/
Delaware	10,775	5,036	2,311	7,347	-31.8%	18.2%	78	-		-	-100.0%	2/
Dist. of Col.	26,789	17,254	-	17,254	-35.6%	14.4%	198	148	1/		-35.6%	54.4%
Florida	229,391	61,208	7,556	68,764	-70.0%	0.0%	3,615	-		-	-100.0%	2/
Georgia	139,135	41,955	25,885	67,840	-51.2%	0.0%	562	-		-	-100.0%	2/
Guam	2,099	-	3/		0.0%	50.0%	189	-	3/		0.0%	90.0%
Hawaii	21,674	9,410	1,333	10,743	-50.4%	0.0%	1,484	-		-	-100.0%	2/
Idaho	8,872	1,860	5,101	6,961	-21.5%	28.5%	606	41	136	177	-70.8%	19.2%
Illinois	236,205	39,269	1,130	40,399	-82.9%	0.0%	11,252	0		0	-100.0%	2/
Indiana	65,618	46,198	4,401	50,599	-22.9%	27.1%	2,217	-		-	-100.0%	2/
Iowa	36,483	21,923	2,638	24,561	-32.7%	17.3%	3,434	0		0	-100.0%	2/
Kansas	28,232	17,622	7,442	25,064	-11.2%	38.8%	1,667	976	1/		-11.2%	78.8%
Kentucky	75,384	34,701	11,927	46,628	-38.1%	11.9%	4,019	777	253	1,030	-74.4%	15.6%
Louisiana	79,825	16,103	11,596	27,699	-65.3%	0.0%	706	198	1/		-65.3%	24.7%
Maine	21,694	11,482	-	11,482	-47.1%	2.9%	1,904	0	-	0	-100.0%	2/
Maryland	81,185	26,824	18,005	44,829	-44.8%	5.2%	678	-	-	-	-100.0%	2/
Massachusetts	100,852	48,936	10,084	59,020	-41.5%	8.5%	3,433	0	0	-	-100.0%	2/
Michigan	201,696	80,590	7,326	87,916	-56.4%	0.0%	23,088	3,756	443	4,199	-81.8%	8.2%
Minnesota	61,339	32,266	7,553	39,819	-35.1%	14.9%	4,789	0	0	-	-100.0%	2/
Mississippi	52,528	16,060	12,346	28,406	-45.9%	4.1%	42	0	0	-	-100.0%	2/
Missouri	89,299	46,472	658	47,130	-47.2%	2.8%	2,365	0	-	0	-100.0%	-10.0%
Montana	10,387	4,614	2,269	6,883	-33.7%	16.3%	977	813	1/		-33.7%	56.3%
Nebraska	15,293	12,347	59	12,406	-18.9%	31.1%	802	-	-	-	-100.0%	2/
Nevada	15,708	7,933	1,605	9,538	-39.3%	10.7%	393	0	0	-	-100.0%	2/

(continued…)

Table 3:4 (FY 2006)
(continued)

Temporary Assistance for Needy Families
Caseload Reduction Credit
All Families and Two-Parent Families
Fiscal Year 2006

State	All-Families						Two-Parent Families					
	FY 1995	FY 2005	Adjustments	Adjusted FY 2005	Percent Change	Adjusted Standard	FY 1995	FY 2005	Adjustments	Adjusted FY 2005	Percent Change	Adjusted Standard
New Hampshire	10,800	6,302	-	6,302	-41.6%	8.4%	278	0	-	0	-100.0%	-10.0%
New Jersey	111,734	45,073	2,332	47,405	-57.6%	0.0%	3,739	-		-	-100.0%	2/
New Mexico	31,639	17,566	2,419	19,985	-36.8%	13.2%	1,430	779	1/		-36.8%	53.2%
New York	499,415	190,748	49,821	240,569	-51.8%	0.0%	27,522	7,409	3,098.00	10,507	-61.8%	28.2%
North Carolina	125,503	33,773	12,996	46,769	-62.7%	0.0%	2,696	366	1/		-62.7%	27.3%
North Dakota	5,215	2,856	-	2,856	-45.2%	4.8%	136	-		-	-100.0%	2/
Ohio	228,171	82,597	75,065	157,662	-30.9%	19.1%	16,551	3,290	6,386.00	9,676	-41.5%	48.5%
Oklahoma	44,790	12,073	3,215	15,288	-65.9%	0.0%	421	143		-	-100.0%	2/
Oregon	38,988	19,488	131	19,619	-49.7%	0.3%	3,019	723	0	723.00	-76.1%	13.9%
Pennsylvania	204,771	96,635	-	96,635	-52.8%	0.0%	8,263	3,206	-	3,206	-61.2%	28.8%
Puerto Rico	54,799	15,146	1,438	16,584	-69.7%	0.0%	-	-				2/
Rhode Island	22,194	13,217	245	13,462	-39.3%	10.7%	618	321	1/		-39.3%	50.7%
South Carolina	48,981	18,403	7,696	26,099	-46.7%	3.3%	691	924	1/		-46.7%	43.3%
South Dakota	6,092	2,771	1,109	3,880	-36.3%	13.7%	-	-				2/
Tennessee	104,009	71,915	-	71,915	-30.9%	19.1%	1,959	-		-	-100.0%	2/
Texas	274,606	89,631	30,807	120,438	-56.1%	0.0%	6,820	0		-	-100.0%	2/
Utah	16,648	9,073	3,790	12,863	-22.7%	27.3%	88	-		-	-100.0%	2/
Vermont	9,638	4,956	95	5,051	-47.6%	2.4%	1,393	569	0	569	-59.2%	30.8%
Virgin Islands	1,308	476	55	531	-59.4%	0.0%	-	-				2/
Virginia	72,147	36,686	-	36,686	-49.2%	0.8%	-	-				2/
Washington	96,626	58,649	-	58,649	-39.3%	10.7%	14,730	6,256	-	6,256	-57.5%	32.5%
West Virginia	38,404	12,880	-	12,880	-66.5%	0.0%	5,350	0		-	-100.0%	2/
Wisconsin	72,366	20,721	13,415	34,136	-52.8%	0.0%	5,667	466	757	1,223.00	-78.4%	11.6%
Wyoming	4,716	316	120	436	-90.8%	0.0%	67	4	1/		-90.8%	0.0%

1/ State has opted to use overall caseload reduction credit for two-parent rate.
2/ State does not have a two-parent TANF program.
3/ Did not submit a caseload reduction report.
Source: TANF Data Report

Table 3:5 (FY 2006)

Temporary Assistance for Needy Families
Status of TANF Families as Related to All Families Work Participation Rates
Fiscal Year 2006

State	Average Monthly Number Of Families									
						Disregarded From Participation				
	TANF Families	No Adult in Assistance Unit	Families Listed in Error	Families Used in All-Family Rate	Participating Families in All-Family Rate	Single Custodial Parent with Child Under One	Subjected to a Sanction	Part of an Ongoing Research Evaluation	Inconsistency Under an Approved Welfare Reform Waiver	Participation in a Tribal Work Program
United States	1,805,900	849,609	7,498	817,937	271,080	102,854	20,108	-	6,116	1,774
Alabama	19,162	9,069	-	7,829	3,260	1,427	836	-	-	-
Alaska	3,614	1,025	-	2,158	982	184	92	-	-	155
Arizona	39,551	18,653	-	18,349	5,475	1,552	181	-	-	816
Arkansas	8,204	4,127	-	2,885	839	887	306	-	-	-
California	449,971	263,797	-	169,333	37,244	16,841	-	-	-	-
Colorado	14,468	5,050	-	8,145	2,451	1,138	134	-	-	-
Connecticut	18,491	8,440	-	7,913	2,446	1,933	206	-	-	-
Delaware	5,504	2,572	-	2,768	700	87	77	-	-	-
Dist. of Col.	15,718	6,035	104	7,859	1,349	1,701	19	-	-	-
Florida	52,470	36,518	503	10,855	4,859	2,467	2,127	-	-	-
Georgia	31,781	23,766	-	5,875	3,808	1,883	256	-	-	-
Guam	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.
Hawaii	6,954	2,179	-	3,647	1,360	1,128	-	-	-	-
Idaho	1,818	1,426	-	355	161	37	-	-	-	-
Illinois	36,331	17,144	1,754	11,738	6,911	5,125	569	-	-	-
Indiana	42,534	18,394	-	19,451	5,191	3,940	749	-	-	-
Iowa	16,675	5,209	-	9,780	3,817	1,388	298	-	-	-
Kansas	17,232	4,585	-	11,321	8,746	1,322	-	-	-	4
Kentucky	33,092	17,146	-	13,183	6,401	1,802	961	-	-	-
Louisiana	11,916	8,300	-	3,215	1,345	401	-	-	-	-
Maine	9,304	2,471	-	6,574	1,748	130	114	-	-	15
Maryland	20,364	9,999	18	9,228	3,978	1,016	103	-	-	-
Massachusetts	46,582	18,264	303	23,699	3,818	4,316	-	-	-	-
Michigan	82,953	27,396	-	47,639	10,299	7,918	-	-	-	-
Minnesota	27,479	9,473	-	14,255	4,346	2,282	692	-	-	778
Mississippi	13,417	6,883	-	4,486	1,575	2,046	-	-	-	1
Missouri	38,748	10,729	-	23,915	5,057	3,528	575	-	-	-
Montana	3,812	1,202	-	2,532	2,008	-	78	-	-	-
Nebraska	10,097	3,542	-	6,555	2,206	-	-	-	-	-
Nevada	5,399	3,215	82	1,649	792	452	-	-	-	-
New Hampshire	6,105	2,032	-	3,269	787	616	188	-	-	-
New Jersey	40,832	12,147	-	24,440	7,150	3,055	1,190	-	-	-
New Mexico	16,895	5,634	182	9,005	3,827	1,551	523	-	-	-
New York	134,900	58,622	-	66,820	25,251	3,880	5,578	-	-	-
North Carolina	30,172	17,604	1,327	9,377	3,522	1,833	27	-	-	4
North Dakota	2,702	693	24	1,745	903	240	-	-	-	-
Ohio	79,485	42,666	564	33,722	18,533	2,486	47	-	-	-
Oklahoma	10,300	6,264	6	3,140	1,030	890	-	-	-	-
Oregon	18,524	8,568	-	8,109	1,229	1,578	269	-	-	-
Pennsylvania	94,696	28,168	-	62,396	17,258	4,132	-	-	-	-
Puerto Rico	14,325	3,124	751	9,923	1,357	526	-	-	-	-
Rhode Island	9,689	2,766	-	5,748	1,438	974	202	-	-	-
South Carolina	15,678	8,341	41	6,304	3,109	873	118	-	-	-
South Dakota	2,823	1,827	1	793	458	193	9	-	-	-
Tennessee	68,129	17,827	-	36,985	21,134	6,075	1,126	-	6,116	-
Texas	72,255	44,653	1,838	24,145	10,091	1,591	28	-	-	-
Utah	7,463	2,964	-	4,368	1,844	-	131	-	-	-
Vermont	4,429	1,082	-	2,837	631	371	139	-	-	-
Virgin Islands	434	129	-	304	44	-	-	-	-	1
Virginia	9,148	-	-	9,148	4,923	-	-	-	-	-
Washington	54,168	20,872	-	28,872	10,431	3,314	1,110	-	-	-
West Virginia	10,865	5,309	-	4,388	1,162	1,008	160	-	-	-
Wisconsin	17,951	11,469	-	4,868	1,765	731	883	-	-	-
Wyoming	291	239	-	40	31	6	7	-	-	-

Source: TANF Data Report

Table 3:6 (FY 2006)

Temporary Assistance for Needy Families
Status of Two-Parent Families as Related to Two-Parent Families Work Participation Rates
Fiscal Year 2006

State	Average Monthly Number Of Two-Parent Families								
						Disregarded From Two-Parent Rate			
	Two-Parent Families	Two-Parent Families with a Disabled Parent	Two-Parent Families with a Non-custodial Parent 1/	Two-Parent Families Used in Two-Parent Families Rate	Participating Families in Two-Parent Families Rate	Subjected to a Sanction	Part of an Ongoing Research Evaluation	Inconsistency Under Approved Welfare Reform Waiver	Participation in a Tribal Work Program
United States	29,245	5,138	-	23,035	10,601	945	-	-	129
Alabama	28	28	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Alaska	472	83	-	312	167	17	-	-	60
Arizona	410	6	-	337	229	-	-	-	67
Arkansas	118	28	-	72	16	18	-	-	-
California	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Colorado	940	244	-	680	239	16	-	-	-
Connecticut	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Delaware	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Dist. of Col.	122	5	-	116	15	-	-	-	-
Florida	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Georgia	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Guam	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.
Hawaii	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Idaho	25	-	-	25	11	-	-	-	-
Illinois	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Indiana	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Iowa	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Kansas	1,227	6	-	1,220	1,007	-	-	-	2
Kentucky	617	7	-	592	319	18	-	-	-
Louisiana	81	25	-	57	27	-	-	-	-
Maine	1	-	-	1	-	-	-	-	-
Maryland	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Massachusetts	449	449	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Michigan	2,843	-	-	2,843	744	-	-	-	-
Minnesota	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Mississippi	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Missouri	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Montana	434	2	-	419	350	13	-	-	-
Nebraska	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Nevada	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
New Hampshire	152	152	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
New Jersey	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
New Mexico	909	-	-	834	460	75	-	-	-
New York	5,288	951	-	3,839	1,810	498	-	-	-
North Carolina	263	136	-	127	72	1	-	-	-
North Dakota	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Ohio	3,870	443	-	3,427	1,923	-	-	-	-
Oklahoma	39	39	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Oregon	637	288	-	325	73	24	-	-	-
Pennsylvania	4,574	1,660	-	2,914	949	-	-	-	-
Puerto Rico	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Rhode Island	276	127	-	147	139	2	-	-	-
South Carolina	290	13	-	273	176	4	-	-	-
South Dakota	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Tennessee	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Texas	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Utah	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Vermont	499	123	-	346	117	30	-	-	-
Virgin Islands	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Virginia	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Washington	4,537	313	-	4,024	1,739	200	-	-	-
West Virginia	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Wisconsin	142	10	-	103	18	29	-	-	-
Wyoming	2	-	-	2	1	-	-	-	-

1/ Not used in two-parent rates.

Source: TANF Data Report

Table 3:7 (FY 2006)

Temporary Assistance for Needy Families
Average Monthly Number of Adults Participating in Work Activities For a Sufficient Number of Hours for the Family to Count as Meeting the ALL-FAMILY Work Requirements
Fiscal Year 2006

State	Average Monthly Number Of Persons Engaged In Work By Work Activity For Families Counted As Participating In The All-Family Work Rates																
	Total Families	Families in All-Family Rate	Participating Families	Unsubsidized Employment	Subsidized Private Employment	Subsidized Public Employment	Work Experience	On-the-Job Training	Job Search	Community Service	Vocational Education	Job Skills Training	Education Related to Employment	Satisfactory School Attendance	Providing Child Care	Additional Waiver Activities	Other
United States	1,805,900	817,937	271,080	149,318	1,304	1,986	34,939	1,153	46,485	29,265	44,976	3,076	4,388	8,482	280	16,635	7,652
Alabama	19,162	7,829	3,260	2,367	45	134	77	1	723	21	315	-	-	166	-	-	23
Alaska	3,614	2,158	982	715	-	6	-	5	224	170	186	-	-	27	-	-	80
Arizona	39,551	18,349	5,475	3,157	-	-	677	15	1,687	18	1,161	28	10	114	-	-	-
Arkansas	8,204	2,885	839	438	4	12	99	30	119	9	196	10	-	48	-	-	16
California	449,971	169,333	37,244	27,628	-	-	658	446	3,936	1,121	5,725	362	534	1,388	181	-	150
Colorado	14,468	8,145	2,451	1,196	39	-	183	-	361	458	589	88	1	103	-	-	-
Connecticut	18,491	7,913	2,446	1,972	66	14	28	11	168	21	539	14	92	42	-	-	418
Delaware	5,504	2,768	700	481	4	5	456	1	69	17	-	10	9	47	-	-	1
Dist. of Col.	15,718	7,859	1,349	1,238	-	-	12	9	67	-	111	1	-	-	-	-	-
Florida	52,470	10,855	4,859	2,211	31	34	917	-	1,091	408	1,462	46	80	224	-	-	-
Georgia	31,781	5,875	3,808	1,344	15	13	1,064	120	602	270	831	305	4	299	19	-	104
Guam	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.
Hawaii	6,954	3,647	1,360	1,065	-	-	183	-	405	4	293	10	14	10	-	-	-
Idaho	1,818	355	161	106	-	-	7	-	68	2	67	-	-	-	-	-	52
Illinois	36,331	11,738	6,911	2,253	-	-	2,277	-	113	344	2,441	44	389	-	-	-	1,414
Indiana	42,534	19,451	5,191	4,033	27	-	204	3	868	-	167	42	218	345	-	-	-
Iowa	16,675	9,780	3,817	3,053	1	-	21	-	186	10	975	-	-	261	-	-	311
Kansas	17,232	11,321	8,746	2,056	-	-	905	15	1,070	5,911	211	36	16	294	-	-	-
Kentucky	33,092	13,183	6,401	3,253	30	-	328	6	278	842	2,180	204	104	274	-	-	63
Louisiana	11,916	3,215	1,345	752	3	8	135	12	135	-	464	-	6	104	-	-	-
Maine	9,304	6,574	1,748	1,176	-	-	264	-	119	132	276	257	2	124	-	-	-
Maryland	20,364	9,228	3,978	2,702	290	59	278	97	724	1,191	516	50	-	223	45	-	-
Massachusetts	46,582	23,699	3,818	2,193	-	-	3	72	102	289	801	5	367	305	-	-	-
Michigan	82,953	47,639	10,299	8,640	-	55	166	-	1,891	-	634	1	-	128	-	-	-
Minnesota	27,479	14,255	4,346	2,485	-	-	147	-	982	330	196	90	56	1,114	7	-	728
Mississippi	13,417	4,486	1,575	896	-	-	245	-	108	258	116	-	32	66	-	-	-
Missouri	38,748	23,915	5,057	3,049	47	75	104	18	386	-	1,940	-	234	64	-	-	366
Montana	3,812	2,532	2,008	570	-	3	2,008	-	201	18	124	102	120	42	-	-	-
Nebraska	10,097	6,555	2,206	1,129	2	-	39	13	626	-	663	2	-	43	-	-	-
Nevada	5,399	1,649	792	666	-	-	532	-	75	36	80	-	6	3	-	-	-

(continued…)

Table 3:7 (FY 2006)
(continued)

Temporary Assistance for Needy Families
Average Monthly Number of Adults Participating in Work Activities For a Sufficient Number of Hours for the Family to Count as Meeting the ALL-FAMILY Work Requirements
Fiscal Year 2006

State	Average Monthly Number Of Persons Engaged In Work By Work Activity For Families Counted As Participating In The All-Family Work Rates																
	Total Families	Families in All-Family Rate	Participating Families	Unsubsidized Employment	Subsidized Private Employment	Subsidized Public Employment	Work Experience	On-the-Job Training	Job Search	Community Service	Vocational Education	Job Skills Training	Education Related to Employment	Satisfactory School Attendance	Providing Child Care	Additional Waiver Activities	Other
New Hampshire	6,105	3,269	787	589	-	-	100	1	156	50	45	58	-	54	-	-	78
New Jersey	40,832	24,440	7,150	2,827	-	-	2,808	8	616	23	2,047	-	888	24	2	-	-
New Mexico	16,895	9,005	3,827	2,465	-	-	345	-	540	371	831	53	93	54	8	-	-
New York	134,900	66,820	25,251	13,075	383	462	2,813	6	233	7,412	2,602	-	84	64	-	-	134
North Carolina	30,172	9,377	3,522	1,677	1	43	290	-	745	-	1,130	91	139	116	-	-	612
North Dakota	2,702	1,745	903	303	-	5	480	-	131	1	73	12	13	29	-	-	-
Ohio	79,485	33,722	18,533	7,041	-	58	7,812	107	2,140	-	5,377	223	28	506	-	-	1,419
Oklahoma	10,300	3,140	1,030	418	10	-	51	-	318	-	198	-	6	30	-	-	-
Oregon	18,524	8,109	1,229	472	3	-	486	7	145	-	137	34	69	146	-	-	73
Pennsylvania	94,696	62,396	17,258	7,768	7	-	4,789	-	1,903	484	5,414	-	-	-	-	-	524
Puerto Rico	14,325	9,923	1,357	151	45	20	197	25	111	512	396	-	6	5	-	-	-
Rhode Island	9,689	5,748	1,438	1,029	3	-	57	-	181	-	302	-	3	10	-	-	46
South Carolina	15,678	6,304	3,109	2,357	3	3	145	5	164	592	125	151	21	144	13	3	19
South Dakota	2,823	793	458	132	-	-	-	12	26	280	42	-	18	12	3	-	-
Tennessee	68,129	36,985	21,134	9,327	-	-	303	14	14,055	39	-	-	-	57	-	16,363	781
Texas	72,255	24,145	10,091	6,820	237	28	408	-	3,199	902	636	252	59	190	-	269	-
Utah	7,463	4,368	1,844	983	-	-	388	6	457	-	522	163	12	72	-	-	-
Vermont	4,429	2,837	631	364	-	-	-	4	145	186	19	18	18	69	-	-	-
Virgin Islands	434	304	44	1	-	-	1	7	18	5	12	-	-	-	-	-	-
Virginia	9,148	9,148	4,923	4,254	6	-	247	74	1,198	-	31	54	48	3	-	-	-
Washington	54,168	28,872	10,431	3,962	-	945	18	2	2,070	5,747	1,422	164	93	773	2	-	240
West Virginia	10,865	4,388	1,162	315	1	4	76	-	70	487	346	-	-	35	-	-	-
Wisconsin	17,951	4,868	1,765	160	1	-	1,082	1	475	294	10	96	496	231	-	-	-
Wyoming	291	40	31	4	-	-	26	-	5	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

Source: TANF Data Report

Table 3:8 (FY 2006)

Temporary Assistance for Needy Families
Average Monthly Percent of Adults Participating in Work Activities For a Sufficient Number of Hours For the Family to Count as Meeting the ALL-FAMILY Work Requirements
Fiscal Year 2006

State	Average Monthly Percent Of Persons Engaged In Work By Work Activity For Families Counted As Participating In The All-Family Work Rates																
	Total Families	Families in All-Family Rate	Participating Families	Unsubsidized Employment	Subsidized Private Employment	Subsidized Public Employment	Work Experience	On-the-Job Training	Job Search	Community Service	Vocational Education	Job Skills Training	Education Related to Employment	Satisfactory School Attendance	Providing Child Care	Additional Waiver Activities	Other
United States	1,805,900	817,937	271,080	55.1%	0.5%	0.7%	12.9%	0.4%	17.1%	10.8%	16.6%	1.1%	1.6%	3.1%	0.1%	6.1%	2.8%
Alabama	19,162	7,829	3,260	72.6%	1.4%	4.1%	2.4%	0.0%	22.2%	0.6%	9.7%	0.0%	0.0%	5.1%	0.0%	0.0%	0.7%
Alaska	3,614	2,158	982	72.8%	0.0%	0.6%	0.0%	0.5%	22.8%	17.3%	18.9%	0.0%	0.0%	2.7%	0.0%	0.0%	8.1%
Arizona	39,551	18,349	5,475	57.7%	0.0%	0.0%	12.4%	0.3%	30.8%	0.3%	21.2%	0.5%	0.2%	2.1%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Arkansas	8,204	2,885	839	52.2%	0.5%	1.4%	11.8%	3.6%	14.2%	1.1%	23.4%	1.2%	0.0%	5.7%	0.0%	0.0%	1.9%
California	449,971	169,333	37,244	74.2%	0.0%	0.0%	1.8%	1.2%	10.6%	3.0%	15.4%	1.0%	1.4%	3.7%	0.5%	0.0%	0.4%
Colorado	14,468	8,145	2,451	48.8%	1.6%	0.0%	7.5%	0.0%	14.7%	18.7%	24.0%	3.6%	0.0%	4.2%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Connecticut	18,491	7,913	2,446	80.6%	2.7%	0.6%	1.1%	0.4%	6.9%	0.9%	22.0%	0.6%	3.8%	1.7%	0.0%	0.0%	17.1%
Delaware	5,504	2,768	700	68.7%	0.6%	0.7%	65.1%	0.1%	9.9%	2.4%	0.0%	1.4%	1.3%	6.7%	0.0%	0.0%	0.1%
Dist. of Col.	15,718	7,859	1,349	91.8%	0.0%	0.0%	0.9%	0.7%	5.0%	0.0%	8.2%	0.1%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Florida	52,470	10,855	4,859	45.5%	0.6%	0.7%	18.9%	0.0%	22.5%	8.4%	30.1%	0.9%	1.6%	4.6%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Georgia	31,781	5,875	3,808	35.3%	0.4%	0.3%	27.9%	3.2%	15.8%	7.1%	21.8%	8.0%	0.1%	7.9%	0.5%	0.0%	2.7%
Guam																	
Hawaii	6,954	3,647	1,360	78.3%	0.0%	0.0%	13.5%	0.0%	29.8%	0.3%	21.5%	0.7%	1.0%	0.7%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Idaho	1,818	355	161	65.8%	0.0%	0.0%	4.3%	0.0%	42.2%	1.2%	41.6%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	32.3%
Illinois	36,331	11,738	6,911	32.6%	0.0%	0.0%	32.9%	0.0%	1.6%	5.0%	35.3%	0.6%	5.6%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	20.5%
Indiana	42,534	19,451	5,191	77.7%	0.5%	0.0%	3.9%	0.1%	16.7%	0.0%	3.2%	0.8%	4.2%	6.6%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Iowa	16,675	9,780	3,817	80.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.6%	0.0%	4.9%	0.3%	25.5%	0.0%	0.0%	6.8%	0.0%	0.0%	8.1%
Kansas	17,232	11,321	8,746	23.5%	0.0%	0.0%	10.3%	0.2%	12.2%	67.6%	2.4%	0.4%	0.2%	3.4%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Kentucky	33,092	13,183	6,401	50.8%	0.5%	0.0%	5.1%	0.1%	4.3%	13.2%	34.1%	3.2%	1.6%	4.3%	0.0%	0.0%	1.0%
Louisiana	11,916	3,215	1,345	55.9%	0.2%	0.6%	10.0%	0.9%	10.0%	0.0%	34.5%	0.0%	0.4%	7.7%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Maine	9,304	6,574	1,748	67.3%	0.0%	0.0%	15.1%	0.0%	6.8%	7.6%	15.8%	14.7%	0.1%	7.1%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Maryland	20,364	9,228	3,978	67.9%	7.3%	1.5%	7.0%	2.4%	18.2%	29.9%	13.0%	1.3%	0.0%	5.6%	1.1%	0.0%	0.0%
Massachusetts	46,582	23,699	3,818	57.4%	0.0%	0.0%	0.1%	1.9%	2.7%	7.6%	21.0%	0.1%	9.6%	8.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Michigan	82,953	47,639	10,299	83.9%	0.0%	0.5%	1.6%	0.0%	18.4%	0.0%	6.2%	0.0%	0.0%	1.2%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Minnesota	27,479	14,255	4,346	57.2%	0.0%	0.0%	3.4%	0.0%	22.6%	7.6%	4.5%	2.1%	1.3%	25.6%	0.2%	0.0%	16.8%
Mississippi	13,417	4,486	1,575	56.9%	0.0%	0.0%	15.6%	0.0%	6.9%	16.4%	7.4%	0.0%	2.0%	4.2%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Missouri	38,748	23,915	5,057	60.3%	0.9%	1.5%	2.1%	0.4%	7.6%	0.0%	38.4%	0.0%	4.6%	1.3%	0.0%	0.0%	7.2%
Montana	3,812	2,532	2,008	28.4%	0.0%	0.1%	100.0%	0.0%	10.0%	0.9%	6.2%	5.1%	6.0%	2.1%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Nebraska	10,097	6,555	2,206	51.2%	0.1%	0.0%	1.8%	0.6%	28.4%	0.0%	30.1%	0.1%	0.0%	1.9%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Nevada	5,399	1,649	792	84.1%	0.0%	0.0%	67.2%	0.0%	9.5%	4.5%	10.1%	0.0%	0.8%	0.4%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%

(continued...)

Table 3:8 (FY 2006)
(continued)

Temporary Assistance for Needy Families
Average Monthly Percent of Adults Participating in Work Activities For a Sufficient Number of Hours
For the Family to Count as Meeting the ALL-FAMILY Work Requirements
Fiscal Year 2006

State	Average Monthly Percent Of Persons Engaged In Work By Work Activity For Families Counted As Participating In The All-Family Work Rates																
	Total Families	Families in All-Family Rate	Participating Families	Unsubsidized Employment	Subsidized Private Employment	Subsidized Public Employment	Work Experience	On-the-Job Training	Job Search	Community Service	Vocational Education	Job Skills Training	Education Related to Employment	Satisfactory School Attendance	Providing Child Care	Additional Waiver Activities	Other
New Hampshire	6,105	3,269	787	74.8%	0.0%	0.0%	12.7%	0.1%	19.8%	6.4%	5.7%	7.4%	0.0%	6.9%	0.0%	0.0%	9.9%
New Jersey	40,832	24,440	7,150	39.5%	0.0%	0.0%	39.3%	0.1%	8.6%	0.3%	28.6%	0.0%	12.4%	0.3%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
New Mexico	16,895	9,005	3,827	64.4%	0.0%	0.0%	9.0%	0.0%	14.1%	9.7%	21.7%	1.4%	2.4%	1.4%	0.2%	0.0%	0.0%
New York	134,900	66,820	25,251	51.8%	1.5%	1.8%	11.1%	0.0%	0.9%	29.4%	10.3%	0.0%	0.3%	0.3%	0.0%	0.0%	0.5%
North Carolina	30,172	9,377	3,522	47.6%	0.0%	1.2%	8.2%	0.0%	21.2%	0.0%	32.1%	2.6%	3.9%	3.3%	0.0%	0.0%	17.4%
North Dakota	2,702	1,745	903	33.6%	0.0%	0.6%	53.2%	0.0%	14.5%	0.1%	8.1%	1.3%	1.4%	3.2%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Ohio	79,485	33,722	18,533	38.0%	0.0%	0.3%	42.2%	0.6%	11.5%	0.0%	29.0%	1.2%	0.2%	2.7%	0.0%	0.0%	7.7%
Oklahoma	10,300	3,140	1,030	40.6%	1.0%	0.0%	5.0%	0.0%	30.9%	0.0%	19.2%	0.0%	0.6%	2.9%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Oregon	18,524	8,109	1,229	38.4%	0.2%	0.0%	39.5%	0.6%	11.8%	0.0%	11.1%	2.8%	5.6%	11.9%	0.0%	0.0%	5.9%
Pennsylvania	94,696	62,396	17,258	45.0%	0.0%	0.0%	27.7%	0.0%	11.0%	2.8%	31.4%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	3.0%
Puerto Rico	14,325	9,923	1,357	11.1%	3.3%	1.5%	14.5%	1.8%	8.2%	37.7%	29.2%	0.0%	0.4%	0.4%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Rhode Island	9,689	5,748	1,438	71.6%	0.2%	0.0%	4.0%	0.0%	12.6%	0.0%	21.0%	0.0%	0.2%	0.7%	0.0%	0.0%	3.2%
South Carolina	15,678	6,304	3,109	75.8%	0.1%	0.1%	4.7%	0.2%	5.3%	19.0%	4.0%	4.9%	0.7%	4.6%	0.4%	0.1%	0.6%
South Dakota	2,823	793	458	28.8%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	2.6%	5.7%	61.1%	9.2%	0.0%	3.9%	2.6%	0.7%	0.0%	0.0%
Tennessee	68,129	36,985	21,134	44.1%	0.0%	0.0%	1.4%	0.1%	66.5%	0.2%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.3%	0.0%	77.4%	3.7%
Texas	72,255	24,145	10,091	67.6%	2.3%	0.3%	4.0%	0.0%	31.7%	8.9%	6.3%	2.5%	0.6%	1.9%	0.0%	2.7%	0.0%
Utah	7,463	4,368	1,844	53.3%	0.0%	0.0%	21.0%	0.3%	24.8%	0.0%	28.3%	8.8%	0.7%	3.9%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Vermont	4,429	2,837	631	57.7%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.6%	23.0%	29.5%	3.0%	2.9%	2.9%	10.9%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Virgin Islands	434	304	44	2.3%	0.0%	0.0%	2.3%	15.9%	40.9%	11.4%	27.3%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Virginia	9,148	9,148	4,923	86.4%	0.1%	0.0%	5.0%	1.5%	24.3%	0.0%	0.6%	1.1%	1.0%	0.1%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Washington	54,168	28,872	10,431	38.0%	0.0%	9.1%	0.2%	0.0%	19.8%	55.1%	13.6%	1.6%	0.9%	7.4%	0.0%	0.0%	2.3%
West Virginia	10,865	4,388	1,162	27.1%	0.1%	0.3%	6.5%	0.0%	6.0%	41.9%	29.8%	0.0%	0.0%	3.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Wisconsin	17,951	4,868	1,765	9.1%	0.1%	0.0%	61.3%	0.1%	26.9%	16.7%	0.6%	5.4%	28.1%	13.1%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Wyoming	291	40	31	12.9%	0.0%	0.0%	83.9%	0.0%	16.1%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%

Source: TANF Data Report

Table 3:9 (FY 2006)

Temporary Assistance for Needy Families
Average Monthly Number of Parents in Two-Parent Families Who are Participating in Work Activities
For a Sufficient Number of Hours for the Family to Count as Meeting the Two-Parent Families Work Requirements
Fiscal Year 2006

State	Average Monthly Number Of Persons Engaged In Work By Work Activity For Two-Parent Families Counted As Participating In The Two-Parent Families Work Rates																
	Total Two-parent Families	Total Families inTwo-Parent Work Rates	Families Participating in Two-Parent Work Rates	Unsubsidized Employment	Subsidized Private Employment	Subsidized Public Employment	Work Experience	On-the-Job Training	Job Search	Community Service	Vocational Education	Job Skills Training	Education Related to Employment	Satisfactory School Attendance	Providing Child Care	Additional Waiver Activities	Other
United States	29,245	23,035	10,601	8,106	22	192	2,935	36	2,793	4,490	2,194	192	155	314	10	-	706
Alabama	28	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Alaska	472	312	167	191	-	1	-	-	72	115	40	-	-	4	-	-	34
Arizona	410	337	229	173	-	-	104	1	209	4	41	1	7	7	-	-	-
Arkansas	118	72	16	12	-	-	10	1	2	-	7	2	-	-	-	-	-
California	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Colorado	940	680	239	195	4	-	11	-	73	49	71	14	-	14	-	-	-
Connecticut	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Delaware	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Dist. of Col.	122	116	15	17	-	-	-	-	2	-	1	-	-	-	-	-	-
Florida	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Georgia	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Guam	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.
Hawaii	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Idaho	25	25	11	11	-	-	1	-	11	-	7	-	-	-	-	-	9
Illinois	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Indiana	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Iowa	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Kansas	1,227	1,220	1,007	417	-	-	150	5	227	1,458	33	7	4	56	-	-	-
Kentucky	617	592	319	202	4	-	14	-	50	67	167	16	16	7	-	-	2
Louisiana	81	57	27	29	-	1	4	-	5	-	14	-	1	5	-	-	-
Maine	1	1	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Maryland	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Massachusetts	449	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Michigan	2,843	2,843	744	845	-	1	32	-	418	-	23	1	1	9	-	-	-
Minnesota	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Mississippi	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Missouri	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Montana	434	419	350	148	-	-	675	-	71	7	43	24	44	10	-	-	-
Nebraska	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Nevada	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

(continued…)

Table 3:9 (FY 2006)
(continued)

Temporary Assistance for Needy Families
Average Monthly Number of Parents in Two-Parent Families Who are Participating in Work Activities
For a Sufficient Number of Hours for the Family to Count as Meeting the Two-Parent Families Work Requirements
Fiscal Year 2006

State	Average Monthly Number Of Persons Engaged In Work By Work Activity For Two-Parent Families Counted As Participating In The Two-Parent Families Work Rates																
	Total Two-parent Families	Total Families inTwo-Parent Work Rates	Families Participating in Two-Parent Work Rates	Unsubsidized Employment	Subsidized Private Employment	Subsidized Public Employment	Work Experience	On-the-Job Training	Job Search	Community Service	Vocational Education	Job Skills Training	Education Related to Employment	Satisfactory School Attendance	Providing Child Care	Additional Waiver Activities	Other
New Hampshire	152	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
New Jersey	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
New Mexico	909	834	460	516	-	-	50	-	136	55	138	11	3	7	1	-	1
New York	5,288	3,839	1,810	1,635	-	35	333	6	36	713	150	-	35	-	-	-	7
North Carolina	263	127	72	65	1	-	8	-	23	-	37	1	1	-	-	-	35
North Dakota	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Ohio	3,870	3,427	1,923	1,238	-	-	1,219	19	355	-	674	30	3	55	-	-	195
Oklahoma	39	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Oregon	637	325	73	58	-	-	39	2	19	-	9	6	4	3	-	-	13
Pennsylvania	4,574	2,914	949	839	13	-	246	-	181	-	427	7	-	-	-	-	73
Puerto Rico	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Rhode Island	276	147	139	145	-	-	7	-	23	-	13	-	-	-	-	-	6
South Carolina	290	273	176	180	-	-	17	1	28	62	15	9	-	2	8	-	1
South Dakota	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Tennessee	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Texas	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Utah	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Vermont	499	346	117	94	-	-	-	-	53	32	2	3	3	11	-	-	-
Virgin Islands	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Virginia	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Washington	4,537	4,024	1,739	1,089	-	154	3	1	788	1,923	282	57	26	122	1	-	330
West Virginia	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Wisconsin	142	103	18	7	-	-	10	-	11	5	-	3	7	2	-	-	-
Wyoming	2	2	1	-	-	-	2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

Source: TANF Data Report

Table 3:10 (FY 2006)

Temporary Assistance for Needy Families
Average Monthly Percent of Parents in Two-Parent Families Who are Participating in Work Activities For a Sufficient Number of Hours For the Family to Count as Meeting the Two-Parent Families Work Requirements
Fiscal Year 2006

State	Total Two-Parent Families	Total Families in Two-Parent Work Rates	Families Participating in Two-Parent Work Rates	Average Monthly Number Of Parents Engaged In Work By Work Activity For Two-Parent Families As A Percent Of The Number Of Parents In Families Participating In The Two Parent Families Work Rates													
				Unsubsidized Employment	Subsidized Private Employment	Subsidized Public Employment	Work Experience	On-the-Job Training	Job Search	Community Service	Vocational Education	Job Skills Training	Education Related to Employment	Satisfactory School Attendance	Providing Child Care	Additional Waiver Requirements	Other
United States	29,245	23,035	10,601	38.2%	0.1%	0.9%	13.8%	0.2%	13.2%	21.2%	10.3%	0.9%	0.7%	1.5%	0.0%	0.0%	3.3%
Alabama	28	-	-														
Alaska	472	312	167	57.2%	0.0%	0.3%	0.0%	0.0%	21.6%	34.4%	12.0%	0.0%	0.0%	1.2%	0.0%	0.0%	10.2%
Arizona	410	337	229	37.8%	0.0%	0.0%	22.7%	0.2%	45.6%	0.9%	9.0%	0.2%	1.5%	1.5%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Arkansas	118	72	16	37.5%	0.0%	0.0%	31.3%	3.1%	6.3%	0.0%	21.9%	6.3%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
California	-	-	-														
Colorado	940	680	239	40.8%	0.8%	0.0%	2.3%	0.0%	15.3%	10.3%	14.9%	2.9%	0.0%	2.9%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Connecticut	-	-	-														
Delaware	-	-	-														
Dist. of Col.	122	116	15	56.7%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	6.7%	0.0%	3.3%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Florida	-	-	-														
Georgia	-	-	-														
Guam																	
Hawaii	-	-	-														
Idaho	25	25	11	50.0%	0.0%	0.0%	4.5%	0.0%	50.0%	0.0%	31.8%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	40.9%
Illinois	-	-	-														
Indiana	-	-	-														
Iowa	-	-	-														
Kansas	1,227	1,220	1,007	20.7%	0.0%	0.0%	7.4%	0.2%	11.3%	72.4%	1.6%	0.3%	0.2%	2.8%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Kentucky	617	592	319	31.7%	0.6%	0.0%	2.2%	0.0%	7.8%	10.5%	26.2%	2.5%	2.5%	1.1%	0.0%	0.0%	0.3%
Louisiana	81	57	27	53.7%	0.0%	1.9%	7.4%	0.0%	9.3%	0.0%	25.9%	0.0%	1.9%	9.3%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Maine	1	1	-														
Maryland	-	-	-														
Massachusetts	449	-	-														
Michigan	2,843	2,843	744	56.8%	0.0%	0.1%	2.2%	0.0%	28.1%	0.0%	1.5%	0.1%	0.1%	0.6%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Minnesota	-	-	-														
Mississippi	-	-	-														
Missouri	-	-	-														
Montana	434	419	350	21.1%	0.0%	0.0%	96.4%	0.0%	10.1%	1.0%	6.1%	3.4%	6.3%	1.4%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Nebraska	-	-	-														
Nevada	-	-	-														

(continued…)

Table 3:10 (FY 2006)
(continued)

Temporary Assistance for Needy Families
Average Monthly Percent of Parents in Two-Parent Families Who are Participating in Work Activities For a Sufficient Number of Hours For the Family to Count as Meeting the Two-Parent Families Work Requirements
Fiscal Year 2006

State	Average Monthly Number Of Parents Engaged In Work By Work Activity For Two-Parent Families As A Percent Of The Number Of Parents In Families Participating In The Two Parent Families Work Rates																
	Total Two-Parent Families	Total Families in Two-Parent Work Rates	Families Participating in Two-Parent Work Rates	Unsubsidized Employment	Subsidized Private Employment	Subsidized Public Employment	Work Experience	On-the-Job Training	Job Search	Community Service	Vocational Education	Job Skills Training	Education Related to Employment	Satisfactory School Attendance	Providing Child Care	Additional Waiver Requirements	Other
New Hampshire	152	-	-														
New Jersey	-	-	-														
New Mexico	909	834	460	56.1%	0.0%	0.0%	5.4%	0.0%	14.8%	6.0%	15.0%	1.2%	0.3%	0.8%	0.1%	0.0%	0.1%
New York	5,288	3,839	1,810	45.2%	0.0%	1.0%	9.2%	0.2%	1.0%	19.7%	4.1%	0.0%	1.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.2%
North Carolina	263	127	72	45.1%	0.7%	0.0%	5.6%	0.0%	16.0%	0.0%	25.7%	0.7%	0.7%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	24.3%
North Dakota	-	-	-														
Ohio	3,870	3,427	1,923	32.2%	0.0%	0.0%	31.7%	0.5%	9.2%	0.0%	17.5%	0.8%	0.1%	1.4%	0.0%	0.0%	5.1%
Oklahoma	39	-	-														
Oregon	637	325	73	39.7%	0.0%	0.0%	26.7%	1.4%	13.0%	0.0%	6.2%	4.1%	2.7%	2.1%	0.0%	0.0%	8.9%
Pennsylvania	4,574	2,914	949	44.2%	0.7%	0.0%	13.0%	0.0%	9.5%	0.0%	22.5%	0.4%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	3.8%
Puerto Rico	-	-	-														
Rhode Island	276	147	139	52.2%	0.0%	0.0%	2.5%	0.0%	8.3%	0.0%	4.7%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	2.2%
South Carolina	290	273	176	51.1%	0.0%	0.0%	4.8%	0.3%	8.0%	17.6%	4.3%	2.6%	0.0%	0.6%	2.3%	0.0%	0.3%
South Dakota	-	-	-														
Tennessee	-	-	-														
Texas	-	-	-														
Utah	-	-	-														
Vermont	499	346	117	40.2%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	22.6%	13.7%	0.9%	1.3%	1.3%	4.7%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Virgin Islands	-	-	-														
Virginia	-	-	-														
Washington	4,537	4,024	1,739	31.3%	0.0%	4.4%	0.1%	0.0%	22.7%	55.3%	8.1%	1.6%	0.7%	3.5%	0.0%	0.0%	9.5%
West Virginia	-	-	-														
Wisconsin	142	103	18	19.4%	0.0%	0.0%	27.8%	0.0%	30.6%	13.9%	0.0%	8.3%	19.4%	5.6%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Wyoming	2	2	1	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%

Source: TANF Data Report

Table 3:11 (FY 2006)

Temporary Assistance for Needy Families
Average Monthly Number of Adults With Hours of Participation by Work Activity
Fiscal Year 2006

State	Average Monthly Number of Adults With Hours Of Participation By Work Activity															
	Total Adults	Adults with Hours of Participation 1/	Unsubsidized Employment	Subsidized Private Employment	Subsidized Public Employment	Work Experience	On-the-Job Training	Job Search	Community Service	Vocational Education	Job Skills Training	Education Related to Employment	Satisfactory School Attendance	Providing Child Care	Additional Waiver Activities	Other
United States	992,734	443,828	216,787	1,622	2,568	49,288	1,644	71,496	46,814	58,020	9,322	11,825	15,844	291	19,857	47,173
Alabama	10,145	4,759	3,054	59	189	92	1	1,051	26	446	-	-	416	-	-	173
Alaska	3,061	1,746	1,018	-	8	-	6	443	382	343	-	-	43	-	-	252
Arizona	21,772	7,766	4,470	-	-	948	18	2,285	33	1,365	55	34	232	-	-	-
Arkansas	4,254	1,447	613	8	12	171	46	242	15	242	91	-	129	-	-	124
California	187,744	66,606	45,884	-	172	1,284	785	7,717	1,293	8,134	2,041	1,547	3,351	181	173	3,533
Colorado	10,401	3,902	1,617	52	-	273	-	524	667	736	439	55	261	-	-	-
Connecticut	10,059	4,834	3,151	96	14	28	11	434	35	725	69	343	69	-	-	1,424
Delaware	2,936	998	684	4	5	511	1	146	27	-	22	9	52	-	-	2
Dist. of Col.	9,370	2,426	1,874	6	-	58	25	278	-	296	1	17	-	-	1	9
Florida	15,513	6,338	3,184	31	50	1,263	-	1,091	551	1,462	62	126	289	-	-	-
Georgia	8,011	4,316	1,402	16	13	1,216	127	627	292	901	355	5	379	20	-	253
Guam	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.
Hawaii	4,858	1,628	1,277	-	-	195	-	444	4	330	16	21	11	-	-	-
Idaho	417	378	157	-	-	16	-	139	6	114	-	-	6	-	-	233
Illinois	17,404	13,837	2,582	-	-	2,292	-	152	357	2,610	64	1,224	-	-	-	7,460
Indiana	24,450	8,028	6,408	55	-	298	5	883	-	168	136	531	373	-	-	-
Iowa	11,730	6,689	4,230	1	-	51	-	580	20	1,319	-	-	705	-	-	1,184
Kansas	14,264	10,573	2,533	-	-	1,016	18	1,315	6,965	246	91	31	395	-	-	-
Kentucky	16,819	7,947	4,160	35	-	353	6	301	905	2,321	321	249	287	-	-	309
Louisiana	3,697	1,937	932	4	12	219	15	309	-	565	-	12	245	-	-	-
Maine	7,057	3,376	1,983	-	-	411	-	535	193	301	367	9	360	-	-	-
Maryland	10,391	5,181	2,987	297	75	381	97	1,403	1,409	618	54	-	248	45	-	-
Massachusetts	28,465	6,952	4,695	-	-	3	72	186	433	945	151	456	388	-	-	-
Michigan	60,683	16,894	13,207	-	56	257	-	4,141	-	767	1	3	153	-	-	-
Minnesota	18,012	11,106	5,030	-	-	241	-	1,767	553	276	348	345	1,325	7	-	4,867
Mississippi	6,550	2,193	1,124	-	-	372	-	158	376	195	-	65	71	-	-	-
Missouri	28,027	10,104	4,074	58	109	205	24	1,073	-	2,529	-	1,290	78	-	-	2,742
Montana	3,088	2,595	688	-	4	2,595	-	271	22	158	120	159	51	-	-	-
Nebraska	6,555	2,716	1,292	2	-	41	13	750	-	675	2	-	285	-	-	-
Nevada	2,112	1,694	846	-	-	1,359	-	194	65	108	-	24	3	-	-	-

(continued...)

Table 3:11 (FY 2006)
(continued)

Temporary Assistance for Needy Families
Average Monthly Number of Adults With Hours of Participation by Work Activity
Fiscal Year 2006

State	Average Monthly Number of Adults With Hours Of Participation By Work Activity															
	Total Adults	Adults with Hours of Participation 1/	Unsubsidized Employment	Subsidized Private Employment	Subsidized Public Employment	Work Experience	On-the-Job Training	Job Search	Community Service	Vocational Education	Job Skills Training	Education Related to Employment	Satisfactory School Attendance	Providing Child Care	Additional Waiver Activities	Other
New Hampshire	4,229	1,907	926	-	-	187	2	355	114	54	278	-	182	-	-	528
New Jersey	28,685	10,567	3,829	-	-	4,069	10	1,176	31	2,670	-	1,567	143	2	-	-
New Mexico	12,244	5,119	3,279	-	-	411	-	769	488	929	145	116	67	8	-	1
New York	87,022	34,576	18,057	446	531	4,444	6	474	9,539	2,789	72	269	487	-	-	142
North Carolina	11,504	6,209	2,178	11	43	401	10	848	-	1,354	92	158	130	-	-	2,659
North Dakota	1,996	1,372	480	1	9	518	-	307	1	85	61	85	53	1	-	-
Ohio	39,982	30,957	9,542	-	63	10,716	121	3,268	-	6,310	605	88	916	-	-	7,927
Oklahoma	4,068	2,210	555	21	1	98	-	684	-	257	351	192	51	-	-	-
Oregon	10,655	3,976	1,140	7	-	1,521	12	396	-	335	217	343	176	-	-	688
Pennsylvania	72,057	33,690	13,612	68	-	5,805	-	4,437	961	9,532	329	48	-	-	-	5,330
Puerto Rico	11,147	1,508	170	45	20	233	25	116	512	422	30	21	15	-	5	5
Rhode Island	7,200	2,597	1,664	4	-	70	-	329	-	388	-	25	18	-	-	363
South Carolina	7,588	4,505	2,934	5	5	231	18	437	883	161	226	29	244	19	3	134
South Dakota	995	681	161	-	-	-	14	40	410	55	2	78	12	4	-	-
Tennessee	51,029	30,471	11,286	-	-	325	15	17,028	46	-	-	-	419	-	19,190	4,863
Texas	25,764	11,939	7,367	266	28	556	-	4,174	1,263	733	281	72	190	-	485	-
Utah	4,694	2,759	1,246	-	-	575	8	719	-	635	413	15	72	-	-	-
Vermont	3,845	1,625	766	-	-	-	6	470	272	33	106	133	147	-	-	-
Virgin Islands	308	61	1	-	-	1	7	18	5	13	4	5	7	-	-	1
Virginia	9,387	7,073	5,396	20	-	449	116	2,217	-	45	168	110	10	-	-	-
Washington	37,834	24,702	6,237	-	1,142	26	2	3,105	16,229	1,863	782	366	1,771	4	-	1,967
West Virginia	5,977	2,126	502	3	7	117	1	135	963	452	-	5	179	-	-	-
Wisconsin	6,624	4,195	298	1	-	2,356	1	549	468	10	354	1,545	350	-	-	-
Wyoming	55	37	5	-	-	30	-	6	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

1/ Adults participating in more than one activity are included once in this total.

Source: TANF Data Report

Table 3:12 (FY 2006)

Temporary Assistance for Needy Families
Average Monthly Number of Adults With Hours of Participation by Work Activity
As a Percent of the Number of Participating Adults
Fiscal Year 2006

State	Total Adults	Adults with Hours of Participation 1/	Unsubsidized Employment	Subsidized Private Employment	Subsidized Public Employment	Work Experience	On-the-Job Training	Job Search	Community Service	Vocational Education	Job Skills Training	Education Related to Employment	Satisfactory School Attendance	Providing Child Care	Additional Waiver Activities	Other
	Average Monthly Number Of Adults With Hours Of Participation By Work Activity As A Percent Of The Number Of Participating Adults															
United States	992,734	443,828	48.8%	0.4%	0.6%	11.1%	0.4%	16.1%	10.5%	13.1%	2.1%	2.7%	3.6%	0.1%	4.5%	10.6%
Alabama	10,145	4,759	64.2%	1.2%	4.0%	1.9%	0.0%	22.1%	0.5%	9.4%	0.0%	0.0%	8.7%	0.0%	0.0%	3.6%
Alaska	3,061	1,746	58.3%	0.0%	0.5%	0.0%	0.3%	25.4%	21.9%	19.6%	0.0%	0.0%	2.5%	0.0%	0.0%	14.4%
Arizona	21,772	7,766	57.6%	0.0%	0.0%	12.2%	0.2%	29.4%	0.4%	17.6%	0.7%	0.4%	3.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Arkansas	4,254	1,447	42.4%	0.6%	0.8%	11.8%	3.2%	16.7%	1.0%	16.7%	6.3%	0.0%	8.9%	0.0%	0.0%	8.6%
California	187,744	66,606	68.9%	0.0%	0.3%	1.9%	1.2%	11.6%	1.9%	12.2%	3.1%	2.3%	5.0%	0.3%	0.3%	5.3%
Colorado	10,401	3,902	41.4%	1.3%	0.0%	7.0%	0.0%	13.4%	17.1%	18.9%	11.3%	1.4%	6.7%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Connecticut	10,059	4,834	65.2%	2.0%	0.3%	0.6%	0.2%	9.0%	0.7%	15.0%	1.4%	7.1%	1.4%	0.0%	0.0%	29.5%
Delaware	2,936	998	68.5%	0.4%	0.5%	51.2%	0.1%	14.6%	2.7%	0.0%	2.2%	0.9%	5.2%	0.0%	0.0%	0.2%
Dist. of Col.	9,370	2,426	77.2%	0.2%	0.0%	2.4%	1.0%	11.5%	0.0%	12.2%	0.0%	0.7%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.4%
Florida	15,513	6,338	50.2%	0.5%	0.8%	19.9%	0.0%	17.2%	8.7%	23.1%	1.0%	2.0%	4.6%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Georgia	8,011	4,316	32.5%	0.4%	0.3%	28.2%	2.9%	14.5%	6.8%	20.9%	8.2%	0.1%	8.8%	0.5%	0.0%	5.9%
Guam	.	.														
Hawaii	4,858	1,628	78.4%	0.0%	0.0%	12.0%	0.0%	27.3%	0.2%	20.3%	1.0%	1.3%	0.7%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Idaho	417	378	41.5%	0.0%	0.0%	4.2%	0.0%	36.8%	1.6%	30.2%	0.0%	0.0%	1.6%	0.0%	0.0%	61.6%
Illinois	17,404	13,837	18.7%	0.0%	0.0%	16.6%	0.0%	1.1%	2.6%	18.9%	0.5%	8.8%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	53.9%
Indiana	24,450	8,028	79.8%	0.7%	0.0%	3.7%	0.1%	11.0%	0.0%	2.1%	1.7%	6.6%	4.6%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Iowa	11,730	6,689	63.2%	0.0%	0.0%	0.8%	0.0%	8.7%	0.3%	19.7%	0.0%	0.0%	10.5%	0.0%	0.0%	17.7%
Kansas	14,264	10,573	24.0%	0.0%	0.0%	9.6%	0.2%	12.4%	65.9%	2.3%	0.9%	0.3%	3.7%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Kentucky	16,819	7,947	52.3%	0.4%	0.0%	4.4%	0.1%	3.8%	11.4%	29.2%	4.0%	3.1%	3.6%	0.0%	0.0%	3.9%
Louisiana	3,697	1,937	48.1%	0.2%	0.6%	11.3%	0.8%	16.0%	0.0%	29.2%	0.0%	0.6%	12.6%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Maine	7,057	3,376	58.7%	0.0%	0.0%	12.2%	0.0%	15.8%	5.7%	8.9%	10.9%	0.3%	10.7%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Maryland	10,391	5,181	57.7%	5.7%	1.4%	7.4%	1.9%	27.1%	27.2%	11.9%	1.0%	0.0%	4.8%	0.9%	0.0%	0.0%
Massachusetts	28,465	6,952	67.5%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	1.0%	2.7%	6.2%	13.6%	2.2%	6.6%	5.6%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Michigan	60,683	16,894	78.2%	0.0%	0.3%	1.5%	0.0%	24.5%	0.0%	4.5%	0.0%	0.0%	0.9%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Minnesota	18,012	11,106	45.3%	0.0%	0.0%	2.2%	0.0%	15.9%	5.0%	2.5%	3.1%	3.1%	11.9%	0.1%	0.0%	43.8%
Mississippi	6,550	2,193	51.3%	0.0%	0.0%	17.0%	0.0%	7.2%	17.1%	8.9%	0.0%	3.0%	3.2%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Missouri	28,027	10,104	40.3%	0.6%	1.1%	2.0%	0.2%	10.6%	0.0%	25.0%	0.0%	12.8%	0.8%	0.0%	0.0%	27.1%
Montana	3,088	2,595	26.5%	0.0%	0.2%	100.0%	0.0%	10.4%	0.8%	6.1%	4.6%	6.1%	2.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Nebraska	6,555	2,716	47.6%	0.1%	0.0%	1.5%	0.5%	27.6%	0.0%	24.9%	0.1%	0.0%	10.5%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Nevada	2,112	1,694	49.9%	0.0%	0.0%	80.2%	0.0%	11.5%	3.8%	6.4%	0.0%	1.4%	0.2%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%

(continued...)

Table 3:12 (FY 2006)
(continued)

Temporary Assistance for Needy Families
Average Monthly Number of Adults With Hours of Participation by Work Activity
As a Percent of the Number of Participating Adults
Fiscal Year 2006

State	Average Monthly Number Of Adults With Hours Of Participation By Work Activity As A Percent Of The Number Of Participating Adults															
	Total Adults	Adults with Hours of Participation 1/	Unsubsidized Employment	Subsidized Private Employment	Subsidized Public Employment	Work Experience	On-the-Job Training	Job Search	Community Service	Vocational Education	Job Skills Training	Education Related to Employment	Satisfactory School Attendance	Providing Child Care	Additional Waiver Activities	Other
New Hampshire	4,229	1,907	48.6%	0.0%	0.0%	9.8%	0.1%	18.6%	6.0%	2.8%	14.6%	0.0%	9.5%	0.0%	0.0%	27.7%
New Jersey	28,685	10,567	36.2%	0.0%	0.0%	38.5%	0.1%	11.1%	0.3%	25.3%	0.0%	14.8%	1.4%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
New Mexico	12,244	5,119	64.1%	0.0%	0.0%	8.0%	0.0%	15.0%	9.5%	18.1%	2.8%	2.3%	1.3%	0.2%	0.0%	0.0%
New York	87,022	34,576	52.2%	1.3%	1.5%	12.9%	0.0%	1.4%	27.6%	8.1%	0.2%	0.8%	1.4%	0.0%	0.0%	0.4%
North Carolina	11,504	6,209	35.1%	0.2%	0.7%	6.5%	0.2%	13.7%	0.0%	21.8%	1.5%	2.5%	2.1%	0.0%	0.0%	42.8%
North Dakota	1,996	1,372	35.0%	0.1%	0.7%	37.8%	0.0%	22.4%	0.1%	6.2%	4.4%	6.2%	3.9%	0.1%	0.0%	0.0%
Ohio	39,982	30,957	30.8%	0.0%	0.2%	34.6%	0.4%	10.6%	0.0%	20.4%	2.0%	0.3%	3.0%	0.0%	0.0%	25.6%
Oklahoma	4,068	2,210	25.1%	1.0%	0.0%	4.4%	0.0%	31.0%	0.0%	11.6%	15.9%	8.7%	2.3%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Oregon	10,655	3,976	28.7%	0.2%	0.0%	38.3%	0.3%	10.0%	0.0%	8.4%	5.5%	8.6%	4.4%	0.0%	0.0%	17.3%
Pennsylvania	72,057	33,690	40.4%	0.2%	0.0%	17.2%	0.0%	13.2%	2.9%	28.3%	1.0%	0.1%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	15.8%
Puerto Rico	11,147	1,508	11.3%	3.0%	1.3%	15.5%	1.7%	7.7%	34.0%	28.0%	2.0%	1.4%	1.0%	0.0%	0.3%	0.3%
Rhode Island	7,200	2,597	64.1%	0.2%	0.0%	2.7%	0.0%	12.7%	0.0%	14.9%	0.0%	1.0%	0.7%	0.0%	0.0%	14.0%
South Carolina	7,588	4,505	65.1%	0.1%	0.1%	5.1%	0.4%	9.7%	19.6%	3.6%	5.0%	0.6%	5.4%	0.4%	0.1%	3.0%
South Dakota	995	681	23.6%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	2.1%	5.9%	60.2%	8.1%	0.3%	11.5%	1.8%	0.6%	0.0%	0.0%
Tennessee	51,029	30,471	37.0%	0.0%	0.0%	1.1%	0.0%	55.9%	0.2%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	1.4%	0.0%	63.0%	16.0%
Texas	25,764	11,939	61.7%	2.2%	0.2%	4.7%	0.0%	35.0%	10.6%	6.1%	2.4%	0.6%	1.6%	0.0%	4.1%	0.0%
Utah	4,694	2,759	45.2%	0.0%	0.0%	20.8%	0.3%	26.1%	0.0%	23.0%	15.0%	0.5%	2.6%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Vermont	3,845	1,625	47.1%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.4%	28.9%	16.7%	2.0%	6.5%	8.2%	9.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Virgin Islands	308	61	1.6%	0.0%	0.0%	1.6%	11.5%	29.5%	8.2%	21.3%	6.6%	8.2%	11.5%	0.0%	0.0%	1.6%
Virginia	9,387	7,073	76.3%	0.3%	0.0%	6.3%	1.6%	31.3%	0.0%	0.6%	2.4%	1.6%	0.1%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Washington	37,834	24,702	25.2%	0.0%	4.6%	0.1%	0.0%	12.6%	65.7%	7.5%	3.2%	1.5%	7.2%	0.0%	0.0%	8.0%
West Virginia	5,977	2,126	23.6%	0.1%	0.3%	5.5%	0.0%	6.3%	45.3%	21.3%	0.0%	0.2%	8.4%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Wisconsin	6,624	4,195	7.1%	0.0%	0.0%	56.2%	0.0%	13.1%	11.2%	0.2%	8.4%	36.8%	8.3%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Wyoming	55	37	13.5%	0.0%	0.0%	81.1%	0.0%	16.2%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%

1/ Adults participating in more than one activity are included once in this total.

Source: TANF Data Report

Table 3:13 (FY 2006)

Temporary Assistance for Needy Families
Average Monthly Number of Adults With Hours of Participation by Work Activity as a Percent of the Total Number of Adults
Fiscal Year 2006

State	Average Monthly Number Of Adults With Hours Of Participation By Work Activity As A Percent Of The Total Number Of Adults															
	Total Adults	Adults with Hours of Participation 1/	Unsubsidized Employment	Subsidized Private Employment	Subsidized Public Employment	Work Experience	On-the-Job Training	Job Search	Community Service	Vocational Education	Job Skills Training	Education Related to Employment	Satisfactory School Attendance	Providing Child Care	Additional Waiver Activities	Other
United States	992,734	44.7%	21.8%	0.2%	0.3%	5.0%	0.2%	7.2%	4.7%	5.8%	0.9%	1.2%	1.6%	0.0%	2.0%	4.8%
Alabama	10,145	46.9%	30.1%	0.6%	1.9%	0.9%	0.0%	10.4%	0.3%	4.4%	0.0%	0.0%	4.1%	0.0%	0.0%	1.7%
Alaska	3,061	57.0%	33.3%	0.0%	0.3%	0.0%	0.2%	14.5%	12.5%	11.2%	0.0%	0.0%	1.4%	0.0%	0.0%	8.2%
Arizona	21,772	35.7%	20.5%	0.0%	0.0%	4.4%	0.1%	10.5%	0.2%	6.3%	0.3%	0.2%	1.1%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Arkansas	4,254	34.0%	14.4%	0.2%	0.3%	4.0%	1.1%	5.7%	0.4%	5.7%	2.1%	0.0%	3.0%	0.0%	0.0%	2.9%
California	187,744	35.5%	24.4%	0.0%	0.1%	0.7%	0.4%	4.1%	0.7%	4.3%	1.1%	0.8%	1.8%	0.1%	0.1%	1.9%
Colorado	10,401	37.5%	15.5%	0.5%	0.0%	2.6%	0.0%	5.0%	6.4%	7.1%	4.2%	0.5%	2.5%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Connecticut	10,059	48.1%	31.3%	1.0%	0.1%	0.3%	0.1%	4.3%	0.3%	7.2%	0.7%	3.4%	0.7%	0.0%	0.0%	14.2%
Delaware	2,936	34.0%	23.3%	0.1%	0.2%	17.4%	0.0%	5.0%	0.9%	0.0%	0.7%	0.3%	1.8%	0.0%	0.0%	0.1%
Dist. of Col.	9,370	25.9%	20.0%	0.1%	0.0%	0.6%	0.3%	3.0%	0.0%	3.2%	0.0%	0.2%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.1%
Florida	15,513	40.9%	20.5%	0.2%	0.3%	8.1%	0.0%	7.0%	3.6%	9.4%	0.4%	0.8%	1.9%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Georgia	8,011	53.9%	17.5%	0.2%	0.2%	15.2%	1.6%	7.8%	3.6%	11.2%	4.4%	0.1%	4.7%	0.2%	0.0%	3.2%
Guam	.															
Hawaii	4,858	33.5%	26.3%	0.0%	0.0%	4.0%	0.0%	9.1%	0.1%	6.8%	0.3%	0.4%	0.2%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Idaho	417	90.6%	37.6%	0.0%	0.0%	3.8%	0.0%	33.3%	1.4%	27.3%	0.0%	0.0%	1.4%	0.0%	0.0%	55.9%
Illinois	17,404	79.5%	14.8%	0.0%	0.0%	13.2%	0.0%	0.9%	2.1%	15.0%	0.4%	7.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	42.9%
Indiana	24,450	32.8%	26.2%	0.2%	0.0%	1.2%	0.0%	3.6%	0.0%	0.7%	0.6%	2.2%	1.5%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Iowa	11,730	57.0%	36.1%	0.0%	0.0%	0.4%	0.0%	4.9%	0.2%	11.2%	0.0%	0.0%	6.0%	0.0%	0.0%	10.1%
Kansas	14,264	74.1%	17.8%	0.0%	0.0%	7.1%	0.1%	9.2%	48.8%	1.7%	0.6%	0.2%	2.8%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Kentucky	16,819	47.3%	24.7%	0.2%	0.0%	2.1%	0.0%	1.8%	5.4%	13.8%	1.9%	1.5%	1.7%	0.0%	0.0%	1.8%
Louisiana	3,697	52.4%	25.2%	0.1%	0.3%	5.9%	0.4%	8.4%	0.0%	15.3%	0.0%	0.3%	6.6%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Maine	7,057	47.8%	28.1%	0.0%	0.0%	5.8%	0.0%	7.6%	2.7%	4.3%	5.2%	0.1%	5.1%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Maryland	10,391	49.9%	28.7%	2.9%	0.7%	3.7%	0.9%	13.5%	13.6%	5.9%	0.5%	0.0%	2.4%	0.4%	0.0%	0.0%
Massachusetts	28,465	24.4%	16.5%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.3%	0.7%	1.5%	3.3%	0.5%	1.6%	1.4%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Michigan	60,683	27.8%	21.8%	0.0%	0.1%	0.4%	0.0%	6.8%	0.0%	1.3%	0.0%	0.0%	0.3%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Minnesota	18,012	61.7%	27.9%	0.0%	0.0%	1.3%	0.0%	9.8%	3.1%	1.5%	1.9%	1.9%	7.4%	0.0%	0.0%	27.0%
Mississippi	6,550	33.5%	17.2%	0.0%	0.0%	5.7%	0.0%	2.4%	5.7%	3.0%	0.0%	1.0%	1.1%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Missouri	28,027	36.1%	14.5%	0.2%	0.4%	0.7%	0.1%	3.8%	0.0%	9.0%	0.0%	4.6%	0.3%	0.0%	0.0%	9.8%
Montana	3,088	84.0%	22.3%	0.0%	0.1%	84.0%	0.0%	8.8%	0.7%	5.1%	3.9%	5.1%	1.7%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Nebraska	6,555	41.4%	19.7%	0.0%	0.0%	0.6%	0.2%	11.4%	0.0%	10.3%	0.0%	0.0%	4.3%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Nevada	2,112	80.2%	40.1%	0.0%	0.0%	64.3%	0.0%	9.2%	3.1%	5.1%	0.0%	1.1%	0.1%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%

(continued…)

Table 3:13 (FY 2006)
(continued)

Temporary Assistance for Needy Families
Average Monthly Number of Adults With Hours of Participation by Work Activity as a Percent of the Total Number of Adults
Fiscal Year 2006

State	Average Monthly Number Of Adults With Hours Of Participation By Work Activity As A Percent Of The Total Number Of Adults															
	Total Adults	Adults with Hours of Participation 1/	Unsubsidized Employment	Subsidized Private Employment	Subsidized Public Employment	Work Experience	On-the-Job Training	Job Search	Community Service	Vocational Education	Job Skills Training	Education Related to Employment	Satisfactory School Attendance	Providing Child Care	Additional Waiver Activities	Other
New Hampshire	4,229	45.1%	21.9%	0.0%	0.0%	4.4%	0.0%	8.4%	2.7%	1.3%	6.6%	0.0%	4.3%	0.0%	0.0%	12.5%
New Jersey	28,685	36.8%	13.3%	0.0%	0.0%	14.2%	0.0%	4.1%	0.1%	9.3%	0.0%	5.5%	0.5%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
New Mexico	12,244	41.8%	26.8%	0.0%	0.0%	3.4%	0.0%	6.3%	4.0%	7.6%	1.2%	0.9%	0.5%	0.1%	0.0%	0.0%
New York	87,022	39.7%	20.7%	0.5%	0.6%	5.1%	0.0%	0.5%	11.0%	3.2%	0.1%	0.3%	0.6%	0.0%	0.0%	0.2%
North Carolina	11,504	54.0%	18.9%	0.1%	0.4%	3.5%	0.1%	7.4%	0.0%	11.8%	0.8%	1.4%	1.1%	0.0%	0.0%	23.1%
North Dakota	1,996	68.7%	24.0%	0.1%	0.5%	26.0%	0.0%	15.4%	0.1%	4.3%	3.1%	4.3%	2.7%	0.1%	0.0%	0.0%
Ohio	39,982	77.4%	23.9%	0.0%	0.2%	26.8%	0.3%	8.2%	0.0%	15.8%	1.5%	0.2%	2.3%	0.0%	0.0%	19.8%
Oklahoma	4,068	54.3%	13.6%	0.5%	0.0%	2.4%	0.0%	16.8%	0.0%	6.3%	8.6%	4.7%	1.3%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Oregon	10,655	37.3%	10.7%	0.1%	0.0%	14.3%	0.1%	3.7%	0.0%	3.1%	2.0%	3.2%	1.7%	0.0%	0.0%	6.5%
Pennsylvania	72,057	46.8%	18.9%	0.1%	0.0%	8.1%	0.0%	6.2%	1.3%	13.2%	0.5%	0.1%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	7.4%
Puerto Rico	11,147	13.5%	1.5%	0.4%	0.2%	2.1%	0.2%	1.0%	4.6%	3.8%	0.3%	0.2%	0.1%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Rhode Island	7,200	36.1%	23.1%	0.1%	0.0%	1.0%	0.0%	4.6%	0.0%	5.4%	0.0%	0.3%	0.3%	0.0%	0.0%	5.0%
South Carolina	7,588	59.4%	38.7%	0.1%	0.1%	3.0%	0.2%	5.8%	11.6%	2.1%	3.0%	0.4%	3.2%	0.3%	0.0%	1.8%
South Dakota	995	68.4%	16.2%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	1.4%	4.0%	41.2%	5.5%	0.2%	7.8%	1.2%	0.4%	0.0%	0.0%
Tennessee	51,029	59.7%	22.1%	0.0%	0.0%	0.6%	0.0%	33.4%	0.1%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.8%	0.0%	37.6%	9.5%
Texas	25,764	46.3%	28.6%	1.0%	0.1%	2.2%	0.0%	16.2%	4.9%	2.8%	1.1%	0.3%	0.7%	0.0%	1.9%	0.0%
Utah	4,694	58.8%	26.5%	0.0%	0.0%	12.2%	0.2%	15.3%	0.0%	13.5%	8.8%	0.3%	1.5%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Vermont	3,845	42.3%	19.9%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.2%	12.2%	7.1%	0.9%	2.8%	3.5%	3.8%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Virgin Islands	308	19.8%	0.3%	0.0%	0.0%	0.3%	2.3%	5.8%	1.6%	4.2%	1.3%	1.6%	2.3%	0.0%	0.0%	0.3%
Virginia	9,387	75.3%	57.5%	0.2%	0.0%	4.8%	1.2%	23.6%	0.0%	0.5%	1.8%	1.2%	0.1%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Washington	37,834	65.3%	16.5%	0.0%	3.0%	0.1%	0.0%	8.2%	42.9%	4.9%	2.1%	1.0%	4.7%	0.0%	0.0%	5.2%
West Virginia	5,977	35.6%	8.4%	0.1%	0.1%	2.0%	0.0%	2.3%	16.1%	7.6%	0.0%	0.1%	3.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Wisconsin	6,624	63.3%	4.5%	0.0%	0.0%	35.6%	0.0%	8.3%	7.1%	0.2%	5.3%	23.3%	5.3%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Wyoming	55	67.3%	9.1%	0.0%	0.0%	54.5%	0.0%	10.9%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%

1/ Adults participating in more than one activity are included once in this total.

Source: TANF Data Report

Table 3:14 (FY 2006)

Temporary Assistance for Needy Families
Total Hours of Participation for All Adults by Work Activity
Fiscal Year 2006

State	Total Hours Of Reported TANF Participation By Activity						
	Employment	Community Service/ Work Experience	Job Search	Vocational Education	Education and Training	Waiver & Other	Total Hours
United States	5,751,680	2,111,359	1,149,072	1,472,554	734,885	1,172,106	12,391,656
Percent	46.4%	17.0%	9.3%	11.9%	5.9%	9.5%	100.0%
Alabama	90,238	2,930	22,509	9,193	8,522	2,661	136,053
Alaska	28,260	7,077	6,094	5,921	960	2,530	50,842
Arizona	109,819	22,824	49,767	41,249	5,212	0	228,871
Arkansas	16,107	3,199	4,395	5,896	5,165	2,711	37,473
California	1,140,529	54,980	129,481	190,970	151,760	29,320	1,697,040
Colorado	49,796	21,332	13,609	21,903	17,940	0	124,580
Connecticut	76,816	1,119	5,454	15,778	7,137	32,498	138,802
Delaware	15,787	10,879	955	0	1,494	23	29,138
Dist. Of Col.	55,442	710	3,610	5,517	606	214	66,099
Florida	73,913	39,520	23,360	40,772	10,632	0	188,197
Georgia	39,972	36,424	17,061	23,330	18,400	2,547	137,734
Guam	0	0	.	.	0	0	0
Hawaii	34,901	3,981	8,686	4,911	819	0	53,298
Idaho	3,319	205	1,847	1,821	127	4,213	11,532
Illinois	69,944	79,864	3,804	78,340	33,547	155,850	421,349
Indiana	160,589	5,429	24,404	4,282	22,589	0	217,293
Iowa	132,587	992	4,345	38,800	6,538	27,518	210,780
Kansas	71,335	229,079	32,113	6,803	12,575	0	351,905
Kentucky	97,662	30,916	8,519	63,324	18,241	5,643	224,305
Louisiana	24,547	3,695	3,761	13,615	3,589	0	49,207
Maine	45,716	12,077	7,874	6,194	13,134	0	84,995
Maryland	204,453	47,639	15,192	18,986	13,203	0	299,473
Massachusetts	98,264	9,999	3,015	23,949	23,218	0	158,445
Michigan	352,210	5,448	76,499	13,940	2,927	0	451,024
Minnesota	100,740	14,363	29,092	5,646	50,595	55,513	255,949
Mississippi	30,679	16,575	3,327	4,505	3,531	0	58,617
Missouri	104,106	3,252	14,772	55,798	26,207	39,160	243,295
Montana	14,320	61,110	3,074	4,427	2,344	0	85,275
Nebraska	35,917	1,073	18,236	23,150	8,011	0	86,387
Nevada	24,788	3,228	2,301	2,882	699	0	33,898
New Hampshire	21,915	4,079	5,174	1,163	8,919	8,342	49,592
New Jersey	100,085	82,464	19,950	63,985	25,746	0	292,230
New Mexico	94,375	20,975	14,305	28,010	7,770	28	165,463
New York	531,837	357,355	5,646	94,586	16,118	304	1,005,846
North Carolina	57,143	6,959	19,252	33,463	6,826	19,906	143,549
North Dakota	11,699	14,610	4,529	2,629	3,578	0	37,045
Ohio	243,120	255,696	67,821	174,062	27,280	115,070	883,049
Oklahoma	16,449	2,193	13,650	7,160	14,689	0	54,141
Oregon	21,935	23,589	6,572	5,512	10,874	16,401	84,883
Pennsylvania	321,943	197,889	51,157	211,196	6,112	61,638	849,935
Puerto Rico	7,438	21,744	3,463	12,154	2,555	162	47,516
Rhode Island	39,520	1,815	6,636	8,921	1,027	8,661	66,580
South Carolina	79,140	20,946	4,952	3,501	13,235	3,263	125,037
South Dakota	3,829	7,963	412	1,336	1,768	0	15,308
Tennessee	290,949	5,614	186,936	0	14,647	530,207	1,028,353
Texas	214,562	29,441	82,959	12,170	5,723	3,182	348,037
Utah	34,197	12,399	12,956	18,099	8,006	5	85,662
Vermont	15,920	6,003	5,690	651	4,290	0	32,554
Virgin Islands	25	182	528	405	667	28	1,835
Virginia	154,621	7,142	32,174	686	6,390	0	201,013
Washington	170,640	235,759	54,707	47,805	55,456	44,508	608,875
West Virginia	12,614	21,006	2,025	13,011	2,820	0	51,476
Wisconsin	4,849	44,589	10,273	140	20,665	0	80,516
Wyoming	119	1,028	149	7	2	0	1,305

Source: TANF Data Report

Table 3:15 (FY 2006)

Temporary Assistance for Needy Families
Average Hours of Participation in Work Activities, Including Waivers, For All Adults Participating in the Work Activity
Fiscal Year 2006

State	Average Monthly Number Of Hours Of Participation In Work Activity By Adults Participating In The Work Activity																
	Total Adults	Adults with Hours of Participation 1/	Unsubsidized Employment	Subsidized Private Employment	Subsidized Public Employment	Work Experience	On-the-Job Training	Job Search	Community Service	Vocational Education	Job Skills Training	Education Related to Employment	Satisfactory School Attendance	Providing Child Care	Additional Waiver Activities	Other	All Fourteen Activities
United States	992,734	443,828	26.0	30.6	28.6	22.7	24.4	16.1	21.2	25.4	17.3	16.4	21.0	24.2	22.2	15.5	27.9
Alabama	10,145	4,759	27.6	26.4	23.4	25.4	31.3	21.4	22.9	20.6	-	-	20.4	-	-	15.4	28.6
Alaska	3,061	1,746	27.5	-	26.2	-	28.3	13.8	18.5	17.2	-	-	18.3	-	-	10.0	29.1
Arizona	21,772	7,766	24.6	-	-	23.4	27.7	21.8	18.8	30.2	14.8	12.1	15.0	-	-	-	29.5
Arkansas	4,254	1,447	25.3	24.8	32.7	17.6	26.4	18.2	13.1	24.4	15.2	-	19.9	-	-	21.8	25.9
California	187,744	66,606	24.8	-	12.0	22.1	20.2	16.8	20.6	23.5	21.6	17.4	18.3	20.0	2.0	8.2	25.5
Colorado	10,401	3,902	30.2	20.3	-	20.6	-	26.0	23.6	29.8	25.8	19.6	21.2	-	-	-	31.9
Connecticut	10,059	4,834	23.7	19.8	26.0	18.0	32.5	12.6	18.0	21.8	11.6	13.3	20.4	-	-	22.8	28.7
Delaware	2,936	998	22.8	22.0	19.0	20.7	17.4	6.5	11.7	-	9.0	21.5	21.0	-	-	14.4	29.2
Dist. of Col.	9,370	2,426	29.5	18.0	-	12.2	13.1	13.0	-	18.7	1.0	16.1	-	-	1.0	23.0	27.3
Florida	15,513	6,338	22.6	36.0	16.9	23.2	-	21.4	18.6	27.9	8.0	11.1	30.2	-	-	-	29.7
Georgia	8,011	4,316	27.8	32.9	30.8	23.5	27.7	27.2	27.1	25.9	10.6	17.1	27.6	28.5	-	10.1	31.9
Guam	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.
Hawaii	4,858	1,628	27.3	-	-	20.0	27.8	19.6	15.5	14.9	16.6	14.4	21.5	-	-	-	32.7
Idaho	417	378	21.2	16.3	-	11.3	-	13.3	4.1	15.9	-	3.0	21.5	-	-	18.1	30.5
Illinois	17,404	13,837	27.1	-	-	31.1	-	25.1	24.2	30.0	16.3	26.6	-	-	-	20.9	30.4
Indiana	24,450	8,028	24.9	16.0	-	18.2	16.5	27.6	-	25.5	24.4	18.6	25.0	-	-	-	27.1
Iowa	11,730	6,689	31.3	58.3	-	13.8	-	7.5	14.4	29.4	-	-	9.3	-	-	23.2	31.5
Kansas	14,264	10,573	28.2	-	35.0	28.6	23.8	24.4	28.7	27.6	22.3	21.1	23.9	-	-	-	33.3
Kentucky	16,819	7,947	23.3	25.0	-	24.5	33.0	28.3	24.6	27.3	16.3	16.8	30.0	-	-	18.2	28.2
Louisiana	3,697	1,937	26.0	17.4	17.9	16.8	21.8	12.2	-	24.1	-	22.5	12.2	-	-	-	25.4
Maine	7,057	3,376	23.1	-	-	19.8	15.0	14.7	20.3	20.6	14.5	24.4	21.0	-	-	-	25.2
Maryland	10,391	5,181	63.1	48.9	20.5	18.2	54.7	10.8	28.9	30.7	33.5	-	17.4	40.0	-	-	57.8
Massachusetts	28,465	6,952	20.9	-	-	16.0	32.6	16.2	23.0	25.3	19.5	22.2	20.1	-	-	-	22.8
Michigan	60,683	16,894	26.5	-	40.0	21.2	-	18.5	-	18.2	7.0	16.0	18.8	-	-	-	26.7
Minnesota	18,012	11,106	20.0	-	-	20.2	-	16.5	17.2	20.5	13.2	19.2	29.6	23.9	-	11.4	23.0
Mississippi	6,550	2,193	27.3	-	-	21.1	-	21.0	23.2	23.1	-	20.0	31.3	-	-	-	26.7
Missouri	28,027	10,104	24.7	24.9	19.0	15.9	22.3	13.8	-	22.1	-	18.5	23.1	-	-	14.3	24.1
Montana	3,088	2,595	20.7	-	29.2	23.5	-	11.4	11.5	28.0	6.9	6.8	8.8	-	-	-	32.9
Nebraska	6,555	2,716	27.8	19.0	-	26.3	34.1	24.3	-	34.3	10.0	-	26.5	-	-	-	31.8
Nevada	2,112	1,694	29.3	-	-	1.7	-	11.9	13.7	26.7	-	24.4	36.0	-	-	-	20.0

(continued…)

Table 3:15 (FY 2006)
(continued)

Temporary Assistance for Needy Families
Average Hours of Participation in Work Activities, Including Waivers,
For All Adults Participating in the Work Activity
Fiscal Year 2006

State	Average Monthly Number Of Hours Of Participation In Work Activity By Adults Participating In The Work Activity																
	Total Adults	Adults with Hours of Participation 1/	Unsubsidized Employment	Subsidized Private Employment	Subsidized Public Employment	Work Experience	On-the-Job Training	Job Search	Community Service	Vocational Education	Job Skills Training	Education Related to Employment	Satisfactory School Attendance	Providing Child Care	Additional Waiver Activities	Other	All Fourteen Activities
New Hampshire	4,229	1,907	23.7	-	-	15.2	21.7	14.6	10.9	21.5	20.3	5.0	17.9	-	-	15.8	26.0
New Jersey	28,685	10,567	26.1	-	-	20.1	25.0	17.0	16.4	24.0	-	13.7	27.2	29.0	-	-	27.7
New Mexico	12,244	5,119	28.8	-	-	24.2	-	18.6	22.6	30.2	25.6	16.4	27.8	33.4	-	25.0	32.3
New York	87,022	34,576	27.6	34.9	32.9	21.7	17.0	11.9	27.4	33.9	27.6	11.9	22.3	-	-	2.1	29.1
North Carolina	11,504	6,209	25.5	22.5	31.5	17.3	1.0	22.7	-	24.7	11.4	20.0	20.0	-	-	7.5	23.1
North Dakota	1,996	1,372	24.0	12.6	20.0	28.1	-	14.8	24.5	31.0	14.6	19.4	19.5	31.0	-	-	27.0
Ohio	39,982	30,957	25.3	-	27.6	23.9	28.3	20.8	-	27.6	13.6	24.0	14.8	-	-	14.5	28.5
Oklahoma	4,068	2,210	28.8	20.7	27.3	22.3	33.2	20.0	-	27.9	26.5	21.4	25.4	-	-	-	24.5
Oregon	10,655	3,976	19.2	15.2	-	15.5	23.3	16.6	-	16.4	11.0	12.6	22.0	-	-	23.8	21.3
Pennsylvania	72,057	33,690	23.6	12.8	-	31.8	-	11.5	13.6	22.2	15.3	23.0	-	-	-	11.6	25.2
Puerto Rico	11,147	1,508	31.8	30.5	32.5	27.0	30.0	30.0	30.2	28.8	31.7	26.1	21.4	-	30.0	2.0	31.5
Rhode Island	7,200	2,597	23.7	30.6	-	25.8	-	20.2	-	23.0	-	22.7	24.5	-	-	23.9	25.6
South Carolina	7,588	4,505	27.0	2.7	2.5	17.5	6.0	11.3	19.2	21.7	23.4	22.6	27.9	21.4	1.0	24.2	27.8
South Dakota	995	681	23.7	15.0	-	-	29.4	10.3	19.4	24.4	10.0	13.7	16.4	15.8	-	-	22.5
Tennessee	51,029	30,471	25.8	-	-	13.9	24.5	11.0	24.1	-	-	-	34.1	-	22.8	19.0	33.7
Texas	25,764	11,939	28.2	22.1	30.0	17.0	-	19.9	15.8	16.6	6.3	6.8	18.2	-	6.6	-	29.2
Utah	4,694	2,759	27.5	-	-	21.5	19.7	18.0	42.5	28.5	14.6	12.7	22.5	-	-	29.0	31.0
Vermont	3,845	1,625	20.8	40.0	27.5	-	21.2	12.1	22.1	19.9	10.0	7.3	14.5	-	-	-	20.0
Virgin Islands	308	61	26.8	-	40.0	32.6	30.3	30.0	27.0	32.0	30.0	29.8	28.5	30.0	-	30.0	30.0
Virginia	9,387	7,073	28.6	20.2	-	15.9	18.8	14.5	-	15.2	17.5	9.7	18.8	-	-	-	28.4
Washington	37,834	24,702	21.6	-	31.5	18.8	29.9	17.6	14.5	25.7	13.8	20.0	21.0	17.0	-	22.6	24.6
West Virginia	5,977	2,126	24.8	19.5	17.5	20.2	1.0	15.0	19.3	28.8	-	16.8	15.3	-	-	-	24.2
Wisconsin	6,624	4,195	16.2	15.4	-	14.6	14.2	18.7	21.6	13.8	10.2	7.2	16.9	-	-	-	19.2
Wyoming	55	37	22.7	-	-	34.5	8.0	24.1	-	40.0	-	-	3.5	-	-	-	35.7

1/ Adults participating in more than one activity are included once in this total.
Source: TANF Data Report

Table 3:16 (FY 2006)

Temporary Assistance for Needy Families
Number of Families Required to Participate in the All Families Work Participation Rate
Fiscal Year 2006

State	Number Of Families Used In All-Families Rate	Number Of Participating Families In All-Families Rate	Average Monthly Number Of Families Required To Participate, But Not Participating					
			Number Of Non-Participating Families In All-Families Rate	Zero Hours Of Participation	One To Ten Hours Of Participation	Eleven To Twenty Hours Of Participation	Twenty-One To Thirty Hours Of Participation	Thirty-One Or More Hours Of Participation
United States	817,937	271,080	546,858	430,162	41,642	47,218	23,327	4,512
Alabama	7,829	3,260	4,569	3,559	376	400	180	54
Alaska	2,158	982	1,176	809	172	130	64	-
Arizona	18,349	5,475	12,875	11,045	877	615	318	19
Arkansas	2,885	839	2,046	1,697	128	152	58	10
California	169,333	37,244	132,089	105,684	9,068	10,421	5,087	1,828
Colorado	8,145	2,451	5,694	4,695	173	356	338	131
Connecticut	7,913	2,446	5,467	4,013	502	735	188	30
Delaware	2,768	700	2,068	1,801	98	137	30	1
Dist. Of Col.	7,859	1,349	6,510	5,463	172	561	314	-
Florida	10,855	4,859	5,996	5,034	338	404	205	15
Georgia	5,875	3,808	2,067	1,718	120	122	82	25
Guam	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.
Hawaii	3,647	1,360	2,287	2,028	85	115	50	9
Idaho	355	161	194	94	39	38	22	2
Illinois	11,738	6,911	4,827	4,091	105	286	220	125
Indiana	19,451	5,191	14,260	11,970	819	928	502	42
Iowa	9,780	3,817	5,963	4,411	697	610	225	20
Kansas	11,321	8,746	2,575	2,075	180	174	131	15
Kentucky	13,183	6,401	6,782	5,830	338	403	186	25
Louisiana	3,215	1,345	1,870	1,311	230	227	93	8
Maine	6,574	1,748	4,825	3,301	416	581	465	62
Maryland	9,228	3,978	5,250	4,086	515	500	148	-
Massachusetts	23,699	3,818	19,881	17,157	815	1,373	510	27
Michigan	47,639	10,299	37,340	32,316	1,705	2,321	997	1
Minnesota	14,255	4,346	9,909	6,857	1,151	1,201	557	143
Mississippi	4,486	1,575	2,911	2,352	144	306	103	6
Missouri	23,915	5,057	18,858	15,711	974	1,601	541	31
Montana	2,532	2,008	524	312	44	99	69	1
Nebraska	6,555	2,206	4,349	3,839	182	141	115	73
Nevada	1,649	792	857	240	393	149	66	9

(continued...)

Table 3:16 (FY 2006)
(continued)

Temporary Assistance for Needy Families
Number of Families Required to Participate in the All Families Work Participation Rate
Fiscal Year 2006

State	Number Of Families Used In All-Families Rate	Number Of Participating Families In All-Families Rate	Average Monthly Number Of Families Required To Participate, But Not Participating					
			Number Of Non-Participating Families In All-Families Rate	Zero Hours Of Participation	One To Ten Hours Of Participation	Eleven To Twenty Hours Of Participation	Twenty-One To Thirty Hours Of Participation	Thirty-One Or More Hours Of Participation
New Hampshire	3,269	787	2,482	1,742	217	230	225	69
New Jersey	24,440	7,150	17,290	14,248	1,194	1,128	494	226
New Mexico	9,005	3,827	5,178	4,351	237	327	192	72
New York	66,820	25,251	41,569	34,869	2,390	3,073	1,224	13
North Carolina	9,377	3,522	5,855	5,023	266	432	134	-
North Dakota	1,745	903	842	437	123	181	79	23
Ohio	33,722	18,533	15,189	9,938	1,859	1,961	1,348	83
Oklahoma	3,140	1,030	2,110	1,104	268	239	319	181
Oregon	8,109	1,229	6,880	5,070	812	648	307	42
Pennsylvania	62,396	17,258	45,138	33,715	3,881	5,090	2,404	48
Puerto Rico	9,923	1,357	8,567	8,425	20	51	60	10
Rhode Island	5,748	1,438	4,310	3,582	211	378	138	2
South Carolina	6,304	3,109	3,195	2,156	296	446	242	56
South Dakota	793	458	335	151	67	92	24	1
Tennessee	36,985	21,134	15,851	10,855	1,214	2,113	1,357	312
Texas	24,145	10,091	14,054	12,278	732	768	276	-
Utah	4,368	1,844	2,524	1,646	351	348	134	45
Vermont	2,837	631	2,206	1,506	349	244	102	6
Virgin Islands	304	44	260	243	-	2	14	-
Virginia	9,148	4,923	4,225	2,118	566	838	666	38
Washington	28,872	10,431	18,441	8,999	4,697	2,841	1,374	530
West Virginia	4,388	1,162	3,226	2,564	322	236	96	8
Wisconsin	4,868	1,765	3,103	1,637	712	465	254	35
Wyoming	40	31	9	6	2	1	-	-

Source: TANF Data Report

Table 3:17 (FY 2006)

Separate State Programs
SSP-MOE Work Participation Rates
Fiscal Year 2006

State	All-Family		Two-Parent	
	Absent Waiver	With Waiver	Absent Waiver	With Waiver
United States	30.8%	31.1%	32.1%	32.6%
Alabama	33.5%		30.0%	
Alaska				
Arizona				
Arkansas				
California	38.6%		35.0%	
Colorado				
Connecticut	14.9%		18.5%	
Delaware	33.6%		28.2%	
Dist. of Col.	1.1%			
Florida	52.2%		41.1%	
Georgia	56.7%		38.4%	
Guam				
Hawaii	39.8%		27.7%	0.0%
Idaho				
Illinois	23.5%		71.4%	
Indiana	40.4%		25.2%	
Iowa	18.1%		34.1%	
Kansas				
Kentucky				
Louisiana				
Maine	21.5%		32.0%	
Maryland	24.5%		46.9%	
Massachusetts	19.4%		17.7%	
Michigan				
Minnesota	32.7%		25.8%	
Mississippi				
Missouri	13.1%		18.3%	
Montana				
Nebraska	12.1%			
Nevada	16.6%		44.6%	
New Hampshire	33.2%		29.8%	
New Jersey	26.6%		25.8%	
New Mexico				
New York	44.3%		52.1%	
North Carolina				
North Dakota				
Ohio				
Oklahoma				
Oregon				
Pennsylvania				
Puerto Rico				
Rhode Island	14.2%		2.6%	
South Carolina	6.9%		30.0%	
South Dakota				
Tennessee	17.4%	55.0%	16.9%	57.8%
Texas	43.5%		60.3%	
Utah	64.2%		55.6%	
Vermont	3.6%		4.3%	
Virgin Islands				
Virginia	7.8%		32.4%	
Washington	17.4%		16.2%	
West Virginia	30.9%		30.2%	
Wisconsin	31.5%		19.7%	
Wyoming	3.9%			

1/ SSP provides additional assistance to TANF recipients. Work activities posted in TANF Program.
Source: TANF Data Report

Table 4:1

Employment Rates Among Single Mothers Under 200 Percent of Poverty
Fiscal Years 2002 - 2006

With Children Under 6					
	2002	2003	2004	2005	2006
Employed	57.3%	53.7%	54.0%	52.7%	55.4%
Unemployed	9.9%	11.1	9.9	11.1	9.6
Not in Labor Force	32.7%	35.2	36.1	36.2	35.0
With Children Under 18					
Employed	59.3%	56.4%	57.3%	56.2%	57.7%
Unemployed	9.0%	10.2	8.9	9.3	8.4
Not in Labor Force	31.7%	33.4	33.8	34.5	33.8

Source: ASPE tabulations using data from the CPS, U.S. Census Bureau (2002-2006)

Table 4:2

Government Benefits and Earnings for Single-Mother Families with Children in 2005 Dollars
Fiscal Years 2001 - 2005

Means-Tested Government Benefits[1]					
Quintile	**2001**	**2002**	**2003**	**2004**	**2005**
1	4,609	4,785	4,586	4,534	4,377
2	4,396	4,302	4,828	4,916	5,465
Earnings					
Quintile	**2001**	**2002**	**2003**	**2004**	**2005**
1	2,796	2,571	2,111	2,100	2,472
2	11,346	11,474	10,402	10,005	9,888

[1]Means-tested government benefits is the total of Supplemental Security Income, public assistance, certain veteran's benefits, Food Stamp Program, National School Lunch Program, and housing benefits.
Note: Sorted into quintiles by comprehensive income divided by poverty line
Income estimated for persons with top-coded income
Persons with negative income removed
Source: ASPE tabulations using data from the CPS, U.S. Census Bureau 2001-2006)

Table 5:1

Formulas for Computing Bonus Year Rates

Work-Related Measures

Job Entry Rate

This is a measure of the percent of the number of unduplicated unemployed adult recipients who entered employment for the first time during the performance year (i.e., Job Entries). An adult is considered to have entered employment for the first time in a calendar quarter if he/she had no earnings in any of the prior quarters of the performance year.

$$\frac{\text{Sum of Job Entries in Quarters 1 through 4}}{\text{Unduplicated number of unemployed adult recipients in performance year}} \times 100$$

Job Retention Rate

This is a measure of the percent of the sum of the unduplicated number of employed adult recipients in each quarter of the performance year who were also employed in the first and second subsequent quarters. (At some point, the adult might have become a former recipient.)

$$\frac{\text{Sum of employed adult recipients in each of Quarters 1 through 4 who continued employment in both the first and second subsequent Quarters}}{\text{Sum of unduplicated employed adult recipients in Quarters 1 through 4}} \times 100$$

Earnings Gain Rate

This is a measure of the rate of change in earnings of employed adult recipients who were employed in both an initial and the second subsequent quarter in each of the four quarters of the performance year.

$$\frac{\text{Sum of total dollars earned in second subsequent Quarter in each of Quarters 1 through 4 minus sum of total dollars earned in initial Quarter in each of Quarters 1 through 4 of adult recipients employed in both of these Quarters}}{\text{Sum of total dollars earned in initial quarter in each of Quarters 1 through 4}} \times 100$$

Table 5:1 (continued)

Success-in-the Workforce

This is a composite measurement of separate State ranking scores of the Retention and Earnings Gain rates for the performance year, as shown above. The ranks are equally weighted, combined, and then re-ranked.

Increase/Decrease in Job Entry Rate

This measure compares the percentage point change between the Job Entry Rate achieved in the performance year and the prior year.

Performance Year Job Entry Rate minus prior year Job Entry Rate

Increase/Decrease in Job Retention Rate

This measure compares the percentage point change between the Job Retention Rate achieved in the performance year and the prior year.

Performance Year Job Retention Rate minus prior year Job Retention Rate

Increase/Decrease in Earnings Gain Rate

This measure compares the percentage point change between the Earnings Gain Rate achieved in the performance year and the prior year.

Performance Year Earnings Gain Rate minus prior year Earnings Gain Rate

Increase/Decrease in Success in the Workforce

This is a composite measurement of the comparison of two separate State ranking scores – the percentage point change between the Job Retention Rate achieved in the performance year and the prior year, and the percentage point change between the Earnings Gain Rate achieved in the performance year and the prior year. Ranks are equally weighted, combined and then re-ranked.

Table 5:1 (continued)

Non-Work Measures

Medicaid/SCHIP Enrollment Rate

This is a measure of the number of recipients in the performance year who leave TANF and are enrolled in the Medicaid/SCHIP program both at the time of leaving and in the fourth month after leaving, as a percent of all recipients who leave TANF and were enrolled at time of leaving.

Sum of recipients in each of Quarters 1 through 4 who leave TANF and are enrolled in Medicaid/SCHIP both at time of leaving and in the fourth month after leaving
---------- x 100
Total number of individuals who leave TANF who are enrolled in Medicaid/SCHIP at time of leaving TANF

Increase/Decrease in Medicaid Enrollment Rate

This measure compares the percentage point change between the Medicaid/SCHIP enrollment rate achieved in the performance year and in the prior year.

Performance Year Medicaid/SCHIP Enrollment Rate minus prior year Medicaid/Enrollment Rate

Food Stamp Rate

This is a measure of the percent of low-income working households with children under 18 in the performance year (calendar year) who participate in the Food Stamp program. Basic calendar year data were provided by the Bureau of the Census. The formula for the calculation is as follows:

Number of low-income working households with children under 18 participating in the Food Stamp program in the calendar year
---------- x 100
Total number of low-income working households with children under 18 in calendar year

Increase/Decrease in Food Stamp Participation Rate

This measure compares the percentage point change between the Food Stamp participation rate achieved in the performance year (calendar year) and the prior calendar year.

Calendar year Food Stamp Rate minus prior calendar year Food Stamp Rate

Table 5:1 (continued)

Child Care Subsidy Rate

This measure consists of three components for the performance year – the percent of eligible children served, the affordability of care for the families of the children as indicated by the relationship between the State's reported CCDF (Child Care Development Fund) co-payments and the reported family income and a quality component measuring actual paid funds compared to State market rate survey. Each State's year rank on this measure is a composite weighted score of the two components – the component on percent of children served having a weight of 6 and the family co-payment component having a weight of 4.

Child Care Accessibility Component:

$$\frac{\text{Number of children served under the CCDF requirements}}{\text{Number of children in the State eligible to receive CCDF services}} \times 100$$

Child Care Affordability Component:

The following comparison of assessed family co-payment to family income in the performance year was computed across four income ranges. The income ranges are: 1) income below the poverty level; 2) income at least 100 percent and below 125 percent of poverty; 3) income at least 125 percent and below 150 percent of poverty; 4) income at least 150 percent and below 175 percent of poverty.

$$\frac{\text{Reported assessed family performance year co-payment}}{\text{Reported family income across all four income ranges}} \times 100$$

Also incorporated in the affordability rate is the following computation:

$$\frac{\text{Number of potentially-eligible children served with CCDF funds in performance year}}{\text{Number of eligible children under the Federal criteria in performance year}} \times 100$$

Child Care Quality Component:

$$\frac{\text{Actual amount paid with CCDF funds for children receiving subsidies}}{\text{Local market rates from the State}} \times 100$$

Table 5:1 (continued)

Increase/Decrease in Family Formation and Stability Rate

Bonuses are awarded only to the ten States with the greatest percentage point improvement on this measure. For the bonus year, this measure compares the percentage point change between the Family Formation and Stability rate achieved in the performance year (calendar year) and the prior calendar year.

The formula for computing the rate for the performance year is:

$$\frac{\text{Number of children who reside in married couple families}}{\text{Number of married couple families}} \times 100$$

The formula for computing the percentage point improvement rate is:

Calendar year performance rate minus the prior calendar year performance rate

Table 5:2
High Performance Bonus
Awards For Performance Year 2004 by Category Amount

State	FY 2004 PERFORMANCE						PERCENTAGE POINT INCREASE OVER FY 2003 PERFORMANCE				
	BONUS AMOUNTS	Job Entry	Success in the Workforce	Medicaid/ SCHIP Enrollment	Food Stamps[1]	Child Care Subsidies	Job Entry	Success in the Workforce	Medicaid/ SCHIP Enrollment	Food Stamps[1]	Family Formation and Stability[1]
Alabama	$ 620,343						$ 620,343				
Alaska	2,665,640					138,349		769,946		1,757,344	
Arizona	285,969										285,969
Arkansas	2,836,643 [2]	1,968,223	868,420								
California	12,921,669					8,121,032					4,800,637
Delaware	1,614,549 [2]	790,398			365,339		110,626			348,187	
Dist. of Col.	1,322,407					201,426		1,120,981			
Georgia	4,003,411							4,003,411			
Hawaii	342,281					215,117					127,164
Illinois	752,218										752,218
Indiana	10,339,955 [2]	9,487,220					852,735				
Iowa	6,302,671		2,651,992						3,650,679		
Kansas	131,055										131,055
Louisiana	4,551,297								4,551,297		
Maine	3,032,827				3,032,827						
Massachusetts	9,204,824						3,053,816	5,560,385			590,622
Michigan	5,154,406						5,154,406				
Minnesota	13,399,244 [2]	7,929,709						1,976,334		3,493,202	
Mississippi	2,397,150									2,397,150	
Missouri	10,852,587 [2]	8,514,462		1,146,422			1,191,704				
Montana	2,276,700 [2]	1,010,367						251,815	569,430	445,088	
Nevada	532,310							532,310			
New Hampshire	1,926,063 [2]		775,309			83,633			1,067,121		
New Jersey	519,475										519,475
New York	19,381,083						16,240,162				3,140,920
North Dakota	1,319,990 [2]	844,206							475,784		
Ohio	14,678,325		14,678,325								
Oklahoma	6,202,744					321,929		1,791,607		4,089,209	
Oregon	1,116,332						1,116,332				
Pennsylvania	4,655,929			4,655,929							
Rhode Island	4,751,079 [2]					186,407			2,324,013	2,240,659	
South Carolina	4,998,391 [2]	3,921,518		528,009			548,865				
South Dakota	441,448		441,448								
Tennessee	9,576,190 [2]	6,343,702			2,932,194	300,294					
Texas	5,885,818							5,885,818			
Utah	3,681,654		1,549,139						2,132,514		
Vermont	1,118,678		954,802			102,993					60,883
Virginia	7,914,259 [2]	7,261,570					652,688				
Washington	9,032,128		8,152,708			879,419					
West Virginia	141,656										141,656
Wisconsin	6,415,765		6,415,765								
Wyoming	702,838		439,188					263,650			
TOTAL	**$ 200,000,000**	**$ 48,071,374**	**$ 36,927,097**	**$ 6,330,359**	**$ 6,330,359**	**$ 10,550,599**	**$ 29,541,677**	**$ 22,156,258**	**$ 14,770,839**	**$ 14,770,839**	**$ 10,550,599**

[1] Only calendar year data are available.

[2] Bonus amounts to these States are limited to five percent of the State's family assistance grant for the year (see Section 403(a) of the Social Security Act).

Table 5:3

High Performance Bonus Awards for Performance Year 2004

Ranks of FY 2004 Performance and Percentage Point Changes over FY 2003 Performance, by Category

State	FY 2004 Performance Ranks					Ranks of Percentage Point Change over FY 2003 Performance				
	Job Entry	Success in the Workforce[1]	Medicaid/ SCHIP Enrollment	Food Stamps[2]	Child Care Subsidies[3]	Job Entry	Success in the Workforce[1]	Medicaid/ SCHIP Enrollment	Food Stamps[2]	Family Formation and Stability[2]
Alabama	14	20	**	24	**	5	24	**	49	18
Alaska	17	25	35	32	7	44	9	41	7	**
Arizona	30	33	36	27	17	23	35	12	25	1
Arkansas	7	7	29	6	**	41	41	33	28	45
California	**	**	28	49	4	**	**	17	37	9
Colorado	29	37	**	45	**	29	24	**	17	23
Connecticut	23	15	43	40	12	12	15	13	9	19
Delaware	5	10	25	3	20	2	17	29	1	41
Dist. of Col.	25	38	33	39	6	13	7	10	51	48
Florida	34	35	24	46	13	33	45	40	27	21
Georgia	16	13	**	22	24	16	1	**	20	36
Guam	**	**	**	**	**	**	**	**	**	**
Hawaii	49	22	18	20	9	28	38	8	24	2
Idaho	12	15	22	29	**	20	-	35	22	43
Illinois	43	35	19	34	**	36	20	9	40	6
Indiana	3	41	8	42	**	6	39	16	48	17
Iowa	15	2	34	31	**	34	24	3	43	32
Kansas	11	13	27	35	**	18	18	37	16	5
Kentucky	39	45	38	4	**	46	42	20	44	28
Louisiana	38	46	4	8	**	47	24	4	38	38
Maine	24	29	23	1	**	30	-	36	39	47
Maryland	20	25	5	33	**	26	24	26	10	29
Massachusetts	48	42	9	48	25	3	6	11	42	10
Michigan	18	20	26	14	**	9	31	**	34	27
Minnesota	8	10	30	19	14	22	3	21	2	25
Mississippi	22	43	12	10	**	35	-	25	4	24
Missouri	2	31	3	7	21	7	12	32	14	37
Montana	9	17	32	5	22	49	10	2	5	12
Nebraska	44	43	41	23	15	50	-	42	33	42
Nevada	13	18	**	50	**	17	5	**	41	15
New Hampshire	28	6	40	44	10	24	14	1	18	13
New Jersey	27	33	**	51	**	42	32	**	29	8
New Mexico	40	46	17	21	**	39	43	28	19	20
New York	47	48	15	41	**	10	43	34	32	7
North Carolina	21	24	14	37	16	19	32	22	35	**
North Dakota	10	25	37	11	23	38	34	6	11	31
Ohio	45	9	16	15	**	37	21	43	12	34
Oklahoma	32	40	6	12	2	25	4	30	6	44
Oregon	50	18	7	13	**	8	11	**	45	35
Pennsylvania	36	39	1	17	19	45	37	19	8	11
Puerto Rico	**	**	**	**	**	**	**	**	**	**
Rhode Island	35	30	31	30	1	21	29	7	3	49
South Carolina	4	49	2	35	**	4	-	27	46	30
South Dakota	42	7	20	43	26	15	15	15	47	46
Tennessee	6	50	10	2	3	31	39	14	21	22
Texas	37	31	44	28	27	48	8	24	26	40
Utah	26	3	45	47	**	14	19	5	15	16
Vermont	19	5	21	9	8	11	21	18	23	4
Virgin Islands	**	**	**	**	**	**	**	**	**	**
Virginia	1	28	**	38	**	1	30	**	36	33
Washington	31	4	39	25	5	32	13	38	30	14
West Virginia	46	23	13	16	11	40	21	23	50	3
Wisconsin	41	9	11	26	18	27	35	31	31	39
Wyoming	33	1	42	18	**	43	1	39	13	26

[1]Ranks for Success in the Workforce are re-rankings of the combined Job Retention and Earnings Gain submeasure ranks. For FY 2004 changes in performance over FY 2003 performance, only the ranks of States with at least one positive submeasure percentage point change are combined and re-ranked. (See 45 CFR, Part 270, Section 270.5(a)(4).)

[2]Data provided by the U.S. Census Bureau. Only calendar year data are available.

[3]Data provided by the ACF Child Care Bureau.

** State not participating

Table 5:4
High Performance Bonus Awards for Performance Year 2004
Work-Related Measures
Rates of FY 2004 and FY 2003 Performance, by Work-Related Measures (in percent)

State	FY 2004 Performance Rates			FY 2003 Performance Rates		
	Job Entry	Job Retention	Earnings Gain	Job Entry	Job Retention	Earnings Gain
U.S. Average[1]	34.86	58.97	36.87	33.63	59.43	32.55
Alabama	38.63	61.35	43.51	35.02	56.89	43.14
Alaska	37.90	64.53	36.25	37.80	62.64	30.07
Arizona	34.49	59.71	39.91	33.03	57.52	41.19
Arkansas	39.83	61.22	50.13	39.56	62.71	47.99
California	**	**	**	**	**	**
Colorado	34.68	58.85	39.81	33.51	53.26	39.68
Connecticut	35.73	66.16	35.19	33.30	64.61	31.22
Delaware	41.10	65.50	38.62	35.16	58.88	37.38
Dist. of Col.	35.05	65.14	22.44	32.67	60.33	18.52
Florida	34.32	26.50	54.45	33.40	46.54	51.71
Georgia	37.91	60.11	51.20	35.69	52.19	19.75
Guam	**	**	**	**	**	**
Hawaii	28.23	72.24	27.93	27.04	73.70	24.55
Idaho	39.11	57.17	69.52	37.29	62.12	78.62
Illinois	32.20	64.26	34.27	31.62	66.45	24.31
Indiana	42.76	64.29	26.03	39.47	67.21	22.96
Iowa	38.48	66.44	41.05	37.57	65.41	37.27
Kansas	39.18	60.68	49.85	37.22	60.30	41.18
Kentucky	33.28	49.07	38.94	35.01	52.30	36.64
Louisiana	33.49	50.66	35.41	35.30	38.29	38.26
Maine	35.30	65.97	27.16	34.21	65.54	26.25
Maryland	36.31	59.14	44.66	34.91	58.01	40.94
Massachusetts	28.35	52.80	39.07	24.40	45.55	35.47
Michigan	37.45	62.94	40.42	34.83	58.58	42.57
Minnesota	39.60	65.63	38.61	38.11	62.08	32.16
Mississippi	36.02	56.79	34.84	35.27	56.69	35.30
Missouri	42.79	64.92	30.53	39.98	63.37	24.19
Montana	39.36	57.44	55.81	41.40	56.30	42.05
Nebraska	31.97	43.71	39.66	37.87	62.94	40.71
Nevada	38.68	64.95	38.27	36.51	62.85	29.49
New Hampshire	34.70	63.30	47.42	33.29	59.78	43.90
New Jersey	34.74	60.50	39.29	34.57	58.99	37.69
New Mexico	33.06	55.06	31.24	32.72	56.72	29.82
New York	28.83	53.88	28.49	26.23	57.08	26.70
North Carolina	36.15	57.96	48.88	34.19	57.47	45.36
North Dakota	39.30	64.32	36.30	38.86	63.33	33.64
Ohio	31.38	62.50	47.64	30.88	61.52	42.47
Oklahoma	34.40	53.82	45.96	32.98	50.40	38.71
Oregon	28.02	55.63	64.19	25.28	54.30	54.37
Pennsylvania	33.84	55.58	43.79	33.81	53.83	44.37
Puerto Rico	**	**	**	**	**	**
Rhode Island	33.88	64.96	29.73	32.20	63.43	26.81
South Carolina	41.32	50.52	32.58	37.55	61.80	32.31
South Dakota	32.72	57.65	81.38	30.45	56.90	64.93
Tennessee	40.78	51.98	22.15	39.79	66.82	18.59
Texas	33.50	65.17	27.59	35.40	62.40	22.02
Utah	34.88	63.30	53.50	32.60	61.80	49.98
Vermont	36.40	64.62	42.19	33.90	62.19	40.05
Virgin Islands	**	**	**	**	**	**
Virginia	46.65	69.57	24.49	38.48	63.89	31.91
Washington	34.45	61.52	51.37	33.46	60.09	43.10
West Virginia	30.78	58.10	49.07	30.50	57.14	43.75
Wisconsin	32.74	59.97	51.44	31.48	58.72	49.77
Wyoming	34.38	64.53	73.35	34.22	52.69	51.84

[1]U.S. Average excludes nonparticipating states.

** State not participating.

Table 5:5
High Performance Bonus Awards for Performance Year 2004
Work-Related Measures
Rates and Ranks of FY 2004 Performance, by Work-Related Measures

State	Job Entry		Job Retention		Earnings Gain		Success in the Workforce
	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank	Rank*
U.S. Average[1]	34.86		58.97		36.87		
Alabama	38.63	14	61.35	24	43.51	20	20
Alaska	37.90	17	64.53	15	36.25	34	25
Arizona	34.49	30	59.71	30	39.91	24	33
Arkansas	39.83	7	61.22	25	50.13	11	7
California	**		**		**		**
Colorado	34.68	29	58.85	32	39.81	25	37
Connecticut	35.73	23	66.16	4	35.19	36	15
Delaware	41.10	5	65.50	7	38.62	30	10
Dist. of Col.	35.05	25	65.14	9	22.44	49	38
Florida	34.32	34	26.50	50	54.45	6	35
Georgia	37.91	16	60.11	28	51.20	10	13
Guam	**		**		**		**
Hawaii	28.23	49	72.24	1	27.93	44	22
Idaho	39.11	12	57.17	37	69.52	3	15
Illinois	32.20	43	64.26	18	34.27	38	35
Indiana	42.76	3	64.29	17	26.03	47	41
Iowa	38.48	15	66.44	3	41.05	22	2
Kansas	39.18	11	60.68	26	49.85	12	13
Kentucky	33.28	39	49.07	48	38.94	29	45
Louisiana	33.49	38	50.66	46	35.41	35	46
Maine	35.30	24	65.97	5	27.16	46	29
Maryland	36.31	20	59.14	31	44.66	18	25
Massachusetts	28.35	48	52.80	44	39.07	28	42
Michigan	37.45	18	62.94	21	40.42	23	20
Minnesota	39.60	8	65.63	6	38.61	31	10
Mississippi	36.02	22	56.79	38	34.84	37	43
Missouri	42.79	2	64.92	12	30.53	41	31
Montana	39.36	9	57.44	36	55.81	5	17
Nebraska	31.97	44	43.71	49	39.66	26	43
Nevada	38.68	13	64.95	11	38.27	32	18
New Hampshire	34.70	28	63.30	19	47.42	16	6
New Jersey	34.74	27	60.50	27	39.29	27	33
New Mexico	33.06	40	55.06	41	31.24	40	46
New York	28.83	47	53.88	42	28.49	43	48
North Carolina	36.15	21	57.96	34	48.88	14	24
North Dakota	39.30	10	64.32	16	36.30	33	25
Ohio	31.38	45	62.50	22	47.64	15	9
Oklahoma	34.40	32	53.82	43	45.96	17	40
Oregon	28.02	50	55.63	39	64.19	4	18
Pennsylvania	33.84	36	55.58	40	43.79	19	39
Puerto Rico	**		**		**		**
Rhode Island	33.88	35	64.96	10	29.73	42	30
South Carolina	41.32	4	50.52	47	32.58	39	49
South Dakota	32.72	42	57.65	35	81.38	1	7
Tennessee	40.78	6	51.98	45	22.15	50	50
Texas	33.50	37	65.17	8	27.59	45	31
Utah	34.88	26	63.30	20	53.50	7	3
Vermont	36.40	19	64.62	13	42.19	21	5
Virgin Islands	**		**		**		**
Virginia	46.65	1	69.57	2	24.49	48	28
Washington	34.45	31	61.52	23	51.37	9	4
West Virginia	30.78	46	58.10	33	49.07	13	23
Wisconsin	32.74	41	59.97	29	51.44	8	9
Wyoming	34.38	33	64.53	14	73.35	2	1

*Ranks on performance in the Job Retention and Earnings Gain submeasures are combined and then re-ranked.

[1]U.S. Average excludes nonparticipating states.

** State not participating.

Table 5:6
High Performance Bonus Awards for Performance Year 2004
Work-Related Measures
Rates and Ranks of FY 2004 Percentage Point Changes in Performance over FY 2003 Performance by Work Related Measures

State	Job Entry		Job Retention		Earnings Gain		Success in the Workforce
	Change	Rank	Change	Rank	Change	Rank	Rank*
U.S. Average[1]	1.23		(0.47)		4.32		
Alabama	3.62	5	4.46	9	0.37	40	24
Alaska	0.10	44	1.89	18	6.18	13	9
Arizona	1.46	23	2.19	16	(1.28)	46	35
Arkansas	0.27	41	(1.49)	40	2.14	32	41
California	**		**		**		
Colorado	1.17	29	5.59	7	0.13	42	24
Connecticut	2.43	12	1.56	20	3.98	17	15
Delaware	5.94	2	6.62	5	1.24	38	17
Dist. of Col.	2.39	13	4.81	8	3.92	18	7
Florida	0.92	33	(20.04)	50	2.74	29	45
Georgia	2.22	16	7.92	3	31.45	1	1
Guam	**		**		**		
Hawaii	1.18	28	(1.46)	39	3.39	26	38
Idaho	1.82	20	(4.94)	46	(9.10)	50	*
Illinois	0.58	36	(2.19)	42	9.96	5	20
Indiana	3.29	6	(2.92)	43	3.07	27	39
Iowa	0.92	34	1.04	30	3.78	19	24
Kansas	1.95	18	0.38	37	8.67	8	18
Kentucky	(1.73)	46	(3.23)	45	2.30	31	42
Louisiana	(1.81)	47	12.38	1	(2.85)	48	24
Maine	1.09	30	0.42	36	0.92	39	*
Maryland	1.40	26	1.13	29	3.71	20	24
Massachusetts	3.94	3	7.24	4	3.60	21	6
Michigan	2.62	9	4.36	10	(2.15)	47	31
Minnesota	1.49	22	3.55	11	6.45	11	3
Mississippi	0.75	35	0.10	38	(0.46)	43	*
Missouri	2.81	7	1.55	21	6.34	12	12
Montana	(2.04)	49	1.14	28	13.77	4	10
Nebraska	(5.90)	50	(19.22)	49	(1.05)	45	*
Nevada	2.17	17	2.11	17	8.78	7	5
New Hampshire	1.42	24	3.53	12	3.52	24	14
New Jersey	0.17	42	1.50	24	1.61	36	32
New Mexico	0.34	39	(1.66)	41	1.42	37	43
New York	2.61	10	(3.20)	44	1.79	34	43
North Carolina	1.95	19	0.49	35	3.52	25	32
North Dakota	0.44	38	1.00	31	2.67	30	34
Ohio	0.51	37	0.99	32	5.17	16	21
Oklahoma	1.41	25	3.42	13	7.26	10	4
Oregon	2.74	8	1.33	26	9.82	6	11
Pennsylvania	0.02	45	1.75	19	(0.58)	44	37
Puerto Rico	**		**		**		
Rhode Island	1.68	21	1.53	22	2.92	28	29
South Carolina	3.77	4	(11.28)	47	0.27	41	*
South Dakota	2.27	15	0.75	34	16.46	3	15
Tennessee	0.99	31	(14.85)	48	3.57	22	39
Texas	(1.89)	48	2.77	14	5.58	14	8
Utah	2.29	14	1.50	23	3.52	23	19
Vermont	2.50	11	2.43	15	2.13	33	21
Virgin Islands	**		**		**		**
Virginia	8.17	1	5.68	6	(7.42)	49	30
Washington	0.98	32	1.43	25	8.27	9	13
West Virginia	0.28	40	0.96	33	5.33	15	21
Wisconsin	1.26	27	1.25	27	1.67	35	35
Wyoming	0.16	43	11.84	2	21.51	2	1

*Ranks on percentage point change in performance for the Job Retention and Earnings Gain submeasures are combined. Only ranks of States with at least one positive percentage point change on one submeasure are then re-ranked. (See 45 CFR, Part 270, Section 270.5(a)(4).)

[1]U.S. Average excludes nonparticipating states.

** State not participating.

Table 5:7

High Performance Bonus Awards for Performance Year 2004
MEDICAID/SCHIP Enrollment Measure*
Rates and Ranks of FY 2004 and 2003 Performance and FY 2004
Percentage Point Changes over FY 2003 Performance (in percent)

State	Performance				Percentage Point Change FY 2004 over FY 2003	
	FY 2004		FY 2003			
	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank	Change	Rank
U.S. Average[1]	77.51		78.18			
Alabama	**		**		**	
Alaska	69.37	35	76.67	28	(7.31)	41
Arizona	69.09	36	67.27	39	1.81	12
Arkansas	75.01	29	76.69	27	(1.67)	33
California	75.36	28	74.63	29	0.72	17
Colorado	**		**		**	
Connecticut	63.00	43	61.44	44	1.57	13
Delaware	77.57	25	78.24	24	(0.66)	29
Dist. of Col.	70.05	33	67.35	38	2.70	10
Florida	78.90	24	86.12	11	(7.22)	40
Georgia	**		**		**	
Guam	**		**		**	
Hawaii	80.41	18	77.55	25	2.86	8
Idaho	79.84	22	82.54	18	(2.70)	35
Illinois	80.10	19	77.31	26	2.79	9
Indiana	88.27	8	87.31	8	0.96	16
Iowa	70.02	34	63.38	42	6.64	3
Kansas	75.67	27	80.34	20	(4.67)	37
Kentucky	67.96	38	67.53	37	0.43	20
Louisiana	90.50	4	85.01	13	5.49	4
Maine	79.23	23	83.48	17	(4.25)	36
Maryland	89.06	5	89.56	6	(0.51)	26
Massachusetts	88.19	9	86.36	10	1.83	11
Michigan	77.46	26	**		**	
Minnesota	74.68	30	74.35	30	0.34	21
Mississippi	84.80	12	84.80	14	0.00	25
Missouri	90.65	3	92.05	3	(1.40)	32
Montana	71.22	32	64.06	41	7.16	2
Nebraska	66.80	41	74.21	31	(7.41)	42
Nevada	**		**		**	
New Hampshire	67.09	40	59.78	45	7.31	1
New Jersey	**		**		**	
New Mexico	80.63	17	81.21	19	(0.58)	28
New York	83.72	15	85.43	12	(1.71)	34
North Carolina	84.32	14	84.06	16	0.25	22
North Dakota	68.51	37	64.86	40	3.66	6
Ohio	81.42	16	90.60	4	(9.18)	43
Oklahoma	88.55	6	89.74	5	(1.19)	30
Oregon	88.36	7	**		**	
Pennsylvania	95.99	1	95.35	1	0.63	19
Puerto Rico	**		**		**	
Rhode Island	72.89	31	69.39	36	3.50	7
South Carolina	92.30	2	92.82	2	(0.51)	27
South Dakota	80.04	20	78.94	23	1.09	15
Tennessee	87.69	10	86.58	9	1.11	14
Texas	62.26	44	62.24	43	0.02	24
Utah	57.92	45	53.93	46	4.00	5
Vermont	79.97	21	79.25	21	0.72	18
Virgin Islands	**		**		**	
Virginia	**		**		**	
Washington	67.65	39	72.80	32	(5.15)	38
West Virginia	84.69	13	84.54	15	0.16	23
Wisconsin	87.27	11	88.65	7	(1.38)	31
Wyoming	64.99	42	71.25	34	(6.27)	39

*Rate is the number of individuals who leave TANF assistance and are enrolled in the Medicaid/SCHIP program both at the time of leaving and in the fourth month after leaving, as a percent of all TANF individuals enrolled at the time of leaving.

[1]U.S. Average excludes nonparticipating states.

** State not participating.

Table 5:8
High Performance Bonus Awards for Performance Year 2004
Food Stamp Measure*
Rates and Ranks of CY 2004 and CY 2003 Performance and
Percentage Point Changes of CY 2004 over CY 2003 Performance (in percent)

State	Performance				Percentage Point Change CY 2004 over CY 2003	
	CY 2004		CY 2003			
	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank	Change	Rank
U.S. Average[1]	37.40		35.14		2.26	
Alabama	40.07	24	48.78	8	(8.71)	49
Alaska	38.60	32	31.25	39	7.35	7
Arizona	39.19	27	35.86	32	3.33	25
Arkansas	49.95	6	47.19	9	2.76	28
California	23.73	49	23.24	49	0.49	37
Colorado	30.50	45	25.02	47	5.48	17
Connecticut	34.10	40	27.63	44	6.47	9
Delaware	51.56	3	38.92	21	12.64	1
Dist. of Col.	34.19	39	49.85	6	(15.66)	51
Florida	29.64	46	26.53	45	3.11	27
Georgia	40.73	22	36.40	30	4.33	20
Guam	**		**		**	
Hawaii	41.77	20	38.41	25	3.36	24
Idaho	38.97	29	35.10	34	3.87	22
Illinois	37.45	34	38.63	23	(1.18)	40
Indiana	33.48	42	40.17	17	(6.69)	48
Iowa	38.66	31	40.82	15	(2.16)	43
Kansas	36.22	35	30.70	40	5.52	16
Kentucky	51.48	4	55.13	3	(3.65)	44
Louisiana	49.36	8	48.91	7	0.45	38
Maine	61.70	1	62.02	1	(0.32)	39
Maryland	38.06	33	31.60	38	6.46	10
Massachusetts	27.26	48	29.00	42	(1.74)	42
Michigan	46.02	14	44.47	11	1.55	34
Minnesota	43.23	19	30.67	41	12.56	2
Mississippi	47.97	10	38.81	22	9.16	4
Missouri	49.83	7	44.12	12	5.71	14
Montana	50.14	5	41.93	13	8.21	5
Nebraska	40.26	23	38.51	24	1.75	33
Nevada	23.47	50	25.01	48	(1.54)	41
New Hampshire	31.48	44	26.17	46	5.31	18
New Jersey	22.69	51	20.33	51	2.36	29
New Mexico	40.99	21	36.37	31	4.62	19
New York	33.63	41	31.64	37	1.99	32
North Carolina	35.49	37	34.05	35	1.44	35
North Dakota	47.68	11	41.30	14	6.38	11
Ohio	45.81	15	39.69	18	6.12	12
Oklahoma	47.32	12	39.25	20	8.07	6
Oregon	46.74	13	50.83	4	(4.09)	45
Pennsylvania	44.66	17	37.48	28	7.18	8
Puerto Rico	**		**		**	
Rhode Island	38.95	30	27.84	43	11.11	3
South Carolina	36.22	35	40.78	16	(4.56)	46
South Dakota	33.10	43	39.36	19	(6.26)	47
Tennessee	54.59	2	50.41	5	4.18	21
Texas	39.03	28	35.76	33	3.27	26
Utah	27.69	47	22.12	50	5.57	15
Vermont	49.15	9	45.34	10	3.81	23
Virgin Islands	**		**		**	
Virginia	34.21	38	33.43	36	0.78	36
Washington	39.69	25	37.50	27	2.19	30
West Virginia	45.70	16	56.19	2	(10.49)	50
Wisconsin	39.51	26	37.37	29	2.14	31
Wyoming	43.91	18	37.91	26	6.00	13

*Data provided by the U.S. Census Bureau. Only calendar year data are available. Rate is the number of low-income working households with children under 18 (i.e., in households with income less than 130% of poverty and earnings equal to at least half-time full-year Federal minimum wage) receiving food stamps as a percentage of the number of households (as defined here) in the State.

[1]U.S. Average excludes nonparticipating states.

** State not participating.

Table 5:9
High Performance Bonus Awards for Performance Year 2004
Child Care Subsidy Measure*
Rates and Ranks of FY 2004 Performance Rates on the Accessibility and Affordability Components of the Measure (in percent)

State	ACCESSIBILITY		AFFORDABILITY										QUALITY	
	Number of Children Served by the State Who Meet Maximum Federal Eligibility Requirements		Co-Payment Compared to Income by Income Range								Eligible Under the State Income Limits Compared to the Federal Eligibility Limits		Actual Rates Paid for Children Receiving Subsidies Compared to Market Rate Survey	
			Below Poverty Line		100% to 125% of Poverty		125% to 150% of Poverty		150% to 175% of Poverty					
	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank
U.S. Average[1]	15.60		3.09		4.47		5.35		5.79		54.12		76.96	
Alabama	**		**		**		**		**		**		**	
Alaska	11.96	19	1.68	11	1.71	7	2.21	7	3.52	7	79.80	4	96.67	2
Arizona	12.69	17	2.85	17	4.40	13	4.90	11	5.78	11	0.00	27	67.82	19
Arkansas	**		**		**		**		**		**		**	
California	10.00	24	0.04	1	0.00	1	0.16	2	1.31	5	77.96	5	92.60	3
Colorado	**		**		**		**		**		**		**	
Connecticut	8.18	26	2.32	14	3.92	12	5.04	13	5.95	13	56.19	12	90.49	5
Delaware	25.86	2	3.49	20	7.20	24	9.29	25	10.46	25	51.81	15	58.24	26
Dist. of Col.	26.46	1	2.50	16	3.03	10	3.43	8	3.68	8	90.51	3	72.47	18
Florida	18.92	8	6.33	24	5.72	18	6.19	15	6.35	15	51.68	16	77.09	16
Georgia	11.94	20	4.42	22	6.19	20	6.21	16	6.11	14	36.19	22	66.02	20
Guam	**		**		**		**		**		**		**	
Hawaii	12.66	18	1.11	9	1.56	6	2.05	6	2.17	6	91.58	2	64.81	22
Idaho	**		**		**		**		**		**		**	
Illinois	**		**		**		**		**		**		**	
Indiana	**		**		**		**		**		**		**	
Iowa	**		**		**		**		**		**		**	
Kansas	**		**		**		**		**		**		**	
Kentucky	**		**		**		**		**		**		**	
Louisiana	**		**		**		**		**		**		**	
Maine	**		**		**		**		**		**		**	
Maryland	**		**		**		**		**		**		**	
Massachusetts	13.02	16	1.82	13	6.54	23	8.29	23	9.10	24	31.44	25	80.35	11
Michigan	**		**		**		**		**		**		**	
Minnesota	11.04	23	1.32	10	3.39	11	4.96	12	5.85	12	33.85	23	78.43	14
Mississippi	**		**		**		**		**		**		**	
Missouri	14.86	12	3.02	18	5.85	19	6.55	17	6.43	16	32.88	24	59.42	24
Montana	11.42	22	2.33	15	5.51	16	6.65	19	7.43	20	44.38	18	82.62	9
Nebraska	14.39	14	0.86	7	4.76	14	6.56	18	6.88	17	27.61	26	75.86	17
Nevada	**		**		**		**		**		**		**	
New Hampshire	11.90	21	0.10	2	0.09	2	0.10	1	0.11	1	39.24	21	59.08	25
New Jersey	**		**		**		**		**		**		**	
New Mexico	**		**		**		**		**		**		**	
New York	**		**		**		**		**		**		**	
North Carolina	19.91	7	8.82	25	7.68	25	7.76	22	7.67	22	68.70	6	87.90	8
North Dakota	14.69	13	15.05	27	13.53	27	13.33	27	12.86	27	59.46	10	97.38	1
Ohio	**		**		**		**		**		**		**	
Oklahoma	17.69	10	1.06	8	1.09	5	0.90	4	0.70	2	105.28	1	65.56	21
Oregon	**		**		**		**		**		**		**	
Pennsylvania	14.26	15	3.55	21	5.70	17	6.95	20	7.44	21	42.50	19	91.51	4
Puerto Rico	**		**		**		**		**		**		**	
Rhode Island	23.89	3	0.58	5	2.33	8	3.87	9	5.75	10	65.63	7	88.52	7
South Carolina	**		**		**		**		**		**		**	
South Dakota	7.64	27	0.79	6	6.39	21	10.49	26	11.41	26	55.62	13	80.21	12
Tennessee	18.53	9	0.19	3	0.64	3	0.84	3	0.88	4	41.27	20	88.97	6
Texas	8.50	25	9.03	26	8.97	26	8.93	24	8.73	23	47.51	17	55.70	27
Utah	**		**		**		**		**		**		**	
Vermont	21.12	5	0.21	4	2.52	9	5.39	14	7.11	19	59.71	9	81.79	10
Virgin Islands	**		**		**		**		**		**		**	
Virginia	**		**		**		**		**		**		**	
Washington	20.06	6	1.80	12	0.78	4	1.04	5	0.85	3	61.08	8	62.49	23
West Virginia	22.59	4	3.26	19	4.81	15	4.90	10	4.79	9	57.00	11	77.24	15
Wisconsin	17.08	11	4.85	23	6.46	22	7.35	21	7.07	18	52.44	14	78.69	13
Wyoming	**		**		**		**		**		**		**	

*Data provided by the ACF Child Care Bureau.

[1]U.S. Average excludes nonparticipating states.

** State not participating.

Table 5:10
High Performance Bonus Awards for Performance Year 2004
Child Care Subsidy Measure
FY 2004 Components and Composite Weighted Rankings

State	Unweighted Rank			Weighted Rank*			Weighted Composite	
	Accessibility	Affordability	Quality	Accessibility	Affordability	Quality	Score	Ranking
Alabama	**	**	**	**	**	**	**	**
Alaska	19	36	2	95	108	4	207	7
Arizona	17	79	19	85	237	38	360	17
Arkansas	**	**	**	**	**	**	**	**
California	24	14	3	120	42	6	168	4
Colorado	**	**	**	**	**	**	**	**
Connecticut	26	64	5	130	192	10	332	12
Delaware	2	109	26	10	327	52	389	20
Dist. of Col.	1	45	18	5	135	36	176	6
Florida	8	88	16	40	264	32	336	13
Georgia	20	94	20	100	282	40	422	24
Guam	**	**	**	**	**	**	**	**
Hawaii	18	29	22	90	87	44	221	9
Idaho	**	**	**	**	**	**	**	**
Illinois	**	**	**	**	**	**	**	**
Indiana	**	**	**	**	**	**	**	**
Iowa	**	**	**	**	**	**	**	**
Kansas	**	**	**	**	**	**	**	**
Kentucky	**	**	**	**	**	**	**	**
Louisiana	**	**	**	**	**	**	**	**
Maine	**	**	**	**	**	**	**	**
Maryland	**	**	**	**	**	**	**	**
Massachusetts	16	108	11	80	324	22	426	25
Michigan	**	**	**	**	**	**	**	**
Minnesota	23	68	14	115	204	28	347	14
Mississippi	**	**	**	**	**	**	**	**
Missouri	12	94	24	60	282	48	390	21
Montana	22	88	9	110	264	18	392	22
Nebraska	14	82	17	70	246	34	350	15
Nevada	**	**	**	**	**	**	**	**
New Hampshire	21	27	25	105	81	50	236	10
New Jersey	**	**	**	**	**	**	**	**
New Mexico	**	**	**	**	**	**	**	**
New York	**	**	**	**	**	**	**	**
North Carolina	7	100	8	35	300	16	351	16
North Dakota	13	118	1	65	354	2	421	23
Ohio	**	**	**	**	**	**	**	**
Oklahoma	10	20	21	50	60	42	152	2
Oregon	**	**	**	**	**	**	**	**
Pennsylvania	15	98	4	75	294	8	377	19
Puerto Rico	**	**	**	**	**	**	**	**
Rhode Island	3	39	7	15	117	14	146	1
South Carolina	**	**	**	**	**	**	**	**
South Dakota	27	92	12	135	276	24	435	26
Tennessee	9	33	6	45	99	12	156	3
Texas	25	116	27	125	348	54	527	27
Utah	**	**	**	**	**	**	**	**
Vermont	5	55	10	25	165	20	210	8
Virgin Islands	**	**	**	**	**	**	**	**
Virginia	**	**	**	**	**	**	**	**
Washington	6	32	23	30	96	46	172	5
West Virginia	4	64	15	20	192	30	242	11
Wisconsin	11	98	13	55	294	26	375	18
Wyoming	**	**	**	**	**	**	**	**

*The weight of the rank of the accessibility component is 6. The weight of the combined ranks of the five submeasures of the affordability component is 4 (See Table 5.9).

** State not participating.

Table 5:11

High Performance Bonus Awards for Performance Year 2004
Family Formation and Stability Measure*
Rates and Ranks of CY 2004 and CY 2003 Performance Rates and
Percentage Point Changes of CY 2004 Performance over 2003 (in percent)

State	Performance				Percentage Point Change CY 2004 over CY 2003	
	CY 2004		CY 2003			
	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank	Change	Rank
U.S. Average[1]	69.17		69.29		(0.12)	
Alabama	64.40	43	64.18	43	0.22	18
Alaska	69.04	32	**	**	**	**
Arizona	69.98	27	64.90	42	5.08	1
Arkansas	63.16	45	67.17	38	(4.01)	45
California	71.42	21	70.51	27	0.91	9
Colorado	72.72	16	73.08	11	(0.36)	23
Connecticut	71.90	19	71.72	19	0.18	19
Delaware	65.40	42	67.47	35	(2.07)	41
Dist. of Col.	32.43	51	38.01	49	(5.58)	48
Florida	63.60	44	63.85	44	(0.25)	21
Georgia	65.82	40	66.90	40	(1.08)	36
Guam	**	**	**	**	**	**
Hawaii	76.01	5	71.78	18	4.23	2
Idaho	76.11	4	79.29	3	(3.18)	43
Illinois	71.81	20	70.55	26	1.26	6
Indiana	72.07	18	71.80	17	0.27	17
Iowa	75.20	8	76.09	7	(0.89)	32
Kansas	75.59	7	73.89	10	1.70	5
Kentucky	69.80	28	70.36	29	(0.56)	28
Louisiana	56.34	50	57.63	48	(1.29)	38
Maine	66.54	38	71.37	22	(4.83)	47
Maryland	66.71	37	67.31	37	(0.60)	29
Massachusetts	72.47	17	71.57	20	0.90	10
Michigan	68.76	33	69.32	31	(0.56)	27
Minnesota	76.70	3	77.11	5	(0.41)	25
Mississippi	57.36	49	57.75	47	(0.39)	24
Missouri	69.18	30	70.44	28	(1.26)	37
Montana	72.96	13	72.15	14	0.81	12
Nebraska	76.86	2	79.40	2	(2.54)	42
Nevada	69.08	31	68.77	33	0.31	15
New Hampshire	74.59	9	73.90	9	0.69	13
New Jersey	74.14	10	73.03	12	1.11	8
New Mexico	62.66	46	62.69	45	(0.03)	20
New York	66.21	39	65.08	41	1.13	7
North Carolina	65.55	41	**	**	**	**
North Dakota	75.83	6	76.63	6	(0.80)	31
Ohio	67.41	35	68.37	34	(0.96)	34
Oklahoma	67.64	34	71.29	23	(3.65)	44
Oregon	70.82	24	71.87	16	(1.05)	35
Pennsylvania	70.06	26	69.18	32	0.88	11
Puerto Rico	**	**	**	**	**	**
Rhode Island	60.90	48	67.47	35	(6.57)	49
South Carolina	61.95	47	62.64	46	(0.69)	30
South Dakota	72.95	14	77.22	4	(4.27)	46
Tennessee	66.86	36	67.17	38	(0.31)	22
Texas	69.54	29	71.51	21	(1.97)	40
Utah	83.69	1	83.39	1	0.30	16
Vermont	73.13	12	71.05	24	2.08	4
Virgin Islands	**	**	**	**	**	**
Virginia	71.00	23	71.90	15	(0.90)	33
Washington	70.58	25	70.21	30	0.37	14
West Virginia	72.81	15	70.64	25	2.17	3
Wisconsin	71.02	22	72.59	13	(1.57)	39
Wyoming	73.98	11	74.51	8	(0.53)	26

*Data provided by the U.S. Census Bureau. Only calendar year data are available. Rate is the number of children under 18 residing in married family couple groups as a percent of all children under 18 years in households.

[1]U.S. Average excludes nonparticipating states.

** State not participating.

Table 5:12
State Performance for Performance Year 2005
Rates and Ranks of FY 2005 Performance, by Work-Related Measures

State	Job Entry		Job Retention		Earnings Gain		Success in the Workforce
	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank	Rank**
U.S. Average[1]	34.33		64.41		35.52		
Alabama	28.68	47	64.68	22	45.85	19	9
Alaska	36.51	22	66.09	15	38.74	33	21
Arizona	35.71	26	58.74	43	42.23	23	40
Arkansas	38.55	18	62.79	32	51.59	12	16
California	30.37	42	70.49	3	26.62	48	26
Colorado (sample)	18.77	51	59.29	42	42.72	22	39
Connecticut	39.80	10	69.30	4	35.40	39	11
Delaware	40.21	8	64.30	24	37.35	36	36
Dist. of Col.	37.56	20	65.68	18	22.85	50	42
Florida	38.98	14	65.07	21	50.24	14	6
Georgia	40.13	9	67.81	7	54.27	8	2
Guam	**	**	**	**	**	**	**
Hawaii	18.91	50	73.42	1	31.99	42	11
Idaho	41.41	2	68.90	5	77.70	2	1
Illinois	34.51	32	67.54	9	35.78	38	19
Indiana	40.44	5	66.05	16	30.70	44	36
Iowa	40.99	3	67.25	11	44.33	21	5
Kansas	40.40	6	63.09	31	48.78	16	19
Kentucky	29.24	46	53.11	49	41.00	28	48
Louisiana	33.90	36	47.02	51	40.19	30	50
Maine	33.86	37	64.16	26	27.67	47	46
Maryland	38.87	16	63.48	28	45.15	20	21
Massachusetts	29.66	43	58.59	44	41.56	26	43
Michigan	38.87	15	51.45	50	39.52	31	50
Minnesota	39.29	13	65.95	17	37.74	35	30
Mississippi	37.56	19	55.99	48	39.01	32	49
Missouri	34.62	30	67.73	8	29.44	46	31
Montana	40.32	7	61.06	39	61.24	4	11
Nebraska	39.67	12	66.29	13	47.43	18	4
Nevada	39.71	11	66.53	12	36.62	37	24
New Hampshire	35.03	28	64.24	25	48.68	17	10
New Jersey	36.11	24	61.35	37	37.79	34	45
New Mexico	29.39	45	64.03	27	34.53	40	41
New York	31.27	41	63.30	29	30.43	45	47
North Carolina	28.65	48	58.12	47	53.83	9	32
North Dakota	38.80	17	65.22	20	40.81	29	24
Ohio	31.90	39	62.63	33	49.52	15	21
Oklahoma	36.26	23	61.13	38	57.60	7	18
Oregon	29.59	44	60.07	41	71.77	3	16
Pennsylvania	33.40	38	58.38	45	41.84	25	43
Puerto Rico	**	**	**	**	**	**	**
Rhode Island	34.92	29	66.21	14	30.94	43	34
South Carolina (sample)	24.78	49	65.58	19	41.98	24	11
South Dakota	34.02	34	61.70	36	79.55	1	7
Tennessee	40.52	4	68.81	6	19.66	51	34
Texas	35.05	27	67.54	10	32.58	41	26
Utah	35.78	25	64.51	23	60.41	5	3
Vermont	36.93	21	62.43	35	41.56	27	38
Virgin Islands	**	**	**	**	**	**	**
Virginia	48.30	1	72.70	2	25.10	49	26
Washington	34.59	31	63.12	30	50.97	13	11
West Virginia	31.56	40	58.16	46	52.77	10	32
Wisconsin	34.15	33	62.63	34	59.77	6	8
Wyoming	33.92	35	60.70	40	52.24	11	26

* Ranks on performance in the Job Retention and Earnings Gain submeasures are combined and then re-ranked.

[1]U.S. Average excludes nonparticipating states.

** State not participating.

Note: Colorado and South Carolina submitted sample data in FY 2005. Population data was submitted in prior years.

Table 5:13
State Performance for Performance Year 2005
Rates of FY 2005 and FY 2004 Performance, by Work-Related Measures (in percent)

State	FY 2005 Performance Rates			FY 2004 Performance Rates		
	Job Entry	Job Retention	Earnings Gain	Job Entry	Job Retention	Earnings Gain
U.S. Average[1]	34.33	64.41	35.52	34.86	58.97	36.87
Alabama	28.68	64.68	45.85	38.63	61.35	43.51
Alaska	36.51	66.09	38.74	37.90	64.53	36.25
Arizona	35.71	58.74	42.23	34.49	59.71	39.91
Arkansas	38.55	62.79	51.59	39.83	61.22	50.13
California	30.37	70.49	26.62	**	**	**
Colorado (sample)	18.77	59.29	42.72	34.68	58.85	39.81
Connecticut	39.80	69.30	35.40	35.73	66.16	35.19
Delaware	40.21	64.30	37.35	41.10	65.50	38.62
Dist. of Col.	37.56	65.68	22.85	35.05	65.14	22.44
Florida	38.98	65.07	50.24	34.32	26.50	54.45
Georgia	40.13	67.81	54.27	37.91	60.11	51.20
Guam	**	**	**	**	**	**
Hawaii	18.91	73.42	31.99	28.23	72.24	27.93
Idaho	41.41	68.90	77.70	39.11	57.17	69.52
Illinois	34.51	67.54	35.78	32.20	64.26	34.27
Indiana	40.44	66.05	30.70	42.76	64.29	26.03
Iowa	40.99	67.25	44.33	38.48	66.44	41.05
Kansas	40.40	63.09	48.78	39.18	60.68	49.85
Kentucky	29.24	53.11	41.00	33.28	49.07	38.94
Louisiana	33.90	47.02	40.19	33.49	50.66	35.41
Maine	33.86	64.16	27.67	35.30	65.97	27.16
Maryland	38.87	63.48	45.15	36.31	59.14	44.66
Massachusetts	29.66	58.59	41.56	28.35	52.80	39.07
Michigan	38.87	51.45	39.52	37.45	62.94	40.42
Minnesota	39.29	65.95	37.74	39.60	65.63	38.61
Mississippi	37.56	55.99	39.01	36.02	56.79	34.84
Missouri	34.62	67.73	29.44	42.79	64.92	30.53
Montana	40.32	61.06	61.24	39.36	57.44	55.81
Nebraska	39.67	66.29	47.43	31.97	43.71	39.66
Nevada	39.71	66.53	36.62	38.68	64.95	38.27
New Hampshire	35.03	64.24	48.68	34.70	63.30	47.42
New Jersey	36.11	61.35	37.79	34.74	60.50	39.29
New Mexico	29.39	64.03	34.53	33.06	55.06	31.24
New York	31.27	63.30	30.43	28.83	53.88	28.49
North Carolina	28.65	58.12	53.83	36.15	57.96	48.88
North Dakota	38.80	65.22	40.81	39.30	64.32	36.30
Ohio	31.90	62.63	49.52	31.38	62.50	47.64
Oklahoma	36.26	61.13	57.60	34.40	53.82	45.96
Oregon	29.59	60.07	71.77	28.02	55.63	64.19
Pennsylvania	33.40	58.38	41.84	33.84	55.58	43.79
Puerto Rico	**	**	**	**	**	**
Rhode Island	34.92	66.21	30.94	33.88	64.96	29.73
South Carolina (sample)	24.78	65.58	41.98	41.32	50.52	32.58
South Dakota	34.02	61.70	79.55	32.72	57.65	81.38
Tennessee	40.52	68.81	19.66	40.78	51.98	22.15
Texas	35.05	67.54	32.58	33.50	65.17	27.59
Utah	35.78	64.51	60.41	34.88	63.30	53.50
Vermont	36.93	62.43	41.56	36.40	64.62	42.19
Virgin Islands	**	**	**	**	**	**
Virginia	48.30	72.70	25.10	46.65	69.57	24.49
Washington	34.59	63.12	50.97	34.45	61.52	51.37
West Virginia	31.56	58.16	52.77	30.78	58.10	49.07
Wisconsin	34.15	62.63	59.77	32.74	59.97	51.44
Wyoming	33.92	60.70	52.24	34.38	64.53	73.35

[1]U.S. Average excludes nonparticipating states.

**State not participating.

Note: Colorado and South Carolina submitted sample data in FY 2005. Population data was submitted in prior years.

Table 5:14
State Performance for Performance Year 2005
Rates and Ranks of FY 2005 Percentage Point Changes in Performance over FY 2004 Performance by Work Related Measures

State	Job Entry		Job Retention		Earnings Gain		Success in the Workforce
	Change	Rank	Change	Rank	Change	Rank	Rank*
U.S. Average[1]	(0.53)		5.45		(1.36)		
Alabama	(9.96)	48	3.34	16	2.34	23	15
Alaska	(1.40)	40	1.56	29	2.49	21	21
Arizona	1.22	22	(0.97)	44	2.32	24	39
Arkansas	(1.28)	39	1.57	28	1.46	29	28
California	**		**		**		*
Colorado (sample)	(15.90)	49	0.44	38	2.90	20	30
Connecticut	4.07	3	3.13	18	0.21	36	26
Delaware	(0.88)	38	(1.20)	45	(1.27)	43	*
Dist. of Col.	2.50	6	0.54	37	0.41	35	*
Florida	4.66	2	38.57	1	(4.21)	49	21
Georgia	2.22	10	7.70	8	3.07	19	9
Guam	**		**		**		*
Hawaii	(9.32)	47	1.18	32	4.05	15	19
Idaho	2.30	9	11.73	5	8.18	4	3
Illinois	2.31	8	3.28	17	1.51	28	17
Indiana	(2.32)	42	1.76	25	4.67	12	13
Iowa	2.51	5	0.81	36	3.27	18	26
Kansas	1.22	21	2.41	23	(1.07)	41	38
Kentucky	(4.04)	44	4.04	14	2.06	25	15
Louisiana	0.41	30	(3.64)	48	4.78	11	32
Maine	(1.44)	41	(1.81)	46	0.50	33	*
Maryland	2.56	4	4.34	12	0.49	34	18
Massachusetts	1.32	19	5.79	10	2.48	22	10
Michigan	1.42	16	(11.50)	50	(0.90)	40	*
Minnesota	(0.31)	34	0.31	39	(0.87)	39	*
Mississippi	1.54	15	(0.80)	43	4.17	14	28
Missouri	(8.17)	46	2.82	20	(1.09)	42	35
Montana	0.95	25	3.62	15	5.42	8	6
Nebraska	7.71	1	22.57	2	7.77	5	2
Nevada	1.02	24	1.58	27	(1.65)	45	42
New Hampshire	0.33	31	0.94	33	1.26	30	36
New Jersey	1.37	18	0.85	35	(1.51)	44	*
New Mexico	(3.67)	43	8.97	7	3.28	17	7
New York	2.44	7	9.42	6	1.94	26	10
North Carolina	(7.50)	45	0.16	40	4.95	10	21
North Dakota	(0.50)	37	0.89	34	4.50	13	19
Ohio	0.51	29	0.13	41	1.88	27	39
Oklahoma	1.87	11	7.31	9	11.64	1	4
Oregon	1.57	13	4.43	11	7.57	6	5
Pennsylvania	(0.43)	35	2.80	21	(1.94)	47	39
Puerto Rico	**		**		**		*
Rhode Island	1.04	23	1.26	30	1.21	31	34
South Carolina (sample)	(16.54)	50	15.06	4	9.40	2	1
South Dakota	1.30	20	4.05	13	(1.83)	46	32
Tennessee	(0.26)	33	16.83	3	(2.49)	48	24
Texas	1.55	14	2.36	24	4.98	9	12
Utah	0.90	26	1.21	31	6.91	7	14
Vermont	0.53	28	(2.19)	47	(0.63)	38	*
Virgin Islands	**		**		**		*
Virginia	1.65	12	3.13	19	0.60	32	24
Washington	0.14	32	1.60	26	(0.40)	37	36
West Virginia	0.79	27	0.06	42	3.69	16	30
Wisconsin	1.40	17	2.66	22	8.33	3	8
Wyoming	(0.46)	36	(3.83)	49	(21.11)	50	*

* Ranks on percentage point change in performance for the Job Retention and Earnings Gain submeasures are combined. Only ranks of States with at least one positive percentage point change on one submeasure are then re-ranked. (See 45 CFR, Part 270, Section 270.5(a)(4).)

[1]U.S. Average excludes nonparticipating states.

** State not participating.

Note: Colorado and South Carolina submitted sample data in FY 2005. Population data was submitted in prior years.

Table 5:15
State Performance for Performance Year 2005
Food Stamp Measure*
Rates and Ranks of CY 2005 and CY 2004 Performance and Percentage Point Changes of CY 2005 over CY 2004 Performance (in percent)

State	Performance				Percentage Point Change	
	CY 2005		CY 2004			
	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank	Change	Rank
U.S. Average[1]	41.08		37.40		3.68	
Alabama	45.93	20	40.07	24	5.86	14
Alaska	40.77	34	38.60	32	2.17	31
Arizona	39.36	37	39.19	27	0.17	37
Arkansas	51.14	10	49.95	6	1.19	33
California	26.43	50	23.73	49	2.70	28
Colorado (sample)	33.85	45	30.50	45	3.35	26
Connecticut	33.63	46	34.10	40	(0.47)	38
Delaware	41.27	32	51.56	3	(10.29)	51
Dist. of Col.	45.56	22	34.19	39	11.37	5
Florida	34.12	43	29.64	46	4.48	19
Georgia	41.08	33	40.73	22	0.35	36
Guam	**		**		**	
Hawaii	36.06	40	41.77	20	(5.71)	48
Idaho	36.21	39	38.97	29	(2.76)	42
Illinois	41.53	31	37.45	34	4.08	22
Indiana	48.69	13	33.48	42	15.21	1
Iowa	50.71	11	38.66	31	12.05	3
Kansas	33.36	48	36.22	35	(2.86)	43
Kentucky	55.81	4	51.48	4	4.33	21
Louisiana	61.33	1	49.36	8	11.97	4
Maine	57.75	2	61.70	1	(3.95)	46
Maryland	33.90	44	38.06	33	(4.16)	47
Massachusetts	33.44	47	27.26	48	6.18	12
Michigan	51.22	9	46.02	14	5.20	16
Minnesota	35.27	41	43.23	19	(7.96)	50
Mississippi	48.64	14	47.97	10	0.67	34
Missouri	53.65	6	49.83	7	3.82	23
Montana	47.02	17	50.14	5	(3.12)	44
Nebraska	43.06	25	40.26	23	2.80	27
Nevada	20.34	52	23.47	50	(3.13)	45
New Hampshire	40.07	36	31.48	44	8.59	8
New Jersey	26.43	50	22.69	51	3.74	24
New Mexico	40.52	35	40.99	21	(0.47)	38
New York	38.62	38	33.63	41	4.99	17
North Carolina	42.88	26	35.49	37	7.39	10
North Dakota	46.20	18	47.68	11	(1.48)	41
Ohio	46.20	18	45.81	15	0.39	35
Oklahoma	51.69	8	47.32	12	4.37	20
Oregon	53.51	7	46.74	13	6.77	11
Pennsylvania	43.54	23	44.66	17	(1.12)	40
Puerto Rico	34.99	42	**		**	
Rhode Island	43.54	23	38.95	30	4.59	18
South Carolina (sample)	47.55	15	36.22	35	11.33	6
South Dakota	47.09	16	33.10	43	13.99	2
Tennessee	55.82	3	54.59	2	1.23	32
Texas	42.64	27	39.03	28	3.61	25
Utah	29.93	49	27.69	47	2.24	30
Vermont	42.38	29	49.15	9	(6.77)	49
Virgin Islands	**		**		**	
Virginia	42.62	28	34.21	38	8.41	9
Washington	45.77	21	39.69	25	6.08	13
West Virginia	55.63	5	45.70	16	9.93	7
Wisconsin	42.03	30	39.51	26	2.52	29
Wyoming	49.19	12	43.91	18	5.28	15

* Data provided by the U.S. Census Bureau. Only calendar year data are available. Rate is the number of low-income working households with children under 18 (i.e., in households with income less than 130% of poverty and earnings equal to at least half-time full-year Federal minimum wage) receiving food stamps as a percentage of the number of households (as defined here) in the State.

[1]U.S. Average excludes nonparticipating states.

** State not participating.

Note: Colorado and South Carolina submitted sample data in FY 2005. Population data was submitted in prior years.

Table 5:16

State Performance for Performance Year 2005
Family Formation and Stability Measure*
Rates and Ranks of CY 2005 and CY 2004 Performance Rates and
Percentage Point Changes of CY 2005 Performance over 2004 (in percent)

State	Performance				Percentage Point Change CY 2005 over CY 2004	
	CY 2005		CY 2004			
	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank	Change	Rank
U.S. Average[1]	68.67		69.17		(0.50)	
Alabama	63.86	46	64.40	43	(0.54)	31
Alaska	69.45	25	69.04	32	0.41	16
Arizona	67.60	36	69.98	27	(2.38)	48
Arkansas	66.17	39	63.16	45	3.01	3
California	70.75	19	71.42	21	(0.67)	33
Colorado (sample)	72.89	10	72.72	16	0.17	19
Connecticut	70.90	17	71.90	19	(1.00)	35
Delaware	66.07	40	65.40	42	0.67	12
Dist. of Col.	35.71	52	32.43	51	3.28	2
Florida	64.40	45	63.60	44	0.80	8
Georgia	65.26	44	65.82	40	(0.56)	32
Guam	**		**		**	
Hawaii	75.63	4	76.01	5	(0.38)	27
Idaho	76.42	3	76.11	4	0.31	18
Illinois	69.92	23	71.81	20	(1.89)	42
Indiana	69.69	24	72.07	18	(2.38)	47
Iowa	73.68	9	75.20	8	(1.52)	38
Kansas	72.85	11	75.59	7	(2.74)	49
Kentucky	69.37	26	69.80	28	(0.43)	28
Louisiana	58.90	49	56.34	50	2.56	4
Maine	68.23	32	66.54	38	1.69	5
Maryland	68.19	33	66.71	37	1.48	6
Massachusetts	70.88	18	72.47	17	(1.59)	39
Michigan	68.77	28	68.76	33	0.01	22
Minnesota	74.37	8	76.70	3	(2.33)	45
Mississippi	54.53	51	57.36	49	(2.83)	50
Missouri	67.53	37	69.18	30	(1.65)	40
Montana	71.76	13	72.96	13	(1.20)	36
Nebraska	75.12	6	76.86	2	(1.74)	41
Nevada	68.72	30	69.08	31	(0.36)	26
New Hampshire	75.56	5	74.59	9	0.97	7
New Jersey	72.14	12	74.14	10	(2.00)	44
New Mexico	63.21	47	62.66	46	0.55	13
New York	65.71	42	66.21	39	(0.50)	29
North Carolina	65.70	43	65.55	41	0.15	21
North Dakota	76.61	2	75.83	6	0.78	9
Ohio	67.78	35	67.41	35	0.37	17
Oklahoma	68.11	34	67.64	34	0.47	14
Oregon	70.65	21	70.82	24	(0.17)	23
Pennsylvania	68.65	31	70.06	26	(1.41)	37
Puerto Rico	57.60	50	**		**	
Rhode Island	67.53	37	60.90	48	6.63	1
South Carolina (sample)	62.67	48	61.95	47	0.72	11
South Dakota	71.03	16	72.95	14	(1.92)	43
Tennessee	65.94	41	66.86	36	(0.92)	34
Texas	69.27	27	69.54	29	(0.27)	24
Utah	83.17	1	83.69	1	(0.52)	30
Vermont	68.73	29	73.13	12	(4.40)	51
Virgin Islands	**		**		**	
Virginia	70.72	20	71.00	23	(0.28)	25
Washington	71.32	14	70.58	25	0.74	10
West Virginia	70.48	22	72.81	15	(2.33)	45
Wisconsin	71.19	15	71.02	22	0.17	19
Wyoming	74.40	7	73.98	11	0.42	15

* Data provided by the U.S. Census Bureau. Only calendar year data are available. Rate is the number of children under 18 residing in married family couple groups as a percent of all children under 18 years in households.

[1]U.S. Average excludes nonparticipating states.

** State not participating.

Note: Colorado and South Carolina submitted sample data in FY 2005. Population data was submitted in prior years.

Table 5:17
State Performance for Performance Year 2006
Rates and Ranks of FY 2006 Performance, by Work-Related Measures

State	Job Entry		Job Retention		Earnings Gain		Success in the Workforce
	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank	Rank*
U.S. Average[1]	33.88		64.84		33.00		
Alabama	29.92	42	66.39	15	31.19	38	29
Alaska	36.51	21	66.09	18	38.74	29	18
Arizona	35.71	24	58.74	43	42.23	20	39
Arkansas	38.55	17	62.79	34	51.59	11	14
California	30.37	41	70.49	5	26.62	45	25
Colorado (sample)	18.29	50	62.30	37	28.96	43	51
Connecticut (sample)	39.80	9	69.30	6	35.40	34	9
Delaware	40.21	7	64.30	26	37.35	31	36
Dist. of Col.	37.56	19	65.68	21	22.85	48	43
Florida	38.98	13	65.07	24	50.24	13	6
Georgia	40.13	8	67.81	9	54.27	7	2
Guam	**		**		**		**
Hawaii	28.31	48	73.38	1	32.02	37	7
Idaho	41.41	2	68.90	8	77.70	2	1
Illinois	34.51	30	67.54	11	35.78	33	11
Indiana	40.44	4	66.05	19	30.70	40	37
Iowa	40.99	3	67.25	13	44.33	19	4
Kansas	40.40	5	63.09	33	48.78	15	19
Kentucky	29.24	46	53.11	49	41.00	24	47
Louisiana	33.90	33	47.02	51	40.19	26	49
Maine	33.86	34	64.16	28	27.67	44	45
Maryland	38.87	15	63.48	30	45.15	18	19
Massachusetts	29.66	43	58.59	44	41.56	22	41
Michigan	38.87	14	51.45	50	39.52	27	49
Minnesota	39.29	12	65.95	20	37.74	30	25
Mississippi	37.56	18	55.99	48	39.01	28	48
Missouri	34.62	28	67.73	10	29.44	42	28
Montana	40.32	6	61.06	40	61.24	4	11
Nebraska	39.67	11	66.29	16	47.43	17	5
Nevada (sample)	39.71	10	66.53	14	36.62	32	17
New Hampshire	35.03	26	64.24	27	48.68	16	10
New Jersey	32.08	37	65.44	22	19.54	49	44
New Mexico	29.39	45	64.03	29	34.53	35	40
New York	31.27	40	63.30	31	30.43	41	45
North Carolina	28.65	47	58.12	47	53.83	8	32
North Dakota	38.80	16	65.22	23	40.81	25	19
Ohio	31.90	38	62.63	35	49.52	14	24
Oklahoma	36.27	22	61.12	39	57.56	6	14
Oregon	29.59	44	60.07	42	71.77	3	14
Pennsylvania	33.40	36	58.38	45	41.84	21	41
Puerto Rico	**		**		**		**
Rhode Island	34.92	27	66.21	17	30.94	39	35
South Carolina (sample)	17.22	51	69.03	7	23.64	47	31
South Dakota	34.02	31	61.70	38	79.55	1	8
Tennessee	33.68	35	70.56	4	14.40	51	32
Texas	35.05	25	67.54	12	32.58	36	19
Utah	35.78	23	64.51	25	60.41	5	3
Vermont	36.93	20	62.43	36	41.56	23	37
Virgin Islands	**		**		**		**
Virginia	46.80	1	72.61	2	24.32	46	19
Washington	34.59	29	63.12	32	50.97	12	11
West Virginia	31.56	39	58.16	46	52.77	9	32
Wisconsin	25.05	49	72.50	3	14.44	50	29
Wyoming	33.92	32	60.70	41	52.24	10	27

* Ranks on performance in the Job Retention and Earnings Gain submeasures are combined and then re-ranked.

[1]U.S. Average excludes nonparticipating states.

** State not participating.

Note: Colorado and South Carolina submitted sample data in FY 2005 and FY 2006. Population data was submitted in prior years. Connecticut and Nevada submitted sample data in FY 2006. Population data was submitted in prior years.

Table 5:18
State Performance for Performance Year 2006
Rates of FY 2006 and FY 2005 Performance, by Work-Related Measures (in percent)

State	FY 2006 Performance Rates			FY 2005 Performance Rates		
	Job Entry	Job Retention	Earnings Gain	Job Entry	Job Retention	Earnings Gain
U.S. Average[1]	33.88	64.84	33.00	34.86	58.97	36.87
Alabama	29.92	66.39	31.19	38.63	61.35	43.51
Alaska	36.51	66.09	38.74	37.90	64.53	36.25
Arizona	35.71	58.74	42.23	34.49	59.71	39.91
Arkansas	38.55	62.79	51.59	39.83	61.22	50.13
California	30.37	70.49	26.62	**	**	**
Colorado (sample)	18.29	62.30	28.96	34.68	58.85	39.81
Connecticut (sample)	39.80	69.30	35.40	35.73	66.16	35.19
Delaware	40.21	64.30	37.35	41.10	65.50	38.62
Dist. of Col.	37.56	65.68	22.85	35.05	65.14	22.44
Florida	38.98	65.07	50.24	34.32	26.50	54.45
Georgia	40.13	67.81	54.27	37.91	60.11	51.20
Guam	**	**	**	**	**	**
Hawaii	28.31	73.38	32.02	28.23	72.24	27.93
Idaho	41.41	68.90	77.70	39.11	57.17	69.52
Illinois	34.51	67.54	35.78	32.20	64.26	34.27
Indiana	40.44	66.05	30.70	42.76	64.29	26.03
Iowa	40.99	67.25	44.33	38.48	66.44	41.05
Kansas	40.40	63.09	48.78	39.18	60.68	49.85
Kentucky	29.24	53.11	41.00	33.28	49.07	38.94
Louisiana	33.90	47.02	40.19	33.49	50.66	35.41
Maine	33.86	64.16	27.67	35.30	65.97	27.16
Maryland	38.87	63.48	45.15	36.31	59.14	44.66
Massachusetts	29.66	58.59	41.56	28.35	52.80	39.07
Michigan	38.87	51.45	39.52	37.45	62.94	40.42
Minnesota	39.29	65.95	37.74	39.60	65.63	38.61
Mississippi	37.56	55.99	39.01	36.02	56.79	34.84
Missouri	34.62	67.73	29.44	42.79	64.92	30.53
Montana	40.32	61.06	61.24	39.36	57.44	55.81
Nebraska	39.67	66.29	47.43	31.97	43.71	39.66
Nevada (sample)	39.71	66.53	36.62	38.68	64.95	38.27
New Hampshire	35.03	64.24	48.68	34.70	63.30	47.42
New Jersey	32.08	65.44	19.54	34.74	60.50	39.29
New Mexico	29.39	64.03	34.53	33.06	55.06	31.24
New York	31.27	63.30	30.43	28.83	53.88	28.49
North Carolina	28.65	58.12	53.83	36.15	57.96	48.88
North Dakota	38.80	65.22	40.81	39.30	64.32	36.30
Ohio	31.90	62.63	49.52	31.38	62.50	47.64
Oklahoma	36.27	61.12	57.56	34.40	53.82	45.96
Oregon	29.59	60.07	71.77	28.02	55.63	64.19
Pennsylvania	33.40	58.38	41.84	33.84	55.58	43.79
Puerto Rico	**	**	**	**	**	**
Rhode Island	34.92	66.21	30.94	33.88	64.96	29.73
South Carolina (sample)	17.22	69.03	23.64	41.32	50.52	32.58
South Dakota	34.02	61.70	79.55	32.72	57.65	81.38
Tennessee	33.68	70.56	14.40	40.78	51.98	22.15
Texas	35.05	67.54	32.58	33.50	65.17	27.59
Utah	35.78	64.51	60.41	34.88	63.30	53.50
Vermont	36.93	62.43	41.56	36.40	64.62	42.19
Virgin Islands	**	**	**	**	**	**
Virginia	46.80	72.61	24.32	46.65	69.57	24.49
Washington	34.59	63.12	50.97	34.45	61.52	51.37
West Virginia	31.56	58.16	52.77	30.78	58.10	49.07
Wisconsin	25.05	72.50	14.44	32.74	59.97	51.44
Wyoming	33.92	60.70	52.24	34.38	64.53	73.35

[1]U.S. Average excludes nonparticipating states.

** State not participating.

Note: Colorado and South Carolina submitted sample data in FY 2005 and FY 2006. Population data was submitted in prior ye Connecticut and Nevada submitted sample data in FY 2006. Population data was submitted in prior years.

Table 5:19
State Performance for Performance Year 2006
Rates and Ranks of FY 2006 Percentage Point Changes in Performance over FY 2005 Performance by Work Related Measures

State	Job Entry		Job Retention		Earnings Gain		Success in the Workforce
	Change	Rank	Change	Rank	Change	Rank	Rank*
U.S. Average[1]	(0.98)		5.87		(3.87)		
Alabama	(8.71)	48	5.05	12	(12.32)	47	32
Alaska	(1.40)	38	1.56	31	2.49	18	21
Arizona	1.22	19	(0.97)	44	2.32	20	39
Arkansas	(1.28)	37	1.57	30	1.46	25	26
California	**		**		**		
Colorado (sample)	(16.39)	49	3.46	19	(10.85)	46	41
Connecticut (sample)	4.07	3	3.13	21	0.21	31	23
Delaware	(0.88)	36	(1.20)	45	(1.27)	39	
Dist. of Col.	2.50	6	0.54	38	0.41	30	
Florida	4.66	2	38.57	1	(4.21)	43	14
Georgia	2.22	10	7.70	9	3.07	17	7
Guam	**		**		**		
Hawaii	0.09	31	1.14	34	4.09	13	17
Idaho	2.30	9	11.73	6	8.18	2	2
Illinois	2.31	8	3.28	20	1.51	24	14
Indiana	(2.32)	40	1.76	27	4.67	10	11
Iowa	2.51	5	0.81	37	3.27	16	24
Kansas	1.22	18	2.41	25	(1.07)	37	38
Kentucky	(4.04)	43	4.04	17	2.06	21	12
Louisiana	0.41	27	(3.64)	48	4.78	9	30
Maine	(1.44)	39	(1.81)	46	0.50	28	
Maryland	2.56	4	4.34	15	0.49	29	14
Massachusetts	1.32	16	5.79	11	2.48	19	9
Michigan	1.42	15	(11.50)	50	(0.90)	36	
Minnesota	(0.31)	32	0.31	39	(0.87)	35	
Mississippi	1.54	14	(0.80)	43	4.17	12	26
Missouri	(8.17)	47	2.82	23	(1.09)	38	34
Montana	0.95	22	3.62	18	5.42	6	6
Nebraska	7.71	1	22.57	2	7.77	3	1
Nevada (sample)	1.02	21	1.58	29	(1.65)	40	43
New Hampshire	0.33	28	0.94	35	1.26	26	34
New Jersey	(2.66)	41	4.94	13	(19.75)	48	34
New Mexico	(3.67)	42	8.97	8	3.28	15	5
New York	2.44	7	9.42	7	1.94	22	8
North Carolina	(7.50)	45	0.16	40	4.95	8	20
North Dakota	(0.50)	35	0.89	36	4.50	11	17
Ohio	0.51	26	0.13	41	1.88	23	39
Oklahoma	1.87	11	7.30	10	11.60	1	3
Oregon	1.57	12	4.43	14	7.57	4	4
Pennsylvania	(0.43)	33	2.80	24	(1.94)	42	42
Puerto Rico	**		**		**		
Rhode Island	1.04	20	1.26	32	1.21	27	32
South Carolina (sample)	(24.10)	50	18.52	4	(8.94)	45	21
South Dakota	1.30	17	4.05	16	(1.83)	41	30
Tennessee	(7.10)	44	18.58	3	(7.75)	44	17
Texas	1.55	13	2.36	26	4.98	7	10
Utah	0.90	23	1.21	33	6.91	5	12
Vermont	0.53	25	(2.19)	47	(0.63)	34	
Virgin Islands	**		**		**		
Virginia	0.15	29	3.03	22	(0.18)	32	25
Washington	0.14	30	1.60	28	(0.40)	33	34
West Virginia	0.79	24	0.06	42	3.69	14	29
Wisconsin	(7.69)	46	12.53	5	(37.00)	50	26
Wyoming	(0.46)	34	(3.83)	49	(21.11)	49	

* Ranks on percentage point change in performance for the Job Retention and Earnings Gain submeasures are combined. Only ranks of States with at least one positive percentage point change on one submeasure are then re-ranked. (See 45 CFR, Part 270, Section 270.5(a)(4).)

[1]U.S. Average excludes nonparticipating states.

** State not participating.

Note: Colorado and South Carolina submitted sample data in FY 2005 and FY 2006. Population data was submitted in prior years. Connecticut and Nevada submitted sample data in FY 2006. Population data was submitted in prior years.

Table 5:20

State Performance for Performance Year 2006
Food Stamp Measure*
Rates and Ranks of CY 2006 and CY 2005 Performance and
Percentage Point Changes of CY 2006 over CY 2005 Performance (in percent)

State	Performance				Percentage Point Change CY 2006 over CY 2005	
	CY 2006		CY 2005			
	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank	Change	Rank
U.S. Average[1]	41.08		37.40		3.68	
Alabama	45.93	20	40.07	24	5.86	14
Alaska	40.77	34	38.60	32	2.17	31
Arizona	39.36	37	39.19	27	0.17	37
Arkansas	51.14	10	49.95	6	1.19	33
California	26.43	50	23.73	49	2.70	28
Colorado (sample)	33.85	45	30.50	45	3.35	26
Connecticut (sample)	33.63	46	34.10	40	(0.47)	38
Delaware	41.27	32	51.56	3	(10.29)	51
Dist. of Col.	45.56	22	34.19	39	11.37	5
Florida	34.12	43	29.64	46	4.48	19
Georgia	41.08	33	40.73	22	0.35	36
Guam	**		**		**	
Hawaii	36.06	40	41.77	20	(5.71)	48
Idaho	36.21	39	38.97	29	(2.76)	42
Illinois	41.53	31	37.45	34	4.08	22
Indiana	48.69	13	33.48	42	15.21	1
Iowa	50.71	11	38.66	31	12.05	3
Kansas	33.36	48	36.22	35	(2.86)	43
Kentucky	55.81	4	51.48	4	4.33	21
Louisiana	61.33	1	49.36	8	11.97	4
Maine	57.75	2	61.70	1	(3.95)	46
Maryland	33.90	44	38.06	33	(4.16)	47
Massachusetts	33.44	47	27.26	48	6.18	12
Michigan	51.22	9	46.02	14	5.20	16
Minnesota	35.27	41	43.23	19	(7.96)	50
Mississippi	48.64	14	47.97	10	0.67	34
Missouri	53.65	6	49.83	7	3.82	23
Montana	47.02	17	50.14	5	(3.12)	44
Nebraska	43.06	25	40.26	23	2.80	27
Nevada (sample)	20.34	52	23.47	50	(3.13)	45
New Hampshire	40.07	36	31.48	44	8.59	8
New Jersey	26.43	50	22.69	51	3.74	24
New Mexico	40.52	35	40.99	21	(0.47)	38
New York	38.62	38	33.63	41	4.99	17
North Carolina	42.88	26	35.49	37	7.39	10
North Dakota	46.20	18	47.68	11	(1.48)	41
Ohio	46.20	18	45.81	15	0.39	35
Oklahoma	51.69	8	47.32	12	4.37	20
Oregon	53.51	7	46.74	13	6.77	11
Pennsylvania	43.54	23	44.66	17	(1.12)	40
Puerto Rico	34.99	42	**		**	
Rhode Island	43.54	23	38.95	30	4.59	18
South Carolina (sample)	47.55	15	36.22	35	11.33	6
South Dakota	47.09	16	33.10	43	13.99	2
Tennessee	55.82	3	54.59	2	1.23	32
Texas	42.64	27	39.03	28	3.61	25
Utah	29.93	49	27.69	47	2.24	30
Vermont	42.38	29	49.15	9	(6.77)	49
Virgin Islands	**		**		**	
Virginia	42.62	28	34.21	38	8.41	9
Washington	45.77	21	39.69	25	6.08	13
West Virginia	55.63	5	45.70	16	9.93	7
Wisconsin	42.03	30	39.51	26	2.52	29
Wyoming	49.19	12	43.91	18	5.28	15

* Data provided by the U.S. Census Bureau. Only calendar year data are available. Rate is the number of low-income working households with children under 18 (i.e., in households with income less than 130% of poverty and earnings equal to at least half-time full-year Federal minimum wage) receiving food stamps as a percentage of the number of households (as defined here) in the State.

[1]U.S. Average excludes nonparticipating states.

** State not participating.

Note: Colorado and South Carolina submitted sample data in FY 2005 and FY 2006. Population data was submitted in prior years. Connecticut and Nevada submitted sample data in FY 2006. Population data was submitted in prior years.

Table 5:21
State Performance for Performance Year 2006
Family Formation and Stability Measure*
Rates and Ranks of CY 2006 and CY 2005 Performance Rates and Percentage Point Changes of CY 2006 Performance over 2005 (in percent)

State	Performance				Percentage Point Change CY 2006 over CY 2005	
	CY 2006		CY 2005			
	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank	Change	Rank
U.S. Average[1]	68.67		69.17		(0.50)	
Alabama	63.86	46	64.40	43	(0.54)	31
Alaska	69.45	25	69.04	32	0.41	16
Arizona	67.60	36	69.98	27	(2.38)	48
Arkansas	66.17	39	63.16	45	3.01	3
California	70.75	19	71.42	21	(0.67)	33
Colorado (sample)	72.89	10	72.72	16	0.17	19
Connecticut (sample)	70.90	17	71.90	19	(1.00)	35
Delaware	66.07	40	65.40	42	0.67	12
Dist. of Col.	35.71	52	32.43	51	3.28	2
Florida	64.40	45	63.60	44	0.80	8
Georgia	65.26	44	65.82	40	(0.56)	32
Guam	**		**		**	
Hawaii	75.63	4	76.01	5	(0.38)	27
Idaho	76.42	3	76.11	4	0.31	18
Illinois	69.92	23	71.81	20	(1.89)	42
Indiana	69.69	24	72.07	18	(2.38)	47
Iowa	73.68	9	75.20	8	(1.52)	38
Kansas	72.85	11	75.59	7	(2.74)	49
Kentucky	69.37	26	69.80	28	(0.43)	28
Louisiana	58.90	49	56.34	50	2.56	4
Maine	68.23	32	66.54	38	1.69	5
Maryland	68.19	33	66.71	37	1.48	6
Massachusetts	70.88	18	72.47	17	(1.59)	39
Michigan	68.77	28	68.76	33	0.01	22
Minnesota	74.37	8	76.70	3	(2.33)	45
Mississippi	54.53	51	57.36	49	(2.83)	50
Missouri	67.53	37	69.18	30	(1.65)	40
Montana	71.76	13	72.96	13	(1.20)	36
Nebraska	75.12	6	76.86	2	(1.74)	41
Nevada (sample)	68.72	30	69.08	31	(0.36)	26
New Hampshire	75.56	5	74.59	9	0.97	7
New Jersey	72.14	12	74.14	10	(2.00)	44
New Mexico	63.21	47	62.66	46	0.55	13
New York	65.71	42	66.21	39	(0.50)	29
North Carolina	65.70	43	65.55	41	0.15	21
North Dakota	76.61	2	75.83	6	0.78	9
Ohio	67.78	35	67.41	35	0.37	17
Oklahoma	68.11	34	67.64	34	0.47	14
Oregon	70.65	21	70.82	24	(0.17)	23
Pennsylvania	68.65	31	70.06	26	(1.41)	37
Puerto Rico	57.60	50	**		**	
Rhode Island	67.53	37	60.90	48	6.63	1
South Carolina (sample)	62.67	48	61.95	47	0.72	11
South Dakota	71.03	16	72.95	14	(1.92)	43
Tennessee	65.94	41	66.86	36	(0.92)	34
Texas	69.27	27	69.54	29	(0.27)	24
Utah	83.17	1	83.69	1	(0.52)	30
Vermont	68.73	29	73.13	12	(4.40)	51
Virgin Islands	**		**		**	
Virginia	70.72	20	71.00	23	(0.28)	25
Washington	71.32	14	70.58	25	0.74	10
West Virginia	70.48	22	72.81	15	(2.33)	45
Wisconsin	71.19	15	71.02	22	0.17	19
Wyoming	74.40	7	73.98	11	0.42	15

* Data provided by the U.S. Census Bureau. Only calendar year data are available. Rate is the number of children under 18 residing in married family couple groups as a percent of all children under 18 years in households.

[1]U.S. Average excludes Guam, Puerto Rico, and the Virgin Islands.

** State not participating.

Note: Colorado and South Carolina submitted sample data in FY 2005 and FY 2006. Population data was submitted in prior ye Connecticut and Nevada submitted sample data in FY 2006. Population data was submitted in prior years.

Table 6:1 (FY 2004)

Financial Overview for Fiscal Year 2004

TOTAL IV-D COLLECTIONS		$21,861,258,876
Current Assistance IV-A and IV-E	1,061,606,027	
Former Assistance	8,870,802,263	
Never Assistance	10,035,890,746	
Medicaid [1/]	1,892,959,840	
Assistance Payments	2,073,134,069	
Medical Support	110,586,988	
Total Payments to Families or Foster Care	19,677,537,819	
State Share	926,531,170	
Federal Share	1,146,602,899	
Estimated Incentive Payments	360,856,163	
CURRENT ASSISTANCE IV-A AND IV-E		$1,061,606,027
Assistance Payments	914,113,344	
Medical Support	11,839,789	
Payments to Families or Foster Care	135,652,894	
FORMER ASSISTANCE		$8,870,802,263
Assistance Payments	1,159,020,725	
Medical Support	65,005,483	
Payments to Families or Foster Care	7,646,776,055	
NEVER ASSISTANCE		$10,035,890,746
Medical Support	18,364,254	
Payments to Families or Foster Care	10,017,526,492	
MEDICAID NEVER ASSISTANCE		$1,892,959,840
Medical Support	15,377,462	
Payments to Families or Foster Care	1,877,582,378	
TOTAL ADMINISTRATIVE EXPENDITURES		$5,322,260,723
Federal Share	3,519,436,701	
State Share	1,802,824,022	
COST-EFFECTIVENESS		$4.38

[1] The Medicaid Assistance category was added to the OCSE-34A form in FY 2004. These collections were previously to be reported in the current assistance category and caused amounts reported as current assistance collections to go down.

Source: Child Support Enforcement Program Financial Report (OCSE-396A) and Quarterly Report of Collections (OCSE-34A)

Note: Total assistance reimbursement equals collections that will be divided between the state and federal governments to reimburse their respective shares of either title IV-A, TANF payments or title IV-E, Foster Care maintenance payments.

TABLE 6:2 (FY 2004)

Statistical Overview, FY 2004

TOTAL IV-D CASELOAD[1]	**15,854,475**
Current Assistance	2,628,214
Former Assistance	7,281,092
Never Assistance	5,945,169
CASES OPEN (line 1)	**15,803,921**
Current Assistance	2,613,428
Former Assistance	7,254,042
Never Assistance	5,936,451
CASES WITH NO JURISDICTION (line 3)	**50,554**
Current Assistance	14,786
Former Assistance	27,050
Never Assistance	8,718
TOTAL CASES WITH COLLECTIONS	**8,133,646**
Current Assistance	781,188
Former Assistance	3,852,255
Never Assistance	3,500,203
TOTAL PERCENTAGE OF CASES WITH COLLECTIONS	**51.5**
Current Assistance	29.9
Former Assistance	53.1
Never Assistance	59.0
TOTAL CASES WITH ORDERS ESTABLISHED	**11,753,603**
Current Assistance	1,383,683
Former Assistance	5,862,436
Never Assistance	4,507,484
TOTAL PERCENTAGE OF CASES WITH ORDERS ESTABLISHED	**74.4**
Current Assistance	52.9
Former Assistance	80.8
Never Assistance	75.9
TOTAL PERCENTAGE OF CASES WITH ORDERS ESTABLISHED WHICH HAD A COLLECTION	**69.2**
Current Assistance	56.5
Former Assistance	65.7
Never Assistance	77.7
TOTAL PATERNITIES ESTABLISHED OR ACKNOWLEDGED	**1,606,303**
IV-D Paternities Established or Acknowledged[2]	691,554
State In-Hospital and Other Paternities Acknowledged[3]	914,749
TOTAL SUPPORT ORDERS ESTABLISHED	**1,181,012**
Current Assistance	241,627
Former Assistance	413,231
Never Assistance	526,154

[1] Total IV-D caseload combines lines 1 (cases open) and 3 (cases with no jurisdiction).

[2] IV-D paternities established or acknowledged for the current year are reported on line 16.

[3] State paternity acknowledgements include an unknown number of acknowledgements for children in the IV-D caseload and are reported on line 10.

Source: Child Support Enforcement Annual Data Report (OCSE-157)

Table 6:1 (FY 2005)

Financial Overview for Fiscal Year 2005

TOTAL IV-D COLLECTIONS		$23,005,880,131
Current Assistance IV-A and IV-E	1,042,750,508	
Former Assistance	9,253,966,954	
Never Assistance	10,445,124,504	
Medicaid [1/]	2,264,038,165	
Assistance Payments	2,040,148,277	
Medical Support	129,945,791	
Total Payments to Families or Foster Care	20,835,786,063	
State Share	911,061,160	
Federal Share	1,129,087,117	
Estimated Incentive Payments	364,947,681	
CURRENT ASSISTANCE IV-A AND IV-E		$1,042,750,508
Assistance Payments	891,824,062	
Medical Support	11,386,725	
Payments to Families or Foster Care	139,539,721	
FORMER ASSISTANCE		$9,253,966,954
Assistance Payments	1,148,324,215	
Medical Support	76,671,621	
Payments to Families or Foster Care	8,028,971,118	
NEVER ASSISTANCE		$10,445,124,504
Medical Support	22,652,479	
Payments to Families or Foster Care	10,422,472,025	
MEDICAID NEVER ASSISTANCE		$2,264,038,165
Medical Support	19,234,966	
Payments to Families or Foster Care	2,244,803,199	
TOTAL ADMINISTRATIVE EXPENDITURES		$5,352,566,340
Federal Share	3,540,033,256	
State Share	1,812,533,084	
COST-EFFECTIVENESS		$4.58

[1] The Medicaid Assistance category was added to the OCSE-34A form in FY 2004. These collections were previously to be reported in the current assistance category and caused amounts reported as current assistance collections to go down.

Source: Child Support Enforcement Program Financial Report (OCSE-396A) and Quarterly Report of Collections (OCSE-34A)

Note: Total assistance reimbursement equals collections that will be divided between the state and federal governments to reimburse their respective shares of either title IV-A, TANF payments or title IV-E, Foster Care maintenance payments.

TABLE 6:2 (FY 2005)

Statistical Overview, FY 2005

TOTAL IV-D CASELOAD[1]	**15,860,753**
Current Assistance	2,495,817
Former Assistance	7,292,828
Never Assistance	6,072,108
CASES OPEN (line 1)	**15,809,171**
Current Assistance	2,484,397
Former Assistance	7,267,149
Never Assistance	6,057,625
CASES WITH NO JURISDICTION (line 3)	**51,582**
Current Assistance	11,420
Former Assistance	25,679
Never Assistance	14,483
TOTAL CASES WITH COLLECTIONS	**8,303,946**
Current Assistance	755,588
Former Assistance	3,908,320
Never Assistance	3,640,038
TOTAL PERCENTAGE OF CASES WITH COLLECTIONS	**52.5**
Current Assistance	30.4
Former Assistance	53.8
Never Assistance	60.1
TOTAL CASES WITH ORDERS ESTABLISHED	**11,994,590**
Current Assistance	1,354,110
Former Assistance	5,960,700
Never Assistance	4,679,780
TOTAL PERCENTAGE OF CASES WITH ORDERS ESTABLISHED	**75.9**
Current Assistance	54.5
Former Assistance	82.0
Never Assistance	77.3
TOTAL PERCENTAGE OF CASES WITH ORDERS ESTABLISHED WHICH HAD A COLLECTION	**69.2**
Current Assistance	55.8
Former Assistance	65.6
Never Assistance	77.8
TOTAL PATERNITIES ESTABLISHED OR ACKNOWLEDGED	**1,639,457**
IV-D Paternities Established or Acknowledged[2]	689,508
State In-Hospital and Other Paternities Acknowledged[3]	949,949
TOTAL SUPPORT ORDERS ESTABLISHED	**1,180,238**
Current Assistance	229,746
Former Assistance	405,935
Never Assistance	544,557

[1] Total IV-D caseload combines lines 1 (cases open) and 3 (cases with no jurisdiction).

[2] IV-D paternities established or acknowledged for the current year are reported on line 16.

[3] State paternity acknowledgements include an unknown number of acknowledgements for children in the IV-D caseload and are reported on line 10.

Source: Child Support Enforcement Annual Data Report (OCSE-157)

Table 6:1 (FY 2006)

Financial Overview for Fiscal Year 2006

TOTAL IV-D COLLECTIONS		$23,933,384,257
Current Assistance IV-A and IV-E	985,416,539	
Former Assistance	9,238,893,026	
Never Assistance	10,970,140,163	
Medicaid [1/]	2,738,934,529	
Assistance Payments	1,961,471,945	
Medical Support	135,470,186	
Total Payments to Families or Foster Care	21,836,442,126	
State Share	875,072,365	
Federal Share	1,086,399,580	
Estimated Incentive Payments	402,211,872	
CURRENT ASSISTANCE IV-A AND IV-E		$985,416,539
Assistance Payments	835,142,722	
Medical Support	11,515,960	
Payments to Families or Foster Care	138,757,857	
FORMER ASSISTANCE		$9,238,893,026
Assistance Payments	1,126,329,223	
Medical Support	64,094,777	
Payments to Families or Foster Care	8,048,469,026	
NEVER ASSISTANCE		$10,970,140,163
Medical Support	22,740,247	
Payments to Families or Foster Care	10,947,399,916	
MEDICAID NEVER ASSISTANCE		$2,738,934,529
Medical Support	37,119,202	
Payments to Families or Foster Care	2,701,815,327	
TOTAL ADMINISTRATIVE EXPENDITURES		$5,561,444,218
Federal Share	3,677,382,495	
State Share	1,884,061,723	
COST-EFFECTIVENESS		$4.58

[1] The Medicaid Assistance category was added to the OCSE-34A form in FY 2004. These collections were previously to be reported in the current assistance category and caused amounts reported as current assistance collections to go down.

Source: Child Support Enforcement Program Financial Report (OCSE-396A) and Quarterly Report of Collections (OCSE-34A)

Note: Total assistance reimbursement equals collections that will be divided between the state and federal governments to reimburse their respective shares of either title IV-A, TANF payments or title IV-E, Foster Care maintenance payments.

TABLE 6:2 (FY 2006)

Statistical Overview, FY 2006

TOTAL IV-D CASELOAD[1]	**15,844,238**
Current Assistance	2,334,137
Former Assistance	7,269,813
Never Assistance	6,240,288
CASES OPEN (line 1)	**15,793,220**
Current Assistance	2,325,720
Former Assistance	7,242,849
Never Assistance	6,224,651
CASES WITH NO JURISDICTION (line 3)	**51,018**
Current Assistance	8,417
Former Assistance	26,964
Never Assistance	15,637
TOTAL CASES WITH COLLECTIONS	**8,530,648**
Current Assistance	747,327
Former Assistance	3,966,340
Never Assistance	3,815,981
TOTAL PERCENTAGE OF CASES WITH COLLECTIONS	**54.0**
Current Assistance	32.1
Former Assistance	54.8
Never Assistance	61.3
TOTAL CASES WITH ORDERS ESTABLISHED	**12,215,891**
Current Assistance	1,308,264
Former Assistance	6,028,222
Never Assistance	4,879,405
TOTAL PERCENTAGE OF CASES WITH ORDERS ESTABLISHED	**77.3**
Current Assistance	56.3
Former Assistance	83.2
Never Assistance	78.4
TOTAL PERCENTAGE OF CASES WITH ORDERS ESTABLISHED WHICH HAD A COLLECTION	**69.8**
Current Assistance	57.1
Former Assistance	65.8
Never Assistance	78.2
TOTAL PATERNITIES ESTABLISHED OR ACKNOWLEDGED	**1,701,019**
IV-D Paternities Established or Acknowledged[2]	675,498
State In-Hospital and Other Paternities Acknowledged[3]	1,025,521
TOTAL SUPPORT ORDERS ESTABLISHED	**1,158,866**
Current Assistance	212,819
Former Assistance	391,505
Never Assistance	554,542

[1] Total IV-D caseload combines lines 1 (cases open) and 3 (cases with no jurisdiction).

[2] IV-D paternities established or acknowledged for the current year are reported on line 16.

[3] State paternity acknowledgements include an unknown number of acknowledgements for children in the IV-D caseload and are reported on line 10.

Source: Child Support Enforcement Annual Data Report (OCSE-157)

Table 6:3

Financial and Statistical Overview for Fiscal Years 2004, 2005 and 2006

Fiscal Years	2004	2005	2006
Cases Open, line 1 (A)	15,803,921	15,809,171	15,793,220
Cases with collections (B)	8,133,646	8,303,946	8,530,648
Percentage of cases with collections (B/A)	51.5	52.5	54.0
IV-D cases with orders established (C)	11,753,603	11,994,590	12,215,891
Percentage of cases with orders established which had a collection (B/C)	69.2	69.2	69.8
Total Distributed Collections (D)	$21,861,258,876	$23,005,880,131	$23,933,384,257
Collections per paying case (D/B)	$2,687.76	$2,770.48	$2,805.58
Total Administrative Expenditures	$5,322,260,723	$5,352,566,340	$5,561,444,218
Caseload - Current	2,628,214	2,495,817	2,334,137
Caseload - Former	7,281,092	7,292,828	7,269,813
Caseload - Never	5,945,169	6,072,108	6,240,288
Caseload - TOTAL	15,854,475	15,860,753	15,844,238
Total Paternities	1,606,303	1,639,457	1,701,019

Source: Child Support Enforcement Program Quarterly Report of Collections (OCSE-34A), Child Support Enforcement Program Financial Report (OCSE-396A), and Child Support Enforcement Annual Data Report (OCSE-157)

Table 6:4

Total Distributed Collections for Fiscal Years 2002-2006

STATES	2002	2003	2004	2005	2006
TOTALS	$20,136,867,071	$21,176,389,882	$21,861,258,876	$23,005,880,131	$23,933,384,257
ALABAMA	$210,793,885	$223,267,646	$226,484,276	$237,315,365	$246,440,868
ALASKA	81,297,436	79,349,491	82,112,657	85,090,915	86,408,926
ARIZONA	229,628,128	233,501,240	247,704,782	266,572,458	283,504,310
ARKANSAS	128,845,269	135,345,918	144,740,929	155,100,806	166,999,427
CALIFORNIA	1,761,395,793	2,132,041,944	2,177,842,511	2,222,045,042	2,187,632,783
COLORADO	202,513,277	203,110,443	217,200,793	236,265,381	251,838,691
CONNECTICUT	216,686,470	222,361,658	226,642,739	235,391,292	238,378,851
DELAWARE	59,507,990	61,504,137	63,647,137	66,481,676	69,753,316
DIST. OF COL.	40,543,493	44,314,143	44,704,165	47,972,545	48,433,723
FLORIDA	803,427,506	891,001,252	982,706,031	1,076,686,438	1,130,847,009
GEORGIA	415,190,279	453,672,698	465,376,601	498,897,914	525,393,042
GUAM	7,923,440	8,320,588	8,708,844	8,881,209	8,965,653
HAWAII	73,490,476	75,708,133	80,829,473	83,583,548	87,502,455
IDAHO	95,669,488	103,072,584	110,889,809	115,542,878	121,483,643
ILLINOIS	460,100,983	471,037,130	511,215,349	561,787,781	621,004,002
INDIANA	430,195,033	417,099,068	442,638,880	481,249,569	507,821,721
IOWA	255,489,996	269,972,715	280,399,263	289,928,099	298,238,365
KANSAS	134,192,271	139,250,242	142,711,660	152,580,972	157,720,315
KENTUCKY	280,917,646	281,178,623	322,100,896	336,566,029	356,470,107
LOUISIANA	260,352,264	273,010,342	279,621,377	289,310,689	292,527,410
MAINE	96,058,639	97,605,371	99,549,245	100,777,100	101,111,420
MARYLAND	396,325,538	409,232,429	427,575,362	453,401,914	461,979,714
MASSACHUSETTS	402,684,665	425,091,556	439,874,829	466,045,087	482,694,886
MICHIGAN	1,443,730,382	1,403,936,116	1,414,387,902	1,381,521,685	1,399,561,029
MINNESOTA	537,089,362	558,574,634	567,377,338	568,967,573	584,188,523
MISSISSIPPI	169,034,476	175,065,417	182,008,930	195,329,225	206,634,659
MISSOURI	410,866,655	432,993,219	449,718,615	467,499,224	489,006,349
MONTANA	43,450,853	44,285,363	45,000,554	46,807,100	49,925,528
NEBRASKA	143,218,162	146,714,458	153,576,166	159,216,677	165,087,441
NEVADA	91,416,297	99,633,371	107,714,631	115,523,605	126,945,166
NEW HAMPSHIRE	76,021,041	79,516,774	79,608,466	80,794,583	82,334,492
NEW JERSEY	774,655,477	815,021,270	861,917,778	915,475,680	962,286,549
NEW MEXICO	51,872,707	59,810,895	66,398,704	68,447,915	74,411,789
NEW YORK	1,289,224,609	1,341,103,958	1,312,113,067	1,400,128,858	1,457,168,830
NORTH CAROLINA	468,742,468	496,092,172	527,372,864	565,129,209	591,558,146
NORTH DAKOTA	50,844,528	54,533,409	57,670,079	62,992,073	68,450,313
OHIO	1,617,586,413	1,566,112,907	1,636,418,913	1,657,504,507	1,694,575,743
OKLAHOMA	131,791,800	142,434,428	154,022,936	177,478,235	204,527,099
OREGON	275,879,302	289,028,485	298,280,030	303,780,537	314,467,562
PENNSYLVANIA	1,331,920,478	1,356,655,545	1,370,957,279	1,413,912,650	1,441,881,350
PUERTO RICO	211,582,627	232,315,190	240,504,748	258,358,843	267,815,777
RHODE ISLAND	53,269,669	52,544,957	54,654,636	55,363,526	55,199,480
SOUTH CAROLINA	224,346,732	232,500,299	235,648,240	236,177,853	243,280,928
SOUTH DAKOTA	50,621,425	52,514,697	55,767,437	58,450,299	61,483,282
TENNESSEE	318,253,081	353,682,826	382,290,366	414,917,582	442,106,732
TEXAS	1,346,898,110	1,507,375,863	1,502,575,692	1,781,323,156	2,002,840,203
UTAH	133,052,785	137,108,429	140,596,546	148,672,334	157,604,724
VERMONT	41,502,260	42,210,431	48,680,441	44,520,139	46,077,875
VIRGIN ISLANDS	7,184,209	7,602,225	8,483,034	8,487,012	8,623,836
VIRGINIA	436,704,128	467,452,194	495,051,082	518,975,573	539,893,786
WASHINGTON	590,896,606	597,257,719	591,198,936	609,073,256	626,886,724
WEST VIRGINIA	151,193,843	157,061,989	158,469,493	171,129,801	174,791,834
WISCONSIN	574,178,130	577,846,759	588,915,411	601,203,390	607,234,700
WYOMING	46,608,491	47,354,532	48,600,954	51,243,324	53,383,171

Source: Child Support Enforcement Program Quarterly Report of Collections (OCSE-34A) line 8.

Table 6:5

Administrative Expenditures for Fiscal Years 2002-2006

STATES	2002	2003	2004	2005	2006
TOTALS	$5,183,316,271	$5,215,708,370	$5,322,260,723	$5,352,566,340	$5,561,444,218
ALABAMA	$62,813,312	$64,233,813	$62,916,747	$61,169,161	$61,582,475
ALASKA	20,964,504	21,682,477	20,889,915	21,250,426	22,959,136
ARIZONA	61,488,020	58,995,755	63,112,674	64,226,792	73,908,598
ARKANSAS	53,325,544	47,676,023	40,962,098	46,307,373	44,971,941
CALIFORNIA	967,850,687	972,416,111	1,078,618,454	1,084,724,865	1,129,067,703
COLORADO	63,345,963	72,067,723	69,830,659	72,814,414	72,140,413
CONNECTICUT	62,248,498	59,275,853	76,443,536	68,962,039	68,602,962
DELAWARE	18,490,612	22,899,696	23,836,341	24,178,388	29,016,784
DIST. OF COL.	18,355,923	24,481,801	16,413,838	22,472,256	21,631,784
FLORIDA	228,940,447	230,616,251	246,281,985	252,591,745	275,695,896
GEORGIA	109,974,793	114,088,857	112,113,933	107,903,857	95,603,833
GUAM	5,180,595	4,404,764	4,656,068	4,498,651	5,305,063
HAWAII	12,204,056	16,076,982	9,987,322	20,389,991	18,710,564
IDAHO	19,943,957	19,868,113	20,414,880	22,566,846	24,832,024
ILLINOIS	175,628,019	191,741,466	172,726,567	165,413,022	175,105,677
INDIANA	57,415,767	54,842,575	65,083,192	57,926,492	57,885,252
IOWA	47,746,323	51,434,609	52,734,715	52,454,171	54,024,580
KANSAS	57,070,901	50,083,945	50,754,022	50,751,781	52,923,770
KENTUCKY	62,855,131	60,998,713	57,067,459	59,560,674	60,852,966
LOUISIANA	57,086,791	57,084,130	59,120,674	65,547,775	68,120,443
MAINE	23,605,032	20,634,465	24,120,328	24,842,681	25,652,591
MARYLAND	101,356,950	97,134,343	100,371,995	99,688,086	95,216,218
MASSACHUSETTS	73,157,946	81,756,096	94,611,214	82,483,708	90,663,307
MICHIGAN	317,969,745	297,044,266	267,174,735	211,506,461	271,307,400
MINNESOTA	136,841,446	142,542,751	142,912,641	139,596,110	149,229,219
MISSISSIPPI	25,093,407	24,643,581	24,185,977	24,147,427	23,014,584
MISSOURI	93,519,925	92,119,226	87,678,856	90,790,168	92,297,034
MONTANA	12,488,346	14,368,225	13,399,195	13,545,069	13,817,422
NEBRASKA	51,452,821	47,362,837	45,084,471	47,314,314	46,335,525
NEVADA	40,122,603	39,823,537	40,497,262	47,343,935	46,019,262
NEW HAMPSHIRE	18,740,756	18,125,076	16,244,978	18,266,424	18,858,094
NEW JERSEY	169,893,050	170,238,325	185,918,148	203,882,866	222,360,091
NEW MEXICO	39,663,969	42,850,806	39,892,937	36,526,507	35,567,717
NEW YORK	306,731,258	287,129,649	324,634,090	313,295,358	328,800,464
NORTH CAROLINA	114,731,870	107,657,065	114,005,360	119,920,566	128,675,913
NORTH DAKOTA	11,793,383	11,645,878	11,843,765	11,396,020	12,776,758
OHIO	344,634,577	335,322,308	308,380,120	301,314,771	277,484,643
OKLAHOMA	52,282,771	50,470,419	46,684,484	51,407,521	56,246,532
OREGON	51,552,166	56,012,319	52,335,329	55,460,302	58,092,605
PENNSYLVANIA	200,473,876	205,750,237	202,107,570	228,798,996	230,950,799
PUERTO RICO	34,938,437	42,688,161	31,845,329	45,069,017	51,842,048
RHODE ISLAND	12,692,034	12,325,753	11,820,855	9,229,392	12,736,331
SOUTH CAROLINA	40,281,284	38,731,071	35,384,236	35,091,596	34,466,043
SOUTH DAKOTA	7,434,866	7,495,613	8,273,943	8,344,763	8,286,834
TENNESSEE	76,715,673	69,969,809	80,101,558	82,412,190	78,617,529
TEXAS	265,126,381	288,660,594	276,817,795	283,010,827	285,352,825
UTAH	36,816,553	35,663,343	36,952,849	39,563,059	39,350,395
VERMONT	11,146,668	11,853,275	12,157,120	12,078,470	12,711,802
VIRGIN ISLANDS	5,289,924	4,801,753	5,506,548	4,775,305	4,808,046
VIRGINIA	76,276,031	79,061,476	86,120,479	87,671,421	90,195,726
WASHINGTON	127,203,755	140,225,984	139,275,739	136,717,407	151,434,828
WEST VIRGINIA	32,855,073	36,674,530	38,168,078	37,165,336	37,254,672
WISCONSIN	97,279,925	100,556,292	103,405,275	115,152,736	108,692,436
WYOMING	10,223,927	9,399,650	10,382,385	9,046,812	9,386,661

Source: Child Support Enforcement Program Financial Report (OCSE-396A) line 9, columns A+C

Table 6:6 (FY 2004)

Amount of Collections per Case with a Collection for FY 2004

STATES	Total Distributed Collections	Cases with Collections	Collections Per Paying Case
TOTALS	$21,861,258,876	8,133,646	$2,687.76
ALABAMA	$226,484,276	119,735	$1,891.55
ALASKA	82,112,657	31,138	2,637.06
ARIZONA	247,704,782	98,021	2,527.06
ARKANSAS	144,740,929	74,104	1,953.21
CALIFORNIA	2,177,842,511	791,101	2,752.93
COLORADO	217,200,793	57,454	3,780.43
CONNECTICUT	226,642,739	86,864	2,609.17
DELAWARE	63,647,137	27,282	2,332.94
DIST. OF COL.	44,704,165	17,683	2,528.09
FLORIDA	982,706,031	403,430	2,435.88
GEORGIA	465,376,601	206,124	2,257.75
GUAM	8,708,844	7,249	1,201.39
HAWAII	80,829,473	29,943	2,699.44
IDAHO	110,889,809	49,374	2,245.92
ILLINOIS	511,215,349	208,184	2,455.59
INDIANA	442,638,880	154,998	2,855.77
IOWA	280,399,263	139,329	2,012.50
KANSAS	142,711,660	67,806	2,104.71
KENTUCKY	322,100,896	143,612	2,242.86
LOUISIANA	279,621,377	127,904	2,186.18
MAINE	99,549,245	40,713	2,445.15
MARYLAND	427,575,362	150,722	2,836.85
MASSACHUSETTS	439,874,829	115,298	3,815.11
MICHIGAN	1,414,387,902	427,275	3,310.25
MINNESOTA	567,377,338	157,965	3,591.79
MISSISSIPPI	182,008,930	109,612	1,660.48
MISSOURI	449,718,615	177,988	2,526.68
MONTANA	45,000,554	25,120	1,791.42
NEBRASKA	153,576,166	61,619	2,492.35
NEVADA	107,714,631	59,971	1,796.11
NEW HAMPSHIRE	79,608,466	26,675	2,984.38
NEW JERSEY	861,917,778	230,486	3,739.57
NEW MEXICO	66,398,704	26,510	2,504.67
NEW YORK	1,312,113,067	447,698	2,930.80
NORTH CAROLINA	527,372,864	260,576	2,023.87
NORTH DAKOTA	57,670,079	23,054	2,501.52
OHIO	1,636,418,913	518,342	3,157.03
OKLAHOMA	154,022,936	74,886	2,056.77
OREGON	298,280,030	115,625	2,579.72
PENNSYLVANIA	1,370,957,279	420,084	3,263.53
PUERTO RICO	240,504,748	104,595	2,299.39
RHODE ISLAND	54,654,636	20,756	2,633.20
SOUTH CAROLINA	235,648,240	100,705	2,339.99
SOUTH DAKOTA	55,767,437	24,016	2,322.10
TENNESSEE	382,290,366	159,386	2,398.52
TEXAS	1,502,575,692	574,454	2,615.66
UTAH	140,596,546	58,241	2,414.05
VERMONT	48,680,441	16,944	2,873.02
VIRGIN ISLANDS	8,483,034	3,989	2,126.61
VIRGINIA	495,051,082	209,241	2,365.94
WASHINGTON	591,198,936	240,644	2,456.74
WEST VIRGINIA	158,469,493	64,145	2,470.49
WISCONSIN	588,915,411	221,649	2,656.97
WYOMING	48,600,954	23,327	2,083.46

Source: Child Support Enforcement Program Quarterly Report of Collections (OCSE-34A) line 8, and Child Support Enforcement Annual Data Report (OCSE-157) line 18

Table 6:6 (FY 2005)

Amount of Collections per Case with a Collection for FY 2005

STATES	Total Distributed Collections	Cases with Collections	Collections Per Paying Case
TOTALS	$23,005,880,131	8,303,946	$2,770.48
ALABAMA	$237,315,365	120,312	$1,972.50
ALASKA	85,090,915	32,781	2,595.74
ARIZONA	266,572,458	101,993	2,613.63
ARKANSAS	155,100,806	76,554	2,026.03
CALIFORNIA	2,222,045,042	779,970	2,848.89
COLORADO	236,265,381	54,547	4,331.41
CONNECTICUT	235,391,292	88,811	2,650.47
DELAWARE	66,481,676	27,733	2,397.20
DIST. OF COL.	47,972,545	18,663	2,570.46
FLORIDA	1,076,686,438	427,985	2,515.71
GEORGIA	498,897,914	211,644	2,357.25
GUAM	8,881,209	7,757	1,144.93
HAWAII	83,583,548	22,074	3,786.52
IDAHO	115,542,878	50,865	2,271.56
ILLINOIS	561,787,781	217,642	2,581.25
INDIANA	481,249,569	164,287	2,929.32
IOWA	289,928,099	140,383	2,065.27
KANSAS	152,580,972	70,122	2,175.94
KENTUCKY	336,566,029	149,085	2,257.54
LOUISIANA	289,310,689	131,115	2,206.54
MAINE	100,777,100	40,464	2,490.54
MARYLAND	453,401,914	153,095	2,961.57
MASSACHUSETTS	466,045,087	116,472	4,001.35
MICHIGAN	1,381,521,685	422,992	3,266.07
MINNESOTA	568,967,573	159,027	3,577.80
MISSISSIPPI	195,329,225	114,415	1,707.20
MISSOURI	467,499,224	182,386	2,563.24
MONTANA	46,807,100	25,725	1,819.52
NEBRASKA	159,216,677	63,889	2,492.08
NEVADA	115,523,605	47,794	2,417.12
NEW HAMPSHIRE	80,794,583	26,309	3,070.99
NEW JERSEY	915,475,680	231,815	3,949.16
NEW MEXICO	68,447,915	27,651	2,475.42
NEW YORK	1,400,128,858	456,550	3,066.76
NORTH CAROLINA	565,129,209	268,822	2,102.24
NORTH DAKOTA	62,992,073	23,908	2,634.77
OHIO	1,657,504,507	531,836	3,116.57
OKLAHOMA	177,478,235	82,878	2,141.44
OREGON	303,780,537	114,136	2,661.57
PENNSYLVANIA	1,413,912,650	422,250	3,348.52
PUERTO RICO	258,358,843	108,686	2,377.11
RHODE ISLAND	55,363,526	20,652	2,680.78
SOUTH CAROLINA	236,177,853	100,433	2,351.60
SOUTH DAKOTA	58,450,299	24,688	2,367.56
TENNESSEE	414,917,582	167,501	2,477.11
TEXAS	1,781,323,156	619,624	2,874.85
UTAH	148,672,334	59,239	2,509.70
VERMONT	44,520,139	16,733	2,660.62
VIRGIN ISLANDS	8,487,012	3,973	2,136.17
VIRGINIA	518,975,573	214,021	2,424.88
WASHINGTON	609,073,256	246,238	2,473.51
WEST VIRGINIA	171,129,801	66,921	2,557.19
WISCONSIN	601,203,390	224,636	2,676.34
WYOMING	51,243,324	23,864	2,147.31

Source: Child Support Enforcement Program Quarterly Report of Collections (OCSE-34A) line 8, and Child Support Enforcement Annual Data Report (OCSE-157) line 18

Table 6:6 (FY 2006)

Amount of Collections per Case with a Collection for FY 2006

STATES	Total Distributed Collections	Cases with Collections	Collections Per Paying Case
TOTALS	$23,933,384,257	8,530,648	$2,805.58
ALABAMA	$246,440,868	121,588	$2,026.85
ALASKA	86,408,926	32,728	2,640.21
ARIZONA	283,504,310	104,458	2,714.05
ARKANSAS	166,999,427	78,223	2,134.91
CALIFORNIA	2,187,632,783	769,372	2,843.40
COLORADO	251,838,691	104,098	2,419.25
CONNECTICUT	238,378,851	91,471	2,606.06
DELAWARE	69,753,316	27,837	2,505.78
DIST. OF COL.	48,433,723	18,071	2,680.19
FLORIDA	1,130,847,009	430,865	2,624.60
GEORGIA	525,393,042	224,633	2,338.90
GUAM	8,965,653	8,198	1,093.64
HAWAII	87,502,455	27,619	3,168.20
IDAHO	121,483,643	52,706	2,304.93
ILLINOIS	621,004,002	228,804	2,714.13
INDIANA	507,821,721	170,247	2,982.85
IOWA	298,238,365	142,974	2,085.96
KANSAS	157,720,315	71,511	2,205.54
KENTUCKY	356,470,107	156,120	2,283.31
LOUISIANA	292,527,410	128,127	2,283.11
MAINE	101,111,420	39,909	2,533.55
MARYLAND	461,979,714	152,646	3,026.48
MASSACHUSETTS	482,694,886	118,844	4,061.58
MICHIGAN	1,399,561,029	429,883	3,255.68
MINNESOTA	584,188,523	160,413	3,641.78
MISSISSIPPI	206,634,659	118,216	1,747.94
MISSOURI	489,006,349	197,617	2,474.52
MONTANA	49,925,528	26,643	1,873.87
NEBRASKA	165,087,441	64,733	2,550.28
NEVADA	126,945,166	50,441	2,516.71
NEW HAMPSHIRE	82,334,492	26,291	3,131.66
NEW JERSEY	962,286,549	234,043	4,111.58
NEW MEXICO	74,411,789	29,381	2,532.65
NEW YORK	1,457,168,830	460,127	3,166.88
NORTH CAROLINA	591,558,146	271,333	2,180.19
NORTH DAKOTA	68,450,313	24,437	2,801.09
OHIO	1,694,575,743	542,352	3,124.49
OKLAHOMA	204,527,099	90,883	2,250.44
OREGON	314,467,562	115,341	2,726.42
PENNSYLVANIA	1,441,881,350	421,185	3,423.39
PUERTO RICO	267,815,777	112,232	2,386.27
RHODE ISLAND	55,199,480	20,991	2,629.67
SOUTH CAROLINA	243,280,928	100,225	2,427.35
SOUTH DAKOTA	61,483,282	25,566	2,404.88
TENNESSEE	442,106,732	178,090	2,482.49
TEXAS	2,002,840,203	657,908	3,044.26
UTAH	157,604,724	60,744	2,594.57
VERMONT	46,077,875	16,419	2,806.38
VIRGIN ISLANDS	8,623,836	3,904	2,208.97
VIRGINIA	539,893,786	216,883	2,489.33
WASHINGTON	626,886,724	256,026	2,448.53
WEST VIRGINIA	174,791,834	68,423	2,554.58
WISCONSIN	607,234,700	224,884	2,700.21
WYOMING	53,383,171	23,985	2,225.69

Source: Child Support Enforcement Program Quarterly Report of Collections (OCSE-34A) line 8, and Child Support Enforcement Annual Data Report (OCSE-157) line 18

Table 7:1
Healthy Marriage Grantees by Type of Activity

	Healthy Marriage Allowable Activity Areas							
	Public Advertising Campaigns	Education in High Schools on the Value of Marriage, Relationship Skills and Budgeting	Marriage Education, Marriage Skills, and Relationship Skills Programs for Non-Married Pregnant Women and Non-married Expectant fathers	Pre-marital Education and Marriage Skills Training	Marriage Enhancement and Marriage Skills Training Programs for Married Couples	Divorce Reduction Programs That Teach Relationship Skills	Marriage Mentoring Programs which use Married Couples as Role Models and Mentors in At-Risk Communities	Programs to Reduce the Disincentives to Marriage in Means-Tested Aid Programs
Priority Area 1*								
Auburn University	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓			
California Healthy Marriages Coalition	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	
Elizabeth's New Life Center, Inc.	✓		✓	✓	✓		✓	
Family Guidance, Inc.	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	
Longview Wellness Center, Inc.	✓	✓	✓	✓		✓	✓	
Meier Clinics Foundation	✓	✓		✓	✓		✓	
Priority Area 2*								
Abstinence for Singles	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓		✓	
Alliance for North Texas Healthy Effective Marriages (ANTHEM)	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓			
Better Family Life, Inc.	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	
Center for Self-Sufficiency Inc.	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓		✓	✓
Family Resources, Inc.	✓		✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	
First Things First, Inc.	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓		
Forest Institute of Professional Psychology	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓		
Northwest Family Services	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	
PAIRS Foundation, Ltd.	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓		✓	
St. Louis Healthy Marriage Coalition	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓		✓	
Texas Health and Human Services Commission	✓		✓	✓	✓			✓
The East Los Angeles Community Union (TELACU)	✓	✓		✓	✓		✓	
United Way of Jackson County	✓	✓		✓	✓	✓	✓	
WAIT Training	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	
Weld County	✓		✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	
Priority Area 3*								
Active Relationships Center	✓	✓		✓	✓		✓	
Aish HaTorah of Washington, DC Inc.	✓			✓	✓	✓	✓	
Beech Acres Parenting Center		✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	
Best Choice Educational Services, Inc.	✓	✓	✓		✓	✓	✓	
Cecil County Government	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	
Family Services of Greater Houston	✓	✓		✓	✓		✓	
Friendship West Baptist Church	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	
Foundation for a Great Marriage	✓	✓		✓	✓	✓		
High Country Counseling, LLC (dba) Faith Initiatives of Wyoming	✓	✓		✓	✓		✓	
Live the Life Ministries, Inc.	✓			✓	✓	✓	✓	
Marriage Savers of Clark County, Inc	✓	✓	✓		✓	✓	✓	
Northern Hills Pregnancy Care Center		✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	
Personal Involvement Center, Inc.	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓		✓	
The Hive Creative Group, Inc.	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	
Teen-Aid Inc.	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓		✓	
Priority Area 4*								
AVANCE-Corpus Christi Chapter					✓			
Catholic Charities, Inc.					✓			
Child Inc.					✓			
Cornerstone of Hope Church, Inc					✓			
El Paso Center for Children Inc.					✓			
Fountain of Life International Ministries, Inc.					✓			
Granato Counseling Services, Inc.					✓			
Nueva Esperanza, Inc.					✓			
University Behavioral Associates					✓			

(continued…)

Table 7:1
(continued)
Healthy Marriage Grantees by Type of Activity

	Healthy Marriage Allowable Activity Areas							
	Public Advertising Campaigns	Education in High Schools on the Value of Marriage, Relationship Skills and Budgeting	Marriage Education, Marriage Skills, and Relationship Skills Programs for Non-Married Pregnant Women and Non-married Expectant fathers	Pre-marital Education and Marriage Skills Training	Marriage Enhancement and Marriage Skills Training Programs for Married Couples	Divorce Reduction Programs That Teach Relationship Skills	Marriage Mentoring Programs which use Married Couples as Role Models and Mentors in At-Risk Communities	Programs to Reduce the Disincentives to Marriage in Means-Tested Aid Programs
Priority Area 5*								
AVANCE, Inc. (Austin)					✓			
AVANCE, Inc. (Houston)					✓			
Children's Aid Society in Clearfield County					✓			
Community Services for Children, Inc.					✓			
COPES, Inc.					✓			
Creciendos Unidos/Growing Together					✓			
Family Services, Inc.					✓			
Laugh Your Way America, LLC					✓			
National Association of Marriage Enhancement					✓			
Northwest Marriage Institute					✓			
SGA Youth & Family Services					✓			
Sioux City Indian Education Committee, Inc.					✓			
University of Central Florida					✓			
Priority Area 6*								
Child and Family Resource Council			✓					
Public Strategies, Incorporated			✓					
Youth Development Inc.			✓					
Priority Area 7*								
Bethany Christian Services Inc.			✓					
Bethany Christian Services of Va.			✓					
Catholic Charities of Orange County, Inc.			✓					
Center for Children of Incarcerated Parents			✓					
Cuyahoga County Board of County Commissioners			✓					
Fathers and Family Resource/Research Center, Inc.			✓					
Healthy Families Counseling & Support			✓					
Healthy Family Initiatives			✓					
Imperial Valley Regional Occupational Program			✓					
Jewish Family & Children's Service of Sarasota-Manatee, Inc.			✓					
Parents Plus, Inc.			✓					
Pittsburg Pre-School and Community Council, Inc.			✓					
Project SOS Inc.			✓					
Sacramento Healthy Marriage Project			✓					
SGA Youth & Family Services			✓					
The Curators of the University of Missouri			✓					
The University of North Carolina at Chapel Hill			✓					
The Research Foundation of SUNY at Stony Brook			✓					
The Salvation Army, A New York Corporation			✓					
Trinity Health Michigan			✓					
Priority Area 8*								
American Samoa Government				✓	✓			
Arizona Youth Partnership				✓	✓			
Best Friends Foundation		✓					✓	
Boat People SOS, Inc.	✓							
Booneville School District	✓	✓						
Cambodian Association of America	✓				✓			
Character Counts In Maine	✓	✓						
CJH Educational Grant Services, Inc.	✓	✓						
Colorado State University			✓		✓			

(continued…)

Table 7:1
(continued)
Healthy Marriage Grantees by Type of Activity

	Healthy Marriage Allowable Activity Areas							
	Public Advertising Campaigns	Education in High Schools on the Value of Marriage, Relationship Skills and Budgeting	Marriage Education, Marriage Skills, and Relationship Skills Programs for Non-Married Pregnant Women and Non-married Expectant fathers	Pre-marital Education and Marriage Skills Training	Marriage Enhancement and Marriage Skills Training Programs for Married Couples	Divorce Reduction Programs That Teach Relationship Skills	Marriage Mentoring Programs which use Married Couples as Role Models and Mentors in At-Risk Communities	Programs to Reduce the Disincentives to Marriage in Means-Tested Aid Programs
Community Marriage Builders, Inc.				✓	✓			
East Carolina University				✓	✓			
First Nations Community Health Sources				✓	✓			
Florida State University				✓				
Foundation for a Great Marriage	✓				✓			
Future Foundation		✓						
Georgia State University Research Foundation	✓			✓				
Governor's Office of Faith-based and Community Initiatives						✓		
Iowa Family Policy Center				✓			✓	
John Brown University				✓	✓			
Kentucky River Foothills Development Council, Inc.						✓		
Madison County Community Health Centers, Inc.				✓	✓			
Model Cities-El Paso				✓	✓			
Morehouse College				✓	✓			
National Multiple Sclerosis Society				✓	✓			
Northwood-Appold United Methodist Church					✓			
OIC of America		✓	✓					
Oklahoma Department of Human Services					✓			
Operation Keepsake, Inc.	✓	✓						
P.E.A.C.E., Inc.								
Peer Assistance Services, Inc.			✓	✓				
Pregnancy Support Center		✓						
ReCapturing the Vision International, Inc.		✓	✓					
Rockdale Medical Center		✓						
Samaritan Counseling Center				✓	✓			
Samaritan Counseling Centers				✓	✓			
Shalom Task Force		✓		✓				
Trinity Church, Inc.	✓	✓						
Therapy Help Inc.			✓					
The Regents of New Mexico State University				✓	✓			
Texas State University - San Marcos		✓	✓					
The Dibble Fund for Marriage Education								
University of Louisville Research Foundation Inc.						✓		
Utah State University				✓	✓			
Young Women's Christian Association of San Antonio, Texas		✓		✓				

*Priority Area Summary:
1. Community Healthy Marriage Grants to Implement Multiple Allowable Activities, Level 1: Grants awarded to implement five or more of the eight allowable activities simultaneously to a broad audience. Funding was awarded between $1,500,000 and $5,000,000.
2. Community Healthy Marriage Grants to Implement Multiple Allowable Activities, Level 2: Grants awarded to implement five or more of the eight allowable activities simultaneously to a broad audience. Funding was awarded between $900,000 and $1,100,000.
3. Community Healthy Marriage Grants to Implement Multiple Allowable Activities, Level 3: Grants awarded to implement five or more of the eight allowable activities simultaneously to a broad audience. Funding was awarded between $450,000 and $550,000 annually to 15 organizations.
4. Healthy Marriage Grants to Serve Low-Income Married Couples, Level 1: Grants awarded to implement one allowable activity to low-income married couples (Allowable Activity #5). Funding was awarded between $450,000 and $550,000.
5. Healthy Marriage Grants to Serve Low-Income Married Couples, Level 2: Grants awarded to implement one allowable activity to low-income married couples (Allowable Activity #5). Funding was awarded between $225,000 and $275,000.
6. Healthy Marriage Grants to Serve Low-Income Unwed Expectant or New Parents, Level 1: Grants awarded to implement one allowable activity to low-income, unwed, expectant, or new parents (Allowable Activity #3). Funding was awarded between $900,000 and $1,100,000.
7. Healthy Marriage Grants to Serve Low-Income Unwed Expectant or New Parents, Level 2: Grants awarded to implement one allowable activity to low-income, unwed, expectant, or new parents (Allowable Activity #3). Funding was awarded between $450,000 and $550,000.
8. Healthy Marriage Grants to Implement any Allowable Activity: Grants awarded to implement one or two of the eight allowable activities, each to particular primary audience. Funding was awarded between $450,000 and $550,000.

Table 7:2
Promoting Responsible Fatherhood Grantees by Type of Activity

	Healthy Marriage Activities								Economic Stability Activities				Responsible Parenting Activities				
	Skills-Based Marriage Education	Marriage Preparation Programs	Marital Inventories	Premarital Counseling	Relationship Skill Education with a Focus on How Good Relationship Skills Help to Prepare Men and Women for Marriage	Counseling, Mentoring and Information Dissemination	Education Regarding How to Control Aggressive Behavior	Divorce Education and Reduction Programs	Helping Fathers Improve Their Economic Status by Providing Activities Such as Work First Services, Job Search, Job Training, Subsidized Employment, Etc.	Coordinating with Existing Employment Services, Such as Welfare-to-Work Programs	Disseminating Employment Materials	Offering Financial Planning Seminars, Including Those That Improve a Family's Ability to Manage Business Affairs	Skill-Based Parenting Education	Disseminating Information about Good Parenting Practices	Counseling, Mentoring, and Mediation	Disseminating Information on the Causes of Domestic Violence and Child Abuse	Encouraging Child Support Payments
Priority Area 1*																	
Department of Human Resources (MD)	✓				✓		✓		✓			✓	✓			✓	
Education Service Center - Region 19	✓								✓				✓				
Healthy Start, Inc.	✓			✓					✓				✓	✓	✓		
Puerto Rico Family Institute Inc.	✓	✓			✓	✓											
State of Connecticut-Dept of Social Services	✓				✓				✓				✓	✓		✓	
Priority Area 2*																	
Catholic Charities of Idaho, Inc.									✓				✓		✓		
Child & Family Resources, Inc.									✓			✓	✓				✓
Children's Institute, Inc.	✓				✓				✓				✓	✓		✓	
City of Chicago									✓			✓	✓				✓
Community and Economic Development Association of Cook County Inc	✓			✓	✓	✓			✓								
Confederated Salish and Kootenai Tribes									✓			✓	✓				
Family Services of Westchester Inc													✓			✓	
Goodwill Industries, Inc.									✓	✓			✓				✓
Kanawha Institute for Social Research & Action Inc.(KISRA)									✓			✓	✓				
Metro United Methodist Urban Ministry									✓	✓			✓	✓		✓	
Rosalie Manor Community and Family Services Inc									✓				✓	✓	✓		
Southern University and A&M College					✓				✓				✓			✓	✓
Shelby County Government	✓						✓		✓	✓			✓	✓			✓
The Family Health and Education Institute Inc					✓				✓				✓				
The South Carolina Center for Fathers and Families	✓	✓			✓				✓	✓		✓					
Priority Area 3*																	
Archuleta County Department of Human Services									✓	✓	✓	✓					
AVANCE, Inc.-El Paso Chapter	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓									
Baraga Houghton Keweenaw Child Development Board Inc													✓	✓	✓	✓	
Bill Wilson Center									✓	✓	✓	✓					
Boat People SOS Inc									✓	✓	✓	✓					
Catholic Healthcare													✓	✓	✓	✓	
Child Abuse Council, Inc.													✓	✓	✓	✓	✓

(continued…)

Table 7:2
(continued)
Promoting Responsible Fatherhood Grantees by Type of Activity

	Healthy Marriage Activities								Economic Stability Activities				Responsible Parenting Activities				
	Skills-Based Marriage Education	Marriage Preparation Programs	Marital Inventories	Premarital Counseling	Relationship Skill Education with a Focus on How Good Relationship Skills Help to Prepare Men and Women for Marriage	Counseling, Mentoring and Information Dissemination	Education Regarding How to Control Aggressive Behavior	Divorce Education and Reduction Programs	Helping Fathers Improve Their Economic Status by Providing Activities Such as Work First Services, Job Search, Job Training, Subsidized Employment, Etc.	Coordinating with Existing Employment Services, Such as Welfare-to-Work Programs	Disseminating Employment Materials	Offering Financial Planning Seminars, Including Those That Improve a Family's Ability to Manage Business Affairs	Skill-Based Parenting Education	Disseminating Information about Good Parenting Practices	Counseling, Mentoring, and Mediation	Disseminating Information on the Causes of Domestic Violence and Child Abuse	Encouraging Child Support Payments
Child Development Resources													✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
Child Find of America Inc													✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
Children's Friend and Service													✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
Choanoke Area Development Association of North Carolina, Inc.													✓	✓	✓	✓	
Community Action Agency	✓				✓												
Comprehensive Youth Services													✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
Denver Indian Family Resource Center													✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
Employment Opportunity and Training Center					✓				✓						✓		
enFAMILIA Inc													✓	✓	✓	✓	
Exchange Club Center for the Prevention of Child Abuse													✓	✓	✓	✓	
Family & Children's Services, Inc.	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓									
First A.M.E. Child and Family Center													✓	✓	✓	✓	
Georgia Department of Human Resources													✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
Goodwill Industries of Central Texas, Inc.									✓	✓	✓	✓					
Goodwill Industries of Pittsburgh									✓	✓	✓	✓					
Identity, Inc.													✓	✓	✓	✓	
Indiana Department of Correction													✓	✓	✓	✓	
Latin American Youth Center									✓				✓		✓	✓	
Love in the Name of Christ Fairbanks									✓	✓	✓	✓					
Montrose County Health & Human services													✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
National Organization of Concerned Black Men, Incorporated													✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
New York Youth at Risk, Inc.													✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
Oakland Family Services													✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
Parents as Teachers National Center, Inc.													✓	✓	✓	✓	
Private Industry Council of Westmoreland/Fayette, Inc.													✓		✓		
Professional Counseling Resources, Incorporated	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓									
Read To Me International Foundation													✓	✓	✓	✓	
Relationship Research Foundation, Inc	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓									
Resource Inc									✓	✓	✓	✓					
Resources for Children's Health													✓	✓	✓	✓	

(continued…)

Table 7:2
(continued)
Promoting Responsible Fatherhood Grantees by Type of Activity

	Healthy Marriage Activities								Economic Stability Activities				Responsible Parenting Activities				
	Skills-Based Marriage Education	Marriage Preparation Programs	Marital Inventories	Premarital Counseling	Relationship Skill Education with a Focus on How Good Relationship Skills Help to Prepare Men and Women for Marriage	Counseling, Mentoring and Information Dissemination	Education Regarding How to Control Aggressive Behavior	Divorce Education and Reduction Programs	Helping Fathers Improve Their Economic Status by Providing Activities Such as Work First Services, Job Search, Job Training, Subsidized Employment, Etc.	Coordinating with Existing Employment Services, Such as Welfare-to-Work Programs	Disseminating Employment Materials	Offering Financial Planning Seminars, Including Those That Improve a Family's Ability to Manage Business Affairs	Skill-Based Parenting Education	Disseminating Information about Good Parenting Practices	Counseling, Mentoring, and Mediation	Disseminating Information on the Causes of Domestic Violence and Child Abuse	Encouraging Child Support Payments
St. Mary Community Action Agency, Inc.													✓	✓	✓	✓	
The Osborne Association													✓	✓	✓	✓	
The Fortune Society									✓	✓	✓	✓					
The Perinatal Council													✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
The Regents of New Mexico State University													✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
The Village for Families and Children, Incorporated													✓		✓		
Upper Des Moines Opportunity, Inc.									✓				✓	✓	✓	✓	
University of Arkansas for Medical Sciences													✓	✓	✓	✓	
Urban Ventures Leadership Foundation													✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
Visiting Nurse Association of Chittenden & Grand Isle Counties													✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
Vista Community Clinic													✓	✓	✓	✓	
Wayne-Metropolitan Community Action Agency									✓			✓					
Women's Opportunity and Resource Development, Inc.									✓	✓	✓	✓					
WSOS Community Action Commission Inc.									✓	✓	✓	✓					
York County Community Action Corporation													✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
YouthLaunch, Inc.													✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
Priority Area 4*																	
Cook Inlet Tribal Council, Inc.													✓	✓	✓	✓	
COPES, Inc.	✓				✓	✓	✓										
Detroit Workforce Development Dept., a Michigan Works! Agency									✓								
Healthy Families/Thriving Communities Collaborative Council													✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
Lighthouse Youth Services, Inc.									✓								
South Coast Business Employment Corporation									✓	✓	✓	✓					
Southwest Key Program Inc.													✓	✓	✓	✓	
Priority Area 5*																	
Cangleska, Inc.	✓				✓	✓	✓		✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
Centerforce	✓				✓	✓	✓						✓	✓	✓	✓	
Child & Family Services of NH	✓				✓	✓							✓	✓	✓	✓	
Council of Orange County Society of St. Vincent de Paul	✓				✓	✓	✓		✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	
Department of Human Resources	✓				✓	✓	✓						✓	✓	✓	✓	✓

(continued…)

Table 7:2
(continued)
Promoting Responsible Fatherhood Grantees by Type of Activity

	Healthy Marriage Activities								Economic Stability Activities				Responsible Parenting Activities				
	Skills-Based Marriage Education	Marriage Preparation Programs	Marital Inventories	Premarital Counseling	Relationship Skill Education with a Focus on How Good Relationship Skills Help to Prepare Men and Women for Marriage	Counseling, Mentoring and Information Dissemination	Education Regarding How to Control Aggressive Behavior	Divorce Education and Reduction Programs	Helping Fathers Improve Their Economic Status by Providing Activities Such as Work First Services, Job Search, Job Training, Subsidized Employment, Etc.	Coordinating with Existing Employment Services, Such as Welfare-to-Work Programs	Disseminating Employment Materials	Offering Financial Planning Seminars, Including Those That Improve a Family's Ability to Manage Business Affairs	Skill-Based Parenting Education	Disseminating Information about Good Parenting Practices	Counseling, Mentoring, and Mediation	Disseminating Information on the Causes of Domestic Violence and Child Abuse	Encouraging Child Support Payments
Indiana Department of Correction	✓				✓	✓	✓						✓	✓	✓	✓	
Lutheran Social Services of South Dakota	✓				✓	✓	✓						✓	✓	✓	✓	
Minnesota Council on Crime and Justice	✓				✓	✓	✓		✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	
New Jersey Department of Corrections	✓				✓	✓	✓						✓	✓	✓	✓	
Oakland Livingston Human Services Agency (OLHSA)	✓				✓	✓	✓						✓	✓	✓	✓	
Shelby County Government	✓				✓	✓	✓						✓	✓	✓	✓	
Texas Arms of Love (People of Principle)	✓				✓	✓	✓										
The Osborne Association	✓				✓	✓	✓						✓	✓	✓	✓	
The RIDGE Project	✓				✓	✓	✓						✓	✓	✓	✓	

*Priority Area Summary:
1. Responsible Fatherhood Multiple Activity Grants, Level 1: Grants awarded to implement two or more of the three authorized activity areas as listed above. The maximum funding level was up to $1,000,000.
2. Responsible Fatherhood Multiple Activity Grants, Level 2: Grants awarded to implement two or more of the three authorized activity areas as listed above. The maximum funding level was up to $500,000.
3. Responsible Fatherhood Single Activity Grants, Level 1: Grants awarded to implement any one of the three authorized activity areas. The maximum funding level was up to $250,000.
4. Responsible Fatherhood Single Activity Grants, Level 2: grants awarded to implement any one of the three authorized activity areas. The maximum funding level was up to $500,000.
5. Responsible Fatherhood, Marriage and Family Strengthening Grants for Incarcerated Fathers and their Partners : grants awarded to implement any of the three authorized activity areas. The maximum funding level was up to $400,000.

Table 8:1 (FY 2004)

Number of Total and Out-of-Wedlock Births in TANF Families for Fiscal Year 2004;
Ratio of Out-of-Wedlock to Total Births for Fiscal Years 2003 and 2004,
and Change in Net Ratios from Fiscal Year 2003 to Fiscal Year 2004, with State Ranks from Low to High[1]

State	Fiscal Year 2004				Fiscal Year 2003		Change from FY 2003 to FY 2004	
	Total Births in TANF Families	Out-of-Wedlock Births among TANF Families	Ratio of Out-of-Wedlock to Total Births	State Rank (High to Low)	Ratio of Out-of-Wedlock to Total Births	State Rank (High to Low)	Net Change in Ratio	State Rank Low to High)
U.S. Totals	202,856	156,497	77.1%		77.5%		-0.3%	
Alabama	504	411	81.5%	27	81.3%	24	0.3%	27
Alaska	412	344	83.5%	22	85.5%	13	-2.0%	47
Arizona	239	185	77.4%	36	60.1%	44	17.3%	3
Arkansas	392	25	6.4%	49	11.2%	48	-4.8%	52
California[2]	41,789	33,815	80.9%	28	82.6%	21	-1.7%	46
Colorado	2,239	1,530	68.3%	42	69.1%	39	-0.8%	44
Connecticut[2]	2,967	2,602	87.7%	7	87.6%	7	0.1%	29
Delaware[2]	406	332	81.8%	26	80.6%	25	1.2%	19
Dist. of Col.	91	78	85.7%	12	66.7%	42	19.0%	2
Florida[2]	2,157	1,816	84.2%	18	84.2%	17	0.0%	34
Georgia[2]	2,973	2,527	85.0%	15	84.4%	16	0.6%	25
Guam	0	0				50	0.0%	35
Hawaii[2]	916	755	82.4%	23	82.4%	22	0.0%	33
Idaho	83	71	85.5%	13	73.5%	33	12.0%	5
Illinois[2]	8,913	7,006	78.6%	34	77.2%	28	1.4%	18
Indiana[2]	11,114	9,313	83.8%	20	83.8%	18	-0.1%	40
Iowa[2]	1,446	1,167	80.7%	29	75.4%	30	5.3%	9
Kansas	4,049	3,187	78.7%	33	76.5%	29	2.2%	12
Kentucky	3,191	2,428	76.1%	38	74.4%	32	1.7%	16
Louisiana	3,125	2,772	88.7%	5	88.5%	6	0.2%	28
Maine[2]	1,332	144	10.8%	48	14.5%	47	-3.6%	50
Maryland[2]	2,877	2,355	81.9%	25	79.9%	26	1.9%	14
Massachusetts	6,142	5,341	87.0%	8	87.5%	8	-0.6%	43
Michigan	13,852	11,965	86.4%	11	86.3%	10	0.1%	31
Minnesota[2]	4,194	3,545	84.5%	17	84.4%	15	0.1%	30
Mississippi[2]	5,391	4,569	84.8%	16	83.6%	19	1.1%	20
Missouri[2]	3,351	2,649	79.1%	32	71.3%	35	7.7%	7
Montana	105	57	54.3%	45	45.8%	45	8.5%	6
Nebraska[2]	1,708	1,376	80.6%	30	84.4%	14	-3.9%	51
Nevada[2]	945	879	93.0%	3	90.6%	3	2.4%	11
New Hampshire	172	141	82.0%	24	81.3%	23	0.7%	23
New Jersey[2]	754	634	84.1%	19	83.2%	20	0.8%	21
New Mexico	1,625	0	0.0%	51	0.0%	50	0.0%	35
New York	14,492	8,516	58.8%	44	67.6%	41	-8.8%	53
North Carolina	1,505	494	32.8%	47	32.8%	46	0.1%	32
North Dakota[2]	480	383	79.8%	31	0.0%	50	79.8%	1
Ohio	4,500	3,507	77.9%	35	77.6%	27	0.3%	26
Oklahoma	2,227	1,711	76.8%	37	69.6%	37	7.2%	8
Oregon	1,865	1,561	83.7%	21	69.4%	38	14.3%	4
Pennsylvania	26,728	19,762	73.9%	39	75.3%	31	-1.4%	45
Puerto Rico[2]	266	0	0.0%	51	0.0%	50	0.0%	35
Rhode Island	1,035	913	88.2%	6	86.6%	9	1.6%	17
South Carolina	194	168	86.6%	10	85.8%	11	0.8%	22
South Dakota[2]	268	246	91.8%	4	89.1%	4	2.7%	10
Tennessee[2]	9,825	8,389	85.4%	14	85.7%	12	-0.3%	42
Texas[2]	979	970	99.1%	2	99.2%	2	-0.1%	41
Utah[2]	1,581	1,011	63.9%	43	63.3%	43	0.6%	24
Vermont	394	342	86.8%	9	88.9%	5	-2.1%	49
Virgin Islands[2]	7	7	100.0%	1	100.0%	1	0.0%	35
Virginia[2]	518	12	2.3%	50	4.4%	49	-2.1%	48
Washington	4,981	3,535	71.0%	41	68.9%	40	2.1%	13
West Virginia[2]	488	185	37.9%	46	72.9%	34	-35.0%	54
Wisconsin	1,055	766	72.6%	40	70.8%	36	1.8%	15
Wyoming	14	0	0.0%	51	0.0%	50	0.0%	35

[1]Data may be taken from samples for some States.

[2]These States did not serve two-parent families in their TANF programs during FY 2004.

Source: TANF Data Report.

Table 8:1 (FY 2005)

Number of Total and Out-of-Wedlock Births in TANF Families for Fiscal Year 2005;
Ratio of Out-of-Wedlock to Total Births for Fiscal Years 2004 and 2005,
and Change in Net Ratios from Fiscal Year 2004 to Fiscal Year 2005, with State Ranks from Low to High[1]

State	Fiscal Year 2005				Fiscal Year 2004		Change from FY 2004 to FY 2005	
	Total Births in TANF Families	Out-of-Wedlock Births among TANF Families	Ratio of Out-of-Wedlock to Total Births	State Rank (High to Low)	Ratio of Out-of-Wedlock to Total Births	State Rank (High to Low)	Net Change in Ratio	State Rank Low to High)
U.S. Totals	196,359	153,955	78.4%		77.1%		1.3%	
Alabama	628	511	81.4%	27	81.5%	27	-0.2%	30
Alaska	401	334	83.3%	21	83.5%	22	-0.2%	31
Arizona	189	130	68.8%	42	77.4%	36	-8.6%	52
Arkansas	0	0		50	6.4%	49	-6.4%	51
California[2]	39,126	34,937	89.3%	7	80.9%	28	8.4%	2
Colorado	558	202	36.2%	46	68.3%	42	-32.1%	54
Connecticut[2]	2,621	2,395	91.4%	4	87.7%	7	3.7%	3
Delaware[2]	423	327	77.3%	33	81.8%	26	-4.5%	49
Dist. of Col.	54	48	88.9%	8	85.7%	12	3.2%	4
Florida[2]	2,007	1,690	84.2%	17	84.2%	18	0.0%	23
Georgia[2]	2,026	1,739	85.8%	14	85.0%	15	0.8%	13
Guam	0	0				51	0.0%	24
Hawaii[2]	792	655	82.7%	23	82.4%	23	0.3%	18
Idaho	62	51	82.3%	24	85.5%	13	-3.3%	48
Illinois[2]	9,972	7,867	78.9%	32	78.6%	34	0.3%	17
Indiana[2]	11,040	9,369	84.9%	16	83.8%	20	1.1%	9
Iowa[2]	1,305	1,037	79.5%	30	80.7%	29	-1.2%	43
Kansas	4,361	3,470	79.6%	29	78.7%	33	0.9%	11
Kentucky	3,111	2,347	75.4%	35	76.1%	38	-0.6%	39
Louisiana	2,814	2,514	89.3%	6	88.7%	5	0.6%	14
Maine[2]	1,330	136	10.2%	48	10.8%	48	-0.6%	36
Maryland[2]	2,670	2,191	82.1%	25	81.9%	25	0.2%	19
Massachusetts	6,327	5,478	86.6%	12	87.0%	8	-0.4%	34
Michigan	14,100	11,856	84.1%	19	86.4%	11	-2.3%	45
Minnesota[2]	3,455	2,940	85.1%	15	84.5%	17	0.6%	15
Mississippi[2]	4,711	3,936	83.5%	20	84.8%	16	-1.2%	42
Missouri[2]	3,712	3,039	81.9%	26	79.1%	32	2.8%	6
Montana	68	35	51.5%	45	54.3%	45	-2.8%	47
Nebraska[2]	1,573	1,131	71.9%	39	80.6%	30	-8.7%	53
Nevada[2]	430	380	88.4%	9	93.0%	3	-4.6%	50
New Hampshire	150	119	79.3%	31	82.0%	24	-2.6%	46
New Jersey[2]	762	641	84.1%	18	84.1%	19	0.0%	20
New Mexico	2,033	0	0.0%	50	0.0%	51	0.0%	24
New York	14,233	8,196	57.6%	44	58.8%	44	-1.2%	41
North Carolina	1,447	474	32.8%	47	32.8%	47	-0.1%	29
North Dakota[2]	348	282	81.0%	28	79.8%	31	1.2%	8
Ohio	4,364	3,373	77.3%	34	77.9%	35	-0.6%	37
Oklahoma	1,536	1,151	74.9%	36	76.8%	37	-1.9%	44
Oregon	1,871	1,554	83.1%	22	83.7%	21	-0.6%	38
Pennsylvania	28,610	21,162	74.0%	37	73.9%	39	0.0%	21
Puerto Rico[2]	248	0	0.0%	50	0.0%	51	0.0%	24
Rhode Island	849	745	87.8%	11	88.2%	6	-0.5%	35
South Carolina	274	241	88.0%	10	86.6%	10	1.4%	7
South Dakota[2]	247	226	91.5%	3	91.8%	4	-0.3%	33
Tennessee[2]	10,385	8,963	86.3%	13	85.4%	14	0.9%	10
Texas[2]	743	734	98.8%	2	99.1%	2	-0.3%	32
Utah[2]	1,560	998	64.0%	43	63.9%	43	0.0%	22
Vermont	359	323	90.0%	5	86.8%	9	3.2%	5
Virgin Islands[2]	5	5	100.0%	1	100.0%	1	0.0%	24
Virginia[2]	886	12	1.4%	49	2.3%	50	-1.0%	40
Washington	4,176	2,999	71.8%	40	71.0%	41	0.8%	12
West Virginia[2]	406	290	71.4%	41	37.9%	46	33.5%	1
Wisconsin	990	722	72.9%	38	72.6%	40	0.3%	16
Wyoming	11	0	0.0%	50	0.0%	51	0.0%	24

[1]Data may be taken from samples for some States.

[2]These States did not serve two-parent families in their TANF programs during FY 2005.

Source: TANF Data Report.

Table 8:1 (FY 2006)

Number of Total and Out-of-Wedlock Births in TANF Families for Fiscal Year 2006;
Ratio of Out-of-Wedlock to Total Births for Fiscal Years 2005 and 2006,
and Change in Net Ratios from Fiscal Year 2005 to Fiscal Year 2006, with State Ranks from Low to High[1]

State	Fiscal Year 2006				Fiscal Year 2005		Change from FY 2005 to FY 2006	
	Total Births in TANF Families	Out-of-Wedlock Births among TANF Families	Ratio of Out-of-Wedlock to Total Births	State Rank (High to Low)	Ratio of Out-of-Wedlock to Total Births	State Rank (High to Low)	Net Change in Ratio	State Rank Low to High)
U.S. Totals	183,568	143,480	78.2%		78.4%		-0.2%	
Alabama	500	401	80.2%	32	81.4%	27	-1.2%	48
Alaska	333	157	47.1%	45	83.3%	21	-36.1%	54
Arizona	179	116	64.8%	42	68.8%	42	-4.0%	52
Arkansas	1,250	114	9.1%	49	0.0%	50	9.1%	2
California[2]	34,199	30,477	89.1%	10	89.3%	7	-0.2%	41
Colorado	634	209	33.0%	46	36.2%	46	-3.2%	51
Connecticut[2]	2,550	2,335	91.6%	5	91.4%	4	0.2%	31
Delaware[2]	448	388	86.6%	16	77.3%	33	9.3%	1
Dist. of Col.	54	51	94.4%	3	88.9%	8	5.6%	8
Florida[2]	1,674	1,408	84.1%	22	84.2%	17	-0.1%	40
Georgia[2]	983	825	83.9%	24	85.8%	14	-1.9%	50
Guam	0	0				50	0.0%	33
Hawaii[2]	725	592	81.7%	30	82.7%	23	-1.0%	46
Idaho	64	55	85.9%	19	82.3%	24	3.7%	11
Illinois[2]	9,999	8,017	80.2%	33	78.9%	32	1.3%	22
Indiana[2]	10,765	9,163	85.1%	21	84.9%	16	0.3%	30
Iowa[2]	1,351	1,136	84.1%	23	79.5%	30	4.6%	9
Kansas	4,389	3,524	80.3%	31	79.6%	29	0.7%	26
Kentucky	3,023	2,335	77.2%	36	75.4%	35	1.8%	20
Louisiana	1,720	1,566	91.0%	6	89.3%	6	1.7%	21
Maine[2]	1,143	149	13.0%	48	10.2%	48	2.8%	12
Maryland[2]	2,747	2,361	85.9%	18	82.1%	25	3.9%	10
Massachusetts	4,850	3,983	82.1%	28	86.6%	12	-4.5%	53
Michigan	14,336	12,426	86.7%	14	84.1%	19	2.6%	14
Minnesota[2]	3,326	2,779	83.6%	26	85.1%	15	-1.5%	49
Mississippi[2]	4,049	3,394	83.8%	25	83.5%	20	0.3%	29
Missouri[2]	1,708	1,532	89.7%	9	81.9%	26	7.8%	3
Montana	73	43	58.9%	44	51.5%	45	7.4%	4
Nebraska[2]	1,295	1,009	77.9%	34	71.9%	39	6.0%	7
Nevada[2]	270	238	88.1%	11	88.4%	9	-0.2%	43
New Hampshire	150	130	86.7%	15	79.3%	31	7.3%	5
New Jersey[2]	787	683	86.8%	13	84.1%	18	2.7%	13
New Mexico	1,925	0	0.0%	51	0.0%	50	0.0%	33
New York	13,737	8,172	59.5%	43	57.6%	44	1.9%	19
North Carolina	1,165	381	32.7%	47	32.8%	47	-0.1%	39
North Dakota[2]	341	283	83.0%	27	81.0%	28	2.0%	18
Ohio	4,301	3,326	77.3%	35	77.3%	34	0.0%	32
Oklahoma	1,188	971	81.7%	29	74.9%	36	6.8%	6
Oregon	1,812	1,550	85.5%	20	83.1%	22	2.5%	15
Pennsylvania	29,040	21,277	73.3%	37	74.0%	37	-0.7%	44
Puerto Rico[2]	98	0	0.0%	51	0.0%	50	0.0%	33
Rhode Island	816	719	88.1%	12	87.8%	11	0.4%	28
South Carolina	170	153	90.0%	8	88.0%	10	2.0%	16
South Dakota[2]	310	286	92.3%	4	91.5%	3	0.8%	25
Tennessee[2]	10,605	9,131	86.1%	17	86.3%	13	-0.2%	42
Texas[2]	560	560	100.0%	1	98.8%	2	1.2%	23
Utah[2]	1,272	839	66.0%	41	64.0%	43	2.0%	17
Vermont	369	334	90.5%	7	90.0%	5	0.5%	27
Virgin Islands[2]	6	6	100.0%	1	100.0%	1	0.0%	33
Virginia[2]	909	12	1.3%	50	1.4%	49	0.0%	38
Washington	3,981	2,902	72.9%	38	71.8%	40	1.1%	24
West Virginia[2]	403	285	70.7%	40	71.4%	41	-0.7%	45
Wisconsin	971	697	71.8%	39	72.9%	38	-1.1%	47
Wyoming	15	0	0.0%	51	0.0%	50	0.0%	33

[1]Data may be taken from samples for some States.

[2]These States did not serve two-parent families in their TANF programs during FY 2006.

Source: TANF Data Report.

Table 8:2

Number, Rate, and Percentage of Births to Unmarried Women: United States, Selected Years 1960-1980 and 1985-2005

Year	Births to unmarried women		
	Number	Rate[1]	Percent[2]
2005	1,527,034	47.5	36.9
2004	1,470,189	46.1	35.8
2003	1,415,995	44.9	34.6
2002	1,365,966	43.7	34.0
2001	1,349,249	43.8	33.5
2000	1,347,043	44.1	33.2
1999	1,308,560	43.3	33.0
1998	1,293,567	43.3	32.8
1997	1,257,444	42.9	32.4
1996	1,260,306	43.8	32.4
1995	1,253,976	44.3	32.2
1994	1,289,592	46.2	32.6
1993	1,240,172	44.8	31.0
1992	1,224,876	44.9	30.1
1991	1,213,769	45.0	29.5
1990	1,165,384	43.8	28.0
1989	1,094,169	41.6	27.1
1988	1,005,299	38.5	25.7
1987	933,013	36.0	24.5
1986	878,477	34.2	23.4
1985	828,174	32.8	22.0
1980	665,747	29.4	18.4
1975	447,900	24.5	14.2
1970	398,700	26.4	10.7
1965	291,200	23.4	7.7
1960	224,300	21.6	5.3

[1]Births to unmarried women per 1,000 unmarried women aged 15-44 years.

[2]Percent of all births to unmarried women.

NOTE: For most states, data on births to unmarried women are based on a direct question on the birth certificate or in the electronic birth registration process, asking if the mother is married. In 1999-2002, mother's marital status for two states was inferred from other information on the birth certificate. Rates for 1991-2001 have been revised and may differ from rates previously published.

Source: Division of Vital Statistics, National Center for Health Statistics, "Births: Final Data for 2005," *National Vital Statistics Reports* , 56(6); "Births: Final Data for 2004." *National Vital Statistics Reports* , 55(1)

Table 8:3

Number and Percent of Births to Unmaried Women: United States and Each State and Territory, Calendar Year 2005 and Percent of Births to Unmarried Women, United States and Each State and Territory, Calendar Years 1996-2005 By Place of Residence

State	Number 2005	Percent of All Births to Unmarried Women									
		2005	2004	2003	2002	2001	2000	1999	1998	1997	1996
United States [1]	1,527,034	36.9	35.8	34.6	34.0	33.5	33.2	33.0	32.8	32.4	32.4
Alabama	21,579	35.7	36.2	35.0	34.8	34.4	34.3	33.3	34.1	33.9	33.7
Alaska	3,768	36.0	34.6	34.6	34.0	32.8	33.0	33.2	31.1	30.6	31.0
Arizona	41,442	43.1	42.2	41.5	40.4	39.5	39.3	38.8	38.4	37.6	38.8
Arkansas	15,768	40.2	38.8	38.0	37.1	36.1	35.7	35.2	35.0	34.2	33.9
California	195,982	35.7	34.4	33.5	33.0	32.7	32.7	32.9	32.8	32.8	31.4
Colorado	18,657	27.1	27.5	26.7	26.8	25.0	25.0	25.4	25.6	25.2	24.8
Connecticut	13,425	32.2	30.6	30.0	29.1	29.2	29.3	29.0	31.2	32.7	31.3
Delaware	5,156	44.3	42.3	41.9	40.6	39.9	37.9	38.8	37.1	36.0	35.5
Dist. of Columbia	4,464	56.0	55.9	53.6	56.5	57.4	60.3	61.7	62.9	63.6	66.1
Florida	96,809	42.8	41.4	39.9	39.3	39.0	38.2	37.5	36.6	36.0	35.9
Georgia	57,707	40.6	39.2	38.1	37.8	37.3	37.0	36.6	36.2	35.4	35.0
Hawaii	6,504	36.3	33.4	33.5	33.6	33.0	32.2	32.8	31.5	29.9	30.3
Idaho	5,290	22.9	22.6	22.3	21.9	22.0	21.6	21.6	22.0	20.7	21.3
Illinois	66,333	37.1	36.3	35.3	34.8	34.5	34.5	34.1	34.1	33.4	33.7
Indiana	35,009	40.2	38.8	37.1	36.4	35.5	34.7	34.5	33.5	32.6	32.3
Iowa	12,775	32.5	31.0	29.9	29.3	28.8	28.0	27.5	27.2	26.2	26.3
Kansas	13,647	34.2	33.0	31.6	31.1	29.9	29.0	28.6	27.8	27.6	26.9
Kentucky	20,049	35.5	35.0	33.8	33.2	31.7	31.0	30.4	30.1	29.5	29.8
Louisiana	29,230	48.0	49.1	47.5	47.0	46.3	45.6	44.8	44.9	43.9	43.4
Maine	4,941	35.0	34.1	33.5	32.6	31.8	31.0	31.3	30.6	29.7	28.7
Maryland	27,807	37.1	35.7	34.8	34.8	34.4	34.6	34.9	34.4	33.5	33.5
Massachusetts	23,182	30.2	28.5	27.8	26.8	26.7	26.5	26.5	26.1	25.9	25.5
Michigan	46,750	36.6	35.7	34.6	34.1	34.3	33.3	33.1	33.9	33.2	33.8
Minnesota	21,106	29.8	29.0	27.7	27.4	26.3	25.8	25.9	25.6	25.0	24.8
Mississippi	20,964	49.4	48.3	47.0	47.1	46.3	46.0	45.9	45.4	45.4	45.0
Missouri	29,712	37.8	37.0	35.6	35.2	34.8	34.6	34.1	34.1	33.1	33.2
Montana	4,002	34.6	34.3	32.2	32.8	31.4	30.8	30.0	29.9	28.7	27.9
Nebraska	8,077	30.9	30.2	29.7	28.6	27.7	27.2	25.9	26.2	25.8	24.8
Nevada	15,232	40.9	39.7	39.1	37.4	37.2	36.4	35.7	35.0	35.5	42.7
New Hampshire	3,939	27.3	26.4	24.8	24.6	24.2	24.7	24.2	24.1	23.8	23.4
New Jersey	35,780	31.4	30.1	29.3	29.3	29.2	28.9	28.5	28.3	28.0	28.0
New Mexico	14,642	50.8	48.8	48.4	46.9	46.3	45.6	45.1	44.0	43.5	42.1
New York	95,410	38.7	37.8	36.5	35.7	35.7	36.6	36.6	34.9	35.2	39.6
North Carolina	47,300	38.4	36.9	35.3	34.7	34.3	33.3	33.2	32.8	32.2	32.0
North Dakota	2,698	32.2	29.9	28.5	29.0	27.9	28.3	27.5	27.0	26.0	25.1
Ohio	57,756	38.9	37.4	36.2	35.4	35.1	34.6	34.1	34.0	33.9	33.1
Oklahoma	20,245	39.1	38.4	37.1	36.4	35.2	34.3	33.2	33.2	32.4	30.9
Oregon	15,276	33.3	32.5	31.7	30.9	30.4	30.1	30.4	29.7	28.8	29.7
Pennsylvania	53,128	36.5	35.2	33.9	33.4	33.8	32.7	32.9	32.8	32.8	32.3
Rhode Island	4,892	38.5	37.3	35.8	35.7	35.7	35.5	34.3	33.9	33.1	33.3
South Carolina	24,997	43.3	41.9	41.1	40.4	40.1	39.8	39.0	38.8	38.0	37.3
South Dakota	4,147	36.2	35.1	34.2	35.0	33.5	33.5	31.8	32.0	31.1	29.5
Tennessee	32,824	40.2	38.2	37.2	36.2	35.7	34.5	34.7	34.9	34.1	33.4
Texas	145,197	37.6	36.0	34.3	32.4	31.0	30.5	31.3	31.5	30.7	30.4
Utah	9,108	17.7	17.5	17.2	17.2	17.4	17.3	16.7	17.1	16.6	16.2
Vermont	2,033	32.3	32.3	30.0	31.9	31.0	28.1	28.9	28.0	26.1	26.4
Virginia	33,674	32.2	31.0	30.4	30.3	30.3	29.9	29.7	29.8	29.3	28.8
Washington	25,579	30.9	30.4	28.8	28.8	28.8	28.2	28.1	27.9	27.1	27.3
West Virginia	7,610	36.5	34.8	34.6	32.9	32.5	31.7	31.7	32.4	31.3	31.3
Wisconsin	23,056	32.5	31.3	30.4	30.0	29.9	29.3	29.2	28.5	28.1	27.4
Wyoming	2,376	32.8	31.7	32.6	30.3	29.6	28.8	29.0	29.6	27.4	27.0
Puerto Rico	28,555	56.5	55.3	53.2	52.0	51.1	49.7	48.1	47.0	45.8	44.2
Virgin Islands	1,138	70.9	68.5	68.8	67.3	66.8	66.7	67.1	69.6	67.8	64.3
Guam	1,901	59.6	56.7	56.5	55.4	55.7	54.8	55.9	54.2	49.3	48.5
American Samoa	587	34.1	36.6	37.9	32.0	28.3	35.5	35.5	34.2	34.7	34.0
Northern Marianas	747	56.0	56.1	57.8	59.7	57.5	---	47.4	45.6	---	---

--- Data not available.

NOTE: In 1998-2002, for 48 States and the District of Columbia, marital status of mother is determined from a direct question in the birth registration process. In two States, Michigan and New York, mother's marital status is inferred. Marital status was inferred in 4 states and the District of Columbia in 1997, and in 5 States and the District of Columbia in 1996.

Source: Division of Vital Statistics, National Center for Health Statistics, "Births: Final Data for 2005." *National Vital Statistics Reports*, 56(6), and earlier reports.

[1] U.S. total does not include the territories.

Table 8:4

Number and Percent of Births to Unmarried Women: United States and Each State and Territory, Calendar Year 2005, in Ascending Rank Order by Percent

State	Number	Percent
United States[1]	1,527,034	36.9
Utah	9,108	17.7
Idaho	5,290	22.9
Colorado	18,657	27.1
New Hampshire	3,939	27.3
Minnesota	21,106	29.8
Massachusetts	23,182	30.2
Nebraska	8,077	30.9
Washington	25,579	30.9
New Jersey	35,780	31.4
North Dakota	2,698	32.2
Connecticut	13,425	32.2
Virginia	33,674	32.2
Vermont	2,033	32.3
Wisconsin	23,056	32.5
Iowa	12,775	32.5
Wyoming	2,376	32.8
Oregon	15,276	33.3
Kansas	13,647	34.2
Montana	4,002	34.6
Maine	4,941	35.0
Kentucky	20,049	35.5
Alabama	21,579	35.7
California	195,982	35.7
Alaska	3,768	36.0
South Dakota	4,147	36.2
Hawaii	6,504	36.3
West Virginia	7,610	36.5
Pennsylvania	53,128	36.5
Michigan	46,750	36.6
Illinois	66,333	37.1
Maryland	27,807	37.1
Texas	145,197	37.6
Missouri	29,712	37.8
North Carolina	47,300	38.4
Rhode Island	4,892	38.5
New York	95,410	38.7
Ohio	57,756	38.9
Oklahoma	20,245	39.1
Indiana	35,009	40.2
Tennessee	32,824	40.2
Arkansas	15,768	40.2
Georgia	57,707	40.6
Nevada	15,232	40.9
Florida	96,809	42.8
Arizona	41,442	43.1
South Carolina	24,997	43.3
Delaware	5,156	44.3
Louisiana	29,230	48.0
Mississippi	20,964	49.4
New Mexico	14,642	50.8
District of Columbia	4,464	56.0
American Samoa	587	34.1
Northern Marianas	747	56.0
Puerto Rico	28,555	56.5
Guam	1,901	59.6
Virgin Islands	1,138	70.9

--- Data not available.

Source: Division of Vital Statistics, National Center for Health Statistics, "Births: Final Data for 2005." *National Vital Statistics Reports*, 56(6)

[1]U.S. total does not include the territories.

Table 9:1

Poverty Rate for All Children
Official Poverty 1996- 2006 and Selected Years for Comprehensive Measure

Poverty Rate	1996	1997	1998	1999	2000	2001	2002	2003	2004	2005	2006
Official Measure	20.5	19.9	18.9	16.9	16.2	16.3	16.7	17.6	17.8	17.6	17.4
Comprehensive Measure	16.2	15.7	14.5	13.1	12.9	13.1	12.8	14.1	14.1	13.7	

Source: U.S. Census Bureau

Table 9:2 (2003)

State Estimates for Children Under 18 in Poverty for US: 2002 and 2003: Special Computation for 45 CFR Part 284

State	2002			2003		
		90% Confidence Interval			90% Confidence Interval	
	Point Estimate %	Lower Bound %	Upper Bound %	Point Estimate %	Lower Bound %	Upper Bound %
United States	16.7	16.2	17.2	17.6	17.2	18.1
Alabama	21.6	20.1	23.1	22.3	20.9	23.8
Alaska	11.7	10.4	13.0	12.5	11.2	13.7
Arizona	20.1	18.3	21.8	20.6	18.8	22.3
Arkansas	23.3	21.6	25.1	23.6	22.0	25.2
California	19.2	18.0	20.3	19.5	18.4	20.7
Colorado	11.8	10.3	13.2	12.8	11.4	14.3
Connecticut	10.1	8.7	11.4	10.5	9.2	11.8
Delaware	12.4	11.1	13.7	13.0	11.6	14.4
Dist. of Col.	31.3	28.4	34.1	29.5	26.5	32.5
Florida	17.7	16.4	19.0	19.4	18.1	20.6
Georgia	17.8	16.2	19.3	19.1	17.6	20.7
Hawaii	14.3	13.0	15.7	14.6	13.3	16.0
Idaho	14.9	13.5	16.3	15.9	14.4	17.4
Illinois	15.3	14.0	16.6	15.6	14.4	16.8
Indiana	11.9	10.6	13.2	13.7	12.4	14.9
Iowa	10.9	9.6	12.2	12.3	11.0	13.5
Kansas	12.1	10.9	13.4	13.8	12.5	15.1
Kentucky	21.1	19.7	22.6	21.1	19.7	22.6
Louisiana	26.6	24.8	28.4	26.6	24.8	28.4
Maine	14.2	12.7	15.7	14.3	13.0	15.5
Maryland	10.1	8.6	11.5	11.5	10.2	12.9
Massachusetts	11.6	10.1	13.0	11.9	10.5	13.3
Michigan	14.2	13.0	15.4	15.0	13.8	16.2
Minnesota	8.8	7.5	10.1	10.2	8.9	11.4
Mississippi	27.2	25.4	29.0	26.8	25.0	28.6
Missouri	15.7	14.4	17.0	16.5	15.2	17.8
Montana	19.2	17.6	20.8	19.9	18.3	21.5
Nebraska	12.3	11.0	13.7	12.9	11.5	14.2
Nevada	14.1	12.5	15.6	15.3	13.8	16.7
New Hampshire	6.6	5.3	8.0	7.8	6.5	9.1
New Jersey	10.4	9.1	11.6	11.8	10.6	13.0
New Mexico	25.2	23.5	27.0	25.8	24.1	27.6
New York	20.2	19.0	21.4	20.2	19.0	21.4
North Carolina	17.4	15.9	18.8	19.1	17.6	20.6
North Dakota	13.8	12.3	15.4	13.4	11.9	15.0
Ohio	13.5	12.2	14.8	15.4	14.1	16.6
Oklahoma	20.3	18.7	21.8	21.1	19.5	22.8
Oregon	15.1	13.6	16.6	17.4	15.8	19.0
Pennsylvania	14.0	12.8	15.2	14.9	13.7	16.1
Rhode Island	16.1	14.6	17.7	16.6	15.1	18.1
South Carolina	19.4	18.0	20.8	19.8	18.4	21.2
South Dakota	16.0	14.4	17.6	16.5	14.9	18.1
Tennessee	18.6	17.1	20.0	19.2	17.8	20.7
Texas	21.3	20.0	22.6	22.8	21.5	24.1
Utah	10.9	9.4	12.4	12.5	11.0	14.0
Vermont	11.5	10.1	12.8	11.9	10.6	13.2
Virginia	12.5	11.2	13.8	13.6	12.3	14.8
Washington	13.7	12.4	14.9	15.3	13.9	16.7
West Virginia	24.3	22.6	26.0	24.3	22.7	26.0
Wisconsin	10.9	9.6	12.2	12.4	11.0	13.7
Wyoming	13.5	11.9	15.0	14.6	13.1	16.1

Note: A one-tailed Z-test for the difference in proportions was used to calculate the change in poverty rates from 2002 to 2003.

Source: U.S. Census Bureau

Table 9:2 (2004)

State Estimates for Children Under 18 in Poverty for US: 2003 and 2004:
Special Computation for 45 CFR Part 284

State	2003			2004		
		90% Confidence Interval			90% Confidence Interval	
	Point Estimate %	Lower Bound %	Upper Bound %	Point Estimate %	Lower Bound %	Upper Bound %
United States	17.6	17.2	18.1	17.8	17.3	18.3
Alabama	22.3	20.9	23.8	22.6	20.9	24.4
Alaska	12.5	11.2	13.7	12.9	11.3	14.5
Arizona	20.6	18.8	22.3	21.5	19.5	23.5
Arkansas	23.6	22.0	25.2	22.7	20.8	24.6
California	19.5	18.4	20.7	18.7	17.4	20.0
Colorado	12.8	11.4	14.3	12.8	11.3	14.4
Connecticut	10.5	9.2	11.8	11.4	9.9	13.0
Delaware	13.0	11.6	14.4	13.4	11.8	15.0
Dist. of Col.	29.5	26.5	32.5	29.2	26.3	32.1
Florida	19.4	18.1	20.6	17.3	15.8	18.8
Georgia	19.1	17.6	20.7	19.4	17.7	21.2
Hawaii	14.6	13.3	16.0	10.8	9.0	12.7
Idaho	15.9	14.4	17.4	15.1	13.4	16.9
Illinois	15.6	14.4	16.8	16.7	15.1	18.3
Indiana	13.7	12.4	14.9	15.7	14.1	17.4
Iowa	12.3	11.0	13.5	13.1	11.6	14.6
Kansas	13.8	12.5	15.1	14.6	13.1	16.2
Kentucky	21.1	19.7	22.6	22.2	20.4	24.0
Louisiana	26.6	24.8	28.4	27.4	25.0	29.8
Maine	14.3	13.0	15.5	14.3	12.5	16.2
Maryland	11.5	10.2	12.9	11.1	9.5	12.7
Massachusetts	11.9	10.5	13.3	12.8	11.0	14.7
Michigan	15.0	13.8	16.2	17.3	15.7	18.8
Minnesota	10.2	8.9	11.4	10.6	9.1	12.1
Mississippi	26.8	25.0	28.6	28.6	26.3	30.9
Missouri	16.5	15.2	17.8	18.5	16.7	20.3
Montana	19.9	18.3	21.5	18.5	16.5	20.5
Nebraska	12.9	11.5	14.2	12.8	11.1	14.5
Nevada	15.3	13.8	16.7	15.3	13.5	17.0
New Hampshire	7.8	6.5	9.1	8.8	7.2	10.4
New Jersey	11.8	10.6	13.0	10.2	8.7	11.7
New Mexico	25.8	24.1	27.6	23.8	21.8	25.8
New York	20.2	19.0	21.4	20.8	19.4	22.2
North Carolina	19.1	17.6	20.6	18.7	17.1	20.3
North Dakota	13.4	11.9	15.0	13.5	11.8	15.3
Ohio	15.4	14.1	16.6	16.8	15.3	18.3
Oklahoma	21.1	19.5	22.8	20.2	18.2	22.2
Oregon	17.4	15.8	19.0	17.3	15.4	19.3
Pennsylvania	14.9	13.7	16.1	16.0	14.5	17.4
Rhode Island	16.6	15.1	18.1	17.4	15.7	19.2
South Carolina	19.8	18.4	21.2	21.2	19.5	23.0
South Dakota	16.5	14.9	18.1	17.9	16.0	19.8
Tennessee	19.2	17.8	20.7	20.1	18.2	22.0
Texas	22.8	21.5	24.1	22.7	21.3	24.0
Utah	12.5	11.0	14.0	12.4	10.8	14.1
Vermont	11.9	10.6	13.2	10.6	8.9	12.2
Virginia	13.6	12.3	14.8	12.2	10.7	13.7
Washington	15.3	13.9	16.7	15.8	14.3	17.4
West Virginia	24.3	22.7	26.0	22.6	20.6	24.6
Wisconsin	12.4	11.0	13.7	14.9	13.0	16.8
Wyoming	14.6	13.1	16.1	13.7	11.9	15.5

Note: A one-tailed Z-test for the difference in proportions was used to calculate the change in poverty rates from 2003 to 2004.
Source: U.S. Census Bureau

Table 10:1

Temporary Assistance for Needy Families - Active Cases
Percent Distribution of TANF Households by Number of Persons Living in the Household
FY 2004

STATE	TOTAL HOUSEHOLDS	NUMBER OF HOUSEHOLD MEMBERS							
		AVERAGE	1	2	3	4	5	6 - 10	OVER 10
U.S. TOTAL	1,983,973	2.9	9.1	38.9	26.6	14.5	6.4	4.4	0.1
ALABAMA	19,155	2.9	0.3	42.9	32.2	15.9	5.9	2.7	0.1
ALASKA	4,926	3.2	1.2	39.1	28.6	17.3	6.7	6.7	0.4
ARIZONA	49,560	2.8	14.1	34.7	25.3	14.5	7.2	4.2	0.1
ARKANSAS	10,023	2.3	23.9	42.6	21.3	8.0	2.9	1.3	0.0
CALIFORNIA	456,667	3.2	0.9	37.6	29.0	17.7	8.1	6.7	0.1
COLORADO	14,624	2.6	18.8	34.7	25.3	13.8	4.7	2.7	0.0
CONNECTICUT	20,720	2.6	3.8	56.9	24.8	10.3	2.7	1.5	0.0
DELAWARE	5,643	2.1	33.9	35.6	19.6	7.4	2.6	0.9	0.0
DIST. OF COL.	17,174	3.0	1.3	46.5	25.3	14.4	6.6	5.8	0.1
FLORIDA	57,457	2.1	38.4	33.6	17.3	6.7	3.0	1.0	0.0
GEORGIA	53,201	3.0	0.4	42.1	31.0	16.0	6.4	4.1	0.1
GUAM a/									
HAWAII	8,865	2.6	15.4	38.6	25.4	12.1	5.4	3.0	0.0
IDAHO	1,848	2.8	0.6	43.4	36.6	14.0	3.6	1.7	0.0
ILLINOIS	35,659	2.5	27.0	33.6	20.4	10.9	5.0	3.0	0.1
INDIANA	50,450	3.1	0.0	38.7	30.6	18.4	7.4	5.0	0.0
IOWA	18,286	2.9	0.5	45.7	29.0	16.0	5.8	2.9	0.0
KANSAS	16,745	2.6	18.8	34.9	24.2	12.9	6.3	2.9	0.0
KENTUCKY	35,629	2.2	31.0	37.8	19.2	8.2	2.7	1.0	0.0
LOUISIANA	18,778	2.4	26.7	33.0	22.6	10.7	4.5	2.5	0.0
MAINE	9,678	2.5	17.4	41.7	25.9	10.7	2.7	1.6	0.0
MARYLAND	25,430	2.4	27.3	35.6	21.2	9.6	3.8	2.5	0.0
MASSACHUSETTS	49,753	2.9	1.4	47.4	27.7	14.9	5.2	3.4	0.1
MICHIGAN	79,432	3.2	1.8	36.2	30.2	17.5	7.9	6.3	0.2
MINNESOTA	34,340	3.0	4.0	43.3	27.7	13.4	6.1	5.3	0.2
MISSISSIPPI	18,793	2.2	25.2	42.1	20.9	8.2	2.4	1.2	0.0
MISSOURI	40,979	3.0	0.1	44.2	29.9	16.2	5.6	4.1	0.0
MONTANA	5,257	2.7	18.7	33.7	24.3	13.1	6.4	3.6	0.0
NEBRASKA	10,879	2.4	19.0	43.1	21.7	9.9	4.3	2.0	0.0
NEVADA	8,837	2.4	23.6	36.4	22.5	10.7	4.9	2.0	0.0
NEW HAMPSHIRE	6,047	2.8	0.4	50.3	30.4	12.6	4.4	1.9	0.0
NEW JERSEY	44,729	2.9	1.4	46.5	27.8	13.8	6.9	3.6	0.0
NEW MEXICO	17,591	2.7	14.6	35.7	26.7	14.7	5.9	2.4	0.0
NEW YORK	147,034	2.8	7.4	40.6	28.9	14.5	5.9	2.6	0.0
NORTH CAROLINA	37,651	2.1	35.2	37.0	18.2	6.6	2.2	0.8	0.0
NORTH DAKOTA	3,059	2.9	3.0	45.2	27.4	14.4	6.1	3.8	0.1
OHIO	84,575	2.2	34.2	34.1	18.0	8.3	3.5	1.9	0.0
OKLAHOMA	14,195	2.4	26.5	35.1	20.7	12.1	3.9	1.8	0.0
OREGON	18,503	2.8	9.5	40.1	27.7	13.7	4.7	4.1	0.1
PENNSYLVANIA	88,127	3.1	3.0	39.9	26.1	15.8	9.3	5.8	0.0
PUERTO RICO	17,494	3.7	1.0	18.2	29.4	26.9	13.9	10.2	0.3
RHODE ISLAND	12,292	2.8	5.7	41.9	29.4	15.1	5.3	2.6	0.0
SOUTH CAROLINA	15,632	2.9	0.8	41.7	31.9	17.2	5.8	2.5	0.0
SOUTH DAKOTA	2,745	2.2	37.6	29.9	18.0	8.5	3.3	2.6	0.0
TENNESSEE	72,070	2.6	16.1	39.1	25.2	11.0	5.6	3.0	0.0
TEXAS	106,329	3.1	3.6	35.9	27.7	17.8	8.7	6.2	0.0
UTAH	9,041	2.9	1.3	47.3	29.0	13.9	5.2	3.3	0.0
VERMONT	4,830	2.9	0.6	46.7	31.2	13.7	5.0	2.8	0.0
VIRGIN ISLANDS	533	4.1	0.0	19.3	25.6	20.9	16.5	16.8	0.9
VIRGINIA	9,425	3.1	0.0	37.1	35.1	17.1	7.2	3.4	0.0
WASHINGTON	55,858	3.0	3.2	43.0	27.6	13.4	7.5	5.3	0.1
WEST VIRGINIA	14,588	2.4	22.7	37.9	24.9	10.5	2.8	1.2	0.0
WISCONSIN	22,492	3.0	0.0	47.3	26.7	13.5	7.3	5.2	0.0
WYOMING	352	1.8	47.4	33.4	12.5	5.0	0.9	0.7	0.0

NOTE: 'a/' = DATA NOT REPORTED.
SOURCE: NATIONAL TANF DATAFILE AS OF 3/04/2005

Table 10:2

Temporary Assistance for Needy Families - Active Cases
Percent Distribution of TANF Families by Number of Recipients
FY 2004

STATE	TOTAL FAMILIES	NUMBER OF FAMILY MEMBERS								
		AVERAGE	1	2	3	4	5	6 - 10	OVER 10	UNKNOWN
U.S. TOTAL	1,983,973	2.4	25.0	36.5	21.4	10.5	4.2	2.4	0.0	0.0
ALABAMA	19,155	3.1	23.9	22.4	6.7	23.1	16.9	6.7	0.0	0.3
ALASKA	4,926	2.8	15.6	35.4	23.4	14.0	5.6	5.8	0.3	0.0
ARIZONA	49,560	2.3	24.0	40.1	20.6	10.9	2.8	1.6	0.0	0.0
ARKANSAS	10,023	2.2	25.2	42.0	21.0	7.8	2.5	1.4	0.0	0.1
CALIFORNIA	456,667	2.4	24.1	36.7	21.9	10.5	4.0	2.8	0.0	0.0
COLORADO	14,624	2.6	18.9	35.6	24.7	13.6	4.5	2.7	0.0	0.0
CONNECTICUT	20,720	2.0	33.0	41.9	16.4	6.2	1.8	0.7	0.0	0.0
DELAWARE	5,643	2.2	32.1	33.4	20.5	8.9	3.5	1.6	0.0	0.0
DIST. OF COL.	17,174	2.6	21.0	36.7	21.7	11.0	6.0	3.4	0.0	0.0
FLORIDA	57,457	2.1	38.4	33.6	17.3	6.7	3.0	1.0	0.0	0.0
GEORGIA	53,201	2.4	27.6	33.8	21.5	10.9	4.0	2.3	0.0	0.0
GUAM a/										
HAWAII	8,865	2.6	17.2	38.0	25.0	11.6	5.3	2.9	0.0	0.0
IDAHO	1,848	1.9	46.9	31.6	14.1	4.7	2.0	0.6	0.0	0.0
ILLINOIS	35,659	2.4	26.8	33.8	20.2	11.1	5.0	3.0	0.0	0.0
INDIANA	50,450	2.5	18.7	39.3	23.9	12.1	3.7	2.1	0.0	0.1
IOWA	18,286	2.4	17.5	43.6	23.2	10.4	4.0	1.5	0.0	0.0
KANSAS	16,745	2.6	18.8	34.9	24.2	12.9	6.3	2.9	0.0	0.0
KENTUCKY	35,629	2.2	30.5	38.0	19.0	8.6	2.8	1.1	0.0	0.0
LOUISIANA	18,778	2.3	29.2	32.7	21.9	10.1	4.1	2.0	0.0	0.0
MAINE	9,678	2.5	17.4	41.7	25.9	10.7	2.7	1.6	0.0	0.0
MARYLAND	25,430	2.4	27.3	35.6	21.2	9.6	3.7	2.5	0.0	0.0
MASSACHUSETTS	49,753	2.1	29.5	42.9	17.7	6.8	2.3	0.9	0.0	0.0
MICHIGAN	79,432	2.6	23.6	31.8	22.5	11.9	5.9	4.2	0.0	0.0
MINNESOTA	34,340	2.6	17.4	41.6	22.9	10.1	4.3	3.6	0.1	0.0
MISSISSIPPI	18,793	2.2	25.2	42.1	20.8	8.2	2.4	1.2	0.0	0.0
MISSOURI	40,979	2.5	16.9	43.2	24.5	10.5	3.2	1.7	0.0	0.0
MONTANA	5,257	2.7	17.2	33.6	24.9	13.5	6.6	3.9	0.0	0.2
NEBRASKA	10,879	2.4	19.7	42.7	21.7	9.7	4.2	1.9	0.0	0.0
NEVADA	8,837	2.4	27.7	33.8	21.7	10.3	4.8	1.7	0.0	0.0
NEW HAMPSHIRE	6,047	2.3	20.4	44.7	22.4	8.4	2.9	1.2	0.0	0.0
NEW JERSEY	44,729	2.4	24.0	38.9	20.9	9.6	4.6	1.9	0.0	0.0
NEW MEXICO	17,591	2.7	15.9	35.9	26.2	14.2	5.5	2.3	0.0	0.0
NEW YORK	147,034	2.3	30.0	35.0	19.9	10.0	3.4	1.7	0.0	0.0
NORTH CAROLINA	37,651	2.1	35.2	37.0	18.2	6.6	2.2	0.8	0.0	0.0
NORTH DAKOTA	3,059	2.6	15.9	41.1	23.5	11.6	5.0	2.8	0.1	0.0
OHIO	84,575	2.2	33.7	34.2	18.1	8.4	3.5	2.0	0.0	0.1
OKLAHOMA	14,195	2.4	26.9	35.2	20.4	11.9	3.6	1.8	0.0	0.2
OREGON	18,503	2.3	31.4	34.0	20.0	9.7	3.0	1.9	0.1	0.0
PENNSYLVANIA	88,127	2.6	19.4	35.9	22.1	12.7	6.4	3.5	0.0	0.0
PUERTO RICO	17,494	2.8	11.1	34.3	29.8	15.9	5.8	3.0	0.0	0.0
RHODE ISLAND	12,292	2.6	15.6	38.7	26.4	12.7	4.4	2.2	0.0	0.0
SOUTH CAROLINA	15,632	2.3	28.9	36.7	20.0	10.0	2.7	1.5	0.0	0.1
SOUTH DAKOTA	2,745	2.2	37.6	29.9	18.0	8.5	3.3	2.6	0.0	0.0
TENNESSEE	72,070	2.6	16.1	39.1	25.2	11.0	5.6	3.0	0.0	0.0
TEXAS	106,329	2.4	26.4	35.0	21.8	11.1	3.6	2.1	0.0	0.0
UTAH	9,041	2.5	18.5	39.8	23.8	11.2	4.1	2.5	0.0	0.2
VERMONT	4,830	2.5	16.4	41.3	26.2	10.4	3.5	2.2	0.0	0.0
VIRGIN ISLANDS	533	3.0	10.3	33.4	26.4	16.2	8.7	5.0	0.0	0.0
VIRGINIA	9,425	2.9	0.7	43.7	34.7	13.9	5.2	1.8	0.0	0.0
WASHINGTON	55,858	2.5	26.4	33.5	22.1	10.0	4.7	3.3	0.1	0.0
WEST VIRGINIA	14,588	2.4	22.7	37.8	24.9	10.5	2.8	1.2	0.0	0.0
WISCONSIN	22,492	2.4	28.9	33.9	18.5	10.6	4.8	3.3	0.0	0.0
WYOMING	352	1.8	47.5	33.4	12.5	5.3	0.7	0.6	0.0	0.1

NOTE: 'a/' = DATA NOT REPORTED.
SOURCE: NATIONAL TANF DATAFILE AS OF 3/04/2005

Table 10:3

Temporary Assistance for Needy Families - Active Cases
Percent Distribution of TANF Families by Number of Adult Recipients
FY 2004

STATE	TOTAL FAMILIES	NUMBER OF ADULT RECIPIENTS		
		NONE	ONE	TWO OR MORE
U.S. TOTAL	1,983,973	43.6	54.0	2.4
ALABAMA	19,155	46.8	52.7	0.4
ALASKA	4,926	24.4	62.6	13.0
ARIZONA	49,560	39.5	58.6	1.9
ARKANSAS	10,023	46.7	50.8	2.5
CALIFORNIA	456,667	53.9	45.7	0.5
COLORADO	14,624	34.1	59.3	6.6
CONNECTICUT	20,720	41.7	57.7	0.5
DELAWARE	5,643	46.5	53.5	0.0
DIST. OF COL.	17,174	35.2	63.3	1.5
FLORIDA	57,457	60.5	39.5	0.1
GEORGIA	53,201	46.8	53.2	0.0
GUAM a/				
HAWAII	8,865	25.8	73.0	1.3
IDAHO	1,848	66.6	30.1	3.3
ILLINOIS	35,659	53.8	46.2	0.0
INDIANA	50,450	40.9	58.2	0.9
IOWA	18,286	29.5	67.2	3.3
KANSAS	16,745	26.5	64.3	9.2
KENTUCKY	35,629	45.9	51.0	3.2
LOUISIANA	18,778	55.2	44.0	0.8
MAINE	9,678	25.4	71.7	2.9
MARYLAND	25,430	39.1	60.7	0.1
MASSACHUSETTS	49,753	38.6	58.4	3.0
MICHIGAN	79,432	32.3	62.4	5.2
MINNESOTA	34,340	26.9	73.1	0.0
MISSISSIPPI	18,793	41.7	58.2	0.1
MISSOURI	40,979	28.2	71.7	0.0
MONTANA	5,257	27.3	56.7	16.0
NEBRASKA	10,879	34.3	65.7	0.0
NEVADA	8,837	46.3	53.7	0.0
NEW HAMPSHIRE	6,047	29.3	68.1	2.6
NEW JERSEY	44,729	39.5	60.5	0.0
NEW MEXICO	17,591	28.2	63.7	8.2
NEW YORK	147,034	44.3	48.4	7.3
NORTH CAROLINA	37,651	54.4	44.4	1.1
NORTH DAKOTA	3,059	21.8	77.7	0.5
OHIO	84,575	50.0	45.3	4.8
OKLAHOMA	14,195	46.9	52.4	0.7
OREGON	18,503	45.3	51.0	3.7
PENNSYLVANIA	88,127	29.9	65.2	4.8
PUERTO RICO	17,494	20.5	73.2	6.4
RHODE ISLAND	12,292	24.1	73.4	2.5
SOUTH CAROLINA	15,632	51.2	46.2	2.6
SOUTH DAKOTA	2,745	64.4	35.6	0.0
TENNESSEE	72,070	24.9	74.4	0.7
TEXAS	106,329	53.5	46.5	0.0
UTAH	9,041	30.2	66.9	2.9
VERMONT	4,830	21.1	67.1	11.8
VIRGIN ISLANDS	533	25.8	72.8	1.3
VIRGINIA	9,425	0.0	98.0	2.0
WASHINGTON	55,858	36.5	53.9	9.7
WEST VIRGINIA	14,588	36.8	57.9	5.3
WISCONSIN	22,492	50.9	47.4	1.8
WYOMING	352	79.0	20.4	0.7

NOTE: 'a/' = DATA NOT REPORTED.
SOURCE: NATIONAL TANF DATAFILE AS OF3/04/2005

Table 10:4

Temporary Assistance for Needy Families - Active Cases
Percent Distribution of TANF Families by Number of Recipient Children
FY 2004

STATE	TOTAL FAMILIES	NUMBER OF RECIPIENT CHILDREN						
		AVERAGE	ONE	TWO	THREE	FOUR	5 OR MORE	UNKNOWN
U.S. TOTAL	1,983,973	1.9	48.9	27.7	13.2	5.4	3.0	1.8
ALABAMA	19,155	2.6	44.6	3.5	13.6	30.7	7.1	0.5
ALASKA	4,926	2.0	47.2	27.6	13.9	5.2	4.9	1.2
ARIZONA	49,560	1.8	51.8	27.4	13.0	4.0	1.8	2.0
ARKANSAS	10,023	1.7	53.5	30.8	11.0	2.9	1.7	0.2
CALIFORNIA	456,667	2.0	44.7	29.2	14.8	6.2	4.4	0.7
COLORADO	14,624	1.9	44.3	30.0	15.9	5.5	2.3	2.1
CONNECTICUT	20,720	1.5	62.2	22.7	8.2	2.0	1.0	3.9
DELAWARE	5,643	1.7	54.1	27.2	11.6	3.9	2.1	1.1
DIST. OF COL.	17,174	1.9	47.7	26.1	13.8	5.9	4.7	1.9
FLORIDA	57,457	1.7	55.5	25.7	11.0	4.0	1.9	1.9
GEORGIA	53,201	1.9	48.9	28.5	14.2	4.9	3.0	0.4
GUAM a/								
HAWAII	8,865	1.9	47.6	28.5	13.2	5.5	3.3	1.9
IDAHO	1,848	1.5	64.4	24.9	7.2	2.0	1.0	0.5
ILLINOIS	35,659	2.0	45.5	28.3	15.3	6.9	4.0	0.0
INDIANA	50,450	1.9	46.1	28.6	15.8	5.6	3.1	0.8
IOWA	18,286	1.7	52.7	28.9	12.2	4.2	1.3	0.7
KANSAS	16,745	1.9	44.5	28.2	13.8	6.1	2.5	4.9
KENTUCKY	35,629	1.6	57.1	27.4	9.9	3.6	1.1	1.0
LOUISIANA	18,778	1.9	46.4	29.4	14.6	5.5	3.2	0.8
MAINE	9,678	1.7	50.6	30.6	12.1	3.0	1.4	2.3
MARYLAND	25,430	1.8	49.5	27.8	12.1	4.5	2.8	3.4
MASSACHUSETTS	49,753	1.5	61.6	22.8	8.5	2.5	1.0	3.6
MICHIGAN	79,432	1.9	46.0	26.7	13.2	6.2	4.2	3.7
MINNESOTA	34,340	1.9	46.1	27.4	12.4	5.2	4.0	4.8
MISSISSIPPI	18,793	1.7	55.2	27.7	11.7	3.1	1.4	0.9
MISSOURI	40,979	1.7	51.6	29.3	12.3	3.9	2.0	0.9
MONTANA	5,257	1.9	46.1	29.6	13.7	5.4	2.8	2.3
NEBRASKA	10,879	1.8	51.6	27.2	12.8	4.9	2.3	1.1
NEVADA	8,837	1.9	48.1	26.8	13.7	6.2	2.8	2.4
NEW HAMPSHIRE	6,047	1.6	57.3	28.2	9.6	2.9	1.0	1.0
NEW JERSEY	44,729	1.8	51.0	26.8	12.0	5.9	2.5	1.7
NEW MEXICO	17,591	1.9	43.4	31.7	15.7	5.2	2.0	2.0
NEW YORK	147,034	1.7	51.9	26.7	11.4	4.1	1.5	4.3
NORTH CAROLINA	37,651	1.6	57.9	27.8	9.9	2.7	1.0	0.7
NORTH DAKOTA	3,059	1.9	48.4	26.9	13.1	5.6	3.0	3.1
OHIO	84,575	1.7	55.0	26.0	10.7	4.0	1.9	2.4
OKLAHOMA	14,195	1.8	47.7	28.8	15.0	5.2	1.9	1.3
OREGON	18,503	1.7	54.1	26.8	11.4	3.6	2.1	2.0
PENNSYLVANIA	88,127	2.0	45.4	26.7	14.0	7.0	3.5	3.4
PUERTO RICO	17,494	2.0	42.7	31.5	17.1	6.0	2.6	0.0
RHODE ISLAND	12,292	1.9	45.5	31.0	14.3	4.6	2.2	2.3
SOUTH CAROLINA	15,632	1.8	51.4	29.1	13.6	4.0	1.5	0.4
SOUTH DAKOTA	2,745	1.8	50.6	27.5	13.0	5.1	3.4	0.5
TENNESSEE	72,070	1.9	46.4	29.6	12.8	5.9	3.2	2.0
TEXAS	106,329	1.9	45.5	28.9	15.6	6.1	3.0	0.8
UTAH	9,041	1.8	49.1	28.8	12.9	4.6	2.6	2.0
VERMONT	4,830	1.6	54.1	29.5	9.6	2.7	1.4	2.7
VIRGIN ISLANDS	533	2.2	36.7	30.0	16.9	10.5	5.8	0.0
VIRGINIA	9,425	1.8	44.6	34.4	13.7	4.9	1.7	0.7
WASHINGTON	55,858	1.8	49.6	27.1	11.8	4.4	2.8	4.3
WEST VIRGINIA	14,588	1.7	54.3	29.0	12.5	3.0	1.3	0.0
WISCONSIN	22,492	1.9	50.2	27.0	12.6	6.3	4.0	0.0
WYOMING	352	1.6	59.7	26.9	9.2	3.5	0.6	0.2

NOTE: 'a/' = DATA NOT REPORTED.
SOURCE: NATIONAL TANF DATAFILE AS OF 3/04/2005

Table 10:5

Temporary Assistance for Needy Families - Active Cases
Percent Distribution of TANF Families with No Adults in the Assistance Unit (AU)
by Number of Recipient Children
FY 2004

STATE	TOTAL FAMILIES	NO ADULT FAMILIES	PERCENT	NUMBER OF RECIPIENT CHILDREN w/NO ADULTS IN AU						
				AVERAGE	ONE	TWO	THREE	FOUR	5 OR MORE	UNKNOWN
U.S. TOTAL	1,983,973	864,314	43.6	1.8	53.4	26.6	12.0	5.2	2.8	0.0
ALABAMA	19,155	8,967	46.8	2.4	50.6	3.8	10.1	30.4	4.5	0.6
ALASKA	4,926	1,202	24.4	1.7	59.2	24.8	9.5	3.3	3.3	0.0
ARIZONA	49,560	19,598	39.5	1.7	55.7	27.6	10.5	4.6	1.6	0.0
ARKANSAS	10,023	4,681	46.7	1.7	53.9	31.7	10.0	2.3	1.9	0.2
CALIFORNIA	456,667	245,932	53.9	2.0	43.5	29.1	15.3	7.3	4.8	0.0
COLORADO	14,624	4,993	34.1	1.8	49.6	29.3	14.1	5.2	1.8	0.0
CONNECTICUT	20,720	8,648	41.7	1.4	69.8	21.4	6.3	1.4	1.1	0.0
DELAWARE	5,643	2,622	46.5	1.5	66.7	22.2	7.8	1.9	1.4	0.0
DIST. OF COL.	17,174	6,047	35.2	1.8	54.3	24.8	11.9	4.1	4.8	0.0
FLORIDA	57,457	34,743	60.5	1.6	60.3	24.2	10.3	3.2	2.0	0.0
GEORGIA	53,201	24,918	46.8	1.7	58.0	25.8	10.7	3.6	2.0	0.0
GUAM a/										
HAWAII	8,865	2,284	25.8	1.7	59.6	24.4	10.5	3.3	2.2	0.0
IDAHO	1,848	1,231	66.6	1.4	69.7	22.8	5.6	1.2	0.7	0.0
ILLINOIS	35,659	19,191	53.8	1.9	49.9	28.2	13.4	5.6	2.9	0.0
INDIANA	50,450	20,610	40.9	2.0	44.0	28.0	16.9	7.1	3.8	0.3
IOWA	18,286	5,394	29.5	1.6	57.0	29.7	9.6	2.6	1.0	0.0
KANSAS	16,745	4,434	26.5	1.7	55.3	28.3	11.3	3.5	1.6	0.0
KENTUCKY	35,629	16,348	45.9	1.5	64.5	25.0	7.0	3.2	0.3	0.0
LOUISIANA	18,778	10,363	55.2	1.8	51.4	27.0	13.4	5.0	3.3	0.0
MAINE	9,678	2,458	25.4	1.6	59.6	28.1	9.6	2.1	0.7	0.0
MARYLAND	25,430	9,954	39.1	1.6	61.1	25.8	9.0	2.7	1.5	0.0
MASSACHUSETTS	49,753	19,209	38.6	1.5	67.5	22.1	7.5	2.1	0.8	0.0
MICHIGAN	79,432	25,688	32.3	1.6	61.8	22.5	9.5	4.2	1.9	0.0
MINNESOTA	34,340	9,234	26.9	2.0	47.1	30.1	13.3	4.7	4.7	0.0
MISSISSIPPI	18,793	7,836	41.7	1.6	58.4	27.2	10.9	2.3	1.1	0.1
MISSOURI	40,979	11,573	28.2	1.7	57.0	27.0	10.2	3.9	2.0	0.0
MONTANA	5,257	1,434	27.3	1.7	55.5	27.4	9.6	4.4	2.5	0.7
NEBRASKA	10,879	3,729	34.3	1.7	54.2	28.3	12.2	3.2	2.2	0.0
NEVADA	8,837	4,090	46.3	1.8	54.7	23.7	12.7	5.3	3.6	0.0
NEW HAMPSHIRE	6,047	1,769	29.3	1.4	66.3	25.4	6.2	1.4	0.6	0.0
NEW JERSEY	44,729	17,661	39.5	1.7	56.4	25.8	10.8	4.8	2.2	0.0
NEW MEXICO	17,591	4,955	28.2	1.8	49.8	32.5	12.4	3.4	1.9	0.0
NEW YORK	147,034	65,131	44.3	1.6	60.2	25.3	9.5	3.8	1.1	0.0
NORTH CAROLINA	37,651	20,498	54.4	1.5	63.4	25.7	8.3	1.8	0.7	0.0
NORTH DAKOTA	3,059	667	21.8	1.6	58.7	25.9	10.3	3.4	1.8	0.0
OHIO	84,575	42,256	50.0	1.6	62.9	24.4	8.4	2.7	1.3	0.2
OKLAHOMA	14,195	6,654	46.9	1.7	54.9	28.7	10.8	4.2	1.0	0.3
OREGON	18,503	8,384	45.3	1.5	65.0	23.4	7.7	2.7	1.2	0.0
PENNSYLVANIA	88,127	26,378	29.9	1.8	54.1	25.9	11.9	5.4	2.6	0.1
PUERTO RICO	17,494	3,581	20.5	1.8	54.0	24.2	14.4	5.0	2.1	0.2
RHODE ISLAND	12,292	2,963	24.1	1.7	55.0	29.3	11.0	3.2	1.4	0.0
SOUTH CAROLINA	15,632	8,008	51.2	1.6	55.9	28.5	11.3	3.5	0.6	0.2
SOUTH DAKOTA	2,745	1,768	64.4	1.7	57.7	25.6	10.8	3.9	2.0	0.0
TENNESSEE	72,070	17,961	24.9	1.7	56.8	28.0	10.6	2.9	1.7	0.0
TEXAS	106,329	56,935	53.5	1.9	47.8	28.2	14.8	6.3	2.9	0.0
UTAH	9,041	2,729	30.2	1.7	55.6	27.1	11.1	3.4	2.2	0.7
VERMONT	4,830	1,018	21.1	1.5	66.0	25.2	7.0	1.5	0.4	0.0
VIRGIN ISLANDS	533	138	25.9	2.2	39.7	28.3	14.9	10.8	6.4	0.0
VIRGINIA	9,425	-								
WASHINGTON	55,858	20,370	36.5	1.6	60.6	23.8	10.8	3.2	1.7	0.0
WEST VIRGINIA	14,588	5,365	36.8	1.6	61.7	23.9	10.4	2.8	1.1	0.0
WISCONSIN	22,492	11,437	50.8	1.7	56.8	26.0	9.2	4.9	3.1	0.0
WYOMING	352	278	79.0	1.6	60.0	26.9	8.9	3.6	0.6	0.1

NOTE: 'a/' = DATA NOT REPORTED.

SOURCE: NATIONAL TANF DATAFILE AS OF 3/04/2005

Table 10:6

Temporary Assistance for Needy Families - Active Cases
Percent Distribution of TANF Families with One Adult by Number of Recipient Children
FY 2004

STATE	TOTAL FAMILIES	NUMBER OF RECIPIENT CHILDREN						
		AVERAGE	ONE	TWO	THREE	FOUR	5 OR MORE	UNKNOWN
U.S. TOTAL	1,071,547	1.9	46.0	28.5	13.9	5.4	3.0	3.2
ALABAMA	10,102	2.8	39.1	3.3	16.7	31.0	9.5	0.4
ALASKA	3,082	1.9	46.8	28.1	14.8	4.5	3.8	1.9
ARIZONA	29,028	1.8	49.8	27.0	14.4	3.6	1.8	3.4
ARKANSAS	5,092	1.7	53.6	30.0	11.6	3.2	1.5	0.1
CALIFORNIA	208,522	1.9	46.1	29.5	14.3	4.8	3.7	1.5
COLORADO	8,665	1.9	42.9	30.3	16.6	5.0	1.9	3.2
CONNECTICUT	11,962	1.6	57.0	23.5	9.5	2.4	1.0	6.6
DELAWARE	3,021	1.9	43.2	31.6	14.9	5.6	2.7	2.0
DIST. OF COL.	10,867	2.0	44.2	26.8	14.6	6.9	4.4	3.0
FLORIDA	22,678	1.8	48.1	28.1	12.1	5.2	1.8	4.8
GEORGIA	28,278	2.0	40.8	31.0	17.3	6.1	3.9	0.8
GUAM a/								
HAWAII	6,468	2.0	43.5	29.8	14.2	6.3	3.7	2.6
IDAHO	556	1.7	54.7	29.2	9.6	3.4	1.5	1.8
ILLINOIS	16,468	2.1	40.3	28.3	17.6	8.5	5.3	0.0
INDIANA	29,373	1.8	47.9	28.7	15.1	4.5	2.6	1.2
IOWA	12,294	1.7	51.7	28.6	12.9	4.6	1.3	1.0
KANSAS	10,770	1.9	41.5	28.1	14.4	6.9	2.5	6.5
KENTUCKY	18,156	1.7	52.0	29.0	11.8	3.9	1.6	1.9
LOUISIANA	8,262	2.0	40.4	32.5	16.2	6.1	3.1	1.8
MAINE	6,941	1.8	48.1	31.4	12.8	3.0	1.5	3.2
MARYLAND	15,444	2.0	42.0	29.0	14.1	5.6	3.7	5.6
MASSACHUSETTS	29,053	1.6	58.6	23.1	8.8	2.5	1.0	6.0
MICHIGAN	49,580	2.1	39.3	28.7	14.4	6.9	4.9	5.8
MINNESOTA	25,103	1.9	45.8	26.5	12.1	5.4	3.8	6.5
MISSISSIPPI	10,942	1.7	52.9	28.0	12.4	3.7	1.7	1.4
MISSOURI	29,390	1.8	49.6	30.2	13.1	3.9	2.0	1.2
MONTANA	2,982	1.8	45.9	30.7	13.4	4.3	2.0	3.7
NEBRASKA	7,146	1.8	50.3	26.7	13.1	5.8	2.4	1.7
NEVADA	4,746	1.9	42.4	29.5	14.7	6.9	2.1	4.4
NEW HAMPSHIRE	4,120	1.7	54.6	29.3	10.4	3.1	1.1	1.4
NEW JERSEY	27,068	1.9	47.5	27.5	12.7	6.7	2.7	2.8
NEW MEXICO	11,200	1.9	41.8	31.3	16.6	5.6	1.8	3.0
NEW YORK	71,226	1.8	47.2	27.7	12.7	4.0	1.4	6.9
NORTH CAROLINA	16,734	1.7	51.8	30.1	11.7	3.7	1.3	1.5
NORTH DAKOTA	2,376	1.9	45.7	27.3	13.9	5.9	3.3	4.0
OHIO	38,289	1.8	48.5	27.2	12.3	4.7	2.2	5.1
OKLAHOMA	7,441	2.0	41.6	28.9	18.6	6.0	2.7	2.2
OREGON	9,441	1.8	45.8	29.8	14.6	4.0	2.1	3.7
PENNSYLVANIA	57,477	2.0	42.9	26.6	14.5	7.5	3.5	4.8
PUERTO RICO	12,798	2.0	40.2	33.7	17.7	6.0	2.4	0.0
RHODE ISLAND	9,026	1.9	43.1	31.5	15.1	4.9	2.3	3.1
SOUTH CAROLINA	7,218	1.8	47.9	29.2	15.8	4.2	2.2	0.7
SOUTH DAKOTA	977	2.1	37.7	31.1	16.8	7.2	5.8	1.3
TENNESSEE	53,604	2.0	43.1	30.1	13.4	6.9	3.7	2.6
TEXAS	49,394	2.0	42.8	29.8	16.6	5.9	3.2	1.7
UTAH	6,050	1.8	47.2	29.4	13.7	4.9	2.3	2.5
VERMONT	3,243	1.6	53.3	30.3	9.3	2.2	1.2	3.7
VIRGIN ISLANDS	388	2.2	35.8	30.4	17.6	10.6	5.6	0.0
VIRGINIA	9,240	1.8	44.6	34.5	13.6	4.9	1.7	0.7
WASHINGTON	30,093	1.8	45.9	28.6	10.9	4.3	2.3	7.9
WEST VIRGINIA	8,448	1.7	50.2	32.0	13.5	2.9	1.5	0.0
WISCONSIN	10,653	2.1	43.7	28.0	15.9	7.6	4.8	0.0
WYOMING	72	1.6	59.8	25.9	10.4	3.0	0.4	0.5

NOTE: 'a/' = DATA NOT REPORTED.
SOURCE: NATIONAL TANF DATAFILE AS OF 3/04/2005

Table 10:7

Temporary Assistance for Needy Families - Active Cases
Percent Distribution of TANF Families with Two or More Adults by Number of Recipient Children
FY 2004

STATE	TOTAL FAMILIES	NUMBER OF RECIPIENT CHILDREN						
		AVERAGE	ONE	TWO	THREE	FOUR	5 OR MORE	UNKNOWN
U.S. TOTAL	48,112	2.3	32.2	30.0	17.9	9.2	6.6	4.2
ALABAMA	85	2.3	50.6	1.9	16.2	28.6	2.7	0.0
ALASKA	642	2.7	26.5	30.1	18.2	11.7	13.2	0.3
ARIZONA	934	2.1	34.6	34.0	22.0	3.4	5.2	0.8
ARKANSAS	250	1.9	44.0	30.5	15.9	7.3	2.0	0.3
CALIFORNIA	2,213	2.6	41.4	17.8	9.4	22.9	8.6	0.0
COLORADO	965	2.4	29.7	30.7	18.7	10.7	8.1	2.0
CONNECTICUT	110	2.0	31.6	38.6	13.9	7.0	1.9	7.0
DELAWARE	0							
DIST. OF COL.	261	2.4	36.8	24.1	21.7	7.1	10.2	0.1
FLORIDA	36	1.5	50.0	50.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
GEORGIA	6	3	-	-	100	-	-	-
GUAM a/								
HAWAII	113	2.0	41.7	35.0	14.8	4.1	4.1	0.4
IDAHO	61	1.8	46.4	30.6	17.0	4.3	1.8	0.0
ILLINOIS	0							
INDIANA	467	2.0	33.3	42.8	8.9	11.3	0.0	3.7
IOWA	599	2.3	33.8	28.7	22.1	9.8	4.6	1.1
KANSAS	1,541	2.2	33.9	28.9	16.9	7.9	4.6	7.8
KENTUCKY	1,125	2.1	33.0	36.2	21.4	5.6	3.5	0.3
LOUISIANA	153	2.3	34.5	30.8	17.3	9.7	6.1	1.6
MAINE	279	2.2	31.8	34.4	16.5	10.9	5.2	1.2
MARYLAND	32	1.4	68.7	20.6	10.7	0.0	0.0	0.0
MASSACHUSETTS	1,491	2.0	42.5	27.2	16.9	7.2	3.3	2.9
MICHIGAN	4,164	2.5	28.6	29.5	21.3	10.2	9.4	0.9
MINNESOTA	3	1	100	-	-	-	-	-
MISSISSIPPI	14	3.2	26.7	17.7	9.0	0.0	46.0	0.7
MISSOURI	16	2.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
MONTANA	841	2.4	30.6	29.6	22.0	11.2	6.1	0.4
NEBRASKA	4	3.1	0.0	45.4	0.0	54.6	0.0	0.0
NEVADA	-							
NEW HAMPSHIRE	158	2.4	24.9	31.7	26.9	12.7	3.5	0.4
NEW JERSEY	0							
NEW MEXICO	1,435	2.1	34.2	32.6	20.0	8.0	3.6	1.6
NEW YORK	10,678	2.1	32.9	28.0	14.7	6.2	4.7	13.5
NORTH CAROLINA	418	2.3	28.4	37.0	20.3	8.1	5.3	0.9
NORTH DAKOTA	16	3.4	26.3	6.9	13.3	37.4	16.1	0.0
OHIO	4,029	2.3	33.3	31.1	19.2	9.8	5.7	0.8
OKLAHOMA	100	2.6	25.0	28.8	23.3	14.3	7.9	0.7
OREGON	678	2.6	35.9	27.7	13.2	7.8	13.8	1.6
PENNSYLVANIA	4,272	2.5	23.9	33.3	19.5	10.3	9.4	3.5
PUERTO RICO	1,115	2.3	35.2	29.3	18.5	10.3	6.7	0.0
RHODE ISLAND	303	2.5	25.6	32.1	23.0	9.9	9.5	0.0
SOUTH CAROLINA	406	2.4	27.3	36.4	19.8	10.3	5.5	0.7
SOUTH DAKOTA	0							
TENNESSEE	505	2.1	23.6	36.5	23.4	5.0	0.0	11.5
TEXAS	0							
UTAH	262	2.5	27.4	33.5	13.2	11.7	12.9	1.2
VERMONT	569	2.1	37.7	32.4	16.5	7.9	3.9	1.7
VIRGIN ISLANDS	7	2.1	30.7	43.7	16.8	0.0	8.8	0.0
VIRGINIA	185	1.9	43.9	30.7	17.4	4.0	4.0	0.0
WASHINGTON	5,395	2.5	28.0	31.2	20.5	9.9	9.7	0.6
WEST VIRGINIA	775	1.8	47.5	31.6	15.6	4.1	1.1	0.0
WISCONSIN	401	2.3	34.1	30.7	19.1	9.6	6.4	0.0
WYOMING	2	1.9	28.8	56.7	14.4	0.0	0.0	0.0

NOTE: 'a/' = DATA NOT REPORTED.
SOURCE: NATIONAL TANF DATAFILE AS OF 3/04/2005

Table 10:8

Temporary Assistance for Needy Families - Active Cases
Percent Distribution of TANF Families by Ethnicity/Race*
FY 2004

STATE	TOTAL FAMILIES	HISPANIC**	WHITE	AFRICAN-AMERICAN	NATIVE AMERICAN	ASIAN	HAWAIIAN	MULTI-RACIAL	UNKNOWN
U.S. TOTAL	1,983,973	24.1	32.9	37.6	1.4	1.8	0.5	0.5	1.2
ALABAMA	19,155	1.1	28.4	70.0	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.4	0.0
ALASKA	4,926	3.9	48.6	9.1	30.6	4.2	2.9	0.8	0.0
ARIZONA	49,560	49.7	31.6	10.6	7.2	0.2	0.4	0.3	0.0
ARKANSAS	10,023	2.5	37.4	59.5	0.2	0.2	0.0	0.0	0.2
CALIFORNIA	456,667	47.7	23.5	22.2	0.4	4.7	0.8	0.7	0.0
COLORADO	14,624	35.2	41.0	17.1	1.2	0.7	0.1	0.3	4.3
CONNECTICUT	20,720	37.8	27.6	33.9	0.2	0.5	0.0	0.0	0.0
DELAWARE	5,643	7.4	26.6	65.4	0.1	0.4	0.0	0.0	0.0
DIST. OF COL.	17,174	1.2	0.2	98.3	0.0	0.3	0.1	0.0	0.0
FLORIDA	57,457	19.4	28.8	51.5	0.1	0.0	0.2	0.0	0.0
GEORGIA	53,201	2.8	22.8	73.8	0.2	0.1	0.0	0.2	0.0
GUAM a/									
HAWAII	8,865	5.0	21.1	2.0	0.3	18.0	43.3	9.6	0.7
IDAHO	1,848	15.4	77.8	1.3	3.5	0.1	0.2	1.6	0.0
ILLINOIS	35,659	8.2	20.6	70.4	0.0	0.6	0.0	0.1	0.1
INDIANA	50,450	5.7	48.9	43.5	0.0	0.1	0.0	1.6	0.0
IOWA	18,286	4.7	67.9	14.3	0.5	0.5	0.0	0.9	11.3
KANSAS	16,745	10.9	57.0	28.3	1.3	1.1	0.0	0.6	0.8
KENTUCKY	35,629	1.4	74.3	23.9	0.0	0.2	0.0	0.0	0.2
LOUISIANA	18,778	2.1	14.8	82.6	0.0	0.1	0.1	0.3	0.0
MAINE	9,678	0.7	81.9	2.9	1.6	0.7	0.1	0.7	11.3
MARYLAND	25,430	1.6	17.8	80.0	0.2	0.5	0.0	0.0	0.0
MASSACHUSETTS	49,753	33.4	41.9	20.8	0.4	3.5	0.0	0.0	0.0
MICHIGAN	79,432	3.6	45.9	48.3	1.3	0.4	0.1	0.4	0.0
MINNESOTA	34,340	8.3	43.2	31.6	8.4	6.9	0.1	1.3	0.0
MISSISSIPPI	18,793	0.2	16.5	83.0	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
MISSOURI	40,979	2.6	50.1	46.3	0.5	0.3	0.1	0.1	0.0
MONTANA	5,257	3.0	44.9	0.5	50.9	0.2	0.1	0.4	0.0
NEBRASKA	10,879	8.8	36.8	23.4	4.1	0.5	0.0	0.0	26.5
NEVADA	8,837	20.6	40.5	34.0	2.2	2.3	0.0	0.3	0.0
NEW HAMPSHIRE	6,047	5.4	90.9	3.5	0.0	0.2	0.0	0.0	0.0
NEW JERSEY	44,729	25.5	15.3	57.9	0.0	0.5	0.0	0.5	0.1
NEW MEXICO	17,591	71.4	19.2	3.5	5.5	0.0	0.3	0.0	0.0
NEW YORK	147,034	35.5	21.3	40.6	0.3	1.0	0.1	1.3	0.0
NORTH CAROLINA	37,651	5.9	25.2	66.6	2.0	0.3	0.0	0.0	0.0
NORTH DAKOTA	3,059	3.0	38.2	0.8	55.7	0.2	0.1	2.0	0.0
OHIO	84,575	3.4	48.2	47.8	0.1	0.3	0.0	0.2	0.0
OKLAHOMA	14,195	6.3	45.1	35.8	12.4	0.0	0.0	0.5	0.0
OREGON	18,503	14.9	69.0	9.9	3.8	1.5	0.1	0.0	0.7
PENNSYLVANIA	88,127	1.3	37.7	49.8	0.1	1.2	0.1	0.0	9.7
PUERTO RICO	17,494	99.8	0.2	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
RHODE ISLAND	12,292	26.3	40.0	14.5	0.2	3.2	0.0	0.0	15.8
SOUTH CAROLINA	15,632	2.0	26.1	70.7	0.2	0.1	0.0	0.8	0.1
SOUTH DAKOTA	2,745	2.1	23.1	2.3	70.4	0.3	0.0	1.8	0.0
TENNESSEE	72,070	1.6	38.9	59.1	0.2	0.2	0.0	0.0	0.0
TEXAS	106,329	48.4	17.6	32.8	0.2	0.4	0.0	0.6	0.0
UTAH	9,041	18.1	72.1	3.4	4.2	1.6	0.2	0.3	0.0
VERMONT	4,830	0.5	96.6	2.1	0.2	0.6	0.0	0.0	0.0
VIRGIN ISLANDS	533	33.2	0.4	65.8	0.3	0.3	0.0	0.0	0.0
VIRGINIA	9,425	2.3	27.9	68.4	0.3	0.9	0.2	0.0	0.0
WASHINGTON	55,858	14.4	59.5	11.7	4.4	1.6	1.6	0.3	6.6
WEST VIRGINIA	14,588	0.3	89.4	9.9	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.3	0.0
WISCONSIN	22,492	7.9	22.8	57.4	1.8	2.7	0.1	0.8	6.7
WYOMING	352	13.2	70.5	5.4	10.6	0.3	0.0	0.0	0.0

NOTES: '*' = ETHNICITY/RACE IS BASED ON HEAD OF FAMILY OR OLDEST CHILD IF CHILD ONLY CASE.
'**' = CAN BE OF ANY RACE.
'a/' = DATA NOT REPORTED.
SOURCE: NATIONAL TANF DATAFILE AS OF 3/04/2005

Table 10:9

Temporary Assistance for Needy Families - Active Cases
Percent Distribution of All Adults* Living in the Household by the Family Affiliation
FY 2004

STATE	TOTAL FAMILIES	TOTAL ADULTS	RECIPIENT ADULTS	NON-RECIPIENT ADULTS			
				PARENT	CARE-TAKER	MINOR SIBLING	OTHER PERSON**
U.S. TOTAL b/	1,983,973	2,113,090	55.3	28.2	14.5	0.0	2.0
ALABAMA	19,155	19,834	51.8	0.3	47.9	0.0	0.0
ALASKA	4,926	5,987	72.9	1.0	26.0	0.0	0.1
ARIZONA	49,560	40,568	76.2	2.4	0.1	0.0	21.3
ARKANSAS	10,023	10,439	53.6	17.7	28.6	0.0	0.1
CALIFORNIA	456,667	503,311	42.3	47.7	8.3	0.0	1.7
COLORADO	14,624	--	--	--	--	--	--
CONNECTICUT	20,720	21,283	57.2	15.4	25.1	0.0	2.3
DELAWARE	5,643	5,921	51.0	9.8	38.2	0.0	1.0
DIST. OF COL.	17,174	17,469	65.2	26.3	8.5	0.0	0.0
FLORIDA	57,457	63,921	35.6	23.9	39.9	0.0	0.7
GEORGIA	53,201	56,263	50.3	20.2	29.4	0.0	0.1
GUAM a/							
HAWAII	8,865	--	--	--	--	--	--
IDAHO	1,848	2,453	27.7	1.2	71.1	0.0	0.0
ILLINOIS	35,659	38,487	42.8	30.9	19.0	0.0	7.3
INDIANA	50,450	54,765	55.3	31.8	11.8	0.0	1.0
IOWA	18,286	19,918	67.7	16.6	15.1	0.0	0.6
KANSAS	16,745	18,734	73.9	14.6	11.2	0.0	0.2
KENTUCKY	35,629	31,345	65.1	32.2	0.0	0.0	2.7
LOUISIANA	18,778	18,910	45.3	21.7	31.8	0.0	1.2
MAINE	9,678	11,793	63.6	15.8	15.0	0.0	5.7
MARYLAND	25,430	--	--	--	--	--	--
MASSACHUSETTS	49,753	53,038	60.4	29.4	9.8	0.0	0.5
MICHIGAN	79,432	91,153	63.5	17.9	18.5	0.0	0.0
MINNESOTA	34,340	36,827	68.2	20.0	11.0	0.0	0.8
MISSISSIPPI	18,793	20,255	54.2	25.1	14.4	0.0	6.3
MISSOURI	40,979	43,132	68.2	19.8	11.7	0.0	0.3
MONTANA	5,257	6,446	72.3	0.0	24.7	0.0	2.9
NEBRASKA	10,879	7,231	100.0	--	--	--	--
NEVADA	8,837	9,104	52.1	21.9	25.7	0.0	0.2
NEW HAMPSHIRE	6,047	6,564	67.6	18.0	14.4	0.0	0.1
NEW JERSEY	44,729	45,995	58.8	17.5	23.6	0.0	0.0
NEW MEXICO	17,591	20,636	68.2	22.2	9.6	0.0	0.0
NEW YORK	147,034	157,057	59.4	28.6	12.0	0.0	0.0
NORTH CAROLINA	37,651	37,751	46.5	17.4	34.0	0.0	2.1
NORTH DAKOTA	3,059	3,194	75.4	9.1	13.3	0.0	2.1
OHIO	84,575	65,090	71.2	26.4	1.2	0.0	1.2
OKLAHOMA	14,195	--	--	--	--	--	--
OREGON	18,503	18,530	58.3	25.0	16.0	0.0	0.7
PENNSYLVANIA	88,127	95,979	68.8	24.1	6.9	0.2	0.0
PUERTO RICO	17,494	24,564	61.2	5.6	9.5	0.5	23.2
RHODE ISLAND	12,292	11,893	81.0	15.3	3.7	0.0	0.0
SOUTH CAROLINA	15,632	17,971	44.7	16.4	37.8	0.0	1.1
SOUTH DAKOTA	2,745	3,214	30.4	13.0	56.6	0.0	0.0
TENNESSEE	72,070	74,456	73.4	0.8	23.7	0.0	2.2
TEXAS	106,329	112,699	43.8	41.1	14.3	0.0	0.7
UTAH	9,041	9,483	69.3	12.0	18.7	0.0	0.0
VERMONT	4,830	5,638	77.7	14.2	8.1	0.0	0.0
VIRGIN ISLANDS	533	810	49.6	13.2	9.6	0.0	27.6
VIRGINIA	9,425	9,878	97.3	1.5	0.0	0.0	1.2
WASHINGTON	55,858	64,824	63.1	22.1	14.6	0.0	0.3
WEST VIRGINIA	14,588	15,653	63.9	0.7	10.9	0.0	24.5
WISCONSIN	22,492	23,173	49.4	28.8	21.6	0.0	0.2
WYOMING	352	--	--	--	--	--	--

NOTES: 'a/' = DATA NOT REPORTED.
'--' = DATA NOT AVAILABLE FOR NON-RECIPIENT ADULTS.
'b' = ESTIMATED BECAUSE SEVERAL STATES DID NOT REPORT NON-RECIPIENT ADULTS.
'*' = ADULTS OR MINOR CHILD HEAD OF HOUSEHOLD.
'**' = PERSON WHOSE INCOME IS CONSIDERED IN DETERMINING ELIGIBILITY.
SOURCE: NATIONAL TANF DATAFILE AS OF 3/04/2005

Table 10:10

Temporary Assistance for Needy Families - Active Cases
Percent Distribution of TANF Teen Recipients with Teen Parent Status
FY 2004

STATE	TOTAL FAMILIES	TOTAL TEEN RECIPIENTS	TEEN PARENT STATUS	
			YES	NO
U.S. TOTAL	1,983,973	879,488	10.2	89.8
ALABAMA	19,155	10,948	8.2	91.8
ALASKA	4,926	2,585	9.4	90.6
ARIZONA	49,560	21,315	12.0	88.0
ARKANSAS	10,023	4,020	19.1	80.9
CALIFORNIA	456,667	225,308	6.4	93.6
COLORADO	14,624	5,675	15.8	84.2
CONNECTICUT	20,720	8,585	16.1	83.9
DELAWARE	5,643	2,314	13.5	86.5
DIST. OF COL.	17,174	6,834	10.8	89.2
FLORIDA	57,457	24,185	8.0	92.0
GEORGIA	53,201	23,627	10.7	89.3
GUAM a/				
HAWAII	8,865	4,091	8.6	91.4
IDAHO	1,848	673	8.4	91.6
ILLINOIS	35,659	18,569	12.8	87.2
INDIANA	50,450	19,165	14.6	85.4
IOWA	18,286	6,973	14.2	85.8
KANSAS	16,745	6,171	20.6	79.4
KENTUCKY	35,629	13,862	11.2	88.8
LOUISIANA	18,778	9,002	11.1	88.9
MAINE	9,678	3,957	8.9	91.1
MARYLAND	25,430	10,716	10.1	89.9
MASSACHUSETTS	49,753	21,942	10.0	90.0
MICHIGAN	79,432	37,151	11.2	88.8
MINNESOTA	34,340	18,036	13.4	86.6
MISSISSIPPI	18,793	7,795	16.1	83.9
MISSOURI	40,979	17,326	16.2	83.8
MONTANA	5,257	2,356	11.7	88.3
NEBRASKA	10,879	4,438	9.9	90.1
NEVADA	8,837	3,804	13.2	86.8
NEW HAMPSHIRE	6,047	2,149	9.5	90.5
NEW JERSEY	44,729	20,829	8.5	91.5
NEW MEXICO	17,591	6,447	18.7	81.3
NEW YORK	147,034	59,175	5.6	94.4
NORTH CAROLINA	37,651	14,027	12.0	88.0
NORTH DAKOTA	3,059	1,225	0.0	100.0
OHIO	84,575	37,340	12.6	87.4
OKLAHOMA	14,195	6,430	9.1	90.9
OREGON	18,503	7,941	11.5	88.5
PENNSYLVANIA	88,127	38,697	13.1	86.9
PUERTO RICO	17,494	7,420	7.1	92.9
RHODE ISLAND	12,292	5,828	10.5	89.5
SOUTH CAROLINA	15,632	7,750	12.6	87.4
SOUTH DAKOTA	2,745	1,374	7.2	92.8
TENNESSEE	72,070	31,031	10.7	89.3
TEXAS	106,329	38,950	12.6	87.4
UTAH	9,041	3,384	11.9	88.1
VERMONT	4,830	1,872	13.3	86.7
VIRGIN ISLANDS	533	185	16.4	83.6
VIRGINIA	9,425	3,254	99.7	0.3
WASHINGTON	55,858	23,910	7.8	92.2
WEST VIRGINIA	14,588	5,838	13.3	86.7
WISCONSIN	22,492	12,870	7.0	93.0
WYOMING	352	136	3.9	96.1

NOTE: 'a/' = DATA NOT REPORTED.
SOURCE: NATIONAL TANF DATAFILE AS OF 3/04/2005

Table 10:11

Temporary Assistance for Needy Families - Active Cases
Percent Distribution of TANF Recipient Teen Parents by Relationship to Head of Household
FY 2004

STATE	TOTAL FAMILIES	TOTAL TEEN RECIPIENTS	TOTAL TEEN PARENTS	RELATIONSHIP TO HEAD OF HOUSEHOLD			
				HEAD OF HOUSEHOLD	CHILD	GRAND CHILD	OTHER
U.S. TOTAL	1,983,973	879,488	89,925	85.7	10.5	1.3	2.5
ALABAMA	19,155	10,948	898	82.1	8.0	6.8	3.1
ALASKA	4,926	2,585	243	92.3	1.6	0.0	6.1
ARIZONA	49,560	21,315	2,558	83.6	12.2	1.8	2.4
ARKANSAS	10,023	4,020	767	61.9	30.9	2.9	4.3
CALIFORNIA	456,667	225,308	14,364	82.7	14.7	2.6	0.0
COLORADO	14,624	5,675	897	74.9	19.6	0.6	4.9
CONNECTICUT	20,720	8,585	1,378	98.0	2.0	0.0	0.0
DELAWARE	5,643	2,314	312	79.9	11.9	3.7	4.5
DIST. OF COL.	17,174	6,834	740	98.7	0.1	0.0	1.2
FLORIDA	57,457	24,185	1,925	86.5	9.1	0.9	3.5
GEORGIA	53,201	23,627	2,526	93.2	6.8	0.0	0.0
GUAM a/							
HAWAII	8,865	4,091	353	93.1	3.7	0.0	3.2
IDAHO	1,848	673	56	94.2	0.0	0.0	5.8
ILLINOIS	35,659	18,569	2,380	95.2	4.8	0.0	0.0
INDIANA	50,450	19,165	2,803	85.7	11.0	2.5	0.8
IOWA	18,286	6,973	987	97.2	0.0	0.0	2.8
KANSAS	16,745	6,171	1,274	80.2	5.4	1.7	12.7
KENTUCKY	35,629	13,862	1,557	93.5	4.0	0.0	2.5
LOUISIANA	18,778	9,002	999	96.4	2.9	0.0	0.7
MAINE	9,678	3,957	353	83.4	14.6	0.0	2.0
MARYLAND	25,430	10,716	1,085	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
MASSACHUSETTS	49,753	21,942	2,204	85.7	10.6	1.1	2.6
MICHIGAN	79,432	37,151	4,166	94.5	0.1	0.0	5.4
MINNESOTA	34,340	18,036	2,422	99.7	0.0	0.0	0.3
MISSISSIPPI	18,793	7,795	1,251	99.5	0.0	0.0	0.5
MISSOURI	40,979	17,326	2,810	93.3	6.1	0.5	0.1
MONTANA	5,257	2,356	275	83.2	4.4	0.7	11.7
NEBRASKA	10,879	4,438	441	74.9	0.0	0.0	25.1
NEVADA	8,837	3,804	502	86.5	9.6	1.7	2.2
NEW HAMPSHIRE	6,047	2,149	205	93.4	5.7	0.0	0.9
NEW JERSEY	44,729	20,829	1,779	90.4	5.2	2.6	1.8
NEW MEXICO	17,591	6,447	1,205	93.1	0.0	0.0	6.9
NEW YORK	147,034	59,175	3,336	93.3	0.4	2.0	4.3
NORTH CAROLINA	37,651	14,027	1,689	95.3	0.8	0.0	3.9
NORTH DAKOTA	3,059	1,225	-				
OHIO	84,575	37,340	4,709	82.1	10.0	1.7	6.2
OKLAHOMA	14,195	6,430	586	99.9	0.0	0.0	0.1
OREGON	18,503	7,941	912	83.6	10.2	1.0	5.2
PENNSYLVANIA	88,127	38,697	5,061	83.2	12.3	0.9	3.6
PUERTO RICO	17,494	7,420	527	85.2	10.8	0.0	4.0
RHODE ISLAND	12,292	5,828	610	81.7	16.3	0.0	2.0
SOUTH CAROLINA	15,632	7,750	980	96.3	2.4	0.0	1.3
SOUTH DAKOTA	2,745	1,374	100	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
TENNESSEE	72,070	31,031	3,333	88.3	6.3	4.6	0.8
TEXAS	106,329	38,950	4,902	90.4	6.8	1.9	0.9
UTAH	9,041	3,384	402	99.2	0.0	0.0	0.8
VERMONT	4,830	1,872	248	92.4	0.0	0.0	7.6
VIRGIN ISLANDS	533	185	30	90.9	8.3	0.8	0.0
VIRGINIA	9,425	3,254	3,243	10.6	89.1	0.1	0.2
WASHINGTON	55,858	23,910	1,857	92.8	0.0	0.0	7.2
WEST VIRGINIA	14,588	5,838	776	82.3	6.7	0.0	11.0
WISCONSIN	22,492	12,870	904	98.0	0.0	0.0	2.0
WYOMING	352	136	5	84.1	0.0	12.8	3.1

NOTE: 'a/ = DATA NOT REPORTED.
SOURCE: NATIONAL TANF DATAFILE AS OF 3/04/2005

Table 10:12

Temporary Assistance for Needy Families - Active Cases
Reasons for Parents Living in the Household but Not in the Assistance Unit (AU)
FY 2004

STATE	FAMILIES w/NO ADULT IN AU	PERCENT FAMILIES w/PARENTS NOT IN AU	NUMBER OF FAMILIES w/PARENTS NOT IN AU	FAMILIES WITH PARENTS IN HOUSEHOLD BUT NOT IN ASSISTANCE UNIT				
						REASON NOT IN ASSISTANCE UNIT		
				PERCENT w/SANCTION*	NONRECIPIENT PARENT FAMILIES**	SSI BENEFIT	UNKNOWN CITIZENSHIP/ ALIENAGE STATUS	OTHER/ UNKNOWN
U.S. TOTAL	864,314	55.3	478,157	11.0	426,098	45.6	35.6	18.8
ALABAMA	8,967	0.7	64	0.0	64	100.0	0.0	0.0
ALASKA	1,202	3.2	39	0.0	39	0.0	66.3	33.7
ARIZONA	19,598	2.2	423	0.0	423	3.2	90.6	6.2
ARKANSAS	4,681	38.2	1,790	4.8	1,704	72.7	3.4	23.9
CALIFORNIA	245,932	78.9	193,931	18.9	157,278	39.7	60.3	0.0
COLORADO	4,993	0.0	-	-	-	-	-	-
CONNECTICUT	8,648	35.5	3,068	0.0	3,068	42.6	19.9	37.5
DELAWARE	2,622	21.9	574	1.5	565	0.0	7.5	92.5
DIST. OF COL.	6,047	75.3	4,556	65.2	1,585	48.3	9.2	42.5
FLORIDA	34,743	37.8	13,117	4.9	12,474	58.9	0.0	41.1
GEORGIA	24,918	43.1	10,728	0.3	10,696	58.1	17.5	24.4
GUAM a/								
HAWAII	2,284	0.0	-	-	-	-	-	-
IDAHO	1,231	2.2	27	0.0	27	0.0	0.0	100.0
ILLINOIS	19,191	61.9	11,879	0.1	11,867	52.1	7.3	40.6
INDIANA	20,610	78.7	16,214	4.0	15,565	28.8	4.3	66.9
IOWA	5,394	44.0	2,374	4.1	2,276	77.0	12.2	10.8
KANSAS	4,434	52.5	2,326	0.0	2,326	54.0	0.3	45.7
KENTUCKY	16,348	48.6	7,950	1.5	7,831	89.4	0.0	10.6
LOUISIANA	10,363	37.0	3,831	0.0	3,831	0.0	0.0	100.0
MAINE	2,458	56.3	1,383	2.4	1,350	92.9	0.0	7.1
MARYLAND	9,954	0.0	-	-	-	-	-	-
MASSACHUSETTS	19,209	73.5	14,114	9.3	12,801	75.5	16.3	8.2
MICHIGAN	25,688	49.3	12,659	0.6	12,583	58.0	9.2	32.8
MINNESOTA	9,234	56.5	5,221	0.6	5,190	73.8	24.8	1.4
MISSISSIPPI	7,836	62.1	4,868	1.5	4,794	99.8	0.0	0.2
MISSOURI	11,573	59.6	6,894	0.0	6,894	54.3	0.0	45.7
MONTANA	1,434	0.0	-	-	-	-	-	-
NEBRASKA	3,729	0.0	-	-	-	-	-	-
NEVADA	4,090	42.6	1,741	0.4	1,734	55.4	42.2	2.4
NEW HAMPSHIRE	1,769	48.9	865	0.0	865	82.0	0.0	18.0
NEW JERSEY	17,661	44.8	7,918	22.6	6,128	53.2	0.0	46.8
NEW MEXICO	4,955	71.4	3,537	1.3	3,491	38.3	61.1	0.6
NEW YORK	65,131	61.5	40,064	4.4	38,301	45.7	37.9	16.4
NORTH CAROLINA	20,498	29.0	5,954	3.9	5,722	61.4	32.3	6.3
NORTH DAKOTA	667	36.3	242	35.0	157	33.1	0.0	66.9
OHIO	42,256	35.5	14,987	0.0	14,987	82.0	0.0	18.0
OKLAHOMA	6,654	0.0	-	-	-	-	-	-
OREGON	8,384	45.7	3,831	8.2	3,516	47.5	38.7	13.8
PENNSYLVANIA	26,378	71.1	18,743	19.1	15,163	0.0	0.0	100.0
PUERTO RICO	3,581	34.0	1,219	96.6	41	0.0	14.7	85.3
RHODE ISLAND	2,963	55.8	1,653	0.1	1,652	50.4	0.0	49.6
SOUTH CAROLINA	8,008	33.4	2,675	3.0	2,594	89.1	6.9	4.0
SOUTH DAKOTA	1,768	19.5	344	0.0	344	92.5	0.0	7.5
TENNESSEE	17,961	3.2	578	0.0	578	4.4	0.0	95.6
TEXAS	56,935	64.9	36,975	0.1	36,938	17.5	57.4	25.1
UTAH	2,729	34.9	954	0.0	954	61.6	34.1	4.3
VERMONT	1,018	55.2	561	3.0	544	100.0	0.0	0.0
VIRGIN ISLANDS	138	61.0	84	69.1	26	0.0	37.5	62.5
VIRGINIA	-							
WASHINGTON	20,370	52.6	10,712	0.7	10,637	46.7	45.9	7.4
WEST VIRGINIA	5,365	0.9	47	0.0	47	17.6	0.0	82.4
WISCONSIN	11,437	56.3	6,443	0.0	6,443	96.2	0.0	3.8
WYOMING	278	0.0	-	-	-	-	-	-

NOTES: 'a/' = DATA NOT REPORTED.

'*' = SANCTIONED PARENTS COULD ALSO RECEIVE SSI BENEFITS OR BE IN UNKNOWN CITIZENSHIP/ALIENAGE STATUS.

'**' = EXCLUDES CASES WHERE THE PARENT HAS BEEN SANCTIONED

SOURCE: NATIONAL TANF DATAFILE AS OF 3/04/2005

Table 10:13

Temporary Assistance for Needy Families - Active Cases
Percent Distribution of TANF Families Receiving Other Assistance
FY 2004

STATE	TOTAL FAMILIES	TYPE OF ASSISTANCE						
		MEDICAL ASSISTANCE	FOOD STAMPS		SUBSIDIZED HOUSING		SUBSIDIZED CHILD CARE	
			PERCENT	MONTHLY AVERAGE	PUBLIC HOUSING	RENT SUBSIDY	FEDERAL	STATE/ LOCAL
U.S. TOTAL	1,983,973	98.9	81.5	$249.74	6.3	12.5	7.6	0.7
ALABAMA	19,155	--	76.8	286.51	11.7	6.5	0.6	0.0
ALASKA	4,926	97.9	74.8	287.87	2.4	9.4	11.6	0.0
ARIZONA	49,560	92.5	82.8	261.53	0.0	0.0	8.6	0.0
ARKANSAS	10,023	95.0	80.3	303.27	7.5	6.3	0.8	0.2
CALIFORNIA	456,667	100.0	84.1	234.17	3.4	14.4	1.7	0.4
COLORADO	14,624	89.4	63.7	280.77	15.3	8.0	16.1	0.0
CONNECTICUT	20,720	100.0	73.8	182.62	1.1	34.0	3.5	0.0
DELAWARE	5,643	100.0	72.4	223.49	14.5	0.0	19.6	12.0
DIST. OF COL.	17,174	99.0	80.8	251.06	18.8	10.3	9.7	0.0
FLORIDA	57,457	100.0	67.8	263.90	5.2	12.3	11.4	0.0
GEORGIA	53,201	96.2	73.0	251.30	6.4	5.4	--	--
GUAM a/								
HAWAII	8,865	100.0	81.4	374.93	2.6	14.3	10.8	0.3
IDAHO	1,848	98.3	53.1	231.89	0.0	2.3	9.8	0.0
ILLINOIS	35,659	99.6	69.4	260.92	--	--	0.0	7.5
INDIANA	50,450	99.3	90.6	265.16	8.5	13.0	11.2	0.0
IOWA	18,286	70.8	75.7	256.31	0.4	1.8	17.8	0.0
KANSAS	16,745	100.0	83.6	282.38	5.3	11.4	12.6	0.0
KENTUCKY	35,629	99.5	77.4	218.50	1.8	4.4	9.2	0.0
LOUISIANA	18,778	100.0	87.0	266.18	10.2	5.9	13.5	0.0
MAINE	9,678	99.8	94.5	219.61	9.9	3.0	13.5	0.0
MARYLAND	25,430	100.0	70.9	252.14	10.8	17.6	0.1	0.0
MASSACHUSETTS	49,753	100.0	85.2	199.28	31.6	21.2	5.8	2.6
MICHIGAN	79,432	98.7	81.9	259.68	1.0	12.5	15.6	0.0
MINNESOTA	34,340	96.5	99.8	283.59	0.0	29.1	9.8	0.0
MISSISSIPPI	18,793	100.0	84.4	222.31	0.6	4.9	3.0	0.0
MISSOURI	40,979	99.7	79.9	268.05	6.0	11.9	13.7	0.0
MONTANA	5,257	99.5	84.4	291.10	3.8	25.3	0.0	0.0
NEBRASKA	10,879	100.0	75.9	275.65	2.1	0.0	19.5	0.0
NEVADA	8,837	100.0	61.1	288.09	0.0	22.7	4.3	0.0
NEW HAMPSHIRE	6,047	100.0	79.5	242.65	3.5	21.1	16.0	0.0
NEW JERSEY	44,729	98.2	77.0	257.45	5.9	16.4	9.8	0.0
NEW MEXICO	17,591	99.6	91.3	284.37	16.4	0.0	8.6	0.0
NEW YORK	147,034	100.0	83.4	241.22	10.7	12.1	7.0	0.0
NORTH CAROLINA	37,651	100.0	65.5	224.46	12.2	9.7	8.5	2.0
NORTH DAKOTA	3,059	97.9	84.5	288.10	12.5	48.7	26.9	0.0
OHIO	84,575	99.7	73.4	221.48	5.1	6.6	6.3	0.0
OKLAHOMA	14,195	100.0	b/	b/	0.0	22.0	5.3	0.0
OREGON	18,503	98.6	71.9	269.44	6.4	14.2	10.4	0.0
PENNSYLVANIA	88,127	100.0	81.4	297.97	8.8	12.2	14.5	0.0
PUERTO RICO	17,494	97.5	97.6	248.32	22.9	11.6	0.2	0.0
RHODE ISLAND	12,292	100.0	90.8	222.15	9.0	22.4	1.7	8.5
SOUTH CAROLINA	15,632	99.9	82.1	253.37	10.8	12.1	17.1	0.0
SOUTH DAKOTA	2,745	100.0	66.9	229.20	34.9	0.0	5.5	0.0
TENNESSEE	72,070	100.0	87.7	277.13	1.0	1.9	0.0	7.0
TEXAS	106,329	100.0	87.6	253.62	8.4	17.8	11.0	0.0
UTAH	9,041	100.0	79.1	279.01	--	--	13.5	0.0
VERMONT	4,830	100.0	86.4	252.27	6.3	21.8	19.6	1.3
VIRGIN ISLANDS	533	84.3	86.6	410.49	42.9	19.1	0.5	0.1
VIRGINIA	9,425	100.0	93.7	246.08	--	--	29.2	0.0
WASHINGTON	55,858	99.3	82.5	253.93	0.0	20.0	15.4	0.0
WEST VIRGINIA	14,588	98.5	85.2	253.95	7.7	14.8	6.6	0.1
WISCONSIN	22,492	89.3	71.5	212.90	1.8	4.5	17.0	0.0
WYOMING	352	93.5	56.7	260.09	0.0	4.4	0.0	0.0

NOTES: 'a/' = DATA NOT REPORTED.
'b/' = DATA REPORTED BUT NOT RELIABLE.
'--' = DATA NOT AVAILABLE.
SOURCE: NATIONAL TANF DATAFILE AS OF 3/04/2005

Table 10:14

Temporary Assistance for Needy Families - Active Cases
Percent Distribution of TANF Families with Receipt of Child Support and with Cash Resources
FY 2004

STATE	TOTAL FAMILIES	CHILD SUPPORT		CASH RESOURCES	
		PERCENT	MONTHLY AVERAGE	PERCENT	MONTHLY AVERAGE
U.S. TOTAL	1,983,973	9.5	$190.46	12.2	$215.10
ALABAMA	19,155	5.3	126.89	5.5	117.82
ALASKA	4,926	16.0	49.01	55.4	250.54
ARIZONA	49,560	8.3	267.53	18.0	113.57
ARKANSAS	10,023	0.5	175.62	0.2	105.50
CALIFORNIA	456,667	6.0	107.07	10.1	271.00
COLORADO	14,624	7.4	146.95	1.6	305.65
CONNECTICUT	20,720	15.3	256.75	23.2	285.10
DELAWARE	5,643	17.5	168.34	7.6	124.42
DIST. OF COL.	17,174	3.6	240.30	4.3	267.94
FLORIDA	57,457	17.7	278.75	8.6	205.53
GEORGIA	53,201	17.8	218.93	19.2	117.54
GUAM a/					
HAWAII	8,865	--	--	--	--
IDAHO	1,848	27.2	256.49	14.9	177.95
ILLINOIS	35,659	--	--	--	--
INDIANA	50,450	7.3	210.38	29.6	173.76
IOWA	18,286	24.5	188.32	3.0	220.52
KANSAS	16,745	12.2	157.35	--	--
KENTUCKY	35,629	14.9	226.86	6.4	245.25
LOUISIANA	18,778	10.7	210.76	6.3	167.84
MAINE	9,678	16.8	245.49	15.9	126.18
MARYLAND	25,430	11.5	224.11	12.9	125.22
MASSACHUSETTS	49,753	11.6	300.28	20.3	210.18
MICHIGAN	79,432	12.0	158.02	--	--
MINNESOTA	34,340	17.4	335.09	24.7	342.79
MISSISSIPPI	18,793	2.6	151.16	2.8	166.23
MISSOURI	40,979	3.1	272.05	1.9	241.79
MONTANA	5,257	15.0	191.27	16.6	167.33
NEBRASKA	10,879	13.6	190.78	30.4	319.44
NEVADA	8,837	5.0	227.99	21.3	208.51
NEW HAMPSHIRE	6,047	24.1	246.77	21.3	193.23
NEW JERSEY	44,729	14.3	165.67	0.3	218.83
NEW MEXICO	17,591	4.5	256.08	13.8	170.56
NEW YORK	147,034	2.9	216.70	2.5	291.12
NORTH CAROLINA	37,651	4.8	147.74	4.3	267.47
NORTH DAKOTA	3,059	2.5	254.50	30.6	239.60
OHIO	84,575	--	--	--	--
OKLAHOMA	14,195	5.7	220.11	5.5	202.63
OREGON	18,503	9.2	159.2	4.2	130.9
PENNSYLVANIA	88,127	4.5	107.01	15.4	160.78
PUERTO RICO	17,494	0.6	106.94	0.1	166.6
RHODE ISLAND	12,292	18.2	291.20	28.4	188.66
SOUTH CAROLINA	15,632	20.1	206.35	7.8	87.45
SOUTH DAKOTA	2,745	18.5	220.50	7.7	154.01
TENNESSEE	72,070	14.2	290.74	17.5	176.85
TEXAS	106,329	9.3	264.06	20.0	95.39
UTAH	9,041	14.7	208.24	2.2	237.53
VERMONT	4,830	17.2	47.54	33.3	156.66
VIRGIN ISLANDS	533	12.6	142.11	34.5	183.31
VIRGINIA	9,425	22.8	176.11	7.2	93.00
WASHINGTON	55,858	21.7	226.57	19.0	216.82
WEST VIRGINIA	14,588	3.1	194.06	32.6	173.35
WISCONSIN	22,492	11.8	280.31	8.0	186.19
WYOMING	352	3.1	159.25	10.9	238.27

NOTES: 'a/' = DATA NOT REPORTED.
'--' = DATA NOT AVAILABLE OR REPORTED IN ERROR.
SOURCE: NATIONAL TANF DATAFILE AS OF 3/04/2005

Table 10:15

Temporary Assistance for Needy Families - Active Cases
Percent Distribution of TANF Families by General Reason for Grant Reduction
FY 2004

STATE	TOTAL FAMILIES	SANCTION		RECOUPMENT*		OTHER**	
		PERCENT	MONTHLY AVERAGE	PERCENT	MONTHLY AVERAGE	PERCENT	MONTHLY AVERAGE
U.S. TOTAL	1,983,973	5.6	$136.79	8.3	$37.50	8.9	$125.95
ALABAMA	19,155	7.6	57.94	1.2	27.87	0.0	0.00
ALASKA	4,926	7.7	513.17	2.2	79.52	2.0	312.69
ARIZONA	49,560	1.5	101.96	0.5	19.85	7.8	94.47
ARKANSAS	10,023	9.2	55.66	0.1	16.72	5.7	52.37
CALIFORNIA	456,667	10.4	161.19	17.6	31.62	6.6	183.01
COLORADO	14,624	1.6	114.44	4.1	28.79	0.0	0.00
CONNECTICUT	20,720	1.3	117.83	3.3	26.77	5.1	55.11
DELAWARE	5,643	3.2	122.55	2.4	27.66	0.7	100.22
DIST. OF COL.	17,174	19.1	73.45	5.1	52.28	0.1	5.73
FLORIDA	57,457	2.8	140.95	6.5	13.49	2.4	46.62
GEORGIA	53,201	0.8	145.91	5.2	26.95	6.0	49.48
GUAM a/							
HAWAII	8,865	0.0	0.00	6.6	57.32	9.9	137.22
IDAHO	1,848	0.2	75.05	0.0	0.00	0.0	0.00
ILLINOIS	35,659	2.1	195.30	6.7	31.96	0.7	86.35
INDIANA	50,450	6.7	46.68	2.1	26.06	2.6	9.20
IOWA	18,286	5.5	114.57	2.6	22.53	0.0	0.00
KANSAS	16,745	0.0	0.00	4.1	40.18	0.0	0.00
KENTUCKY	35,629	5.1	94.69	1.6	25.11	0.0	0.00
LOUISIANA	18,778	0.0	0.00	1.0	18.08	2.3	127.93
MAINE	9,678	7.9	233.44	1.4	45.30	0.0	0.00
MARYLAND	25,430	9.7	35.14	3.2	41.46	8.5	60.23
MASSACHUSETTS	49,753	2.7	106.76	2.6	40.97	9.2	111.42
MICHIGAN	79,432	1.1	368.28	3.5	35.73	0.0	0.00
MINNESOTA	34,340	6.4	163.02	14.7	28.36	0.3	112.99
MISSISSIPPI	18,793	1.1	24.29	1.4	32.55	4.0	28.85
MISSOURI	40,979	8.0	72.75	3.8	25.95	0.0	0.00
MONTANA	5,257	3.8	0.00	0.0	0.00	3.7	0.80
NEBRASKA	10,879	0.1	70.00	0.1	46.95	2.5	71.00
NEVADA	8,837	1.5	128.30	1.2	42.18	0.0	0.00
NEW HAMPSHIRE	6,047	7.5	176.16	3.1	38.85	0.0	0.00
NEW JERSEY	44,729	4.1	141.69	9.4	33.91	0.9	85.83
NEW MEXICO	17,591	7.2	127.64	2.6	52.72	1.2	119.17
NEW YORK	147,034	6.0	141.84	20.9	46.95	0.0	0.00
NORTH CAROLINA	37,651	4.1	98.79	1.0	27.01	0.0	0.00
NORTH DAKOTA	3,059	2.9	181.03	11.6	38.98	3.9	64.85
OHIO	84,575	0.0	145.51	2.5	37.86	3.9	223.19
OKLAHOMA	14,195	3.2	0.00	2.2	18.01	0.0	0.00
OREGON	18,503	4.6	150.51	2.4	48.84	0.0	0.00
PENNSYLVANIA	88,127	5.2	72.04	4.8	28.33	0.0	0.00
PUERTO RICO	17,494	7.9	47.98	0.0	160.00	0.1	16.05
RHODE ISLAND	12,292	8.6	108.94	6.1	42.12	0.0	0.00
SOUTH CAROLINA	15,632	2.5	60.95	2.7	16.33	4.3	48.79
SOUTH DAKOTA	2,745	1.5	151.27	2.6	29.31	2.7	10.00
TENNESSEE	72,070	0.0	0.00	5.3	17.65	4.3	65.99
TEXAS	106,329	0.2	110.00	3.9	162.81	8.4	74.28
UTAH	9,041	4.7	100.00	2.5	42.47	0.0	0.00
VERMONT	4,830	10.3	103.30	3.2	52.61	0.0	0.00
VIRGIN ISLANDS	533	23.7	39.90	0.2	31.93	0.0	0.00
VIRGINIA	9,425	4.0	69.35	2.6	30.64	9.4	74.41
WASHINGTON	55,858	14.0	161.60	3.8	36.70	8.6	193.60
WEST VIRGINIA	14,588	5.1	168.72	4.1	36.61	7.7	96.73
WISCONSIN	22,492	9.8	181.42	0.9	58.76	0.0	0.00
WYOMING	352	5.8	271.49	3.5	25.36	0.0	0.00

NOTES: 'a/' = DATA NOT REPORTED.
'*' = RECOUPMENT OF A PRIOR OVERPAYMENT.
'**' = INCLUDES REASONS SUCH AS A REDUCED BENEFIT BECAUSE FAMILY MOVED INTO THE STATE FROM ANOTHER STATE, OR BECAUSE OF STATE'S FAMILY CAP POLICY.
SOURCE: NATIONAL TANF DATAFILE AS OF 3/04/2005

Table 10:16

Temporary Assistance for Needy Families - Active Cases
Percent Distribution of TANF Families by Specific Reason* for Grant Reduction
FY 2004

STATE	TOTAL FAMILIES	WORK REQUIRE-MENT	TEEN PARENT NOT IN SCHOOL	NO-COOP. CHILD SUPPORT	FAILURE COMPLY WITH OTHERS	OTHER SANCTION	RECOUP-MENT	FAMILY CAP	LENGTH RECEIVING ASSISTANCE	OTHER NON-SANCTION
U.S. TOTAL	1,983,973	3.5	0.0	0.8	0.6	0.7	8.3	5.3	2.1	2.2
ALABAMA	19,155	4.2	0.8	2.8	0.0	0.0	1.2	0.0	0.0	0.0
ALASKA	4,926	2.5	2.3	1.0	4.6	0.2	2.2	0.0	0.0	2.0
ARIZONA	49,560	0.4	0.0	1.2	0.0	0.0	0.5	16.0	0.0	2.1
ARKANSAS	10,023	5.1	0.0	2.7	0.7	0.8	0.1	4.7	0.1	1.0
CALIFORNIA	456,667	7.2	0.1	1.2	1.4	0.9	17.6	12.5	3.6	1.6
COLORADO	14,624	1.3	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	4.1	0.0	0.0	0.0
CONNECTICUT	20,720	1.3	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	3.3	5.1	0.0	0.0
DELAWARE	5,643	1.3	0.0	0.0	2.0	0.0	2.4	9.2	0.0	2.2
DIST. OF COL.	17,174	17.5	0.0	1.6	0.0	0.0	5.1	0.0	0.0	0.0
FLORIDA	57,457	1.6	0.0	0.6	0.0	0.6	6.5	1.9	0.0	0.5
GEORGIA	53,201	0.2	0.1	0.5	0.0	0.0	5.2	5.0	0.0	1.0
GUAM a/										
HAWAII	8,865	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	6.6	0.0	39.6	0.7
IDAHO	1,848	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
ILLINOIS	35,659	2.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.2	6.7	10.7	0.0	0.0
INDIANA	50,450	1.2	0.0	1.7	2.6	1.4	2.1	17.0	23.6	18.9
IOWA	18,286	0.0	0.0	5.5	0.0	0.0	2.6	0.0	0.0	0.0
KANSAS	16,745	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	4.1	0.0	0.0	0.0
KENTUCKY	35,629	3.1	0.0	1.7	0.0	0.1	1.6	0.0	0.0	0.0
LOUISIANA	18,778	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	1.0	0.0	0.0	2.3
MAINE	9,678	7.2	0.0	0.9	0.0	0.0	1.4	0.0	0.0	0.0
MARYLAND	25,430	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	9.7	3.2	0.0	0.0	28.5
MASSACHUSETTS	49,753	2.0	0.2	0.1	0.0	0.5	2.6	19.2	0.0	0.0
MICHIGAN	79,432	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	1.1	3.5	0.0	0.0	0.0
MINNESOTA	34,340	1.5	0.2	1.0	3.8	0.0	14.7	0.3	0.0	0.0
MISSISSIPPI	18,793	0.0	0.1	0.0	0.0	1.1	1.4	14.0	0.0	0.0
MISSOURI	40,979	6.9	0.0	1.1	0.0	0.0	3.8	0.0	0.0	0.0
MONTANA	5,257	3.8	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
NEBRASKA a/	10,879	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.1	2.5	0.0	0.0
NEVADA	8,837	1.1	0.0	0.0	0.2	0.2	1.2	0.0	0.0	0.0
NEW HAMPSHIRE	6,047	7.2	0.0	0.3	1.3	0.9	3.1	0.0	0.0	0.0
NEW JERSEY	44,729	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	4.1	9.4	10.9	0.0	0.0
NEW MEXICO	17,591	5.9	0.0	1.3	0.0	0.1	2.6	0.0	0.4	0.0
NEW YORK	147,034	5.6	0.0	0.3	0.0	0.1	20.9	0.0	0.0	0.0
NORTH CAROLINA	37,651	1.2	0.2	1.2	1.8	0.3	1.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
NORTH DAKOTA	3,059	2.9	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	11.6	8.2	0.0	6.4
OHIO	84,575	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	2.5	0.0	0.0	3.9
OKLAHOMA	14,195	0.0	0.0	2.2	0.0	1.2	2.2	0.0	0.0	0.0
OREGON	18,503	0.0	0.0	0.1	4.3	0.0	2.4	0.0	0.0	0.0
PENNSYLVANIA	88,127	2.5	0.0	2.1	0.0	0.5	4.8	0.0	0.0	0.0
PUERTO RICO	17,494	6.0	0.0	0.0	1.5	0.2	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
RHODE ISLAND	12,292	8.6	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	6.1	0.0	0.0	0.0
SOUTH CAROLINA	15,632	0.2	0.1	1.6	0.0	0.5	2.7	2.8	0.0	1.2
SOUTH DAKOTA	2,745	1.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.4	2.6	0.0	0.0	2.7
TENNESSEE	72,070	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	5.3	4.3	0.0	0.0
TEXAS	106,329	0.1	0.0	0.1	0.0	0.1	3.9	0.0	8.4	0.0
UTAH	9,041	0.0	0.0	0.0	4.7	0.0	2.5	0.0	0.0	0.0
VERMONT	4,830	7.5	0.0	3.2	0.0	0.0	3.2	0.0	0.0	0.0
VIRGIN ISLANDS	533	5.6	0.0	2.9	12.3	2.8	0.2	0.0	0.0	0.0
VIRGINIA	9,425	0.0	0.0	0.7	0.0	3.7	2.6	9.4	0.0	0.0
WASHINGTON	55,858	10.1	0.0	1.1	0.0	2.8	3.8	0.0	0.0	18.6
WEST VIRGINIA	14,588	3.1	0.1	0.6	1.5	0.0	4.1	0.0	0.0	17.7
WISCONSIN	22,492	9.8	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.9	0.0	0.0	0.0
WYOMING	352	4.7	0.0	0.8	0.0	0.2	3.5	0.0	0.0	0.0

NOTES: THIS CONTINUED TABLE DOES NOT INCLUDE UNKNOWN REASON FOR A REDUCTION IN ASSISTANCE.
'*' = SOME RECEIVED A REDUCTION IN ASSISTANCE FOR TWO OR MORE REASONS.
'a/' = DATA NOT REPORTED.
SOURCE: NATIONAL TANF DATAFILE AS OF 3/04/2005

Table 10:17

Temporary Assistance for Needy Families - Active Cases
Percent Distribution of TANF Families by Federal Time Limit Exemption Status
FY 2004

STATE	TOTAL FAMILIES	FEDERAL 5-YEAR TIME LIMIT EXEMPTION STATUS			
		NOT EXEMPT	HEAD OF HOUSEHOLD OR SPOUSE RECEIVING ASSISTANCE		
			NOT INCLUDED EXEMPT	INCLUDED BUT EXEMPT FROM	
				ACCRUAL OF MONTHS	TERMINATION OF ASSISTANCE
U.S. TOTAL	2,027,581	52.7	39.4	6.1	1.8
ALABAMA	18,844	51.4	48.6	0.0	0.0
ALASKA	5,334	66.7	21.9	8.1	3.3
ARIZONA	47,792	58.8	38.8	2.4	0.0
ARKANSAS	11,162	57.7	41.6	0.1	0.6
CALIFORNIA	449,651	48.9	44.0	6.8	0.4
COLORADO	13,534	64.0	35.3	0.0	0.7
CONNECTICUT	21,015	59.4	39.6	0.3	0.6
DELAWARE	5,597	54.1	45.9	0.0	0.0
DIST. OF COL.	16,589	44.5	34.2	0.0	21.3
FLORIDA	58,118	39.0	58.5	2.5	0.0
GEORGIA	55,914	55.0	43.8	0.0	1.2
GUAM a/					
HAWAII	9,776	51.1	21.9	27.0	0.0
IDAHO	1,680	34.2	65.8	0.0	0.0
ILLINOIS	37,895	36.0	52.9	11.1	0.0
INDIANA	52,564	64.8	35.2	0.0	0.0
IOWA	19,968	73.7	25.7	0.0	0.6
KANSAS	15,267	69.1	30.9	0.0	0.0
KENTUCKY	34,923	56.9	42.5	0.0	0.7
LOUISIANA	22,834	48.4	49.3	0.0	2.2
MAINE	9,123	66.6	26.1	0.0	7.3
MARYLAND	26,134	57.1	38.9	4.0	0.0
MASSACHUSETTS	49,378	28.0	37.0	35.0	0.0
MICHIGAN	75,111	57.5	35.9	0.0	6.6
MINNESOTA	36,500	60.6	25.8	9.3	4.3
MISSISSIPPI	19,828	59.2	40.5	0.0	0.4
MISSOURI	40,869	69.1	29.0	0.0	1.9
MONTANA	6,169	54.5	19.7	25.5	0.3
NEBRASKA	10,945	67.7	31.1	1.1	0.1
NEVADA	10,635	55.8	43.8	0.0	0.4
NEW HAMPSHIRE	6,077	67.5	30.7	0.0	1.8
NEW JERSEY	42,410	54.4	39.7	0.0	5.9
NEW MEXICO	16,639	70.9	28.6	0.0	0.5
NEW YORK	148,781	49.7	46.0	0.0	4.3
NORTH CAROLINA	40,432	48.3	51.5	0.0	0.2
NORTH DAKOTA	3,371	62.2	22.0	15.6	0.2
OHIO	84,292	51.3	48.7	0.0	0.0
OKLAHOMA	15,045	56.3	42.9	0.0	0.8
OREGON	18,658	18.1	37.1	44.7	0.0
PENNSYLVANIA	80,446	53.1	33.8	5.4	7.7
PUERTO RICO	18,929	84.9	15.0	0.0	0.1
RHODE ISLAND	13,346	53.0	23.1	3.2	20.8
SOUTH CAROLINA	20,725	44.3	38.5	17.3	0.0
SOUTH DAKOTA	2,791	19.4	59.1	21.3	0.2
TENNESSEE	68,660	49.8	1.1	49.1	0.0
TEXAS	133,286	55.4	44.6	0.0	0.0
UTAH	8,537	71.9	27.3	0.0	0.8
VERMONT	4,907	80.6	19.4	0.0	0.0
VIRGIN ISLANDS	473	77.4	20.8	0.0	1.8
VIRGINIA	25,244	29.4	37.7	32.9	0.0
WASHINGTON	54,699	59.0	34.7	1.9	4.3
WEST VIRGINIA	15,822	68.7	31.3	0.0	0.0
WISCONSIN	20,460	73.8	25.9	0.0	0.3
WYOMING	408	24.4	75.6	0.0	0.0

NOTE: 'a/' = DATA NOT REPORTED.
SOURCE: NATIONAL TANF DATAFILE AS OF 3/04/2004

Table 10:18

Temporary Assistance for Needy Families - Active Cases
Percent Distribution of Head of Household or Spouse who Received Assistance
by Number of Months Countable Toward the Federal Time Limit
FY 2004

STATE	H-of-H OR SPOUSE	NUMBER OF MONTHS COUNTABLE TOWARD THE FEDERAL TIME LIMIT							
		EXEMPT*	0	1-12	13-24	25-36	37-48	49-60	OVER 60
U.S. TOTAL	1,144,158	3.9	2.5	37.0	22.3	13.6	8.3	7.4	4.9
ALABAMA	10,217	0.0	0.1	45.8	26.1	12.7	8.4	5.4	1.6
ALASKA	4,109	4.4	9.6	30.9	20.0	13.9	10.0	6.8	4.3
ARIZONA	30,309	2.8	3.2	57.1	35.3	1.2	0.2	0.2	0.0
ARKANSAS	5,472	0.0	0.4	58.9	29.9	8.5	1.8	0.3	0.1
CALIFORNIA	212,253	1.9	0.9	27.6	20.4	16.6	10.3	16.2	6.1
COLORADO	10,368	0.0	0.2	47.3	27.4	13.9	7.3	3.2	0.8
CONNECTICUT	12,124	0.2	0.3	38.3	28.8	24.5	6.4	1.1	0.4
DELAWARE	3,005	0.0	7.2	55.2	17.8	11.9	6.3	1.7	0.0
DIST. OF COL.	11,262	0.0	1.5	20.7	17.9	11.7	10.1	10.7	27.5
FLORIDA	22,488	7.9	0.0	52.7	21.7	10.7	5.3	1.1	0.6
GEORGIA	28,289	0.0	0.0	44.7	24.2	16.4	10.2	2.7	1.8
GUAM a/									
HAWAII	6,670	35.4	6.1	17.8	13.8	10.9	8.8	7.2	0.0
IDAHO	661	0.0	0.2	84.9	14.4	0.2	0.2	0.0	0.0
ILLINOIS	16,468	14.7	2.5	38.6	22.0	12.1	6.8	3.3	0.0
INDIANA	30,137	0.0	1.1	59.3	34.4	5.2	0.0	0.0	0.0
IOWA	13,231	0.0	0.2	37.6	25.3	16.0	12.8	6.5	1.5
KANSAS	13,267	0.0	0.3	45.1	22.6	15.6	8.4	4.8	3.2
KENTUCKY	20,025	0.0	1.3	39.6	22.9	18.8	9.2	7.6	0.6
LOUISIANA	8,568	0.0	0.1	44.7	28.5	14.5	7.9	3.1	1.2
MAINE	7,353	0.0	0.0	31.3	21.6	15.5	11.0	8.5	12.1
MARYLAND	15,479	7.9	0.0	29.2	18.9	13.8	11.0	8.1	11.1
MASSACHUSETTS	31,530	27.9	29.9	22.2	9.5	7.1	2.2	1.2	0.0
MICHIGAN	56,448	0.0	4.1	34.3	18.0	13.7	9.3	6.6	13.9
MINNESOTA	24,911	3.9	6.1	29.6	19.8	13.7	11.3	7.8	7.8
MISSISSIPPI	10,968	0.0	0.0	48.3	25.7	14.5	7.4	3.2	0.8
MISSOURI	29,309	0.0	0.0	34.9	23.1	17.1	13.0	10.8	1.2
MONTANA	4,292	15.5	23.7	27.1	16.9	9.9	5.2	1.6	0.1
NEBRASKA	5,852	0.0	1.0	64.5	14.2	6.8	5.9	4.4	3.2
NEVADA	4,735	0.0	0.2	51.4	27.7	11.1	5.9	3.3	0.4
NEW HAMPSHIRE	4,401	0.0	1.9	42.2	20.7	15.6	9.7	6.9	3.1
NEW JERSEY	27,068	0.0	1.5	36.1	19.4	15.0	10.1	7.0	10.8
NEW MEXICO	14,063	0.0	0.0	45.6	23.1	14.6	9.9	6.7	0.0
NEW YORK	83,671	0.0	1.8	28.5	20.4	16.7	14.2	11.1	7.4
NORTH CAROLINA	17,152	0.0	0.2	42.8	24.4	16.1	11.6	4.6	0.2
NORTH DAKOTA	2,400	16.3	15.2	32.5	16.1	11.1	6.0	2.6	0.2
OHIO	44,068	0.0	0.2	44.1	29.1	20.0	4.7	1.6	0.5
OKLAHOMA	7,640	0.0	0.0	49.0	22.6	14.2	8.5	4.3	1.5
OREGON	10,331	0.0	1.5	90.1	8.4	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
PENNSYLVANIA	64,313	5.8	1.5	33.1	18.3	12.7	8.5	7.5	12.7
PUERTO RICO	14,639	0.0	0.7	30.7	27.0	19.5	12.5	9.5	0.0
RHODE ISLAND	9,472	5.0	0.5	21.1	14.2	12.0	10.2	9.8	27.2
SOUTH CAROLINA	8,029	0.0	1.2	64.3	32.4	1.8	0.3	0.1	0.0
SOUTH DAKOTA	974	0.5	51.4	32.6	8.7	5.4	1.1	0.3	0.0
TENNESSEE	54,197	29.5	7.6	34.9	22.4	4.1	1.2	0.3	0.0
TEXAS	49,371	0.0	0.0	49.7	25.0	11.1	7.3	7.0	0.0
UTAH	6,561	0.0	0.3	49.1	26.7	15.6	5.3	1.8	1.2
VERMONT	4,143	0.0	1.2	43.1	29.3	23.9	2.5	0.0	0.0
VIRGIN ISLANDS	397	0.1	4.6	35.4	22.7	15.9	9.7	9.5	2.2
VIRGINIA	9,539	0.0	3.1	51.6	28.0	10.3	5.3	1.7	0.0
WASHINGTON	40,860	2.4	1.0	26.4	24.6	15.0	10.9	7.9	11.7
WEST VIRGINIA	9,741	0.0	0.0	37.3	26.1	18.2	10.8	7.5	0.1
WISCONSIN	11,252	0.0	0.4	39.6	26.4	19.4	8.1	4.3	1.7
WYOMING	76	0.0	68.4	31.6	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0

NOTE: '*' = PERCENT IN THE TANF FAMILY EXEMPT FROM THE FEDERAL FIVE YEAR TIME LIMIT.
'a/' = DATA NOT REPORTED.
SOURCE: NATIONAL TANF DATAFILE AS OF 4/15/2005

Table 10:19

Temporary Assistance for Needy Families - Active Cases
Percent Distribution of TANF Adult Recipients by Age Group
FY 2004

STATE	TOTAL ADULTS	AGE OF ADULT RECIPIENTS					
		UNDER 20	20-29	30-39	40-49	OVER 49	UNKNOWN
U.S. TOTAL	1,168,539	7.4	47.6	28.2	14.1	2.7	0.0
ALABAMA	10,272	7.4	58.3	24.4	8.6	1.3	0.0
ALASKA	4,366	5.5	39.8	29.5	20.0	5.2	0.0
ARIZONA	30,895	7.2	43.3	31.5	15.6	2.4	0.0
ARKANSAS	5,591	9.9	56.6	23.0	8.5	1.7	0.3
CALIFORNIA	212,948	5.8	41.1	28.6	20.0	4.4	0.0
COLORADO	10,597	7.2	49.7	27.0	13.9	2.3	0.0
CONNECTICUT	12,182	13.1	53.9	23.4	8.4	1.3	0.0
DELAWARE	3,021	8.5	49.9	27.0	12.2	2.4	0.0
DIST. OF COL.	11,388	6.8	49.0	26.7	15.4	2.0	0.2
FLORIDA	22,750	8.0	44.6	29.7	15.0	2.7	0.0
GEORGIA	28,289	8.4	54.4	25.6	9.9	1.6	0.0
GUAM a/							
HAWAII	6,701	5.5	43.1	28.6	17.1	5.7	0.0
IDAHO	679	8.5	46.7	26.4	15.1	3.2	0.0
ILLINOIS	16,468	13.8	53.2	21.9	9.7	1.5	0.0
INDIANA	30,307	8.1	53.4	26.9	10.0	1.6	0.0
IOWA	13,491	7.3	53.8	25.2	12.4	1.3	0.0
KANSAS	13,852	8.7	53.5	25.9	10.7	1.1	0.0
KENTUCKY	20,407	7.3	56.0	25.3	9.8	1.5	0.0
LOUISIANA	8,568	11.3	60.5	18.7	8.2	1.3	0.0
MAINE	7,498	4.6	47.7	33.4	11.3	2.9	0.0
MARYLAND	15,507	7.1	52.5	29.0	10.1	1.3	0.0
MASSACHUSETTS	32,035	6.3	48.9	29.0	14.0	2.0	0.0
MICHIGAN	57,909	7.2	43.4	32.5	14.8	2.1	0.0
MINNESOTA	25,110	9.6	46.9	27.5	12.5	3.5	0.0
MISSISSIPPI	10,970	11.4	63.9	18.0	5.6	1.2	0.0
MISSOURI	29,421	9.0	56.2	25.3	8.9	0.6	0.0
MONTANA	4,663	6.4	48.1	30.1	13.0	2.4	0.0
NEBRASKA	7,154	6.3	53.6	27.9	10.5	1.6	0.0
NEVADA	4,746	9.2	46.6	29.6	12.7	1.9	0.0
NEW HAMPSHIRE	4,436	4.6	49.9	29.5	13.4	2.5	0.0
NEW JERSEY	27,068	6.3	45.0	30.7	14.4	3.5	0.0
NEW MEXICO	14,070	8.6	49.1	28.8	11.3	2.2	0.0
NEW YORK	93,291	7.8	46.1	25.9	15.7	4.5	0.0
NORTH CAROLINA	17,571	9.6	52.6	25.5	11.5	0.8	0.0
NORTH DAKOTA	2,407	7.6	52.1	28.3	10.5	1.5	0.0
OHIO	46,359	7.9	51.5	26.7	11.8	2.1	0.0
OKLAHOMA	7,640	7.7	51.8	28.1	10.3	2.0	0.0
OREGON	10,797	8.1	41.2	28.4	18.5	3.6	0.0
PENNSYLVANIA	66,050	7.7	48.1	29.8	13.0	1.5	0.0
PUERTO RICO	15,034	4.0	43.7	30.1	15.8	5.9	0.4
RHODE ISLAND	9,633	5.3	41.9	33.8	16.3	2.7	0.0
SOUTH CAROLINA	8,030	12.1	55.7	24.1	6.9	0.8	0.4
SOUTH DAKOTA	977	10.2	45.4	28.1	13.7	2.6	0.0
TENNESSEE	54,614	6.3	50.8	29.9	11.2	1.7	0.0
TEXAS	49,394	9.1	47.3	28.5	12.3	2.8	0.0
UTAH	6,574	6.1	47.0	29.4	14.5	3.0	0.0
VERMONT	4,382	5.7	46.1	32.2	13.4	2.5	0.0
VIRGIN ISLANDS	402	7.0	55.9	25.9	8.3	2.9	0.0
VIRGINIA	9,611	3.9	51.5	30.6	12.5	1.5	0.0
WASHINGTON	40,883	5.7	43.3	31.7	16.2	3.1	0.0
WEST VIRGINIA	9,998	7.1	51.5	28.6	11.2	1.6	0.0
WISCONSIN	11,456	7.9	50.3	28.7	11.8	1.4	0.0
WYOMING	76	6.1	49.0	30.6	12.1	2.2	0.0

NOTE: 'a/' = DATA NOT REPORTED.
SOURCE: NATIONAL TANF DATAFILE AS OF 3/04/2005

Table 10:20

Temporary Assistance for Needy Families - Active Cases
Percent Distribution of TANF Male Adult Recipients by Age Group
FY 2004

STATE	MALE ADULTS	AGE OF MALE ADULT RECIPIENTS					
		UNDER 20	20-29	30-39	40-49	OVER 49	UNKNOWN
U.S. TOTAL	109,383	3.4	25.8	34.4	27.6	8.8	0.0
ALABAMA	320	6.4	20.0	30.9	31.1	11.7	0.0
ALASKA	999	1.3	25.7	32.1	29.4	11.5	0.0
ARIZONA	3,085	0.9	22.1	36.8	32.7	7.5	0.0
ARKANSAS	468	3.3	42.3	25.3	24.2	4.5	0.4
CALIFORNIA	20,080	0.1	23.0	30.3	35.2	11.3	0.0
COLORADO	1,440	2.1	36.8	31.7	22.2	7.1	0.0
CONNECTICUT	492	0.4	23.9	38.5	28.0	9.1	0.0
DELAWARE	124	2.2	16.5	38.6	34.2	8.5	0.0
DIST. OF COL.	638	0.2	34.7	34.1	26.4	4.6	0.0
FLORIDA	1,145	1.5	15.3	37.8	27.2	18.2	0.0
GEORGIA	816	0.0	10.8	48.0	26.8	14.4	0.0
GUAM a/							
HAWAII	549	3.6	18.9	28.6	30.8	18.0	0.0
IDAHO	116	2.8	23.5	27.5	34.9	11.3	0.0
ILLINOIS	193	0.0	16.9	30.3	23.8	28.9	0.0
INDIANA	2,022	1.8	22.2	34.3	33.5	8.2	0.0
IOWA	1,408	2.1	29.5	37.1	27.1	4.2	0.0
KANSAS	2,232	4.2	39.8	32.7	19.5	3.8	0.0
KENTUCKY	2,220	1.0	33.4	35.7	24.6	5.2	0.0
LOUISIANA	274	2.1	31.6	26.4	26.8	13.0	0.0
MAINE	721	0.5	24.1	44.3	21.4	9.7	0.0
MARYLAND	501	0.0	17.6	37.5	31.3	13.7	0.0
MASSACHUSETTS	2,503	1.6	25.2	37.7	26.9	8.7	0.0
MICHIGAN	7,189	2.9	22.7	39.8	27.3	7.2	0.0
MINNESOTA	2,000	0.4	14.3	42.0	33.4	10.0	0.0
MISSISSIPPI	189	0.0	9.6	62.3	14.6	13.6	0.0
MISSOURI	1,314	3.5	24.2	37.2	29.8	5.2	0.0
MONTANA	1,124	2.2	42.4	32.5	18.5	4.3	0.0
NEBRASKA	289	4.2	12.7	46.3	24.0	12.8	0.0
NEVADA	216	2.7	19.8	26.9	41.3	9.4	0.0
NEW HAMPSHIRE	516	0.9	22.8	38.8	29.8	7.7	0.0
NEW JERSEY	1,006	0.0	15.1	31.7	30.1	23.2	0.0
NEW MEXICO	2,200	2.7	34.2	35.0	19.5	8.5	0.0
NEW YORK	13,327	14.4	30.4	25.1	20.8	9.2	0.0
NORTH CAROLINA	1,157	1.5	17.0	38.3	36.8	6.3	0.0
NORTH DAKOTA	176	2.0	18.1	40.7	31.8	7.3	0.0
OHIO	6,543	1.8	31.3	34.3	26.1	6.5	0.0
OKLAHOMA	414	1.8	22.1	31.6	37.9	6.6	0.0
OREGON	1,338	4.1	23.7	30.2	30.6	11.4	0.0
PENNSYLVANIA	7,200	5.2	25.1	39.4	24.1	6.2	0.0
PUERTO RICO	1,630	1.1	10.9	32.8	36.6	18.1	0.5
RHODE ISLAND	843	2.0	22.7	39.1	27.4	8.8	0.0
SOUTH CAROLINA	536	2.2	42.3	31.7	19.9	3.9	0.0
SOUTH DAKOTA	59	0.0	13.1	32.7	41.5	12.7	0.0
TENNESSEE	2,530	8.0	13.3	44.4	26.9	7.3	0.0
TEXAS	2,518	0.0	22.2	39.8	27.9	10.0	0.0
UTAH	630	1.0	18.7	36.3	33.3	10.6	0.0
VERMONT	857	1.8	34.3	37.1	20.8	6.0	0.0
VIRGIN ISLANDS	11	2.2	0.0	34.0	32.2	31.7	0.0
VIRGINIA	490	2.8	19.1	38.0	32.8	7.3	0.0
WASHINGTON	8,385	1.4	30.5	37.9	24.1	6.2	0.0
WEST VIRGINIA	1,584	3.9	28.7	35.8	25.0	6.5	0.0
WISCONSIN	754	1.6	28.1	39.2	22.7	8.5	0.0
WYOMING	10	1.6	8.8	54.5	24.1	11.0	0.0

NOTE: 'a/' = DATA NOT REPORTED.
SOURCE: NATIONAL TANF DATAFILE AS OF 3/04/2005

Table 10:21

Temporary Assistance for Needy Families - Active Cases
Percent Distribution of TANF Female Adult Recipients by Age Group
FY 2004

STATE	FEMALE ADULTS	AGE OF FEMALE ADULT RECIPIENTS					
		UNDER 20	20-29	30-39	40-49	OVER 49	UNKNOWN
U.S. TOTAL	1,059,156	7.8	49.9	27.5	12.7	2.1	0.0
ALABAMA	9,952	7.4	59.6	24.2	7.8	1.0	0.0
ALASKA	3,366	6.7	44.0	28.7	17.2	3.4	0.0
ARIZONA	27,810	8.0	45.6	30.9	13.7	1.8	0.0
ARKANSAS	5,123	10.5	57.9	22.8	7.1	1.5	0.2
CALIFORNIA	192,868	6.4	43.0	28.4	18.4	3.7	0.0
COLORADO	9,157	8.0	51.7	26.2	12.6	1.5	0.0
CONNECTICUT	11,690	13.6	55.2	22.8	7.5	0.9	0.0
DELAWARE	2,897	8.8	51.3	26.5	11.3	2.1	0.0
DIST. OF COL.	10,750	7.2	49.8	26.2	14.8	1.8	0.2
FLORIDA	21,605	8.4	46.1	29.3	14.4	1.9	0.0
GEORGIA	27,473	8.7	55.7	24.9	9.4	1.2	0.0
GUAM a/							
HAWAII	6,152	5.6	45.2	28.6	15.9	4.6	0.0
IDAHO	563	9.7	51.4	26.2	11.1	1.6	0.0
ILLINOIS	16,275	13.9	53.6	21.8	9.5	1.2	0.0
INDIANA	28,285	8.6	55.7	26.3	8.3	1.1	0.0
IOWA	12,083	7.9	56.6	23.8	10.7	0.9	0.0
KANSAS	11,620	9.6	56.2	24.6	9.0	0.6	0.0
KENTUCKY	18,187	8.1	58.8	24.1	8.0	1.0	0.0
LOUISIANA	8,294	11.6	61.4	18.5	7.5	0.9	0.0
MAINE	6,777	5.1	50.2	32.3	10.2	2.2	0.0
MARYLAND	15,006	7.3	53.7	28.7	9.4	0.9	0.0
MASSACHUSETTS	29,532	6.6	50.9	28.2	12.9	1.4	0.0
MICHIGAN	50,720	7.8	46.4	31.4	13.0	1.4	0.0
MINNESOTA	23,109	10.5	49.7	26.2	10.7	2.9	0.0
MISSISSIPPI	10,781	11.6	64.8	17.2	5.4	1.0	0.0
MISSOURI	28,107	9.3	57.7	24.8	7.9	0.4	0.0
MONTANA	3,540	7.7	49.9	29.3	11.2	1.8	0.0
NEBRASKA	6,865	6.4	55.3	27.2	9.9	1.2	0.0
NEVADA	4,530	9.5	47.9	29.8	11.4	1.5	0.0
NEW HAMPSHIRE	3,920	5.1	53.5	28.3	11.3	1.8	0.0
NEW JERSEY	26,061	6.6	46.2	30.6	13.8	2.8	0.0
NEW MEXICO	11,870	9.7	51.9	27.7	9.8	1.0	0.0
NEW YORK	79,964	6.7	48.7	26.0	14.9	3.7	0.0
NORTH CAROLINA	16,415	10.2	55.1	24.6	9.7	0.4	0.0
NORTH DAKOTA	2,232	8.1	54.7	27.4	8.8	1.0	0.0
OHIO	39,816	8.9	54.9	25.4	9.5	1.3	0.0
OKLAHOMA	7,226	8.0	53.6	27.9	8.8	1.8	0.0
OREGON	9,459	8.7	43.7	28.2	16.8	2.6	0.0
PENNSYLVANIA	58,850	7.9	50.9	28.6	11.6	0.9	0.0
PUERTO RICO	13,404	4.4	47.7	29.8	13.3	4.5	0.4
RHODE ISLAND	8,789	5.6	43.8	33.2	15.3	2.1	0.0
SOUTH CAROLINA	7,494	12.8	56.6	23.5	6.0	0.6	0.4
SOUTH DAKOTA	918	10.8	47.4	27.8	12.0	1.9	0.0
TENNESSEE	52,084	6.3	52.7	29.2	10.5	1.4	0.0
TEXAS	46,876	9.5	48.7	27.9	11.5	2.4	0.0
UTAH	5,945	6.7	50.0	28.7	12.6	2.2	0.0
VERMONT	3,525	6.6	49.0	31.1	11.7	1.7	0.0
VIRGIN ISLANDS	391	7.2	57.4	25.6	7.7	2.1	0.0
VIRGINIA	9,120	3.9	53.3	30.2	11.4	1.1	0.0
WASHINGTON	32,498	6.9	46.6	30.1	14.2	2.3	0.0
WEST VIRGINIA	8,414	7.7	55.7	27.2	8.6	0.7	0.0
WISCONSIN	10,702	8.3	51.9	27.9	11.0	0.9	0.0
WYOMING	66	6.8	55.2	26.9	10.2	0.9	0.0

NOTE: 'a/' = DATA NOT REPORTED.
SOURCE: NATIONAL TANF DATAFILE AS OF 3/04/2005

Table 10:22

Temporary Assistance for Needy Families - Active Cases
Percent Distribution of TANF Adult Recipients by Ethnicity/Race
FY 2004

STATE	TOTAL ADULTS	HISPANIC*	WHITE	AFRICAN-AMERICAN	NATIVE AMERICAN	ASIAN	HAWAIIAN	MULTI-RACIAL	UNKNOWN
U.S. TOTAL	1,168,539	19.1	36.7	38.9	1.7	1.5	0.6	0.3	1.3
ALABAMA	10,272	1.5	27.6	70.7	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
ALASKA	4,366	3.3	48.5	7.3	34.4	3.4	2.2	0.8	0.0
ARIZONA	30,895	39.0	37.8	12.2	9.8	0.3	0.6	0.2	0.0
ARKANSAS	5,591	1.7	38.3	59.5	0.2	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.1
CALIFORNIA	212,948	38.6	28.0	27.0	0.8	4.1	1.2	0.4	0.0
COLORADO	10,597	29.5	47.3	16.6	1.1	0.6	0.1	0.4	4.4
CONNECTICUT	12,182	38.0	29.0	32.5	0.1	0.4	0.0	0.0	0.0
DELAWARE	3,021	7.0	27.4	65.3	0.1	0.2	0.0	0.0	0.0
DIST. OF COL.	11,388	0.8	0.2	98.6	0.0	0.3	0.1	0.1	0.0
FLORIDA	22,750	23.8	25.3	50.4	0.2	0.0	0.3	0.0	0.0
GEORGIA	28,289	1.3	19.7	78.4	0.3	0.1	0.0	0.2	0.0
GUAM a/									
HAWAII	6,701	4.8	23.4	2.0	0.3	20.5	39.6	8.8	0.5
IDAHO	679	11.2	85.1	0.9	2.0	0.3	0.0	0.4	0.0
ILLINOIS	16,468	4.5	16.4	78.8	0.0	0.1	0.0	0.2	0.0
INDIANA	30,307	4.6	54.7	39.1	0.1	0.2	0.1	1.3	0.0
IOWA	13,491	2.9	69.5	12.5	0.5	0.6	0.0	0.8	13.2
KANSAS	13,852	9.4	60.9	26.0	1.7	1.0	0.0	0.4	0.7
KENTUCKY	20,407	1.4	72.9	25.2	0.1	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.2
LOUISIANA	8,568	2.8	15.1	81.9	0.0	0.1	0.1	0.0	0.0
MAINE	7,498	0.7	86.7	3.5	1.5	0.7	0.1	0.4	6.3
MARYLAND	15,507	1.3	15.8	82.5	0.2	0.3	0.0	0.0	0.0
MASSACHUSETTS	32,035	31.0	45.6	20.6	0.3	2.5	0.0	0.0	0.0
MICHIGAN	57,909	2.4	46.2	49.1	1.5	0.6	0.1	0.1	0.0
MINNESOTA	25,110	5.4	47.3	34.8	7.5	3.9	0.1	1.0	0.0
MISSISSIPPI	10,970	0.2	14.6	85.0	0.2	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
MISSOURI	29,421	1.9	50.5	46.7	0.6	0.3	0.0	0.0	0.0
MONTANA	4,663	2.4	42.2	0.7	54.4	0.1	0.0	0.2	0.0
NEBRASKA	7,154	6.8	39.9	27.6	3.9	0.5	0.0	0.0	21.3
NEVADA	4,746	16.5	43.1	35.0	2.3	2.6	0.1	0.4	0.0
NEW HAMPSHIRE	4,436	5.0	92.0	2.7	0.1	0.2	0.0	0.0	0.0
NEW JERSEY	27,068	24.3	15.2	59.5	0.1	0.4	0.1	0.4	0.1
NEW MEXICO	14,070	65.9	23.9	3.4	6.4	0.0	0.4	0.0	0.0
NEW YORK	93,291	32.5	23.3	42.2	0.3	0.9	0.1	0.7	0.0
NORTH CAROLINA	17,571	1.2	27.3	69.6	1.8	0.2	0.0	0.0	0.0
NORTH DAKOTA	2,407	2.6	41.3	0.9	53.2	0.2	0.1	1.7	0.0
OHIO	46,359	3.5	51.7	44.4	0.1	0.2	0.0	0.1	0.0
OKLAHOMA	7,640	4.4	46.3	38.7	10.3	0.0	0.0	0.3	0.0
OREGON	10,797	7.0	76.2	11.2	3.4	1.7	0.1	0.0	0.4
PENNSYLVANIA	66,050	0.8	39.7	48.1	0.1	1.2	0.1	0.0	9.9
PUERTO RICO	15,034	99.8	0.2	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
RHODE ISLAND	9,633	26.8	43.8	14.9	0.3	3.1	0.0	0.0	11.1
SOUTH CAROLINA	8,030	1.5	29.2	68.3	0.4	0.2	0.0	0.1	0.2
SOUTH DAKOTA	977	1.9	30.7	3.3	61.0	0.3	0.0	2.8	0.0
TENNESSEE	54,614	0.5	37.3	61.9	0.1	0.2	0.0	0.0	0.0
TEXAS	49,394	41.3	21.7	36.3	0.1	0.5	0.0	0.0	0.0
UTAH	6,574	14.0	76.7	3.6	3.9	1.5	0.2	0.0	0.0
VERMONT	4,382	0.5	96.6	2.1	0.2	0.6	0.0	0.0	0.0
VIRGIN ISLANDS	402	32.8	0.3	66.1	0.3	0.4	0.0	0.0	0.0
VIRGINIA	9,611	2.4	28.7	67.4	0.3	1.0	0.2	0.0	0.0
WASHINGTON	40,883	9.6	64.4	12.0	4.2	1.5	1.9	0.3	6.1
WEST VIRGINIA	9,998	0.2	90.1	9.6	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.0
WISCONSIN	11,456	8.6	18.4	69.7	0.7	0.4	0.1	0.4	1.8
WYOMING	76	0.1	86.6	4.4	7.5	1.4	0.0	0.0	0.0

NOTES: '*' = CAN BE OF ANY RACE.
'a/' = DATA NOT REPORTED.
SOURCE: NATIONAL TANF DATAFILE AS OF 3/04/2005

Table 10:23

Temporary Assistance for Needy Families - Active Cases
Percent Distribution of TANF Adult Recipients by Marital Status
FY 2004

STATE	TOTAL ADULTS	MARITAL STATUS				
		SINGLE	MARRIED	SEPARATED	WIDOWED	DIVORCED
U.S. TOTAL	1,168,539	69.1	10.3	11.9	0.6	8.1
ALABAMA	10,272	68.2	5.0	15.8	0.3	10.7
ALASKA	4,366	45.1	26.0	15.2	1.2	12.5
ARIZONA	30,895	55.3	13.8	14.9	0.8	15.2
ARKANSAS	5,591	74.1	8.9	10.0	0.3	6.8
CALIFORNIA	212,948	68.9	8.2	13.7	1.0	8.1
COLORADO	10,597	70.2	19.0	6.8	0.0	4.1
CONNECTICUT	12,182	79.6	5.1	9.6	0.3	5.4
DELAWARE	3,021	76.7	5.3	11.2	0.7	6.1
DIST. OF COL.	11,388	94.0	4.5	1.0	0.1	0.4
FLORIDA	22,750	66.6	8.1	13.7	0.4	11.2
GEORGIA	28,289	74.5	4.0	13.0	0.5	8.0
GUAM a/						
HAWAII	6,701	58.9	5.6	21.0	1.0	13.5
IDAHO	679	48.9	16.3	20.0	0.2	14.6
ILLINOIS	16,468	94.0	2.3	0.0	0.0	3.7
INDIANA	30,307	62.4	10.9	13.9	0.3	12.6
IOWA	13,491	66.4	19.0	5.9	0.3	8.3
KANSAS	13,852	55.6	20.4	11.4	0.2	12.4
KENTUCKY	20,407	54.6	13.7	18.6	0.7	12.3
LOUISIANA	8,568	78.1	4.9	11.6	0.2	5.1
MAINE	7,498	67.3	9.8	8.5	0.5	13.8
MARYLAND	15,507	84.3	2.6	10.5	0.2	2.4
MASSACHUSETTS	32,035	74.8	9.9	9.1	0.5	5.7
MICHIGAN	57,909	66.6	11.9	9.5	0.5	11.6
MINNESOTA	25,110	67.1	4.4	17.6	0.7	10.2
MISSISSIPPI	10,970	80.5	2.6	10.3	0.5	6.1
MISSOURI	29,421	78.3	2.5	9.2	0.2	9.8
MONTANA	4,663	57.0	23.1	8.7	0.5	10.7
NEBRASKA	7,154	99.9	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.0
NEVADA	4,746	65.2	10.4	11.8	0.5	12.0
NEW HAMPSHIRE	4,436	58.4	14.2	11.7	0.5	15.1
NEW JERSEY	27,068	80.6	2.9	12.3	0.5	3.7
NEW MEXICO	14,070	68.6	17.8	8.1	0.9	4.5
NEW YORK	93,291	77.6	14.5	6.0	0.3	1.6
NORTH CAROLINA	17,571	75.4	4.8	13.8	0.3	5.7
NORTH DAKOTA	2,407	62.9	9.6	11.8	0.5	15.2
OHIO	46,359	66.0	17.5	8.7	0.3	7.5
OKLAHOMA	7,640	62.0	9.8	17.4	0.2	10.6
OREGON	10,797	66.3	11.6	12.6	0.5	8.9
PENNSYLVANIA	66,050	72.9	10.8	10.7	0.6	5.1
PUERTO RICO	15,034	73.4	16.0	6.2	0.6	3.8
RHODE ISLAND	9,633	82.6	8.3	6.8	0.1	2.3
SOUTH CAROLINA	8,030	69.5	8.3	16.5	0.1	5.6
SOUTH DAKOTA	977	66.3	12.7	9.5	1.3	10.3
TENNESSEE	54,614	66.2	7.9	14.3	0.5	11.1
TEXAS	49,394	62.6	5.8	21.4	0.8	9.3
UTAH	6,574	43.5	16.0	21.6	0.4	18.6
VERMONT	4,382	59.4	19.1	11.8	0.1	9.6
VIRGIN ISLANDS	402	82.6	3.1	9.0	0.4	4.9
VIRGINIA	9,611	64.1	8.9	18.2	0.7	8.2
WASHINGTON	40,883	48.0	23.0	14.4	0.8	13.8
WEST VIRGINIA	9,998	42.0	24.5	16.1	0.4	17.1
WISCONSIN	11,456	76.9	7.4	8.1	0.3	7.3
WYOMING	76	54.3	14.5	14.0	0.2	17.1

NOTE: 'a/' = DATA NOT REPORTED.
SOURCE: NATIONAL TANF DATAFILE AS OF 3/04/2005

Table 10:24

Temporary Assistance for Needy Families - Active Cases
Percent Distribution of TANF Adults Receiving Disability Payments
FY 2004

STATE	TOTAL ADULTS	YES	NO
U.S. TOTAL	1,168,539	0.6	99.4
ALABAMA	10,272	0.4	99.6
ALASKA	4,366	0.3	99.7
ARIZONA	30,895	0.2	99.8
ARKANSAS	5,591	0.8	99.2
CALIFORNIA	212,948	0.7	99.3
COLORADO	10,597	0.1	99.9
CONNECTICUT	12,182	0.1	99.9
DELAWARE	3,021	1.1	98.9
DIST. OF COL.	11,388	0.5	99.5
FLORIDA	22,750	0.2	99.8
GEORGIA	28,289	0.2	99.8
GUAM a/			
HAWAII	6,701	0.8	99.2
IDAHO	679	0.2	99.8
ILLINOIS	16,468	0.0	100.0
INDIANA	30,307	1.1	98.9
IOWA	13,491	0.4	99.6
KANSAS	13,852	0.8	99.2
KENTUCKY	20,407	0.5	99.5
LOUISIANA	8,568	0.0	100.0
MAINE	7,498	0.9	99.1
MARYLAND	15,507	0.1	99.9
MASSACHUSETTS	32,035	0.9	99.1
MICHIGAN	57,909	0.9	99.1
MINNESOTA	25,110	0.6	99.4
MISSISSIPPI	10,970	0.1	99.9
MISSOURI	29,421	0.2	99.8
MONTANA	4,663	0.1	99.9
NEBRASKA	7,154	0.2	99.8
NEVADA	4,746	1.4	98.6
NEW HAMPSHIRE	4,436	1.3	98.7
NEW JERSEY	27,068	0.0	100.0
NEW MEXICO	14,070	0.4	99.6
NEW YORK	93,291	1.7	98.3
NORTH CAROLINA	17,571	0.3	99.7
NORTH DAKOTA	2,407	0.2	99.8
OHIO	46,359	0.2	99.8
OKLAHOMA	7,640	0.3	99.7
OREGON	10,797	0.3	99.7
PENNSYLVANIA	66,050	0.2	99.8
PUERTO RICO	15,034	0.6	99.4
RHODE ISLAND	9,633	0.8	99.2
SOUTH CAROLINA	8,030	0.4	99.6
SOUTH DAKOTA	977	0.1	99.9
TENNESSEE	54,614	0.1	99.9
TEXAS	49,394	0.6	99.4
UTAH	6,574	0.4	99.6
VERMONT	4,382	0.8	99.2
VIRGIN ISLANDS	402	0.6	99.4
VIRGINIA	9,611	0.2	99.8
WASHINGTON	40,883	0.2	99.8
WEST VIRGINIA	9,998	0.9	99.1
WISCONSIN	11,456	1.0	99.0
WYOMING	76	0.0	100.0

NOTE: 'a/' = DATA NOT REPORTED.
SOURCE: NATIONAL TANF DATAFILE AS OF 3/04/2005

Table 10:25

Temporary Assistance for Needy Families - Active Cases
Percent Distribution of TANF Adult Recipients by Relationship to the Head of Household
FY 2004

STATE	TOTAL ADULTS	RELATIONSHIP TO THE HEAD OF HOUSEHOLD						
		HEAD OF HOUSEHOLD	SPOUSE	PARENT	CHILD	GRAND-CHILD	OTHER RELATED	UNRELATED
U.S. TOTAL	1,168,539	94.7	3.4	0.1	0.6	0.0	0.2	1.1
ALABAMA	10,272	98.1	1.4	0.1	0.3	0.0	0.0	0.0
ALASKA	4,366	83.5	10.7	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.3	5.6
ARIZONA	30,895	95.3	3.2	0.1	0.0	0.0	1.5	0.0
ARKANSAS	5,591	94.8	4.1	0.0	0.3	0.0	0.1	0.6
CALIFORNIA	212,948	98.6	1.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.2	0.2
COLORADO	10,597	90.2	7.9	0.1	0.1	0.0	0.0	1.7
CONNECTICUT	12,182	98.8	0.8	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.5
DELAWARE	3,021	99.5	0.1	0.0	0.3	0.0	0.0	0.1
DIST. OF COL.	11,388	97.6	1.4	0.0	0.1	0.0	0.0	1.0
FLORIDA	22,750	97.4	1.5	0.3	0.2	0.0	0.2	0.4
GEORGIA	28,289	99.7	0.3	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
GUAM a/								
HAWAII	6,701	97.9	1.7	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.4	0.1
IDAHO	679	90.9	6.5	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	2.6
ILLINOIS	16,468	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
INDIANA	30,307	97.5	1.9	0.1	0.2	0.0	0.0	0.3
IOWA	13,491	94.8	3.3	1.2	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.7
KANSAS	13,852	87.1	8.7	0.0	0.4	0.0	0.0	3.8
KENTUCKY	20,407	93.1	5.0	0.2	0.2	0.0	0.4	1.0
LOUISIANA	8,568	97.8	2.2	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
MAINE	7,498	95.1	3.1	0.0	0.3	0.0	0.0	1.5
MARYLAND	15,507	99.4	0.4	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.2	0.0
MASSACHUSETTS	32,035	94.5	3.9	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	1.5
MICHIGAN	57,909	92.1	5.4	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	2.5
MINNESOTA	25,110	97.5	1.7	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.8
MISSISSIPPI	10,970	99.4	0.6	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
MISSOURI	29,421	99.4	0.2	0.0	0.1	0.0	0.1	0.2
MONTANA	4,663	80.8	11.2	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.2	7.6
NEBRASKA	7,154	81.8	0.0	4.3	0.0	0.0	0.4	13.4
NEVADA	4,746	99.5	0.2	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.2
NEW HAMPSHIRE	4,436	96.4	2.8	0.0	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.7
NEW JERSEY	27,068	99.7	0.3	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
NEW MEXICO	14,070	88.7	11.2	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
NEW YORK	93,291	84.4	5.3	0.1	6.1	0.0	0.4	3.7
NORTH CAROLINA	17,571	95.6	2.1	1.4	0.3	0.0	0.1	0.6
NORTH DAKOTA	2,407	98.8	1.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.2
OHIO	46,359	90.9	5.7	0.1	0.3	0.0	0.1	2.8
OKLAHOMA	7,640	98.5	1.5	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
OREGON	10,797	92.3	5.5	1.3	0.0	0.0	0.2	0.7
PENNSYLVANIA	66,050	92.0	5.4	0.1	0.7	0.0	0.1	1.6
PUERTO RICO	15,034	90.9	6.8	0.1	1.0	0.0	0.4	0.8
RHODE ISLAND	9,633	95.3	3.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	1.6
SOUTH CAROLINA	8,030	94.7	5.2	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
SOUTH DAKOTA	977	99.7	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.3
TENNESSEE	54,614	98.6	0.7	0.0	0.3	0.0	0.1	0.2
TEXAS	49,394	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
UTAH	6,574	95.2	4.8	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
VERMONT	4,382	84.9	9.6	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	5.4
VIRGIN ISLANDS	402	98.0	0.9	0.0	0.1	0.0	0.1	0.9
VIRGINIA	9,611	96.7	2.6	0.3	0.2	0.0	0.0	0.2
WASHINGTON	40,883	85.0	14.9	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.0
WEST VIRGINIA	9,998	89.4	8.0	0.0	0.1	0.0	0.0	2.4
WISCONSIN	11,456	96.4	1.8	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	1.7
WYOMING	76	94.4	4.7	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.6	0.4

NOTE: 'a/' = DATA NOT REPORTED.
SOURCE: NATIONAL TANF DATAFILE AS OF 3/04/2005

Table 10:26

Temporary Assistance for Needy Families - Active Cases
Percent Distribution of TANF Adult Recipients by Educational Level
FY 2004

STATE	TOTAL ADULTS	NO FORMAL EDUCATION	YEARS OF EDUCATION				
			1 - 6	7 - 9	10 - 11	12	MORE THAN 12
U.S. TOTAL	1,168,539	1.9	2.1	10.2	27.3	54.6	4.0
ALABAMA	10,272	0.4	1.1	13.7	29.9	41.1	13.8
ALASKA b/	4,366	--	--	--	--	--	--
ARIZONA	30,895	1.8	1.8	13.9	25.2	52.5	4.8
ARKANSAS	5,591	9.2	0.4	8.0	26.8	50.1	5.5
CALIFORNIA	212,948	1.6	4.6	7.0	27.4	57.8	1.5
COLORADO	10,597	5.0	1.3	9.3	20.6	62.3	1.6
CONNECTICUT	12,182	0.8	1.0	12.5	29.1	51.6	5.0
DELAWARE b/	3,021	-	0.4	4.5	10.6	83.3	1.2
DIST. OF COL.	11,388	0.0	2.0	32.2	33.7	29.2	3.0
FLORIDA	22,750	--	--	--	--	--	--
GEORGIA	28,289	2.7	0.3	11.5	29.2	50.0	6.2
GUAM a/							
HAWAII	6,701	0.1	2.2	4.5	15.0	72.4	5.8
IDAHO b/	679	--	--	--	--	--	--
ILLINOIS	16,468	0.0	0.9	2.9	42.2	52.3	1.7
INDIANA	30,307	1.7	0.6	11.4	27.4	56.8	2.2
IOWA	13,491	4.4	0.3	10.4	11.6	72.3	1.1
KANSAS	13,852	3.2	1.2	11.1	25.0	51.2	8.3
KENTUCKY	20,407	3.1	0.3	12.8	24.7	58.5	0.7
LOUISIANA	8,568	0.3	0.4	11.7	28.9	58.3	0.5
MAINE	7,498	0.6	0.4	8.3	20.6	67.4	2.6
MARYLAND	15,507	0.0	0.5	11.7	32.2	51.7	3.8
MASSACHUSETTS	32,035	0.6	0.3	7.4	28.9	55.2	7.7
MICHIGAN	57,909	0.5	1.0	7.0	30.9	59.6	1.1
MINNESOTA	25,110	4.4	3.1	9.4	22.7	49.9	10.5
MISSISSIPPI	10,970	0.2	0.6	11.6	31.7	41.0	14.9
MISSOURI	29,421	0.0	0.4	9.9	33.8	48.3	7.7
MONTANA	4,663	0.0	0.5	10.6	22.7	62.9	3.3
NEBRASKA b/	7,154	-	1.1	2.3	10.5	83.8	2.3
NEVADA	4,746	0.2	1.2	8.6	37.5	40.5	12.2
NEW HAMPSHIRE	4,436	0.6	0.2	10.8	17.2	64.4	6.7
NEW JERSEY	27,068	0.4	2.1	24.2	19.2	51.1	3.0
NEW MEXICO	14,070	0.5	3.0	7.3	24.4	60.5	4.2
NEW YORK	93,291	2.8	1.5	11.6	33.0	50.0	1.1
NORTH CAROLINA	17,571	0.9	0.4	11.1	44.2	41.0	2.4
NORTH DAKOTA	2,407	1.0	0.4	7.1	15.0	67.6	9.0
OHIO	46,359	4.6	0.6	7.9	23.6	58.1	5.2
OKLAHOMA	7,640	0.7	0.3	10.3	30.1	51.8	6.8
OREGON	10,797	0.0	2.1	8.5	26.5	53.3	9.6
PENNSYLVANIA	66,050	1.8	1.1	7.9	25.3	60.0	3.8
PUERTO RICO	15,034	4.4	7.1	22.2	14.6	47.6	4.1
RHODE ISLAND	9,633	0.2	2.5	16.4	26.2	51.9	2.9
SOUTH CAROLINA	8,030	0.2	0.5	10.0	27.8	60.7	0.8
SOUTH DAKOTA	977	0.0	0.8	10.8	24.0	64.3	0.2
TENNESSEE	54,614	5.8	3.7	12.7	26.3	49.8	1.7
TEXAS	49,394	--	--	--	--	--	--
UTAH	6,574	0.0	12.1	6.1	24.1	47.5	10.2
VERMONT	4,382	0.8	0.8	11.7	22.6	58.8	5.3
VIRGIN ISLANDS	402	3.6	4.0	30.8	21.5	34.4	5.6
VIRGINIA	9,611	0.5	0.3	10.7	28.8	56.9	2.8
WASHINGTON	40,883	0.6	2.4	9.7	22.1	50.6	14.6
WEST VIRGINIA	9,998	0.2	0.4	16.8	26.7	45.3	10.5
WISCONSIN	11,456	0.2	0.6	8.0	38.5	51.8	0.8
WYOMING	76	0.0	1.0	5.8	15.0	76.9	1.4

NOTES: 'a/' = DATA NOT REPORTED.
'b/' = DATA REPORTED IN ERROR.
SOURCE: NATIONAL TANF DATAFILE AS OF 3/04/2005

Table 10:27

Temporary Assistance for Needy Families - Active Cases
Percent Distribution of TANF Adult Recipients by Citizenship Status
FY 2004

STATE	TOTAL ADULTS	U.S. CITIZEN	QUALIFIED ALIEN	UNKNOWN
U.S. TOTAL	1,168,539	94.4	5.4	0.2
ALABAMA	10,272	98.7	1.3	0.0
ALASKA	4,366	95.3	4.7	0.0
ARIZONA	30,895	94.2	5.8	0.0
ARKANSAS	5,591	99.6	0.2	0.2
CALIFORNIA	212,948	88.0	11.9	0.1
COLORADO	10,597	99.3	0.4	0.3
CONNECTICUT	12,182	96.2	3.6	0.2
DELAWARE	3,021	98.4	1.6	0.0
DIST. OF COL.	11,388	99.3	0.5	0.1
FLORIDA	22,750	88.3	11.7	0.0
GEORGIA	28,289	98.7	1.1	0.2
GUAM a/				
HAWAII	6,701	98.5	1.5	0.0
IDAHO	679	97.1	2.9	0.0
ILLINOIS	16,468	99.7	0.2	0.1
INDIANA	30,307	99.4	0.6	0.0
IOWA	13,491	98.6	1.4	0.0
KANSAS	13,852	97.8	2.2	0.0
KENTUCKY	20,407	99.2	0.8	0.0
LOUISIANA	8,568	99.6	0.4	0.0
MAINE	7,498	96.6	3.4	0.0
MARYLAND	15,507	98.9	1.1	0.0
MASSACHUSETTS	32,035	92.2	7.6	0.2
MICHIGAN	57,909	98.2	1.8	0.0
MINNESOTA	25,110	88.3	11.7	0.0
MISSISSIPPI	10,970	100.0	0.0	0.0
MISSOURI	29,421	98.4	1.6	0.0
MONTANA	4,663	99.6	0.4	0.0
NEBRASKA	7,154	97.1	2.9	0.0
NEVADA	4,746	94.9	5.1	0.0
NEW HAMPSHIRE	4,436	96.3	3.7	0.0
NEW JERSEY	27,068	97.0	3.0	0.0
NEW MEXICO	14,070	93.6	6.4	0.0
NEW YORK	93,291	89.3	10.4	0.3
NORTH CAROLINA	17,571	97.8	1.4	0.7
NORTH DAKOTA	2,407	99.1	0.9	0.0
OHIO	46,359	98.0	2.0	0.0
OKLAHOMA	7,640	98.3	0.2	1.5
OREGON	10,797	94.3	5.1	0.5
PENNSYLVANIA	66,050	97.3	2.7	0.0
PUERTO RICO	15,034	99.6	0.4	0.0
RHODE ISLAND	9,633	85.5	13.9	0.6
SOUTH CAROLINA	8,030	99.4	0.6	0.0
SOUTH DAKOTA	977	100.0	0.0	0.0
TENNESSEE	54,614	99.8	0.2	0.0
TEXAS	49,394	94.1	5.9	0.0
UTAH	6,574	95.4	4.6	0.0
VERMONT	4,382	98.5	1.5	0.0
VIRGIN ISLANDS	402	91.0	8.7	0.4
VIRGINIA	9,611	97.9	2.1	0.0
WASHINGTON	40,883	88.9	8.2	3.0
WEST VIRGINIA	9,998	100.0	0.0	0.0
WISCONSIN	11,456	100.0	0.0	0.0
WYOMING	76	99.5	0.5	0.0

NOTE: 'a/' = DATA NOT REPORTED.
SOURCE: NATIONAL TANF DATAFILE AS OF 3/04/2005

Table 10:28

Temporary Assistance for Needy Families - Active Cases
Percent Distribution of TANF Adult Recipients by Work Exemption Status
FY 2004

		DISREGARDED			EXEMPTED BY STATE		REQUIRED TO PARTICIPATE		DEEMED ENGAGED IN WORK	
	TOTAL ADULTS	CHILD UNDER 12 MONTHS	SANCTION/ WAIVER	TRIBAL WORK	PARENT w/CHILD UNDER AGE 6*	DISABLED/ OTHER	DID NOT PARTICIPATE	PARTICIPATED	TEEN PARENT IN EDUCATION	PARENT w/CHILD UNDER AGE 6
U.S. TOTAL	1,168,539	10.0	4.4	0.3	1.8	10.9	31.3	30.8	0.7	9.8
ALABAMA	10,272	15.9	8.5	0.0	0.0	4.6	30.4	33.1	1.2	6.4
ALASKA	4,366	5.7	1.9	20.8	0.0	4.0	29.4	25.0	0.6	12.5
ARIZONA	30,895	9.4	0.6	4.1	0.0	8.0	49.1	18.7	0.2	10.0
ARKANSAS	5,591	4.8	5.2	0.0	0.1	17.6	37.0	32.0	0.0	3.3
CALIFORNIA	212,948	9.7	0.0	0.0	9.3	13.0	33.5	30.5	0.4	3.7
COLORADO	10,597	10.2	1.4	0.0	0.5	7.1	41.0	22.0	0.6	17.2
CONNECTICUT	12,182	19.4	1.5	0.0	0.0	1.5	47.9	14.7	0.2	14.7
DELAWARE	3,021	2.2	2.2	0.0	0.0	21.2	54.7	10.3	0.5	8.9
DIST. OF COL.	11,388	14.9	0.0	0.0	0.0	5.0	58.2	11.8	0.0	10.1
FLORIDA	22,750	12.6	12.3	0.0	0.0	1.1	28.9	35.4	2.8	7.0
GEORGIA	28,289	10.0	0.6	0.0	0.0	0.0	62.2	14.1	0.0	13.0
GUAM a/										
HAWAII	6,701	20.6	28.7	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.2	27.1	1.0	22.4
IDAHO	679	7.7	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	23.6	57.7	0.2	10.8
ILLINOIS	16,468	31.7	3.3	0.0	0.0	0.0	27.5	32.9	1.3	3.4
INDIANA	30,307	17.1	2.7	0.0	0.0	12.6	36.6	23.6	1.4	6.0
IOWA	13,491	16.4	4.0	0.0	0.0	0.8	25.6	27.8	1.1	24.4
KANSAS	13,852	9.9	0.0	0.0	0.0	1.9	6.6	79.0	1.3	1.3
KENTUCKY	20,407	11.2	6.9	0.0	0.0	6.4	34.0	29.2	2.0	10.4
LOUISIANA	8,568	14.6	0.0	0.0	0.0	9.6	32.8	19.9	1.3	21.8
MAINE	7,498	1.3	1.8	0.2	0.1	9.0	3.8	76.2	0.8	6.7
MARYLAND	15,507	7.2	0.8	0.0	0.6	4.6	0.0	81.3	1.4	4.0
MASSACHUSETTS	32,035	2.8	61.3	0.0	0.2	0.2	12.0	17.5	0.8	5.4
MICHIGAN	57,909	16.2	0.0	0.0	0.0	28.1	27.7	15.7	0.4	11.9
MINNESOTA	25,110	8.4	4.1	3.1	0.0	10.6	26.0	28.9	5.2	13.8
MISSISSIPPI	10,970	28.3	0.0	0.1	0.0	7.4	0.0	50.7	1.2	12.3
MISSOURI	29,421	13.9	1.9	0.0	0.6	4.0	52.5	18.2	0.6	8.1
MONTANA	4,663	6.6	5.6	8.8	0.0	0.1	12.9	46.9	0.2	18.8
NEBRASKA	7,154	16.6	0.0	0.0	0.0	1.8	0.0	77.8	0.1	3.7
NEVADA	4,746	14.2	0.0	0.0	0.0	4.1	35.5	25.4	0.5	20.3
NEW HAMPSHIRE	4,436	12.3	7.3	0.0	0.5	31.0	14.4	17.9	0.8	15.8
NEW JERSEY	27,068	9.5	0.0	0.0	0.0	11.9	38.1	35.1	0.1	5.4
NEW MEXICO	14,070	11.8	3.6	0.0	0.0	16.5	8.6	37.2	1.1	21.2
NEW YORK	93,291	5.0	8.3	0.0	0.0	17.6	32.8	19.0	0.0	17.3
NORTH CAROLINA	17,571	12.5	2.1	0.0	0.0	11.3	2.1	60.6	3.0	8.4
NORTH DAKOTA	2,407	11.3	0.0	15.7	0.1	6.7	28.7	30.7	1.0	5.9
OHIO	46,359	5.8	0.2	0.0	0.0	0.4	20.9	51.6	0.7	20.5
OKLAHOMA	7,640	20.2	0.0	0.0	0.0	1.3	32.1	38.8	0.5	7.0
OREGON	10,797	14.3	1.2	0.0	0.0	12.5	12.6	48.6	2.2	8.6
PENNSYLVANIA	66,050	6.7	0.0	0.0	0.1	23.2	44.7	23.7	0.1	1.6
PUERTO RICO	15,034	5.3	0.1	0.0	0.7	23.7	60.5	8.0	0.2	1.5
RHODE ISLAND	9,633	11.2	2.5	0.0	0.0	11.0	44.5	24.0	0.1	6.7
SOUTH CAROLINA	8,030	9.4	1.2	0.0	0.1	5.1	27.1	24.8	3.3	29.0
SOUTH DAKOTA	977	22.4	1.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	19.4	35.5	1.5	20.3
TENNESSEE	54,614	9.5	11.7	0.0	0.0	4.9	21.1	52.7	0.1	0.0
TEXAS	49,394	5.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	18.2	35.7	16.6	0.7	23.7
UTAH	6,574	0.0	3.2	0.0	0.0	0.2	47.7	39.8	1.9	7.1
VERMONT	4,382	9.3	1.5	0.0	0.1	21.5	2.6	56.5	0.6	7.8
VIRGIN ISLANDS	402	0.0	0.0	0.0	7.6	17.8	58.8	15.4	0.0	0.4
VIRGINIA	9,611	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	24.4	66.8	0.0	8.8
WASHINGTON	40,883	8.7	5.3	0.0	0.1	5.7	29.6	37.4	1.1	12.1
WEST VIRGINIA	9,998	12.4	4.7	0.0	0.1	12.7	49.5	16.1	0.4	4.1
WISCONSIN	11,456	5.8	11.6	0.0	0.0	0.4	5.7	37.5	2.3	36.6
WYOMING	76	19.9	16.1	0.0	0.0	0.8	0.0	60.1	0.9	2.2

NOTES: 'a/' = DATA NOT REPORTED.
'*' = CHILD CARE UNAVAILABLE.
SOURCE: NATIONAL TANF DATAFILE AS OF 3/04/2005

Table 10:29

Temporary Assistance for Needy Families - Active Cases
Percent Distribution of TANF Adult Recipients Participating in Work Activities*
FY 2004

STATE	TOTAL ADULTS	WORK PARTICIPATION		TYPE OF WORK ACTIVITIES***				
		NO	YES	UNSUBSIDIZED EMPLOYMENT	WORK PREPARATION**	JOB SEARCH	JOB TRAINING/ EDUCATION	OTHER WORK ACTIVITIES
U.S. TOTAL	1,168,539	57.6	42.4	20.4	9.4	7.7	8.7	5.7
ALABAMA	10,272	55.5	44.5	24.2	4.3	11.2	9.9	2.8
ALASKA	4,366	46.1	53.9	31.2	7.5	13.8	11.1	9.8
ARIZONA	30,895	69.1	30.9	19.0	4.5	8.5	5.5	0.0
ARKANSAS	5,591	63.6	36.4	15.4	2.8	10.7	11.0	0.4
CALIFORNIA	212,948	64.3	35.7	25.0	1.6	4.8	6.1	1.1
COLORADO	10,597	55.7	44.3	17.5	2.4	4.4	17.8	0.1
CONNECTICUT	12,182	61.4	38.6	25.1	1.1	2.4	7.6	9.2
DELAWARE	3,021	71.0	29.0	19.0	2.4	0.0	0.5	0.0
DIST. OF COL.	11,388	77.8	22.2	17.6	0.7	3.2	1.3	0.1
FLORIDA	22,750	59.1	40.9	18.8	9.5	7.9	14.2	0.2
GEORGIA	28,289	68.2	31.8	9.4	8.6	3.2	9.4	5.3
GUAM a/								
HAWAII	6,701	61.3	38.7	31.0	6.2	10.3	8.3	0.0
IDAHO	679	13.6	86.4	32.1	7.1	34.5	31.4	49.4
ILLINOIS	16,468	30.1	69.9	20.7	6.9	0.5	17.8	33.9
INDIANA	30,307	67.3	32.7	24.6	1.0	4.0	4.9	0.0
IOWA	13,491	38.0	62.0	45.9	0.7	3.1	16.8	7.5
KANSAS	13,852	17.6	82.4	18.5	0.8	15.6	5.0	0.0
KENTUCKY	20,407	56.7	43.3	20.3	7.4	2.8	17.8	1.6
LOUISIANA	8,568	56.2	43.8	22.9	8.5	4.9	15.0	0.0
MAINE	7,498	51.8	48.2	26.7	3.3	12.9	12.4	0.0
MARYLAND	15,507	72.9	27.1	8.1	7.0	9.2	6.8	0.0
MASSACHUSETTS	32,035	74.2	25.8	15.1	4.1	2.2	5.6	0.0
MICHIGAN	57,909	69.5	30.5	23.2	0.3	8.6	2.2	0.0
MINNESOTA	25,110	47.1	52.9	29.1	3.3	10.0	10.3	17.4
MISSISSIPPI	10,970	71.4	28.6	13.9	8.2	2.7	5.2	0.2
MISSOURI	29,421	60.1	39.9	12.0	2.0	4.6	12.0	15.4
MONTANA	4,663	5.7	94.3	20.2	4.0	18.6	13.5	24.7
NEBRASKA	7,154	53.9	46.1	19.2	0.2	17.8	13.0	0.3
NEVADA	4,746	50.1	49.9	28.6	6.8	13.0	5.1	0.0
NEW HAMPSHIRE	4,436	50.9	49.1	21.0	7.4	11.6	12.0	18.6
NEW JERSEY	27,068	58.1	41.9	14.7	3.9	6.3	18.9	0.0
NEW MEXICO	14,070	54.5	45.5	29.3	8.2	3.8	12.2	0.4
NEW YORK	93,291	63.6	36.4	18.3	3.4	1.5	4.5	0.3
NORTH CAROLINA	17,571	61.1	38.9	18.4	5.9	7.8	14.2	0.0
NORTH DAKOTA	2,407	57.9	42.1	23.2	4.1	12.6	10.4	0.0
OHIO	46,359	21.7	78.3	23.6	0.5	5.1	18.0	11.4
OKLAHOMA	7,640	50.3	49.7	11.0	2.7	19.9	16.1	0.0
OREGON	10,797	24.6	75.4	10.5	5.1	54.9	11.3	5.4
PENNSYLVANIA	66,050	73.2	26.8	12.4	2.5	2.1	8.8	5.3
PUERTO RICO	15,034	90.4	9.6	1.3	5.3	0.6	2.5	0.2
RHODE ISLAND	9,633	63.9	36.1	25.4	1.0	4.0	5.4	3.7
SOUTH CAROLINA	8,030	40.0	60.0	35.0	8.7	5.4	9.8	3.5
SOUTH DAKOTA	977	36.5	63.5	16.7	9.3	6.9	14.7	0.0
TENNESSEE	54,614	38.1	61.9	19.0	0.8	31.4	0.6	51.3
TEXAS	49,394	58.5	41.5	25.0	0.1	12.0	5.8	1.0
UTAH	6,574	51.1	48.9	18.8	3.3	16.8	22.4	0.0
VERMONT	4,382	35.6	64.4	20.1	6.9	10.3	11.8	37.0
VIRGIN ISLANDS	402	84.5	15.5	0.4	4.4	3.0	7.3	0.4
VIRGINIA	9,611	31.3	68.7	50.1	5.2	23.1	3.7	0.0
WASHINGTON	40,883	40.3	59.7	16.0	3.4	7.1	9.7	4.0
WEST VIRGINIA	9,998	75.2	24.8	5.0	9.9	1.6	10.1	0.0
WISCONSIN	11,456	18.2	81.8	8.2	6.1	14.6	51.8	0.0
WYOMING	76	37.8	62.2	13.2	5.0	17.7	2.7	0.0

NOTES: 'a/' = DATA NOT REPORTED.
'*' = SOME PARTICIPATED IN 2 OR 3 WORK ACTIVITIES.
'**' = SUBSIDIZED JOBS, ON-THE-JOB TRAINING, WORK EXPERIENCE, OR COMMUNITY SERVICE.
'***' = PERCENTAGE OF PARTICIPATION IN A WORK ACTIVITY MAY DIFFER FROM THAT REPORTED IN TABLE 3:4:c SINCE INFORMATION DERIVES FROM A SAMPLE.
SOURCE: NATIONAL TANF DATAFILE AS OF 3/04/2005

Table 10:30

Temporary Assistance for Needy Families - Active Cases
Percent Distribution of TANF Adult Recipients by Average Weekly Hours Participation in Work Activities
FY 2004

STATE	TOTAL ADULTS	HOURS OF PARTICIPATION					
		NONE	1-10	11-20	21-30	OVER 30	UNKNOWN
U.S. TOTAL	1,168,539	57.6	5.6	7.7	11.8	17.3	0.1
ALABAMA	10,272	55.5	7.6	6.9	11.1	18.9	0.0
ALASKA	4,366	46.1	9.0	8.6	10.1	25.7	0.6
ARIZONA	30,895	69.1	6.0	4.3	5.4	15.2	0.0
ARKANSAS	5,591	63.6	3.2	5.5	18.6	8.9	0.1
CALIFORNIA	212,948	64.3	5.1	7.2	8.2	15.3	0.0
COLORADO	10,597	55.7	7.2	6.2	13.7	17.1	0.2
CONNECTICUT	12,182	61.4	3.8	9.0	12.6	13.2	0.0
DELAWARE	3,021	71.0	2.9	6.0	6.1	14.0	0.0
DIST. OF COL.	11,388	77.8	2.0	4.5	6.0	9.7	0.0
FLORIDA	22,750	59.1	3.6	4.7	13.5	19.2	0.0
GEORGIA	28,289	68.2	5.6	4.5	14.3	7.5	0.0
GUAM a/							
HAWAII	6,701	61.3	3.3	3.4	3.9	27.6	0.4
IDAHO	679	13.6	9.1	11.1	21.1	45.0	0.0
ILLINOIS	16,468	30.1	12.0	6.7	35.5	15.7	0.0
INDIANA	30,307	67.3	2.9	5.2	13.1	11.5	0.0
IOWA	13,491	38.0	7.0	6.3	10.3	37.0	1.3
KANSAS	13,852	17.6	1.5	1.6	47.1	31.6	0.8
KENTUCKY	20,407	56.7	3.5	11.0	18.4	10.2	0.2
LOUISIANA	8,568	56.2	6.2	8.8	14.1	14.7	0.0
MAINE	7,498	51.8	5.9	10.1	19.7	12.1	0.3
MARYLAND	15,507	72.9	6.7	4.6	8.5	7.4	0.0
MASSACHUSETTS	32,035	74.2	2.0	12.6	6.6	4.6	0.0
MICHIGAN	57,909	69.5	3.6	7.7	9.1	10.0	0.0
MINNESOTA	25,110	47.1	9.9	9.4	11.0	22.4	0.2
MISSISSIPPI	10,970	71.4	5.3	7.2	9.2	6.8	0.0
MISSOURI	29,421	60.1	7.2	13.6	13.1	5.9	0.0
MONTANA	4,663	5.7	3.5	1.3	1.6	87.9	0.0
NEBRASKA	7,154	53.9	7.9	6.4	13.1	18.6	0.0
NEVADA	4,746	50.1	15.5	5.3	9.7	19.4	0.0
NEW HAMPSHIRE	4,436	50.9	6.8	7.5	19.4	15.4	0.1
NEW JERSEY	27,068	58.1	4.8	5.6	7.7	23.7	0.0
NEW MEXICO	14,070	54.5	2.7	4.8	11.1	26.9	0.1
NEW YORK	93,291	63.6	2.4	5.5	8.0	20.4	0.0
NORTH CAROLINA	17,571	61.1	3.8	8.2	12.1	14.8	0.0
NORTH DAKOTA	2,407	57.9	8.9	13.2	9.0	10.8	0.2
OHIO	46,359	21.7	5.7	5.4	33.7	32.9	0.5
OKLAHOMA	7,640	50.3	12.0	8.1	12.3	17.4	0.0
OREGON	10,797	24.6	23.9	18.3	14.2	18.9	0.0
PENNSYLVANIA	66,050	73.2	7.3	7.3	7.0	5.2	0.0
PUERTO RICO	15,034	90.4	0.4	0.9	5.3	2.9	0.0
RHODE ISLAND	9,633	63.9	4.3	10.6	10.5	10.5	0.2
SOUTH CAROLINA	8,030	40.0	3.6	12.5	23.5	20.1	0.2
SOUTH DAKOTA	977	36.5	8.9	21.4	23.2	10.1	0.0
TENNESSEE	54,614	38.1	5.7	10.1	6.3	39.9	0.0
TEXAS	49,394	58.5	3.8	7.1	14.2	16.4	0.0
UTAH	6,574	51.1	10.2	10.8	10.8	17.2	0.0
VERMONT	4,382	35.6	19.2	18.7	10.7	15.5	0.3
VIRGIN ISLANDS	402	84.5	0.3	2.2	8.1	5.0	0.0
VIRGINIA	9,611	31.3	5.7	9.6	27.2	26.2	0.0
WASHINGTON	40,883	40.3	11.4	13.4	10.0	24.9	0.0
WEST VIRGINIA	9,998	75.2	5.2	6.1	6.4	6.6	0.3
WISCONSIN	11,456	18.2	15.6	23.2	24.0	19.0	0.0
WYOMING	76	37.8	5.1	4.3	5.9	46.6	0.1

NOTE: 'a/' = DATA NOT REPORTED.
SOURCE: NATIONAL TANF DATAFILE AS OF 3/04/2005

Table 10:31

Temporary Assistance for Needy Families - Active Cases
Percent Distribution of TANF Adult Recipients by Employment Status
FY 2004

STATE	TOTAL ADULTS	EMPLOYMENT STATUS		
		EMPLOYED	UNEMPLOYED*	NOT IN LABOR FORCE**
U.S. TOTAL	1,168,539	22.0	50.2	27.8
ALABAMA	10,272	26.8	53.5	19.7
ALASKA	4,366	31.2	68.8	0.0
ARIZONA	30,895	19.0	12.0	69.0
ARKANSAS	5,591	17.2	41.0	41.8
CALIFORNIA	212,948	25.2	43.1	31.8
COLORADO	10,597	17.1	76.3	6.6
CONNECTICUT	12,182	26.5	53.3	20.2
DELAWARE	3,021	24.3	48.0	27.7
DIST. OF COL.	11,388	17.2	62.8	19.9
FLORIDA	22,750	19.4	25.3	55.2
GEORGIA	28,289	12.3	0.0	87.7
GUAM a/				
HAWAII	6,701	30.3	30.5	39.2
IDAHO	679	32.3	59.1	8.5
ILLINOIS	16,468	21.2	78.8	0.0
INDIANA	30,307	25.0	41.4	33.6
IOWA	13,491	46.4	53.6	0.0
KANSAS	13,852	10.8	70.2	18.9
KENTUCKY	20,407	20.3	68.8	10.9
LOUISIANA	8,568	29.5	50.8	19.8
MAINE	7,498	28.5	7.2	64.3
MARYLAND	15,507	8.6	91.4	0.0
MASSACHUSETTS	32,035	15.5	41.1	43.4
MICHIGAN	57,909	26.5	37.7	35.7
MINNESOTA	25,110	29.3	53.7	17.0
MISSISSIPPI	10,970	15.4	49.5	35.1
MISSOURI	29,421	20.9	50.6	28.5
MONTANA	4,663	25.2	74.8	0.0
NEBRASKA	7,154	16.5	83.5	0.0
NEVADA	4,746	22.0	62.4	15.7
NEW HAMPSHIRE	4,436	21.2	19.7	59.0
NEW JERSEY	27,068	17.5	77.4	5.1
NEW MEXICO	14,070	29.1	16.0	54.9
NEW YORK	93,291	20.0	57.3	22.7
NORTH CAROLINA	17,571	19.2	50.9	29.9
NORTH DAKOTA	2,407	34.8	7.8	57.4
OHIO	46,359	23.7	74.6	1.7
OKLAHOMA	7,640	11.9	88.1	0.0
OREGON	10,797	12.8	46.8	40.4
PENNSYLVANIA	66,050	11.1	88.9	0.0
PUERTO RICO	15,034	5.8	55.1	39.1
RHODE ISLAND	9,633	25.4	33.9	40.7
SOUTH CAROLINA	8,030	34.7	51.5	13.8
SOUTH DAKOTA	977	22.1	77.9	0.0
TENNESSEE	54,614	19.0	67.9	13.1
TEXAS	49,394	34.5	41.1	24.4
UTAH	6,574	24.4	75.4	0.2
VERMONT	4,382	22.9	58.9	18.3
VIRGIN ISLANDS	402	3.5	95.1	1.4
VIRGINIA	9,611	62.5	13.7	23.8
WASHINGTON	40,883	18.6	5.0	76.3
WEST VIRGINIA	9,998	13.5	55.4	31.0
WISCONSIN	11,456	16.4	83.6	0.0
WYOMING	76	9.7	90.3	0.0

NOTES: 'a/' = DATA NOT REPORTED.
'*' = UNEMPLOYED, LOOKING FOR WORK.
'**' = UNEMPLOYED, NOT LOOKING FOR WORK (INCLUDES DISCOURAGED WORKERS).
SOURCE: NATIONAL TANF DATAFILE AS OF 3/04/2005

Table 10:32

Temporary Assistance for Needy Families - Active Cases
Percent Distribution of TANF Male/Female Adult Recipients by Employment Status
FY 2004

STATE	TOTAL MALES	EMPLOYMENT STATUS			TOTAL FEMALES	EMPLOYMENT STATUS		
		EMPLOYED	UNEMPLOYED*	NOT IN LABOR FORCE**		EMPLOYED	UNEMPLOYED*	NOT IN LABOR FORCE**
U.S. TOTAL	109,383	20.8	49.2	30.0	1,059,156	22.1	50.3	27.6
ALABAMA	320	11.5	38.4	50.2	9,952	27.3	54.0	18.7
ALASKA	999	29.7	70.3	0.0	3,366	31.7	68.3	0.0
ARIZONA	3,085	22.1	12.0	66.0	27,810	18.6	12.0	69.4
ARKANSAS	468	13.9	40.7	45.4	5,123	17.5	41.0	41.5
CALIFORNIA	20,080	25.8	46.1	28.2	192,868	25.1	42.8	32.1
COLORADO	1,440	19.9	74.6	5.4	9,157	16.6	76.5	6.8
CONNECTICUT	492	28.3	65.0	6.7	11,690	26.4	52.8	20.8
DELAWARE	124	12.4	48.6	39.0	2,897	24.8	48.0	27.2
DIST. OF COL.	638	16.6	74.4	8.9	10,750	17.3	62.1	20.6
FLORIDA	1,145	10.4	18.3	71.3	21,605	19.9	25.7	54.4
GEORGIA	816	9.5	0.0	90.5	27,473	12.4	0.0	87.6
GUAM a/								
HAWAII	549	28.6	35.4	35.9	6,152	30.4	30.1	39.5
IDAHO	116	37.4	49.4	13.2	563	31.3	61.1	7.6
ILLINOIS	193	21.8	78.2	0.0	16,275	21.2	78.8	0.0
INDIANA	2,022	18.3	39.5	42.2	28,285	25.5	41.6	32.9
IOWA	1,408	36.2	63.7	0.1	12,083	47.6	52.4	0.0
KANSAS	2,232	11.5	83.0	5.5	11,620	10.7	67.8	21.5
KENTUCKY	2,220	18.9	80.8	0.3	18,187	20.4	67.4	12.2
LOUISIANA	274	24.4	32.7	42.9	8,294	29.7	51.4	19.0
MAINE	721	19.5	7.7	72.9	6,777	29.4	7.2	63.4
MARYLAND	501	8.2	91.8	0.0	15,006	8.6	91.4	0.0
MASSACHUSETTS	2,503	19.6	35.5	45.0	29,532	15.1	41.6	43.3
MICHIGAN	7,189	25.2	30.6	44.2	50,720	26.7	38.7	34.5
MINNESOTA	2,000	32.7	53.9	13.4	23,109	29.0	53.7	17.3
MISSISSIPPI	189	16.8	37.7	45.4	10,781	15.4	49.7	34.9
MISSOURI	1,314	23.1	56.1	20.8	28,107	20.8	50.3	28.9
MONTANA	1,124	20.7	79.3	0.0	3,540	26.6	73.4	0.0
NEBRASKA	289	20.2	79.8	0.0	6,865	16.4	83.6	0.0
NEVADA	216	15.0	81.3	3.7	4,530	22.3	61.5	16.2
NEW HAMPSHIRE	516	18.7	16.6	64.7	3,920	21.6	20.1	58.3
NEW JERSEY	1,006	9.8	88.7	1.6	26,061	17.8	77.0	5.3
NEW MEXICO	2,200	28.1	15.4	56.5	11,870	29.2	16.1	54.6
NEW YORK	13,327	15.4	63.6	21.0	79,964	20.7	56.3	23.0
NORTH CAROLINA	1,157	11.8	42.5	45.7	16,415	19.7	51.5	28.8
NORTH DAKOTA	176	28.1	17.8	54.1	2,232	35.3	7.0	57.7
OHIO	6,543	26.0	73.8	0.2	39,816	23.3	74.8	1.9
OKLAHOMA	414	8.5	91.5	0.0	7,226	12.1	87.9	0.0
OREGON	1,338	17.3	39.6	43.2	9,459	12.2	47.8	40.0
PENNSYLVANIA	7,200	7.6	92.4	0.0	58,850	11.5	88.5	0.0
PUERTO RICO	1,630	3.3	18.0	78.6	13,404	6.1	59.6	34.3
RHODE ISLAND	843	23.1	28.6	48.3	8,789	25.6	34.4	39.9
SOUTH CAROLINA	536	39.4	55.2	5.4	7,494	34.4	51.2	14.4
SOUTH DAKOTA	59	27.8	72.2	0.0	918	21.8	78.2	0.0
TENNESSEE	2,530	10.3	48.6	41.1	52,084	19.4	68.9	11.7
TEXAS	2,518	44.1	23.7	32.2	46,876	34.0	42.0	24.0
UTAH	630	17.5	82.0	0.5	5,945	25.1	74.7	0.2
VERMONT	857	24.2	57.8	18.0	3,525	22.6	59.1	18.3
VIRGIN ISLANDS	11	0.0	100.0	0.0	391	3.6	94.9	1.4
VIRGINIA	490	37.8	17.6	44.6	9,120	63.8	13.5	22.7
WASHINGTON	8,385	23.0	6.0	71.0	32,498	17.5	4.8	77.7
WEST VIRGINIA	1,584	11.0	46.8	42.2	8,414	14.0	57.0	29.0
WISCONSIN	754	16.1	83.9	0.0	10,702	16.5	83.5	0.0
WYOMING	10	10.6	89.4	0.0	66	9.5	90.5	0.0

NOTES: 'a/' = DATA NOT REPORTED.
'*' = UNEMPLOYED, LOOKING FOR WORK.
'**' = UNEMPLOYED, NOT LOOKING FOR WORK (INCLUDES DISCOURAGED WORKERS).
SOURCE: NATIONAL TANF DATAFILE AS OF 3/04/2005

Table 10:33

Temporary Assistance for Needy Families - Active Cases
Percent Distribution of TANF Recipient Children by Age Group
FY 2004

STATE	TOTAL CHILDREN	AGE OF RECIPIENT CHILDREN					
		0 - 1	2 - 5	6 - 11	12 - 15	16 - 19	UNKNOWN
U.S. TOTAL	3,611,277	14.7	25.7	32.2	19.4	8.0	0.0
ALABAMA	49,374	14.7	27.2	32.6	18.7	6.8	0.0
ALASKA	9,543	15.4	24.9	29.7	21.5	8.4	0.0
ARIZONA	85,255	11.9	26.4	33.9	19.7	8.0	0.0
ARKANSAS	16,889	14.8	26.8	31.5	18.8	7.4	0.6
CALIFORNIA	897,058	11.7	23.3	35.2	21.7	8.2	0.0
COLORADO	27,280	16.6	27.1	30.0	17.5	5.8	3.0
CONNECTICUT	30,184	18.9	25.8	27.2	19.5	8.6	0.0
DELAWARE	9,591	16.1	26.7	30.8	18.6	7.8	0.0
DIST. OF COL.	32,704	15.3	26.5	33.5	17.8	6.5	0.3
FLORIDA	95,453	11.8	26.0	33.4	20.2	8.6	0.0
GEORGIA	98,567	17.1	25.8	30.7	18.8	7.6	0.0
GUAM a/							
HAWAII	16,320	16.9	25.6	30.0	18.9	8.6	0.0
IDAHO	2,748	13.5	24.5	33.5	21.0	7.5	0.0
ILLINOIS	70,895	18.0	23.2	30.9	19.3	8.6	0.1
INDIANA	95,780	17.6	28.8	31.2	16.6	5.7	0.0
IOWA	31,281	18.8	29.5	28.8	15.4	7.5	0.0
KANSAS	30,142	20.4	31.4	27.4	14.3	6.5	0.0
KENTUCKY	57,557	16.2	27.9	30.0	18.5	7.4	0.0
LOUISIANA	35,418	16.6	24.6	30.8	18.7	9.3	0.0
MAINE	16,225	13.1	26.5	33.0	19.2	8.3	0.0
MARYLAND	44,462	15.9	25.7	31.8	18.8	7.7	0.0
MASSACHUSETTS	73,666	12.6	22.8	31.6	23.6	9.4	0.0
MICHIGAN	149,007	15.4	24.4	32.8	19.3	8.1	0.0
MINNESOTA	62,950	15.3	24.3	30.8	20.4	9.2	0.0
MISSISSIPPI	31,183	16.4	26.8	30.8	18.6	7.5	0.0
MISSOURI	71,098	16.9	28.1	29.5	18.0	7.6	0.0
MONTANA	9,632	17.1	28.0	29.0	18.6	7.3	0.0
NEBRASKA	19,278	17.4	25.7	31.2	17.7	8.0	0.0
NEVADA	16,184	18.2	24.6	31.1	19.3	6.9	0.0
NEW HAMPSHIRE	9,647	16.1	28.9	30.3	17.2	7.4	0.0
NEW JERSEY	79,881	13.7	24.7	32.3	20.4	8.9	0.0
NEW MEXICO	32,591	19.9	30.1	29.6	14.9	5.4	0.0
NEW YORK	241,457	14.7	28.6	30.0	18.2	8.5	0.0
NORTH CAROLINA	60,069	17.2	27.1	29.9	18.1	7.7	0.0
NORTH DAKOTA	5,515	17.6	28.5	29.8	18.4	5.6	0.0
OHIO	140,145	16.9	24.4	29.5	19.2	9.9	0.0
OKLAHOMA	25,797	15.2	26.4	30.5	19.5	8.4	0.0
OREGON	31,136	17.6	25.6	29.2	19.8	7.8	0.0
PENNSYLVANIA	166,797	18.9	26.0	29.6	17.7	7.9	0.0
PUERTO RICO	34,183	7.9	26.6	39.2	19.0	6.4	0.9
RHODE ISLAND	22,267	14.1	25.0	31.6	20.3	8.9	0.0
SOUTH CAROLINA	27,251	17.0	25.5	27.3	21.0	8.9	0.3
SOUTH DAKOTA	5,050	12.8	23.1	33.1	21.1	9.8	0.0
TENNESSEE	133,450	14.8	28.5	30.9	18.3	7.6	0.0
TEXAS	203,640	16.4	28.2	33.4	16.1	5.8	0.0
UTAH	16,081	16.4	28.7	31.5	16.5	6.8	0.0
VERMONT	7,746	17.1	27.7	29.5	18.1	7.6	0.0
VIRGIN ISLANDS	1,189	15.9	33.5	33.6	13.2	3.8	0.0
VIRGINIA	17,269	7.6	36.6	34.1	16.9	4.9	0.0
WASHINGTON	96,557	16.2	25.3	31.0	18.4	9.1	0.0
WEST VIRGINIA	24,606	15.9	27.0	31.5	18.0	7.5	0.0
WISCONSIN	42,673	12.7	22.6	31.0	23.0	10.7	0.0
WYOMING	557	10.5	21.6	38.2	22.4	7.2	0.0

NOTE: 'a/' = DATA NOT REPORTED.
SOURCE: NATIONAL TANF DATAFILE AS OF 3/04/2005

Table 10:34

Temporary Assistance for Needy Families - Active Cases
Percent Distribution of TANF Male Recipient Children by Age Group
FY 2004

STATE	TOTAL MALE CHILDREN	AGE OF MALE RECIPIENT CHILDREN					
		0 - 1	2 - 5	6 - 11	12 - 15	16 - 19	UNKNOWN
U.S. TOTAL	1,793,825	15.1	25.9	32.3	19.0	7.7	0.0
ALABAMA	23,278	14.8	28.5	31.3	18.2	7.2	0.0
ALASKA	4,816	15.3	24.9	29.7	22.0	8.0	0.0
ARIZONA	42,462	11.9	26.6	34.2	19.2	8.0	0.0
ARKANSAS	7,987	15.0	28.9	31.0	19.3	5.2	0.6
CALIFORNIA	446,281	11.7	22.9	35.6	21.5	8.2	0.0
COLORADO	13,942	17.4	26.2	29.7	17.6	6.0	3.0
CONNECTICUT	14,905	19.6	27.5	25.5	19.8	7.7	0.0
DELAWARE	4,689	17.2	27.0	29.0	19.3	7.6	0.0
DIST. OF COL.	15,523	16.4	26.9	34.1	17.7	4.6	0.2
FLORIDA	46,254	12.3	26.6	33.3	19.4	8.5	0.0
GEORGIA	47,424	17.9	26.0	30.5	18.7	6.9	0.0
GUAM a/							
HAWAII	8,462	17.2	26.4	29.6	17.7	9.1	0.0
IDAHO	1,398	13.6	22.9	35.2	20.8	7.6	0.0
ILLINOIS	34,610	17.9	23.6	31.7	18.9	7.6	0.2
INDIANA	47,099	18.1	28.0	30.7	17.6	5.6	0.0
IOWA	15,512	19.8	29.7	28.5	14.5	7.5	0.0
KANSAS	15,255	21.1	31.3	26.9	14.0	6.6	0.0
KENTUCKY	29,349	16.8	29.9	29.4	16.7	7.3	0.0
LOUISIANA	16,778	16.1	26.8	31.1	17.2	8.7	0.0
MAINE	8,198	14.4	26.5	32.3	18.0	8.7	0.0
MARYLAND	21,250	15.9	26.2	32.6	18.6	6.8	0.0
MASSACHUSETTS	35,886	14.4	22.1	31.5	21.9	10.1	0.0
MICHIGAN	73,515	15.2	25.1	33.9	17.9	7.8	0.0
MINNESOTA	31,986	15.0	23.9	30.6	21.5	8.9	0.0
MISSISSIPPI	15,263	16.3	28.7	31.5	16.7	6.7	0.0
MISSOURI	36,014	16.8	29.4	29.4	16.7	7.6	0.0
MONTANA	4,900	16.0	29.9	29.0	17.4	7.6	0.0
NEBRASKA	9,321	15.1	27.0	32.5	17.8	7.6	0.0
NEVADA	8,060	18.4	24.4	30.9	18.9	7.4	0.0
NEW HAMPSHIRE	4,944	16.7	28.8	30.8	16.6	7.1	0.0
NEW JERSEY	38,559	13.6	25.2	33.3	19.0	8.9	0.0
NEW MEXICO	16,603	20.3	31.3	28.9	14.8	4.7	0.0
NEW YORK	125,470	15.3	29.1	30.1	17.8	7.6	0.0
NORTH CAROLINA	29,261	18.2	26.6	29.1	18.9	7.1	0.0
NORTH DAKOTA	2,808	17.9	27.3	30.7	18.5	5.5	0.0
OHIO	69,117	17.7	24.7	29.7	18.8	9.0	0.0
OKLAHOMA	13,180	15.8	27.2	29.4	19.0	8.6	0.0
OREGON	15,530	18.6	24.6	30.2	19.3	7.3	0.0
PENNSYLVANIA	82,590	18.9	26.0	30.3	17.4	7.4	0.0
PUERTO RICO	17,594	8.3	26.4	38.8	19.0	6.8	0.7
RHODE ISLAND	11,027	14.9	26.0	30.8	19.4	8.9	0.0
SOUTH CAROLINA	13,452	17.3	25.7	26.6	20.7	9.4	0.2
SOUTH DAKOTA	2,503	13.2	23.7	32.6	21.2	9.2	0.0
TENNESSEE	66,903	15.1	28.6	31.5	17.3	7.6	0.0
TEXAS	100,749	16.9	28.3	32.9	16.3	5.6	0.0
UTAH	8,298	15.6	27.1	33.2	17.0	7.1	0.0
VERMONT	3,828	17.4	27.5	28.5	18.2	8.5	0.0
VIRGIN ISLANDS	605	16.7	34.2	32.1	13.0	4.0	0.0
VIRGINIA	8,797	8.2	38.0	32.6	16.8	4.4	0.0
WASHINGTON	48,176	17.2	25.8	30.6	17.7	8.7	0.0
WEST VIRGINIA	11,924	16.6	27.2	30.5	17.4	8.3	0.0
WISCONSIN	21,214	13.6	22.7	30.3	23.1	10.2	0.0
WYOMING	273	10.7	23.4	38.1	20.8	7.0	0.0

NOTE: 'a/' = DATA NOT REPORTED.
SOURCE: NATIONAL TANF DATAFILE AS OF 3/04/2005

Table 10:35

Temporary Assistance for Needy Families - Active Cases
Percent Distribution of TANF Female Recipient Children by Age Group
FY 2004

STATE	TOTAL FEMALE CHILDREN	AGE OF FEMALE RECIPIENT CHILDREN					
		0 - 1	2 - 5	6 - 11	12 - 15	16 - 19	UNKNOWN
U.S. TOTAL	1,817,213	14.4	25.5	32.0	19.7	8.2	0.1
ALABAMA	26,095	14.6	26.2	33.7	19.1	6.5	0.0
ALASKA	4,726	15.6	24.9	29.7	20.9	8.9	0.0
ARIZONA	42,792	12.0	26.1	33.6	20.2	8.0	0.0
ARKANSAS	8,902	14.6	24.9	32.0	18.4	9.5	0.6
CALIFORNIA	450,777	11.7	23.6	34.7	21.8	8.1	0.0
COLORADO	13,338	15.8	28.0	30.3	17.3	5.6	2.9
CONNECTICUT	15,279	18.3	24.1	29.0	19.2	9.5	0.0
DELAWARE	4,902	15.0	26.4	32.5	18.0	8.1	0.0
DIST. OF COL.	17,181	14.4	26.1	33.0	18.0	8.2	0.3
FLORIDA	49,200	11.4	25.4	33.5	20.9	8.8	0.0
GEORGIA	51,142	16.4	25.6	30.9	18.8	8.3	0.0
GUAM a/							
HAWAII	7,857	16.6	24.7	30.4	20.2	8.2	0.0
IDAHO	1,350	13.5	26.1	31.8	21.2	7.4	0.0
ILLINOIS	36,285	18.0	22.7	30.1	19.6	9.5	0.0
INDIANA	48,681	17.2	29.7	31.6	15.7	5.9	0.0
IOWA	15,769	17.9	29.3	29.1	16.3	7.4	0.0
KANSAS	14,887	19.7	31.5	27.8	14.5	6.5	0.0
KENTUCKY	28,208	15.6	25.8	30.7	20.4	7.6	0.1
LOUISIANA	18,641	17.1	22.6	30.5	20.1	9.8	0.0
MAINE	8,027	11.7	26.4	33.7	20.4	7.8	0.0
MARYLAND	23,213	16.0	25.3	31.0	19.0	8.6	0.0
MASSACHUSETTS	37,780	10.9	23.4	31.8	25.2	8.7	0.0
MICHIGAN	75,492	15.7	23.6	31.7	20.6	8.4	0.1
MINNESOTA	30,964	15.6	24.7	31.1	19.3	9.4	0.0
MISSISSIPPI	15,919	16.4	25.0	30.1	20.4	8.2	0.0
MISSOURI	35,083	16.9	26.8	29.5	19.4	7.5	0.0
MONTANA	4,731	18.2	26.1	29.0	19.8	7.0	0.0
NEBRASKA	9,719	17.6	25.1	30.7	18.1	8.5	0.0
NEVADA	8,124	18.0	24.8	31.3	19.6	6.3	0.0
NEW HAMPSHIRE	4,704	15.6	29.0	29.8	17.9	7.8	0.0
NEW JERSEY	41,322	13.9	24.2	31.4	21.6	8.9	0.0
NEW MEXICO	15,987	19.5	28.9	30.4	15.0	6.2	0.0
NEW YORK	115,986	13.9	28.1	29.9	18.6	9.4	0.0
NORTH CAROLINA	30,808	16.3	27.5	30.7	17.2	8.2	0.0
NORTH DAKOTA	2,707	17.3	29.7	28.9	18.4	5.8	0.0
OHIO	71,028	16.1	24.0	29.4	19.7	10.8	0.0
OKLAHOMA	12,617	14.5	25.6	31.6	20.1	8.3	0.0
OREGON	15,606	16.6	26.6	28.2	20.2	8.3	0.0
PENNSYLVANIA	84,207	19.0	26.0	28.9	17.9	8.3	0.0
PUERTO RICO	16,589	7.5	26.9	39.7	18.9	5.9	1.0
RHODE ISLAND	11,241	13.3	24.1	32.4	21.3	8.9	0.0
SOUTH CAROLINA	13,799	16.6	25.2	28.0	21.2	8.4	0.4
SOUTH DAKOTA	2,547	12.4	22.6	33.7	21.0	10.4	0.0
TENNESSEE	66,547	14.5	28.4	30.3	19.3	7.6	0.0
TEXAS	102,892	15.9	28.2	33.9	16.0	6.0	0.0
UTAH	7,782	17.3	30.5	29.7	15.9	6.5	0.0
VERMONT	3,919	16.8	27.9	30.5	18.1	6.7	0.0
VIRGIN ISLANDS	584	15.1	32.7	35.2	13.4	3.6	0.0
VIRGINIA	8,472	7.1	35.0	35.7	16.9	5.3	0.0
WASHINGTON	48,381	15.3	24.8	31.5	19.1	9.4	0.0
WEST VIRGINIA	12,682	15.4	26.8	32.5	18.5	6.8	0.0
WISCONSIN	21,460	11.7	22.4	31.8	22.8	11.3	0.0
WYOMING	285	10.4	19.9	38.2	24.0	7.5	0.0

NOTE: 'a/' = DATA NOT REPORTED.
SOURCE: NATIONAL TANF DATAFILE AS OF 3/04/2005

Table 10:36

Temporary Assistance for Needy Families - Active Cases
Percent Distribution of TANF Youngest Child Recipient by Age Group
FY 2004

STATE	TOTAL FAMILIES	AGE OF THE YOUNGEST CHILD								
		UNBORN	UNDER 1	1 - 2	3 - 5	6 - 8	9 - 11	12 - 15	16 - 19	UNKNOWN
U.S. TOTAL	1,983,973	0.5	14.5	20.8	19.1	12.9	12.0	13.7	5.6	1.0
ALABAMA	19,155	0.0	14.4	20.3	18.5	12.8	12.2	16.5	4.8	0.4
ALASKA	4,926	1.2	16.2	19.8	18.6	12.1	10.6	15.4	5.9	0.2
ARIZONA	49,560	0.0	15.5	22.6	20.8	12.4	11.2	11.8	4.3	1.4
ARKANSAS	10,023	0.0	15.0	21.5	18.7	12.8	12.1	15.0	4.6	0.3
CALIFORNIA	456,667	1.1	10.8	20.6	20.0	14.3	14.0	13.9	5.0	0.2
COLORADO	14,624	2.5	16.0	21.3	18.6	11.6	11.9	12.3	4.5	1.3
CONNECTICUT	20,720	0.0	14.6	21.5	17.3	11.4	10.8	14.7	6.4	3.3
DELAWARE	5,643	0.0	14.4	19.3	18.2	13.2	12.9	15.1	6.6	0.3
DIST. OF COL.	17,174	0.1	13.9	23.7	20.0	13.8	11.4	11.3	4.7	1.0
FLORIDA	57,457	0.0	10.0	17.5	19.8	14.6	14.2	15.7	6.4	1.9
GEORGIA	53,201	0.0	18.3	18.4	17.7	13.3	11.7	14.5	5.7	0.4
GUAM a/										
HAWAII	8,865	0.0	16.9	20.5	17.9	12.7	11.8	12.9	6.6	0.8
IDAHO	1,848	0.0	11.0	14.6	17.3	14.8	15.8	19.0	6.9	0.5
ILLINOIS	35,659	0.0	22.0	19.1	15.7	12.2	10.7	14.1	6.1	0.1
INDIANA	50,450	0.0	17.8	23.9	20.3	12.0	11.0	11.6	3.4	0.0
IOWA	18,286	0.0	18.6	23.0	19.7	12.5	8.6	11.9	5.5	0.1
KANSAS	16,745	0.0	22.2	24.2	18.4	9.0	8.4	10.3	4.7	2.7
KENTUCKY	35,629	0.0	14.0	20.1	18.6	13.5	11.1	16.5	6.2	0.0
LOUISIANA	18,778	0.0	16.7	20.0	15.1	12.6	12.9	14.5	7.5	0.5
MAINE	9,678	0.0	15.0	19.3	21.3	13.8	12.1	13.1	4.9	0.5
MARYLAND	25,430	0.0	15.0	19.1	18.4	12.9	12.4	13.3	5.6	3.4
MASSACHUSETTS	49,753	0.0	13.2	23.1	18.3	13.0	11.2	14.4	5.4	1.5
MICHIGAN	79,432	0.0	18.0	18.5	17.1	12.8	12.2	13.3	6.2	1.8
MINNESOTA	34,340	0.0	15.3	19.9	19.4	10.3	11.8	13.4	5.9	4.1
MISSISSIPPI	18,793	0.0	20.7	23.8	16.5	12.0	11.0	11.8	4.3	0.0
MISSOURI	40,979	0.0	17.2	24.2	18.9	11.9	10.4	12.4	4.9	0.1
MONTANA	5,257	1.5	16.6	22.1	18.3	11.6	10.7	13.7	5.1	0.4
NEBRASKA	10,879	0.0	17.6	22.0	19.5	13.8	10.3	11.3	4.4	1.1
NEVADA	8,837	0.0	19.9	18.2	17.4	12.6	10.6	14.5	5.0	1.7
NEW HAMPSHIRE	6,047	0.0	14.2	22.6	19.7	14.3	10.8	12.8	5.4	0.1
NEW JERSEY	44,729	0.0	13.1	19.5	20.0	14.5	12.3	14.6	6.0	0.0
NEW MEXICO	17,591	2.5	20.0	23.8	20.7	10.7	9.0	10.1	3.1	0.1
NEW YORK	147,034	1.5	11.3	21.5	20.1	11.9	10.9	14.3	6.8	1.7
NORTH CAROLINA	37,651	0.0	15.0	19.5	17.4	12.7	13.5	15.5	6.1	0.4
NORTH DAKOTA	3,059	0.0	18.1	22.0	20.6	11.8	9.2	12.5	2.8	3.1
OHIO	84,575	0.0	15.5	18.6	16.2	12.3	12.2	15.8	7.7	1.7
OKLAHOMA	14,195	0.0	18.9	20.4	18.3	11.7	11.5	12.6	5.7	0.8
OREGON	18,503	0.8	17.0	19.0	17.6	11.7	11.5	16.5	5.9	0.0
PENNSYLVANIA	88,127	0.0	20.5	22.2	16.2	10.5	10.7	12.3	5.3	2.4
PUERTO RICO	17,494	0.0	9.4	22.7	23.9	16.8	11.6	11.2	4.1	0.1
RHODE ISLAND	12,292	0.0	13.3	21.0	19.4	13.0	13.3	13.2	4.8	2.0
SOUTH CAROLINA	15,632	0.0	15.8	19.3	17.7	11.3	12.0	17.0	6.6	0.3
SOUTH DAKOTA	2,745	0.0	14.8	17.6	19.5	13.0	12.9	14.6	7.5	0.2
TENNESSEE	72,070	0.0	13.4	22.0	21.4	11.6	11.0	14.3	5.1	1.2
TEXAS	106,329	0.0	17.4	22.0	20.5	13.4	10.5	11.5	4.7	0.0
UTAH	9,041	8.4	10.9	20.6	19.3	13.3	10.3	11.6	5.2	0.4
VERMONT	4,830	0.0	14.8	20.8	19.8	12.1	11.3	14.4	6.1	0.8
VIRGIN ISLANDS	533	0.0	18.1	30.3	23.6	12.0	8.5	6.6	1.0	0.0
VIRGINIA	9,425	0.0	5.4	30.5	28.9	13.5	10.2	9.4	2.2	0.0
WASHINGTON	55,858	0.0	15.8	19.0	18.9	12.3	11.1	12.7	7.0	3.3
WEST VIRGINIA	14,588	0.0	14.3	19.4	19.4	14.1	11.6	14.7	6.5	0.0
WISCONSIN	22,492	0.0	12.8	18.2	16.5	12.2	12.6	18.7	9.0	0.0
WYOMING	352	0.0	9.0	13.2	17.1	17.2	17.9	18.1	7.4	0.0

NOTE: 'a/' = DATA NOT REPORTED.
SOURCE: NATIONAL TANF DATAFILE AS OF 3/04/2005

Table 10:37

Temporary Assistance for Needy Families - Active Cases
Percent Distribution of TANF Recipient Children by Ethnicity/Race
FY 2004

STATE	TOTAL CHILDREN	HISPANIC*	WHITE	AFRICAN-AMERICAN	NATIVE AMERICAN	ASIAN	HAWAIIAN	MULTI-RACIAL	UNKNOWN
U.S. TOTAL	3,611,277	27.1	27.8	38.6	1.4	2.1	0.5	0.0	2.4
ALABAMA	49,374	1.2	25.6	72.6	0.1	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.4
ALASKA	9,543	3.8	40.3	9.4	34.0	6.6	3.8	0.0	2.2
ARIZONA b/	85,255	52.4	28.0	10.9	7.6	0.2	0.3	0.0	0.6
ARKANSAS	16,889	3.4	36.2	59.6	0.2	0.3	0.0	0.0	0.4
CALIFORNIA	897,058	53.7	17.9	20.7	0.5	5.3	0.7	0.0	1.2
COLORADO	27,280	37.0	38.4	18.6	1.0	0.7	0.2	0.0	4.0
CONNECTICUT	30,184	40.6	24.3	34.4	0.2	0.5	0.0	0.0	0.0
DELAWARE	9,591	8.4	22.3	68.4	0.2	0.5	0.0	0.0	0.2
DIST. OF COL.	32,704	1.2	0.1	98.4	0.0	0.1	0.1	0.0	0.1
FLORIDA	95,453	19.6	25.3	54.9	0.0	0.0	0.2	0.0	0.0
GEORGIA	98,567	2.9	19.3	77.3	0.1	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.4
GUAM a/									
HAWAII	16,320	6.3	16.1	2.1	0.3	14.3	47.7	0.0	13.3
IDAHO	2,748	18.5	74.6	1.1	3.4	0.1	0.2	0.0	2.1
ILLINOIS	70,895	9.2	17.3	72.9	0.0	0.4	0.0	0.0	0.2
INDIANA	95,780	6.9	43.8	46.5	0.0	0.1	0.0	0.0	2.7
IOWA	31,281	6.3	58.8	15.7	0.5	0.6	0.0	0.0	18.1
KANSAS	30,142	12.5	49.3	32.4	1.4	1.3	0.0	0.0	3.0
KENTUCKY	57,557	1.6	71.1	26.6	0.0	0.2	0.0	0.0	0.5
LOUISIANA	35,418	1.9	12.8	84.8	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.4
MAINE	16,225	0.8	65.8	3.5	1.3	0.9	0.1	0.0	27.7
MARYLAND	44,462	1.7	16.1	81.7	0.1	0.4	0.0	0.0	0.0
MASSACHUSETTS	73,666	37.1	37.2	21.1	0.3	4.3	0.0	0.0	0.0
MICHIGAN	149,007	4.1	37.8	54.5	1.2	0.8	0.0	0.0	1.5
MINNESOTA	62,950	10.2	33.6	34.3	7.4	11.8	0.0	0.0	2.8
MISSISSIPPI	31,183	0.4	14.7	84.7	0.2	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
MISSOURI	71,098	3.5	45.6	50.1	0.2	0.3	0.1	0.0	0.2
MONTANA	9,632	4.2	40.4	1.1	53.4	0.2	0.2	0.0	0.5
NEBRASKA	19,278	10.5	27.9	22.3	4.8	0.4	0.0	0.0	34.2
NEVADA	16,184	25.1	35.1	35.3	1.8	2.0	0.1	0.0	0.7
NEW HAMPSHIRE	9,647	7.3	88.2	4.1	0.0	0.3	0.1	0.0	0.0
NEW JERSEY	79,881	26.0	12.4	60.5	0.0	0.4	0.1	0.0	0.6
NEW MEXICO	32,591	73.1	16.5	4.1	5.9	0.0	0.3	0.0	0.0
NEW YORK	241,457	37.2	19.6	39.5	0.2	1.0	0.1	0.0	2.5
NORTH CAROLINA	60,069	6.8	23.2	67.7	2.0	0.3	0.0	0.0	0.0
NORTH DAKOTA	5,515	3.9	31.0	1.3	58.1	0.2	0.2	0.0	5.4
OHIO	140,145	3.5	45.3	50.6	0.1	0.3	0.0	0.0	0.3
OKLAHOMA	25,797	7.6	39.7	39.0	13.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.6
OREGON	31,136	20.4	61.4	11.9	3.3	1.7	0.2	0.0	1.1
PENNSYLVANIA	166,797	2.3	33.9	52.0	0.1	1.2	0.1	0.0	10.5
PUERTO RICO	34,183	99.8	0.2	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
RHODE ISLAND	22,267	24.9	28.7	13.5	0.1	3.9	0.0	0.0	28.9
SOUTH CAROLINA	27,251	2.6	23.3	71.7	0.2	0.1	0.0	0.0	2.0
SOUTH DAKOTA	5,050	2.1	19.7	3.0	72.9	0.3	0.1	0.0	1.9
TENNESSEE	133,450	1.7	33.2	64.6	0.2	0.3	0.0	0.0	0.0
TEXAS	203,640	53.2	12.6	32.5	0.2	0.5	0.0	0.0	1.0
UTAH	16,081	22.1	67.0	4.0	4.3	2.3	0.2	0.0	0.0
VERMONT	7,746	0.4	96.8	2.0	0.2	0.6	0.0	0.0	0.0
VIRGIN ISLANDS	1,189	31.5	0.7	67.5	0.0	0.3	0.0	0.0	0.0
VIRGINIA	17,269	2.9	24.4	71.4	0.1	1.1	0.1	0.0	0.0
WASHINGTON	96,557	18.2	51.4	11.9	4.1	1.8	2.2	0.0	10.4
WEST VIRGINIA	24,606	0.6	87.5	11.2	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.7
WISCONSIN	42,673	8.3	18.2	60.0	1.3	3.9	0.1	0.0	8.3
WYOMING	557	17.2	65.0	7.0	10.8	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0

NOTES: '*' = CAN BE OF ANY RACE.
'a/' = DATA NOT REPORTED.
'b/' = DATA REPORTED IN ERROR.
SOURCE: NATIONAL TANF DATAFILE AS OF 3/04/2005

Table 10:38

Temporary Assistance for Needy Families - Active Cases
Percent Distribution of TANF Recipient Children
Receiving Disability Benefits
FY 2004

STATE	TOTAL CHILDREN	YES	NO
U.S. TOTAL	3,611,277	0.3	99.7
ALABAMA	49,374	0.0	100.0
ALASKA	9,543	0.0	100.0
ARIZONA	85,255	0.0	100.0
ARKANSAS	16,889	0.3	99.7
CALIFORNIA	897,058	0.7	99.3
COLORADO	27,280	0.0	100.0
CONNECTICUT	30,184	0.0	100.0
DELAWARE	9,591	0.0	100.0
DIST. OF COL.	32,704	0.1	99.9
FLORIDA	95,453	0.0	100.0
GEORGIA	98,567	0.0	100.0
GUAM a/			
HAWAII	16,320	0.0	100.0
IDAHO	2,748	0.0	100.0
ILLINOIS	70,895	0.0	100.0
INDIANA	95,780	0.0	100.0
IOWA	31,281	2.1	97.9
KANSAS	30,142	0.0	100.0
KENTUCKY	57,557	0.1	99.9
LOUISIANA	35,418	0.0	100.0
MAINE	16,225	0.0	100.0
MARYLAND	44,462	0.0	100.0
MASSACHUSETTS	73,666	0.2	99.8
MICHIGAN	149,007	0.1	99.9
MINNESOTA	62,950	0.0	100.0
MISSISSIPPI	31,183	3.5	96.5
MISSOURI	71,098	0.9	99.1
MONTANA	9,632	0.0	100.0
NEBRASKA	19,278	0.0	100.0
NEVADA	16,184	0.0	100.0
NEW HAMPSHIRE	9,647	0.0	100.0
NEW JERSEY	79,881	0.0	100.0
NEW MEXICO	32,591	0.0	100.0
NEW YORK	241,457	0.0	100.0
NORTH CAROLINA	60,069	1.0	99.0
NORTH DAKOTA	5,515	0.0	100.0
OHIO	140,145	0.0	100.0
OKLAHOMA	25,797	0.0	100.0
OREGON	31,136	0.0	100.0
PENNSYLVANIA	166,797	0.2	99.8
PUERTO RICO	34,183	0.1	99.9
RHODE ISLAND	22,267	0.0	100.0
SOUTH CAROLINA	27,251	0.2	99.8
SOUTH DAKOTA	5,050	2.2	97.8
TENNESSEE	133,450	0.0	100.0
TEXAS	203,640	0.0	100.0
UTAH	16,081	0.0	100.0
VERMONT	7,746	0.0	100.0
VIRGIN ISLANDS	1,189	0.6	99.4
VIRGINIA	17,269	0.0	100.0
WASHINGTON	96,557	0.0	100.0
WEST VIRGINIA	24,606	0.0	100.0
WISCONSIN	42,673	0.0	100.0
WYOMING	557	0.0	100.0

NOTE: 'a/' = DATA NOT REPORTED.
SOURCE: NATIONAL TANF DATAFILE AS OF 3/04/2005

Table 10:39

Temporary Assistance for Needy Families - Active Cases
Percent Distribution of TANF Recipient Children by Relationship to the Head of Household
FY 2004

STATE	TOTAL CHILDREN	RELATIONSHIP TO HEAD OF HOUSEHOLD						
		HEAD OF HOUSEHOLD	SPOUSE	PARENT	CHILD	GRAND-CHILD	OTHER RELATED	UNRELATED
U.S. TOTAL	3,611,277	0.4	0.0	0.0	84.4	9.2	4.5	1.5
ALABAMA	49,374	0.0	0.0	0.0	75.5	16.4	8.0	0.0
ALASKA	9,543	0.0	0.0	0.0	91.7	5.4	2.9	0.1
ARIZONA	85,255	0.0	0.0	0.0	84.3	11.0	4.6	0.1
ARKANSAS	16,889	0.1	0.6	0.0	71.5	20.5	7.1	0.1
CALIFORNIA	897,058	0.0	0.0	0.0	91.6	5.7	1.8	1.0
COLORADO	27,280	0.1	0.0	0.0	79.2	15.4	4.5	0.8
CONNECTICUT	30,184	0.0	0.0	0.0	74.1	16.9	8.6	0.4
DELAWARE	9,591	0.0	0.0	0.0	68.2	21.1	8.5	2.2
DIST. OF COL.	32,704	0.2	0.1	0.0	92.5	4.4	2.6	0.2
FLORIDA	95,453	1.1	0.0	0.0	60.7	0.0	20.4	17.7
GEORGIA	98,567	0.0	0.0	0.0	71.4	20.2	8.4	0.0
GUAM a/								
HAWAII	16,320	0.0	0.0	0.0	81.1	13.2	5.6	0.0
IDAHO	2,748	0.0	0.0	0.0	39.0	48.6	12.3	0.0
ILLINOIS	70,895	0.0	0.0	0.0	83.1	13.9	3.0	0.0
INDIANA	95,780	0.0	0.0	0.0	91.3	6.4	2.2	0.1
IOWA	31,281	0.0	0.0	0.0	85.5	10.5	3.9	0.1
KANSAS	30,142	0.0	0.0	0.0	85.9	10.2	3.5	0.5
KENTUCKY	57,557	0.0	0.1	0.0	78.6	14.5	6.7	0.0
LOUISIANA	35,418	1.0	0.0	0.0	68.6	21.1	9.2	0.0
MAINE	16,225	0.0	0.0	0.0	94.3	4.4	1.2	0.1
MARYLAND	44,462	0.0	0.0	0.0	74.6	16.8	8.6	0.0
MASSACHUSETTS	73,666	0.0	0.0	0.0	89.6	7.6	2.8	0.0
MICHIGAN	149,007	0.0	0.0	0.0	91.6	5.6	2.1	0.6
MINNESOTA	62,950	0.0	0.0	0.0	88.3	6.3	4.4	0.9
MISSISSIPPI	31,183	0.0	0.0	0.0	81.1	15.1	3.9	0.0
MISSOURI	71,098	0.0	0.0	0.0	89.6	7.1	3.0	0.3
MONTANA	9,632	0.0	0.0	0.0	83.1	12.6	4.1	0.2
NEBRASKA	19,278	2.5	0.0	0.0	40.3	0.0	35.0	22.1
NEVADA	16,184	10.5	0.0	0.0	69.5	10.4	9.6	0.0
NEW HAMPSHIRE	9,647	0.0	0.0	0.0	86.7	8.4	4.5	0.4
NEW JERSEY	79,881	0.0	0.0	0.0	78.5	11.8	7.9	1.8
NEW MEXICO	32,591	0.0	0.0	0.0	90.6	6.8	2.2	0.4
NEW YORK	241,457	0.0	0.0	0.0	84.8	8.4	3.1	3.7
NORTH CAROLINA	60,069	0.6	0.0	0.0	68.0	21.6	9.2	0.6
NORTH DAKOTA	5,515	0.0	0.0	0.0	86.2	8.9	4.9	0.0
OHIO	140,145	6.2	0.0	0.0	70.2	13.2	9.1	1.3
OKLAHOMA	25,797	0.1	0.0	0.0	72.0	21.4	6.5	0.0
OREGON	31,136	0.0	0.0	0.0	83.1	8.9	2.7	5.2
PENNSYLVANIA	166,797	1.3	0.0	0.0	91.2	6.0	1.3	0.1
PUERTO RICO	34,183	0.2	0.1	0.0	92.5	5.8	1.3	0.0
RHODE ISLAND	22,267	0.0	0.0	0.0	95.8	3.0	1.2	0.0
SOUTH CAROLINA	27,251	0.1	0.1	0.0	68.8	22.9	8.0	0.1
SOUTH DAKOTA	5,050	0.0	0.0	0.0	51.3	33.1	15.5	0.0
TENNESSEE	133,450	0.0	0.0	0.0	87.7	8.7	3.6	0.0
TEXAS	203,640	0.0	0.0	0.0	83.7	10.9	3.5	1.9
UTAH	16,081	0.0	0.0	0.0	82.3	13.7	4.0	0.0
VERMONT	7,746	0.0	0.0	0.0	91.2	5.7	3.2	0.0
VIRGIN ISLANDS	1,189	0.0	0.0	0.0	91.8	7.2	0.7	0.2
VIRGINIA	17,269	0.0	0.1	0.0	99.4	0.4	0.0	0.0
WASHINGTON	96,557	0.1	0.0	0.0	84.2	9.9	4.5	1.2
WEST VIRGINIA	24,606	0.1	0.0	0.0	82.7	11.9	3.8	1.5
WISCONSIN	42,673	0.0	0.0	0.0	82.2	9.8	8.0	0.1
WYOMING	557	0.0	0.0	0.0	45.1	39.7	15.2	0.0

NOTE: 'a/' = DATA NOT REPORTED.
SOURCE: NATIONAL TANF DATAFILE AS OF 3/04/2005

Table 10:40

Temporary Assistance for Needy Families - Active Cases
Percent Distribution of Recipient Children in TANF Families with No Adult Recipients
by Relationship to Head of Household
FY 2004

STATE	TOTAL CHILDREN	RELATIONSHIP TO THE HEAD OF HOUSEHOLD						
		HEAD OF HOUSEHOLD	SPOUSE	PARENT	CHILD	GRAND-CHILD	OTHER RELATED	UNRELATED
U.S. TOTAL	1,546,267	0.9	0.0	0.0	66.4	20.1	9.5	3.1
ALABAMA	21,276	0.0	0.0	0.0	45.1	36.6	18.2	0.0
ALASKA	2,037	0.0	0.0	0.0	66.8	22.3	10.9	0.0
ARIZONA	33,471	0.0	0.0	0.0	63.3	26.3	10.3	0.2
ARKANSAS	7,822	0.0	0.5	0.0	43.5	42.7	12.9	0.3
CALIFORNIA	500,359	0.0	0.0	0.0	86.3	9.4	2.7	1.7
COLORADO	9,066	0.2	0.0	0.0	41.4	44.7	12.5	1.2
CONNECTICUT	12,347	0.0	0.0	0.0	39.2	39.3	20.6	0.9
DELAWARE	3,922	0.0	0.0	0.0	26.0	48.8	20.0	5.2
DIST. OF COL.	10,992	0.1	0.1	0.0	80.0	12.2	7.2	0.4
FLORIDA	56,664	1.8	0.0	0.0	35.5	0.0	33.7	29.0
GEORGIA	41,512	0.0	0.0	0.0	34.7	46.1	19.0	0.1
GUAM a/								
HAWAII	3,775	0.0	0.0	0.0	28.7	50.0	21.2	0.0
IDAHO	1,729	0.0	0.0	0.0	3.3	77.1	19.5	0.0
ILLINOIS	35,536	0.0	0.0	0.0	67.0	27.3	5.7	0.0
INDIANA	41,214	0.0	0.0	0.0	83.1	12.8	4.1	0.1
IOWA	8,745	0.0	0.0	0.0	50.8	35.2	13.8	0.1
KANSAS	7,467	0.0	0.0	0.0	47.1	38.1	13.0	1.8
KENTUCKY	24,492	0.0	0.1	0.0	51.3	33.4	15.1	0.0
LOUISIANA	18,940	1.9	0.0	0.0	41.9	39.0	17.1	0.1
MAINE	3,842	0.0	0.0	0.0	80.8	14.7	4.2	0.2
MARYLAND	15,789	0.0	0.0	0.0	30.6	45.8	23.5	0.0
MASSACHUSETTS	28,147	0.0	0.0	0.0	75.3	17.9	6.8	0.0
MICHIGAN	41,678	0.0	0.0	0.0	72.5	18.3	7.0	2.2
MINNESOTA	18,112	0.0	0.0	0.0	64.5	19.8	12.7	2.9
MISSISSIPPI	12,586	0.0	0.0	0.0	55.2	35.4	9.4	0.0
MISSOURI	19,479	0.0	0.0	0.0	63.1	25.7	10.2	1.0
MONTANA	2,428	0.1	0.0	0.0	40.7	44.7	14.3	0.2
NEBRASKA	6,425	7.2	0.0	0.0	6.7	0.0	59.3	26.9
NEVADA	7,427	22.8	0.0	0.0	34.5	22.0	20.6	0.0
NEW HAMPSHIRE	2,562	0.0	0.0	0.0	54.9	29.7	15.4	0.1
NEW JERSEY	30,246	0.0	0.0	0.0	48.8	28.4	19.0	3.7
NEW MEXICO	8,736	0.0	0.0	0.0	71.7	22.8	4.7	0.8
NEW YORK	104,903	0.0	0.0	0.0	68.6	16.5	6.4	8.5
NORTH CAROLINA	30,916	0.4	0.0	0.0	38.9	41.8	17.6	1.2
NORTH DAKOTA	1,096	0.0	0.0	0.0	39.2	40.2	20.5	0.0
OHIO	65,643	13.0	0.0	0.0	39.0	27.1	18.7	2.2
OKLAHOMA	11,140	0.2	0.0	0.0	37.4	48.3	14.0	0.0
OREGON	12,757	0.0	0.0	0.0	60.4	20.7	6.1	12.7
PENNSYLVANIA	46,923	4.4	0.0	0.0	72.8	18.9	3.6	0.3
PUERTO RICO	6,359	1.1	0.2	0.0	72.2	20.9	5.6	0.0
RHODE ISLAND	4,953	0.0	0.0	0.0	84.1	11.6	4.4	0.0
SOUTH CAROLINA	13,141	0.1	0.1	0.0	36.4	46.8	16.4	0.2
SOUTH DAKOTA	2,984	0.0	0.0	0.0	19.5	55.1	25.4	0.0
TENNESSEE	29,817	0.0	0.0	0.0	49.4	35.3	15.3	0.0
TEXAS	108,478	0.0	0.0	0.0	71.5	18.7	6.2	3.6
UTAH	4,584	0.0	0.0	0.0	40.3	45.9	13.8	0.1
VERMONT	1,477	0.0	0.0	0.0	59.2	26.4	14.4	0.0
VIRGIN ISLANDS	305	0.0	0.0	0.0	70.1	26.8	2.6	0.4
VIRGINIA	-							
WASHINGTON	33,163	0.3	0.0	0.0	57.0	27.6	11.8	3.2
WEST VIRGINIA	8,467	0.1	0.0	0.0	53.0	33.8	10.6	2.4
WISCONSIN	19,896	0.0	0.0	0.0	62.2	20.7	17.1	0.0
WYOMING	440	0.0	0.0	0.0	30.5	50.2	19.2	0.0

NOTE: 'a/' = DATA NOT REPORTED.
SOURCE: NATIONAL TANF DATAFILE AS OF 3/04/2005

Table 10:41

Temporary Assistance for Needy Families - Active Cases
Percent Distribution of TANF Recipient Children by Educational Level
FY 2004

STATE	TOTAL CHILDREN	NO FORMAL EDUCATION	YEARS OF EDUCATION			
			1 - 6	7 - 9	10 - 11	12 AND MORE
U.S. TOTAL	3,611,277	46.7	33.1	13.4	5.9	0.8
ALABAMA	49,374	46.7	34.4	14.6	4.3	0.0
ALASKA	9,543	56.6	31.1	9.7	2.4	0.3
ARIZONA	85,255	51.4	32.8	12.4	3.1	0.2
ARKANSAS	16,889	46.6	33.3	13.1	6.3	0.7
CALIFORNIA	897,058	37.9	36.4	16.1	9.2	0.5
COLORADO	27,280	58.8	30.5	8.1	2.4	0.3
CONNECTICUT	30,184	49.2	31.7	13.9	4.8	0.4
DELAWARE b/	9,591	--	--	--	--	--
DIST. OF COL.	32,704	45.7	36.2	13.2	4.4	0.5
FLORIDA	95,453	40.0	35.6	15.3	7.1	1.8
GEORGIA	98,567	51.7	32.8	11.9	3.2	0.4
GUAM a/						
HAWAII	16,320	35.9	36.3	15.3	12.4	0.0
IDAHO b/	2,748	--	--	--	--	--
ILLINOIS	70,895	57.9	27.7	10.7	3.6	0.2
INDIANA	95,780	56.9	30.3	10.1	2.5	0.1
IOWA	31,281	65.8	22.5	9.8	1.1	0.8
KANSAS	30,142	67.7	21.3	8.0	2.8	0.3
KENTUCKY	57,557	64.4	22.8	8.6	3.6	0.6
LOUISIANA	35,418	52.8	32.7	9.8	4.4	0.3
MAINE	16,225	42.4	36.6	13.8	6.5	0.7
MARYLAND	44,462	42.7	41.8	12.0	3.3	0.2
MASSACHUSETTS	73,666	37.8	35.7	17.9	7.8	0.6
MICHIGAN	149,007	44.5	32.3	14.3	7.1	1.8
MINNESOTA	62,950	54.2	30.6	11.3	3.7	0.1
MISSISSIPPI	31,183	49.9	34.6	12.3	2.9	0.3
MISSOURI	71,098	50.3	29.1	13.2	6.7	0.6
MONTANA	9,632	54.5	25.0	13.6	5.7	1.2
NEBRASKA	19,278	16.6	64.7	12.2	5.4	1.0
NEVADA	16,184	52.0	31.7	12.2	3.8	0.3
NEW HAMPSHIRE	9,647	53.8	29.8	11.7	3.9	0.8
NEW JERSEY	79,881	52.6	31.3	12.5	3.1	0.6
NEW MEXICO	32,591	53.9	30.2	10.5	4.8	0.6
NEW YORK	241,457	54.6	31.1	10.0	4.2	0.2
NORTH CAROLINA	60,069	45.6	33.9	13.9	5.7	1.0
NORTH DAKOTA	5,515	56.0	30.7	10.0	3.2	0.1
OHIO	140,145	45.7	29.6	16.8	6.7	1.2
OKLAHOMA	25,797	52.1	30.3	12.1	4.9	0.6
OREGON	31,136	33.9	35.4	9.8	3.5	17.4
PENNSYLVANIA b/	166,797	--	--	--	--	--
PUERTO RICO	34,183	38.4	42.3	13.1	5.2	1.0
RHODE ISLAND	22,267	44.8	39.6	12.5	3.0	0.2
SOUTH CAROLINA	27,251	47.3	31.4	15.0	5.8	0.6
SOUTH DAKOTA	5,050	41.3	34.9	15.5	7.2	1.2
TENNESSEE	133,450	46.6	29.7	14.1	6.8	2.7
TEXAS	203,640	52.8	32.1	11.4	3.5	0.2
UTAH	16,081	45.2	31.5	12.6	7.4	3.2
VERMONT	7,746	62.1	24.6	9.6	3.6	0.1
VIRGIN ISLANDS	1,189	65.1	28.1	5.4	1.2	0.2
VIRGINIA	17,269	57.0	32.5	8.7	1.8	0.0
WASHINGTON	96,557	49.1	30.9	12.3	6.1	1.7
WEST VIRGINIA	24,606	53.9	30.7	11.9	3.2	0.2
WISCONSIN	42,673	46.7	32.5	15.3	5.4	0.0
WYOMING	557	52.9	32.6	10.4	3.4	0.6

NOTES: 'a/' = DATA NOT REPORTED.
'b/' = DATA REPORTED IN ERROR.
SOURCE: NATIONAL TANF DATAFILE AS OF 4/06/2005

Table 10:42

Temporary Assistance for Needy Families - Active Cases
Percent Distribution of TANF Recipient Children
by Citizenship Status
FY 2004

STATE	TOTAL CHILDREN	U.S. CITIZEN	QUALIFIED ALIEN	UNKNOWN
U.S. TOTAL	3,611,277	98.8	1.1	0.1
ALABAMA	49,374	99.8	0.2	0.0
ALASKA	9,543	97.7	2.3	0.0
ARIZONA	85,255	99.3	0.7	0.0
ARKANSAS	16,889	99.9	0.1	0.0
CALIFORNIA	897,058	98.7	1.3	0.0
COLORADO	27,280	99.3	0.3	0.4
CONNECTICUT	30,184	99.1	0.5	0.3
DELAWARE	9,591	99.7	0.3	0.0
DIST. OF COL.	32,704	99.9	0.0	0.0
FLORIDA	95,453	98.0	2.0	0.0
GEORGIA	98,567	99.7	0.3	0.0
GUAM a/				
HAWAII	16,320	99.8	0.2	0.0
IDAHO	2,748	99.6	0.4	0.0
ILLINOIS	70,895	99.9	0.1	0.0
INDIANA	95,780	99.8	0.2	0.0
IOWA	31,281	99.2	0.8	0.0
KANSAS	30,142	99.5	0.5	0.0
KENTUCKY	57,557	99.5	0.5	0.0
LOUISIANA	35,418	99.8	0.2	0.0
MAINE	16,225	97.8	2.2	0.0
MARYLAND	44,462	99.6	0.4	0.0
MASSACHUSETTS	73,666	97.9	2.1	0.0
MICHIGAN	149,007	99.1	0.9	0.0
MINNESOTA	62,950	93.5	6.5	0.0
MISSISSIPPI	31,183	100.0	0.0	0.0
MISSOURI	71,098	98.4	1.6	0.0
MONTANA	9,632	99.7	0.3	0.0
NEBRASKA	19,278	97.9	2.1	0.0
NEVADA	16,184	99.3	0.7	0.0
NEW HAMPSHIRE	9,647	98.1	1.9	0.0
NEW JERSEY	79,881	99.6	0.4	0.0
NEW MEXICO	32,591	99.6	0.4	0.0
NEW YORK	241,457	98.2	1.6	0.2
NORTH CAROLINA	60,069	96.2	0.4	3.4
NORTH DAKOTA	5,515	99.6	0.4	0.0
OHIO	140,145	99.1	0.9	0.0
OKLAHOMA	25,797	99.8	0.2	0.0
OREGON	31,136	96.8	2.5	0.8
PENNSYLVANIA	166,797	99.3	0.7	0.0
PUERTO RICO	34,183	100.0	0.0	0.0
RHODE ISLAND	22,267	97.9	2.1	0.0
SOUTH CAROLINA	27,251	100.0	0.0	0.0
SOUTH DAKOTA	5,050	100.0	0.0	0.0
TENNESSEE	133,450	99.9	0.1	0.0
TEXAS	203,640	99.7	0.3	0.0
UTAH	16,081	98.4	1.6	0.0
VERMONT	7,746	99.1	0.9	0.0
VIRGIN ISLANDS	1,189	99.6	0.4	0.0
VIRGINIA	17,269	98.5	1.5	0.0
WASHINGTON	96,557	94.9	3.8	1.3
WEST VIRGINIA	24,606	100.0	0.0	0.0
WISCONSIN	42,673	97.0	3.0	0.0
WYOMING	557	99.8	0.2	0.0

NOTE: 'a/' = DATA NOT REPORTED.
SOURCE: NATIONAL TANF DATAFILE AS OF 4/06/2005

Table 10:43

Temporary Assistance for Needy Families - Active Cases
TANF Families Receiving Cash Assistance by Number of Recipient Children
FY 2004

STATE	TOTAL FAMILIES	PERCENT	NO. OF MONTHS RECEIVED	AVERAGE MONTHLY AMOUNT OF CASH ASSISTANCE				
				ALL FAMILIES	ONE CHILD	TWO CHILDREN	THREE CHILDREN	FOUR OR MORE
U.S. TOTAL	1,983,973	98.7 %	31.2	$360.46	$299.90	$378.99	$445.45	$538.33
ALABAMA	19,155	99.4	26.6	194.54	172.29	185.28	225.54	210.81
ALASKA	4,926	100.0	32.7	614.54	537.42	634.40	699.37	832.14
ARIZONA	49,560	99.2	26.2	281.34	236.88	292.23	367.41	464.63
ARKANSAS	10,023	100.0	20.6	156.72	120.91	177.77	220.25	283.68
CALIFORNIA	456,667	99.6	36.3	516.86	421.40	535.33	620.73	733.70
COLORADO	14,624	100.0	19.2	335.43	261.18	348.03	431.67	541.03
CONNECTICUT	20,720	99.9	24.1	402.72	360.16	454.96	530.02	645.66
DELAWARE	5,643	100.0	29.0	245.63	207.19	265.99	312.92	384.06
DIST. OF COL.	17,174	99.9	47.8	328.14	266.61	328.45	408.72	516.74
FLORIDA	57,457	98.7	25.8	262.13	201.28	292.54	377.24	501.76
GEORGIA	53,201	100.0	30.8	222.84	180.39	240.33	275.29	330.76
GUAM a/								
HAWAII	8,865	100.0	39.4	525.76	424.17	545.33	644.58	863.27
IDAHO	1,848	100.0	7.1	300.67	300.93	300.29	302.10	295.73
ILLINOIS	35,659	99.2	30.5	229.95	167.08	253.84	284.88	354.00
INDIANA	50,450	89.2	36.0	205.75	176.58	211.77	243.58	289.31
IOWA	18,286	100.0	27.5	322.39	264.19	359.30	418.02	491.11
KANSAS	16,745	100.0	20.8	308.15	244.25	332.79	396.60	486.91
KENTUCKY	35,629	100.0	32.0	237.81	200.82	260.89	320.54	391.18
LOUISIANA	18,778	99.7	23.2	249.87	171.29	265.04	351.13	459.28
MAINE	9,678	99.6	18.1	368.99	290.85	405.74	513.72	680.00
MARYLAND	25,430	99.7	17.8	371.01	286.72	415.54	503.97	624.52
MASSACHUSETTS	49,753	99.7	37.9	506.24	458.95	558.45	643.54	754.02
MICHIGAN	79,432	96.6	25.1	384.18	297.03	402.27	475.75	625.60
MINNESOTA	34,340	99.9	32.5	347.85	293.69	368.33	417.08	540.57
MISSISSIPPI	18,793	100.0	32.2	170.79	148.82	178.29	214.62	290.19
MISSOURI	40,979	100.0	30.8	237.85	195.15	262.47	306.60	359.31
MONTANA	5,257	100.0	34.1	326.14	262.54	338.41	409.68	531.19
NEBRASKA	10,879	100.0	8.7	341.94	281.95	359.27	438.75	546.14
NEVADA	8,837	99.7	19.9	335.52	308.58	332.23	388.17	442.34
NEW HAMPSHIRE	6,047	98.9	22.4	468.92	432.55	500.94	537.29	629.51
NEW JERSEY	44,729	100.0	35.6	323.64	256.11	359.63	426.92	500.87
NEW MEXICO	17,591	100.0	21.0	301.34	244.50	315.71	373.96	450.45
NEW YORK	147,034	97.7	38.7	489.07	445.83	513.90	591.63	659.76
NORTH CAROLINA	37,651	100.0	30.4	215.43	194.39	234.78	260.21	284.35
NORTH DAKOTA	3,059	99.0	27.9	332.28	272.48	349.21	422.10	517.30
OHIO	84,575	90.1	17.6	298.86	248.49	324.14	400.08	500.35
OKLAHOMA	14,195	100.0	22.9	195.59	136.26	214.35	280.62	339.17
OREGON	18,503	98.0	12.6	390.58	322.90	407.41	537.31	692.61
PENNSYLVANIA	88,127	100.0	36.6	326.01	254.33	340.66	412.89	522.15
PUERTO RICO	17,494	100.0	26.4	158.50	109.98	166.16	206.02	275.97
RHODE ISLAND	12,292	99.6	46.2	421.98	359.53	445.26	505.17	601.65
SOUTH CAROLINA	15,632	99.9	31.8	149.48	126.28	157.30	185.81	238.45
SOUTH DAKOTA	2,745	99.9	38.1	335.17	290.09	348.51	392.51	479.34
TENNESSEE	72,070	100.0	34.8	167.44	138.78	176.18	204.83	246.01
TEXAS	106,329	99.7	26.6	186.63	195.12	150.57	198.11	247.98
UTAH	9,041	100.0	23.6	402.31	328.97	435.22	512.99	602.99
VERMONT	4,830	99.6	13.5	480.48	428.18	519.98	601.19	686.99
VIRGIN ISLANDS	533	100.0	23.2	289.24	221.94	282.16	329.82	411.82
VIRGINIA	9,425	94.6	11.5	324.08	262.82	338.10	410.61	500.00
WASHINGTON	55,858	100.0	26.6	424.42	357.15	449.11	549.09	654.93
WEST VIRGINIA	14,588	100.0	23.6	345.26	313.75	359.52	405.02	474.46
WISCONSIN	22,492	100.0	24.2	449.05	359.91	479.45	557.01	672.64
WYOMING	352	93.9	34.5	210.58	171.73	266.38	269.54	288.92

NOTES: 'a/'=DATA NOT REPORTED.
'b/'=INCLUDES SUPPORTIVE SERVICE ASSISTANCE IN CASH ASSISTANCE AMOUNT
SOURCE: NATIONAL TANF DATAFILE AS OF 4/06/2005

Table 10:44

Temporary Assistance for Needy Families - Active Cases
TANF Families with No Adult Recipients Receiving Cash Assistance
FY 2004

STATE	TOTAL FAMILIES	PERCENT RECEIVED	NUMBER OF MONTHS RECEIVED	AVERAGE MONTHLY AMOUNT
U.S. TOTAL	864,314	98.1	40.9	$335.85
ALABAMA	8,967	99.6	35.7	177.56
ALASKA	1,202	100.0	52.3	482.23
ARIZONA	19,598	98.0	32.7	252.44
ARKANSAS	4,681	100.0	30.5	126.87
CALIFORNIA	245,932	99.6	42.2	485.56
COLORADO	4,993	100.0	32.1	254.72
CONNECTICUT	8,648	99.8	40.7	347.58
DELAWARE	2,622	100.0	41.5	223.56
DIST. OF COL.	6,047	99.9	57.7	288.60
FLORIDA	34,743	97.8	34.5	272.79
GEORGIA	24,918	100.0	44.8	189.79
GUAM a/				
HAWAII	2,284	100.0	50.7	498.51
IDAHO	1,231	100.0	6.8	303.65
ILLINOIS	19,191	99.7	43.8	164.32
INDIANA	20,610	85.9	55.4	165.98
IOWA	5,394	100.0	41.6	261.07
KANSAS	4,434	100.0	20.7	228.10
KENTUCKY	16,348	100.0	45.9	245.80
LOUISIANA	10,363	99.9	28.7	268.04
MAINE	2,458	99.7	28.6	202.06
MARYLAND	9,954	99.8	1.0	285.47
MASSACHUSETTS	19,209	100.0	51.9	448.53
MICHIGAN	25,688	90.4	35.5	299.90
MINNESOTA	9,234	100.0	45.7	285.72
MISSISSIPPI	7,836	100.0	51.8	129.79
MISSOURI	11,573	100.0	46.6	190.57
MONTANA	1,434	100.0	43.9	267.94
NEBRASKA	3,729	100.0	12.8	282.78
NEVADA	4,090	100.0	24.3	381.89
NEW HAMPSHIRE	1,769	99.3	27.7	468.05
NEW JERSEY	17,661	100.0	42.6	270.26
NEW MEXICO	4,955	100.0	23.8	272.45
NEW YORK	65,131	95.5	50.2	493.94
NORTH CAROLINA	20,498	100.0	41.7	197.12
NORTH DAKOTA	667	99.5	38.6	222.19
OHIO	42,256	88.2	19.7	260.01
OKLAHOMA	6,654	100.0	38.6	134.79
OREGON	8,384	98.3	15.5	291.52
PENNSYLVANIA	26,378	100.0	51.2	269.06
PUERTO RICO	3,581	100.0	38.5	104.60
RHODE ISLAND	2,963	99.8	55.0	372.37
SOUTH CAROLINA	8,008	99.8	50.7	140.79
SOUTH DAKOTA	1,768	100.0	46.8	323.71
TENNESSEE	17,961	100.0	43.6	164.16
TEXAS	56,935	99.5	34.2	172.66
UTAH	2,729	100.0	38.8	340.12
VERMONT	1,018	100.0	3.6	421.95
VIRGIN ISLANDS	138	100.0	33.1	250.70
VIRGINIA	-			
WASHINGTON	20,370	100.0	35.8	390.18
WEST VIRGINIA	5,365	100.0	38.0	338.65
WISCONSIN	11,437	100.0	28.1	359.42
WYOMING	278	98.6	40.1	198.69

NOTES: 'a/ = DATA NOT REPORTED.
SOURCE: NATIONAL TANF DATAFILE AS OF 4/06/2005

Table 10:45

Temporary Assistance for Needy Families - Active Cases
TANF Families with Income by Type of Non-TANF Income
FY 2004

STATE	TOTAL FAMILIES	ALL NON-TANF INCOME		EARNED INCOME		UNEARNED INCOME	
		PERCENT	MONTHLY AVERAGE	PERCENT	MONTHLY AVERAGE	PERCENT	MONTHLY AVERAGE
U.S. TOTAL	1,983,973	17.6 %	$573.28	11.0 %	$657.78	7.4 %	$317.64
ALABAMA	19,155	17.0	685.52	14.3	706.50	2.9	527.13
ALASKA	4,926	29.9	777.23	18.2	914.12	14.3	459.62
ARIZONA	49,560	11.2	233.30	10.7	222.30	0.5	432.71
ARKANSAS	10,023	10.7	613.19	8.4	706.36	2.4	268.46
CALIFORNIA	456,667	15.5	761.31	11.4	789.67	5.0	552.39
COLORADO	14,624	7.6	548.20	6.1	598.20	1.6	306.13
CONNECTICUT	20,720	32.0	461.40	14.9	829.47	22.7	106.73
DELAWARE	5,643	32.6	400.86	13.0	664.85	21.8	204.18
DIST. OF COL.	17,174	12.8	790.96	11.1	864.65	1.7	316.13
FLORIDA	57,457	11.0	313.37	3.5	567.44	7.7	189.81
GEORGIA	53,201	16.2	343.46	6.5	570.98	10.5	176.12
GUAM a/							
HAWAII	8,865	27.8	564.92	22.8	594.29	6.1	351.46
IDAHO	1,848	8.4	242.23	3.2	379.53	5.4	155.81
ILLINOIS	35,659	9.6	675.23	9.6	675.23	0.0	
INDIANA	50,450	24.6	688.07	14.9	876.62	11.3	339.45
IOWA	18,286	28.4	516.11	18.9	618.30	10.6	280.44
KANSAS	16,745	22.3	285.27	8.8	407.22	14.6	191.68
KENTUCKY	35,629	95.3	277.51	8.6	344.79	94.5	248.39
LOUISIANA	18,778	9.7	557.57	8.6	614.68	1.3	95.24
MAINE	9,678	28.6	615.88	21.0	720.40	9.7	257.03
MARYLAND	25,430	8.2	448.64	5.1	605.50	3.3	178.93
MASSACHUSETTS	49,753	14.8	533.07	9.6	675.42	5.4	261.06
MICHIGAN	79,432	21.8	626.21	15.1	697.26	7.1	434.06
MINNESOTA	34,340	26.9	645.43	21.1	650.53	6.8	540.77
MISSISSIPPI	18,793	22.5	242.48	6.6	490.82	16.8	131.78
MISSOURI	40,979	13.4	660.59	11.1	715.36	2.6	339.44
MONTANA	5,257	18.7	554.44	12.3	451.34	8.7	552.84
NEBRASKA	10,879	17.3	352.96	8.0	382.96	10.1	299.68
NEVADA	8,837	--	--	--	--	9.9	281.26
NEW HAMPSHIRE	6,047	22.1	635.24	15.1	732.97	8.1	367.82
NEW JERSEY	44,729	8.2	405.77	5.0	542.62	3.4	188.30
NEW MEXICO	17,591	26.9	578.09	22.6	654.50	6.4	117.20
NEW YORK	147,034	15.5	536.52	9.2	635.09	6.6	371.52
NORTH CAROLINA	37,651	8.4	420.56	4.6	604.67	3.9	188.26
NORTH DAKOTA	3,059	33.9	361.50	21.6	511.50	14.1	84.92
OHIO	84,575	14.8	571.96	11.1	662.98	4.4	250.14
OKLAHOMA	14,195	8.1	593.44	6.4	645.29	1.7	379.01
OREGON	18,503	4.4	359.10	3.3	395.73	1.1	248.95
PENNSYLVANIA	88,127	9.7	505.61	8.0	577.96	1.8	152.35
PUERTO RICO	17,494	7.3	277.35	2.6	495.32	4.8	154.01
RHODE ISLAND	12,292	24.9	690.56	18.0	811.62	8.0	326.44
SOUTH CAROLINA	15,632	19.2	455.75	12.1	608.65	7.8	176.30
SOUTH DAKOTA	2,745	6.7	190.68	3.6	256.36	3.1	114.90
TENNESSEE	72,070	19.6	586.41	14.4	649.00	5.6	382.38
TEXAS	106,329	18.8	608.87	15.6	643.59	4.7	291.46
UTAH	9,041	13.1	538.37	12.1	557.87	1.1	302.34
VERMONT	4,830	26.7	586.69	20.1	676.77	7.4	280.26
VIRGIN ISLANDS	533	6.7	230.50	2.9	411.69	4.0	93.00
VIRGINIA	9,425	48.2	758.96	47.2	767.66	1.8	194.35
WASHINGTON	55,858	15.6	519.86	9.3	626.44	7.0	330.92
WEST VIRGINIA	14,588	23.3	279.09	5.9	398.34	18.6	222.03
WISCONSIN	22,492	12.9	673.51	6.2	788.06	7.3	520.81
WYOMING	352	12.4	207.11	1.9	466.58	10.7	156.63

NOTE: 'a/' = DATA NOT REPORTED.
SOURCE: NATIONAL TANF DATAFILE AS OF 4/06/2005

Table 10:46

Temporary Assistance for Needy Families - Active Cases
TANF Adult Recipients with Income by Type of Non-TANF Income
FY 2004

STATE	TOTAL ADULTS	ALL NON-TANF INCOME		EARNED INCOME		UNEARNED INCOME	
		PERCENT	MONTHLY AVERAGE	PERCENT	MONTHLY AVERAGE	PERCENT	MONTHLY AVERAGE
U.S. TOTAL	1,168,539	24.2 %	$604.42	18.9 %	$645.66	6.4 %	$325.18
ALABAMA	10,272	28.3	675.99	26.8	704.24	1.9	150.81
ALASKA	4,366	30.6	778.79	21.3	883.41	10.7	470.30
ARIZONA	30,895	17.8	222.28	17.5	219.52	0.3	360.03
ARKANSAS	5,591	16.6	673.06	15.1	702.47	1.5	377.27
CALIFORNIA	212,948	29.8	757.21	24.6	787.13	6.7	480.97
COLORADO	10,597	10.1	558.18	8.6	585.60	1.7	357.75
CONNECTICUT	12,182	49.2	484.65	25.4	826.85	33.0	86.84
DELAWARE	3,021	27.8	630.60	24.3	664.85	4.1	338.54
DIST. OF COL.	11,388	17.3	848.03	16.8	861.35	0.5	401.85
FLORIDA	22,750	16.2	445.08	8.8	567.44	7.7	286.21
GEORGIA	28,289	17.3	460.49	12.3	570.98	5.4	177.69
GUAM a/							
HAWAII	6,701	33.3	595.31	30.2	593.72	3.8	501.97
IDAHO	679	13.0	317.83	8.8	374.60	4.4	191.03
ILLINOIS	16,468	20.7	675.23	20.7	675.23	0.0	
INDIANA	30,307	29.8	829.43	24.8	876.62	5.7	515.64
IOWA	13,491	31.6	576.66	26.0	609.14	6.7	357.39
KANSAS	13,852	12.5	400.94	10.8	399.23	1.9	362.36
KENTUCKY	20,407	89.1	289.83	15.1	342.16	87.3	237.01
LOUISIANA	8,568	21.2	559.71	19.0	611.92	2.6	96.78
MAINE	7,498	30.3	688.69	27.2	717.58	4.5	306.83
MARYLAND	15,507	9.3	577.81	8.4	605.50	1.0	284.17
MASSACHUSETTS	32,035	17.3	626.25	15.0	667.72	2.4	341.40
MICHIGAN	57,909	22.4	682.91	21.1	685.77	1.3	621.45
MINNESOTA	25,110	33.0	677.97	28.8	650.53	5.2	705.13
MISSISSIPPI	10,970	27.6	283.76	11.3	490.82	17.6	128.96
MISSOURI	29,421	17.0	696.76	15.5	715.36	1.9	409.36
MONTANA	4,663	22.1	528.53	14.2	438.33	10.4	519.74
NEBRASKA	7,154	17.8	304.42	12.2	382.96	6.6	110.89
NEVADA	4,746	--	--	--	--	8.8	369.43
NEW HAMPSHIRE	4,436	23.6	726.42	20.6	732.20	3.6	574.53
NEW JERSEY	27,068	10.2	482.46	8.2	542.62	2.2	218.40
NEW MEXICO	14,070	33.1	579.20	29.0	635.70	6.2	112.60
NEW YORK	93,291	18.6	595.49	14.7	623.86	4.2	445.29
NORTH CAROLINA	17,571	12.3	528.66	10.0	602.17	2.4	198.08
NORTH DAKOTA	2,407	33.9	434.27	27.5	511.05	7.8	87.84
OHIO	46,359	25.4	589.83	20.5	653.40	6.0	264.33
OKLAHOMA	7,640	14.0	593.88	11.9	644.96	2.2	299.86
OREGON	10,797	7.2	357.08	5.6	395.73	1.6	225.14
PENNSYLVANIA	66,050	11.1	567.72	10.9	570.95	0.2	407.92
PUERTO RICO	15,034	6.2	342.18	3.0	495.32	3.2	189.08
RHODE ISLAND	9,633	26.6	732.53	23.3	800.94	4.0	210.71
SOUTH CAROLINA	8,030	30.3	515.39	23.9	602.86	7.7	160.12
SOUTH DAKOTA	977	11.0	246.00	10.0	256.36	1.0	137.22
TENNESSEE	54,614	25.8	586.45	19.0	649.00	7.4	382.15
TEXAS	49,394	38.6	622.74	33.7	643.59	8.0	298.34
UTAH	6,574	17.9	536.14	16.7	554.55	1.3	275.49
VERMONT	4,382	25.4	646.32	22.6	662.94	3.1	447.80
VIRGIN ISLANDS	402	8.8	231.60	3.8	411.69	5.2	93.32
VIRGINIA	9,611	46.7	763.32	46.3	767.66	0.6	188.17
WASHINGTON	40,883	16.7	583.35	13.0	609.08	4.1	433.01
WEST VIRGINIA	9,998	25.1	208.19	8.7	395.60	17.8	100.08
WISCONSIN	11,456	16.8	740.88	12.4	781.71	5.0	559.13
WYOMING	76	14.7	333.53	8.8	466.58	6.2	130.93

NOTE: 'a/' = DATA NOT REPORTED.
SOURCE: NATIONAL TANF DATAFILE AS OF 4/06/2005

Table 10:47

Temporary Assistance for Needy Families - Active Cases
TANF Recipient Children with Unearned Income
FY 2004

STATE	TOTAL CHILDREN	UNEARNED INCOME	MONTHLY AVERAGE
U.S. TOTAL	3,611,277	3.0 %	$260.03
ALABAMA	49,374	--	--
ALASKA	9,543	5.3	201.60
ARIZONA	85,255	--	--
ARKANSAS	16,889	1.2	162.51
CALIFORNIA	897,058	1.4	459.80
COLORADO	27,280	0.3	107.04
CONNECTICUT	30,184	3.3	130.43
DELAWARE	9,591	15.8	137.18
DIST. OF COL.	32,704	1.0	223.95
FLORIDA	95,453	3.9	89.12
GEORGIA	98,567	5.6	129.33
GUAM a/			
HAWAII	16,320	2.7	144.81
IDAHO	2,748	2.9	120.74
ILLINOIS	70,895	--	--
INDIANA	95,780	6.5	168.97
IOWA	31,281	4.3	166.18
KANSAS	30,142	10.2	121.59
KENTUCKY	57,557	29.8	241.92
LOUISIANA	35,418	0.0	73.20
MAINE	16,225	5.4	157.89
MARYLAND	44,462	2.1	112.45
MASSACHUSETTS	73,666	3.8	153.76
MICHIGAN	149,007	4.2	299.17
MINNESOTA	62,950	2.7	188.56
MISSISSIPPI	31,183	5.0	105.91
MISSOURI	71,098	1.1	174.96
MONTANA	9,632	--	--
NEBRASKA	19,278	11.0	130.54
NEVADA	16,184	4.2	133.54
NEW HAMPSHIRE	9,647	4.6	195.85
NEW JERSEY	79,881	1.7	117.17
NEW MEXICO	32,591	1.2	86.68
NEW YORK	241,457	3.3	238.57
NORTH CAROLINA	60,069	2.2	145.74
NORTH DAKOTA	5,515	9.8	37.10
OHIO	140,145	0.8	174.93
OKLAHOMA	25,797	0.5	370.20
OREGON	31,136	--	--
PENNSYLVANIA	166,797	1.1	100.62
PUERTO RICO	34,183	1.3	88.30
RHODE ISLAND	22,267	3.6	297.74
SOUTH CAROLINA	27,251	3.2	133.44
SOUTH DAKOTA	5,050	2.2	77.30
TENNESSEE	133,450	--	--
TEXAS	203,640	0.7	202.61
UTAH	16,081	--	--
VERMONT	7,746	4.0	122.54
VIRGIN ISLANDS	1,189	0.0	64.00
VIRGINIA	17,269	0.9	139.59
WASHINGTON	96,557	4.0	146.84
WEST VIRGINIA	24,606	4.7	371.29
WISCONSIN	42,673	3.0	413.46
WYOMING	557	8.2	115.16

NOTE: 'a/' = DATA NOT REPORTED.
SOURCE: NATIONAL TANF DATAFILE AS OF 4/06/2005

Table 10:48

Temporary Assistance for Needy Families - Closed Cases
Percent Distribution of TANF Closed-Case Families by Reason for Closure
FY 2004

STATE	TOTAL FAMILIES	REASON FOR CLOSURE											
		EMPLOY-MENT	MARRIAGE	FEDERAL TIME LIMIT	STATE TIME LIMIT	WORK-RELATED SANCTION	OTHER SANCTION*	STATE POLICY	FAILURE TO COOPERATE**	TRANSFER TO MOE	SERVED BY TRIBAL PROGRAM	VOLUNTARY CLOSURE	OTHER
U.S. TOTAL	2,020,951	18.7	0.0	1.1	0.9	6.4	4.9	9.7	17.8	1.7	5.6	8.0	25.1
ALABAMA	19,614	26.3	0.0	1.3	0.0	17.3	9.3	10.3	19.4	0.0	0.0	0.0	16.1
ALASKA	5,582	16.7	0.0	0.0	0.0	3.7	0.0	12.2	13.3	0.0	0.6	25.6	28.1
ARIZONA	45,268	29.3	0.0	0.0	0.8	1.9	2.1	6.8	24.5	0.0	0.0	8.3	26.3
ARKANSAS	9,423	30.4	0.1	0.1	7.2	0.0	0.9	15.6	13.5	0.0	0.0	0.1	32.0
CALIFORNIA	428,494	17.1	0.0	0.2	0.1	0.0	5.4	13.0	15.9	0.4	26.0	0.0	21.8
COLORADO	30,322	8.7	0.0	0.0	0.0	1.8	0.0	6.2	43.3	0.0	0.0	16.8	23.3
CONNECTICUT	15,846	11.9	0.0	0.3	23.7	1.0	4.6	14.7	11.4	14.7	0.0	8.0	9.7
DELAWARE	6,636	0.0	0.0	0.4	1.3	33.8	5.7	2.8	5.0	0.0	0.0	13.2	37.9
DIST. OF COL.	5,526	22.5	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.5	0.2	19.0	39.0	4.8	0.0	7.9	5.9
FLORIDA	113,149	19.7	0.0	0.0	1.1	27.5	4.5	7.7	16.2	0.0	0.0	9.6	13.6
GEORGIA	56,955	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	3.8	1.1	30.9	0.0	0.0	12.0	52.3
GUAM a/													
HAWAII	7,287	8.0	0.0	6.1	0.0	0.4	10.0	4.6	40.0	4.5	0.0	0.0	26.4
IDAHO	2,843	33.5	0.2	0.0	1.2	4.3	23.9	6.3	10.4	0.0	12.8	0.0	7.4
ILLINOIS	25,348	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.0	28.2	14.1	0.7	0.0	10.0	46.8
INDIANA	42,481	19.1	0.0	0.0	5.6	1.8	15.3	4.6	22.3	1.4	0.0	6.3	23.5
IOWA	20,035	41.9	0.0	1.8	0.0	0.0	0.0	11.1	0.4	0.0	0.0	16.3	28.5
KANSAS	18,201	41.9	0.0	0.2	0.0	8.9	4.5	10.8	12.1	0.0	0.0	9.8	11.8
KENTUCKY	39,168	17.1	0.8	2.9	0.0	1.0	0.8	17.7	28.6	0.0	0.0	19.8	11.3
LOUISIANA	21,803	18.7	0.0	0.5	5.7	29.3	5.3	2.2	8.8	0.0	0.0	10.7	19.0
MAINE	14,029	29.3	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.6	6.1	29.9	0.3	0.0	1.9	31.9
MARYLAND	31,837	7.6	0.1	0.0	0.0	19.5	1.8	25.3	22.6	0.0	0.0	0.0	23.2
MASSACHUSETTS	30,098	20.9	0.0	0.0	1.2	17.4	1.9	12.9	23.2	0.0	0.0	13.2	9.3
MICHIGAN	73,536	36.9	0.0	0.0	0.0	14.7	1.8	11.4	4.4	0.0	0.0	8.1	22.7
MINNESOTA	43,209	33.9	0.0	2.0	0.3	0.7	2.9	19.5	22.2	6.9	0.0	10.1	1.6
MISSISSIPPI	17,270	19.9	0.0	0.8	0.7	30.3	4.8	6.4	14.1	0.0	0.0	17.3	5.6
MISSOURI	30,401	24.0	0.1	10.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	17.7	13.9	0.1	0.0	30.3	3.8
MONTANA	9,896	26.7	0.0	0.3	0.0	0.1	3.3	6.5	35.5	0.0	0.2	15.4	12.1
NEBRASKA	11,890	24.5	0.0	0.0	0.6	10.2	0.0	5.1	7.3	0.0	0.0	3.5	48.8
NEVADA	11,209	22.6	0.0	0.9	6.3	6.7	3.4	9.2	24.6	3.2	0.0	13.3	9.8
NEW HAMPSHIRE	6,290	37.9	0.3	1.6	0.0	1.8	0.1	9.4	15.8	0.0	0.0	6.3	26.8
NEW JERSEY	38,627	26.6	0.0	0.0	0.0	10.8	0.7	17.4	22.6	2.6	0.0	8.7	10.7
NEW MEXICO	32,716	19.1	0.0	0.4	0.0	24.5	1.0	1.9	26.3	0.0	0.0	12.9	13.9
NEW YORK	112,977	8.8	0.0	9.0	0.0	0.3	0.0	4.0	23.5	0.1	0.0	7.8	46.5
NORTH CAROLINA	41,064	0.1	0.0	1.3	3.0	0.0	24.4	1.9	32.1	0.0	0.0	24.0	13.2
NORTH DAKOTA	4,626	1.8	0.0	0.7	0.0	0.0	3.1	26.0	39.8	0.0	0.0	9.8	18.9
OHIO	89,193	8.5	0.0	0.3	0.0	0.0	2.8	5.4	10.5	0.0	0.0	7.0	65.6
OKLAHOMA	26,391	11.3	0.2	0.0	0.0	0.0	47.3	9.9	0.0	0.0	8.0	0.0	23.3
OREGON	24,924	19.3	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.3	9.3	4.4	0.0	0.1	0.0	66.4
PENNSYLVANIA	59,371	32.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	2.6	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	65.4
PUERTO RICO	10,301	17.0	0.7	18.3	0.0	0.7	1.0	13.2	17.3	0.0	0.0	19.9	11.9
RHODE ISLAND	9,152	22.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	1.5	0.0	8.3	21.8	19.7	0.0	10.3	16.3
SOUTH CAROLINA	23,885	33.6	0.0	0.2	7.4	10.3	1.5	10.1	12.9	3.0	0.0	15.9	5.2
SOUTH DAKOTA	3,456	25.7	0.0	0.0	0.0	28.9	2.9	17.2	16.2	0.0	0.0	6.4	2.7
TENNESSEE	45,405	25.4	0.0	0.0	3.5	19.8	0.6	1.3	2.8	0.1	0.0	2.7	43.9
TEXAS	178,595	13.6	0.0	0.0	0.0	13.3	12.2	7.3	21.3	11.9	0.0	12.9	7.3
UTAH	13,180	25.2	1.8	0.0	3.3	8.3	0.0	10.1	18.9	0.1	0.3	10.3	21.8
VERMONT	6,327	25.5	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	41.5	20.2	0.0	0.0	8.2	4.7
VIRGIN ISLANDS	355	21.4	0.0	14.1	0.0	0.0	12.1	7.6	31.8	0.0	0.0	11.3	1.7
VIRGINIA	10,952	15.8	0.0	4.4	12.6	0.0	3.3	5.5	8.8	0.0	0.0	0.0	49.7
WASHINGTON	63,230	31.5	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	1.7	35.6	0.0	0.0	22.8	8.5
WEST VIRGINIA	12,190	0.4	0.0	2.1	0.0	13.3	6.5	24.4	3.6	0.0	0.0	2.3	47.4
WISCONSIN	19,913	27.3	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.3	27.9	1.3	0.0	0.0	0.0	43.1
WYOMING	475	15.8	0.0	0.0	0.0	6.3	4.4	12.2	9.7	0.0	4.8	25.9	20.8

NOTES: '*' = CHILD SUPPORT SANCTION, TEEN PARENT FAILING TO MEET REQUIREMENTS, OR FAILURE TO MEET AN INDIVIDUAL RESPONSIBILITY PLAN.
'**' = FAILURE TO COOPERATE WITH ELIGIBILITY REQUIREMENTS.
'a/' = DATA NOT REPORTED.
SOURCE: NATIONAL TANF DATAFILE AS OF 4/6/2005

Table 10:49

Temporary Assistance for Needy Families - Closed Cases
Percent Distribution of TANF Closed-Case Families by Number of Family Members
FY 2004

STATE	TOTAL FAMILIES	NUMBER OF PERSONS								
		AVERAGE	1	2	3	4	5	6 - 10	OVER 10	UNKNOWN
U.S. TOTAL	2,020,951	2.5	17.8	39.6	24.1	11.8	4.4	2.0	0.0	0.3
ALABAMA	19,614	2.8	12.7	33.7	28.1	15.7	6.4	2.5	0.0	1.0
ALASKA	5,582	2.9	9.2	40.5	23.8	14.3	6.1	5.8	0.3	0.0
ARIZONA	45,268	2.5	17.1	42.8	23.0	11.8	4.0	1.3	0.0	0.0
ARKANSAS	9,423	2.6	10.0	46.4	25.1	12.9	3.8	1.8	0.0	0.0
CALIFORNIA	428,494	2.3	21.6	42.6	21.7	9.7	2.9	1.3	0.0	0.2
COLORADO	30,322	2.8	11.9	35.6	27.6	14.7	7.3	2.8	0.1	0.0
CONNECTICUT	15,846	2.4	19.4	43.0	23.4	8.9	3.2	2.0	0.0	0.0
DELAWARE	6,636	2.6	16.9	36.8	25.3	13.2	5.1	2.6	0.0	0.3
DIST. OF COL.	5,526	2.4	20.5	41.7	21.3	10.1	4.6	1.6	0.0	0.2
FLORIDA	113,149	2.4	22.1	39.0	22.2	11.0	4.5	1.0	0.0	0.1
GEORGIA	56,955	2.7	10.8	38.2	29.3	13.8	5.8	2.3	0.1	0.0
GUAM a/										
HAWAII	7,287	2.7	11.7	43.3	23.8	13.1	4.6	3.5	0.1	0.0
IDAHO	2,843	2.5	17.7	39.3	26.8	10.7	3.7	1.5	0.0	0.4
ILLINOIS	25,348	2.2	38.6	31.1	16.3	8.0	3.5	2.5	0.0	0.0
INDIANA	42,481	2.5	14.8	43.1	27.3	9.6	3.7	0.9	0.0	0.6
IOWA	20,035	2.6	11.4	44.3	26.3	12.3	4.0	1.7	0.0	0.1
KANSAS	18,201	2.8	13.4	35.2	25.7	17.3	5.5	2.8	0.1	0.1
KENTUCKY	39,168	2.5	19.2	38.8	24.3	12.2	4.4	0.8	0.0	0.3
LOUISIANA	21,803	2.4	26.5	28.3	21.4	12.6	4.8	2.8	0.0	3.8
MAINE	14,029	2.5	14.3	45.8	24.8	10.7	3.3	1.2	0.0	0.0
MARYLAND	31,837	2.9	8.7	37.0	26.5	15.3	6.7	5.4	0.0	0.5
MASSACHUSETTS	30,098	2.3	20.7	46.9	20.6	8.5	2.5	0.8	0.0	0.0
MICHIGAN	73,536	2.8	15.1	32.4	28.1	14.1	7.3	3.0	0.1	0.0
MINNESOTA	43,209	2.7	10.8	42.2	24.5	14.0	4.2	4.3	0.0	0.0
MISSISSIPPI	17,270	2.6	11.3	44.8	26.4	12.3	3.1	2.0	0.0	0.1
MISSOURI	30,401	2.5	13.9	42.7	26.8	10.8	3.7	2.0	0.0	0.1
MONTANA	9,896	3.0	6.9	33.8	30.2	15.4	8.9	4.7	0.1	0.0
NEBRASKA	11,890	2.2	24.0	43.2	19.6	8.0	2.8	0.9	0.0	1.5
NEVADA	11,209	2.7	13.8	37.7	25.6	13.4	6.5	3.0	0.0	0.0
NEW HAMPSHIRE	6,290	2.5	10.5	49.8	26.6	9.0	3.0	1.1	0.0	0.0
NEW JERSEY	38,627	2.6	13.8	42.3	25.1	11.4	4.6	2.3	0.0	0.5
NEW MEXICO	32,716	2.9	8.8	36.7	27.5	16.4	7.2	3.3	0.0	0.1
NEW YORK	112,977	2.4	29.7	31.3	22.0	11.0	4.2	1.9	0.0	0.0
NORTH CAROLINA	41,064	2.4	21.6	40.2	24.0	10.1	3.2	0.8	0.0	0.0
NORTH DAKOTA	4,626	2.4	22.1	40.9	20.8	9.1	3.8	2.3	0.1	1.1
OHIO	89,193	2.5	19.9	36.9	22.7	12.1	5.9	2.4	0.0	0.2
OKLAHOMA	26,391	3.2	9.0	30.5	24.8	17.7	10.8	7.2	0.0	0.2
OREGON	24,924	2.3	28.8	35.1	18.8	10.1	3.8	2.2	0.0	1.3
PENNSYLVANIA	59,371	2.6	16.3	39.5	23.5	13.6	5.1	1.7	0.1	0.3
PUERTO RICO	10,301	2.9	6.2	37.1	31.5	16.4	6.6	2.1	0.1	0.0
RHODE ISLAND	9,152	2.8	12.4	36.8	27.0	14.5	6.7	2.7	0.0	0.0
SOUTH CAROLINA	23,885	2.7	5.9	43.8	31.1	13.5	3.6	1.8	0.0	0.2
SOUTH DAKOTA	3,456	2.7	18.0	36.8	21.8	14.1	5.4	4.0	0.0	0.0
TENNESSEE	45,405	2.6	11.5	41.7	28.4	11.9	4.8	1.8	0.0	0.0
TEXAS	178,595	2.5	15.0	43.7	24.1	11.5	3.5	2.1	0.0	0.0
UTAH	13,180	2.7	6.1	45.9	28.8	11.9	4.8	2.2	0.0	0.3
VERMONT	6,327	2.8	6.0	42.0	28.8	14.3	5.5	3.3	0.0	0.1
VIRGIN ISLANDS	355	3.0	5.9	34.9	29.0	16.1	8.2	5.6	0.0	0.3
VIRGINIA	10,952	2.2	2.1	42.8	24.8	10.4	2.3	0.8	0.0	16.8
WASHINGTON	63,230	2.6	18.5	35.1	25.6	13.1	4.8	2.8	0.1	0.0
WEST VIRGINIA	12,190	3.1	1.2	34.8	33.0	19.7	8.5	2.7	0.0	0.0
WISCONSIN	19,913	2.6	19.3	37.8	23.8	11.1	4.4	3.8	0.0	0.0
WYOMING	475	2.2	25.9	41.9	19.2	10.3	2.7	0.0	0.0	0.0

NOTE: 'a/' = DATA NOT REPORTED.
SOURCE: NATIONAL TANF DATAFILE AS OF 4/12/2005

Table 10:50

Temporary Assistance for Needy Families - Closed Cases
Percent Distribution of TANF Closed-Case Families by Number of Recipient Children
FY 2004

STATE	TOTAL FAMILIES	NUMBER OF RECIPIENT CHILDREN						
		AVERAGE	1	2	3	4	5 OR MORE	UNKNOWN
U.S. TOTAL	2,020,951	1.8	48.1	27.2	12.5	4.3	1.9	5.9
ALABAMA	19,614	2.0	40.2	30.7	16.3	6.9	3.1	2.8
ALASKA	5,582	1.9	49.1	26.7	11.8	5.7	3.3	3.4
ARIZONA	45,268	1.7	51.6	26.8	12.1	4.4	1.3	3.8
ARKANSAS	9,423	1.8	50.7	30.7	12.9	4.0	1.6	0.1
CALIFORNIA	428,494	1.7	50.6	24.0	11.5	3.2	1.5	9.1
COLORADO	30,322	2.0	40.3	30.7	14.9	7.5	2.3	4.3
CONNECTICUT	15,846	1.7	53.4	25.0	9.3	2.9	1.8	7.5
DELAWARE	6,636	1.9	45.4	28.7	13.1	4.9	2.9	5.0
DIST. OF COL.	5,526	1.8	47.2	27.9	10.7	4.4	1.9	8.0
FLORIDA	113,149	1.8	51.2	27.2	12.0	5.2	1.5	3.0
GEORGIA	56,955	1.9	42.5	31.9	14.8	6.1	2.3	2.3
GUAM a/								
HAWAII	7,287	1.8	49.1	25.3	12.2	5.3	2.8	5.4
IDAHO	2,843	1.7	51.6	30.3	10.3	3.3	1.0	3.6
ILLINOIS	25,348	1.9	48.2	26.1	11.4	5.6	3.2	5.6
INDIANA	42,481	1.8	46.7	31.2	12.4	4.8	1.5	3.5
IOWA	20,035	1.7	52.1	28.1	12.3	3.6	1.3	2.8
KANSAS	18,201	1.9	43.0	27.8	14.4	4.5	2.3	8.0
KENTUCKY	39,168	1.7	49.3	29.6	11.5	3.5	1.1	5.0
LOUISIANA	21,803	2.1	38.4	28.0	16.2	6.8	3.8	6.8
MAINE	14,029	1.7	53.3	27.2	11.7	3.3	0.8	3.8
MARYLAND	31,837	2.0	42.4	28.5	14.3	6.7	4.2	3.9
MASSACHUSETTS	30,098	1.5	58.3	24.5	8.1	2.3	0.6	6.3
MICHIGAN	73,536	1.9	42.5	29.2	14.1	5.6	2.5	6.1
MINNESOTA	43,209	1.9	44.3	27.2	14.0	4.6	4.5	5.4
MISSISSIPPI	17,270	1.8	49.9	29.1	13.1	3.1	2.0	2.8
MISSOURI	30,401	1.8	49.2	28.6	11.8	3.9	2.0	4.4
MONTANA	9,896	1.9	43.1	30.9	15.3	6.1	2.2	2.4
NEBRASKA	11,890	1.6	49.5	23.7	9.4	3.0	1.0	13.3
NEVADA	11,209	2.0	43.6	27.7	14.2	7.6	3.0	3.9
NEW HAMPSHIRE	6,290	1.6	54.3	28.9	9.5	2.4	0.9	3.9
NEW JERSEY	38,627	1.8	45.9	25.9	13.2	4.6	2.3	8.1
NEW MEXICO	32,716	1.9	45.0	30.3	14.3	5.6	2.3	2.5
NEW YORK	112,977	1.7	50.4	23.1	10.8	3.4	1.3	11.0
NORTH CAROLINA	41,064	1.7	50.3	27.3	13.3	2.8	1.0	5.3
NORTH DAKOTA	4,626	1.8	48.9	25.3	10.8	5.1	2.5	7.4
OHIO	89,193	1.8	49.9	24.9	12.3	5.0	1.6	6.3
OKLAHOMA	26,391	2.1	37.9	27.4	18.4	8.8	4.5	3.0
OREGON	24,924	1.7	51.9	25.3	10.4	4.3	2.1	6.1
PENNSYLVANIA	59,371	1.8	46.2	27.6	13.9	3.8	1.6	6.9
PUERTO RICO	10,301	2.0	39.0	30.6	18.0	6.2	1.5	4.6
RHODE ISLAND	9,152	1.9	43.0	29.9	15.3	5.5	2.8	3.5
SOUTH CAROLINA	23,885	1.8	46.2	33.6	13.3	3.4	1.7	1.7
SOUTH DAKOTA	3,456	2.0	44.8	26.9	14.8	6.3	3.7	3.5
TENNESSEE	45,405	1.8	46.4	29.8	12.4	4.8	1.8	4.7
TEXAS	178,595	1.8	47.4	30.8	12.6	4.5	2.4	2.2
UTAH	13,180	1.8	47.8	30.1	12.4	4.3	1.9	3.5
VERMONT	6,327	1.7	50.8	29.9	11.4	3.0	2.0	2.9
VIRGIN ISLANDS	355	2.2	33.8	31.3	18.0	8.7	6.8	1.4
VIRGINIA	10,952	1.7	43.3	25.4	9.8	2.0	0.7	18.9
WASHINGTON	63,230	1.8	47.7	27.3	12.8	3.2	1.8	7.3
WEST VIRGINIA	12,190	1.8	44.2	31.7	17.4	3.8	1.2	1.8
WISCONSIN	19,913	1.8	50.0	25.8	12.5	4.4	3.5	3.8
WYOMING	475	1.6	56.8	26.9	11.2	3.2	0.0	1.9

NOTE: 'a/' = DATA NOT REPORTED.
SOURCE: NATIONAL TANF DATAFILE AS OF 4/12/2005

Table 10:51

Temporary Assistance for Needy Families - Closed Cases
Percent Distribution of TANF Closed-Case Families by Ethnicity/Race
FY 2004

STATE	TOTAL FAMILIES	HISPANIC*	WHITE	AFRICAN-AMERICAN	NATIVE AMERICAN	ASIAN	HAWAIIAN	MULTI-RACIAL	UNKNOWN
U.S. TOTAL	2,020,951	23.8	36.9	34.1	1.7	1.5	0.5	0.3	1.3
ALABAMA	19,614	1.0	30.0	68.1	0.4	0.3	0.0	0.1	0.0
ALASKA	5,582	4.8	50.8	8.3	29.7	2.5	3.2	0.8	0.0
ARIZONA	45,268	45.3	37.3	10.0	6.1	0.7	0.3	0.3	0.1
ARKANSAS	9,423	2.1	42.5	54.9	0.2	0.2	0.0	0.0	0.1
CALIFORNIA	428,494	47.3	28.7	16.8	0.7	4.3	1.2	0.2	0.8
COLORADO	30,322	33.1	44.6	17.6	1.0	0.0	0.0	0.6	3.1
CONNECTICUT	15,846	36.1	30.7	32.3	0.1	0.9	0.0	0.0	0.0
DELAWARE	6,636	6.9	30.2	62.7	0.0	0.2	0.0	0.1	0.0
DIST. OF COL.	5,526	1.9	0.2	97.7	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.1
FLORIDA	113,149	21.4	27.5	51.0	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.0	0.0
GEORGIA	56,955	1.9	22.9	75.0	0.0	0.1	0.0	0.1	0.0
GUAM a/									
HAWAII	7,287	5.2	20.1	1.8	0.1	16.9	46.5	9.4	0.0
IDAHO	2,843	14.0	81.2	1.3	2.4	0.3	0.2	0.7	0.0
ILLINOIS	25,348	6.2	30.5	62.4	0.2	0.4	0.1	0.0	0.2
INDIANA	42,481	6.3	56.4	35.8	0.0	0.2	0.0	1.3	0.0
IOWA	20,035	4.8	65.0	12.9	0.7	0.8	0.2	0.5	15.2
KANSAS	18,201	10.7	63.1	22.6	1.4	0.8	0.0	0.3	1.1
KENTUCKY	39,168	1.4	72.0	25.9	0.0	0.5	0.1	0.0	0.2
LOUISIANA	21,803	2	16	82	-	0	-	0	-
MAINE	14,029	1.3	86.6	2.4	2.3	1.2	0.2	0.6	5.5
MARYLAND	31,837	1.9	20.0	77.6	0.4	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.0
MASSACHUSETTS	30,098	32.7	44.4	20.4	0.3	2.1	0.0	0.0	0.0
MICHIGAN	73,536	4.1	45.2	49.3	0.8	0.4	0.0	0.2	0.0
MINNESOTA	43,209	8.5	51.8	27.3	8.2	3.1	0.2	0.9	0.0
MISSISSIPPI	17,270	0.1	20.7	78.7	0.2	0.3	0.0	0.0	0.0
MISSOURI	30,401	1.8	58.0	39.6	0.5	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.0
MONTANA	9,896	3.1	48.8	0.4	39.2	0.0	0.1	0.1	8.3
NEBRASKA	11,890	9.9	41.0	16.0	6.6	0.4	0.0	0.0	26.2
NEVADA	11,209	21.4	41.6	31.5	1.8	2.6	0.5	0.6	0.1
NEW HAMPSHIRE	6,290	5.7	91.7	2.1	0.4	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.0
NEW JERSEY	38,627	22.2	16.5	60.0	0.2	0.8	0.1	0.3	0.0
NEW MEXICO	32,716	65.3	24.2	3.6	6.5	0.3	0.0	0.1	0.0
NEW YORK	112,977	29.5	24.7	43.8	0.0	0.6	0.0	1.4	0.0
NORTH CAROLINA	41,064	7.6	24.2	64.9	3.0	0.3	0.0	0.0	0.0
NORTH DAKOTA	4,626	3.3	45.6	1.1	47.9	0.3	0.2	1.7	0.0
OHIO	89,193	3.3	55.2	40.9	0.2	0.2	0.0	0.2	0.0
OKLAHOMA	26,391	6.7	47.3	33.8	11.9	0.0	0.0	0.2	0.0
OREGON	24,924	15.9	69.8	8.1	3.8	1.5	0.4	0.0	0.5
PENNSYLVANIA	59,371	0.8	47.2	40.5	0.2	1.3	0.0	0.0	10.1
PUERTO RICO	10,301	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
RHODE ISLAND	9,152	26.5	42.4	14.6	0.5	1.7	0.0	0.0	14.3
SOUTH CAROLINA	23,885	2.1	28.8	68.4	0.5	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.1
SOUTH DAKOTA	3,456	1.9	26.3	2.0	65.2	0.1	0.0	4.5	0.0
TENNESSEE	45,405	1.3	52.4	45.8	0.2	0.3	0.1	0.0	0.0
TEXAS	178,595	44.3	21.7	32.9	0.4	0.7	0.0	0.1	0.0
UTAH	13,180	16.0	74.4	3.0	4.8	1.7	0.1	0.0	0.0
VERMONT	6,327	0.3	97.2	1.7	0.7	0.2	0.0	0.0	0.0
VIRGIN ISLANDS	355	33.5	0.6	64.5	0.6	0.8	0.0	0.0	0.0
VIRGINIA	10,952	2.9	31.2	65.6	0.1	0.2	0.1	0.0	0.0
WASHINGTON	63,230	17.3	59.6	10.7	4.3	1.3	0.9	0.2	5.8
WEST VIRGINIA	12,190	0.3	88.1	11.3	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.4	0.0
WISCONSIN	19,913	8.3	26.1	53.2	2.3	0.4	0.2	0.7	8.9
WYOMING	475	5.5	79.3	4.7	10.0	0.4	0.0	0.0	0.0

NOTES: 'a/' = DATA NOT REPORTED.
'b/' = DATA REPORTED IN ERROR.
'*' = CAN BE OF ANY RACE
SOURCE: NATIONAL TANF DATAFILE AS OF 4/12/2005

Table 10:52

Temporary Assistance for Needy Families - Closed Cases
Percent Distribution of TANF Closed-Case Families that Received Other Assistance
FY 2004

STATE	TOTAL FAMILIES	TYPE OF ASSISTANCE RECEIVED AS OF MONTH OF CLOSURE			
		MEDICAL ASSISTANCE	FOOD STAMPS	SUBSIDIZED HOUSINGS	SUBSIDIZED CHILD CARE
U.S. TOTAL	2,020,951	94.7	80.2	15.7	9.8
ALABAMA	19,614	--	78.0	21.1	0.7
ALASKA	5,582	96.8	75.8	11.5	16.8
ARIZONA	45,268	89.0	84.5	5.0	13.8
ARKANSAS	9,423	99.0	84.0	3.1	--
CALIFORNIA	428,494	100.0	84.9	10.7	2.3
COLORADO	30,322	61.3	--	25.0	10.6
CONNECTICUT	15,846	100.0	60.0	35.8	4.8
DELAWARE	6,636	100.0	92.8	14.3	26.5
DIST. OF COL.	5,526	99.3	71.3	21.9	8.7
FLORIDA	113,149	100.0	76.3	18.5	11.3
GEORGIA	56,955	92.8	84.0	12.9	--
GUAM a/					
HAWAII	7,287	100.0	84.9	15.3	--
IDAHO	2,843	97.3	82.1	4.0	18.5
ILLINOIS	25,348	99.1	53.8	--	2.4
INDIANA	42,481	97.3	83.8	15.8	10.8
IOWA	20,035	84.4	83.3	2.7	17.0
KANSAS	18,201	100.0	87.1	14.7	19.1
KENTUCKY	39,168	68.5	69.3	--	--
LOUISIANA	21,803	100.0	--	19.2	20.6
MAINE	14,029	99.3	86.3	11.7	17.2
MARYLAND	31,837	100.0	77.0	31.3	--
MASSACHUSETTS	30,098	100.0	70.0	45.0	16.4
MICHIGAN	73,536	99.2	80.3	10.1	23.0
MINNESOTA	43,209	94.9	94.2	27.4	15.9
MISSISSIPPI	17,270	100.0	85.1	5.0	9.7
MISSOURI	30,401	99.3	76.9	15.9	14.4
MONTANA	9,896	99.3	91.4	26.0	--
NEBRASKA	11,890	100.0	26.2	1.7	--
NEVADA	11,209	100.0	71.4	19.3	7.6
NEW HAMPSHIRE	6,290	100.0	87.2	8.8	18.9
NEW JERSEY	38,627	73.2	83.3	19.4	12.9
NEW MEXICO	32,716	98.1	94.2	15.4	11.7
NEW YORK	112,977	100.0	76.2	21.6	5.3
NORTH CAROLINA	41,064	100.0	--	24.6	8.5
NORTH DAKOTA	4,626	77.3	72.9	--	26.9
OHIO	89,193	99.8	80.0	9.3	9.1
OKLAHOMA	26,391	100.0	81.1	24.9	6.5
OREGON	24,924	49.7	64.0	14.8	9.1
PENNSYLVANIA	59,371	43.0	65.5	17.3	15.5
PUERTO RICO	10,301	95.9	96.1	32.6	2.2
RHODE ISLAND	9,152	100.0	91.4	26.4	16.1
SOUTH CAROLINA	23,885	99.9	86.7	20.8	11.9
SOUTH DAKOTA	3,456	100.0	81.7	34.6	11.1
TENNESSEE	45,405	100.0	65.8	2.0	--
TEXAS	178,595	100.0	92.7	16.8	--
UTAH	13,180	99.9	90.5	--	16.7
VERMONT	6,327	100.0	90.5	22.5	23.2
VIRGIN ISLANDS	355	100.0	54.6	0.0	0.0
VIRGINIA	10,952	--	71.4	--	10.3
WASHINGTON	63,230	99.3	64.4	15.7	19.2
WEST VIRGINIA	12,190	80.1	71.8	22.2	2.5
WISCONSIN	19,913	92.3	58.3	10.6	24.1
WYOMING	475	93.1	67.8	6.3	0.0

NOTES: 'a/' = DATA NOT REPORTED.
'--' = DATA NOT AVAILABLE OR REPORTED IN ERROR.
SOURCE: NATIONAL TANF DATAFILE AS OF 4/12/2005

Table 10:53

Temporary Assistance for Needy Families - Closed Cases
Percent Distribution of TANF Closed-Case
Adult Recipients by Age Group
FY 2004

STATE	ADULT RECIPIENTS	AGE OF ADULT RECIPIENTS				
		UNDER 20	20 - 29	30 - 39	40 - 49	OVER 49
U.S. TOTAL	1,694,209	10.3	47.1	26.9	13.1	2.6
ALABAMA	15,773	8.5	58.1	25.4	7.3	0.7
ALASKA	6,191	8.0	44.6	27.7	16.5	3.2
ARIZONA	36,856	8.0	44.4	31.7	13.3	2.6
ARKANSAS	7,984	8.8	57.5	25.5	7.7	0.6
CALIFORNIA	341,087	10.5	40.1	25.8	19.4	4.3
COLORADO	27,770	8.5	50.9	27.1	11.8	1.7
CONNECTICUT	13,860	9.4	49.8	28.6	11.2	1.0
DELAWARE	5,563	9.5	49.1	27.7	11.8	1.8
DIST. OF COL.	4,340	14.0	40.4	23.9	19.0	2.6
FLORIDA	79,345	9.6	45.5	28.8	13.8	2.3
GEORGIA	48,791	10.1	54.4	26.1	8.2	1.3
GUAM a/						
HAWAII	6,959	8.0	46.5	28.6	13.7	3.1
IDAHO	2,438	10.9	51.4	25.3	11.1	1.4
ILLINOIS	10,427	30.4	41.1	18.6	9.0	0.8
INDIANA	30,657	9.7	53.7	24.2	10.3	2.1
IOWA	18,516	9.6	50.9	27.7	11.1	0.6
KANSAS	18,944	9.7	50.7	27.8	10.4	1.4
KENTUCKY	32,379	10.7	53.4	26.5	8.0	1.4
LOUISIANA	10,411	11.5	56.7	22.7	8.2	0.9
MAINE	12,205	6.1	48.9	33.1	10.9	1.0
MARYLAND	31,837	11.0	49.8	26.5	11.1	1.7
MASSACHUSETTS	25,315	7.7	50.9	27.8	11.0	2.6
MICHIGAN	71,963	10.3	49.1	26.6	12.3	1.7
MINNESOTA	39,005	8.2	48.3	29.9	11.5	2.2
MISSISSIPPI	15,048	11.2	59.9	22.3	5.4	1.2
MISSOURI	26,074	9.2	52.2	28.2	9.6	0.8
MONTANA	11,339	6.4	48.2	30.2	13.7	1.5
NEBRASKA	9,314	10.4	52.1	25.8	10.2	1.5
NEVADA	9,304	8.0	48.3	30.0	12.4	1.2
NEW HAMPSHIRE	5,818	8.1	45.8	32.3	12.3	1.4
NEW JERSEY	34,314	9.8	45.0	28.3	13.9	2.9
NEW MEXICO	33,886	7.6	49.8	28.0	12.1	2.5
NEW YORK	96,948	10.4	43.1	27.9	14.1	4.5
NORTH CAROLINA	30,650	11.1	52.9	25.4	9.9	0.8
NORTH DAKOTA	3,261	9.5	50.0	28.0	10.9	1.7
OHIO	79,750	13.3	49.8	25.5	9.6	1.8
OKLAHOMA	28,898	12.7	49.2	26.6	8.3	3.1
OREGON	16,616	12.1	44.1	28.1	12.8	2.9
PENNSYLVANIA	53,285	10.6	47.4	28.1	11.8	2.0
PUERTO RICO	10,388	7.1	35.8	32.6	17.4	7.1
RHODE ISLAND	8,222	5.4	44.9	32.9	13.8	3.0
SOUTH CAROLINA	22,592	9.9	53.8	25.4	9.0	2.0
SOUTH DAKOTA	2,663	12.8	45.9	28.7	11.2	1.4
TENNESSEE	41,016	9.4	50.4	28.0	10.2	2.0
TEXAS	132,668	12.9	48.5	25.0	11.3	2.4
UTAH	12,829	6.6	50.4	26.3	13.4	3.3
VERMONT	7,287	6.9	53.0	27.4	11.3	1.4
VIRGIN ISLANDS	292	7.5	59.6	21.6	8.6	2.7
VIRGINIA	9,364	2.7	52.0	31.0	12.5	1.8
WASHINGTON	61,807	8.3	47.7	27.5	14.0	2.5
WEST VIRGINIA	15,601	8.4	46.1	25.9	11.8	7.8
WISCONSIN	16,047	13.7	50.8	25.2	9.1	1.2
WYOMING	310	8.7	51.9	28.7	9.4	1.3

NOTE: 'a/' = DATA NOT REPORTED.
SOURCE: NATIONAL TANF DATAFILE AS OF 4/12/2005

Table 10:54

Temporary Assistance for Needy Families - Closed Cases
Percent Distribution of TANF Closed-Case Recipient Children by Age Group
FY 2004

STATE	RECIPIENT CHILDREN	AGE OF RECIPIENT CHILDREN					
		0 - 1	2 - 5	6 - 11	12 - 15	16 - 19	UNKNOWN
U.S. TOTAL	3,394,557	17.9	30.4	30.5	15.2	6.0	0.0
ALABAMA	38,198	19.0	32.4	29.5	14.9	4.2	0.0
ALASKA	10,085	17.6	30.3	27.9	18.0	6.2	0.0
ARIZONA	75,145	14.2	27.9	35.9	15.4	6.7	0.0
ARKANSAS	16,514	18.3	30.8	29.4	15.2	5.7	0.6
CALIFORNIA	660,574	13.4	31.0	35.1	14.7	5.9	0.0
COLORADO	57,258	19.3	31.4	30.3	14.3	4.7	0.0
CONNECTICUT	24,264	16.8	29.8	27.9	17.0	8.5	0.0
DELAWARE	11,784	19.1	30.2	28.3	16.4	6.0	0.0
DIST. OF COL.	8,989	11.9	27.1	36.0	18.2	5.7	1.1
FLORIDA	192,111	18.3	28.9	30.0	16.5	6.3	0.0
GEORGIA	107,075	20.1	31.0	27.4	16.0	5.4	0.0
GUAM a/							
HAWAII	12,613	18.8	29.4	29.4	15.9	6.5	0.0
IDAHO	4,584	23.0	31.1	27.9	14.1	4.0	0.0
ILLINOIS	44,293	18.2	25.5	30.3	18.3	7.6	0.1
INDIANA	73,563	16.6	31.3	33.2	13.5	5.3	0.0
IOWA	33,241	19.1	31.6	29.6	14.5	5.2	0.0
KANSAS	31,366	20.8	31.9	27.2	14.8	5.3	0.0
KENTUCKY	63,779	17.5	33.5	29.0	14.2	5.9	0.0
LOUISIANA	41,753	23.5	31.9	26.7	13.2	4.7	0.0
MAINE	22,400	14.7	29.6	32.2	16.9	6.7	0.0
MARYLAND	61,053	17.1	28.4	29.4	18.4	6.7	0.0
MASSACHUSETTS	43,330	13.5	28.6	31.8	18.2	7.7	0.1
MICHIGAN	132,395	20.6	28.1	28.6	16.5	6.3	0.0
MINNESOTA	79,573	16.7	31.7	29.8	15.3	6.4	0.0
MISSISSIPPI	29,464	19.2	34.6	29.0	12.8	4.4	0.0
MISSOURI	51,420	15.4	31.1	32.1	16.3	5.1	0.0
MONTANA	18,497	19.2	30.4	30.0	15.4	5.1	0.0
NEBRASKA	16,954	19.2	28.0	33.6	13.7	5.5	0.0
NEVADA	21,157	19.6	29.2	29.4	16.1	5.7	0.0
NEW HAMPSHIRE	9,776	14.3	32.0	31.1	16.8	5.8	0.0
NEW JERSEY	65,119	15.2	29.6	30.6	18.5	6.1	0.0
NEW MEXICO	59,942	18.8	31.5	28.7	15.4	5.6	0.0
NEW YORK	169,386	15.3	30.2	29.2	17.0	8.3	0.0
NORTH CAROLINA	66,161	20.0	31.9	28.4	14.0	5.6	0.0
NORTH DAKOTA	7,695	20.3	30.9	30.2	13.7	4.9	0.0
OHIO	147,522	23.0	29.3	26.3	15.3	6.1	0.0
OKLAHOMA	54,651	18.8	29.3	29.3	15.4	7.3	0.0
OREGON	40,522	20.6	28.8	27.8	16.1	6.6	0.0
PENNSYLVANIA	99,199	18.7	29.5	30.4	15.1	6.3	0.0
PUERTO RICO	19,343	7.0	24.3	40.8	20.3	7.0	0.6
RHODE ISLAND	16,992	15.4	25.2	34.4	18.9	6.1	0.0
SOUTH CAROLINA	42,159	21.1	33.2	28.1	11.5	4.1	2.0
SOUTH DAKOTA	6,538	20.4	28.5	29.7	15.1	6.3	0.0
TENNESSEE	78,324	14.7	32.1	32.0	15.5	5.7	0.0
TEXAS	317,604	24.8	31.7	27.0	12.3	4.2	0.0
UTAH	22,757	20.3	30.9	29.6	14.3	4.9	0.0
VERMONT	10,577	27.0	29.9	25.7	12.5	5.0	0.0
VIRGIN ISLANDS	786	16.2	35.0	33.3	11.7	3.8	0.0
VIRGINIA	14,785	7.3	39.1	33.8	15.1	4.6	0.0
WASHINGTON	103,486	19.2	27.7	30.0	15.7	7.3	0.0
WEST VIRGINIA	22,044	19.3	30.7	30.0	14.6	5.4	0.0
WISCONSIN	35,014	18.9	28.6	29.4	16.4	6.7	0.0
WYOMING	745	19.5	27.4	31.3	17.9	4.0	0.0

NOTE: 'a/' = DATA NOT REPORTED.
SOURCE: NATIONAL TANF DATAFILE AS OF 4/12/2005

Table 10:55

Temporary Assistance for Needy Families - Closed Cases
Percent Distribution of TANF Closed-Case Youngest Child Recipient by Age Group
FY 2004

STATE	TOTAL FAMILIES	AGE OF THE YOUNGEST CHILD							
		UNDER 1	1 - 2	3 - 5	6 - 8	9 - 11	12 - 15	16 - 19	UNKNOWN
U.S. TOTAL	2,020,951	14.9	25.1	20.0	12.0	9.1	10.0	7.0	2.0
ALABAMA	19,614	18.1	25.4	22.4	10.1	8.9	9.7	4.4	1.0
ALASKA	5,582	15.2	26.5	20.3	10.7	7.5	11.3	7.8	0.9
ARIZONA	45,268	14.3	26.9	20.6	13.6	8.8	8.7	6.5	0.6
ARKANSAS	9,423	15.8	27.8	21.7	12.6	8.7	10.1	3.2	0.2
CALIFORNIA	428,494	7.6	23.3	22.4	15.1	9.0	9.5	9.5	3.5
COLORADO	30,322	16.2	28.6	18.9	11.1	9.3	8.9	4.5	2.5
CONNECTICUT	15,846	12.2	22.0	22.6	10.5	9.1	10.4	10.4	2.7
DELAWARE	6,636	18.8	24.8	17.5	10.7	9.4	11.8	5.3	1.6
DIST. OF COL.	5,526	7.8	23.0	21.3	14.7	11.8	11.3	9.2	1.0
FLORIDA	113,149	16.6	23.1	17.7	13.0	10.5	12.0	5.4	1.8
GEORGIA	56,955	18.3	28.7	18.1	10.1	7.8	11.8	5.1	0.3
GUAM a/									
HAWAII	7,287	15.7	24.2	20.2	11.8	7.3	10.7	7.9	2.4
IDAHO	2,843	21.6	23.0	18.3	10.7	9.0	11.3	5.2	0.9
ILLINOIS	25,348	16.7	20.2	15.2	11.0	10.4	14.8	11.7	0.0
INDIANA	42,481	14.6	24.8	22.6	13.3	9.8	10.2	4.8	0.0
IOWA	20,035	15.3	26.7	23.6	10.8	8.7	9.2	5.7	0.2
KANSAS	18,201	20.2	26.3	19.8	9.4	6.6	9.0	7.3	1.6
KENTUCKY	39,168	11.8	26.8	19.2	10.8	10.9	10.7	7.8	2.0
LOUISIANA	21,803	23.0	30.3	15.7	8.2	5.8	8.8	5.0	3.3
MAINE	14,029	16.1	24.2	20.6	12.0	12.0	10.1	4.8	0.3
MARYLAND	31,837	15.2	26.8	22.3	11.1	10.0	8.0	4.8	1.8
MASSACHUSETTS	30,098	13.1	24.5	24.4	11.7	9.6	9.0	6.4	1.4
MICHIGAN	73,536	24.4	22.4	15.3	8.9	9.3	11.7	7.0	1.0
MINNESOTA	43,209	14.4	25.2	22.5	11.4	8.5	9.7	6.9	1.6
MISSISSIPPI	17,270	16.4	34.9	20.8	10.0	7.6	6.3	3.9	0.0
MISSOURI	30,401	13.5	26.9	20.1	14.0	8.6	11.0	5.5	0.4
MONTANA	9,896	17.9	26.4	19.9	11.5	9.3	9.4	4.4	1.1
NEBRASKA	11,890	17.9	19.3	18.1	13.0	7.9	8.2	6.8	8.8
NEVADA	11,209	18.0	28.4	18.7	11.0	7.1	11.0	4.4	1.4
NEW HAMPSHIRE	6,290	11.8	24.5	22.9	12.4	9.9	11.4	6.0	1.0
NEW JERSEY	38,627	12.8	23.0	21.6	12.0	10.3	10.4	6.0	3.9
NEW MEXICO	32,716	16.0	29.4	20.8	11.1	9.4	8.4	4.7	0.3
NEW YORK	112,977	11.3	19.8	20.3	11.6	9.1	12.5	10.7	4.7
NORTH CAROLINA	41,064	15.7	25.6	19.2	10.8	9.9	10.7	7.4	0.8
NORTH DAKOTA	4,626	16.6	25.3	21.2	10.2	7.7	9.3	4.0	5.8
OHIO	89,193	21.1	24.7	16.3	9.3	7.9	10.2	9.3	1.3
OKLAHOMA	26,391	19.1	25.3	19.3	12.4	8.1	9.6	5.3	0.9
OREGON	24,924	18.9	20.8	17.5	11.5	8.4	12.8	7.0	3.1
PENNSYLVANIA	59,371	16.8	23.9	18.4	10.9	10.8	9.9	7.1	2.3
PUERTO RICO	10,301	5.6	19.8	26.1	16.0	13.2	12.2	6.7	0.3
RHODE ISLAND	9,152	15.2	21.2	19.6	13.6	12.4	11.9	3.8	2.4
SOUTH CAROLINA	23,885	18.3	31.9	19.2	11.7	7.0	7.6	3.9	0.4
SOUTH DAKOTA	3,456	21.3	21.3	18.3	10.0	8.8	11.9	8.1	0.2
TENNESSEE	45,405	9.9	26.3	23.8	12.8	8.9	10.0	7.3	0.9
TEXAS	178,595	22.5	31.5	17.5	9.0	8.9	7.3	3.5	0.0
UTAH	13,180	19.5	23.7	21.0	11.7	8.7	9.3	4.7	1.5
VERMONT	6,327	16.5	33.5	18.3	8.8	8.3	8.5	5.7	0.5
VIRGIN ISLANDS	355	18.3	34.1	23.7	9.6	6.5	5.1	2.5	0.3
VIRGINIA	10,952	3.9	24.8	32.4	15.6	9.2	9.3	3.8	1.0
WASHINGTON	63,230	15.8	24.1	18.6	12.2	7.9	10.8	7.1	3.6
WEST VIRGINIA	12,190	15.8	27.5	20.3	12.2	8.9	10.0	5.3	0.0
WISCONSIN	19,913	20.1	21.9	17.4	11.4	8.2	12.2	8.8	0.0
WYOMING	475	18.5	18.1	20.0	15.8	9.9	12.4	5.1	0.2

NOTE: 'a/' = DATA NOT REPORTED.

SOURCE: NATIONAL TANF DATAFILE AS OF 4/12/2005

Table 10:56

Temporary Assistance for Needy Families - Closed Cases
Percent Distribution of TANF Closed-Case Adult Recipients by Marital Status
FY 2004

STATE	ADULT RECIPIENTS	MARITAL STATUS					
		SINGLE	MARRIED	SEPARATED	WIDOWED	DIVORCED	UNKNOWN
U.S. TOTAL	1,694,209	66.2	11.8	13.1	0.4	7.8	0.7
ALABAMA	15,773	69.2	2.7	16.6	0.7	10.8	0.0
ALASKA	6,191	48.3	28.3	12.1	1.0	10.3	0.0
ARIZONA	36,856	50.9	16.1	15.8	0.8	16.5	0.0
ARKANSAS	7,984	67.3	10.0	13.8	0.5	8.4	0.0
CALIFORNIA	341,087	62.0	10.9	18.3	0.3	8.5	0.0
COLORADO	27,770	70.2	20.6	6.0	0.0	3.3	0.0
CONNECTICUT	13,860	75.2	5.4	10.9	0.4	8.1	0.0
DELAWARE	5,563	67.8	12.4	8.8	0.3	5.4	5.3
DIST. OF COL.	4,340	93.1	4.5	1.7	0.2	0.6	0.0
FLORIDA	79,345	69.8	8.2	12.2	1.0	8.8	0.0
GEORGIA	48,791	76.7	3.6	12.3	0.4	7.1	0.0
GUAM a/							
HAWAII	6,959	57.3	12.3	18.1	1.1	11.2	0.0
IDAHO	2,438	46.2	20.5	17.2	0.0	16.1	0.0
ILLINOIS	10,427	83.4	4.2	0.0	0.3	0.8	11.3
INDIANA	30,657	60.9	12.8	14.2	0.3	11.8	0.0
IOWA	18,516	63.2	22.4	6.3	0.3	7.8	0.0
KANSAS	18,944	50.0	25.0	12.0	0.2	12.9	0.0
KENTUCKY	32,379	49.9	15.0	20.2	0.1	10.7	4.1
LOUISIANA	10,411	76.6	6.6	11.7	0.2	4.9	0.0
MAINE	12,205	67.3	10.7	10.0	0.2	11.8	0.0
MARYLAND	31,837	85.2	3.5	9.5	0.1	1.7	0.0
MASSACHUSETTS	25,315	67.6	13.1	9.6	0.6	5.8	3.3
MICHIGAN	71,963	67.0	14.5	10.4	0.7	7.4	0.0
MINNESOTA	39,005	62.8	4.0	21.9	0.3	11.1	0.0
MISSISSIPPI	15,048	71.4	2.6	17.4	0.5	8.0	0.0
MISSOURI	26,074	70.3	5.7	8.9	0.4	11.2	3.5
MONTANA	11,339	49.1	27.6	10.3	0.5	12.5	0.0
NEBRASKA	9,314	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
NEVADA	9,304	64.7	14.0	8.4	0.1	12.7	0.0
NEW HAMPSHIRE	5,818	56.3	6.2	12.8	1.0	23.7	0.0
NEW JERSEY	34,314	81.1	4.3	11.1	0.4	3.1	0.0
NEW MEXICO	33,886	64.8	21.0	8.8	0.1	5.3	0.0
NEW YORK	96,948	71.9	12.9	11.3	0.5	1.5	1.8
NORTH CAROLINA	30,650	74.5	4.9	13.7	0.3	6.6	0.0
NORTH DAKOTA	3,261	60.9	11.0	11.2	0.6	16.3	0.0
OHIO	79,750	64.5	18.9	9.1	0.3	7.2	0.0
OKLAHOMA	28,898	64.5	11.6	14.3	0.5	9.0	0.0
OREGON	16,616	65.5	15.1	11.5	0.6	7.3	0.0
PENNSYLVANIA	53,285	69.5	12.5	10.7	0.5	6.9	0.0
PUERTO RICO	10,388	75.6	15.5	4.3	0.8	3.8	0.0
RHODE ISLAND	8,222	74.4	15.6	6.5	0.1	2.9	0.6
SOUTH CAROLINA	22,592	65.3	9.4	17.7	0.5	6.4	0.8
SOUTH DAKOTA	2,663	65.7	9.2	9.2	0.3	9.5	6.0
TENNESSEE	41,016	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
TEXAS	132,668	65.6	9.9	13.7	0.5	7.1	3.3
UTAH	12,829	43.3	16.3	23.5	0.7	16.3	0.0
VERMONT	7,287	57.7	21.5	11.1	0.0	8.3	1.4
VIRGIN ISLANDS	292	84.9	1.7	5.5	1.4	6.5	0.0
VIRGINIA	9,364	59.3	10.4	20.8	0.8	8.8	0.0
WASHINGTON	61,807	46.3	26.6	13.6	0.6	12.9	0.0
WEST VIRGINIA	15,601	47.7	14.8	18.0	1.8	17.7	0.0
WISCONSIN	16,047	77.0	7.5	9.1	0.1	6.2	0.0
WYOMING	310	54.8	9.7	15.2	0.6	19.7	0.0

NOTE: 'a/' = DATA NOT REPORTED.
SOURCE: NATIONAL TANF DATAFILE AS OF 4/12/2005

Table 10:57

Temporary Assistance for Needy Families - Closed Cases
Percent Distribution of TANF Closed-Case Adult Recipients by Educational Level
FY 2004

STATE	ADULT RECIPIENTS	NO FORMAL EDUCATION	YEARS OF EDUCATION				
			1 -6	7 - 9	10 - 11	12	MORE THAN 12
U.S. TOTAL	1,694,209	1.5	2.4	9.8	26.2	55.9	4.1
ALABAMA	15,773	1.2	0.9	12.5	31.8	41.9	11.6
ALASKA b/	6,191	--	--	--	--	--	--
ARIZONA	36,856	3.2	1.4	13.3	26.0	51.3	4.8
ARKANSAS	7,984	1.0	0.6	6.4	18.8	72.5	0.8
CALIFORNIA	341,087	0.3	5.2	6.5	25.5	61.3	1.3
COLORADO	27,770	4.3	1.5	9.0	21.1	63.4	0.7
CONNECTICUT	13,860	0.6	1.5	11.5	27.1	54.6	4.7
DELAWARE b/	5,563	0.0	0.5	5.1	14.7	78.0	1.7
DIST. OF COL.	4,340	0.3	1.7	27.6	30.1	36.4	3.9
FLORIDA b/	79,345	--	--	--	--	--	--
GEORGIA	48,791	2.4	0.4	9.6	27.9	53.3	6.3
GUAM a/							
HAWAII	6,959	0.0	1.4	5.8	19.3	68.2	5.3
IDAHO b/	2,438	--	--	--	--	--	--
ILLINOIS	10,427	0.0	0.6	2.8	51.3	42.8	2.5
INDIANA	30,657	2.2	0.7	10.6	26.1	57.3	3.1
IOWA	18,516	4.2	0.2	10.5	10.2	73.7	1.3
KANSAS	18,944	2.0	1.7	10.0	25.1	52.8	8.3
KENTUCKY	32,379	2.7	0.9	13.3	24.7	57.8	0.6
LOUISIANA	10,411	0.2	0.3	11.2	31.9	55.8	0.5
MAINE	12,205	0.2	0.7	6.3	21.7	68.4	2.7
MARYLAND	31,837	0.0	2.6	11.5	28.6	52.3	5.0
MASSACHUSETTS	25,315	0.5	1.0	7.3	28.7	54.0	8.4
MICHIGAN	71,963	0.8	0.2	6.6	30.6	61.1	0.7
MINNESOTA	39,005	3.9	1.8	7.2	22.2	54.4	10.6
MISSISSIPPI	15,048	0.0	0.8	13.7	29.9	39.0	16.6
MISSOURI	26,074	0.0	0.3	8.9	33.2	50.8	6.8
MONTANA	11,339	0.0	0.1	10.2	21.1	64.4	4.2
NEBRASKA b/	9,314	0.0	1.0	3.8	14.5	78.6	2.1
NEVADA	9,304	0.3	1.4	8.4	35.7	42.2	12.0
NEW HAMPSHIRE	5,818	0.2	0.2	9.9	16.8	66.5	6.5
NEW JERSEY	34,314	1.0	1.7	20.2	23.0	48.7	5.4
NEW MEXICO	33,886	0.0	1.7	7.6	22.8	62.4	5.4
NEW YORK	96,948	5.1	1.4	12.7	30.4	48.0	2.4
NORTH CAROLINA	30,650	1.0	0.7	14.9	35.4	44.4	3.5
NORTH DAKOTA	3,261	0.8	0.1	4.8	15.7	67.6	10.9
OHIO	79,750	2.2	0.9	8.0	30.0	54.1	4.7
OKLAHOMA	28,898	1.8	4.6	12.5	32.3	44.4	4.4
OREGON	16,616	0.1	2.3	10.3	25.8	52.3	9.4
PENNSYLVANIA	53,285	3.2	1.5	6.5	21.3	62.9	4.7
PUERTO RICO	10,388	5.8	6.5	19.6	14.1	47.8	6.1
RHODE ISLAND	8,222	0.0	2.0	16.1	26.3	42.5	13.1
SOUTH CAROLINA	22,592	0.7	1.6	10.1	25.0	61.9	0.8
SOUTH DAKOTA	2,663	0.3	0.2	10.4	24.6	63.7	0.8
TENNESSEE	41,016	5.7	2.3	11.1	21.8	57.1	2.0
TEXAS	132,668	0.9	1.4	15.1	25.1	56.1	1.4
UTAH	12,829	0.0	28.0	4.7	21.4	39.8	6.1
VERMONT	7,287	0.7	0.3	10.1	21.8	61.1	6.0
VIRGIN ISLANDS	292	4.5	3.1	25.3	22.3	39.0	5.8
VIRGINIA	9,364	0.5	0.5	9.4	24.8	61.4	3.5
WASHINGTON	61,807	0.4	2.1	8.4	23.8	48.4	16.8
WEST VIRGINIA	15,601	1.8	0.6	17.8	26.9	42.5	10.3
WISCONSIN	16,047	0.8	0.4	9.1	37.5	51.2	0.9
WYOMING	310	0.0	1.3	5.5	18.4	73.9	1.0

NOTES: 'a/' = DATA NOT REPORTED.
'b/' = DATA REPORTED IN ERROR.
SOURCE: NATIONAL TANF DATAFILE AS OF 4/12/2005

Table 10:58

Temporary Assistance for Needy Families - Closed Cases
Percent Distribution of TANF Closed-Case Adult Recipients by Employment Status
FY 2004

STATE	ADULT RECIPIENTS	EMPLOYMENT STATUS			
		EMPLOYED	UNEMPLOYED*	NOT IN LABOR FORCE**	UNKNOWN
U.S. TOTAL	1,694,209	29.8	40.5	28.6	1.0
ALABAMA	15,773	47.2	48.6	4.2	0.0
ALASKA	6,191	44.9	51.8	3.3	0.0
ARIZONA	36,856	12.6	0.0	87.4	0.0
ARKANSAS	7,984	32.3	27.4	40.3	0.0
CALIFORNIA	341,087	35.2	34.6	30.2	0.0
COLORADO	27,770	19.7	61.1	19.3	0.0
CONNECTICUT	13,860	28.0	54.7	17.3	0.0
DELAWARE	5,563	42.4	35.6	16.7	5.3
DIST. OF COL.	4,340	28.7	58.0	13.3	0.0
FLORIDA	79,345	18.0	0.0	82.0	0.0
GEORGIA	48,791	41.0	0.0	59.0	0.0
GUAM a/					
HAWAII	6,959	32.1	42.1	25.8	0.0
IDAHO	2,438	43.1	33.2	23.7	0.0
ILLINOIS	10,427	9.3	85.6	0.0	5.1
INDIANA	30,657	37.5	32.3	27.3	2.9
IOWA	18,516	50.8	46.7	2.5	0.0
KANSAS	18,944	16.3	71.0	12.7	0.0
KENTUCKY	32,379	0.0	89.3	6.6	4.1
LOUISIANA	10,411	45.5	35.8	18.7	0.0
MAINE	12,205	50.4	0.0	49.6	0.0
MARYLAND	31,837	32.6	56.7	10.7	0.0
MASSACHUSETTS	25,315	36.8	36.6	23.6	3.0
MICHIGAN	71,963	45.6	44.6	9.8	0.0
MINNESOTA	39,005	49.6	38.8	11.5	0.0
MISSISSIPPI	15,048	13.6	69.7	16.7	0.0
MISSOURI	26,074	28.0	45.9	22.6	3.5
MONTANA	11,339	34.2	65.8	0.0	0.0
NEBRASKA	9,314	13.2	83.2	0.0	3.5
NEVADA	9,304	0.0	78.4	21.6	0.0
NEW HAMPSHIRE	5,818	48.9	4.5	46.6	0.0
NEW JERSEY	34,314	14.0	86.0	0.0	0.0
NEW MEXICO	33,886	34.7	1.6	63.7	0.0
NEW YORK	96,948	23.7	53.1	21.4	1.8
NORTH CAROLINA	30,650	4.0	5.7	85.6	4.8
NORTH DAKOTA	3,261	45.4	4.5	48.7	1.4
OHIO	79,750	24.7	69.3	1.0	5.0
OKLAHOMA	28,898	17.0	83.0	0.0	0.0
OREGON	16,616	21.8	47.0	31.3	0.0
PENNSYLVANIA	53,285	37.1	62.9	0.0	0.0
PUERTO RICO	10,388	15.6	40.1	43.2	1.1
RHODE ISLAND	8,222	47.8	30.6	21.1	0.6
SOUTH CAROLINA	22,592	40.8	44.1	14.4	0.7
SOUTH DAKOTA	2,663	29.9	64.0	0.0	6.0
TENNESSEE	41,016	20.4	63.0	16.6	0.0
TEXAS	132,668	30.1	39.1	27.5	3.3
UTAH	12,829	22.3	77.7	0.0	0.0
VERMONT	7,287	39.1	46.4	13.1	1.4
VIRGIN ISLANDS	292	24.0	72.3	0.0	3.8
VIRGINIA	9,364	55.5	0.4	43.7	0.5
WASHINGTON	61,807	31.8	5.6	62.6	0.0
WEST VIRGINIA	15,601	8.6	15.5	75.9	0.0
WISCONSIN	16,047	37.6	58.3	4.0	0.0
WYOMING	310	13.5	81.9	4.5	0.0

NOTES: 'a/ = DATA NOT REPORTED.
'*' = UNEMPLOYED, LOOKING FOR WORK. WORKERS).
'**' = UNEMPLOYED, NOT LOOKING FOR WORK (INCLUDES DISCOURAGED WORKERS).
SOURCE: NATIONAL TANF DATAFILE AS OF 4/12/2005

Table 10:59

Temporary Assistance for Needy Families - Closed Cases
TANF Closed-Case Families with Income by Type of Non-TANF Income
FY 2004

STATE	TOTAL FAMILIES	ALL NON-TANF INCOME		EARNED INCOME		UNEARNED INCOME	
		PERCENT	MONTHLY AVERAGE	PERCENT	MONTHLY AVERAGE	PERCENT	MONTHLY AVERAGE
U.S. TOTAL	2,020,951	36.6	$864.48	25.9	$972.64	14.0	$420.46
ALABAMA	19,614	39.5	$860.43	37.8	$854.08	2.3	$744.07
ALASKA	5,582	47.7	$847.87	29.5	$995.16	23.8	$465.58
ARIZONA	45,268	7750.0%	$416.60	12.3	$593.39	77.3	$323.85
ARKANSAS	9,423	34.6	$683.74	27.2	$744.98	9.2	$372.91
CALIFORNIA	428,494	36.6	$1,097.12	27.8	$1,231.46	12.3	$480.43
COLORADO	30,322	--	--	--	--	--	--
CONNECTICUT	15,846	54.8	$550.40	23.6	$877.28	42.3	$223.97
DELAWARE	6,636	30.3	$1,016.96	20.1	$1,243.00	15.3	$380.30
DIST. OF COL.	5,526	72.5	$762.62	22.3	$1,263.24	70.4	$367.19
FLORIDA	113,149	16.9	$645.25	12.4	$757.94	5.4	$279.48
GEORGIA	56,955	46.8	$794.33	35.1	$925.70	17.0	$274.01
GUAM a/							
HAWAII	7,287	36.7	$673.78	30.4	$679.43	9.3	$432.77
IDAHO	2,843	18.4	$401.07	12.0	$479.89	7.6	$214.65
ILLINOIS	25,348	--	--	--	--	--	--
INDIANA	42,481	38.9	$921.20	25.1	$1,080.64	18.9	$462.25
IOWA	20,035	50.8	$796.01	35.3	$873.55	20.7	$461.22
KANSAS	18,201	40.3	$542.66	16.9	$553.69	30.3	$413.91
KENTUCKY	39,168	31.1	$846.95	29.1	$848.31	3.0	$551.44
LOUISIANA	21,803	24.3	$777.95	21.6	$818.88	3.8	$317.62
MAINE	14,029	57.5	$836.49	40.5	$1,010.47	31.5	$219.54
MARYLAND	31,837	39.1	$977.02	31.7	$1,088.72	9.2	$400.81
MASSACHUSETTS	30,098	44.5	$971.98	30.4	$1,057.38	16.9	$654.77
MICHIGAN	73,536	44.0	$964.24	38.6	$1,003.58	8.5	$436.12
MINNESOTA	43,209	51.1	$918.37	45.8	$910.99	8.5	$609.92
MISSISSIPPI	17,270	80.6	$398.49	27.6	$651.89	78.2	$180.67
MISSOURI	30,401	27.3	$869.19	22.0	$942.27	6.6	$450.87
MONTANA	9,896	--	--	17.9	$463.17	--	--
NEBRASKA	11,890	20.0	$1,569.69	12.0	$1,931.81	9.3	$883.73
NEVADA	11,209	--	--	--	--	13.9	$445.41
NEW HAMPSHIRE	6,290	65.6	$949.98	42.5	$1,079.89	38.9	$421.61
NEW JERSEY	38,627	17.8	$622.31	12.4	$763.40	6.1	$257.60
NEW MEXICO	32,716	42.8	$847.35	35.6	$934.48	10.6	$279.12
NEW YORK	112,977	28.5	$884.58	20.3	$1,003.18	9.8	$489.35
NORTH CAROLINA	41,064	--	--	--	--	--	--
NORTH DAKOTA	4,626	42.6	$710.47	30.3	$885.34	18.1	$192.04
OHIO	89,193	25.8	$609.26	17.5	$729.10	9.6	$304.45
OKLAHOMA	26,391	25.7	$810.63	18.4	$935.04	8.7	$413.77
OREGON	24,924	--	--	--	--	--	--
PENNSYLVANIA	59,371	38.4	$962.69	32.3	$1,024.32	9.2	$421.46
PUERTO RICO	10,301	25.0	$492.25	15.3	$594.64	10.5	$304.05
RHODE ISLAND	9,152	48.2	$1,122.10	40.8	$1,179.29	9.3	$631.43
SOUTH CAROLINA	23,885	55.0	$802.16	39.1	$920.31	23.7	$341.48
SOUTH DAKOTA	3,456	13.0	$235.69	9.9	$268.64	3.3	$127.25
TENNESSEE	45,405	19.1	$670.79	18.4	$678.10	0.8	$416.67
TEXAS	178,595	32.2	$711.87	21.7	$871.02	11.9	$337.91
UTAH	13,180	23.3	$582.81	21.5	$600.88	2.1	$326.40
VERMONT	6,327	49.5	$1,017.43	42.9	$1,033.68	10.3	$580.67
VIRGIN ISLANDS	355	40.3	$612.41	19.7	$966.30	24.5	$229.13
VIRGINIA	10,952	50.3	$1,123.49	47.3	$1,146.13	4.8	$456.28
WASHINGTON	63,230	49.1	$1,192.09	39.0	$1,258.45	16.7	$565.94
WEST VIRGINIA	12,190	43.4	$445.39	2.7	$365.76	42.1	$435.46
WISCONSIN	19,913	34.1	$930.62	25.2	$1,011.65	11.5	$544.25
WYOMING	475	20.4	$364.32	8.6	$568.78	12.8	$197.03

NOTES: 'a/' = DATA NOT REPORTED.
'--' = DATA NOT AVAILABLE OR REPORTED IN ERROR.
SOURCE: NATIONAL TANF DATAFILE AS OF 4/12/2005

Table 10:60

Separate State Program - Maintenance of Effort - Active Cases
Percent Distribution of SSP-MOE Families by Number of Family Members
FY 2004

STATE	TOTAL FAMILIES	NUMBER OF FAMILY MEMBERS								
		AVERAGE	1	2	3	4	5	6 - 10	OVER 10	UNKNOWN
ALABAMA	215	5.2	0.0	0.4	26.6	5.1	15.7	51.3	1.0	0.0
CALIFORNIA	44,151	4.1	1.1	5.2	31.7	31.9	16.6	13.2	0.4	0.0
CONNECTICUT	4,208	3.1	6.5	31.1	29.6	19.2	8.0	5.5	0.1	0.0
DELAWARE	126	4.4	0.0	0.9	29.5	32.1	21.1	15.8	0.7	0.0
DIST. OF COL.	312	2.9	3.1	45.6	24.8	15.7	7.0	3.4	0.5	0.0
FLORIDA	1,792	4.0	0.0	1.0	37.6	35.1	16.8	9.4	0.1	0.0
GEORGIA	525	4.2	0.0	0.6	32.2	33.5	20.4	13.3	0.1	0.0
HAWAII	3,384	3.9	2.1	15.0	30.9	23.4	14.9	13.6	0.1	0.0
ILLINOIS	627	2.0	66.7	3.5	10.6	8.4	4.9	5.7	0.1	0.0
INDIANA	3,150	4.2	0.8	2.7	26.5	32.3	23.9	13.8	0.1	0.0
IOWA	4,096	2.4	53.1	1.5	17.8	14.5	8.0	5.1	0.1	0.0
MAINE	1,378	3.4	11.6	17.1	27.0	22.6	12.9	8.3	0.4	0.0
MARYLAND	2,958	3.1	6.8	35.0	28.5	16.3	6.9	6.4	0.1	0.0
MASSACHUSETTS	115	3.3	1.2	31.8	30.3	21.1	9.4	6.3	0.0	0.0
MINNESOTA	4,610	4.3	0.0	0.9	33.7	30.0	17.8	17.2	0.4	0.0
MISSOURI	6,762	3.2	5.9	26.3	31.4	20.0	10.5	6.0	0.0	0.0
NEBRASKA	1,423	4.1	0.5	7.9	29.9	27.8	18.0	16.0	0.1	0.0
NEVADA	627	3.7	18.5	0.6	26.6	25.1	14.3	14.9	0.1	0.0
NEW HAMPSHIRE	144	4.1	5.6	4.6	25.3	29.4	19.6	14.6	0.9	0.0
NEW JERSEY	1,881	4.3	0.1	1.7	33.8	30.3	17.1	16.9	0.2	0.0
NEW YORK	50,071	3.4	6.8	22.7	29.3	20.9	11.0	9.0	0.2	0.0
RHODE ISLAND	2,098	3.2	10.0	24.0	29.1	20.1	10.8	5.9	0.1	0.0
SOUTH CAROLINA	2,473	2.8	3.9	42.1	30.9	15.6	4.3	3.1	0.0	0.0
TENNESSEE	1,394	3.7	3.8	14.7	29.1	27.3	14.7	10.4	0.1	0.0
TEXAS	4,388	4.3	0.0	0.6	31.0	32.0	21.6	14.8	0.2	0.0
UTAH	40	4.4	0.0	2.7	31.1	28.2	17.6	20.3	0.0	0.0
VERMONT	423	2.8	5.6	40.3	32.9	14.4	4.5	2.3	0.0	0.0
VIRGINIA	26,386	2.2	30.3	36.9	19.2	9.1	2.9	1.1	0.0	0.5
WASHINGTON	1,588	4.2	0.4	12.0	26.1	25.8	17.4	17.8	0.5	0.0
WEST VIRGINIA	1,512	4.0	0.0	0.0	33.8	40.6	18.3	7.1	0.1	0.0
WISCONSIN	374	3.6	0.0	31.3	25.5	16.4	12.4	14.4	0.0	0.0
WYOMING	4	3.0	0.0	32.6	39.5	27.9	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0

SOURCE: NATIONAL SSP-MOE DATAFILE AS OF 4/12/2005

Table 10:61

Separate State Program - Maintenance of Effort - Active Cases
Percent Distribution of SSP-MOE Families by Number of Recipient Children
FY 2004

STATE	TOTAL FAMILIES	NUMBER OF RECIPIENT CHILDREN						
		AVERAGE	1	2	3	4	5 OR MORE	UNKNOWN
ALABAMA	215	3.3	26.8	4.9	15.7	37.8	14.5	0.4
CALIFORNIA	44,151	2.4	29.1	33.9	19.5	9.5	7.8	0.2
CONNECTICUT	4,208	2	42.9	27.8	15.4	5.3	3.6	5.0
DELAWARE	126	2.4	29.5	32.1	21.1	8.3	8.1	0.9
DIST. OF COL.	312	2	45.9	24.7	16.1	6.9	3.6	2.8
FLORIDA	1,792	2	37.6	35.1	16.8	6.1	3.4	1.0
GEORGIA	525	2.2	32.2	33.4	20.4	9.3	4.1	0.6
HAWAII	3,384	2.2	37.5	28.9	16.9	9.1	7.0	0.6
ILLINOIS	627	2.4	12.5	9.8	4.7	3.5	3.6	65.9
INDIANA	3,150	2.4	26.3	33.8	24.9	10.1	4.6	0.4
IOWA	4,096	2.1	18.2	15.1	8.3	3.6	1.8	53.1
MAINE	1,378	2.3	33.3	31.4	20.7	8	5.8	0.8
MARYLAND	2,958	2	42.5	29.5	14.6	5.8	4.6	3.0
MASSACHUSETTS	115	2	38.5	30.7	16.1	8.8	3.1	2.8
MINNESOTA	4,610	2.4	33.7	30	17.8	8.5	9.0	0.9
MISSOURI	6,762	2	42.1	30.3	16.9	5.9	3.1	1.8
NEBRASKA	1,423	2.3	32.4	30	19.2	9.7	7.2	1.5
NEVADA	627	2.1	45.1	25.1	14.4	9.4	5.5	0.6
NEW HAMPSHIRE	144	2.4	30.4	30.9	22.2	8.6	7.4	0.5
NEW JERSEY	1,881	2.3	34	30.3	17.1	9.9	7.2	1.5
NEW YORK	50,071	2.4	25.6	30.6	19.9	10	7.2	6.8
RHODE ISLAND	2,098	2.2	34.9	30.3	21.2	7.6	4.5	1.5
SOUTH CAROLINA	2,473	1.8	45.1	32.1	13.1	3.3	2.3	4.2
TENNESSEE	1,394	2.3	31.8	33.3	19.1	8.2	6.4	1.1
TEXAS	4,388	2.3	30.9	32	21.6	10	4.9	0.6
UTAH	40	2.5	30.7	28.4	17.8	11.6	9.5	1.9
VERMONT	423	1.8	43.6	36	11.3	3.2	1.5	4.3
VIRGINIA	26,386	1.6	55.7	28.6	10.5	2.4	1.2	1.6
WASHINGTON	1,588	2.5	25.4	32.9	20.7	11.8	8.6	0.6
WEST VIRGINIA	1,512	2	33.8	40.6	18.3	5.2	2.1	0.0
WISCONSIN	374	2.5	35.1	24.6	16.7	12.4	11.2	0.0
WYOMING	4	2	32.6	39.5	27.9	0	0.0	0.0

NOTE: '--'= DATA NOT AVAILABLE.
SOURCE: NATIONAL SSP-MOE DATAFILE AS OF 4/12/2005

Table 10:62

Separate State Program - Maintenance of Effort - Active Cases
Percent Distribution of SSP-MOE Families by Ethnicity/Race
FY 2004

STATE	FAMILIES	HISPANIC*	WHITE	AFRICAN-AMERICAN	NATIVE AMERICAN	ASIAN	HAWAIIAN	MULTI-RACIAL	UNKNOWN
ALABAMA	215	5.2	66.8	26.0	0.0	1.2	0.0	0.7	0.0
CALIFORNIA	44,151	28.5	37.3	8.1	0.6	23.7	1.1	0.7	0.0
CONNECTICUT	4,208	42.8	32.7	23.1	0.1	1.2	0.0	0.0	0.1
DELAWARE	126	9.5	51.2	38.5	0.0	0.8	0.0	0.0	0.0
DIST. OF COL.	312	0.7	0.1	98.9	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.1	0.1
FLORIDA	1,792	51.1	29.4	18.7	0.1	0.1	0.6	0.0	0.0
GEORGIA	525	2.8	57.5	38.8	0.1	0.6	0.0	0.2	0.0
HAWAII	3,384	2.4	10.9	0.6	0.1	21.4	58.9	5.5	0.1
ILLINOIS	627	5.2	28.6	64.3	0.1	1.6	0.0	0.1	0.1
INDIANA	3,150	7.5	77.2	13.6	0.2	0.6	0.1	0.9	0.0
IOWA	4,096	3.6	70.4	8.7	0.8	0.7	0.0	0.3	15.5
MAINE	1,378	0.9	80.1	7.2	1.4	0.9	0.0	0.6	8.9
MARYLAND	2,958	1.6	30.2	66.7	0.4	1.1	0.0	0.0	0.0
MASSACHUSETTS	115	31.3	28.9	38.3	0.2	1.3	0.0	0.1	0.0
MINNESOTA	4,610	7.5	54.1	20.7	9.4	7.5	0.0	0.8	0.0
MISSOURI	6,762	1.4	69.7	28.0	0.4	0.3	0.0	0.2	0.0
NEBRASKA	1,423	7.6	41.9	13.7	4.5	1.0	0.0	0.0	31.2
NEVADA	627	20.5	53.2	18.1	2.3	4.9	0.3	0.6	0.0
NEW HAMPSHIRE	144	3.8	91.2	3.7	0.0	1.3	0.0	0.0	0.0
NEW JERSEY	1,881	29.1	32.9	34.5	0.3	2.4	0.1	0.7	0.0
NEW YORK	50,071	40.7	10.3	46.4	0.1	1.6	0.3	0.6	0.0
RHODE ISLAND	2,098	28.4	33.4	12.6	0.4	3.6	0.0	0.0	21.6
SOUTH CAROLINA	2,473	0.6	40.9	58.0	0.3	0.1	0.0	0.1	0.0
TENNESSEE	1,394	8.6	52.9	29.1	0.3	8.9	0.0	0.2	0.0
TEXAS	4,388	53.7	33.7	11.1	0.6	0.7	0.2	0.1	0.0
UTAH	40	8.7	58.3	16.4	11.4	4.8	0.4	0.0	0.0
VERMONT	423	0.3	97.3	2.4	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
VIRGINIA	26,386	4.6	32.7	61.5	0.1	0.7	0.2	0.1	0.0
WASHINGTON	1,588	43.8	25.9	4.5	0.0	7.9	4.8	0.0	13.0
WEST VIRGINIA	1,512	0.1	96.5	3.2	0.0	0.1	0.0	0.1	0.0
WISCONSIN	374	17.4	12.0	36.4	0.4	31.9	0.6	0.1	1.3
WYOMING	4	27.9	72.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0

NOTE: '*'=CAN BE OF ANY RACE.

SOURCE: NATIONAL SSP-MOE DATAFILE AS OF 4/12/2005

Table 10:63

Separate State Program - Maintenance of Effort - Active Cases
Percent Distribution of SSP-MOE Families Receiving Assistance
FY 2004

STATE	TOTAL FAMILIES	MEDICAL ASSISTANCE	FOOD STAMPS	SUBSIDIZED HOUSING	SUBSIDIZED CHILD CARE
ALABAMA	215	--	82.6	7.5	0.4
CALIFORNIA	44,151	100.0	95.0	19.2	1.8
CONNECTICUT	4,208	99.7	89.6	43.6	3.1
DELAWARE	126	100.0	93.7	6.8	17.2
DIST. OF COL.	312	99.4	96.4	37.8	1.9
FLORIDA	1,792	100.0	96.4	7.3	12.2
GEORGIA	525	98.3	90.1	6.2	--
HAWAII	3,384	100.0	84.5	15.7	5.0
ILLINOIS	627	99.5	75.3	--	0.8
INDIANA	3,150	99.3	96.5	10.8	5.0
IOWA	4,096	96.9	43.5	2.3	4.1
MAINE	1,378	99.9	96.3	10.2	3.1
MARYLAND	2,958	100.0	89.2	31.2	0.1
MASSACHUSETTS	115	82.9	88.4	--	4.7
MINNESOTA	4,610	96.9	99.8	22.5	8.2
MISSOURI	6,762	98.0	81.9	19.0	10.9
NEBRASKA	1,423	100.0	84.4	0.6	13.0
NEVADA	627	100.0	71.8	11.8	2.0
NEW HAMPSHIRE	144	100.0	95.7	15.7	8.1
NEW JERSEY	1,881	98.1	92.8	17.0	9.3
NEW YORK	50,071	100.0	95.1	43.8	14.2
RHODE ISLAND	2,098	100.0	95.1	31.4	5.2
SOUTH CAROLINA	2,473	99.9	98.5	26.0	2.1
TENNESSEE	1,394	100.0	92.4	1.4	6.3
TEXAS	4,388	100.0	95.8	15.4	11.3
UTAH	40	100.0	97.9	--	22.8
VERMONT	423	100.0	88.6	14.6	51.6
VIRGINIA	26,386	100.0	67.4	--	7.0
WASHINGTON	1,588	80.9	99.9	18.8	12.7
WEST VIRGINIA	1,512	98.0	98.4	32.9	3.4
WISCONSIN	374	96.9	89.7	18.5	14.8
WYOMING	4	100.0	100.0	37.2	39.5

NOTE: '--'=DATA NOT REPORTED OR REPORTED IN ERROR.

SOURCE: NATIONAL SSP-MOE DATAFILE AS OF 4/12/2005

Table 10:64

Separate State Program - Maintenance of Effort - Active Cases
Percent Distribution of SSP-MOE Adult Recipients by Age Group
FY 2004

STATE	TOTAL ADULTS	AGE OF ADULT RECIPIENTS					
		UNDER 20	20 - 29	30 - 39	40 - 49	OVER 49	UNKNOWN
ALABAMA	431	3.1	40.2	38.0	16.2	2.4	0.0
CALIFORNIA	74,781	4.2	36.5	29.9	22.4	7.0	0.0
CONNECTICUT	5,272	4.7	38.8	32.3	19.4	4.8	0.0
DELAWARE	252	6.0	44.9	30.3	15.5	3.3	0.0
DIST. OF COL.	312	0.7	12.8	29.7	44.9	11.7	0.3
FLORIDA	3,584	2.1	29.7	37.6	23.9	6.7	0.0
GEORGIA	1,050	3.5	34.0	36.3	21.1	5.1	0.0
HAWAII	5,540	4.0	42.8	30.3	15.7	7.2	0.0
ILLINOIS	775	26.3	42.1	19.3	9.8	2.5	0.0
INDIANA	5,984	3.4	51.0	32.5	11.4	1.6	0.0
IOWA	5,881	7.0	55.8	25.7	10.3	1.3	0.0
MAINE	1,626	1.5	39.0	36.2	19.5	3.8	0.0
MARYLAND	3,296	1.9	23.8	32.2	27.6	14.5	0.0
MASSACHUSETTS	147	5.3	34.1	36.6	19.1	4.9	0.0
MINNESOTA	9,220	6.3	47.5	29.8	13.4	3.0	0.0
MISSOURI	8,811	8.7	42.2	29.6	16.4	3.1	0.0
NEBRASKA	2,636	3.8	47.8	31.8	14.1	2.4	0.0
NEVADA	1,022	4.7	43.5	34.0	15.3	2.4	0.0
NEW HAMPSHIRE	254	3.1	43.4	33.4	15.4	4.6	0.0
NEW JERSEY	3,757	5.0	36.4	31.5	20.1	7.1	0.0
NEW YORK	57,476	5.7	22.9	38.6	24.7	8.1	0.0
RHODE ISLAND	2,199	7.7	44.1	28.5	14.3	5.5	0.0
SOUTH CAROLINA	2,747	1.2	24.5	37.7	29.7	6.7	0.2
TENNESSEE	2,074	3.3	47.8	33.8	12.0	3.1	0.0
TEXAS	8,772	6.1	40.7	28.9	18.3	6.0	0.0
UTAH	78	3.3	46.6	28.8	17.8	3.6	0.0
VERMONT	461	2.4	60.7	26.2	9.6	1.1	0.0
VIRGINIA	15,626	9.0	56.2	23.1	8.9	2.9	0.0
WASHINGTON	2,668	0.7	30.8	41.5	19.4	7.5	0.0
WEST VIRGINIA	3,024	3.3	58.3	27.8	9.1	1.5	0.0
WISCONSIN	432	2.6	26.0	37.3	25.0	9.0	0.0
WYOMING	4	0.0	20.9	79.1	0.0	0.0	0.0

SOURCE: NATIONAL SSP-MOE DATAFILE AS OF 4/12/2005

Table 10:65

Separate State Program - Maintenance of Effort - Active Cases
Percent Distribution of SSP-MOE Adult Recipients by Marital Status
FY 2004

STATE	TOTAL ADULTS	MARITAL STATUS				
		SINGLE	MARRIED	SEPARATED	WIDOWED	DIVORCED
ALABAMA	431	2.6	94.9	1.5	0.0	1.0
CALIFORNIA	74,781	27.1	71.8	0.4	0.0	0.7
CONNECTICUT	5,272	58.8	25.1	9.3	0.5	6.4
DELAWARE	252	42.0	55.3	1.9	0.0	0.8
DIST. OF COL.	312	92.5	3.6	3.1	0.1	0.7
FLORIDA	3,584	22.3	74.3	1.3	0.0	2.0
GEORGIA	1,050	21.9	71.6	4.1	0.0	2.3
HAWAII	5,540	21.6	71.1	5.2	0.9	1.2
ILLINOIS	775	66.7	30.5	1.3	0.2	1.2
INDIANA	5,984	24.6	69.7	2.2	0.1	3.5
IOWA	5,881	53.2	40.9	2.2	0.0	3.7
MAINE	1,626	43.9	52.0	1.6	0.2	2.2
MARYLAND	3,296	58.2	23.3	11.9	1.1	5.5
MASSACHUSETTS	147	55.8	24.3	12.0	1.0	6.9
MINNESOTA	9,220	42.2	49.8	5.1	0.1	2.7
MISSOURI	8,811	46.2	42.5	3.9	0.1	7.2
NEBRASKA	2,636	98.5	1.5	0.0	0.0	0.0
NEVADA	1,022	40.8	55.1	1.7	0.0	2.4
NEW HAMPSHIRE	254	30.5	61.3	2.6	0.4	5.2
NEW JERSEY	3,757	43.5	52.6	2.6	0.2	1.1
NEW YORK	57,476	77.3	12.8	6.9	0.8	2.2
RHODE ISLAND	2,199	59.1	37.7	2.6	0.1	0.6
SOUTH CAROLINA	2,747	39.8	23.2	22.7	1.6	12.7
TENNESSEE	2,074	27.3	61.6	6.7	0.5	3.8
TEXAS	8,772	10.4	88.9	0.4	0.0	0.3
UTAH	78	16.3	81.5	1.5	0.0	0.7
VERMONT	461	79.6	10.0	9.1	0.1	1.2
VIRGINIA	15,626	61.5	17.7	12.8	0.6	7.3
WASHINGTON	2,668	16.2	72.3	7.0	1.6	2.8
WEST VIRGINIA	3,024	23.5	71.6	2.5	0.0	2.4
WISCONSIN	432	38.0	34.5	14.6	2.1	10.8
WYOMING	4	20.9	25.6	7.0	0.0	46.5

SOURCE: NATIONAL SSP-MOE DATAFILE AS OF 4/12/2005

Table 10:66

Separate State Program - Maintenance of Effort - Active Cases
Percent Distribution of SSP-MOE Adult Recipients by Educational Level
FY 2004

STATE	TOTAL ADULTS	NO FORMAL EDUCATION	YEARS OF EDUCATION				
			1 - 6	7 - 9	10 - 11	12	MORE THAN 12
ALABAMA	431	0.6	3.1	18.3	23.5	41.3	13.1
CALIFORNIA	74,781	1.9	7.4	6.0	24.5	56.9	3.2
CONNECTICUT	5,272	1.3	4.0	14.7	23.0	51.0	5.9
DELAWARE	252	0.0	1.3	4.8	12.7	78.7	2.4
DIST. OF COL.	312	0.0	1.6	29.9	34.6	29.0	5.0
FLORIDA	3,584	--	--	--	--	--	--
GEORGIA	1,050	4.7	0.8	12.7	21.2	54.7	5.9
HAWAII	5,540	0.1	6.8	9.9	15.8	62.7	4.8
ILLINOIS	775	0.0	7.3	3.2	33.7	52.7	3.1
INDIANA	5,984	2.5	1.0	13.5	25.3	55.0	2.9
IOWA	5,881	4.8	0.6	9.4	12.0	71.9	1.4
MAINE	1,626	1.0	1.1	9.0	26.9	60.1	1.9
MARYLAND	3,296	0.0	2.0	9.6	26.1	56.8	5.5
MASSACHUSETTS	147	7.9	0.3	10.7	28.3	45.0	7.8
MINNESOTA	9,220	6.0	2.4	7.5	24.6	50.9	8.5
MISSOURI	8,811	0.1	0.9	14.9	31.2	46.7	6.2
NEBRASKA	2,636	0.0	1.1	3.7	13.4	79.8	1.9
NEVADA	1,022	0.5	2.1	7.7	27.3	51.3	11.2
NEW HAMPSHIRE	254	2.8	1.1	10.4	14.6	61.2	9.8
NEW JERSEY	3,757	0.5	3.6	17.9	15.1	55.2	7.7
NEW YORK	57,476	1.7	3.7	12.6	34.1	46.7	1.1
RHODE ISLAND	2,199	0.1	4.6	18.9	29.4	44.5	2.5
SOUTH CAROLINA	2,747	0.2	1.3	14.8	26.1	57.1	0.5
TENNESSEE	2,074	7.0	4.0	10.9	20.9	54.4	2.8
TEXAS	8,772	--	--	--	--	--	--
UTAH	78	0.0	34.7	4.3	17.0	38.8	5.1
VERMONT	461	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	90.2	9.8
VIRGINIA	15,626	1.0	0.8	12.0	30.3	53.7	2.3
WASHINGTON	2,668	5.1	18.8	11.0	9.4	42.2	13.6
WEST VIRGINIA	3,024	0.3	0.3	18.0	29.9	42.9	8.6
WISCONSIN	432	19.1	10.9	10.0	21.6	37.0	1.4
WYOMING	4	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	69.8	30.2

NOTE: '--'= DATA REPORTED IN ERROR.

SOURCE: NATIONAL SSP-MOE DATAFILE AS OF 4/12/2005

Table 10:67

Separate State Program - Maintenance of Effort - Active Cases
Percent Distribution of SSP-MOE Adult Recipients by Work Exemption Status
FY 2004

STATE	TOTAL ADULTS	DISREGARDED			EXEMPT		REQUIRED TO PARTICIPATE		DEEMED ENGAGED IN WORK	
		CHILD UNDER 12 MONTHS	SANCTION/ WAIVER	TRIBAL WORK	PARENT w/CHILD UNDER AGE 6*	DISABLED/ OTHER	DID NOT PARTICIPATE	PARTICIPATED	TEEN PARENT IN EDUCATION	PARENT w/CHILD UNDER AGE 6
ALABAMA	431	0.0	9.4	0.0	0.0	0.0	61.3	29.1	0.2	0.0
CALIFORNIA	74,781	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.3	6.2	42.1	50.8	0.3	0.1
CONNECTICUT	5,272	2.1	1.1	0.0	0.0	52.6	27.5	14.5	0.1	2.1
DELAWARE	252	0.0	1.8	0.0	0.0	0.0	85.9	11.6	0.7	0.0
DIST. OF COL.	312	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	99.9	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.0
FLORIDA	3,584	0.0	11.2	0.0	0.0	0.0	45.1	38.5	0.5	4.7
GEORGIA	1,050	0.7	0.0	0.0	0.0	52.5	37.1	9.6	0.0	0.0
HAWAII	5,540	27.8	14.3	0.0	0.0	0.0	1.1	50.7	0.5	5.6
ILLINOIS	775	0.1	1.5	0.0	0.0	0.0	63.4	32.5	2.4	0.1
INDIANA	5,984	0.0	2.0	0.0	0.0	8.3	56.2	26.4	0.5	6.6
IOWA	5,881	7.8	4.7	0.0	0.0	0.1	36.1	43.8	1.0	6.5
MAINE	1,626	0.1	1.5	0.2	0.0	19.6	2.0	76.0	0.3	0.3
MARYLAND	3,296	1.9	0.4	0.0	0.1	58.4	0.0	38.6	0.1	0.4
MASSACHUSETTS	147	3.5	0.0	0.0	4.2	62.3	0.0	22.6	0.0	7.4
MINNESOTA	9,220	0.0	4.9	5.9	0.0	13.5	30.2	45.2	0.3	0.0
MISSOURI	8,811	0.0	1.3	0.0	0.0	32.4	45.1	16.2	3.5	1.3
NEBRASKA	2,636	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	12.4	0.0	87.4	0.0	0.0
NEVADA	1,022	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	60.7	39.3	0.0	0.0
NEW HAMPSHIRE	254	0.0	12.5	0.0	0.0	5.2	17.7	64.5	0.0	0.1
NEW JERSEY	3,757	0.0	5.6	0.0	0.0	13.0	49.7	31.4	0.2	0.0
NEW YORK	57,476	1.6	10.1	0.0	0.0	13.3	32.2	28.5	0.0	14.4
RHODE ISLAND	2,199	3.8	3.8	0.0	0.0	9.5	63.9	17.9	0.0	1.1
SOUTH CAROLINA	2,747	0.0	0.1	0.0	0.0	94.1	2.4	3.3	0.0	0.2
TENNESSEE	2,074	3.4	12.8	0.0	0.0	2.7	38.2	42.7	0.0	0.0
TEXAS	8,772	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	20.4	43.2	35.4	0.9	0.1
UTAH	78	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	30.8	69.2	0.0	0.0
VERMONT	461	7.3	0.1	0.0	0.1	5.1	0.0	84.1	0.4	2.9
VIRGINIA	15,626	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	15.0	85.0	0.0	0.0
WASHINGTON	2,668	1.8	0.0	0.0	0.0	2.5	69.9	23.8	0.1	1.9
WEST VIRGINIA	3,024	1.9	6.5	0.0	0.3	0.7	67.7	22.9	0.0	0.0
WISCONSIN	432	1.4	7.8	0.0	0.0	1.5	5.1	61.0	1.0	22.1
WYOMING	4	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0

NOTE: '*' = CHILD CARE UNAVAILABLE.
SOURCE: NATIONAL SSP-MOE DATAFILE AS OF 4/12/2005

Table 10:68

Separate State Program - Maintenance of Effort - Active Cases
Percent Distribution of SSP-MOE Adult Recipients by Citizenship Status
FY 2004

STATE	TOTAL ADULTS	U.S. CITIZEN	QUALIFIED ALIEN	NON-QUALIFIED ALIEN	UNKNOWN
ALABAMA	431	94.3	5.7	0.0	0.0
CALIFORNIA	74,781	65.3	34.7	0.0	0.0
CONNECTICUT	5,272	94.0	4.6	0.0	1.4
DELAWARE	252	94.6	5.4	0.0	0.0
DIST. OF COL.	312	99.8	0.2	0.0	0.0
FLORIDA	3,584	61.5	38.5	0.0	0.0
GEORGIA	1,050	97.1	2.9	0.0	0.0
HAWAII	5,540	63.4	36.6	0.0	0.0
ILLINOIS	775	78.1	21.6	0.3	0.0
INDIANA	5,984	98.3	1.7	0.0	0.0
IOWA	5,881	97.1	2.9	0.0	0.0
MAINE	1,626	88.9	11.1	0.0	0.0
MARYLAND	3,296	93.6	6.4	0.0	0.0
MASSACHUSETTS	147	66.3	24.2	9.5	0.1
MINNESOTA	9,220	82.1	17.4	0.6	0.0
MISSOURI	8,811	95.8	4.2	0.0	0.0
NEBRASKA	2,636	92.1	7.9	0.0	0.0
NEVADA	1,022	85.0	15.0	0.0	0.0
NEW HAMPSHIRE	254	86.1	13.9	0.0	0.0
NEW JERSEY	3,757	92.5	7.5	0.0	0.0
NEW YORK	57,476	84.9	1.1	13.9	0.0
RHODE ISLAND	2,199	68.9	9.5	21.6	0.0
SOUTH CAROLINA	2,747	99.7	0.3	0.0	0.0
TENNESSEE	2,074	77.9	1.0	21.0	0.0
TEXAS	8,772	89.1	10.9	0.0	0.0
UTAH	78	70.5	29.5	0.0	0.0
VERMONT	461	99.1	0.9	0.0	0.0
VIRGINIA	15,626	96.9	3.1	0.0	0.0
WASHINGTON	2,668	14.7	11.9	73.4	0.0
WEST VIRGINIA	3,024	99.9	0.1	0.0	0.0
WISCONSIN	432	35.6	64.4	0.0	0.0
WYOMING	4	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0

SOURCE: NATIONAL SSP-MOE DATAFILE AS OF 4/12/2005

Table 10:69

Separate State Program - Maintenance of Effort - Active Cases
Percent Distribution of SSP-MOE Adult Recipients by Employment Status
FY 2004

STATE	TOTAL ADULTS	EMPLOYMENT STATUS		
		EMPLOYED	NOT EMPLOYED*	NOT IN LABOR FORCE**
ALABAMA	431	19.5	57.4	23.1
CALIFORNIA	74,781	29.4	51.8	18.8
CONNECTICUT	5,272	23.2	26.4	50.3
DELAWARE	252	21.1	70.1	8.8
DIST. OF COL.	312	1.2	0.1	98.7
FLORIDA	3,584	21.4	21.7	56.9
GEORGIA	1,050	12.7	0.0	87.3
HAWAII	5,540	37.8	40.5	21.8
ILLINOIS	775	11.6	87.8	0.6
INDIANA	5,984	28.3	49.2	22.4
IOWA	5,881	23.1	76.8	0.0
MAINE	1,626	23.4	5.8	70.8
MARYLAND	3,296	5.4	94.6	0.0
MASSACHUSETTS	147	43.1	30.3	26.6
MINNESOTA	9,220	32.6	55.0	12.4
MISSOURI	8,811	15.1	44.0	40.9
NEBRASKA	2,636	20.8	79.2	0.0
NEVADA	1,022	0.9	97.3	1.8
NEW HAMPSHIRE	254	27.9	23.6	48.5
NEW JERSEY	3,757	16.5	80.4	3.1
NEW YORK	57,476	28.5	56.6	14.9
RHODE ISLAND	2,199	16.1	32.3	51.6
SOUTH CAROLINA	2,747	4.8	4.2	91.0
TENNESSEE	2,074	15.5	69.3	15.2
TEXAS	8,772	31.7	45.9	22.4
UTAH	78	13.2	86.8	0.0
VERMONT	461	8.2	69.1	22.8
VIRGINIA	15,626	14.9	8.8	76.3
WASHINGTON	2,668	12.3	2.7	85.0
WEST VIRGINIA	3,024	15.7	53.5	30.8
WISCONSIN	432	12.4	87.6	0.0
WYOMING	4	0.0	0.0	100.0

NOTES: '*'=UNEMPLOYED, LOOKING FOR WORK.

'**'=UNEMPLOYED, NOT LOOKING FOR WORK.

SOURCE: NATIONAL SSP-MOE DATAFILE AS OF 4/12/2005

Table 10:70

Separate State Program - Maintenance of Effort - Active Cases
Percent Distribution of SSP-MOE Adult Recipients Participating in Work Activities*
FY 2004

STATE	TOTAL ADULTS	WORK PARTICIPATION		TYPE OF WORK ACTIVITIES				
		NO	YES	UNSUBSIDIZED EMPLOYMENT	WORK PREPARATION**	JOB SEARCH	JOB TRAINING/ EDUCATION	OTHER WORK ACTIVITIES
ALABAMA	431	66.2	33.8	17.2	4.0	8.5	8.0	1.4
CALIFORNIA	74,781	58.2	41.8	29.2	1.1	5.2	7.8	1.1
CONNECTICUT	5,272	66.4	33.6	21.4	1.0	1.9	6.2	9.5
DELAWARE	252	81.6	18.4	15.2	10.5	0.0	0.8	0.0
DIST. OF COL.	312	98.3	1.7	1.6	0.1	0.1	0.0	0.0
FLORIDA	3,584	62.9	37.1	21.2	8.7	5.5	7.2	0.5
GEORGIA	1,050	73.3	26.7	9.3	11.1	2.7	5.0	2.9
HAWAII	5,540	66.1	33.9	30.4	1.8	2.9	2.5	0.0
ILLINOIS	775	43.9	56.1	11.5	4.2	0.8	23.4	24.4
INDIANA	5,984	66.0	34.0	27.7	0.8	4.0	3.4	0.0
IOWA	5,881	52.6	47.4	22.8	0.8	3.6	19.2	7.0
MAINE	1,626	61.2	38.8	20.2	6.8	8.9	9.7	0.0
MARYLAND	3,296	90.1	9.9	3.5	3.1	3.1	1.6	0.1
MASSACHUSETTS	147	56.0	44.0	43.4	0.1	0.2	0.4	0.1
MINNESOTA	9,220	48.9	51.1	32.3	2.7	9.9	6.9	12.9
MISSOURI	8,811	70.3	29.7	7.8	1.1	4.3	10.3	10.1
NEBRASKA	2,636	61.1	38.9	23.9	0.1	14.8	4.6	0.2
NEVADA	1,022	61.7	38.3	25.1	8.5	9.2	1.6	0.0
NEW HAMPSHIRE	254	40.7	59.3	27.3	7.8	17.8	12.9	17.1
NEW JERSEY	3,757	67.0	33.0	12.9	9.2	6.6	12.3	0.0
NEW YORK	57,476	57.1	42.9	25.8	14.1	0.5	3.2	0.0
RHODE ISLAND	2,199	76.4	23.6	16.0	0.4	2.9	2.9	2.3
SOUTH CAROLINA	2,747	80.6	19.4	5.1	10.3	0.8	2.5	2.2
TENNESSEE	2,074	50.8	49.2	15.5	0.6	26.0	0.3	37.3
TEXAS	8,772	62.7	37.3	24.5	4.7	12.2	3.5	1.4
UTAH	78	37.2	62.8	11.1	35.2	36.8	21.9	0.0
VERMONT	461	75.9	24.1	7.0	0.8	1.5	7.4	14.7
VIRGINIA	15,626	81.4	18.6	9.4	1.0	11.0	0.7	0.0
WASHINGTON	2,668	70.8	29.2	11.8	14.4	3.6	2.5	9.6
WEST VIRGINIA	3,024	73.6	26.4	6.1	9.5	3.1	8.4	2.2
WISCONSIN	432	19.1	80.9	5.0	41.2	13.7	65.3	0.0
WYOMING	4	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0

NOTES: '*'= SOME PARTICIPATED IN 2 OR 3 WORK ACTIVITIES.

"**'= SUBSIDIZED JOBS, ON-THE-JOB TRAINING, WORK EXPERIENCE OR COMMUNITY SERVICES.

SOURCE: NATIONAL SSP-MOE DATAFILE AS OF 4/12/2005

Table 10:71

Separate State Program - Maintenance of Effort - Active Cases
Percent Distribution of SSP-MOE Recipient Children by Age Group
FY 2004

STATE	TOTAL CHILDREN	AGE OF RECIPIENT CHILDREN					
		0 -1	2 - 5	6 - 11	12 - 15	16 - 19	UNKNOWN
ALABAMA	697	18.0	29.7	32.1	15.5	4.7	0.0
CALIFORNIA	106,040	15.0	24.5	32.2	19.6	8.6	0.0
CONNECTICUT	7,847	14.9	25.8	31.2	19.2	8.9	0.0
DELAWARE	299	23.5	32.3	26.8	12.3	5.1	0.0
DIST. OF COL.	601	4.0	17.8	39.1	26.2	12.6	0.2
FLORIDA	3,609	17.4	28.4	31.2	16.6	6.4	0.0
GEORGIA	1,159	18.5	26.5	30.8	16.9	7.2	0.0
HAWAII	7,492	22.8	29.9	26.9	14.4	6.1	0.0
ILLINOIS	504	19.3	23.2	30.3	17.3	9.8	0.1
INDIANA	7,396	23.6	33.4	28.7	10.8	3.4	0.0
IOWA	3,984	28.7	33.7	24.6	9.2	3.6	0.2
MAINE	3,086	12.7	23.4	27.2	19.5	16.8	0.4
MARYLAND	5,759	10	21	31	23	16	-
MASSACHUSETTS	229	16.4	23.3	31.6	19.9	8.7	0.0
MINNESOTA	10,816	24.8	30.2	27.2	12.9	4.8	0.0
MISSOURI	13,087	22.0	27.4	26.7	16.4	7.5	0.0
NEBRASKA	3,259	21.9	29.6	30.0	13.7	4.8	0.0
NEVADA	1,301	24.7	28.7	28.1	14.5	4.0	0.0
NEW HAMPSHIRE	340	18.4	27.8	24.7	13.8	15.1	0.1
NEW JERSEY	4,262	19.1	27.9	29.7	16.3	7.0	0.0
NEW YORK	114,056	6.1	17.7	39.9	25.0	11.3	0.0
RHODE ISLAND	4,497	16.1	25.2	34.7	17.7	6.3	0.0
SOUTH CAROLINA	4,298	9.2	19.7	31.2	27.6	12.1	0.2
TENNESSEE	3,113	19.1	30.5	31.1	13.6	5.8	0.0
TEXAS	9,983	21.3	29.2	28.5	14.8	6.2	0.0
UTAH	97	19.7	30.5	26.0	17.9	5.9	0.0
VERMONT	721	24.5	33.4	26.6	11.3	4.2	0.0
VIRGINIA	42,298	20.7	25.2	28.6	18.4	7.1	0.0
WASHINGTON	3,966	15.9	26.4	32.1	17.1	8.5	0.0
WEST VIRGINIA	3,063	25.6	35.5	25.1	9.9	3.9	0.0
WISCONSIN	931	8.4	21.5	36.0	23.1	11.0	0.0
WYOMING	8	1.2	17.9	29.8	41.7	9.5	0.0

NOTE: '--'=DATA NOT AVAILABLE.

SOURCE: NATIONAL SSP-MOE DATAFILE AS OF 4/12/2005

Table 10:72

Separate State Program - Maintenance of Effort - Active Cases
SSP-MOE Families Receiving Cash Assistance and Average Monthly Amount
by Number of Recipient Children
FY 2004

STATE	TOTAL FAMILIES	CASH ASSISTANCE	AVERAGE MONTHLY AMOUNT				
			ALL FAMILIES	1 CHILD	2 CHILDREN	3 CHILDREN	4 OR MORE
ALABAMA	215	99.0	$240.77	$205.18	$238.54	$266.03	$252.03
CALIFORNIA	44,151	99.5	581.37	540.20	558.45	599.51	676.64
CONNECTICUT	4,208	99.9	510.41	438.08	527.09	609.82	733.40
DELAWARE	126	100.0	327.18	262.24	302.28	370.73	443.39
DIST. OF COL.	312	99.9	363.97	295.20	365.28	442.83	574.25
FLORIDA	1,792	100.0	320.58	277.02	325.05	367.08	405.08
GEORGIA	525	100.0	297.37	252.04	291.87	334.25	366.49
HAWAII	3,384	100.0	500.76	424.90	472.48	550.82	678.85
ILLINOIS	627	99.2	252.03	276.23	324.09	358.40	386.59
INDIANA	3,150	85.3	262.69	235.35	258.95	268.37	311.24
IOWA	4,096	47.3	383.07	337.87	381.27	427.79	476.55
MAINE	1,378	99.7	485.86	321.66	462.47	588.78	792.02
MARYLAND	2,958	99.9	439.4	356.4	452.5	539.1	667.7
MASSACHUSETTS	115	66.2	2052.56	2132.30	2095.21	1942.92	1935.15
MINNESOTA	4,610	99.8	462.15	400.14	449.45	488.58	583.88
MISSOURI	6,762	100.0	272.07	227.11	281.08	323.98	378.95
NEBRASKA	1,423	100.0	448.62	374.57	424.50	485.40	601.98
NEVADA	627	99.5	372.76	330.82	366.49	409.25	479.15
NEW HAMPSHIRE	144	97.3	490.64	406.65	475.43	489.41	674.83
NEW JERSEY	1,881	100.0	431.33	381.11	420.70	461.38	529.85
NEW YORK	50,071	99.7	464.54	384.28	447.53	507.38	625.24
RHODE ISLAND	2,098	99.8	470.86	382.22	468.42	530.88	648.75
SOUTH CAROLINA	2,473	100.0	175.23	152.45	182.89	216.28	262.78
TENNESSEE	1,394	100.0	203.52	158.94	197.38	231.34	285.01
TEXAS	4,388	100.0	251.86	208.87	240.18	278.89	331.06
UTAH	40	100.0	469.96	415.62	441.33	476.79	599.01
VERMONT	423	97.6	654.57	619.70	659.55	721.23	789.86
VIRGINIA	26,386	99.7	266.08	216.48	301.33	376.04	468.27
WASHINGTON	1,588	100.0	111.02	112.48	109.98	94.75	126.56
WEST VIRGINIA	1,512	100.0	435.62	378.43	442.85	480.47	547.60
WISCONSIN	374	100.0	553.32	557.57	552.41	559.14	543.83
WYOMING	4	100.0	289.63	320.00	229.06	340.00	--

NOTE: '--'=DATA NOT REPORTED.

SOURCE: NATIONAL SSP-MOE DATAFILE AS OF 4/12/2005

Table 10:73

Separate State Program - Maintenance of Effort - Active Cases
SSP-MOE Families with Income by Type of Non-SSP Income
FY 2004

STATE	TOTAL FAMILIES	ALL NON-SSP INCOMES		EARNED INCOME		UNEARNED INCOME	
		PERCENT	MONTHLY AVERAGE	PERCENT	MONTHLY AVERAGE	PERCENT	MONTHLY AVERAGE
ALABAMA	215	36.1	$930.06	33.5	$957.89	3.6	$416.30
CALIFORNIA	44,151	44.5	--	43.2	$98.91	6.9	--
CONNECTICUT	4,208	55.3	$540.73	24.9	$1,003.22	37.7	$130.13
DELAWARE	126	36.7	--	36.5	$89.56	7.0	--
DIST. OF COL.	312	4.0	$409.13	1.6	$507.37	2.5	$328.13
FLORIDA	1,792	26.9	$734.02	18.7	$765.71	10.2	$529.98
GEORGIA	525	30.3	$653.00	23.1	$728.26	8.6	$339.14
HAWAII	3,384	57.9	$832.73	51.0	$836.12	9.8	$567.40
ILLINOIS	627	13.2	$807.52	13.1	$809.73	0.1	$376.43
INDIANA	3,150	52.9	$1,076.41	45.7	$1,115.43	11.5	$522.22
IOWA	4,096	27.8	$668.13	20.7	$732.14	8.4	$406.45
MAINE	1,378	34.3	$689.21	23.6	$872.00	12.8	$241.94
MARYLAND	2,958	8.1	$368.17	5.7	$59.47	3.2	$833.94
MASSACHUSETTS	115	84.6	$924.87	52.0	$981.72	41.3	$657.80
MINNESOTA	4,610	57.5	$973.00	53.7	$960.21	7.6	$586.51
MISSOURI	6,762	19.0	$726.02	14.5	$802.38	5.1	$411.14
NEBRASKA	1,423	28.7	$883.51	19.7	$991.95	10.5	$559.89
NEVADA	627	--	--	--	--	28.1	$724.61
NEW HAMPSHIRE	144	51.2	$1,014.52	40.8	$1,037.18	15.3	$631.46
NEW JERSEY	1,881	21.3	$644.12	17.4	$700.37	4.0	$364.97
NEW YORK	50,071	30.4	$619.60	26.8	$634.62	4.5	$398.29
RHODE ISLAND	2,098	20.4	$642.86	14.4	$715.33	6.6	$426.59
SOUTH CAROLINA	2,473	17.0	$385.50	5.3	$527.19	12.1	$310.92
TENNESSEE	1,394	26.8	$650.01	22.0	$655.37	5.9	$501.91
TEXAS	4,388	53.0	$920.62	50.0	$918.26	5.4	$535.00
UTAH	40	25.9	$533.64	22.4	$560.06	3.7	$345.44
VERMONT	423	9.5	$472.38	8.2	$481.14	1.7	$326.98
VIRGINIA	26,386	7.8	$458.02	5.0	$642.24	2.9	$125.96
WASHINGTON	1,588	67.7	$1,217.84	51.7	$1,280.58	24.5	$663.00
WEST VIRGINIA	1,512	31.9	$407.59	17.9	$496.61	16.1	$256.06
WISCONSIN	374	25.7	$676.85	9.9	$826.12	17.1	$539.39
WYOMING	4	44.2	$434.05	44.2	$434.05	0.0	$0.00

NOTE: '--'=DATA REPORTED BUT NOT RELIABLE.

SOURCE: NATIONAL SSP-MOE DATAFILE AS OF 4/12/2005

Table 10:74

Separate State Program - Maintenance of Effort - Closed Cases
Percent Distribution of SSP-MOE Closed Case Families by Reason for Closure
FY 2004

STATE	TOTAL FAMILIES	REASON FOR CLOSURE									
		EMPLOY-MENT	MARRIAGE	STATE TIME LIMIT	WORK-RELATED SANCTION	OTHER SANCTION*	STATE POLICY	FAILURE TO COOPERATE**	TRANSFER TO TANF	VOLUNTARY CLOSURE	OTHER
ALABAMA	463	44.1	0.0	0.2	15.8	0.9	7.1	16.8	0.0	0.0	15.1
CALIFORNIA	63,002	33.2	0.0	0.2	0.1	6.6	8.4	15.7	0.7	20.1	14.9
CONNECTICUT	5,469	9.3	0.0	11.3	0.3	1.1	10.3	6.6	39.0	4.3	17.8
DELAWARE	246	0.0	0.0	0.0	52.8	2.0	4.1	8.9	0.0	0.0	32.1
DIST. OF COL.	287	4.5	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.3	9.1	9.1	71.4	3.1	2.4
FLORIDA	9,033	36.5	0.0	0.3	36.8	0.6	4.4	7.0	0.0	8.7	5.7
GEORGIA	1,225	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.4	0.4	0.4	31.2	0.0	13.9	53.7
HAWAII	3,452	15.1	0.0	8.5	1.0	11.7	5.3	32.7	6.6	9.8	9.3
ILLINOIS	1,906	0.0	0.0	0.4	0.0	0.0	0.2	2.0	92.0	1.1	4.2
INDIANA	4,906	23.2	0.0	1.4	3.3	15.3	4.1	16.2	19.9	3.5	13.1
IOWA	3,670	51.3	0.0	0.9	0.0	0.1	4.0	0.6	0.0	12.5	30.6
MAINE	2,122	29.3	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.8	6.7	26.3	0.0	0.9	36.0
MARYLAND	2,259	4.5	0.0	0.0	10.4	0.5	42.6	21.4	0.0	0.0	20.6
MASSACHUSETTS	245	10.6	0.0	0.8	0.0	0.0	3.3	6.5	0.0	11.8	66.9
MINNESOTA	10,992	43.0	0.0	0.5	0.4	1.3	7.3	17.3	17.3	12.3	0.6
MISSOURI	5,170	27.8	0.6	3.9	0.0	0.0	17.5	12.0	6.2	28.6	3.5
NEBRASKA	1,970	31.6	0.0	0.6	7.9	0.0	2.5	5.2	0.0	2.1	50.1
NEVADA	1,476	42.1	0.0	2.8	5.4	2.7	4.4	18.2	0.0	8.5	15.7
NEW HAMPSHIRE	351	62.7	0.0	0.3	1.7	0.0	12.3	4.8	0.0	2.0	16.2
NEW JERSEY	3,486	28.7	0.0	0.0	10.3	0.0	12.7	13.2	20.3	6.6	8.4
NEW YORK	26,893	10.9	0.0	0.5	0.7	0.0	4.4	22.0	0.0	4.8	56.7
RHODE ISLAND	1,138	9.9	0.0	0.0	0.9	0.0	27.5	30.5	0.0	8.3	22.9
SOUTH CAROLINA	2,500	13.1	0.0	2.5	1.5	0.7	14.0	11.3	39.6	11.5	5.9
TENNESSEE	1,615	30.9	0.0	1.1	15.1	0.3	0.4	2.4	1.0	2.6	46.2
TEXAS	6,625	29.8	0.0	0.5	0.8	1.4	11.3	17.8	23.9	10.6	3.9
UTAH	178	23.6	0.6	3.9	16.9	0.0	2.2	16.3	0.0	8.4	28.1
VERMONT	548	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0
VIRGINIA	14,970	18.2	0.0	1.1	0.0	16.4	8.4	7.9	0.0	0.0	48.0
WASHINGTON	1,644	28.6	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	1.0	49.8	0.0	12.0	8.6
WEST VIRGINIA	1,009	0.0	0.0	2.1	16.2	4.9	37.3	2.8	16.2	0.7	19.7
WISCONSIN	448	34.2	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.4	0.7	1.6	0.0	0.0	63.2
WYOMING	4	25.0	0.0	0.0	25.0	0.0	0.0	25.0	0.0	25.0	0.0

NOTES: '*'= CHILD SUPPORT SANCTION, TEEN PARENT FAILING TO MEET REQUIREMENTS, OR FAILURE TO MEET AN INDIVIDUAL RESPONSIBILITY PLAN.
'**'= FAILURE TO COOPERATE WITH ELIGIBILITY REQUIREMENTS.

SOURCE: NATIONAL SSP-MOE DATAFILE AS OF 4/12/2005

Table 10:75

Average Monthly TANF Active Caseload, Sample Size, Sample Fraction and Percent by which Estimate of 50 Percent Might Vary from True Value at the Percent Confidence Level
FY 2004

State	Average Monthly Caseload	Annual Sample Size	Average Monthly Sample	Sampling Fraction Percent	Percent That 50% Values At 95% Confidence Level
Alabama	19,155	3,173	264	1.38	7
Alaska	4,926	3,300	275	5.58	6.2
Arizona	49,560	3,300	275	0.55	7.2
Arkansas	10,023	3,205	267	2.66	7.4
California	456,667	3,382	282	0.06	6.4
Colorado	14,624	3,738	312	2.13	6.5
Connecticut	20,720	3,179	265	1.28	6.3
Delaware	5,643	3,300	275	4.87	6
Dist. of Col.	17,174	3,542	295	1.72	7.2
Florida	57,457	3,295	275	0.48	5.9
Georgia	53,201	3,300	275	0.52	6.2
Guam					
Hawaii	8,865	3,300	275	3.1	6.3
Idaho	1,848	3,128	261	14.11	6.4
Illinois	35,659	3,084	257	0.72	6.6
Indiana	50,450	3,300	275	0.55	6.1
Iowa	18,286	3,300	275	1.5	6.2
Kansas	16,745	3,300	275	1.64	6.6
Kentucky	35,629	3,300	275	0.77	7.1
Louisiana	18,778	3,300	275	1.46	7.3
Maine	9,678	3,300	275	2.84	6.1
Maryland	25,430	3,144	262	1.03	6.3
Massachusetts	49,753	3,251	271	0.54	7.4
Michigan	79,432	4,298	358	0.45	7.9
Minnesota	34,340	4,087	341	0.99	8.4
Mississippi	18,793	3,833	319	1.7	6.1
Missouri	40,979	3,154	263	0.64	6.4
Montana	5,257	3,300	275	5.23	6.1
Nebraska	10,879	3,152	263	2.41	7.6
Nevada	8,837	3,257	271	3.07	5.9
New Hampshire	6,047	3,300	275	4.55	6.8
New Jersey	44,729	3,300	275	0.61	6.1
New Mexico	17,591	3,286	274	1.56	6.9
New York	147,034	3,254	271	0.18	7
North Carolina	37,651	3,918	327	0.87	6.2
North Dakota	3,059	3,300	275	8.99	5.8
Ohio	84,575	30,749	2,562	3.03	1.9
Oklahoma	14,195	3,300	275	1.94	6.9
Oregon	18,503	3,300	275	1.49	7.3
Pennsylvania	88,127	3,623	302	0.34	6.9
Puerto Rico	17,494	3,143	262	1.5	6.4
Rhode Island	12,292	3,300	275	2.24	7.3
South Carolina	15,632	3,008	251	1.6	7.1
South Dakota	2,745	3,151	263	9.57	6
Tennessee	72,070	3,300	275	0.38	6.2
Texas	106,329	3,128	261	0.25	6.7
Utah	9,041	3,300	275	3.04	6.2
Vermont	4,830	3,300	275	5.69	6.5
Virgin Islands	533	3,300	275	51.64	5
Virginia	9,425	3,300	275	2.92	6.5
Washington	55,858	3,300	275	0.49	6.4
West Virginia	14,588	4,260	355	2.43	5.6
Wisconsin	22,492	3,300	275	1.22	7
Wyoming	352	3,197	266	75.69	3

Note: 'a/'= Data not reported.

Table 10:76

Total Number of Annual TANF Closed Cases, Sample Size, Sample Fraction and Percent by which Estimate of 50 Percent Might Vary From True Value at the 95 Percent Confidence Level FY 2004

State	Total Number of Closed Cases	Annual Sample Size	Sampling Fraction Percent	Percent That 50% Values At 95% Confidence Level
Alabama	19,614	1,200	6.1	2.7
Alaska	5,582	1,200	21.5	2.5
Arizona	45,268	1,200	2.7	2.8
Arkansas	9,423	982	10.4	3.0
California	428,494	853	0.2	3.4
Colorado	30,322	1,200	4.0	2.8
Connecticut	15,846	932	5.9	3.1
Delaware	6,636	1,200	18.1	2.6
Dist. of Col.	5,526	825	14.9	3.1
Florida	113,149	887	0.8	3.3
Georgia	56,955	1,200	2.1	2.8
Guam				
Hawaii	7,287	1,200	16.5	2.6
Idaho	2,843	1,200	42.2	2.2
Illinois	25,348	863	3.4	3.3
Indiana	42,481	1,200	2.8	2.8
Iowa	20,035	1,200	6.0	2.7
Kansas	18,201	1,200	6.6	2.7
Kentucky	39,168	1,200	3.1	2.8
Louisiana	21,803	1,200	5.5	2.8
Maine	14,029	1,200	8.6	2.7
Maryland	31,837	838	2.6	3.3
Massachusetts	30,098	944	3.1	3.1
Michigan	73,536	982	1.3	3.1
Minnesota	43,209	1,129	2.6	2.9
Mississippi	17,270	956	5.5	3.1
Missouri	30,401	836	2.8	3.3
Montana	9,896	1,200	12.1	2.7
Nebraska	11,890	3,642	30.6	1.4
Nevada	11,209	871	7.8	3.2
New Hampshire	6,290	1,200	19.1	2.5
New Jersey	38,627	1,200	3.1	2.8
New Mexico	32,716	727	2.2	3.6
New York	112,977	920	0.8	3.2
North Carolina	41,064	1183	2.9	2.8
North Dakota	4,626	1,200	25.9	2.4
Ohio	89,193	1,653	1.9	2.4
Oklahoma	26,391	1,200	4.6	2.8
Oregon	24,924	1,200	4.8	2.8
Pennsylvania	59,371	1,200	2.0	2.8
Puerto Rico	10,301	712	6.9	3.5
Rhode Island	9,152	1,200	13.1	2.6
South Carolina	23,885	813	3.4	3.4
South Dakota	3,456	859	24.9	2.9
Tennessee	45,405	1,200	2.6	2.8
Texas	178,595	844	0.5	5.7
Utah	13,180	1,200	9.1	2.7
Vermont	6,327	1,200	19.0	2.5
Virgin Islands	355	355	100.0	0.0
Virginia	10,952	1,200	11.0	2.7
Washington	63,230	1,200	1.9	2.8
West Virginia	12,190	772	6.3	3.4
Wisconsin	19,913	1,200	6.0	2.7
Wyoming	475	475	100.0	0.0

Note: 'a/'=Data not reported.

Table 10:77

Approximate Standard Error of Estimated Percentages of TANF Recipient Families FY 2004

Estimated Percentage	Standard Error	Estimated Percentage	Standard Error
1	0.08	26	0.36
2	0.12	27	0.36
3	0.14	28	0.37
4	0.16	29	0.37
5	0.18	30	0.38
6	0.20	31	0.38
7	0.21	32	0.38
8	0.22	33	0.39
9	0.24	34	0.39
10	0.25	35	0.39
11	0.26	36	0.39
12	0.27	37	0.40
13	0.28	38	0.40
14	0.29	39	0.40
15	0.29	40	0.40
16	0.30	41	0.40
17	0.31	42	0.41
18	0.32	43	0.41
19	0.32	44	0.41
20	0.33	45	0.41
21	0.33	46	0.41
22	0.34	47	0.41
23	0.35	48	0.41
24	0.35	49	0.41
25	0.36	50	0.41

Notes: Based on a sample of 13,983 cases our of a total of 2,027,581 cases, or 0.68964674 percent of the caseload. This is the same sample percentage as California.

Table 10:78

Significant Differences for Percentage Values between the United States and States with Samples of 3,000
FY 2004

Estimated Percentage	Percentage Value at Which Differences become Significant		Estimated Percentage	Percentage Value at Which Differences become Significant	
	Upper	Lower		Upper	Lower
1	1.5	0.6	51	53.0	48.9
2	2.6	1.4	52	54.0	49.9
3	3.7	2.3	53	54.9	50.9
4	4.8	3.2	54	55.9	51.9
5	5.9	4.1	55	56.9	52.9
6	7.0	5.0	56	57.9	54.0
7	8.1	6.0	57	58.9	55.0
8	9.1	6.9	58	59.9	56.0
9	10.2	7.8	59	60.9	57.0
10	11.2	8.8	60	61.9	58.0
11	12.3	9.7	61	62.9	59.0
12	13.3	10.7	62	63.9	60.0
13	14.4	11.6	63	64.9	61.0
14	15.4	12.6	64	65.9	62.0
15	16.4	13.5	65	66.8	63.0
16	17.5	14.5	66	67.8	64.0
17	18.5	15.5	67	68.8	65.0
18	19.5	16.4	68	69.8	66.1
19	20.6	17.4	69	70.8	67.1
20	21.6	18.4	70	71.8	68.1
21	22.6	19.3	71	72.7	69.1
22	23.7	20.3	72	73.7	70.1
23	24.7	21.3	73	74.7	71.1
24	25.7	22.3	74	75.7	72.2
25	26.7	23.2	75	76.7	73.2
26	27.7	24.2	76	77.6	74.2
27	28.8	25.2	77	78.6	75.2
28	29.8	26.2	78	79.6	76.2
29	30.8	27.2	79	80.6	77.3
30	31.8	28.1	80	81.5	78.3
31	32.8	29.1	81	82.5	79.3
32	33.8	30.1	82	83.5	80.4
33	34.9	31.1	83	84.4	81.4
34	35.9	32.1	84	85.4	82.4
35	36.9	33.1	85	86.4	83.5
36	37.9	34.0	86	87.3	84.5
37	38.9	35.0	87	88.3	85.5
38	39.9	36.0	88	89.2	86.6
39	40.9	37.0	89	90.2	87.6
40	41.9	38.0	90	91.1	88.7
41	42.9	39.0	91	92.1	89.7
42	43.9	40.0	92	93.0	90.8
43	44.9	41.0	93	93.9	91.8
44	45.9	42.0	94	94.9	92.9
45	47.0	43.0	95	95.8	94.0
46	48.0	44.0	96	96.7	95.1
47	49.0	45.0	97	97.6	96.2
48	50.0	45.9	98	98.5	97.3
49	51.0	46.9	99	99.3	98.4
50	52.0	47.9			

Table 10:79

Significant Differences for Percentage Values between States with Samples of 3,000
FY 2004

Estimated Percentage	Percentage Value at Which Differences Become Significant		Estimated Percentage	Percentage Value at Which Differences Become Significant	
	Upper	Lower		Upper	Lower
1	1.6	0.5	51	53.5	48.4
2	2.8	1.3	52	54.5	49.4
3	3.9	2.1	53	55.5	50.4
4	5.1	3.0	54	56.5	51.4
5	6.2	3.9	55	57.5	52.4
6	7.3	4.8	56	58.5	53.4
7	8.3	5.7	57	59.5	54.4
8	9.4	6.6	58	60.5	55.4
9	10.5	7.5	59	61.5	56.4
10	11.6	8.4	60	62.5	57.4
11	12.6	9.4	61	63.5	58.4
12	13.7	10.3	62	64.4	59.4
13	14.7	11.2	63	65.4	60.4
14	15.8	12.2	64	66.4	61.5
15	16.9	13.1	65	67.4	62.5
16	17.9	14.1	66	68.4	63.5
17	18.9	15.0	67	69.4	64.5
18	20.0	16.0	68	70.3	65.5
19	21.0	17.0	69	71.3	66.5
20	22.1	17.9	70	72.3	67.6
21	23.1	18.9	71	73.3	68.6
22	24.1	19.8	72	74.2	69.6
23	25.2	20.8	73	75.2	70.6
24	26.2	21.8	74	76.2	71.7
25	27.2	22.7	75	77.2	72.7
26	28.2	23.7	76	78.1	73.7
27	29.3	24.7	77	79.1	74.7
28	30.3	25.7	78	80.1	75.8
29	31.3	26.6	79	81.0	76.8
30	32.3	27.6	80	82.0	77.8
31	33.4	28.6	81	82.9	78.9
32	34.4	29.6	82	83.9	79.9
33	35.4	30.5	83	84.9	81.0
34	36.4	31.5	84	85.8	82.0
35	37.4	32.5	85	86.8	83.0
36	38.4	33.5	86	87.7	84.1
37	39.5	34.5	87	88.7	85.2
38	40.5	35.5	88	89.6	86.2
39	41.5	36.4	89	90.5	87.3
40	42.5	37.4	90	91.5	88.3
41	43.5	38.4	91	92.4	89.4
42	44.5	39.4	92	93.3	90.5
43	45.5	40.4	93	94.2	91.6
44	46.5	41.4	94	95.1	92.6
45	47.5	42.4	95	96.0	93.7
46	48.5	43.4	96	96.9	94.8
47	49.5	44.4	97	97.8	96.0
48	50.5	45.4	98	98.6	97.1
49	51.5	46.4	99	99.4	98.3
50	52.5	47.4			

Table 10:1

Temporary Assistance for Needy Families - Active Cases
Percent Distribution of TANF Households by Number of Persons Living in the Household
FY 2005

STATE	TOTAL HOUSEHOLDS	NUMBER OF HOUSEHOLD MEMBERS							
		AVERAGE	1	2	3	4	5	6 - 10	OVER 10
U.S. TOTAL	1,914,036	2.9	9.8	38.0	25.8	15.0	6.9	4.5	0.0
ALABAMA	20,040	2.9	0.1	43.6	31.3	16.6	5.7	2.7	0.0
ALASKA	4,382	3.1	1.2	40.2	30.6	14.9	6.6	6.3	0.2
ARIZONA	43,738	2.8	17.4	32.2	24.5	15.0	6.7	4.3	0.0
ARKANSAS	8,642	2.2	26.3	44.3	16.9	8.4	3.1	1.1	0.0
CALIFORNIA	459,095	3.3	0.3	37.1	26.6	19.1	9.8	7.0	0.0
COLORADO	15,268	2.6	17.6	35.6	25.7	13.6	5.2	2.3	0.0
CONNECTICUT	19,830	2.6	4.4	57.1	24.0	9.4	3.7	1.4	0.0
DELAWARE	5,606	2.1	34.6	36.3	18.8	7.4	2.0	1.0	0.0
DIST. OF COL.	16,874	3.0	1.4	45.8	27.9	13.0	6.8	5.0	0.0
FLORIDA	59,852	1.8	53.2	24.1	13.4	6.3	2.0	1.0	0.0
GEORGIA	41,586	3.0	0.4	42.4	32.1	15.5	6.4	3.2	0.0
GUAM a/									
HAWAII	7,997	2.6	15.9	40.5	23.3	12.3	5.5	2.5	0.0
IDAHO	1,859	2.8	0.7	42.6	37.8	13.4	3.7	1.9	0.0
ILLINOIS	38,391	2.5	25.7	33.7	20.7	12.1	4.3	3.3	0.1
INDIANA	43,957	3.1	0.0	39.3	31.2	18.0	7.3	4.1	0.0
IOWA	17,707	2.9	0.4	46.7	31.0	12.9	5.6	3.4	0.0
KANSAS	17,616	2.6	18.5	34.5	24.4	13.8	5.7	3.1	0.0
KENTUCKY	34,701	2.1	32.2	37.0	19.3	8.0	2.5	1.0	0.0
LOUISIANA	16,103	2.3	31.6	31.9	18.7	10.2	4.9	2.7	0.0
MAINE	9,490	2.4	19.0	41.5	25.7	9.9	2.8	1.1	0.0
MARYLAND	23,097	2.3	28.8	35.5	19.8	9.8	3.7	2.3	0.0
MASSACHUSETTS	48,824	2.9	1.5	46.4	28.4	14.2	5.7	3.8	0.0
MICHIGAN	80,590	3.2	1.4	35.8	29.3	18.9	8.1	6.4	0.1
MINNESOTA	29,041	3.0	1.8	44.0	26.8	15.3	6.2	5.7	0.3
MISSISSIPPI	16,071	2.1	29.6	40.9	18.9	7.4	2.6	0.7	0.0
MISSOURI	40,062	3.0	0.3	44.3	29.9	16.2	5.6	3.7	0.1
MONTANA	4,614	2.6	23.5	31.2	22.4	13.6	6.0	3.0	0.1
NEBRASKA	10,030	2.6	12.9	43.5	25.2	10.1	5.5	2.9	0.0
NEVADA	6,788	2.4	27.2	35.5	20.0	10.3	5.1	1.8	0.0
NEW HAMPSHIRE	6,139	2.8	0.0	50.3	29.6	13.5	4.5	2.1	0.0
NEW JERSEY	43,071	3.0	0.4	43.3	29.8	16.5	6.6	3.5	0.0
NEW MEXICO	17,566	3.3	0.9	30.7	32.3	20.1	9.8	6.2	0.1
NEW YORK	141,522	2.9	7.2	39.6	29.5	13.9	6.4	3.3	0.0
NORTH CAROLINA	33,773	2.0	37.6	35.4	16.9	7.0	2.3	1.0	0.0
NORTH DAKOTA	2,850	2.9	2.8	44.1	27.6	14.8	6.5	4.1	0.1
OHIO	82,597	2.2	35.6	33.8	17.6	8.0	3.2	1.8	0.0
OKLAHOMA	11,781	2.3	28.6	36.8	20.0	9.9	3.1	1.5	0.0
OREGON	19,358	2.8	9.1	40.7	26.2	13.5	6.5	3.9	0.1
PENNSYLVANIA	96,635	3.0	5.3	37.7	26.4	17.4	7.6	5.6	0.1
PUERTO RICO	15,147	3.7	0.5	19.2	30.7	26.4	14.1	9.1	0.1
RHODE ISLAND	10,744	2.7	7.8	43.4	28.1	12.2	5.4	3.0	0.1
SOUTH CAROLINA	15,854	2.9	0.0	43.9	31.0	16.6	6.0	2.5	0.0
SOUTH DAKOTA	2,773	2.2	38.1	29.7	16.9	8.7	3.6	2.9	0.0
TENNESSEE	70,572	2.6	18.2	35.5	25.2	13.4	5.6	2.2	0.0
TEXAS	88,465	3.2	4.2	36.5	25.8	16.5	9.6	7.4	0.0
UTAH	9,030	2.9	1.5	46.3	29.3	14.1	5.5	3.2	0.0
VERMONT	4,580	2.9	1.3	44.7	30.8	14.6	6.1	2.4	0.0
VIRGIN ISLANDS	472	4.0	0.0	22.1	23.5	20.5	16.3	17.1	0.5
VIRGINIA	9,914	3.1	0.0	36.8	31.4	19.8	8.3	3.7	0.0
WASHINGTON	56,822	3.0	4.4	42.3	27.1	14.3	7.3	4.6	0.1
WEST VIRGINIA	12,003	2.3	26.6	38.5	22.7	8.6	2.6	1.1	0.0
WISCONSIN	20,208	3.0	0.0	47.2	26.6	15.1	5.7	5.3	0.0
WYOMING	310	1.8	47.3	34.9	11.6	4.8	1.1	0.3	0.0

NOTE: 'a/' = DATA NOT REPORTED.
SOURCE: NATIONAL TANF DATAFILE AS OF 3/04/2006

Table 10:2

Temporary Assistance for Needy Families - Active Cases
Percent Distribution of TANF Families by Number of Recipients
FY 2005

STATE	TOTAL FAMILIES	NUMBER OF FAMILY MEMBERS								
		AVERAGE	1	2	3	4	5	6 - 10	OVER 10	UNKNOWN
U.S. TOTAL	1,914,036	2.4	26.3	35.6	21.5	10.3	4.2	2.1	0.0	0.0
ALABAMA	20,040	2.4	23.4	38.8	21.8	10.9	3.6	1.4	0.0	0.1
ALASKA	4,382	2.8	16.6	36.3	24.1	12.0	5.4	5.4	0.1	0.0
ARIZONA	43,738	2.2	28.4	38.1	19.8	9.7	2.6	1.4	0.0	0.0
ARKANSAS	8,642	2.2	27.7	44.4	16.6	7.9	2.6	0.7	0.0	0.1
CALIFORNIA	459,095	2.4	24.9	35.3	22.2	10.6	5.1	2.0	0.0	0.0
COLORADO	15,268	2.6	17.6	35.6	25.7	13.6	5.2	2.3	0.0	0.0
CONNECTICUT	19,830	2.1	33.0	40.8	16.8	6.5	2.0	0.9	0.0	0.0
DELAWARE	5,606	2.2	32.2	34.7	19.6	9.4	2.7	1.5	0.0	0.0
DIST. OF COL.	16,874	2.5	22.6	36.9	21.9	10.5	4.8	3.3	0.0	0.0
FLORIDA	59,852	1.8	53.2	24.1	13.4	6.3	2.0	1.0	0.0	0.0
GEORGIA	41,586	2.2	34.3	34.2	18.7	8.0	3.5	1.4	0.0	0.0
GUAM a/										
HAWAII	7,997	2.6	17.1	41.0	22.5	11.9	5.1	2.4	0.0	0.0
IDAHO	1,859	1.8	52.4	29.0	11.9	4.9	1.2	0.6	0.0	0.0
ILLINOIS	38,391	2.5	25.6	33.7	21.0	12.2	4.2	3.2	0.1	0.0
INDIANA	43,957	2.5	18.8	39.7	25.9	10.6	3.8	1.2	0.0	0.0
IOWA	17,707	2.4	18.7	43.6	23.1	9.7	3.3	1.7	0.0	0.0
KANSAS	17,616	2.6	18.5	34.6	24.3	13.9	5.6	3.1	0.0	0.0
KENTUCKY	34,701	2.2	31.7	37.3	19.4	8.0	2.5	1.0	0.0	0.0
LOUISIANA	16,103	2.2	33.9	32.2	18.2	9.3	4.3	2.1	0.0	0.0
MAINE	9,490	2.4	19.0	41.5	25.7	9.9	2.8	1.1	0.0	0.0
MARYLAND	23,097	2.3	28.8	35.4	19.9	9.8	3.7	2.3	0.0	0.0
MASSACHUSETTS	48,824	2.1	29.5	43.5	16.6	6.7	2.3	1.3	0.0	0.0
MICHIGAN	80,590	2.6	23.2	32.2	22.7	12.6	5.2	4.0	0.1	0.0
MINNESOTA	29,041	2.5	18.6	42.2	21.9	9.9	4.5	2.8	0.1	0.0
MISSISSIPPI	16,071	2.1	29.7	40.7	18.9	7.4	2.6	0.7	0.0	0.1
MISSOURI	40,062	2.4	18.1	43.4	24.1	10.2	2.7	1.3	0.0	0.1
MONTANA	4,614	2.6	22.5	31.3	23.1	13.5	6.3	3.2	0.1	0.1
NEBRASKA	10,030	2.2	34.0	35.0	18.5	7.4	2.9	2.1	0.0	0.1
NEVADA	6,788	2.3	31.2	32.7	19.9	9.6	4.9	1.7	0.0	0.0
NEW HAMPSHIRE	6,139	2.3	22.4	42.2	22.7	8.6	3.0	1.1	0.0	0.0
NEW JERSEY	43,071	2.5	20.0	39.0	23.7	11.2	3.9	2.1	0.0	0.0
NEW MEXICO	17,566	2.6	19.0	35.5	25.4	12.6	5.1	2.3	0.0	0.0
NEW YORK	141,522	2.3	29.1	33.1	22.0	9.8	3.7	2.3	0.0	0.0
NORTH CAROLINA	33,773	2.0	37.6	35.4	16.9	7.0	2.3	1.0	0.0	0.0
NORTH DAKOTA	2,850	2.6	16.4	40.9	23.1	11.6	4.9	3.0	0.0	0.0
OHIO	82,597	2.2	35.0	34.0	17.8	8.1	3.2	1.8	0.0	0.0
OKLAHOMA	11,781	2.2	29.8	35.5	20.0	9.5	3.3	1.5	0.0	0.5
OREGON	19,358	2.3	30.6	34.2	19.2	10.2	3.4	2.3	0.1	0.0
PENNSYLVANIA	96,635	2.6	19.1	35.2	23.9	13.2	5.2	3.3	0.1	0.0
PUERTO RICO	15,147	2.8	11.6	36.7	27.1	16.5	5.3	2.8	0.0	0.0
RHODE ISLAND	10,744	2.5	19.1	39.3	24.2	10.4	4.3	2.6	0.1	0.0
SOUTH CAROLINA	15,854	2.2	30.9	35.0	20.2	9.5	3.2	1.2	0.1	0.0
SOUTH DAKOTA	2,773	2.2	38.1	29.7	16.9	8.6	3.6	2.9	0.1	0.0
TENNESSEE	70,572	2.6	18.2	35.5	25.2	13.4	5.6	2.2	0.0	0.0
TEXAS	88,465	2.3	28.1	35.1	20.8	9.6	4.3	2.0	0.0	0.0
UTAH	9,030	2.5	19.6	39.9	22.5	10.7	4.3	2.5	0.1	0.3
VERMONT	4,580	2.5	17.6	39.2	25.8	11.3	4.2	1.9	0.0	0.0
VIRGIN ISLANDS	472	2.9	11.6	32.7	27.2	16.0	8.2	4.3	0.0	0.0
VIRGINIA	9,914	2.9	0.9	43.9	31.3	16.3	6.1	1.6	0.0	0.0
WASHINGTON	56,822	2.4	27.4	33.6	21.4	10.3	4.5	2.7	0.0	0.0
WEST VIRGINIA	12,003	2.3	26.6	38.3	22.9	8.7	2.6	1.1	0.0	0.0
WISCONSIN	20,208	2.3	30.4	33.3	19.2	10.0	3.9	3.0	0.0	0.0
WYOMING	310	1.8	47.5	34.8	11.5	5.0	0.8	0.3	0.0	0.1

NOTE: 'a/' = DATA NOT REPORTED.
SOURCE: NATIONAL TANF DATAFILE AS OF 3/04/2006

Table 10:3

Temporary Assistance for Needy Families - Active Cases
Percent Distribution of TANF Families by Number of Adult Recipients
FY 2005

STATE	TOTAL FAMILIES	NUMBER OF ADULT RECIPIENTS		
		NONE	ONE	TWO OR MORE
U.S. TOTAL	1,914,036	45.5	52.1	2.5
ALABAMA	20,040	46.7	52.9	0.5
ALASKA	4,382	24.7	61.7	13.6
ARIZONA	43,738	44.4	53.4	2.2
ARKANSAS	8,642	52.1	46.2	1.7
CALIFORNIA	459,095	56.4	43.4	0.2
COLORADO	15,268	32.7	59.4	8.0
CONNECTICUT	19,830	41.2	58.5	0.3
DELAWARE	5,606	46.9	53.0	0.1
DIST. OF COL.	16,874	39.3	59.8	0.9
FLORIDA	59,852	65.5	34.4	0.1
GEORGIA	41,586	60.2	39.8	0.0
GUAM a/				
HAWAII	7,997	27.8	70.8	1.4
IDAHO	1,859	72.3	25.6	2.2
ILLINOIS	38,391	49.4	50.6	0.0
INDIANA	43,957	42.1	57.3	0.7
IOWA	17,707	30.0	67.3	2.7
KANSAS	17,616	27.9	62.5	9.6
KENTUCKY	34,701	48.0	48.5	3.5
LOUISIANA	16,103	64.3	35.0	0.7
MAINE	9,490	26.6	70.9	2.5
MARYLAND	23,097	42.0	57.9	0.1
MASSACHUSETTS	48,824	37.7	59.2	3.1
MICHIGAN	80,590	34.2	61.0	4.8
MINNESOTA	29,041	31.4	68.6	0.0
MISSISSIPPI	16,071	46.6	53.2	0.2
MISSOURI	40,062	28.6	71.3	0.0
MONTANA	4,614	30.7	54.3	15.0
NEBRASKA	10,030	59.7	39.3	1.0
NEVADA	6,788	54.7	45.1	0.2
NEW HAMPSHIRE	6,139	31.8	65.8	2.4
NEW JERSEY	43,071	33.5	66.5	0.0
NEW MEXICO	17,566	31.8	60.4	7.8
NEW YORK	141,522	41.9	50.8	7.3
NORTH CAROLINA	33,773	57.2	41.7	1.1
NORTH DAKOTA	2,850	24.6	75.0	0.4
OHIO	82,597	52.2	43.1	4.7
OKLAHOMA	11,781	54.2	45.3	0.5
OREGON	19,358	45.2	50.6	4.2
PENNSYLVANIA	96,635	29.5	64.4	6.1
PUERTO RICO	15,147	21.4	72.8	5.8
RHODE ISLAND	10,744	26.3	70.8	2.9
SOUTH CAROLINA	15,854	51.4	46.0	2.5
SOUTH DAKOTA	2,773	63.9	36.1	0.0
TENNESSEE	70,572	26.3	72.4	1.3
TEXAS	88,465	56.6	43.4	0.0
UTAH	9,030	33.8	63.2	3.0
VERMONT	4,580	22.8	65.8	11.4
VIRGIN ISLANDS	472	27.1	71.9	1.0
VIRGINIA	9,914	0.0	97.3	2.7
WASHINGTON	56,822	37.4	53.6	9.0
WEST VIRGINIA	12,003	44.3	51.8	4.0
WISCONSIN	20,208	55.4	43.4	1.2
WYOMING	310	79.8	19.2	0.9

NOTE: 'a/' = DATA NOT REPORTED.
SOURCE: NATIONAL TANF DATAFILE AS OF3/04/2006

Table 10:4

Temporary Assistance for Needy Families - Active Cases
Percent Distribution of TANF Families by Number of Recipient Children
FY 2005

STATE	TOTAL FAMILIES	NUMBER OF RECIPIENT CHILDREN						
		AVERAGE	ONE	TWO	THREE	FOUR	5 OR MORE	UNKNOWN
U.S. TOTAL	1,914,036	1.8	49.2	27.2	13.6	5.4	2.6	1.9
ALABAMA	20,040	1.8	47.4	31.2	14.3	5.1	1.9	0.1
ALASKA	4,382	1.9	50.1	27.3	11.9	5.2	4.3	1.3
ARIZONA	43,738	1.7	53.5	25.8	11.7	5.0	1.2	2.8
ARKANSAS	8,642	1.7	55.7	28.4	11.2	3.5	1.0	0.2
CALIFORNIA	459,095	2.0	44.2	27.4	16.8	7.3	3.7	0.7
COLORADO	15,268	1.9	44.4	30.6	15.9	5.4	2.0	1.8
CONNECTICUT	19,830	1.5	61.9	22.0	8.4	2.8	0.9	4.0
DELAWARE	5,606	1.7	54.7	26.7	12.4	3.3	1.7	1.3
DIST. OF COL.	16,874	1.9	47.2	27.6	12.4	6.6	4.0	2.2
FLORIDA	59,852	1.5	66.5	18.8	8.5	2.6	1.5	2.0
GEORGIA	41,586	1.8	51.2	29.0	12.7	4.6	2.2	0.4
GUAM a/								
HAWAII	7,997	1.8	49.9	26.7	13.7	5.6	2.7	1.5
IDAHO	1,859	1.5	66.6	21.9	7.9	2.2	0.5	0.8
ILLINOIS	38,391	2.0	45.1	29.6	14.9	6.3	4.1	0.0
INDIANA	43,957	1.9	45.3	31.1	14.8	5.4	2.4	1.1
IOWA	17,707	1.7	54.5	28.9	10.3	3.8	1.8	0.7
KANSAS	17,616	1.9	43.2	29.7	14.4	5.4	2.8	4.4
KENTUCKY	34,701	1.6	56.7	27.6	10.4	2.7	1.2	1.3
LOUISIANA	16,103	1.9	47.0	28.4	14.5	5.9	3.3	0.9
MAINE	9,490	1.7	51.5	30.8	11.2	2.9	1.0	2.5
MARYLAND	23,097	1.8	50.8	26.1	12.5	4.8	2.5	3.2
MASSACHUSETTS	48,824	1.6	61.8	20.8	9.0	2.2	1.6	4.5
MICHIGAN	80,590	2.0	44.3	28.5	14.3	5.8	3.7	3.3
MINNESOTA	29,041	1.9	49.8	25.7	12.5	5.3	3.8	2.8
MISSISSIPPI	16,071	1.6	57.5	26.7	10.1	3.5	1.1	1.1
MISSOURI	40,062	1.7	52.6	28.3	12.7	3.3	1.5	1.6
MONTANA	4,614	1.8	48.6	27.1	14.4	4.6	2.4	3.0
NEBRASKA	10,030	1.8	52.7	27.0	11.4	5.4	2.4	1.1
NEVADA	6,788	1.9	49.3	26.6	13.5	6.5	2.9	1.2
NEW HAMPSHIRE	6,139	1.6	56.7	27.9	10.0	3.1	1.0	1.2
NEW JERSEY	43,071	1.8	47.8	29.3	14.0	4.7	2.6	1.7
NEW MEXICO	17,566	1.8	45.9	30.6	14.3	4.9	2.2	2.2
NEW YORK	141,522	1.8	50.6	26.6	11.9	4.6	1.9	4.5
NORTH CAROLINA	33,773	1.6	58.9	26.0	9.9	3.2	1.3	0.7
NORTH DAKOTA	2,850	1.9	47.7	27.2	13.6	5.4	3.3	2.8
OHIO	82,597	1.7	54.9	26.8	10.3	3.8	1.8	2.4
OKLAHOMA	11,781	1.8	47.4	29.8	13.6	5.2	1.8	2.2
OREGON	19,358	1.7	55.0	25.3	11.5	4.5	2.3	1.4
PENNSYLVANIA	96,635	1.9	44.4	28.1	15.3	5.6	2.9	3.7
PUERTO RICO	15,147	1.9	45.0	29.4	17.7	5.3	2.6	0.0
RHODE ISLAND	10,744	1.8	49.0	28.2	12.1	4.6	2.6	3.5
SOUTH CAROLINA	15,854	1.7	53.7	27.5	13.3	4.0	1.3	0.2
SOUTH DAKOTA	2,773	1.8	51.8	26.6	12.7	4.9	3.8	0.3
TENNESSEE	70,572	1.9	46.0	28.9	14.4	6.3	2.4	2.1
TEXAS	88,465	1.9	47.6	27.1	14.5	6.7	3.3	0.6
UTAH	9,030	1.8	48.3	28.7	13.5	4.5	2.7	2.3
VERMONT	4,580	1.7	52.5	28.8	10.9	3.3	1.4	3.1
VIRGIN ISLANDS	472	2.2	37.1	30.3	17.0	9.9	5.5	0.2
VIRGINIA	9,914	1.9	44.6	31.3	16.2	5.6	1.4	0.9
WASHINGTON	56,822	1.8	49.9	26.3	11.7	5.1	2.0	5.0
WEST VIRGINIA	12,003	1.7	54.1	31.1	10.7	2.9	1.2	0.0
WISCONSIN	20,208	1.9	50.8	25.9	14.1	5.1	4.2	0.0
WYOMING	310	1.6	58.3	28.5	9.5	2.9	0.1	0.6

NOTE: 'a/' = DATA NOT REPORTED.
SOURCE: NATIONAL TANF DATAFILE AS OF 3/04/2006

Table 10:5

Temporary Assistance for Needy Families - Active Cases
Percent Distribution of TANF Families with No Adults in the Assistance Unit (AU)
by Number of Recipient Children
FY 2005

STATE	TOTAL FAMILIES	NO ADULT FAMILIES	PERCENT	NUMBER OF RECIPIENT CHILDREN w/NO ADULTS IN AU						
				AVERAGE	ONE	TWO	THREE	FOUR	5 OR MORE	UNKNOWN
U.S. TOTAL	1,914,036	870,320	45.5	1.8	54.0	25.8	12.9	4.8	2.6	0.0
ALABAMA	20,040	9,351	46.7	1.7	50.2	32.1	11.7	4.4	1.4	0.3
ALASKA	4,382	1,081	24.7	1.6	62.1	22.4	9.4	3.4	2.5	0.2
ARIZONA	43,738	19,413	44.4	1.7	57.8	24.2	11.5	5.8	0.7	0.0
ARKANSAS	8,642	4,501	52.1	1.7	53.1	32.8	9.9	3.1	0.9	0.2
CALIFORNIA	459,095	258,856	56.4	2.1	43.1	27.4	18.1	6.9	4.6	0.0
COLORADO	15,268	4,986	32.7	1.8	49.9	29.4	14.7	4.4	1.6	0.0
CONNECTICUT	19,830	8,174	41.2	1.4	70.5	19.9	6.7	2.2	0.7	0.0
DELAWARE	5,606	2,629	46.9	1.5	65.9	23.3	8.2	1.9	0.7	0.0
DIST. OF COL.	16,874	6,628	39.3	1.8	52.0	26.2	11.8	6.9	3.1	0.0
FLORIDA	59,852	39,182	65.5	1.4	78.3	13.4	5.2	1.8	1.2	0.0
GEORGIA	41,586	25,021	60.2	1.7	56.3	28.1	10.9	3.1	1.6	0.0
GUAM a/										
HAWAII	7,997	2,222	27.8	1.7	56.6	25.9	10.7	4.1	2.7	0.0
IDAHO	1,859	1,343	72.2	1.4	71.4	20.5	6.1	1.8	0.2	0.0
ILLINOIS	38,391	18,976	49.4	1.8	51.8	28.7	11.2	5.9	2.4	0.0
INDIANA	43,957	18,494	42.1	2.0	42.1	29.5	17.0	7.2	4.2	0.1
IOWA	17,707	5,311	30.0	1.6	60.1	27.0	7.9	3.7	1.3	0.0
KANSAS	17,616	4,914	27.9	1.7	52.8	30.2	11.3	4.0	1.7	0.0
KENTUCKY	34,701	16,639	47.9	1.5	63.4	25.5	8.2	2.0	0.9	0.0
LOUISIANA	16,103	10,359	64.3	1.8	51.2	28.6	12.8	4.5	2.9	0.0
MAINE	9,490	2,522	26.6	1.5	62.1	27.0	8.9	1.6	0.4	0.0
MARYLAND	23,097	9,696	42.0	1.6	61.2	24.5	9.6	3.3	1.4	0.0
MASSACHUSETTS	48,824	18,395	37.7	1.5	66.5	21.3	9.0	1.8	1.4	0.0
MICHIGAN	80,590	27,542	34.2	1.6	58.5	26.4	10.2	3.4	1.5	0.0
MINNESOTA	29,041	9,124	31.4	1.9	50.1	26.0	14.1	5.6	4.2	0.0
MISSISSIPPI	16,071	7,489	46.6	1.6	61.5	25.5	8.7	2.9	1.2	0.2
MISSOURI	40,062	11,466	28.6	1.6	58.3	26.1	11.5	2.9	0.8	0.4
MONTANA	4,614	1,417	30.7	1.5	64.3	23.0	8.8	2.3	1.5	0.2
NEBRASKA	10,030	5,992	59.7	1.7	55.3	26.1	11.7	4.9	1.9	0.1
NEVADA	6,788	3,712	54.7	1.8	54.9	24.6	12.2	5.2	3.1	0.0
NEW HAMPSHIRE	6,139	1,950	31.8	1.5	66.8	22.8	7.5	2.0	0.9	0.0
NEW JERSEY	43,071	14,413	33.5	1.7	54.7	28.3	11.7	3.5	1.7	0.1
NEW MEXICO	17,566	5,579	31.8	1.7	53.4	29.3	11.9	3.6	1.8	0.0
NEW YORK	141,522	59,336	41.9	1.6	60.7	23.7	10.6	3.8	1.3	0.0
NORTH CAROLINA	33,773	19,328	57.2	1.5	64.4	24.0	8.1	2.6	0.9	0.0
NORTH DAKOTA	2,850	702	24.6	1.7	55.3	27.9	11.3	3.2	2.3	0.0
OHIO	82,597	43,147	52.2	1.6	62.6	25.2	8.2	2.7	1.2	0.0
OKLAHOMA	11,781	6,389	54.2	1.7	51.9	30.0	11.8	4.2	1.2	0.8
OREGON	19,358	8,744	45.2	1.6	64.7	21.8	8.0	4.0	1.4	0.0
PENNSYLVANIA	96,635	28,493	29.5	1.7	53.2	28.0	13.3	4.1	1.5	0.0
PUERTO RICO	15,147	3,239	21.4	1.7	54.3	25.7	12.9	5.2	1.7	0.2
RHODE ISLAND	10,744	2,826	26.3	1.6	59.2	25.7	10.5	3.3	1.3	0.0
SOUTH CAROLINA	15,854	8,153	51.4	1.6	60.0	25.0	11.0	2.8	1.0	0.2
SOUTH DAKOTA	2,773	1,771	63.9	1.7	59.3	24.9	9.7	3.4	2.7	0.1
TENNESSEE	70,572	18,526	26.3	1.6	61.5	23.3	9.1	4.6	1.5	0.0
TEXAS	88,465	50,040	56.6	1.9	48.5	26.4	15.3	6.6	3.2	0.0
UTAH	9,030	3,054	33.8	1.7	52.3	29.5	11.5	3.4	2.3	1.0
VERMONT	4,580	1,046	22.8	1.5	63.9	25.4	7.9	2.2	0.4	0.2
VIRGIN ISLANDS	472	128	27.1	2.1	41.7	26.9	15.4	10.3	5.7	0.0
VIRGINIA	9,914	-	-							
WASHINGTON	56,822	21,262	37.4	1.6	59.9	24.4	10.8	3.8	1.1	0.0
WEST VIRGINIA	12,003	5,313	44.3	1.6	60.0	27.7	9.1	2.1	1.1	0.0
WISCONSIN	20,208	11,198	55.4	1.8	54.9	24.2	12.0	4.5	4.3	0.0
WYOMING	310	247	79.7	1.6	58.8	29.7	8.2	3.0	0.2	0.1

NOTE: 'a/' = DATA NOT REPORTED.
SOURCE: NATIONAL TANF DATAFILE AS OF 3/04/2006

Table 10:6

Temporary Assistance for Needy Families - Active Cases
Percent Distribution of TANF Families with One Adult by Number of Recipient Children
FY 2005

STATE	TOTAL FAMILIES	NUMBER OF RECIPIENT CHILDREN						
		AVERAGE	ONE	TWO	THREE	FOUR	5 OR MORE	UNKNOWN
U.S. TOTAL	996,629	1.9	45.7	28.4	14.1	5.7	2.6	3.4
ALABAMA	10,594	1.9	45.0	30.5	16.5	5.6	2.3	0.0
ALASKA	2,705	1.8	49.9	28.7	11.6	4.4	3.4	2.0
ARIZONA	23,343	1.7	51.1	26.6	11.8	3.8	1.6	5.1
ARKANSAS	3,990	1.6	59.2	23.3	12.3	3.9	1.1	0.1
CALIFORNIA	199,186	1.9	45.5	27.5	15.3	7.8	2.5	1.4
COLORADO	9,066	1.9	43.1	31.0	16.1	5.7	1.8	2.2
CONNECTICUT	11,593	1.6	55.8	23.6	9.5	3.2	1.1	6.8
DELAWARE	2,973	1.9	44.7	29.7	16.0	4.5	2.7	2.5
DIST. OF COL.	10,097	2.0	44.4	28.5	12.6	6.4	4.5	3.7
FLORIDA	20,595	1.8	44.5	29.0	14.6	4.1	2.1	5.7
GEORGIA	16,543	2.0	43.4	30.3	15.4	6.9	3.0	1.0
GUAM a/								
HAWAII	5,665	1.9	47.6	26.9	14.6	6.3	2.7	1.9
IDAHO	476	1.6	55.2	25.9	12.1	2.7	1.1	3.1
ILLINOIS	19,415	2.1	38.6	30.5	18.4	6.6	5.8	0.0
INDIANA	25,174	1.8	47.7	32.3	12.9	4.1	1.1	1.9
IOWA	11,912	1.7	52.7	29.4	11.1	3.9	2.0	1.0
KANSAS	11,004	2.0	40.8	29.0	15.4	5.8	3.0	6.1
KENTUCKY	16,844	1.7	51.6	29.2	12.0	3.2	1.3	2.7
LOUISIANA	5,632	2.1	39.5	27.9	17.6	8.3	4.1	2.6
MAINE	6,730	1.7	48.4	31.9	11.9	3.2	1.1	3.5
MARYLAND	13,381	1.9	43.4	27.3	14.6	5.9	3.4	5.4
MASSACHUSETTS	28,913	1.6	59.8	20.1	8.8	2.3	1.5	7.5
MICHIGAN	49,142	2.1	37.8	29.5	16.2	6.7	4.6	5.3
MINNESOTA	19,913	1.9	49.6	25.5	11.8	5.2	3.7	4.1
MISSISSIPPI	8,557	1.7	54.1	27.7	11.2	4.0	1.0	2.0
MISSOURI	28,581	1.8	50.4	29.2	13.2	3.5	1.8	2.0
MONTANA	2,506	1.9	44.3	28.7	15.3	4.8	1.8	5.2
NEBRASKA	3,943	1.8	49.4	28.7	11.1	5.2	3.2	2.5
NEVADA	3,060	2.0	42.7	29.1	14.9	8.1	2.6	2.6
NEW HAMPSHIRE	4,040	1.7	53.1	30.1	10.7	3.3	1.1	1.8
NEW JERSEY	28,657	1.9	44.3	29.7	15.1	5.3	3.0	2.5
NEW MEXICO	10,611	1.9	43.2	31.1	15.0	5.3	2.1	3.3
NEW YORK	71,919	1.8	44.3	29.5	12.5	4.6	1.9	7.1
NORTH CAROLINA	14,072	1.7	51.9	28.5	12.2	4.1	1.7	1.7
NORTH DAKOTA	2,137	1.9	45.4	27.0	14.3	6.0	3.5	3.8
OHIO	35,602	1.8	48.2	28.1	11.8	4.5	2.1	5.3
OKLAHOMA	5,335	1.9	42.3	29.7	15.6	6.2	2.4	3.7
OREGON	9,804	1.8	48.1	28.0	14.0	4.6	2.7	2.7
PENNSYLVANIA	62,211	2.0	41.5	28.0	15.9	5.9	3.3	5.3
PUERTO RICO	11,031	2.0	42.8	30.4	18.7	5.2	2.8	0.0
RHODE ISLAND	7,611	1.8	45.9	29.1	12.3	4.8	2.8	5.0
SOUTH CAROLINA	7,299	1.8	48.0	29.9	15.5	5.0	1.5	0.1
SOUTH DAKOTA	1,002	2.1	38.4	29.5	17.9	7.7	5.7	0.7
TENNESSEE	51,116	2.0	40.5	30.9	16.2	6.9	2.7	2.8
TEXAS	38,426	1.9	46.5	28.0	13.5	6.9	3.5	1.5
UTAH	5,705	1.8	47.3	28.3	13.9	4.8	2.6	3.0
VERMONT	3,013	1.7	50.6	29.5	10.9	3.3	1.2	4.5
VIRGIN ISLANDS	339	2.2	35.4	31.4	17.8	9.6	5.5	0.3
VIRGINIA	9,650	1.9	45.1	31.3	15.9	5.5	1.4	0.9
WASHINGTON	30,468	1.8	45.6	26.7	11.3	5.1	1.8	9.4
WEST VIRGINIA	6,216	1.7	50.2	33.4	11.9	3.5	1.0	0.0
WISCONSIN	8,771	2.0	45.8	27.9	16.6	5.8	3.9	0.0
WYOMING	60	1.6	57.9	24.3	13.1	1.8	0.0	2.8

NOTE: 'a/' = DATA NOT REPORTED.
SOURCE: NATIONAL TANF DATAFILE AS OF 3/04/2006

Table 10:7

Temporary Assistance for Needy Families - Active Cases
Percent Distribution of TANF Families with Two or More Adults by Number of Recipient Children
FY 2005

STATE	TOTAL FAMILIES	NUMBER OF RECIPIENT CHILDREN						
		AVERAGE	ONE	TWO	THREE	FOUR	5 OR MORE	UNKNOWN
U.S. TOTAL	47,063	2.2	33.6	29.6	17.4	8.9	5.6	4.9
ALABAMA	94	2.2	31.8	32.4	17.8	10.7	4.5	2.8
ALASKA	595	2.6	29.5	29.6	17.6	11.8	11.5	0.0
ARIZONA	982	2.3	23.7	37.8	13.7	17.3	2.2	5.2
ARKANSAS	150	2.1	37.6	31.9	20.5	7.6	2.2	0.2
CALIFORNIA	1,053	1.3	47.4	22.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	30.5
COLORADO	1,216	2.2	31.1	32.4	18.9	7.2	4.8	5.5
CONNECTICUT	63	1.4	71.7	15.9	12.4	0.0	0.0	0.0
DELAWARE	4	2	50	-	50	-	-	-
DIST. OF COL.	148	2.6	23.8	29.5	25.6	6.8	12.0	2.1
FLORIDA	75	3.0	0.0	25.7	48.7	25.7	0.0	0.0
GEORGIA	0							
GUAM a/								
HAWAII	109	2.0	31.6	30.0	27.3	0.4	2.6	8.1
IDAHO	40	2.1	41.8	23.0	18.9	10.4	4.8	1.1
ILLINOIS	0							
INDIANA	289	2.1	38.6	20.6	33.5	5.1	2.1	0.0
IOWA	484	2.0	36.0	39.4	16.2	5.5	1.6	1.3
KANSAS	1,698	2.2	31.2	33.0	17.2	7.0	4.8	6.9
KENTUCKY	1,217	2.0	37.5	34.8	18.8	5.7	2.7	0.5
LOUISIANA	112	2.3	29.8	34.3	20.4	7.5	6.4	1.6
MAINE	239	2.2	27.9	41.3	17.9	9.8	3.1	0.0
MARYLAND	20	2.5	44.0	0.0	16.4	39.6	0.0	0.0
MASSACHUSETTS	1,515	2.1	42.0	28.2	15.3	7.2	5.0	2.3
MICHIGAN	3,906	2.5	25.6	31.0	20.2	11.5	9.2	2.4
MINNESOTA	4	2	-	100	-	-	-	-
MISSISSIPPI	25	2.0	28.4	46.9	24.0	0.7	0.0	0.0
MISSOURI	15		0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0
MONTANA	691	2.3	31.9	29.8	22.3	8.6	6.2	1.2
NEBRASKA	96	2.8	28.1	14.0	14.0	42.1	1.7	0.0
NEVADA	16	1.8	45.8	27.1	27.1	0.0	0.0	0.0
NEW HAMPSHIRE	149	2.4	23.1	37.4	24.6	11.9	2.9	0.1
NEW JERSEY	0							
NEW MEXICO	1,376	2.1	36.1	31.1	18.9	7.3	4.7	1.9
NEW YORK	10,267	2.2	36.4	23.7	14.6	8.7	5.1	11.6
NORTH CAROLINA	373	2.2	34.1	35.4	17.4	6.7	5.5	0.9
NORTH DAKOTA	12	3.5	21.4	16.7	4.2	28.9	28.9	0.0
OHIO	3,848	2.3	30.8	33.6	19.1	9.1	6.3	1.1
OKLAHOMA	57	2.5	27.3	21.8	24.0	15.4	6.0	5.4
OREGON	810	2.4	32.7	31.2	20.3	8.0	7.2	0.6
PENNSYLVANIA	5,931	2.3	32.5	29.5	18.6	9.9	5.3	4.2
PUERTO RICO	876	2.1	37.2	29.6	22.8	7.9	2.5	0.0
RHODE ISLAND	308	2.5	29.3	28.5	22.5	10.7	8.4	0.6
SOUTH CAROLINA	402	2.3	29.8	33.7	18.6	11.5	5.7	0.6
SOUTH DAKOTA	0							
TENNESSEE	929	2.1	37.3	29.7	17.1	5.4	8.0	2.6
TEXAS	0							
UTAH	271	2.5	25.4	27.0	29.2	9.4	8.6	0.5
VERMONT	521	2.0	40.3	31.9	16.6	5.7	4.5	0.9
VIRGIN ISLANDS	5	2.0	40.1	40.4	0.0	19.6	0.0	0.0
VIRGINIA	264	2.2	29.4	31.4	28.1	8.3	2.8	0.0
WASHINGTON	5,092	2.3	33.6	31.5	17.2	10.1	7.3	0.3
WEST VIRGINIA	474	1.9	39.6	39.4	13.9	3.6	3.5	0.0
WISCONSIN	239	2.3	38.0	28.1	18.7	7.9	7.3	0.0
WYOMING	3	2.6	24.7	11.0	46.9	17.4	0.0	0.0

NOTE: 'a/' = DATA NOT REPORTED.
SOURCE: NATIONAL TANF DATAFILE AS OF 3/04/2006

Table 10:8

Temporary Assistance for Needy Families - Active Cases
Percent Distribution of TANF Families by Ethnicity/Race*
FY 2005

STATE	TOTAL FAMILIES	HISPANIC**	WHITE	AFRICAN-AMERICAN	NATIVE AMERICAN	ASIAN	HAWAIIAN	MULTI-RACIAL	UNKNOWN
U.S. TOTAL	1,914,036	25.5	32.1	37.1	1.4	2.1	0.4	0.6	0.7
ALABAMA	20,040	1.3	27.9	70.0	0.0	0.2	0.0	0.6	0.0
ALASKA	4,382	3.9	48.9	8.7	29.3	4.6	2.8	1.9	0.0
ARIZONA	43,738	50.4	30.1	10.6	8.1	0.2	0.4	0.3	0.0
ARKANSAS	8,642	1.6	35.6	61.6	0.3	0.2	0.3	0.1	0.5
CALIFORNIA	459,095	50.5	20.7	21.1	0.4	5.7	0.6	1.0	0.0
COLORADO	15,268	27.2	57.2	12.8	0.9	0.7	0.6	0.6	0.0
CONNECTICUT	19,830	36.2	28.4	34.6	0.1	0.6	0.0	0.0	0.0
DELAWARE	5,606	6.9	27.4	65.3	0.1	0.3	0.0	0.0	0.0
DIST. OF COL.	16,874	2.3	0.3	96.6	0.0	0.5	0.1	0.1	0.2
FLORIDA	59,852	18.3	29.8	51.6	0.0	0.1	0.2	0.0	0.0
GEORGIA	41,586	2.8	22.9	73.8	0.1	0.2	0.1	0.2	0.0
GUAM a/									
HAWAII	7,997	5.5	20.0	1.8	0.3	17.0	42.2	13.0	0.2
IDAHO	1,859	15.6	77.9	1.5	3.2	0.2	0.1	1.5	0.0
ILLINOIS	38,391	7.8	19.0	72.3	0.0	0.6	0.0	0.3	0.0
INDIANA	43,957	6.7	49.1	42.3	0.0	0.1	0.0	1.7	0.0
IOWA	17,707	5.4	64.5	14.1	0.9	0.5	0.0	0.5	14.0
KANSAS	17,616	11.1	56.9	27.2	1.5	1.3	0.1	0.6	1.3
KENTUCKY	34,701	1.4	74.8	23.4	0.0	0.2	0.0	0.0	0.2
LOUISIANA	16,103	1.8	15.6	82.0	0.1	0.2	0.1	0.2	0.0
MAINE	9,490	0.7	93.1	3.2	1.3	0.7	0.2	0.7	0.0
MARYLAND	23,097	1.4	17.8	80.2	0.2	0.4	0.0	0.0	0.0
MASSACHUSETTS	48,824	34.1	42.2	19.8	0.3	3.7	0.0	0.0	0.0
MICHIGAN	80,590	4.4	43.8	49.0	1.0	0.7	0.1	1.0	0.0
MINNESOTA	29,041	8.8	36.1	35.4	9.8	8.3	0.1	1.5	0.0
MISSISSIPPI	16,071	0.5	16.8	82.4	0.2	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.0
MISSOURI	40,062	2.6	53.4	43.0	0.5	0.2	0.1	0.2	0.0
MONTANA	4,614	3.0	43.4	0.9	52.0	0.2	0.1	0.5	0.0
NEBRASKA	10,030	19.9	36.8	21.4	6.2	0.3	0.0	0.2	15.1
NEVADA	6,788	23.7	35.8	35.7	2.1	1.6	0.3	0.7	0.0
NEW HAMPSHIRE	6,139	5.2	90.8	3.4	0.1	0.2	0.0	0.3	0.0
NEW JERSEY	43,071	27.7	15.0	56.5	0.1	0.4	0.0	0.3	0.0
NEW MEXICO	17,566	70.4	19.6	4.1	5.7	0.0	0.3	0.0	0.0
NEW YORK	141,522	34.4	20.8	42.3	0.5	0.9	0.2	0.9	0.0
NORTH CAROLINA	33,773	3.8	27.6	65.6	2.7	0.3	0.0	0.1	0.0
NORTH DAKOTA	2,850	2.6	35.3	1.7	56.5	0.5	0.0	3.3	0.0
OHIO	82,597	3.3	48.8	47.3	0.1	0.3	0.0	0.2	0.0
OKLAHOMA	11,781	8.1	44.4	34.6	12.5	0.0	0.0	0.4	0.0
OREGON	19,358	17.0	67.1	9.2	4.1	1.9	0.2	0.0	0.5
PENNSYLVANIA	96,635	13.1	34.6	48.4	0.2	1.7	0.0	0.0	2.0
PUERTO RICO	15,147	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
RHODE ISLAND	10,744	25.5	37.9	12.5	0.3	3.2	0.0	0.0	20.6
SOUTH CAROLINA	15,854	1.8	25.0	72.4	0.1	0.2	0.0	0.5	0.0
SOUTH DAKOTA	2,773	1.5	22.6	1.6	72.0	0.3	0.0	2.0	0.0
TENNESSEE	70,572	1.6	38.1	59.9	0.1	0.2	0.0	0.1	0.0
TEXAS	88,465	49.6	17.0	31.7	0.3	0.4	0.2	0.8	0.0
UTAH	9,030	19.2	70.3	4.0	4.1	1.8	0.2	0.4	0.0
VERMONT	4,580	0.5	96.5	2.3	0.2	0.4	0.0	0.0	0.0
VIRGIN ISLANDS	472	33.1	0.8	65.9	0.1	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.0
VIRGINIA	9,914	2.6	26.5	69.8	0.2	0.6	0.3	0.0	0.0
WASHINGTON	56,822	16.7	56.4	12.2	3.9	2.0	1.2	0.3	7.3
WEST VIRGINIA	12,003	0.5	88.5	10.7	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.3	0.0
WISCONSIN	20,208	7.5	25.3	56.9	2.1	2.6	0.0	1.9	3.7
WYOMING	310	13.1	71.4	6.7	8.7	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0

NOTES: '*' = ETHNICITY/RACE IS BASED ON HEAD OF FAMILY OR OLDEST CHILD IF CHILD ONLY CASE.
'**' = CAN BE OF ANY RACE.
'a/' = DATA NOT REPORTED.
SOURCE: NATIONAL TANF DATAFILE AS OF 3/04/2006

Table 10:9

Temporary Assistance for Needy Families - Active Cases
Percent Distribution of All Adults* Living in the Household by the Family Affiliation
FY 2005

STATE	TOTAL FAMILIES	TOTAL ADULTS	RECIPIENT ADULTS	NON-RECIPIENT ADULTS			
				PARENT	CARE-TAKER	MINOR SIBLING	OTHER PERSON**
U.S. TOTAL b/	1,914,036	2,041,700	53.5	29.6	15.3	0.0	1.6
ALABAMA	20,040	20,720	52.0	20.6	27.3	0.0	0.0
ALASKA	4,382	5,385	72.3	0.5	27.2	0.0	0.0
ARIZONA	43,738	33,326	75.9	3.3	0.3	0.0	20.5
ARKANSAS	8,642	8,856	48.4	21.4	30.0	0.0	0.2
CALIFORNIA	459,095	518,900	38.8	51.9	8.9	0.0	0.4
COLORADO	15,268	15,822	72.7	3.0	24.2	0.0	0.1
CONNECTICUT	19,830	20,151	58.2	14.1	25.8	0.0	1.9
DELAWARE	5,606	5,924	50.3	10.0	38.7	0.0	1.0
DIST. OF COL.	16,874	17,077	60.9	28.6	10.5	0.0	0.0
FLORIDA	59,852	64,512	32.2	19.7	47.8	0.0	0.3
GEORGIA	41,586	44,808	37.0	24.1	38.6	0.0	0.2
GUAM a/							
HAWAII	7,997	--	--	--	--	--	--
IDAHO	1,859	2,537	21.9	1.2	76.9	0.0	0.0
ILLINOIS	38,391	40,279	48.2	28.5	18.7	0.0	4.6
INDIANA	43,957	47,389	54.3	32.1	12.6	0.0	1.0
IOWA	17,707	19,199	67.1	15.3	17.3	0.0	0.4
KANSAS	17,616	19,824	72.6	15.2	11.8	0.0	0.3
KENTUCKY	34,701	29,249	65.9	31.5	0.0	0.0	2.6
LOUISIANA	16,103	16,066	36.4	24.4	38.9	0.0	0.3
MAINE	9,490	12,058	59.8	15.1	18.5	0.0	6.6
MARYLAND	23,097	--	--	--	--	--	--
MASSACHUSETTS	48,824	52,354	61.0	27.6	11.3	0.0	0.1
MICHIGAN	80,590	93,313	61.0	17.6	21.4	0.0	0.0
MINNESOTA	29,041	31,537	63.2	23.5	12.1	0.0	1.2
MISSISSIPPI	16,071	17,366	49.6	25.7	18.0	0.0	6.7
MISSOURI	40,062	43,595	65.6	15.6	8.6	0.0	10.2
MONTANA	4,614	5,573	69.8	0.0	27.5	0.0	2.7
NEBRASKA	10,030	8,547	48.4	32.6	17.8	0.0	1.1
NEVADA	6,788	7,014	44.1	24.0	31.5	0.0	0.3
NEW HAMPSHIRE	6,139	6,684	64.9	20.0	15.0	0.0	0.1
NEW JERSEY	43,071	45,353	63.2	18.5	18.3	0.0	0.0
NEW MEXICO	17,566	22,095	60.5	23.2	16.2	0.1	0.0
NEW YORK	141,522	152,165	61.4	27.3	11.2	0.0	0.1
NORTH CAROLINA	33,773	34,982	42.4	16.5	37.4	0.0	3.8
NORTH DAKOTA	2,850	2,966	72.8	10.7	14.9	0.0	1.6
OHIO	82,597	62,064	69.8	27.9	1.2	0.0	1.1
OKLAHOMA	11,781	--	--	--	--	--	--
OREGON	19,358	19,509	58.6	26.1	15.1	0.0	0.2
PENNSYLVANIA	96,635	103,006	72.1	20.2	7.6	0.2	0.0
PUERTO RICO	15,147	20,874	61.3	6.9	8.9	1.0	22.0
RHODE ISLAND	10,744	10,259	80.2	16.0	3.8	0.0	0.0
SOUTH CAROLINA	15,854	17,956	45.1	18.0	36.1	0.0	0.9
SOUTH DAKOTA	2,773	3,232	31.0	11.7	57.3	0.0	0.0
TENNESSEE	70,572	72,847	72.7	0.8	25.1	0.0	1.4
TEXAS	88,465	95,627	40.2	46.2	13.1	0.0	0.5
UTAH	9,030	9,444	66.2	12.1	21.7	0.0	0.0
VERMONT	4,580	5,314	76.3	14.8	8.9	0.0	0.0
VIRGIN ISLANDS	472	724	48.1	14.7	9.4	0.0	27.7
VIRGINIA	9,914	10,397	97.9	1.5	0.0	0.0	0.6
WASHINGTON	56,822	65,782	61.8	23.1	14.8	0.0	0.3
WEST VIRGINIA	12,003	12,415	57.7	0.4	14.5	0.0	27.3
WISCONSIN	20,208	20,604	44.9	30.9	24.1	0.0	0.1
WYOMING	310	--	--	--	--	--	--

NOTES: 'a/' = DATA NOT REPORTED.
'--' = DATA NOT AVAILABLE FOR NON-RECIPIENT ADULTS.
'b' = ESTIMATED BECAUSE SEVERAL STATES DID NOT REPORT NON-RECIPIENT ADULTS.
'*' = ADULTS OR MINOR CHILD HEAD OF HOUSEHOLD.
'**' = PERSON WHOSE INCOME IS CONSIDERED IN DETERMINING ELIGIBILITY.
SOURCE: NATIONAL TANF DATAFILE AS OF 3/04/2006

Table 10:10

Temporary Assistance for Needy Families - Active Cases
Percent Distribution of TANF Teen Recipients with Teen Parent Status
FY 2005

STATE	TOTAL FAMILIES	TOTAL TEEN RECIPIENTS	TEEN PARENT STATUS	
			YES	NO
U.S. TOTAL	1,914,036	891,542	9.3	90.7
ALABAMA	20,040	7,954	10.2	89.8
ALASKA	4,382	2,276	11.0	89.0
ARIZONA	43,738	18,107	10.2	89.8
ARKANSAS	8,642	3,853	17.5	82.5
CALIFORNIA	459,095	249,988	5.7	94.3
COLORADO	15,268	6,396	13.6	86.4
CONNECTICUT	19,830	8,105	17.2	82.8
DELAWARE	5,606	2,379	12.4	87.6
DIST. OF COL.	16,874	7,445	9.4	90.6
FLORIDA	59,852	22,638	6.1	93.9
GEORGIA	41,586	20,510	7.4	92.6
GUAM a/				
HAWAII	7,997	3,836	9.5	90.5
IDAHO	1,859	701	4.7	95.3
ILLINOIS	38,391	18,727	13.3	86.7
INDIANA	43,957	16,446	12.7	87.3
IOWA	17,707	6,243	12.9	87.1
KANSAS	17,616	6,724	18.3	81.7
KENTUCKY	34,701	13,900	12.0	88.0
LOUISIANA	16,103	8,031	9.1	90.9
MAINE	9,490	3,904	9.1	90.9
MARYLAND	23,097	10,489	11.5	88.5
MASSACHUSETTS	48,824	22,521	12.2	87.8
MICHIGAN	80,590	43,045	7.6	92.4
MINNESOTA	29,041	15,612	13.7	86.3
MISSISSIPPI	16,071	6,796	12.7	87.3
MISSOURI	40,062	16,407	15.7	84.3
MONTANA	4,614	1,962	12.6	87.4
NEBRASKA	10,030	4,234	5.9	94.1
NEVADA	6,788	2,730	11.1	88.9
NEW HAMPSHIRE	6,139	2,322	7.9	92.1
NEW JERSEY	43,071	19,671	8.1	91.9
NEW MEXICO	17,566	6,791	15.7	84.3
NEW YORK	141,522	61,045	4.2	95.8
NORTH CAROLINA	33,773	14,016	11.7	88.3
NORTH DAKOTA	2,850	1,106	0.0	100.0
OHIO	82,597	37,694	12.0	88.0
OKLAHOMA	11,781	5,642	7.8	92.2
OREGON	19,358	9,037	9.5	90.5
PENNSYLVANIA	96,635	44,726	12.5	87.5
PUERTO RICO	15,147	6,582	9.0	91.0
RHODE ISLAND	10,744	4,707	13.3	86.7
SOUTH CAROLINA	15,854	7,145	15.0	85.0
SOUTH DAKOTA	2,773	1,390	5.6	94.4
TENNESSEE	70,572	30,677	13.3	86.7
TEXAS	88,465	35,755	10.1	89.9
UTAH	9,030	3,725	10.4	89.6
VERMONT	4,580	1,663	15.0	85.0
VIRGIN ISLANDS	472	166	14.8	85.2
VIRGINIA	9,914	3,669	99.8	0.2
WASHINGTON	56,822	24,977	7.4	92.6
WEST VIRGINIA	12,003	5,081	9.5	90.5
WISCONSIN	20,208	11,872	6.3	93.7
WYOMING	310	128	4.8	95.2

NOTE: 'a/' = DATA NOT REPORTED.
SOURCE: NATIONAL TANF DATAFILE AS OF 3/04/2006

Table 10:11

Temporary Assistance for Needy Families - Active Cases
Percent Distribution of TANF Recipient Teen Parents by Relationship to Head of Household
FY 2005

STATE	TOTAL FAMILIES	TOTAL TEEN RECIPIENTS	TOTAL TEEN PARENTS	RELATIONSHIP TO HEAD OF HOUSEHOLD			
				HEAD OF HOUSEHOLD	CHILD	GRAND CHILD	OTHER
U.S. TOTAL	1,914,036	891,542	83,310	85.0	11.2	0.9	2.8
ALABAMA	20,040	7,954	813	95.1	3.4	1.5	0.0
ALASKA	4,382	2,276	250	87.7	1.0	0.0	11.3
ARIZONA	43,738	18,107	1,842	83.5	13.0	0.2	3.4
ARKANSAS	8,642	3,853	675	73.0	20.1	1.9	5.0
CALIFORNIA	459,095	249,988	14,214	84.3	11.7	2.9	1.1
COLORADO	15,268	6,396	867	92.0	2.7	0.0	5.4
CONNECTICUT	19,830	8,105	1,393	97.3	2.7	0.0	0.0
DELAWARE	5,606	2,379	294	74.8	11.6	6.4	7.0
DIST. OF COL.	16,874	7,445	700	94.5	3.9	1.4	0.2
FLORIDA	59,852	22,638	1,381	81.3	12.0	0.0	6.6
GEORGIA	41,586	20,510	1,526	93.6	6.4	0.0	0.0
GUAM a/							
HAWAII	7,997	3,836	364	92.8	1.6	1.5	4.0
IDAHO	1,859	701	33	93.0	0.0	0.0	7.0
ILLINOIS	38,391	18,727	2,486	89.1	10.9	0.0	0.0
INDIANA	43,957	16,446	2,089	94.7	5.3	0.0	0.0
IOWA	17,707	6,243	806	98.2	0.0	0.0	1.8
KANSAS	17,616	6,724	1,231	83.3	5.3	0.0	11.4
KENTUCKY	34,701	13,900	1,662	93.8	4.9	0.0	1.3
LOUISIANA	16,103	8,031	734	94.8	4.6	0.0	0.6
MAINE	9,490	3,904	355	84.0	12.2	0.9	2.8
MARYLAND	23,097	10,489	1,205	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
MASSACHUSETTS	48,824	22,521	2,758	94.7	2.8	0.0	2.4
MICHIGAN	80,590	43,045	3,291	97.5	0.0	0.0	2.5
MINNESOTA	29,041	15,612	2,140	99.8	0.0	0.0	0.2
MISSISSIPPI	16,071	6,796	861	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
MISSOURI	40,062	16,407	2,582	95.8	3.6	0.0	0.6
MONTANA	4,614	1,962	248	84.8	2.5	0.7	12.0
NEBRASKA	10,030	4,234	249	74.4	1.7	0.0	23.8
NEVADA	6,788	2,730	304	88.1	5.7	3.6	2.6
NEW HAMPSHIRE	6,139	2,322	183	91.6	7.0	0.0	1.5
NEW JERSEY	43,071	19,671	1,585	85.4	9.8	1.9	2.9
NEW MEXICO	17,566	6,791	1,067	90.1	0.3	0.0	9.5
NEW YORK	141,522	61,045	2,573	93.0	0.5	0.0	6.4
NORTH CAROLINA	33,773	14,016	1,644	92.9	0.0	0.8	6.2
NORTH DAKOTA	2,850	1,106	-				
OHIO	82,597	37,694	4,542	80.0	10.8	2.3	6.9
OKLAHOMA	11,781	5,642	438	99.8	0.1	0.0	0.2
OREGON	19,358	9,037	863	85.3	8.8	0.1	5.8
PENNSYLVANIA	96,635	44,726	5,609	78.8	14.3	0.8	6.2
PUERTO RICO	15,147	6,582	592	78.4	16.8	1.8	3.0
RHODE ISLAND	10,744	4,707	628	85.3	13.5	0.9	0.3
SOUTH CAROLINA	15,854	7,145	1,075	96.9	1.0	0.0	2.1
SOUTH DAKOTA	2,773	1,390	77	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
TENNESSEE	70,572	30,677	4,074	88.6	8.8	0.8	1.8
TEXAS	88,465	35,755	3,600	85.1	13.9	1.0	0.0
UTAH	9,030	3,725	388	99.8	0.0	0.0	0.2
VERMONT	4,580	1,663	249	88.6	0.0	0.0	11.4
VIRGIN ISLANDS	472	166	24	75.9	14.3	9.8	0.0
VIRGINIA	9,914	3,669	3,661	7.4	92.6	0.0	0.0
WASHINGTON	56,822	24,977	1,850	90.9	0.0	0.0	9.1
WEST VIRGINIA	12,003	5,081	481	78.8	13.6	0.6	7.0
WISCONSIN	20,208	11,872	748	98.2	0.0	0.0	1.8
WYOMING	310	128	6	53.5	16.5	30.0	0.0

NOTE: 'a/ = DATA NOT REPORTED.
SOURCE: NATIONAL TANF DATAFILE AS OF 3/04/2006

Table 10:12

Temporary Assistance for Needy Families - Active Cases
Reasons for Parents Living in the Household but Not in the Assistance Unit (AU)
FY 2005

STATE	FAMILIES w/NO ADULT IN AU	PERCENT FAMILIES w/PARENTS NOT IN AU	NUMBER OF FAMILIES w/PARENTS NOT IN AU	FAMILIES WITH PARENTS IN HOUSEHOLD BUT NOT IN ASSISTANCE UNIT				
						REASON NOT IN ASSISTANCE UNIT		
				PERCENT w/SANCTION*	NONRECIPIENT PARENT FAMILIES**	SSI BENEFIT	UNKNOWN CITIZENSHIP/ ALIENAGE STATUS	OTHER/ UNKNOWN
U.S. TOTAL	870,320	56.0	487,282	11.2	432,707	47.4	38.1	14.5
ALABAMA	9,351	41.4	3,867	3.3	3,740	94.7	0.0	5.3
ALASKA	1,081	1.5	17	0.0	17	0.0	67.4	32.6
ARIZONA	19,413	2.3	440	0.0	440	0.0	89.0	11.0
ARKANSAS	4,501	41.5	1,867	9.1	1,697	86.4	2.5	11.1
CALIFORNIA	258,856	82.4	213,305	19.6	171,497	40.8	59.2	0.0
COLORADO	4,986	8.7	435	-	435	1	95	4
CONNECTICUT	8,174	33.6	2,750	0.0	2,750	41.4	16.9	41.7
DELAWARE	2,629	22.3	587	2.9	570	0.0	8.3	91.7
DIST. OF COL.	6,628	73.2	4,850	66.0	1,649	48.5	11.8	39.7
FLORIDA	39,182	28.3	11,093	4.3	10,616	65.6	0.0	34.4
GEORGIA	25,021	40.8	10,216	0.0	10,216	58.5	14.9	26.6
GUAM a/								
HAWAII	2,222	3.4	77	0.0	77	0.0	7.3	92.7
IDAHO	1,343	1.7	23	0.0	23	0.0	0.0	100.0
ILLINOIS	18,976	60.4	11,463	0.0	11,463	48.0	4.9	47.1
INDIANA	18,494	77.2	14,285	0.2	14,256	31.9	5.8	62.3
IOWA	5,311	39.8	2,112	6.4	1,977	75.9	12.6	11.5
KANSAS	4,914	51.8	2,546	0.0	2,546	48.5	0.6	50.9
KENTUCKY	16,639	45.2	7,516	1.5	7,404	87.7	0.0	12.3
LOUISIANA	10,359	36.4	3,771	0.0	3,771	0.0	0.0	100.0
MAINE	2,522	50.0	1,260	4.0	1,210	87.8	0.0	12.2
MARYLAND	9,696	0.0	--					
MASSACHUSETTS	18,395	69.0	12,689	9.4	11,496	70.9	18.5	10.6
MICHIGAN	27,542	44.0	12,109	0.0	12,109	54.6	8.1	37.3
MINNESOTA	9,124	58.1	5,305	0.0	5,305	72.0	25.9	2.1
MISSISSIPPI	7,489	57.4	4,296	1.6	4,227	99.9	0.1	0.0
MISSOURI	11,466	48.5	5,558	0.1	5,553	47.3	0.0	52.7
MONTANA	1,417	0.0	--					
NEBRASKA	5,992	40.9	2,453	0.2	2,448	42.1	0.0	57.9
NEVADA	3,712	40.2	1,490	0.0	1,490	45.3	52.5	2.2
NEW HAMPSHIRE	1,950	50.0	976	5.7	920	79.3	0.0	20.7
NEW JERSEY	14,413	56.8	8,186	22.6	6,336	54.7	0.0	45.3
NEW MEXICO	5,579	72.8	4,060	0.8	4,027	37.0	62.4	0.6
NEW YORK	59,336	61.6	36,537	1.3	36,062	47.0	40.9	12.1
NORTH CAROLINA	19,328	27.5	5,322	3.6	5,131	61.3	32.1	6.6
NORTH DAKOTA	702	37.3	262	27.9	189	13.7	0.0	86.3
OHIO	43,147	35.4	15,269	0.0	15,269	74.0	0.0	26.0
OKLAHOMA	6,389	0.0	--					
OREGON	8,744	47.7	4,175	5.7	3,937	43.1	43.1	13.8
PENNSYLVANIA	28,493	57.1	16,256	16.5	13,573	0.4	0.0	99.6
PUERTO RICO	3,239	41.2	1,335	97.2	37	0.0	0.0	100.0
RHODE ISLAND	2,826	53.1	1,499	0.1	1,498	49.4	0.0	50.6
SOUTH CAROLINA	8,153	36.5	2,979	4.0	2,860	82.5	6.7	10.8
SOUTH DAKOTA	1,771	18.3	323	1.2	320	95.2	0.0	4.8
TENNESSEE	18,526	3.1	574	0.0	574	0.0	0.0	100.0
TEXAS	50,040	67.7	33,868	0.0	33,868	17.8	61.2	21.0
UTAH	3,054	33.1	1,011	0.0	1,011	50.1	46.5	3.4
VERMONT	1,046	55.3	579	1.7	569	100.0	0.0	0.0
VIRGIN ISLANDS	128	62.6	80	72.3	22	0.0	45.5	54.5
VIRGINIA	-							
WASHINGTON	21,262	53.5	11,372	1.3	11,224	35.8	55.6	8.6
WEST VIRGINIA	5,313	0.2	12	0.0	12	0.0	0.0	100.0
WISCONSIN	11,198	55.6	6,227	0.0	6,227	96.1	0.0	3.9
WYOMING	247	0.0	--					

NOTES: 'a/' = DATA NOT REPORTED.
'*' = SANCTIONED PARENTS COULD ALSO RECEIVE SSI BENEFITS OR BE IN UNKNOWN CITIZENSHIP/ALIENAGE STATUS.
'**' = EXCLUDES CASES WHERE THE PARENT HAS BEEN SANCTIONED
SOURCE: NATIONAL TANF DATAFILE AS OF 3/04/2006

Table 10:13

Temporary Assistance for Needy Families - Active Cases
Percent Distribution of TANF Families Receiving Other Assistance
FY 2005

STATE	TOTAL FAMILIES	TYPE OF ASSISTANCE						
		MEDICAL ASSISTANCE	FOOD STAMPS		SUBSIDIZED HOUSING		SUBSIDIZED CHILD CARE	
			PERCENT	MONTHLY AVERAGE	PUBLIC HOUSING	RENT SUBSIDY	FEDERAL	STATE/ LOCAL
U.S. TOTAL	1,914,036	98.5	81.5	$272.75	5.8	12.6	7.9	0.7
ALABAMA	20,040	--	71.6	302.15	10.7	6.3	0.3	0.0
ALASKA	4,382	97.8	78.7	309.59	2.0	7.6	12.1	0.0
ARIZONA	43,738	96.1	81.5	261.52	0.0	0.0	9.6	0.0
ARKANSAS	8,642	95.4	81.7	310.04	7.0	5.9	0.6	0.2
CALIFORNIA	459,095	100.0	85.0	254.22	2.5	15.3	2.2	0.1
COLORADO	15,268	66.3	80.4	935.03	--	--	14.9	0.0
CONNECTICUT	19,830	94.4	72.7	197.85	1.3	32.9	--	--
DELAWARE	5,606	100.0	72.9	237.63	14.5	0.0	19.2	11.7
DIST. OF COL.	16,874	99.9	79.2	263.80	17.3	7.9	8.9	0.1
FLORIDA	59,852	100.0	64.6	266.48	4.5	12.5	11.2	0.0
GEORGIA	41,586	96.2	67.5	238.00	5.8	5.3	--	--
GUAM a/								
HAWAII	7,997	100.0	76.7	398.87	3.1	12.1	9.0	0.5
IDAHO	1,859	97.8	48.2	237.13	0.0	2.0	7.7	0.0
ILLINOIS	38,391	100.0	69.9	281.84	--	--	0.0	10.5
INDIANA	43,957	99.4	90.7	289.65	7.6	11.4	9.5	0.0
IOWA	17,707	69.8	75.8	276.14	0.4	1.3	18.7	0.0
KANSAS	17,616	100.0	83.1	310.63	5.4	12.2	9.8	0.0
KENTUCKY	34,701	99.8	75.7	230.66	1.3	3.3	9.2	0.0
LOUISIANA	16,103	100.0	86.5	278.64	10.5	7.5	13.1	0.0
MAINE	9,490	99.8	92.5	236.23	8.5	2.6	10.9	0.0
MARYLAND	23,097	100.0	70.5	271.38	9.4	16.5	--	--
MASSACHUSETTS	48,824	100.0	83.8	218.15	29.3	19.4	4.4	2.3
MICHIGAN	80,590	98.8	79.0	282.72	1.7	14.8	16.7	0.0
MINNESOTA	29,041	96.5	99.5	309.29	0.0	30.5	9.8	0.0
MISSISSIPPI	16,071	100.0	84.9	216.69	0.4	5.8	0.0	0.0
MISSOURI	40,062	99.3	81.5	274.70	8.5	7.5	13.9	0.0
MONTANA	4,614	99.4	83.1	300.67	4.5	22.1	0.0	0.0
NEBRASKA	10,030	100.0	78.5	252.75	1.8	0.7	14.4	0.0
NEVADA	6,788	100.0	58.8	294.59	0.0	22.0	5.7	0.0
NEW HAMPSHIRE	6,139	100.0	80.4	266.43	3.9	21.7	12.0	0.0
NEW JERSEY	43,071	98.2	83.9	282.68	6.0	17.5	10.4	0.0
NEW MEXICO	17,566	97.6	92.9	297.24	15.2	0.0	6.7	0.0
NEW YORK	141,522	100.0	83.7	273.84	9.3	14.8	9.9	0.0
NORTH CAROLINA	33,773	100.0	66.9	233.49	13.6	9.5	8.0	1.9
NORTH DAKOTA	2,850	98.1	83.1	317.58	13.3	64.1	24.1	0.0
OHIO	82,597	99.8	73.7	234.13	5.5	6.0	6.1	0.0
OKLAHOMA	11,781	100.0	b/	b/	0.0	20.9	4.1	0.0
OREGON	19,358	96.3	70.3	300.31	5.9	14.0	8.1	0.0
PENNSYLVANIA	96,635	100.0	80.4	323.01	8.1	12.3	15.2	0.0
PUERTO RICO	15,147	99.6	97.5	266.92	24.6	11.1	0.4	0.0
RHODE ISLAND	10,744	100.0	90.1	232.40	10.0	18.8	1.4	8.7
SOUTH CAROLINA	15,854	100.0	81.6	247.50	8.8	11.0	13.3	0.0
SOUTH DAKOTA	2,773	100.0	67.3	246.40	33.9	0.0	5.0	0.0
TENNESSEE	70,572	100.0	88.4	300.58	0.7	1.9	0.0	7.3
TEXAS	88,465	100.0	89.2	267.23	7.7	13.7	11.0	0.0
UTAH	9,030	100.0	76.8	306.99	--	--	13.7	0.0
VERMONT	4,580	100.0	85.8	274.48	6.1	20.0	19.4	1.5
VIRGIN ISLANDS	472	77.9	88.5	441.25	43.4	19.8	1.5	0.5
VIRGINIA	9,914	51.0	95.4	272.73	--	--	27.3	0.0
WASHINGTON	56,822	99.4	83.6	269.14	0.0	19.6	15.8	0.0
WEST VIRGINIA	12,003	98.9	82.7	285.59	6.9	12.3	8.9	0.0
WISCONSIN	20,208	90.6	70.2	232.83	1.7	4.2	15.2	0.0
WYOMING	310	93.3	53.6	280.44	0.0	4.7	0.0	0.0

NOTES: 'a/' = DATA NOT REPORTED.
'b/' = DATA REPORTED BUT NOT RELIABLE.
'--' = DATA NOT AVAILABLE.
SOURCE: NATIONAL TANF DATAFILE AS OF 3/04/2006

Table 10:14

Temporary Assistance for Needy Families - Active Cases
Percent Distribution of TANF Families with Receipt of Child Support and with Cash Resources
FY 2005

STATE	TOTAL FAMILIES	CHILD SUPPORT		CASH RESOURCES	
		PERCENT	MONTHLY AVERAGE	PERCENT	MONTHLY AVERAGE
U.S. TOTAL	1,914,036	9.6	$183.67	12.1	$215.43
ALABAMA	20,040	4.4	110.57	6.0	79.52
ALASKA	4,382	16.5	52.40	51.9	218.16
ARIZONA	43,738	9.7	283.67	16.6	112.64
ARKANSAS	8,642	0.5	149.74	0.2	117.38
CALIFORNIA	459,095	6.5	104.87	9.9	290.79
COLORADO	15,268	13.5	142.74	8.5	214.46
CONNECTICUT	19,830	16.6	263.20	22.1	274.69
DELAWARE	5,606	18.4	184.53	8.0	126.24
DIST. OF COL.	16,874	3.6	288.96	4.5	276.85
FLORIDA	59,852	23.1	235.57	7.4	180.76
GEORGIA	41,586	18.1	215.88	21.6	124.94
GUAM a/					
HAWAII	7,997	--	--	--	--
IDAHO	1,859	25.1	234.13	13.6	179.27
ILLINOIS	38,391	--	--	--	--
INDIANA	43,957	5.7	204.22	26.5	177.25
IOWA	17,707	23.2	171.77	2.5	173.45
KANSAS	17,616	12.8	155.36	--	--
KENTUCKY	34,701	16.1	219.84	6.6	172.47
LOUISIANA	16,103	11.5	200.19	5.1	118.34
MAINE	9,490	1.9	147.37	18.3	134.64
MARYLAND	23,097	12.8	218.57	13.7	140.37
MASSACHUSETTS	48,824	12.3	290.99	21.9	230.69
MICHIGAN	80,590	10.8	177.31	--	--
MINNESOTA	29,041	15.3	292.95	22.4	335.29
MISSISSIPPI	16,071	1.8	151.48	2.0	154.66
MISSOURI	40,062	2.9	248.63	9.4	127.54
MONTANA	4,614	14.5	165.31	16.5	151.52
NEBRASKA	10,030	13.8	214.44	27.0	295.99
NEVADA	6,788	5.6	204.01	21.9	259.95
NEW HAMPSHIRE	6,139	23.3	267.43	20.7	184.33
NEW JERSEY	43,071	14.5	163.41	0.5	279.29
NEW MEXICO	17,566	3.6	166.49	15.9	161.49
NEW YORK	141,522	4.6	225.32	3.6	343.26
NORTH CAROLINA	33,773	4.7	148.42	4.5	191.91
NORTH DAKOTA	2,850	3.6	256.19	27.7	206.12
OHIO	82,597	--	--	--	--
OKLAHOMA	11,781	5.7	226.39	3.6	275.98
OREGON	19,358	8.7	174.8	5.0	158.1
PENNSYLVANIA	96,635	4.7	103.48	16.1	156.74
PUERTO RICO	15,147	0.1	315.61	0.0	0.0
RHODE ISLAND	10,744	17.1	328.62	28.7	177.10
SOUTH CAROLINA	15,854	18.2	196.29	10.1	95.36
SOUTH DAKOTA	2,773	18.7	236.57	8.5	198.29
TENNESSEE	70,572	12.3	280.06	17.7	146.39
TEXAS	88,465	8.7	231.68	20.1	81.11
UTAH	9,030	13.4	190.97	2.5	195.32
VERMONT	4,580	19.9	47.86	30.5	141.29
VIRGIN ISLANDS	472	11.7	138.58	33.9	193.82
VIRGINIA	9,914	23.2	173.29	--	--
WASHINGTON	56,822	20.9	213.90	18.7	231.38
WEST VIRGINIA	12,003	3.3	209.99	31.9	180.17
WISCONSIN	20,208	10.2	243.90	6.7	141.47
WYOMING	310	3.3	153.42	13.5	236.32

NOTES: 'a/' = DATA NOT REPORTED.
'--' = DATA NOT AVAILABLE OR REPORTED IN ERROR.
SOURCE: NATIONAL TANF DATAFILE AS OF 3/04/2006

Table 10:15

Temporary Assistance for Needy Families - Active Cases
Percent Distribution of TANF Families by General Reason for Grant Reduction
FY 2005

STATE	TOTAL FAMILIES	SANCTION		RECOUPMENT*		OTHER**	
		PERCENT	MONTHLY AVERAGE	PERCENT	MONTHLY AVERAGE	PERCENT	MONTHLY AVERAGE
U.S. TOTAL	1,914,036	5.8	$136.11	7.4	$35.21	8.6	$138.70
ALABAMA	20,040	6.5	60.64	1.5	28.24	0.0	0.00
ALASKA	4,382	7.7	503.05	2.4	80.87	1.9	337.75
ARIZONA	43,738	1.4	106.19	0.5	10.44	22.0	100.71
ARKANSAS	8,642	13.7	51.93	0.4	31.54	6.5	52.24
CALIFORNIA	459,095	10.7	164.45	13.9	27.74	15.4	190.49
COLORADO	15,268	0.7	117.68	6.9	21.66	0.0	0.00
CONNECTICUT	19,830	0.2	107.82	2.3	34.64	4.8	57.67
DELAWARE	5,606	2.5	119.93	2.2	28.01	10.7	100.52
DIST. OF COL.	16,874	20.2	73.42	6.1	52.85	0.0	0.00
FLORIDA	59,852	1.8	150.75	8.0	13.00	3.3	39.61
GEORGIA	41,586	1.1	84.78	3.8	16.89	4.1	47.07
GUAM a/							
HAWAII	7,997	0.0	0.00	6.6	56.21	38.4	136.99
IDAHO	1,859	0.2	56.97	0.0	0.00	0.0	0.00
ILLINOIS	38,391	1.5	172.88	5.1	28.85	5.4	99.10
INDIANA	43,957	3.8	91.75	1.2	22.47	38.8	11.98
IOWA	17,707	5.8	105.75	1.8	20.34	0.0	0.00
KANSAS	17,616	0.0	0.00	3.2	41.26	0.0	0.00
KENTUCKY	34,701	5.1	94.08	0.9	23.78	0.0	0.00
LOUISIANA	16,103	0.0	0.00	1.3	20.69	2.1	122.70
MAINE	9,490	7.8	239.25	2.5	47.82	0.1	0.00
MARYLAND	23,097	10.2	34.11	3.0	42.14	26.0	60.43
MASSACHUSETTS	48,824	2.6	104.12	2.6	39.89	21.2	138.43
MICHIGAN	80,590	0.2	542.31	2.6	36.36	0.0	0.00
MINNESOTA	29,041	5.2	188.77	15.3	32.10	4.8	97.27
MISSISSIPPI	16,071	1.4	23.54	1.6	21.70	15.6	29.38
MISSOURI	40,062	8.7	60.72	4.4	24.87	0.0	0.00
MONTANA	4,614	2.8	35.81	0.0	0.00	3.1	44.18
NEBRASKA	10,030	1.4	76.59	1.5	28.26	1.7	60.37
NEVADA	6,788	0.1	0.00	1.2	46.30	0.0	0.00
NEW HAMPSHIRE	6,139	65.7	13.69	3.8	40.43	0.0	0.00
NEW JERSEY	43,071	4.3	139.09	9.2	34.49	13.2	86.12
NEW MEXICO	17,566	7.9	126.50	1.5	52.48	1.6	56.70
NEW YORK	141,522	8.2	139.14	22.3	45.23	0.0	0.00
NORTH CAROLINA	33,773	1.8	114.86	0.9	23.80	0.0	0.00
NORTH DAKOTA	2,850	2.6	184.45	12.8	37.20	15.2	67.70
OHIO	82,597	0.0	277.95	2.3	38.09	3.6	219.36
OKLAHOMA	11,781	3.7	0.00	3.2	19.99	0.0	0.00
OREGON	19,358	3.0	107.52	2.3	56.83	0.0	0.00
PENNSYLVANIA	96,635	3.7	80.68	2.8	25.70	0.0	0.00
PUERTO RICO	15,147	9.8	48.07	0.0	112.00	0.0	55.00
RHODE ISLAND	10,744	7.2	99.36	5.1	40.39	0.0	0.00
SOUTH CAROLINA	15,854	2.4	45.74	1.9	17.06	4.7	1105.96
SOUTH DAKOTA	2,773	1.0	142.86	1.9	31.41	2.7	10.00
TENNESSEE	70,572	0.0	0.00	4.2	17.99	4.0	58.61
TEXAS	88,465	0.0	0.00	3.7	158.13	8.4	83.93
UTAH	9,030	3.7	100.00	2.3	43.89	0.0	0.00
VERMONT	4,580	9.7	105.83	3.4	55.65	0.0	0.00
VIRGIN ISLANDS	472	29.5	44.80	0.4	16.13	0.0	0.00
VIRGINIA	9,914	3.8	74.12	2.1	29.04	10.4	77.53
WASHINGTON	56,822	13.4	182.82	3.2	31.98	19.8	183.01
WEST VIRGINIA	12,003	4.2	134.03	3.2	28.85	17.9	75.00
WISCONSIN	20,208	9.9	175.50	0.4	66.04	0.0	0.00
WYOMING	310	5.1	250.13	2.7	19.07	0.0	0.00

NOTES: 'a/' = DATA NOT REPORTED.
'*' = RECOUPMENT OF A PRIOR OVERPAYMENT.
'**' = INCLUDES REASONS SUCH AS A REDUCED BENEFIT BECAUSE FAMILY MOVED INTO THE STATE FROM ANOTHER STATE, OR BECAUSE OF STATE'S FAMILY CAP POLICY.
SOURCE: NATIONAL TANF DATAFILE AS OF 3/04/2006

Table 10:16

Temporary Assistance for Needy Families - Active Cases
Percent Distribution of TANF Families by Specific Reason* for Grant Reduction
FY 2005

STATE	TOTAL FAMILIES	WORK REQUIRE-MENT	TEEN PARENT NOT IN SCHOOL	NO-COOP. CHILD SUPPORT	FAILURE COMPLY WITH OTHERS	OTHER SANCTION	RECOUP-MENT	FAMILY CAP	LENGTH RECEIVING ASSISTANCE	OTHER NON-SANCTION
U.S. TOTAL	1,914,036	3.6	0.1	1.0	0.6	0.6	7.4	5.2	2.1	1.8
ALABAMA	20,040	4.0	0.3	2.2	0.0	0.0	1.5	0.0	0.0	0.0
ALASKA	4,382	3.8	3.4	0.5	3.2	0.2	2.4	0.0	0.0	1.9
ARIZONA	43,738	0.5	0.0	0.9	0.0	0.0	0.5	19.9	0.0	2.8
ARKANSAS	8,642	6.9	0.1	5.6	0.8	0.5	0.4	5.2	0.0	0.9
CALIFORNIA	459,095	6.8	0.2	1.2	1.6	0.9	13.9	11.2	4.5	1.0
COLORADO	15,268	0.4	0.0	0.2	0.0	0.2	6.9	0.0	0.0	0.0
CONNECTICUT	19,830	0.2	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	2.3	4.8	0.0	0.0
DELAWARE	5,606	1.4	0.0	0.0	1.3	0.0	2.2	9.1	0.0	2.5
DIST. OF COL.	16,874	18.9	0.0	1.0	0.0	0.2	6.1	0.0	0.0	0.0
FLORIDA	59,852	1.1	0.0	0.3	0.0	0.4	8.0	2.7	0.0	0.5
GEORGIA	41,586	0.6	0.0	0.3	0.2	0.0	3.8	3.6	0.0	0.6
GUAM a/										
HAWAII	7,997	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	6.6	0.0	38.0	0.8
IDAHO	1,859	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.2	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
ILLINOIS	38,391	1.3	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.3	5.1	5.4	0.0	0.0
INDIANA	43,957	0.8	0.0	1.8	1.4	0.1	1.2	16.2	21.7	12.0
IOWA	17,707	0.0	0.0	5.8	0.0	0.0	1.8	0.0	0.0	0.0
KANSAS	17,616	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	3.2	0.0	0.0	0.0
KENTUCKY	34,701	3.7	0.0	1.6	0.0	0.1	0.9	0.0	0.0	0.0
LOUISIANA	16,103	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	1.3	0.0	0.0	2.1
MAINE	9,490	6.7	0.0	1.3	0.0	0.0	2.5	0.0	0.1	0.0
MARYLAND	23,097	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	10.2	3.0	0.0	0.0	26.0
MASSACHUSETTS	48,824	1.7	0.3	0.1	0.0	0.6	2.6	21.2	0.0	0.0
MICHIGAN	80,590	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.2	2.6	0.0	0.0	0.0
MINNESOTA	29,041	1.4	0.4	0.9	2.8	0.0	15.3	4.8	0.0	0.0
MISSISSIPPI	16,071	0.0	0.1	0.0	0.0	1.2	1.6	15.6	0.0	0.0
MISSOURI	40,062	7.7	0.0	1.0	0.0	0.0	4.4	0.0	0.0	0.0
MONTANA	4,614	2.7	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	3.0
NEBRASKA a/	10,030	0.0	1.0	0.3	0.0	0.0	1.5	1.7	0.0	0.0
NEVADA	6,788	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	1.2	0.0	0.0	0.0
NEW HAMPSHIRE	6,139	6.7	0.0	64.9	0.0	0.0	3.8	0.0	0.0	0.0
NEW JERSEY	43,071	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	4.3	9.2	13.2	0.0	0.0
NEW MEXICO	17,566	7.3	0.0	0.8	0.0	0.0	1.5	0.0	0.9	0.0
NEW YORK	141,522	7.7	0.0	0.5	0.0	0.3	22.3	0.0	0.0	0.0
NORTH CAROLINA	33,773	0.4	0.0	0.7	0.7	0.2	0.9	0.0	0.0	0.0
NORTH DAKOTA	2,850	2.6	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	12.8	9.6	0.0	6.4
OHIO	82,597	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	2.3	0.0	0.0	3.6
OKLAHOMA	11,781	0.0	0.0	2.5	0.0	1.1	3.2	0.0	0.0	0.0
OREGON	19,358	0.0	0.0	0.1	3.0	0.0	2.3	0.0	0.0	0.0
PENNSYLVANIA	96,635	1.1	0.0	2.3	0.0	0.2	2.8	0.0	0.0	0.0
PUERTO RICO	15,147	7.3	0.0	0.0	2.0	0.3	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
RHODE ISLAND	10,744	7.2	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	5.1	0.0	0.0	0.0
SOUTH CAROLINA	15,854	0.6	0.0	1.1	0.0	0.7	1.9	2.6	0.0	2.1
SOUTH DAKOTA	2,773	0.8	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.3	1.9	0.0	0.0	2.7
TENNESSEE	70,572	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	4.2	4.0	0.0	0.0
TEXAS	88,465	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	3.7	0.0	8.4	0.0
UTAH	9,030	0.0	0.0	0.0	3.7	0.0	2.3	0.0	0.0	0.0
VERMONT	4,580	7.7	0.0	2.4	0.0	0.0	3.4	0.0	0.0	0.0
VIRGIN ISLANDS	472	6.5	0.0	2.8	16.9	4.0	0.4	0.0	0.0	0.0
VIRGINIA	9,914	0.0	0.1	0.6	0.0	3.6	2.1	10.4	0.0	0.0
WASHINGTON	56,822	9.5	0.0	1.9	0.0	2.1	3.2	0.0	0.0	19.8
WEST VIRGINIA	12,003	2.6	0.2	0.4	1.3	0.0	3.2	0.0	0.0	17.9
WISCONSIN	20,208	9.9	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.4	0.0	0.0	0.0
WYOMING	310	4.1	0.0	0.7	0.0	0.3	2.7	0.0	0.0	0.0

NOTES: THIS CONTINUED TABLE DOES NOT INCLUDE UNKNOWN REASON FOR A REDUCTION IN ASSISTANCE.
'*' = SOME RECEIVED A REDUCTION IN ASSISTANCE FOR TWO OR MORE REASONS.
'a/' = DATA NOT REPORTED.
SOURCE: NATIONAL TANF DATAFILE AS OF 3/04/2006

Table 10:17

Temporary Assistance for Needy Families - Active Cases
Percent Distribution of TANF Families by Federal Time Limit Exemption Status
FY 2005

STATE	TOTAL FAMILIES	FEDERAL 5-YEAR TIME LIMIT EXEMPTION STATUS			
			HEAD OF HOUSEHOLD OR SPOUSE RECEIVING ASSISTANCE		
				INCLUDED BUT EXEMPT FROM	
		NOT EXEMPT	NOT INCLUDED EXEMPT	ACCRUAL OF MONTHS	TERMINATION OF ASSISTANCE
U.S. TOTAL	1,914,036	48.2	43.5	4.1	4.2
ALABAMA	20,040	53.3	46.7	0.0	0.0
ALASKA	4,382	63.9	24.7	8.7	2.6
ARIZONA	43,738	53.0	44.7	2.2	0.1
ARKANSAS	8,642	47.8	50.4	0.0	1.8
CALIFORNIA	459,095	39.7	52.8	0.0	7.5
COLORADO	15,268	68.5	30.7	0.1	0.7
CONNECTICUT	19,830	58.1	41.4	0.2	0.3
DELAWARE	5,606	53.0	47.0	0.0	0.0
DIST. OF COL.	16,874	43.5	39.0	0.0	17.5
FLORIDA	59,852	32.2	65.5	2.3	0.0
GEORGIA	41,586	39.2	60.2	0.0	0.5
GUAM a/					
HAWAII	7,997	42.7	27.9	29.4	0.0
IDAHO	1,859	27.7	72.3	0.0	0.0
ILLINOIS	38,391	45.1	49.3	5.6	0.0
INDIANA	43,957	57.9	42.1	0.0	0.0
IOWA	17,707	69.0	30.0	0.0	1.0
KANSAS	17,616	67.9	27.9	0.0	4.2
KENTUCKY	34,701	51.3	48.0	0.0	0.8
LOUISIANA	16,103	35.3	64.3	0.0	0.4
MAINE	9,490	57.4	27.4	0.0	15.2
MARYLAND	23,097	54.3	42.0	3.7	0.0
MASSACHUSETTS	48,824	23.8	37.7	38.5	0.1
MICHIGAN	80,590	54.3	34.4	0.0	11.3
MINNESOTA	29,041	51.3	32.2	8.3	8.2
MISSISSIPPI	16,071	53.2	46.6	0.0	0.2
MISSOURI	40,062	68.8	29.1	0.0	2.1
MONTANA	4,614	41.7	31.0	27.2	0.1
NEBRASKA	10,030	82.6	16.9	0.4	0.0
NEVADA	6,788	45.2	54.6	0.1	0.2
NEW HAMPSHIRE	6,139	65.4	31.8	0.0	2.9
NEW JERSEY	43,071	58.1	33.5	0.0	8.4
NEW MEXICO	17,566	68.2	31.8	0.0	0.0
NEW YORK	141,522	51.7	43.8	0.0	4.5
NORTH CAROLINA	33,773	43.2	56.5	0.0	0.3
NORTH DAKOTA	2,850	51.5	24.9	23.4	0.1
OHIO	82,597	47.0	53.0	0.0	0.0
OKLAHOMA	11,781	44.9	54.2	0.0	0.9
OREGON	19,358	54.8	45.2	0.0	0.0
PENNSYLVANIA	96,635	57.4	29.2	3.5	9.8
PUERTO RICO	15,147	77.6	22.3	0.0	0.1
RHODE ISLAND	10,744	53.5	26.8	3.8	15.9
SOUTH CAROLINA	15,854	48.7	51.3	0.0	0.0
SOUTH DAKOTA	2,773	14.6	64.2	21.0	0.1
TENNESSEE	70,572	41.5	0.4	58.1	0.0
TEXAS	88,465	43.1	56.6	0.0	0.4
UTAH	9,030	70.1	28.2	0.4	1.4
VERMONT	4,580	76.8	23.2	0.0	0.0
VIRGIN ISLANDS	472	70.4	28.0	0.0	1.7
VIRGINIA	9,914	99.9	0.0	0.1	0.0
WASHINGTON	56,822	52.8	37.4	2.2	7.6
WEST VIRGINIA	12,003	55.2	44.8	0.0	0.0
WISCONSIN	20,208	47.4	50.5	0.0	2.1
WYOMING	310	20.2	79.8	0.0	0.0

NOTE: 'a/' = DATA NOT REPORTED.
SOURCE: NATIONAL TANF DATAFILE AS OF 3/04/2006

Table 10:18

Temporary Assistance for Needy Families - Active Cases
Percent Distribution of Head of Household or Spouse who Received Assistance
by Number of Months Countable Toward the Federal Time Limit
FY 2005

STATE	H-of-H OR SPOUSE	NUMBER OF MONTHS COUNTABLE TOWARD THE FEDERAL TIME LIMIT							
		EXEMPT*	0	1-12	13-24	25-36	37-48	49-60	OVER 60
U.S. TOTAL	1,067,471	3.8	3.1	35.3	21.6	13.7	9.1	7.2	6.2
ALABAMA	10,720	0.0	0.0	46.5	24.6	13.8	8.0	5.9	1.1
ALASKA	3,659	5.5	10.6	34.9	18.5	13.3	8.2	5.9	3.2
ARIZONA	24,766	2.8	3.0	43.2	30.3	19.9	0.5	0.2	0.1
ARKANSAS	4,214	0.0	0.1	61.4	28.5	8.0	1.2	0.6	0.1
CALIFORNIA	200,650	0.0	1.3	29.9	19.5	13.4	12.4	14.5	9.1
COLORADO	10,987	0.2	7.1	34.2	29.8	16.1	7.0	4.9	0.7
CONNECTICUT	11,668	0.2	0.2	35.9	30.5	21.3	9.2	2.1	0.6
DELAWARE	2,972	0.0	8.1	54.8	20.0	9.0	6.4	1.7	0.0
DIST. OF COL.	10,296	0.0	1.0	19.4	16.4	13.4	10.9	10.5	28.5
FLORIDA	20,544	7.0	0.1	54.9	19.8	11.0	4.3	1.7	1.2
GEORGIA	16,542	0.0	0.0	44.0	23.0	18.2	12.3	1.2	1.3
GUAM a/									
HAWAII	5,860	34.9	5.7	20.1	13.0	10.7	8.1	7.5	0.0
IDAHO	549	0.0	0.1	82.7	16.1	0.9	0.1	0.0	0.0
ILLINOIS	19,415	10.6	2.8	41.0	22.6	11.9	7.6	3.6	0.0
INDIANA	25,584	0.0	0.5	54.4	28.0	14.8	2.3	0.0	0.0
IOWA	12,633	0.0	0.4	37.9	23.9	17.6	11.7	6.9	1.7
KANSAS	13,700	0.0	0.1	43.5	21.9	15.3	8.5	5.9	4.9
KENTUCKY	18,875	0.0	1.5	40.6	23.8	15.6	10.4	7.1	1.0
LOUISIANA	5,855	0.0	0.0	49.4	26.7	12.0	7.1	3.7	1.1
MAINE	7,092	0.0	0.0	29.3	21.1	15.8	11.6	7.6	14.6
MARYLAND	13,420	6.4	0.0	30.3	17.9	12.8	10.5	7.9	14.2
MASSACHUSETTS	31,395	28.9	32.9	19.0	8.9	6.2	2.9	1.1	0.1
MICHIGAN	55,558	0.0	3.9	30.4	19.3	13.3	9.7	6.7	16.6
MINNESOTA	19,697	5.1	7.4	23.0	21.5	14.0	9.7	7.3	12.1
MISSISSIPPI	8,595	0.0	0.0	47.6	25.4	15.4	8.4	2.6	0.6
MISSOURI	28,361	0.0	0.0	34.7	22.7	17.6	13.7	10.0	1.4
MONTANA	3,558	15.4	24.9	29.9	13.5	8.6	4.7	2.9	0.1
NEBRASKA	3,597	0.0	0.9	56.6	9.5	6.9	4.4	5.0	16.6
NEVADA	3,072	0.0	0.3	51.7	24.2	10.6	9.5	3.4	0.3
NEW HAMPSHIRE	4,292	0.0	1.6	40.8	22.9	14.9	9.4	6.3	4.1
NEW JERSEY	28,657	0.0	2.4	33.6	19.1	14.1	10.9	7.3	12.7
NEW MEXICO	13,325	0.0	0.0	45.5	22.9	14.7	9.9	7.0	0.0
NEW YORK	83,977	0.0	2.7	26.1	21.5	16.5	14.7	10.8	7.7
NORTH CAROLINA	14,331	0.0	0.7	45.2	23.4	15.9	9.9	4.7	0.2
NORTH DAKOTA	2,144	16.1	15.0	32.6	15.3	11.6	5.3	3.8	0.2
OHIO	41,290	0.0	0.2	43.1	28.3	20.2	5.1	2.4	0.7
OKLAHOMA	5,449	0.0	0.0	46.6	23.7	14.3	8.6	4.9	1.9
OREGON	11,151	0.0	0.0	59.9	36.0	4.2	0.0	0.0	0.0
PENNSYLVANIA	71,174	4.3	1.5	30.1	18.8	13.7	9.9	8.3	13.4
PUERTO RICO	12,525	0.0	0.4	30.6	23.2	22.0	13.6	10.0	0.1
RHODE ISLAND	8,044	5.0	0.9	23.8	15.8	13.1	10.8	9.4	21.2
SOUTH CAROLINA	8,091	0.0	0.8	67.3	28.8	2.6	0.3	0.3	0.0
SOUTH DAKOTA	992	0.6	58.2	25.1	10.2	3.0	1.6	0.8	0.4
TENNESSEE	52,412	33.1	11.4	28.0	22.0	4.2	1.1	0.3	0.0
TEXAS	38,426	0.0	0.1	52.3	22.4	12.5	6.4	6.3	0.0
UTAH	6,248	0.0	0.7	46.5	27.1	16.8	4.6	2.6	1.7
VERMONT	3,810	0.0	1.0	37.7	26.5	17.5	15.8	1.5	0.0
VIRGIN ISLANDS	342	0.0	3.0	28.0	27.7	18.6	13.4	7.1	2.3
VIRGINIA	10,081	0.1	1.3	50.1	27.9	10.5	7.4	2.7	0.0
WASHINGTON	40,652	2.7	1.0	34.7	19.8	12.7	10.2	7.7	11.2
WEST VIRGINIA	7,052	0.0	0.0	33.4	27.5	18.6	12.1	8.4	0.0
WISCONSIN	9,109	0.0	0.4	32.6	25.8	19.6	11.9	5.1	4.5
WYOMING	64	0.0	66.3	33.7	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0

NOTE: '*' = PERCENT IN THE TANF FAMILY EXEMPT FROM THE FEDERAL FIVE YEAR TIME LIMIT.
'a/' = DATA NOT REPORTED.
SOURCE: NATIONAL TANF DATAFILE AS OF 4/15/2006

Table 10:19

Temporary Assistance for Needy Families - Active Cases
Percent Distribution of TANF Adult Recipients by Age Group
FY 2005

STATE	TOTAL ADULTS	AGE OF ADULT RECIPIENTS					
		UNDER 20	20-29	30-39	40-49	OVER 49	UNKNOWN
U.S. TOTAL	1,092,018	7.3	47.1	28.1	14.2	3.2	0.0
ALABAMA	10,782	7.3	61.1	22.9	7.6	1.1	0.0
ALASKA	3,895	6.3	40.9	28.3	19.7	4.7	0.0
ARIZONA	25,307	6.3	48.1	29.3	13.5	2.7	0.0
ARKANSAS	4,290	12.3	55.6	21.2	9.6	1.2	0.1
CALIFORNIA	201,293	6.2	37.2	32.2	19.0	5.4	0.0
COLORADO	11,507	9.4	45.7	28.8	14.1	2.0	0.1
CONNECTICUT	11,719	13.5	55.6	21.7	7.5	1.6	0.0
DELAWARE	2,980	7.7	52.4	25.8	12.4	1.7	0.0
DIST. OF COL.	10,393	6.7	51.0	25.6	13.1	3.3	0.2
FLORIDA	20,746	6.1	45.1	29.9	15.1	3.8	0.0
GEORGIA	16,588	8.6	58.0	23.5	8.4	1.5	0.0
GUAM a/							
HAWAII	5,890	6.6	44.0	26.8	17.7	4.9	0.0
IDAHO	556	7.1	45.6	30.0	14.6	2.7	0.0
ILLINOIS	19,415	11.4	56.5	22.0	8.4	1.7	0.0
INDIANA	25,753	7.8	54.8	26.0	9.6	1.9	0.0
IOWA	12,880	6.3	56.2	24.7	10.9	1.9	0.0
KANSAS	14,400	8.2	53.0	25.5	11.7	1.6	0.0
KENTUCKY	19,279	8.4	51.9	26.5	11.2	2.2	0.0
LOUISIANA	5,855	12.0	59.4	21.0	7.2	0.5	0.0
MAINE	7,207	5.0	46.6	34.3	11.6	2.5	0.0
MARYLAND	13,420	9.0	48.2	29.4	12.0	1.5	0.0
MASSACHUSETTS	31,943	8.5	47.3	28.8	12.6	2.8	0.0
MICHIGAN	56,963	5.8	41.3	31.3	18.4	3.3	0.0
MINNESOTA	19,920	10.7	47.5	24.7	13.0	4.0	0.0
MISSISSIPPI	8,607	10.0	66.0	17.3	5.5	1.2	0.0
MISSOURI	28,610	8.6	54.8	27.2	8.4	1.0	0.0
MONTANA	3,888	7.5	51.7	26.4	12.6	1.8	0.0
NEBRASKA	4,136	6.1	49.7	31.7	11.1	1.5	0.0
NEVADA	3,092	8.8	51.0	28.7	10.5	1.1	0.0
NEW HAMPSHIRE	4,339	4.0	49.3	31.2	13.6	2.0	0.0
NEW JERSEY	28,657	4.8	47.4	28.1	16.3	3.3	0.0
NEW MEXICO	13,362	8.0	49.4	27.8	12.0	2.8	0.0
NEW YORK	93,476	7.6	48.4	23.7	15.1	5.2	0.0
NORTH CAROLINA	14,818	11.1	51.1	24.9	11.6	1.3	0.0
NORTH DAKOTA	2,160	7.0	52.0	29.2	10.0	1.7	0.0
OHIO	43,299	6.8	51.8	26.7	12.4	2.3	0.0
OKLAHOMA	5,450	8.0	50.9	26.9	11.5	2.7	0.0
OREGON	11,425	7.1	38.3	30.4	19.5	4.7	0.0
PENNSYLVANIA	74,234	7.2	47.9	28.3	14.6	2.1	0.0
PUERTO RICO	12,788	4.3	46.3	28.7	15.3	5.3	0.2
RHODE ISLAND	8,226	6.5	47.1	28.3	15.6	2.5	0.0
SOUTH CAROLINA	8,103	13.1	56.2	21.5	8.4	0.8	0.0
SOUTH DAKOTA	1,002	7.7	48.8	28.4	13.4	1.6	0.0
TENNESSEE	52,975	8.1	50.8	28.8	10.5	1.9	0.0
TEXAS	38,426	8.0	47.8	26.6	14.2	3.5	0.0
UTAH	6,248	6.2	48.4	28.5	13.6	3.3	0.0
VERMONT	4,055	6.1	47.6	31.5	13.2	1.6	0.0
VIRGIN ISLANDS	349	6.4	57.9	21.2	11.3	3.1	0.1
VIRGINIA	10,179	2.9	50.2	32.8	12.5	1.5	0.0
WASHINGTON	40,652	6.6	44.2	30.0	16.0	3.2	0.0
WEST VIRGINIA	7,165	5.6	49.4	30.8	12.6	1.6	0.0
WISCONSIN	9,249	8.1	48.3	27.9	13.8	1.9	0.0
WYOMING	65	5.0	47.2	30.5	13.1	4.2	0.0

NOTE: 'a/' = DATA NOT REPORTED.
SOURCE: NATIONAL TANF DATAFILE AS OF 3/04/2006

Table 10:20

Temporary Assistance for Needy Families - Active Cases
Percent Distribution of TANF Male Adult Recipients by Age Group
FY 2005

STATE	MALE ADULTS	AGE OF MALE ADULT RECIPIENTS					
		UNDER 20	20-29	30-39	40-49	OVER 49	UNKNOWN
U.S. TOTAL	112,986	3.8	26.5	32.2	28.1	9.5	0.0
ALABAMA	329	0.0	20.6	44.6	22.1	12.7	0.0
ALASKA	939	2.6	23.9	32.0	31.7	9.8	0.0
ARIZONA	2,306	1.6	22.2	35.7	32.7	7.8	0.0
ARKANSAS	393	4.9	28.6	39.7	22.2	4.5	0.1
CALIFORNIA	24,373	0.7	25.3	31.9	30.1	12.0	0.0
COLORADO	1,632	5.9	32.1	33.3	21.6	7.1	0.0
CONNECTICUT	454	0.0	20.4	43.1	24.1	12.5	0.0
DELAWARE	106	4.5	27.6	25.3	40.9	1.8	0.0
DIST. OF COL.	529	3.5	32.2	24.5	23.1	16.7	0.0
FLORIDA	1,144	1.7	12.7	29.2	33.9	22.4	0.0
GEORGIA	364	0.0	10.4	43.7	36.2	9.7	0.0
GUAM a/							
HAWAII	439	4.2	22.2	25.7	31.9	16.0	0.0
IDAHO	74	2.9	25.7	30.3	31.7	9.4	0.0
ILLINOIS	203	0.0	23.0	31.7	36.5	8.8	0.0
INDIANA	1,728	0.0	17.2	42.2	32.3	8.3	0.0
IOWA	1,433	1.3	32.9	33.6	26.0	6.2	0.0
KANSAS	2,411	3.4	40.2	32.4	19.0	5.0	0.0
KENTUCKY	2,426	1.7	29.6	31.6	32.0	5.2	0.0
LOUISIANA	198	1.3	37.9	25.1	32.3	3.4	0.0
MAINE	736	0.0	21.9	41.9	26.2	10.0	0.0
MARYLAND	449	0.0	7.7	40.8	34.4	17.2	0.0
MASSACHUSETTS	2,905	2.8	28.3	34.6	23.2	11.1	0.0
MICHIGAN	7,492	0.9	20.8	32.4	34.5	11.4	0.0
MINNESOTA	1,645	0.9	19.4	36.6	33.1	10.0	0.0
MISSISSIPPI	162	0.0	20.1	31.5	34.4	13.9	0.0
MISSOURI	1,533	3.0	21.2	42.4	28.9	4.6	0.0
MONTANA	911	2.6	44.6	31.0	18.2	3.6	0.0
NEBRASKA	336	3.1	15.2	55.1	25.6	1.0	0.0
NEVADA	154	1.0	17.1	36.9	37.0	8.0	0.0
NEW HAMPSHIRE	456	0.2	21.5	46.4	27.6	4.2	0.0
NEW JERSEY	1,154	1.7	18.8	31.3	41.8	6.5	0.0
NEW MEXICO	2,048	3.5	35.0	32.1	20.6	8.9	0.0
NEW YORK	13,266	15.5	32.1	20.1	22.3	10.0	0.0
NORTH CAROLINA	959	3.4	22.9	34.2	34.6	4.9	0.0
NORTH DAKOTA	150	0.0	24.7	36.1	33.5	5.7	0.0
OHIO	6,453	1.4	33.0	36.2	23.5	5.9	0.0
OKLAHOMA	303	0.2	15.4	36.6	33.3	14.5	0.0
OREGON	1,540	3.8	23.7	26.9	32.4	13.1	0.0
PENNSYLVANIA	9,509	7.6	28.2	30.4	26.5	7.2	0.0
PUERTO RICO	1,290	1.7	16.2	30.4	33.7	17.6	0.4
RHODE ISLAND	765	0.2	28.5	39.2	27.9	4.1	0.0
SOUTH CAROLINA	500	3.6	40.9	36.1	16.2	3.2	0.0
SOUTH DAKOTA	68	0.0	24.8	30.9	42.8	1.5	0.0
TENNESSEE	2,643	4.7	9.7	43.3	34.2	8.1	0.0
TEXAS	2,469	1.7	14.8	30.9	33.8	18.7	0.0
UTAH	692	0.1	25.1	34.2	28.0	12.6	0.0
VERMONT	790	3.2	32.1	37.7	24.1	2.9	0.0
VIRGIN ISLANDS	12	15.8	7.2	19.7	26.2	31.1	0.0
VIRGINIA	637	1.7	20.7	34.0	35.9	7.7	0.0
WASHINGTON	7,867	2.1	30.1	35.6	26.0	6.2	0.0
WEST VIRGINIA	1,042	1.1	23.9	40.8	28.2	6.0	0.0
WISCONSIN	559	2.1	28.2	32.6	29.7	7.4	0.0
WYOMING	9	0.0	15.5	51.7	24.3	8.5	0.0

NOTE: 'a/' = DATA NOT REPORTED.
SOURCE: NATIONAL TANF DATAFILE AS OF 9/19/2006

Table 10:21

Temporary Assistance for Needy Families - Active Cases
Percent Distribution of TANF Female Adult Recipients by Age Group
FY 2005

STATE	TOTAL FEMALE ADULTS	AGE OF FEMALE ADULT RECIPIENTS					
		UNDER 20	20-29	30-39	40-49	OVER 49	UNKNOWN
U.S. TOTAL	979,032	7.8	49.5	27.6	12.6	2.5	0.0
ALABAMA	10,454	7.5	62.4	22.3	7.1	0.7	0.0
ALASKA	2,955	7.5	46.3	27.1	15.9	3.1	0.0
ARIZONA	23,001	6.8	50.7	28.7	11.6	2.2	0.0
ARKANSAS	3,897	13.1	58.4	19.3	8.3	0.8	0.1
CALIFORNIA	176,920	7.0	38.8	32.2	17.5	4.5	0.0
COLORADO	9,875	10.0	47.9	28.0	12.8	1.2	0.1
CONNECTICUT	11,265	14.1	57.1	20.8	6.9	1.2	0.0
DELAWARE	2,875	7.9	53.3	25.8	11.3	1.7	0.0
DIST. OF COL.	9,864	6.9	52.0	25.7	12.6	2.6	0.2
FLORIDA	19,601	6.4	47.0	29.9	14.0	2.7	0.0
GEORGIA	16,224	8.8	59.1	23.0	7.8	1.3	0.0
GUAM a/							
HAWAII	5,451	6.8	45.8	26.9	16.5	4.0	0.0
IDAHO	482	7.7	48.6	30.0	12.0	1.6	0.0
ILLINOIS	19,212	11.5	56.9	21.9	8.1	1.6	0.0
INDIANA	24,025	8.3	57.5	24.8	8.0	1.4	0.0
IOWA	11,447	6.9	59.1	23.5	9.0	1.4	0.0
KANSAS	11,989	9.2	55.5	24.1	10.2	0.9	0.0
KENTUCKY	16,853	9.3	55.1	25.7	8.2	1.7	0.0
LOUISIANA	5,658	12.3	60.1	20.8	6.3	0.4	0.0
MAINE	6,471	5.6	49.4	33.5	10.0	1.6	0.0
MARYLAND	12,972	9.3	49.6	29.0	11.2	1.0	0.0
MASSACHUSETTS	29,039	9.0	49.2	28.2	11.6	1.9	0.0
MICHIGAN	49,471	6.5	44.4	31.1	15.9	2.1	0.0
MINNESOTA	18,275	11.6	50.0	23.7	11.2	3.5	0.0
MISSISSIPPI	8,445	10.2	66.8	17.0	5.0	1.0	0.0
MISSOURI	27,077	8.9	56.7	26.3	7.2	0.8	0.0
MONTANA	2,978	9.0	53.9	25.0	10.9	1.2	0.0
NEBRASKA	3,800	6.3	52.8	29.6	9.8	1.5	0.0
NEVADA	2,937	9.2	52.8	28.2	9.1	0.7	0.0
NEW HAMPSHIRE	3,883	4.5	52.5	29.4	11.9	1.7	0.0
NEW JERSEY	27,504	5.0	48.6	28.0	15.2	3.2	0.0
NEW MEXICO	11,314	8.8	52.1	27.0	10.4	1.7	0.0
NEW YORK	80,210	6.3	51.1	24.3	13.9	4.4	0.0
NORTH CAROLINA	13,859	11.6	53.0	24.3	10.0	1.0	0.0
NORTH DAKOTA	2,010	7.5	54.1	28.7	8.3	1.4	0.0
OHIO	36,846	7.8	55.1	25.0	10.5	1.7	0.0
OKLAHOMA	5,147	8.5	53.0	26.3	10.2	2.0	0.0
OREGON	9,885	7.6	40.6	30.9	17.5	3.3	0.0
PENNSYLVANIA	64,726	7.1	50.7	28.0	12.8	1.3	0.0
PUERTO RICO	11,498	4.6	49.7	28.5	13.2	3.9	0.2
RHODE ISLAND	7,461	7.2	49.0	27.1	14.3	2.4	0.0
SOUTH CAROLINA	7,602	13.8	57.3	20.5	7.9	0.6	0.0
SOUTH DAKOTA	934	8.3	50.6	28.2	11.3	1.6	0.0
TENNESSEE	50,332	8.3	52.9	28.0	9.3	1.6	0.0
TEXAS	35,957	8.4	50.0	26.3	12.8	2.4	0.0
UTAH	5,555	7.0	51.3	27.8	11.8	2.1	0.0
VERMONT	3,264	6.9	51.3	30.0	10.5	1.3	0.0
VIRGIN ISLANDS	336	6.0	59.8	21.3	10.7	2.0	0.1
VIRGINIA	9,541	3.0	52.2	32.7	11.0	1.1	0.0
WASHINGTON	32,785	7.7	47.6	28.7	13.6	2.5	0.0
WEST VIRGINIA	6,122	6.4	53.8	29.1	9.9	0.9	0.0
WISCONSIN	8,690	8.5	49.6	27.6	12.8	1.6	0.0
WYOMING	57	5.8	52.0	27.3	11.4	3.5	0.0

NOTE: 'a/' = DATA NOT REPORTED.
SOURCE: NATIONAL TANF DATAFILE AS OF 9/19/2006

Table 10:22

Temporary Assistance for Needy Families - Active Cases
Percent Distribution of TANF Adult Recipients by Ethnicity/Race
FY 2005

STATE	TOTAL ADULTS	HISPANIC*	WHITE	AFRICAN-AMERICAN	NATIVE AMERICAN	ASIAN	HAWAIIAN	MULTI-RACIAL	UNKNOWN
U.S. TOTAL	1,092,018	19.8	36.3	38.6	1.6	1.9	0.5	0.5	0.8
ALABAMA	10,782	0.8	27.0	71.9	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.2	0.0
ALASKA	3,895	3.9	48.8	7.0	32.3	3.7	2.6	1.7	0.0
ARIZONA	25,307	39.4	35.6	12.8	11.2	0.3	0.4	0.2	0.0
ARKANSAS	4,290	1.0	33.7	64.5	0.3	0.3	0.0	0.0	0.0
CALIFORNIA	201,293	39.4	27.1	25.8	0.4	5.8	0.6	1.0	0.0
COLORADO	11,507	17.8	67.6	12.1	1.0	0.2	0.4	0.8	0.0
CONNECTICUT	11,719	37.8	30.4	31.2	0.0	0.5	0.0	0.0	0.0
DELAWARE	2,980	6.9	27.7	65.2	0.1	0.2	0.0	0.0	0.0
DIST. OF COL.	10,393	1.4	0.5	97.2	0.0	0.6	0.2	0.0	0.1
FLORIDA	20,746	23.2	25.8	50.4	0.0	0.2	0.5	0.0	0.0
GEORGIA	16,588	1.5	16.3	81.9	0.0	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.0
GUAM a/									
HAWAII	5,890	5.2	22.1	2.0	0.4	18.3	39.5	12.3	0.1
IDAHO	556	9.2	86.2	1.0	1.9	0.8	0.1	0.9	0.0
ILLINOIS	19,415	5.1	16.7	77.6	0.0	0.2	0.0	0.4	0.0
INDIANA	25,753	4.3	57.8	36.8	0.0	0.1	0.1	0.9	0.0
IOWA	12,880	3.9	65.8	13.5	0.6	0.5	0.0	0.4	15.4
KANSAS	14,400	8.3	62.7	25.1	1.4	1.0	0.2	0.3	1.0
KENTUCKY	19,279	1.7	73.2	24.7	0.0	0.3	0.0	0.0	0.1
LOUISIANA	5,855	2.8	16.4	80.2	0.1	0.3	0.2	0.0	0.0
MAINE	7,207	0.7	93.0	3.4	1.3	0.8	0.1	0.6	0.0
MARYLAND	13,420	1.0	15.3	83.2	0.1	0.3	0.0	0.0	0.0
MASSACHUSETTS	31,943	32.3	43.7	20.1	0.3	3.5	0.0	0.0	0.0
MICHIGAN	56,963	3.3	45.0	49.7	0.6	0.7	0.2	0.6	0.0
MINNESOTA	19,920	5.5	39.3	38.8	9.6	5.7	0.1	1.1	0.0
MISSISSIPPI	8,607	0.5	14.2	84.9	0.3	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.0
MISSOURI	28,610	1.6	54.5	43.1	0.5	0.2	0.1	0.1	0.0
MONTANA	3,888	2.4	38.5	0.6	58.1	0.1	0.0	0.3	0.0
NEBRASKA	4,136	9.4	37.8	28.6	3.9	0.3	0.0	0.1	19.8
NEVADA	3,092	17.3	39.6	37.6	2.1	2.3	0.3	0.8	0.0
NEW HAMPSHIRE	4,339	4.6	91.3	3.4	0.0	0.2	0.1	0.3	0.0
NEW JERSEY	28,657	25.0	15.4	59.1	0.1	0.4	0.0	0.2	0.0
NEW MEXICO	13,362	65.5	23.1	3.7	7.3	0.0	0.5	0.0	0.0
NEW YORK	93,476	31.4	21.2	44.5	0.7	1.4	0.3	0.6	0.0
NORTH CAROLINA	14,818	0.9	29.0	67.0	2.6	0.5	0.0	0.1	0.0
NORTH DAKOTA	2,160	2.6	38.1	1.5	54.8	0.5	0.0	2.6	0.0
OHIO	43,299	3.4	52.1	43.9	0.1	0.4	0.0	0.1	0.0
OKLAHOMA	5,450	4.0	44.1	38.9	12.8	0.0	0.0	0.2	0.0
OREGON	11,425	8.7	75.2	11.1	3.1	1.2	0.2	0.0	0.5
PENNSYLVANIA	74,234	12.6	36.7	46.5	0.2	1.8	0.0	0.0	2.1
PUERTO RICO	12,788	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
RHODE ISLAND	8,226	26.4	41.7	13.6	0.4	2.5	0.0	0.0	15.4
SOUTH CAROLINA	8,103	0.8	26.5	72.4	0.0	0.2	0.0	0.0	0.0
SOUTH DAKOTA	1,002	1.9	26.7	2.2	66.8	0.3	0.0	2.2	0.0
TENNESSEE	52,975	0.6	37.2	61.8	0.1	0.2	0.0	0.1	0.0
TEXAS	38,426	40.3	22.6	35.5	0.3	0.4	0.3	0.5	0.0
UTAH	6,248	14.4	76.4	4.0	3.5	1.6	0.2	0.0	0.0
VERMONT	4,055	0.5	96.2	2.5	0.3	0.5	0.0	0.0	0.0
VIRGIN ISLANDS	349	29.5	0.7	69.5	0.2	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.0
VIRGINIA	10,179	2.7	27.7	68.5	0.2	0.7	0.3	0.0	0.0
WASHINGTON	40,652	10.3	62.2	12.9	4.3	2.1	1.2	0.4	6.6
WEST VIRGINIA	7,165	0.3	88.6	10.8	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.2	0.0
WISCONSIN	9,249	8.5	19.9	68.9	0.8	0.1	0.0	0.6	1.1
WYOMING	65	0.0	89.0	6.1	4.7	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.2

NOTES: '*' = CAN BE OF ANY RACE.
'a/' = DATA NOT REPORTED.
SOURCE: NATIONAL TANF DATAFILE AS OF 9/19/2006

Table 10:23

Temporary Assistance for Needy Families - Active Cases
Percent Distribution of TANF Adult Recipients by Marital Status
FY 2005

STATE	TOTAL ADULTS	MARITAL STATUS				
		SINGLE	MARRIED	SEPARATED	WIDOWED	DIVORCED
U.S. TOTAL	1,092,018	68.8	10.7	11.8	0.6	8.1
ALABAMA	10,782	70.2	3.7	14.8	0.8	10.6
ALASKA	3,895	49.3	25.1	12.6	0.8	12.3
ARIZONA	25,307	57.0	15.4	15.2	0.7	11.8
ARKANSAS	4,290	78.4	7.6	9.4	0.0	4.5
CALIFORNIA	201,293	64.8	7.9	16.4	1.1	9.7
COLORADO	11,507	71.2	19.7	5.3	0.1	3.8
CONNECTICUT	11,719	80.3	5.2	8.8	0.4	5.3
DELAWARE	2,980	77.6	5.5	10.9	0.3	5.7
DIST. OF COL.	10,393	93.1	3.4	2.8	0.3	0.4
FLORIDA	20,746	67.5	8.6	14.1	0.3	9.4
GEORGIA	16,588	80.0	3.9	10.7	0.5	4.9
GUAM a/						
HAWAII	5,890	61.4	6.1	19.3	0.9	12.4
IDAHO	556	44.5	16.8	21.8	0.6	16.3
ILLINOIS	19,415	91.5	2.9	0.2	0.0	5.4
INDIANA	25,753	64.4	9.0	13.0	0.1	13.5
IOWA	12,880	67.9	18.8	6.2	0.2	6.9
KANSAS	14,400	57.4	21.2	8.9	0.4	12.1
KENTUCKY	19,279	53.9	14.2	20.1	0.5	11.3
LOUISIANA	5,855	78.0	5.0	10.8	0.3	5.8
MAINE	7,207	69.4	9.7	9.1	0.3	11.5
MARYLAND	13,420	85.4	2.2	9.8	0.2	2.3
MASSACHUSETTS	31,943	74.1	11.8	8.7	0.5	5.0
MICHIGAN	56,963	62.1	13.4	10.6	0.8	13.1
MINNESOTA	19,920	68.2	4.3	17.7	1.5	8.3
MISSISSIPPI	8,607	80.0	2.6	11.6	0.4	5.5
MISSOURI	28,610	79.3	3.5	8.6	0.4	8.2
MONTANA	3,888	61.9	20.9	7.7	0.2	9.2
NEBRASKA	4,136	89.3	4.7	4.2	0.3	1.5
NEVADA	3,092	67.8	9.5	12.2	0.3	10.2
NEW HAMPSHIRE	4,339	56.5	13.4	12.4	0.8	16.9
NEW JERSEY	28,657	80.5	3.0	11.9	0.5	4.0
NEW MEXICO	13,362	70.2	17.9	6.7	0.7	4.6
NEW YORK	93,476	76.2	14.7	6.5	0.4	2.3
NORTH CAROLINA	14,818	74.2	5.8	12.9	0.1	7.0
NORTH DAKOTA	2,160	64.2	9.5	12.0	0.4	14.0
OHIO	43,299	66.0	17.5	8.3	0.2	7.9
OKLAHOMA	5,450	61.2	8.8	17.0	0.3	12.6
OREGON	11,425	66.4	13.0	11.7	0.3	8.5
PENNSYLVANIA	74,234	74.3	12.1	7.6	0.6	5.5
PUERTO RICO	12,788	77.0	14.1	5.7	0.7	2.5
RHODE ISLAND	8,226	83.3	8.7	5.7	0.0	2.3
SOUTH CAROLINA	8,103	73.6	7.3	14.0	0.6	4.5
SOUTH DAKOTA	1,002	68.3	10.3	10.8	0.6	10.0
TENNESSEE	52,975	67.8	8.1	13.6	0.6	10.0
TEXAS	38,426	63.9	7.9	19.2	0.9	8.1
UTAH	6,248	43.2	17.9	22.1	0.8	16.1
VERMONT	4,055	60.7	16.9	12.4	0.0	9.9
VIRGIN ISLANDS	349	83.4	1.6	7.8	0.0	7.3
VIRGINIA	10,179	65.0	9.4	17.5	0.6	7.5
WASHINGTON	40,652	49.9	21.9	14.6	0.5	13.1
WEST VIRGINIA	7,165	41.3	24.8	16.7	0.6	16.6
WISCONSIN	9,249	77.6	5.6	8.1	0.2	8.5
WYOMING	65	50.2	18.4	12.7	0.0	18.7

NOTE: 'a/' = DATA NOT REPORTED.
SOURCE: NATIONAL TANF DATAFILE AS OF 9/19/2006

Table 10:24

Temporary Assistance for Needy Families - Active Cases
Percent Distribution of TANF Adults Receiving Disability Payments
FY 2005

STATE	TOTAL ADULTS	YES	NO
U.S. TOTAL	1,092,018	0.7	99.3
ALABAMA	10,782	0.2	99.8
ALASKA	3,895	0.7	99.3
ARIZONA	25,307	0.3	99.7
ARKANSAS	4,290	1.5	98.5
CALIFORNIA	201,293	0.8	99.2
COLORADO	11,507	1.5	98.5
CONNECTICUT	11,719	0.1	99.9
DELAWARE	2,980	1.5	98.5
DIST. OF COL.	10,393	0.5	99.5
FLORIDA	20,746	0.4	99.6
GEORGIA	16,588	0.5	99.5
GUAM a/			
HAWAII	5,890	0.5	99.5
IDAHO	556	0.0	100.0
ILLINOIS	19,415	0.2	99.8
INDIANA	25,753	0.5	99.5
IOWA	12,880	0.6	99.4
KANSAS	14,400	0.5	99.5
KENTUCKY	19,279	0.3	99.7
LOUISIANA	5,855	0.0	100.0
MAINE	7,207	1.0	99.0
MARYLAND	13,420	0.0	100.0
MASSACHUSETTS	31,943	1.2	98.8
MICHIGAN	56,963	1.4	98.6
MINNESOTA	19,920	0.8	99.2
MISSISSIPPI	8,607	0.5	99.5
MISSOURI	28,610	0.6	99.4
MONTANA	3,888	0.0	100.0
NEBRASKA	4,136	0.1	99.9
NEVADA	3,092	0.3	99.7
NEW HAMPSHIRE	4,339	1.0	99.0
NEW JERSEY	28,657	0.0	100.0
NEW MEXICO	13,362	0.4	99.6
NEW YORK	93,476	2.1	97.9
NORTH CAROLINA	14,818	0.2	99.8
NORTH DAKOTA	2,160	0.4	99.6
OHIO	43,299	0.2	99.8
OKLAHOMA	5,450	0.2	99.8
OREGON	11,425	0.2	99.8
PENNSYLVANIA	74,234	0.1	99.9
PUERTO RICO	12,788	0.0	100.0
RHODE ISLAND	8,226	0.2	99.8
SOUTH CAROLINA	8,103	0.0	100.0
SOUTH DAKOTA	1,002	0.5	99.5
TENNESSEE	52,975	0.2	99.8
TEXAS	38,426	0.6	99.4
UTAH	6,248	0.2	99.8
VERMONT	4,055	0.4	99.6
VIRGIN ISLANDS	349	0.1	99.9
VIRGINIA	10,179	0.1	99.9
WASHINGTON	40,652	0.4	99.6
WEST VIRGINIA	7,165	0.9	99.1
WISCONSIN	9,249	0.6	99.4
WYOMING	65	0.6	99.4

NOTE: 'a/' = DATA NOT REPORTED.
SOURCE: NATIONAL TANF DATAFILE AS OF 9/19/2006

Table 10:25

Temporary Assistance for Needy Families - Active Cases
Percent Distribution of TANF Adult Recipients by Relationship to the Head of Household
FY 2005

STATE	TOTAL ADULTS	RELATIONSHIP TO THE HEAD OF HOUSEHOLD						
		HEAD OF HOUSEHOLD	SPOUSE	PARENT	CHILD	GRAND-CHILD	OTHER RELATED	UNRELATED
U.S. TOTAL	1,092,018	94.5	3.4	0.1	0.7	0.0	0.2	1.2
ALABAMA	10,782	98.3	1.1	0.1	0.3	0.0	0.0	0.2
ALASKA	3,895	83.5	10.4	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	6.0
ARIZONA	25,307	94.4	3.5	0.0	0.1	0.0	2.0	0.1
ARKANSAS	4,290	95.8	3.6	0.0	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.4
CALIFORNIA	201,293	99.3	0.4	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.2	0.1
COLORADO	11,507	89.4	7.5	0.1	1.0	0.0	0.0	2.1
CONNECTICUT	11,719	99.1	0.4	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.4
DELAWARE	2,980	99.6	0.2	0.0	0.3	0.0	0.0	0.0
DIST. OF COL.	10,393	98.0	1.0	0.0	0.4	0.0	0.0	0.6
FLORIDA	20,746	97.9	1.2	0.4	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.5
GEORGIA	16,588	99.7	0.2	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.1
GUAM a/								
HAWAII	5,890	97.6	1.9	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.5	0.0
IDAHO	556	92.7	6.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	1.3
ILLINOIS	19,415	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
INDIANA	25,753	98.4	1.0	0.0	0.2	0.0	0.0	0.5
IOWA	12,880	95.1	3.0	1.4	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.4
KANSAS	14,400	86.5	8.6	0.0	0.2	0.0	0.0	4.7
KENTUCKY	19,279	92.2	5.7	0.3	0.3	0.0	0.4	1.2
LOUISIANA	5,855	98.0	2.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
MAINE	7,207	95.5	3.1	0.0	0.2	0.0	0.0	1.2
MARYLAND	13,420	99.8	0.2	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
MASSACHUSETTS	31,943	94.3	4.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	1.7
MICHIGAN	56,963	92.0	5.5	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	2.4
MINNESOTA	19,920	97.3	1.6	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	1.1
MISSISSIPPI	8,607	99.4	0.5	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
MISSOURI	28,610	99.4	0.3	0.0	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.2
MONTANA	3,888	81.3	10.2	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.3	8.0
NEBRASKA	4,136	84.7	2.3	2.2	0.0	0.0	1.2	9.7
NEVADA	3,092	98.8	0.5	0.1	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.5
NEW HAMPSHIRE	4,339	96.6	2.4	0.0	0.1	0.0	0.0	1.0
NEW JERSEY	28,657	99.6	0.4	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
NEW MEXICO	13,362	88.6	11.2	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.1
NEW YORK	93,476	84.5	5.3	0.0	5.7	0.2	0.3	4.0
NORTH CAROLINA	14,818	94.3	2.4	1.6	0.4	0.1	0.2	1.1
NORTH DAKOTA	2,160	99.0	0.8	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.2
OHIO	43,299	91.1	5.8	0.1	0.1	0.0	0.1	3.0
OKLAHOMA	5,450	98.9	1.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
OREGON	11,425	92.3	5.4	1.4	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.9
PENNSYLVANIA	74,234	89.9	6.0	0.0	1.6	0.0	0.1	2.4
PUERTO RICO	12,788	91.7	6.2	0.0	1.0	0.0	0.2	0.9
RHODE ISLAND	8,226	94.5	3.2	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	2.2
SOUTH CAROLINA	8,103	95.0	4.8	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
SOUTH DAKOTA	1,002	99.0	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.9
TENNESSEE	52,975	97.5	1.4	0.0	0.7	0.0	0.1	0.2
TEXAS	38,426	99.8	0.2	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
UTAH	6,248	94.8	5.2	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
VERMONT	4,055	85.3	8.7	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	6.0
VIRGIN ISLANDS	349	97.5	0.5	0.0	0.6	0.6	0.0	0.9
VIRGINIA	10,179	96.4	2.7	0.3	0.3	0.0	0.0	0.4
WASHINGTON	40,652	85.5	14.5	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
WEST VIRGINIA	7,165	90.5	7.9	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	1.5
WISCONSIN	9,249	97.4	1.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	1.5
WYOMING	65	94.0	4.4	0.0	0.0	0.0	1.1	0.5

NOTE: 'a/' = DATA NOT REPORTED.
SOURCE: NATIONAL TANF DATAFILE AS OF 9/19/2006

Table 10:26

Temporary Assistance for Needy Families - Active Cases
Percent Distribution of TANF Adult Recipients by Educational Level
FY 2005

STATE	TOTAL ADULTS	NO FORMAL EDUCATION	YEARS OF EDUCATION				
			1 - 6	7 - 9	10 - 11	12	MORE THAN 12
U.S. TOTAL	1,092,018	2.1	2.0	9.5	26.5	55.5	4.5
ALABAMA	10,782	0.2	0.5	13.1	29.4	44.2	12.6
ALASKA b/	3,895	--	--	--	--	--	--
ARIZONA	25,307	2.3	1.1	13.0	24.3	54.5	4.8
ARKANSAS	4,290	4.1	0.5	8.0	26.0	53.6	7.8
CALIFORNIA	201,293	1.9	3.6	5.9	27.1	59.0	2.5
COLORADO	11,507	--	--	--	--	--	--
CONNECTICUT	11,719	1.6	1.0	13.6	26.2	52.7	4.8
DELAWARE b/	2,980	-	0.3	4.2	14.8	79.2	1.5
DIST. OF COL.	10,393	0.2	1.3	35.1	33.4	27.3	2.7
FLORIDA	20,746	--	--	--	--	--	--
GEORGIA	16,588	2.0	0.6	8.0	26.6	56.4	6.5
GUAM a/							
HAWAII	5,890	0.1	1.6	5.7	18.0	69.3	5.3
IDAHO b/	556	--	--	--	--	--	--
ILLINOIS	19,415	0.0	0.5	2.9	36.6	59.4	0.6
INDIANA	25,753	2.7	0.5	10.0	27.3	56.8	2.7
IOWA	12,880	5.2	0.2	9.6	13.4	70.2	1.5
KANSAS	14,400	2.5	1.1	11.3	25.1	52.2	7.8
KENTUCKY	19,279	3.2	1.4	13.5	20.8	60.8	0.3
LOUISIANA	5,855	0.0	0.9	8.4	30.3	60.2	0.2
MAINE	7,207	0.4	0.7	9.3	19.5	66.9	3.2
MARYLAND	13,420	0.0	0.6	10.5	31.5	51.9	5.5
MASSACHUSETTS	31,943	0.6	0.5	8.0	28.8	54.2	8.0
MICHIGAN	56,963	0.8	0.7	7.1	27.3	60.0	4.0
MINNESOTA	19,920	5.0	3.2	7.1	28.1	47.4	9.3
MISSISSIPPI	8,607	0.1	0.8	11.4	27.7	43.7	16.2
MISSOURI	28,610	0.0	0.3	9.1	28.6	52.2	9.8
MONTANA	3,888	0.0	0.2	8.5	25.4	62.4	3.4
NEBRASKA b/	4,136	-	2.1	3.0	12.6	78.8	3.5
NEVADA	3,092	0.4	0.9	10.3	31.8	44.0	12.6
NEW HAMPSHIRE	4,339	0.8	0.3	8.7	17.2	65.9	7.1
NEW JERSEY	28,657	0.1	2.1	21.7	18.8	54.1	3.2
NEW MEXICO	13,362	0.2	2.2	7.0	22.5	64.5	3.6
NEW YORK	93,476	2.9	3.1	10.5	29.4	52.4	1.7
NORTH CAROLINA	14,818	0.9	0.3	12.4	41.8	41.6	3.1
NORTH DAKOTA	2,160	2.2	0.3	6.8	15.5	66.5	8.6
OHIO	43,299	5.0	0.5	7.3	23.4	58.6	5.1
OKLAHOMA	5,450	0.8	0.7	9.6	31.5	49.1	8.3
OREGON	11,425	0.5	1.8	8.9	27.0	51.8	9.9
PENNSYLVANIA	74,234	3.7	0.9	7.7	22.7	61.4	3.7
PUERTO RICO	12,788	3.4	7.3	22.3	15.8	47.0	4.1
RHODE ISLAND	8,226	0.0	2.1	17.7	27.6	49.7	3.0
SOUTH CAROLINA	8,103	0.3	0.5	9.9	27.3	61.5	0.5
SOUTH DAKOTA	1,002	0.0	0.6	10.6	26.0	61.9	0.9
TENNESSEE	52,975	5.6	3.3	12.5	28.4	48.3	1.9
TEXAS	38,426	--	--	--	--	--	--
UTAH	6,248	0.0	8.7	6.3	25.6	49.6	9.8
VERMONT	4,055	0.8	0.4	11.4	20.6	61.9	4.9
VIRGIN ISLANDS	349	2.4	3.4	29.1	23.1	36.5	5.5
VIRGINIA	10,179	0.7	0.7	10.7	26.9	58.7	2.4
WASHINGTON	40,652	0.6	2.4	8.4	25.4	47.9	15.2
WEST VIRGINIA	7,165	0.2	0.7	15.2	26.6	45.5	11.8
WISCONSIN	9,249	0.6	0.2	10.1	37.5	50.5	1.1
WYOMING	65	0.0	1.7	5.1	16.7	75.9	0.6

NOTES: 'a/' = DATA NOT REPORTED.
'b/' = DATA REPORTED IN ERROR.
SOURCE: NATIONAL TANF DATAFILE AS OF 9/19/2006

Table 10:27

Temporary Assistance for Needy Families - Active Cases
Percent Distribution of TANF Adult Recipients by Citizenship Status
FY 2005

STATE	TOTAL ADULTS	U.S. CITIZEN	QUALIFIED ALIEN	UNKNOWN
U.S. TOTAL	1,092,018	93.9	5.9	0.2
ALABAMA	10,782	99.8	0.2	0.0
ALASKA	3,895	95.3	4.6	0.0
ARIZONA	25,307	94.2	5.8	0.0
ARKANSAS	4,290	99.5	0.3	0.2
CALIFORNIA	201,293	86.3	13.5	0.1
COLORADO	11,507	97.2	2.8	0.0
CONNECTICUT	11,719	95.9	4.0	0.1
DELAWARE	2,980	98.4	1.6	0.0
DIST. OF COL.	10,393	98.9	1.0	0.2
FLORIDA	20,746	87.7	12.3	0.0
GEORGIA	16,588	99.1	0.6	0.3
GUAM a/				
HAWAII	5,890	98.1	1.9	0.0
IDAHO	556	97.4	2.6	0.0
ILLINOIS	19,415	99.8	0.2	0.0
INDIANA	25,753	99.6	0.4	0.0
IOWA	12,880	98.6	1.4	0.0
KANSAS	14,400	97.9	2.1	0.0
KENTUCKY	19,279	99.0	1.0	0.0
LOUISIANA	5,855	99.3	0.7	0.0
MAINE	7,207	96.9	3.1	0.0
MARYLAND	13,420	98.7	1.3	0.0
MASSACHUSETTS	31,943	92.5	7.4	0.2
MICHIGAN	56,963	97.0	3.0	0.0
MINNESOTA	19,920	87.4	12.6	0.0
MISSISSIPPI	8,607	100.0	0.0	0.0
MISSOURI	28,610	98.9	1.1	0.0
MONTANA	3,888	99.8	0.2	0.0
NEBRASKA	4,136	94.2	5.8	0.0
NEVADA	3,092	94.5	5.5	0.0
NEW HAMPSHIRE	4,339	96.3	3.7	0.0
NEW JERSEY	28,657	97.0	3.0	0.0
NEW MEXICO	13,362	95.3	4.7	0.0
NEW YORK	93,476	88.5	11.4	0.0
NORTH CAROLINA	14,818	96.5	2.6	0.9
NORTH DAKOTA	2,160	99.1	0.9	0.0
OHIO	43,299	97.7	2.3	0.0
OKLAHOMA	5,450	99.5	0.3	0.2
OREGON	11,425	94.7	4.5	0.8
PENNSYLVANIA	74,234	97.2	2.8	0.0
PUERTO RICO	12,788	99.6	0.4	0.0
RHODE ISLAND	8,226	86.2	12.7	1.1
SOUTH CAROLINA	8,103	99.7	0.3	0.0
SOUTH DAKOTA	1,002	100.0	0.0	0.0
TENNESSEE	52,975	99.8	0.2	0.0
TEXAS	38,426	93.8	6.2	0.0
UTAH	6,248	95.3	4.7	0.0
VERMONT	4,055	98.6	1.4	0.0
VIRGIN ISLANDS	349	90.2	9.3	0.4
VIRGINIA	10,179	97.5	2.5	0.0
WASHINGTON	40,652	89.3	7.6	3.0
WEST VIRGINIA	7,165	99.9	0.1	0.0
WISCONSIN	9,249	100.0	0.0	0.0
WYOMING	65	98.7	1.3	0.0

NOTE: 'a/' = DATA NOT REPORTED.
SOURCE: NATIONAL TANF DATAFILE AS OF 9/19/2006

Table 10:28

Temporary Assistance for Needy Families - Active Cases
Percent Distribution of TANF Adult Recipients by Work Exemption Status
FY 2005

		DISREGARDED			EXEMPTED BY STATE		REQUIRED TO PARTICIPATE		DEEMED ENGAGED IN	
	TOTAL ADULTS	CHILD UNDER 12 MONTHS	SANCTION/ WAIVER	TRIBAL WORK	PARENT w/CHILD UNDER AGE 6*	DISABLED/ OTHER	DID NOT PARTICIPATE	PARTICIPATED	TEEN PARENT IN EDUCATION	PARENT w/CHILD UNDER AGE 6
U.S. TOTAL	1,092,018	10.6	4.7	0.3	1.8	11.4	29.8	30.8	0.8	9.9
ALABAMA	10,782	15.4	8.1	0.0	0.1	13.7	21.4	34.1	1.3	5.9
ALASKA	3,895	6.0	2.5	18.3	0.0	8.9	23.9	24.0	1.1	15.3
ARIZONA	25,307	7.9	0.9	3.7	0.0	8.4	48.4	19.5	0.3	10.7
ARKANSAS	4,290	17.8	9.1	0.0	0.3	15.7	22.6	28.1	0.3	6.0
CALIFORNIA	201,293	11.1	0.0	0.0	9.1	13.8	30.4	31.6	0.4	3.7
COLORADO	11,507	9.7	0.9	0.0	0.1	14.7	44.5	14.9	1.5	13.8
CONNECTICUT	11,719	18.9	1.8	0.0	0.0	1.8	38.8	18.6	0.5	19.6
DELAWARE	2,980	2.3	1.8	0.0	0.0	22.2	52.5	8.6	1.3	11.3
DIST. OF COL.	10,393	15.9	0.1	0.0	0.0	4.4	50.9	16.8	0.0	11.8
FLORIDA	20,746	14.8	11.4	0.0	0.0	1.6	29.9	35.7	2.0	4.7
GEORGIA	16,588	18.0	1.6	0.0	0.0	0.0	31.3	22.0	1.0	26.2
GUAM a/										
HAWAII	5,890	20.5	29.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	1.2	32.0	0.6	16.8
IDAHO	556	7.5	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	23.2	59.0	0.0	10.3
ILLINOIS	19,415	32.0	2.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	30.3	31.5	1.1	3.0
INDIANA	25,753	15.1	3.3	0.0	0.0	11.9	34.8	26.3	1.2	7.5
IOWA	12,880	15.2	3.3	0.0	0.0	0.7	26.8	29.3	1.2	23.5
KANSAS	14,400	8.7	0.0	0.0	0.0	1.9	8.0	79.2	1.0	1.2
KENTUCKY	19,279	11.8	6.4	0.0	0.0	7.5	32.9	28.2	2.0	11.2
LOUISIANA	5,855	14.2	0.0	0.0	0.0	9.3	28.0	21.9	2.7	24.0
MAINE	7,207	1.8	1.8	0.0	0.0	14.8	30.3	43.4	0.8	6.9
MARYLAND	13,420	7.5	1.2	0.0	0.6	4.5	0.0	79.7	1.8	4.6
MASSACHUSETTS	31,943	6.2	57.4	0.0	0.0	0.0	13.0	14.6	1.8	7.0
MICHIGAN	56,963	14.8	0.0	0.0	0.0	28.4	28.7	23.1	0.2	4.8
MINNESOTA	19,920	12.7	4.2	3.8	0.0	6.5	23.4	28.0	6.5	14.9
MISSISSIPPI	8,607	32.3	0.0	0.1	0.0	7.8	0.0	42.9	1.3	15.5
MISSOURI	28,610	12.8	3.0	0.0	0.6	4.0	46.9	22.7	0.7	9.5
MONTANA	3,888	6.4	3.9	13.4	0.0	0.1	20.7	35.9	0.2	19.4
NEBRASKA	4,136	12.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	3.1	15.2	66.4	0.1	3.1
NEVADA	3,092	17.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	1.1	14.2	41.3	0.2	26.1
NEW HAMPSHIRE	4,339	12.6	5.6	0.0	0.6	27.2	18.8	17.2	0.3	17.7
NEW JERSEY	28,657	10.7	0.0	0.0	0.0	13.4	35.9	34.3	0.1	5.7
NEW MEXICO	13,362	12.0	5.2	0.0	0.0	16.2	6.0	41.2	0.4	19.0
NEW YORK	93,476	4.3	8.4	0.0	0.0	15.5	37.9	16.5	0.0	17.3
NORTH CAROLINA	14,818	13.8	1.0	0.0	0.0	14.1	1.9	58.2	3.8	7.1
NORTH DAKOTA	2,160	13.4	0.0	17.4	0.0	4.4	26.2	31.6	0.9	6.1
OHIO	43,299	7.6	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.9	24.5	44.6	0.3	22.0
OKLAHOMA	5,450	20.4	0.0	0.0	0.0	1.1	28.9	40.0	0.6	9.2
OREGON	11,425	17.1	2.4	0.0	0.0	28.2	13.7	32.4	1.5	4.6
PENNSYLVANIA	74,234	3.4	0.0	0.0	0.4	18.5	47.0	27.3	0.0	3.5
PUERTO RICO	12,788	6.1	0.0	0.0	1.0	25.5	53.1	13.4	0.0	0.9
RHODE ISLAND	8,226	12.6	3.5	0.0	0.0	15.0	41.2	20.7	0.0	7.0
SOUTH CAROLINA	8,103	10.6	1.2	0.0	0.7	5.1	23.8	24.9	2.8	30.9
SOUTH DAKOTA	1,002	21.0	0.5	0.0	0.0	0.0	17.5	35.3	1.6	24.1
TENNESSEE	52,975	12.8	15.3	0.0	0.0	4.3	18.4	49.1	0.0	0.0
TEXAS	38,426	6.0	0.3	0.0	0.0	22.3	28.1	15.6	1.1	26.6
UTAH	6,248	0.0	2.7	0.0	0.0	0.2	43.3	44.7	1.9	7.3
VERMONT	4,055	9.0	4.4	0.0	0.2	20.6	31.6	26.2	0.6	7.4
VIRGIN ISLANDS	349	0.2	0.0	0.0	9.0	17.4	50.3	22.8	0.0	0.4
VIRGINIA	10,179	0.0	0.0	0.0	2.0	1.7	25.8	64.8	0.0	5.6
WASHINGTON	40,652	8.6	4.9	0.0	0.2	5.2	24.3	40.6	1.6	14.6
WEST VIRGINIA	7,165	18.0	3.9	0.0	0.2	20.6	34.9	13.6	0.2	8.5
WISCONSIN	9,249	7.9	13.7	0.0	0.0	0.9	6.4	35.8	2.6	32.7
WYOMING	65	16.9	14.4	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.0	64.5	0.3	3.8

NOTES: 'a/' = DATA NOT REPORTED.
'*' = CHILD CARE UNAVAILABLE.
SOURCE: NATIONAL TANF DATAFILE AS OF 9/19/2006

Table 10:29

Temporary Assistance for Needy Families - Active Cases
Percent Distribution of TANF Adult Recipients Participating in Work Activities*
FY 2005

STATE	TOTAL ADULTS	WORK PARTICIPATION		TYPE OF WORK ACTIVITIES***				
		NO	YES	UNSUBSIDIZED EMPLOYMENT	WORK PREPARATION**	JOB SEARCH	JOB TRAINING/ EDUCATION	OTHER WORK ACTIVITIES
U.S. TOTAL	1,092,018	56.5	43.5	21.3	9.9	6.5	9.1	5.8
ALABAMA	10,782	54.6	45.4	25.8	3.6	10.9	10.6	1.5
ALASKA	3,895	42.6	57.4	34.5	9.7	11.5	14.3	9.5
ARIZONA	25,307	67.5	32.5	18.4	4.3	8.2	7.2	0.0
ARKANSAS	4,290	64.3	35.7	12.1	5.9	9.0	11.2	1.9
CALIFORNIA	201,293	62.2	37.8	27.8	1.6	4.4	7.4	1.0
COLORADO	11,507	66.8	33.2	13.3	9.5	5.2	11.8	0.0
CONNECTICUT	11,719	49.1	50.9	31.6	1.7	4.8	13.1	14.9
DELAWARE	2,980	69.9	30.1	21.2	12.0	0.2	1.4	0.0
DIST. OF COL.	10,393	71.0	29.0	22.6	1.0	4.7	2.1	0.2
FLORIDA	20,746	60.4	39.6	18.9	9.3	6.8	13.3	0.0
GEORGIA	16,588	48.1	51.9	15.4	18.4	7.2	18.0	4.6
GUAM a/								
HAWAII	5,890	65.5	34.5	27.8	4.8	9.2	8.0	0.0
IDAHO	556	10.5	89.5	32.7	8.2	34.8	33.6	55.9
ILLINOIS	19,415	33.3	66.7	18.4	7.6	1.1	16.5	35.9
INDIANA	25,753	62.4	37.6	30.0	1.0	5.1	3.9	0.0
IOWA	12,880	38.2	61.8	45.4	0.7	3.6	14.5	11.5
KANSAS	14,400	17.6	82.4	15.8	71.0	5.2	4.1	0.0
KENTUCKY	19,279	56.1	43.9	19.6	7.3	2.2	19.6	2.1
LOUISIANA	5,855	51.1	48.9	21.1	6.3	7.2	21.4	0.0
MAINE	7,207	54.1	45.9	26.8	10.1	7.2	9.1	0.0
MARYLAND	13,420	66.4	33.6	8.4	10.9	12.9	8.3	0.0
MASSACHUSETTS	31,943	74.9	25.1	13.0	3.6	2.7	7.7	0.1
MICHIGAN	56,963	69.3	30.7	24.6	0.6	7.6	1.4	0.0
MINNESOTA	19,920	42.5	57.5	28.4	3.2	9.2	11.6	23.2
MISSISSIPPI	8,607	70.4	29.6	17.1	7.0	2.5	5.2	0.1
MISSOURI	28,610	58.2	41.8	14.9	2.0	4.8	16.4	12.2
MONTANA	3,888	16.3	83.7	17.1	83.7	8.7	15.8	0.0
NEBRASKA	4,136	57.6	42.4	18.0	0.5	17.9	9.9	0.0
NEVADA	3,092	19.6	80.4	37.9	65.2	8.3	5.3	0.0
NEW HAMPSHIRE	4,339	49.8	50.2	23.4	7.5	9.6	12.5	16.2
NEW JERSEY	28,657	57.9	42.1	16.0	14.5	5.6	17.6	0.0
NEW MEXICO	13,362	58.2	41.8	24.6	8.4	5.9	10.4	0.1
NEW YORK	93,476	66.1	33.9	18.3	12.6	0.5	3.6	0.0
NORTH CAROLINA	14,818	62.5	37.5	17.6	4.4	6.5	14.9	0.0
NORTH DAKOTA	2,160	51.9	48.1	22.7	9.9	14.4	10.7	0.0
OHIO	43,299	24.5	75.5	23.8	33.4	5.6	18.5	14.8
OKLAHOMA	5,450	46.9	53.1	12.3	3.9	16.9	19.9	0.0
OREGON	11,425	61.5	38.5	9.1	13.6	3.9	10.5	7.7
PENNSYLVANIA	74,234	69.1	30.9	10.6	7.6	1.0	8.2	6.9
PUERTO RICO	12,788	86.3	13.7	1.6	8.0	1.1	3.8	0.1
RHODE ISLAND	8,226	66.7	33.3	20.6	1.5	3.8	5.4	4.5
SOUTH CAROLINA	8,103	38.4	61.6	37.9	16.0	6.7	10.4	2.9
SOUTH DAKOTA	1,002	33.7	66.3	14.1	46.5	3.5	13.0	0.1
TENNESSEE	52,975	42.7	57.3	20.0	0.8	26.7	1.0	43.1
TEXAS	38,426	55.6	44.4	28.7	7.6	12.3	5.9	1.6
UTAH	6,248	46.1	53.9	22.0	3.3	17.5	24.6	0.0
VERMONT	4,055	26.5	73.5	22.3	5.7	10.9	11.8	49.6
VIRGIN ISLANDS	349	77.3	22.7	0.3	5.2	6.1	10.2	0.9
VIRGINIA	10,179	32.3	67.7	50.1	4.8	21.7	3.8	0.0
WASHINGTON	40,652	33.5	66.5	17.4	46.4	7.2	11.3	5.4
WEST VIRGINIA	7,165	69.9	30.1	5.9	13.3	3.2	10.2	0.0
WISCONSIN	9,249	25.0	75.0	5.6	48.6	12.4	43.6	0.0
WYOMING	65	34.3	65.7	11.4	47.8	18.5	2.9	0.0

NOTES: 'a/' = DATA NOT REPORTED.
'*' = SOME PARTICIPATED IN 2 OR 3 WORK ACTIVITIES.
'**' = SUBSIDIZED JOBS, ON-THE-JOB TRAINING, WORK EXPERIENCE, OR COMMUNITY SERVICE.
'***' = PERCENTAGE OF PARTICIPATION IN A WORK ACTIVITY MAY DIFFER FROM THAT REPORTED IN TABLE 3:4:c SINCE INFORMATION DERIVES FROM A SAMPLE.
SOURCE: NATIONAL TANF DATAFILE AS OF 9/19/2006

Table 10:30

Temporary Assistance for Needy Families - Active Cases
Percent Distribution of TANF Adult Recipients by Average Weekly Hours Participation in Work Activities
FY 2005

STATE	TOTAL ADULTS	HOURS OF PARTICIPATION					
		NONE	1-10	11-20	21-30	OVER 30	UNKNOWN
U.S. TOTAL	1,092,018	56.5	5.4	7.5	12.1	18.4	0.1
ALABAMA	10,782	54.6	5.7	7.8	12.6	19.3	0.0
ALASKA	3,895	42.6	8.8	8.0	10.9	29.2	0.5
ARIZONA	25,307	67.5	5.9	4.6	6.7	15.4	0.0
ARKANSAS	4,290	64.3	4.0	6.1	17.8	7.9	0.0
CALIFORNIA	201,293	62.2	4.5	8.0	8.2	17.2	0.0
COLORADO	11,507	66.8	3.6	5.0	9.4	13.3	1.9
CONNECTICUT	11,719	49.1	5.4	9.5	15.1	20.4	0.5
DELAWARE	2,980	69.9	2.2	6.4	7.6	13.8	0.0
DIST. OF COL.	10,393	71.0	2.9	4.6	7.2	14.3	0.0
FLORIDA	20,746	60.4	2.6	5.9	10.5	20.5	0.1
GEORGIA	16,588	48.1	2.9	2.1	25.8	21.1	0.0
GUAM a/							
HAWAII	5,890	65.5	2.7	3.7	3.8	23.9	0.4
IDAHO	556	10.5	7.5	12.8	24.3	44.7	0.1
ILLINOIS	19,415	33.3	11.4	5.1	31.5	18.3	0.4
INDIANA	25,753	62.4	3.9	6.6	14.7	12.5	0.0
IOWA	12,880	38.2	8.0	7.8	9.7	34.4	1.9
KANSAS	14,400	17.6	1.0	1.3	58.3	21.4	0.4
KENTUCKY	19,279	56.1	3.6	10.2	19.4	10.3	0.4
LOUISIANA	5,855	51.1	6.4	11.7	15.2	15.6	0.0
MAINE	7,207	54.1	6.2	10.2	19.6	9.8	0.0
MARYLAND	13,420	66.4	7.2	6.1	9.4	10.9	0.0
MASSACHUSETTS	31,943	74.9	1.5	10.2	8.6	4.8	0.0
MICHIGAN	56,963	69.3	4.3	7.0	9.7	9.7	0.0
MINNESOTA	19,920	42.5	13.4	9.3	12.3	22.5	0.1
MISSISSIPPI	8,607	70.4	3.7	6.1	9.9	9.8	0.0
MISSOURI	28,610	58.2	6.3	13.4	14.9	7.2	0.0
MONTANA	3,888	16.3	2.3	2.5	1.6	77.3	0.0
NEBRASKA	4,136	57.6	5.9	4.7	11.8	20.0	0.0
NEVADA	3,092	19.6	34.7	8.6	12.9	24.2	0.1
NEW HAMPSHIRE	4,339	49.8	7.5	7.6	19.5	15.7	0.0
NEW JERSEY	28,657	57.9	4.9	6.9	7.2	23.1	0.0
NEW MEXICO	13,362	58.2	3.2	3.3	11.0	24.2	0.1
NEW YORK	93,476	66.1	2.3	5.0	7.4	19.2	0.0
NORTH CAROLINA	14,818	62.5	3.2	8.8	11.4	14.2	0.0
NORTH DAKOTA	2,160	51.9	9.3	14.1	13.9	10.7	0.0
OHIO	43,299	24.5	8.7	6.5	30.0	29.9	0.3
OKLAHOMA	5,450	46.9	9.2	7.1	15.6	21.2	0.0
OREGON	11,425	61.5	9.5	9.7	10.7	8.6	0.0
PENNSYLVANIA	74,234	69.1	6.7	5.9	5.8	12.4	0.1
PUERTO RICO	12,788	86.3	0.1	0.8	7.6	5.2	0.0
RHODE ISLAND	8,226	66.7	3.7	8.5	12.1	9.1	0.0
SOUTH CAROLINA	8,103	38.4	3.7	14.9	23.2	19.7	0.1
SOUTH DAKOTA	1,002	33.7	8.1	25.3	21.9	11.0	0.0
TENNESSEE	52,975	42.7	4.5	7.6	6.2	39.0	0.0
TEXAS	38,426	55.6	3.0	6.5	14.2	20.7	0.0
UTAH	6,248	46.1	10.7	11.2	11.3	20.8	0.0
VERMONT	4,055	26.5	19.3	23.0	11.1	19.6	0.6
VIRGIN ISLANDS	349	77.3	0.4	2.6	14.3	5.4	0.0
VIRGINIA	10,179	32.3	5.7	9.0	27.7	25.3	0.0
WASHINGTON	40,652	33.5	11.6	12.9	12.2	29.8	0.0
WEST VIRGINIA	7,165	69.9	7.5	7.3	7.2	8.1	0.0
WISCONSIN	9,249	25.0	16.7	23.3	22.9	12.1	0.0
WYOMING	65	34.1	3.1	6.5	4.4	51.8	0.2

NOTE: 'a/' = DATA NOT REPORTED.
SOURCE: NATIONAL TANF DATAFILE AS OF 9/19/2006

Table 10:31

Temporary Assistance for Needy Families - Active Cases
Percent Distribution of TANF Adult Recipients by Employment Status
FY 2005

STATE	TOTAL ADULTS	EMPLOYMENT STATUS		
		EMPLOYED	UNEMPLOYED*	NOT IN LABOR FORCE**
U.S. TOTAL	1,092,018	23.2	50.4	26.4
ALABAMA	10,782	27.9	54.8	17.3
ALASKA	3,895	34.5	65.5	0.0
ARIZONA	25,307	18.5	14.1	67.4
ARKANSAS	4,290	12.0	42.4	45.7
CALIFORNIA	201,293	28.0	40.3	31.7
COLORADO	11,507	30.5	69.5	0.0
CONNECTICUT	11,719	33.0	46.5	20.5
DELAWARE	2,980	23.7	44.9	31.4
DIST. OF COL.	10,393	22.6	56.9	20.5
FLORIDA	20,746	18.9	25.4	55.7
GEORGIA	16,588	17.9	0.0	82.1
GUAM a/				
HAWAII	5,890	29.9	32.7	37.4
IDAHO	556	33.7	60.1	6.2
ILLINOIS	19,415	18.6	81.4	0.0
INDIANA	25,753	30.7	36.4	32.9
IOWA	12,880	45.6	54.3	0.1
KANSAS	14,400	10.1	71.0	18.9
KENTUCKY	19,279	20.2	68.6	11.2
LOUISIANA	5,855	25.8	55.1	19.1
MAINE	7,207	28.1	8.3	63.6
MARYLAND	13,420	7.7	92.3	0.0
MASSACHUSETTS	31,943	13.4	38.4	48.1
MICHIGAN	56,963	33.2	33.8	33.0
MINNESOTA	19,920	28.6	63.0	8.4
MISSISSIPPI	8,607	18.5	38.7	42.8
MISSOURI	28,610	20.4	60.8	18.8
MONTANA	3,888	23.4	76.6	0.0
NEBRASKA	4,136	25.7	74.3	0.0
NEVADA	3,092	38.0	44.9	17.1
NEW HAMPSHIRE	4,339	23.5	18.4	58.0
NEW JERSEY	28,657	18.0	74.6	7.4
NEW MEXICO	13,362	24.4	17.3	58.2
NEW YORK	93,476	19.6	60.6	19.9
NORTH CAROLINA	14,818	19.1	48.0	33.0
NORTH DAKOTA	2,160	33.1	7.2	59.6
OHIO	43,299	24.0	75.6	0.4
OKLAHOMA	5,450	7.9	92.1	0.0
OREGON	11,425	14.0	46.9	39.2
PENNSYLVANIA	74,234	11.1	88.9	0.0
PUERTO RICO	12,788	5.9	52.7	41.4
RHODE ISLAND	8,226	20.7	34.4	44.9
SOUTH CAROLINA	8,103	37.4	48.8	13.8
SOUTH DAKOTA	1,002	20.7	79.3	0.0
TENNESSEE	52,975	20.0	63.6	16.3
TEXAS	38,426	37.6	38.2	24.2
UTAH	6,248	26.5	73.4	0.1
VERMONT	4,055	22.2	57.5	20.3
VIRGIN ISLANDS	349	4.4	94.5	1.1
VIRGINIA	10,179	59.2	12.0	28.7
WASHINGTON	40,652	20.2	5.6	74.2
WEST VIRGINIA	7,165	12.7	53.0	34.3
WISCONSIN	9,249	14.1	85.9	0.0
WYOMING	65	10.0	90.0	0.0

NOTES: 'a/' = DATA NOT REPORTED.
'*' = UNEMPLOYED, LOOKING FOR WORK.
'**' = UNEMPLOYED, NOT LOOKING FOR WORK (INCLUDES DISCOURAGED WORKERS).
SOURCE: NATIONAL TANF DATAFILE AS OF 9/19/2006

Table 10:32

Temporary Assistance for Needy Families - Active Cases
Percent Distribution of TANF Male/Female Adult Recipients by Employment Status
FY 2005

STATE	TOTAL MALES	EMPLOYMENT STATUS			TOTAL FEMALES	EMPLOYMENT STATUS		
		EMPLOYED	UNEMPLOYED*	NOT IN LABOR FORCE**		EMPLOYED	UNEMPLOYED*	NOT IN LABOR FORCE**
U.S. TOTAL	112,986	20.3	50.3	29.4	979,032	23.6	50.4	26.1
ALABAMA	329	13.1	42.1	44.8	10,454	28.3	55.2	16.4
ALASKA	939	33.8	66.2	0.0	2,955	34.8	65.2	0.0
ARIZONA	2,306	20.8	15.5	63.6	23,001	18.2	14.0	67.8
ARKANSAS	393	10.6	31.1	58.3	3,897	12.1	43.5	44.4
CALIFORNIA	24,373	21.1	43.8	35.1	176,920	28.9	39.9	31.2
COLORADO	1,632	31.0	69.0	0.0	9,875	30.5	69.5	0.0
CONNECTICUT	454	32.0	60.2	7.9	11,265	33.0	46.0	21.0
DELAWARE	106	20.9	35.2	43.9	2,875	23.8	45.3	30.9
DIST. OF COL.	529	21.1	66.3	12.6	9,864	22.7	56.4	20.9
FLORIDA	1,144	17.6	16.2	66.2	19,601	19.0	25.9	55.1
GEORGIA	364	22.6	0.0	77.4	16,224	17.8	0.0	82.2
GUAM a/								
HAWAII	439	22.9	42.6	34.5	5,451	30.5	31.9	37.6
IDAHO	74	45.8	45.0	9.2	482	31.9	62.4	5.7
ILLINOIS	203	1.0	99.0	0.0	19,212	18.8	81.2	0.0
INDIANA	1,728	28.8	35.9	35.3	24,025	30.8	36.5	32.7
IOWA	1,433	42.4	57.6	0.0	11,447	46.0	53.9	0.1
KANSAS	2,411	8.6	83.7	7.7	11,989	10.3	68.5	21.2
KENTUCKY	2,426	16.9	82.4	0.7	16,853	20.7	66.6	12.8
LOUISIANA	198	27.6	40.4	32.1	5,658	25.8	55.6	18.6
MAINE	736	26.1	8.3	65.5	6,471	28.3	8.3	63.4
MARYLAND	449	5.7	94.3	0.0	12,972	7.7	92.3	0.0
MASSACHUSETTS	2,905	17.6	35.3	47.1	29,039	13.0	38.8	48.2
MICHIGAN	7,492	30.8	30.0	39.2	49,471	33.6	34.3	32.1
MINNESOTA	1,645	28.1	61.8	10.1	18,275	28.6	63.1	8.2
MISSISSIPPI	162	18.2	27.7	54.1	8,445	18.5	38.9	42.6
MISSOURI	1,533	11.7	67.3	20.9	27,077	20.9	60.4	18.6
MONTANA	911	22.8	77.2	0.0	2,978	23.5	76.5	0.0
NEBRASKA	336	26.2	73.8	0.0	3,800	25.7	74.3	0.0
NEVADA	154	45.6	51.6	2.8	2,937	37.6	44.6	17.8
NEW HAMPSHIRE	456	18.8	16.6	64.7	3,883	24.1	18.6	57.3
NEW JERSEY	1,154	8.8	88.7	2.6	27,504	18.3	74.0	7.6
NEW MEXICO	2,048	29.8	14.7	55.5	11,314	23.5	17.8	58.7
NEW YORK	13,266	16.6	65.8	17.6	80,210	20.1	59.7	20.2
NORTH CAROLINA	959	14.1	41.8	44.1	13,859	19.4	48.4	32.2
NORTH DAKOTA	150	32.1	7.8	60.1	2,010	33.2	7.2	59.6
OHIO	6,453	23.6	76.2	0.2	36,846	24.1	75.5	0.4
OKLAHOMA	303	3.3	96.7	0.0	5,147	8.2	91.8	0.0
OREGON	1,540	19.4	39.0	41.6	9,885	13.1	48.1	38.8
PENNSYLVANIA	9,509	10.7	89.3	0.0	64,726	11.2	88.8	0.0
PUERTO RICO	1,290	1.9	18.6	79.5	11,498	6.4	56.5	37.1
RHODE ISLAND	765	25.7	24.4	49.9	7,461	20.2	35.4	44.4
SOUTH CAROLINA	500	37.9	59.1	3.0	7,602	37.4	48.1	14.5
SOUTH DAKOTA	68	22.3	77.7	0.0	934	20.6	79.4	0.0
TENNESSEE	2,643	10.0	36.3	53.7	50,332	20.6	65.1	14.4
TEXAS	2,469	26.0	38.3	35.8	35,957	38.4	38.2	23.4
UTAH	692	17.2	82.2	0.6	5,555	27.6	72.3	0.0
VERMONT	790	23.2	58.8	18.0	3,264	22.0	57.2	20.8
VIRGIN ISLANDS	12	0.0	100.0	0.0	336	4.6	94.3	1.1
VIRGINIA	637	40.4	13.0	46.6	9,541	60.5	12.0	27.5
WASHINGTON	7,867	22.7	6.7	70.7	32,785	19.6	5.3	75.1
WEST VIRGINIA	1,042	9.0	40.6	50.4	6,122	13.3	55.1	31.6
WISCONSIN	559	14.9	85.1	0.0	8,690	14.0	86.0	0.0
WYOMING	9	3.3	96.7	0.0	57	11.0	89.0	0.0

NOTES: 'a/' = DATA NOT REPORTED.
'*' = UNEMPLOYED, LOOKING FOR WORK.
'**' = UNEMPLOYED, NOT LOOKING FOR WORK (INCLUDES DISCOURAGED WORKERS).
SOURCE: NATIONAL TANF DATAFILE AS OF 9/19/2006

Table 10:33

Temporary Assistance for Needy Families - Active Cases
Percent Distribution of TANF Recipient Children by Age Group
FY 2005

STATE	TOTAL CHILDREN	AGE OF RECIPIENT CHILDREN					
		0 - 1	2 - 5	6 - 11	12 - 15	16 - 19	UNKNOWN
U.S. TOTAL	3,457,422	14.5	25.0	31.8	19.9	8.8	0.0
ALABAMA	36,692	15.7	27.5	32.4	17.6	6.8	0.0
ALASKA	8,163	16.9	24.9	27.9	20.9	9.4	0.0
ARIZONA	72,899	10.8	25.1	35.9	20.9	7.3	0.0
ARKANSAS	14,308	15.5	25.1	30.6	19.8	8.8	0.3
CALIFORNIA	912,876	11.0	22.0	35.1	22.4	9.5	0.0
COLORADO	28,316	16.7	29.3	30.6	16.9	6.5	0.0
CONNECTICUT	29,208	19.9	26.2	27.2	17.8	8.9	0.0
DELAWARE	9,392	16.1	26.4	29.6	19.4	8.5	0.0
DIST. OF COL.	31,705	14.5	26.0	33.1	19.1	7.1	0.2
FLORIDA	88,942	12.9	24.5	33.3	19.8	9.6	0.0
GEORGIA	73,785	16.9	23.2	28.9	21.0	10.1	0.0
GUAM a/							
HAWAII	14,528	17.2	25.1	29.2	19.5	9.0	0.0
IDAHO	2,710	11.7	23.4	34.7	22.1	8.1	0.0
ILLINOIS	75,665	19.9	24.7	29.2	17.7	8.5	0.0
INDIANA	81,945	17.1	29.7	31.3	15.9	6.0	0.0
IOWA	29,783	20.8	30.4	26.3	15.6	6.9	0.0
KANSAS	32,143	19.8	30.0	29.0	15.1	6.2	0.0
KENTUCKY	55,652	16.0	26.9	30.1	19.7	7.3	0.0
LOUISIANA	30,368	14.6	24.2	31.9	20.2	9.1	0.0
MAINE	15,546	14.7	26.3	30.8	20.0	8.2	0.0
MARYLAND	40,137	15.5	24.4	31.1	21.5	7.5	0.0
MASSACHUSETTS	72,270	14.0	22.2	30.3	23.2	10.4	0.0
MICHIGAN	152,117	14.1	23.0	31.4	21.3	10.3	0.0
MINNESOTA	53,061	13.8	25.4	30.8	18.0	11.9	0.0
MISSISSIPPI	25,868	16.8	26.3	28.9	20.0	7.9	0.0
MISSOURI	67,476	18.4	29.1	27.1	17.7	7.7	0.0
MONTANA	8,219	18.0	29.1	28.2	16.5	8.1	0.0
NEBRASKA	17,594	14.6	24.9	32.2	18.7	8.4	1.1
NEVADA	12,547	18.3	25.3	32.6	16.7	7.1	0.0
NEW HAMPSHIRE	9,860	15.7	28.3	29.6	18.3	8.1	0.0
NEW JERSEY	77,960	14.6	26.1	30.9	19.3	9.2	0.0
NEW MEXICO	31,786	19.6	29.3	28.5	16.4	6.1	0.0
NEW YORK	238,120	13.5	27.8	30.8	19.8	8.0	0.0
NORTH CAROLINA	54,210	16.4	25.4	29.8	20.5	7.9	0.0
NORTH DAKOTA	5,210	18.8	28.2	30.4	16.5	6.0	0.0
OHIO	136,017	17.0	23.9	28.7	19.5	11.0	0.0
OKLAHOMA	20,973	14.5	26.8	28.7	20.4	9.6	0.0
OREGON	33,139	16.4	24.2	29.4	20.5	9.6	0.0
PENNSYLVANIA	178,898	17.7	25.5	30.0	18.2	8.6	0.0
PUERTO RICO	29,056	8.6	28.1	36.7	19.6	6.2	0.9
RHODE ISLAND	18,699	16.3	25.9	30.5	18.8	8.5	0.0
SOUTH CAROLINA	27,217	17.9	28.9	26.4	18.5	8.3	0.0
SOUTH DAKOTA	5,097	12.8	23.7	31.5	22.6	9.4	0.0
TENNESSEE	130,690	15.8	28.1	31.3	17.6	7.2	0.0
TEXAS	168,545	17.3	27.8	30.8	17.2	7.0	0.0
UTAH	16,167	16.7	28.6	30.0	17.1	7.5	0.0
VERMONT	7,488	16.8	28.0	32.2	15.7	7.3	0.0
VIRGIN ISLANDS	1,038	15.4	34.7	31.5	14.1	4.3	0.0
VIRGINIA	18,395	7.2	35.2	34.6	17.1	5.8	0.0
WASHINGTON	96,252	16.8	24.7	30.8	18.6	9.1	0.0
WEST VIRGINIA	19,970	15.8	25.3	30.4	19.1	9.4	0.0
WISCONSIN	38,237	11.9	22.7	31.0	22.2	12.1	0.0
WYOMING	485	10.5	24.0	33.9	23.3	8.4	0.0

NOTE: 'a/' = DATA NOT REPORTED.
SOURCE: NATIONAL TANF DATAFILE AS OF 9/19/2006

Table 10:34

Temporary Assistance for Needy Families - Active Cases
Percent Distribution of TANF Male Recipient Children by Age Group
FY 2005

STATE	TOTAL MALE CHILDREN	AGE OF MALE RECIPIENT CHILDREN					
		0 - 1	2 - 5	6 - 11	12 - 15	16 - 19	UNKNOWN
U.S. TOTAL	1,713,749	14.9	25.5	31.9	19.3	8.4	0.0
ALABAMA	18,330	15.9	28.0	33.0	16.5	6.6	0.0
ALASKA	4,127	17.2	24.0	28.4	21.1	9.2	0.0
ARIZONA	36,379	9.8	26.6	35.4	21.6	6.7	0.0
ARKANSAS	6,696	16.4	25.0	30.9	19.7	7.8	0.1
CALIFORNIA	448,180	11.7	22.2	35.9	21.6	8.6	0.0
COLORADO	14,312	16.7	29.7	30.6	16.8	6.2	0.0
CONNECTICUT	14,530	19.6	26.5	27.8	17.2	8.8	0.0
DELAWARE	4,566	16.0	27.1	29.0	19.9	8.0	0.0
DIST. OF COL.	15,288	13.5	26.8	34.7	18.6	6.2	0.2
FLORIDA	43,085	12.2	25.8	32.7	19.8	9.4	0.0
GEORGIA	37,715	16.9	23.5	29.2	20.2	10.2	0.0
GUAM a/							
HAWAII	7,589	17.2	25.2	28.7	19.4	9.5	0.0
IDAHO	1,382	12.2	22.1	37.0	21.5	7.2	0.0
ILLINOIS	36,154	19.4	25.3	29.5	18.0	7.7	0.0
INDIANA	40,407	17.1	30.6	30.2	15.6	6.4	0.0
IOWA	15,337	21.2	30.1	26.8	15.5	6.4	0.0
KANSAS	16,335	19.3	31.0	28.7	14.7	6.4	0.0
KENTUCKY	28,223	15.7	28.3	29.6	18.8	7.4	0.1
LOUISIANA	14,586	16.0	24.9	31.2	19.4	8.6	0.0
MAINE	7,895	14.4	27.2	31.1	19.6	7.8	0.0
MARYLAND	19,736	16.8	25.3	29.5	21.2	7.2	0.0
MASSACHUSETTS	37,223	14.7	22.1	30.7	22.6	9.9	0.0
MICHIGAN	74,581	15.3	21.8	31.3	20.7	10.8	0.1
MINNESOTA	27,313	14.0	25.7	31.0	16.5	12.8	0.0
MISSISSIPPI	12,600	17.5	26.5	29.4	19.3	7.3	0.0
MISSOURI	33,325	19.0	30.2	26.4	16.9	7.6	0.0
MONTANA	4,227	18.0	29.1	28.5	17.1	7.3	0.0
NEBRASKA	8,478	13.5	26.9	30.7	19.8	8.9	0.3
NEVADA	6,260	18.3	26.5	32.3	16.1	6.8	0.0
NEW HAMPSHIRE	4,924	15.5	29.2	29.4	17.5	8.3	0.0
NEW JERSEY	36,977	15.1	26.5	30.8	19.6	8.0	0.0
NEW MEXICO	15,853	19.1	28.6	30.6	16.1	5.6	0.0
NEW YORK	119,073	14.5	27.9	30.4	18.8	8.4	0.0
NORTH CAROLINA	26,671	16.5	26.2	30.5	19.0	7.8	0.0
NORTH DAKOTA	2,584	18.7	27.6	31.0	16.6	6.1	0.0
OHIO	67,417	17.8	24.6	28.5	19.1	10.0	0.0
OKLAHOMA	10,444	14.3	27.7	28.7	20.5	8.9	0.0
OREGON	16,503	16.0	24.4	28.9	20.5	10.2	0.0
PENNSYLVANIA	89,649	18.6	26.7	30.6	16.9	7.1	0.1
PUERTO RICO	14,914	9.1	28.2	36.3	19.2	6.4	0.9
RHODE ISLAND	9,282	16.8	26.2	29.9	18.6	8.5	0.0
SOUTH CAROLINA	13,226	19.1	29.5	25.6	17.9	7.9	0.1
SOUTH DAKOTA	2,548	13.1	24.0	31.1	22.3	9.6	0.0
TENNESSEE	65,320	16.7	28.0	31.4	17.3	6.6	0.0
TEXAS	84,293	17.0	28.6	31.0	16.1	7.4	0.0
UTAH	8,328	16.8	29.1	29.4	17.4	7.4	0.0
VERMONT	3,745	18.8	28.5	30.9	15.0	6.8	0.0
VIRGIN ISLANDS	515	15.6	36.5	30.6	13.2	4.1	0.0
VIRGINIA	9,175	7.0	37.6	33.7	16.5	5.2	0.0
WASHINGTON	48,112	16.4	24.6	30.9	18.5	9.6	0.0
WEST VIRGINIA	10,092	15.1	26.2	29.6	19.4	9.8	0.0
WISCONSIN	19,018	13.1	22.1	30.7	22.3	11.7	0.0
WYOMING	229	12.7	25.0	35.6	18.9	7.9	0.0

NOTE: 'a/' = DATA NOT REPORTED.
SOURCE: NATIONAL TANF DATAFILE AS OF 9/19/2006

Table 10:35

Temporary Assistance for Needy Families - Active Cases
Percent Distribution of TANF Female Recipient Children by Age Group
FY 2005

STATE	TOTAL FEMALE CHILDREN	AGE OF FEMALE RECIPIENT CHILDREN					
		0 - 1	2 - 5	6 - 11	12 - 15	16 - 19	UNKNOWN
U.S. TOTAL	1,743,487	14.0	24.6	31.7	20.5	9.1	0.0
ALABAMA	18,362	15.5	27.1	31.7	18.7	7.0	0.0
ALASKA	4,036	16.6	25.9	27.4	20.6	9.5	0.0
ARIZONA	36,520	11.9	23.7	36.4	20.1	7.9	0.0
ARKANSAS	7,611	14.6	25.1	30.3	19.8	9.7	0.4
CALIFORNIA	464,696	10.2	21.8	34.4	23.1	10.4	0.0
COLORADO	14,004	16.7	28.9	30.6	17.1	6.7	0.0
CONNECTICUT	14,678	20.2	25.9	26.5	18.4	9.0	0.0
DELAWARE	4,825	16.2	25.8	30.2	18.9	8.9	0.0
DIST. OF COL.	16,416	15.4	25.3	31.6	19.5	8.0	0.2
FLORIDA	45,857	13.6	23.2	33.7	19.7	9.8	0.0
GEORGIA	36,070	16.8	22.9	28.5	21.8	10.0	0.0
GUAM a/							
HAWAII	6,939	17.3	24.9	29.7	19.6	8.4	0.0
IDAHO	1,328	11.2	24.8	32.4	22.7	9.0	0.0
ILLINOIS	39,511	20.3	24.2	28.8	17.5	9.1	0.0
INDIANA	41,538	17.1	28.8	32.3	16.1	5.7	0.0
IOWA	14,446	20.4	30.6	25.8	15.7	7.4	0.0
KANSAS	15,808	20.3	28.9	29.3	15.5	5.9	0.0
KENTUCKY	27,429	16.2	25.5	30.5	20.7	7.2	0.0
LOUISIANA	15,781	13.3	23.7	32.5	21.0	9.6	0.0
MAINE	7,651	15.0	25.5	30.5	20.5	8.6	0.0
MARYLAND	20,402	14.2	23.6	32.7	21.7	7.8	0.0
MASSACHUSETTS	35,047	13.2	22.3	29.8	23.9	10.8	0.0
MICHIGAN	77,536	13.0	24.1	31.4	21.8	9.8	0.0
MINNESOTA	25,749	13.6	25.2	30.7	19.6	11.0	0.0
MISSISSIPPI	13,268	16.2	26.1	28.5	20.7	8.5	0.0
MISSOURI	34,151	17.9	27.9	27.8	18.5	7.8	0.0
MONTANA	3,993	18.1	29.0	28.0	15.9	9.0	0.0
NEBRASKA	8,963	15.9	23.5	34.3	18.1	8.0	0.1
NEVADA	6,287	18.3	24.0	32.8	17.4	7.4	0.0
NEW HAMPSHIRE	4,936	15.8	27.3	29.9	19.2	7.9	0.0
NEW JERSEY	40,983	14.1	25.7	30.9	18.9	10.3	0.0
NEW MEXICO	15,908	20.1	30.1	26.4	16.7	6.7	0.1
NEW YORK	119,048	12.6	27.7	31.3	20.8	7.7	0.0
NORTH CAROLINA	27,538	16.3	24.7	29.1	21.9	8.0	0.0
NORTH DAKOTA	2,626	19.0	28.9	29.8	16.4	5.9	0.0
OHIO	68,600	16.1	23.2	28.9	19.8	12.0	0.0
OKLAHOMA	10,529	14.8	25.9	28.7	20.2	10.4	0.0
OREGON	16,637	16.7	24.0	29.9	20.4	8.9	0.0
PENNSYLVANIA	89,249	16.8	24.2	29.4	19.5	10.0	0.0
PUERTO RICO	14,141	8.1	27.9	37.1	20.0	6.0	0.8
RHODE ISLAND	9,418	15.8	25.7	31.0	19.0	8.4	0.0
SOUTH CAROLINA	13,991	16.8	28.3	27.1	19.2	8.6	0.0
SOUTH DAKOTA	2,549	12.5	23.5	31.9	22.9	9.2	0.0
TENNESSEE	65,370	14.9	28.3	31.1	18.0	7.8	0.0
TEXAS	84,252	17.6	27.0	30.5	18.3	6.6	0.0
UTAH	7,838	16.5	28.2	30.7	16.9	7.7	0.0
VERMONT	3,743	14.7	27.5	33.6	16.4	7.8	0.0
VIRGIN ISLANDS	523	15.2	33.0	32.3	15.0	4.6	0.0
VIRGINIA	9,220	7.4	32.9	35.5	17.8	6.4	0.0
WASHINGTON	48,134	17.1	24.8	30.7	18.8	8.6	0.0
WEST VIRGINIA	9,877	16.5	24.5	31.3	18.7	9.0	0.0
WISCONSIN	19,220	10.7	23.4	31.3	22.1	12.6	0.0
WYOMING	256	8.5	23.1	32.3	27.2	8.9	0.0

NOTE: 'a/' = DATA NOT REPORTED.
SOURCE: NATIONAL TANF DATAFILE AS OF 9/19/2006

Table 10:36

Temporary Assistance for Needy Families - Active Cases
Percent Distribution of TANF Youngest Child Recipient by Age Group
FY 2005

STATE	TOTAL FAMILIES	AGE OF THE YOUNGEST CHILD								
		UNBORN	UNDER 1	1 - 2	3 - 5	6 - 8	9 - 11	12 - 15	16 - 19	UNKNOWN
U.S. TOTAL	1,914,036	0.6	14.4	20.2	18.6	13.4	11.8	14.2	6.1	1.0
ALABAMA	20,040	0.0	13.5	21.9	18.7	14.9	11.1	14.7	5.1	0.1
ALASKA	4,382	1.3	16.7	20.1	18.8	11.3	9.8	14.8	7.2	0.0
ARIZONA	43,738	0.0	15.2	20.9	20.2	13.6	11.1	13.2	3.9	1.9
ARKANSAS	8,642	0.3	16.5	20.8	16.3	12.7	12.7	14.5	5.9	0.2
CALIFORNIA	459,095	1.3	11.1	19.4	19.0	15.4	14.5	13.6	5.7	0.2
COLORADO	15,268	3.6	15.7	21.8	19.8	12.4	9.6	12.2	4.2	0.8
CONNECTICUT	19,830	0.0	15.5	22.6	17.0	11.0	10.0	13.6	6.9	3.4
DELAWARE	5,606	0.0	15.7	16.7	18.7	13.1	11.5	17.1	7.0	0.2
DIST. OF COL.	16,874	0.0	13.1	21.4	21.5	14.0	11.1	12.4	5.3	1.1
FLORIDA	59,852	0.0	9.9	14.1	17.9	16.3	14.9	16.9	7.9	1.9
GEORGIA	41,586	0.0	16.8	17.4	15.4	12.9	12.0	17.5	7.6	0.4
GUAM a/										
HAWAII	7,997	0.0	16.6	20.3	17.2	12.7	10.9	14.9	6.7	0.7
IDAHO	1,859	0.0	9.4	12.5	16.9	17.2	14.9	20.6	7.7	0.8
ILLINOIS	38,391	0.1	22.7	20.6	15.3	11.6	10.2	13.4	6.1	0.0
INDIANA	43,957	0.0	17.2	24.0	20.2	12.7	9.8	12.0	4.2	0.0
IOWA	17,707	0.0	17.8	25.1	20.0	10.0	8.4	13.4	5.1	0.2
KANSAS	17,616	0.0	22.1	23.4	17.6	10.5	9.1	10.2	4.0	3.1
KENTUCKY	34,701	0.0	13.0	19.8	19.0	14.3	11.0	16.6	6.3	0.0
LOUISIANA	16,103	0.0	15.6	17.3	17.1	14.5	11.7	16.8	6.3	0.8
MAINE	9,490	0.0	16.0	20.4	20.3	12.6	10.9	14.2	5.0	0.6
MARYLAND	23,097	0.0	14.4	18.8	17.9	12.3	12.1	16.1	5.3	3.2
MASSACHUSETTS	48,824	0.0	16.1	20.6	18.7	12.7	10.4	13.9	6.1	1.5
MICHIGAN	80,590	0.0	16.6	16.4	16.3	13.7	12.5	15.8	7.2	1.5
MINNESOTA	29,041	0.0	16.2	20.9	19.3	11.6	10.7	11.1	8.5	1.8
MISSISSIPPI	16,071	0.0	22.3	21.3	16.4	11.2	10.6	13.1	5.0	0.0
MISSOURI	40,062	0.0	17.4	25.5	19.1	11.5	8.9	12.1	5.1	0.4
MONTANA	4,614	2.1	17.1	22.6	19.0	10.6	9.3	12.7	6.1	0.6
NEBRASKA	10,030	0.4	14.0	20.2	18.1	13.6	10.9	14.6	6.5	1.7
NEVADA	6,788	0.0	20.5	18.1	17.8	13.9	11.0	12.2	6.0	0.6
NEW HAMPSHIRE	6,139	0.0	14.4	23.0	18.3	13.2	10.8	14.2	6.1	0.0
NEW JERSEY	43,071	0.0	14.4	20.5	20.0	13.8	10.4	14.6	6.3	0.0
NEW MEXICO	17,566	4.0	21.5	22.8	18.7	10.6	9.4	9.2	3.8	0.0
NEW YORK	141,522	1.9	10.9	21.4	20.5	11.8	10.8	15.0	5.9	1.8
NORTH CAROLINA	33,773	0.0	14.8	18.4	17.0	13.7	11.5	17.6	6.5	0.4
NORTH DAKOTA	2,850	0.0	20.4	21.7	19.4	12.5	8.6	10.5	4.0	2.8
OHIO	82,597	0.0	15.6	18.2	15.7	12.2	11.8	16.4	8.6	1.6
OKLAHOMA	11,781	0.0	18.2	21.2	19.0	12.8	9.1	15.1	4.6	0.0
OREGON	19,358	0.7	16.7	18.2	16.8	11.4	12.1	15.8	8.2	0.1
PENNSYLVANIA	96,635	0.0	17.0	21.4	17.8	12.1	10.5	13.1	5.7	2.5
PUERTO RICO	15,147	0.0	10.5	23.0	24.9	14.9	11.1	11.7	3.6	0.3
RHODE ISLAND	10,744	0.0	14.6	21.3	20.0	12.5	10.9	12.6	5.5	2.7
SOUTH CAROLINA	15,854	0.0	16.6	21.7	19.5	9.5	10.3	16.7	5.6	0.0
SOUTH DAKOTA	2,773	0.0	15.1	18.6	17.8	12.9	12.5	16.8	6.2	0.1
TENNESSEE	70,572	0.0	15.6	20.8	20.3	12.1	10.7	14.0	5.4	1.0
TEXAS	88,465	0.0	16.3	23.5	18.9	12.9	9.8	12.6	6.1	0.0
UTAH	9,030	8.5	12.3	19.7	19.4	11.1	9.3	13.6	5.6	0.4
VERMONT	4,580	0.0	15.7	19.8	20.0	14.7	11.1	12.0	5.4	1.4
VIRGIN ISLANDS	472	0.0	19.6	28.6	21.5	11.5	9.1	7.7	1.8	0.2
VIRGINIA	9,914	0.0	5.9	28.4	27.3	14.2	11.0	10.4	2.8	0.0
WASHINGTON	56,822	0.0	15.9	19.3	16.5	13.2	9.7	14.0	7.0	4.4
WEST VIRGINIA	12,003	0.0	13.0	18.6	18.3	13.7	12.3	16.3	7.9	0.0
WISCONSIN	20,208	0.0	13.6	16.7	17.0	13.5	13.3	17.6	8.3	0.0
WYOMING	310	0.0	8.7	13.9	17.8	16.1	15.4	21.0	6.8	0.4

NOTE: 'a/' = DATA NOT REPORTED.
SOURCE: NATIONAL TANF DATAFILE AS OF 9/19/2006

Table 10:37

Temporary Assistance for Needy Families - Active Cases
Percent Distribution of TANF Recipient Children by Ethnicity/Race
FY 2005

STATE	TOTAL CHILDREN	HISPANIC*	WHITE	AFRICAN-AMERICAN	NATIVE AMERICAN	ASIAN	HAWAIIAN	MULTI-RACIAL	UNKNOWN
U.S. TOTAL	3,457,422	28.6	27.7	37.5	1.3	2.5	0.5	0.0	1.9
ALABAMA	36,692	1.3	25.3	72.0	0.0	0.1	0.0	0.0	1.2
ALASKA	8,163	4.1	40.4	8.5	32.9	7.0	3.4	0.0	3.7
ARIZONA b/	72,899	53.4	25.4	11.6	8.4	0.1	0.4	0.0	0.7
ARKANSAS	14,308	1.9	34.4	62.3	0.3	0.2	0.3	0.0	0.7
CALIFORNIA	912,876	54.5	17.6	19.8	0.2	6.3	0.6	0.0	1.0
COLORADO	28,316	34.7	43.3	17.2	1.0	0.7	2.1	0.0	1.0
CONNECTICUT	29,208	39.6	24.6	35.0	0.2	0.7	0.0	0.0	0.0
DELAWARE	9,392	8.9	23.7	66.9	0.1	0.3	0.0	0.0	0.1
DIST. OF COL.	31,705	2.3	0.2	97.1	0.0	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.2
FLORIDA	88,942	21.2	26.4	51.9	0.0	0.0	0.4	0.0	0.0
GEORGIA	73,785	2.8	21.2	75.4	0.0	0.2	0.0	0.0	0.3
GUAM a/									
HAWAII	14,528	6.1	14.4	1.9	0.3	14.2	45.4	0.0	17.8
IDAHO	2,710	17.9	74.8	1.5	3.4	0.3	0.1	0.0	1.9
ILLINOIS	75,665	7.9	16.3	75.0	0.0	0.6	0.0	0.0	0.2
INDIANA	81,945	8.6	42.8	45.9	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	2.7
IOWA	29,783	7.5	52.3	14.7	0.9	0.5	0.0	0.0	24.0
KANSAS	32,143	13.9	49.5	29.3	1.7	1.2	0.1	0.0	4.3
KENTUCKY	55,652	1.9	71.7	25.6	0.1	0.3	0.0	0.0	0.5
LOUISIANA	30,368	2.0	12.5	84.8	0.1	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.4
MAINE	15,546	1.0	91.2	4.4	1.1	0.7	0.1	0.0	1.4
MARYLAND	40,137	2.1	15.5	81.9	0.2	0.4	0.0	0.0	0.0
MASSACHUSETTS	72,270	36.2	38.8	19.8	0.2	4.9	0.0	0.0	0.0
MICHIGAN	152,117	4.2	39.9	53.3	0.7	0.7	0.1	0.0	1.1
MINNESOTA	53,061	10.2	28.3	35.8	8.3	14.2	0.1	0.0	3.0
MISSISSIPPI	25,868	0.4	16.7	82.6	0.3	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
MISSOURI	67,476	4.2	48.1	46.7	0.3	0.2	0.1	0.0	0.5
MONTANA	8,219	4.0	38.1	1.4	55.3	0.2	0.2	0.0	0.9
NEBRASKA	17,594	22.4	28.7	20.0	6.3	0.6	0.0	0.0	22.0
NEVADA	12,547	28.7	30.9	35.7	1.7	1.4	0.4	0.0	1.3
NEW HAMPSHIRE	9,860	7.1	88.2	4.1	0.1	0.3	0.1	0.0	0.1
NEW JERSEY	77,960	27.8	12.8	58.2	0.1	0.4	0.0	0.0	0.7
NEW MEXICO	31,786	71.0	18.0	4.2	6.3	0.0	0.5	0.0	0.0
NEW YORK	238,120	37.3	18.4	41.0	0.6	0.9	0.2	0.0	1.6
NORTH CAROLINA	54,210	4.7	24.9	67.7	2.4	0.3	0.0	0.0	0.0
NORTH DAKOTA	5,210	4.2	28.8	2.3	57.7	0.5	0.0	0.0	6.4
OHIO	136,017	3.5	46.4	49.4	0.2	0.3	0.0	0.0	0.3
OKLAHOMA	20,973	10.1	39.4	37.6	12.5	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.4
OREGON	33,139	24.0	58.1	11.3	3.6	2.1	0.2	0.0	0.7
PENNSYLVANIA	178,898	14.4	30.4	51.0	0.1	1.8	0.0	0.0	2.3
PUERTO RICO	29,056	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
RHODE ISLAND	18,699	23.5	26.1	11.1	0.2	3.0	0.0	0.0	36.2
SOUTH CAROLINA	27,217	2.3	23.1	73.0	0.1	0.3	0.0	0.0	1.2
SOUTH DAKOTA	5,097	1.5	18.3	2.3	75.0	0.4	0.0	0.0	2.5
TENNESSEE	130,690	1.9	33.5	64.2	0.0	0.3	0.0	0.0	0.1
TEXAS	168,545	55.1	12.8	30.5	0.2	0.3	0.2	0.0	1.0
UTAH	16,167	22.6	64.6	5.0	4.7	2.7	0.2	0.0	0.1
VERMONT	7,488	0.4	97.2	1.9	0.2	0.3	0.0	0.0	0.0
VIRGIN ISLANDS	1,038	32.3	0.8	66.7	0.1	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.0
VIRGINIA	18,395	3.0	22.9	72.7	0.1	0.9	0.3	0.0	0.1
WASHINGTON	96,252	21.8	48.5	13.0	3.5	1.9	1.2	0.0	10.3
WEST VIRGINIA	19,970	0.8	86.6	11.6	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	1.0
WISCONSIN	38,237	7.3	20.4	59.3	1.8	4.5	0.0	0.0	6.7
WYOMING	485	15.2	67.8	7.8	9.2	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0

NOTES: '*' = CAN BE OF ANY RACE.
'a/' = DATA NOT REPORTED.
'b/' = DATA REPORTED IN ERROR.
SOURCE: NATIONAL TANF DATAFILE AS OF 9/19/2006

Table 10:38

Temporary Assistance for Needy Families - Active Cases
Percent Distribution of TANF Recipient Children
Receiving Disability Benefits
FY 2005

STATE	TOTAL CHILDREN	YES	NO
U.S. TOTAL	3,457,422	0.2	99.8
ALABAMA	36,692	0.0	100.0
ALASKA	8,163	0.1	99.9
ARIZONA	72,899	0.0	100.0
ARKANSAS	14,308	0.5	99.5
CALIFORNIA	912,876	0.3	99.7
COLORADO	28,316	0.0	100.0
CONNECTICUT	29,208	0.0	100.0
DELAWARE	9,392	0.0	100.0
DIST. OF COL.	31,705	0.0	100.0
FLORIDA	88,942	0.0	100.0
GEORGIA	73,785	0.0	100.0
GUAM a/			
HAWAII	14,528	0.0	100.0
IDAHO	2,710	0.0	100.0
ILLINOIS	75,665	0.0	100.0
INDIANA	81,945	0.1	99.9
IOWA	29,783	1.9	98.1
KANSAS	32,143	0.0	100.0
KENTUCKY	55,652	0.0	100.0
LOUISIANA	30,368	0.0	100.0
MAINE	15,546	0.0	100.0
MARYLAND	40,137	0.0	100.0
MASSACHUSETTS	72,270	0.1	99.9
MICHIGAN	152,117	0.2	99.8
MINNESOTA	53,061	0.0	100.0
MISSISSIPPI	25,868	2.6	97.4
MISSOURI	67,476	0.5	99.5
MONTANA	8,219	0.0	100.0
NEBRASKA	17,594	0.0	100.0
NEVADA	12,547	0.0	100.0
NEW HAMPSHIRE	9,860	0.0	100.0
NEW JERSEY	77,960	0.0	100.0
NEW MEXICO	31,786	0.0	100.0
NEW YORK	238,120	0.0	100.0
NORTH CAROLINA	54,210	1.3	98.7
NORTH DAKOTA	5,210	0.0	100.0
OHIO	136,017	0.0	100.0
OKLAHOMA	20,973	0.0	100.0
OREGON	33,139	0.0	100.0
PENNSYLVANIA	178,898	0.1	99.9
PUERTO RICO	29,056	0.1	99.9
RHODE ISLAND	18,699	0.0	100.0
SOUTH CAROLINA	27,217	0.0	100.0
SOUTH DAKOTA	5,097	2.1	97.9
TENNESSEE	130,690	0.0	100.0
TEXAS	168,545	0.0	100.0
UTAH	16,167	0.0	100.0
VERMONT	7,488	0.0	100.0
VIRGIN ISLANDS	1,038	0.8	99.2
VIRGINIA	18,395	0.0	100.0
WASHINGTON	96,252	0.0	100.0
WEST VIRGINIA	19,970	0.0	100.0
WISCONSIN	38,237	0.0	100.0
WYOMING	485	0.0	100.0

NOTE: 'a/' = DATA NOT REPORTED.
SOURCE: NATIONAL TANF DATAFILE AS OF 9/19/2006

Table 10:39

Temporary Assistance for Needy Families - Active Cases
Percent Distribution of TANF Recipient Children by Relationship to the Head of Household
FY 2005

STATE	TOTAL CHILDREN	RELATIONSHIP TO HEAD OF HOUSEHOLD						
		HEAD OF HOUSEHOLD	SPOUSE	PARENT	CHILD	GRAND-CHILD	OTHER RELATED	UNRELATED
U.S. TOTAL	3,457,422	0.4	0.0	0.0	83.8	9.6	4.8	1.3
ALABAMA	36,692	0.0	0.0	0.0	74.3	17.2	8.4	0.1
ALASKA	8,163	0.0	0.0	0.0	89.8	7.0	3.1	0.1
ARIZONA	72,899	0.0	0.0	0.0	79.4	15.4	5.0	0.2
ARKANSAS	14,308	0.0	0.4	0.2	70.2	21.8	7.3	0.1
CALIFORNIA	912,876	0.0	0.0	0.0	91.8	5.7	2.2	0.3
COLORADO	28,316	7.2	0.0	0.1	70.2	11.0	11.1	0.5
CONNECTICUT	29,208	0.0	0.0	0.0	73.7	17.0	8.5	0.8
DELAWARE	9,392	0.0	0.0	0.0	67.4	21.9	8.5	2.2
DIST. OF COL.	31,705	0.1	0.1	0.0	90.1	5.6	4.1	0.0
FLORIDA	88,942	0.9	0.0	0.0	59.9	0.0	19.3	19.9
GEORGIA	73,785	0.0	0.0	0.0	60.6	27.9	11.4	0.1
GUAM a/								
HAWAII	14,528	0.0	0.0	0.0	78.4	15.2	6.3	0.1
IDAHO	2,710	0.0	0.0	0.0	33.2	50.8	16.0	0.0
ILLINOIS	75,665	0.0	0.0	0.0	84.0	12.3	3.7	0.0
INDIANA	81,945	0.0	0.0	0.0	90.0	7.8	2.2	0.0
IOWA	29,783	0.0	0.0	0.0	83.6	11.7	4.6	0.1
KANSAS	32,143	0.0	0.0	0.0	86.0	10.3	3.5	0.2
KENTUCKY	55,652	0.0	0.1	0.1	74.7	16.6	8.5	0.0
LOUISIANA	30,368	1.1	0.0	0.0	62.6	24.6	11.5	0.2
MAINE	15,546	0.0	0.0	0.0	93.0	5.1	1.5	0.4
MARYLAND	40,137	0.0	0.0	0.0	72.2	18.5	9.3	0.0
MASSACHUSETTS	72,270	0.0	0.0	0.0	88.2	8.7	3.0	0.1
MICHIGAN	152,117	0.0	0.0	0.0	89.8	6.4	3.0	0.7
MINNESOTA	53,061	0.0	0.0	0.0	88.1	6.0	5.2	0.6
MISSISSIPPI	25,868	0.0	0.0	0.0	76.2	17.8	6.0	0.0
MISSOURI	67,476	0.1	0.0	0.0	89.8	7.4	2.5	0.2
MONTANA	8,219	0.0	0.0	0.0	82.3	13.5	4.1	0.0
NEBRASKA	17,594	25.4	0.0	0.0	28.0	0.0	34.7	11.9
NEVADA	12,547	12.4	0.0	0.0	66.0	10.4	11.2	0.0
NEW HAMPSHIRE	9,860	0.0	0.0	0.0	84.9	10.6	4.2	0.4
NEW JERSEY	77,960	0.0	0.0	0.0	84.8	9.7	4.6	1.0
NEW MEXICO	31,786	0.0	0.0	0.0	90.4	7.6	1.9	0.1
NEW YORK	238,120	0.0	0.0	0.0	86.1	7.9	2.4	3.6
NORTH CAROLINA	54,210	0.4	0.0	0.4	65.6	22.4	10.3	0.8
NORTH DAKOTA	5,210	0.0	0.0	0.0	84.3	10.5	5.1	0.0
OHIO	136,017	1.9	0.0	0.1	69.3	17.7	9.5	1.6
OKLAHOMA	20,973	0.8	0.0	0.0	68.8	23.3	7.1	0.0
OREGON	33,139	0.0	0.0	0.0	85.2	7.9	2.0	4.9
PENNSYLVANIA	178,898	1.7	0.0	0.0	88.1	7.1	2.8	0.2
PUERTO RICO	29,056	0.1	0.0	0.0	92.1	5.4	2.3	0.2
RHODE ISLAND	18,699	0.0	0.0	0.0	96.1	3.0	0.9	0.0
SOUTH CAROLINA	27,217	0.0	0.0	0.0	69.3	20.6	10.0	0.1
SOUTH DAKOTA	5,097	0.0	0.0	0.0	52.8	31.7	15.4	0.0
TENNESSEE	130,690	0.0	0.0	0.0	86.1	10.1	3.7	0.1
TEXAS	168,545	0.0	0.0	0.0	84.3	10.1	3.4	2.3
UTAH	16,167	0.0	0.0	0.0	79.9	13.8	6.2	0.0
VERMONT	7,488	0.0	0.0	0.0	90.6	6.7	2.7	0.0
VIRGIN ISLANDS	1,038	0.0	0.0	0.0	91.8	6.7	1.3	0.2
VIRGINIA	18,395	0.0	0.1	0.0	99.3	0.5	0.2	0.0
WASHINGTON	96,252	0.1	0.0	0.0	85.1	8.8	4.8	1.2
WEST VIRGINIA	19,970	0.0	0.0	0.0	77.4	15.6	5.2	1.8
WISCONSIN	38,237	0.0	0.0	0.0	79.0	11.8	9.1	0.1
WYOMING	485	0.0	0.0	0.0	39.8	45.8	14.4	0.0

NOTE: 'a/' = DATA NOT REPORTED.
SOURCE: NATIONAL TANF DATAFILE AS OF 9/19/2006

Table 10:40

Temporary Assistance for Needy Families - Active Cases
Percent Distribution of Recipient Children in TANF Families with No Adult Recipients
by Relationship to Head of Household
FY 2005

STATE	TOTAL CHILDREN	RELATIONSHIP TO THE HEAD OF HOUSEHOLD						
		HEAD OF HOUSEHOLD	SPOUSE	PARENT	CHILD	GRAND-CHILD	OTHER RELATED	UNRELATED
U.S. TOTAL	1,544,707	1.0	0.0	0.0	66.5	20.1	9.8	2.6
ALABAMA	16,283	0.0	0.0	0.0	44.6	37.3	18.0	0.0
ALASKA	1,765	0.0	0.0	0.0	58.7	29.2	12.1	0.0
ARIZONA	32,550	0.0	0.0	0.0	56.9	32.8	9.9	0.4
ARKANSAS	7,434	0.0	0.5	0.2	44.9	41.3	13.0	0.2
CALIFORNIA	531,139	0.0	0.0	0.0	87.6	8.7	3.3	0.4
COLORADO	8,934	22.9	0.0	0.1	11.7	33.1	31.8	0.5
CONNECTICUT	11,717	0.0	0.0	0.0	37.5	40.0	20.4	2.0
DELAWARE	3,899	0.1	0.0	0.0	25.2	49.9	19.7	5.2
DIST. OF COL.	12,199	0.1	0.1	0.0	77.5	12.1	10.3	0.0
FLORIDA	52,907	1.4	0.0	0.0	34.5	0.0	31.5	32.6
GEORGIA	41,641	0.0	0.0	0.0	30.9	49.1	19.9	0.1
GUAM a/								
HAWAII	3,828	0.0	0.0	0.0	24.6	52.7	22.3	0.3
IDAHO	1,866	0.0	0.0	0.0	3.2	73.5	23.2	0.0
ILLINOIS	33,981	0.0	0.0	0.0	65.7	26.3	8.0	0.0
INDIANA	37,597	0.0	0.0	0.0	80.9	15.2	3.9	0.1
IOWA	8,501	0.0	0.0	0.0	46.1	38.4	15.4	0.1
KANSAS	8,448	0.0	0.0	0.0	50.8	37.5	11.1	0.6
KENTUCKY	25,263	0.0	0.1	0.1	46.9	35.1	17.9	0.0
LOUISIANA	18,658	1.8	0.0	0.0	39.4	40.0	18.6	0.3
MAINE	3,818	0.0	0.0	0.0	76.5	18.0	5.0	0.6
MARYLAND	15,520	0.0	0.0	0.0	30.9	46.4	22.7	0.0
MASSACHUSETTS	27,673	0.0	0.0	0.0	71.6	21.3	6.9	0.1
MICHIGAN	45,171	0.0	0.0	0.0	67.5	21.0	9.1	2.4
MINNESOTA	17,377	0.0	0.0	0.0	67.0	16.6	14.6	1.8
MISSISSIPPI	11,760	0.0	0.0	0.0	49.8	37.6	12.6	0.0
MISSOURI	18,431	0.5	0.0	0.0	63.7	26.4	8.9	0.5
MONTANA	2,186	0.0	0.0	0.0	39.4	47.3	13.3	0.0
NEBRASKA	10,323	43.3	0.0	0.0	2.0	0.0	47.5	7.2
NEVADA	6,631	23.4	0.0	0.0	36.3	19.1	21.1	0.0
NEW HAMPSHIRE	2,875	0.0	0.0	0.0	53.7	33.2	13.1	0.0
NEW JERSEY	24,439	0.0	0.0	0.0	60.3	26.7	11.4	1.6
NEW MEXICO	9,546	0.0	0.0	0.0	72.4	22.3	5.2	0.1
NEW YORK	96,020	0.0	0.0	0.0	70.2	16.6	4.7	8.5
NORTH CAROLINA	29,351	0.3	0.0	0.3	38.2	41.0	18.7	1.4
NORTH DAKOTA	1,194	0.0	0.0	0.0	40.0	41.2	18.8	0.0
OHIO	66,950	3.8	0.0	0.0	39.8	34.9	18.8	2.7
OKLAHOMA	10,918	0.3	0.0	0.0	42.6	44.2	13.0	0.0
OREGON	13,694	0.0	0.0	0.0	66.0	17.7	4.6	11.8
PENNSYLVANIA	49,550	6.1	0.0	0.0	62.9	21.7	8.7	0.5
PUERTO RICO	5,647	0.4	0.0	0.0	68.9	20.4	9.7	0.6
RHODE ISLAND	4,583	0.0	0.0	0.0	87.1	9.9	3.0	0.0
SOUTH CAROLINA	12,995	0.0	0.0	0.0	36.6	42.7	20.6	0.2
SOUTH DAKOTA	2,961	0.0	0.0	0.0	20.0	54.0	26.0	0.0
TENNESSEE	29,960	0.0	0.0	0.0	45.0	39.8	15.2	0.1
TEXAS	95,627	0.0	0.0	0.0	74.3	16.1	5.6	4.0
UTAH	5,264	0.0	0.0	0.0	40.5	41.1	18.4	0.1
VERMONT	1,560	0.0	0.0	0.0	57.8	30.8	11.4	0.0
VIRGIN ISLANDS	273	0.0	0.0	0.0	73.5	22.2	3.7	0.5
VIRGINIA	-							
WASHINGTON	34,546	0.3	0.0	0.0	61.2	23.3	12.6	2.5
WEST VIRGINIA	8,350	0.1	0.0	0.0	48.8	36.5	11.9	2.7
WISCONSIN	20,520	0.0	0.0	0.0	61.3	21.8	16.8	0.0
WYOMING	386	0.0	0.0	0.0	24.4	57.5	18.1	0.0

NOTE: 'a/' = DATA NOT REPORTED.
SOURCE: NATIONAL TANF DATAFILE AS OF 9/19/2006

Table 10:41

Temporary Assistance for Needy Families - Active Cases
Percent Distribution of TANF Recipient Children by Educational Level
FY 2005

STATE	TOTAL CHILDREN	NO FORMAL EDUCATION	YEARS OF EDUCATION			
			1 - 6	7 - 9	10 - 11	12 AND MORE
U.S. TOTAL	3,457,422	46.0	32.4	14.1	6.6	0.8
ALABAMA	36,692	48.6	33.6	12.9	4.9	0.0
ALASKA	8,163	59.3	29.6	8.6	2.3	0.2
ARIZONA	72,899	50.0	33.4	13.0	3.4	0.2
ARKANSAS	14,308	44.8	33.8	14.2	6.7	0.5
CALIFORNIA	912,876	35.9	36.5	17.0	10.1	0.5
COLORADO	28,316	73.5	18.4	5.5	1.6	1.0
CONNECTICUT	29,208	52.0	30.1	13.1	4.6	0.3
DELAWARE b/	9,392	54	28	12	5	1
DIST. OF COL.	31,705	46.0	35.1	14.1	4.4	0.3
FLORIDA	88,942	39.8	34.8	15.1	7.6	1.4
GEORGIA	73,785	49.1	32.2	14.3	4.1	0.3
GUAM a/						
HAWAII	14,528	36.3	34.9	15.8	12.8	0.1
IDAHO b/	2,710	77	15	6	2	0
ILLINOIS	75,665	62.9	20.1	11.9	4.9	0.2
INDIANA	81,945	57.8	28.5	10.8	2.7	0.2
IOWA	29,783	68.3	22.5	7.3	1.2	0.7
KANSAS	32,143	66.2	22.8	8.1	2.6	0.3
KENTUCKY	55,652	62.7	22.8	10.6	3.3	0.6
LOUISIANA	30,368	46.3	34.2	12.8	5.9	0.8
MAINE	15,546	44.0	33.1	15.6	6.5	0.8
MARYLAND	40,137	41.6	41.8	13.3	3.1	0.2
MASSACHUSETTS	72,270	39.1	33.1	17.7	9.3	0.8
MICHIGAN	152,117	39.7	33.0	16.2	8.4	2.7
MINNESOTA	53,061	51.1	30.8	12.2	5.7	0.2
MISSISSIPPI	25,868	49.2	34.9	12.4	3.3	0.2
MISSOURI	67,476	52.7	26.8	13.2	6.2	1.0
MONTANA	8,219	57.3	22.5	12.7	6.1	1.4
NEBRASKA	17,594	32.8	46.0	14.0	5.9	1.3
NEVADA	12,547	53.5	31.4	11.5	3.5	0.1
NEW HAMPSHIRE	9,860	54.0	29.8	11.7	4.1	0.3
NEW JERSEY	77,960	54.4	29.1	12.1	3.5	0.8
NEW MEXICO	31,786	50.9	28.1	12.3	6.5	2.2
NEW YORK	238,120	53.7	31.4	10.7	4.1	0.1
NORTH CAROLINA	54,210	43.9	33.1	15.1	6.7	1.2
NORTH DAKOTA	5,210	57.0	30.2	10.1	2.6	0.1
OHIO	136,017	45.3	28.4	17.2	7.8	1.3
OKLAHOMA	20,973	52.7	28.8	12.8	5.1	0.6
OREGON	33,139	36.9	30.2	15.5	10.1	7.2
PENNSYLVANIA b/	178,898	47	35.4	12.1	5.3	0.1
PUERTO RICO	29,056	40.9	39.1	14.4	4.8	0.8
RHODE ISLAND	18,699	47.1	38.5	11.3	2.9	0.2
SOUTH CAROLINA	27,217	52.1	28.6	14.1	4.4	0.8
SOUTH DAKOTA	5,097	42.6	33.0	16.4	7.0	1.0
TENNESSEE	130,690	47.3	29.7	13.2	7.2	2.5
TEXAS	168,545	52.4	30.2	12.4	4.6	0.4
UTAH	16,167	45.3	30.0	12.9	8.1	3.7
VERMONT	7,488	64.6	22.7	9.1	3.5	0.1
VIRGIN ISLANDS	1,038	63.5	28.1	6.6	1.3	0.5
VIRGINIA	18,395	55.3	32.9	9.7	2.0	0.0
WASHINGTON	96,252	49.5	30.5	13.0	5.7	1.3
WEST VIRGINIA	19,970	50.6	31.1	13.8	4.4	0.1
WISCONSIN	38,237	46.7	32.1	15.5	5.6	0.1
WYOMING	485	54.3	30.0	11.4	3.8	0.5

NOTES: 'a/' = DATA NOT REPORTED.
'b/' = DATA REPORTED IN ERROR.
SOURCE: NATIONAL TANF DATAFILE AS OF 9/19/2006

Table 10:42

Temporary Assistance for Needy Families - Active Cases
Percent Distribution of TANF Recipient Children
by Citizenship Status
FY 2005

STATE	TOTAL CHILDREN	U.S. CITIZEN	QUALIFIED ALIEN	UNKNOWN
U.S. TOTAL	3,457,422	98.6	1.3	0.1
ALABAMA	36,692	100.0	0.0	0.0
ALASKA	8,163	98.5	1.5	0.0
ARIZONA	72,899	98.5	1.5	0.0
ARKANSAS	14,308	100.0	0.0	0.0
CALIFORNIA	912,876	98.8	1.2	0.0
COLORADO	28,316	98.5	1.5	0.0
CONNECTICUT	29,208	98.8	1.1	0.1
DELAWARE	9,392	99.7	0.3	0.0
DIST. OF COL.	31,705	99.8	0.1	0.1
FLORIDA	88,942	97.6	2.4	0.0
GEORGIA	73,785	99.9	0.1	0.0
GUAM a/				
HAWAII	14,528	99.6	0.4	0.0
IDAHO	2,710	99.2	0.8	0.0
ILLINOIS	75,665	99.8	0.2	0.0
INDIANA	81,945	99.9	0.1	0.0
IOWA	29,783	99.2	0.8	0.0
KANSAS	32,143	99.3	0.7	0.0
KENTUCKY	55,652	99.6	0.4	0.0
LOUISIANA	30,368	99.9	0.1	0.0
MAINE	15,546	98.3	1.7	0.0
MARYLAND	40,137	99.7	0.3	0.0
MASSACHUSETTS	72,270	97.7	2.3	0.0
MICHIGAN	152,117	98.1	1.9	0.0
MINNESOTA	53,061	90.5	9.5	0.0
MISSISSIPPI	25,868	100.0	0.0	0.0
MISSOURI	67,476	99.3	0.7	0.0
MONTANA	8,219	99.9	0.1	0.0
NEBRASKA	17,594	98.4	1.6	0.0
NEVADA	12,547	99.3	0.7	0.0
NEW HAMPSHIRE	9,860	97.3	2.7	0.0
NEW JERSEY	77,960	99.7	0.3	0.0
NEW MEXICO	31,786	99.4	0.6	0.1
NEW YORK	238,120	98.1	1.9	0.0
NORTH CAROLINA	54,210	95.2	0.3	4.5
NORTH DAKOTA	5,210	98.7	1.3	0.0
OHIO	136,017	98.9	1.1	0.0
OKLAHOMA	20,973	100.0	0.0	0.0
OREGON	33,139	97.4	1.7	0.8
PENNSYLVANIA	178,898	99.1	0.9	0.0
PUERTO RICO	29,056	100.0	0.0	0.0
RHODE ISLAND	18,699	97.7	2.3	0.0
SOUTH CAROLINA	27,217	99.8	0.2	0.0
SOUTH DAKOTA	5,097	100.0	0.0	0.0
TENNESSEE	130,690	99.8	0.2	0.0
TEXAS	168,545	99.7	0.3	0.0
UTAH	16,167	97.8	2.2	0.0
VERMONT	7,488	99.3	0.7	0.0
VIRGIN ISLANDS	1,038	99.5	0.3	0.1
VIRGINIA	18,395	98.7	1.3	0.0
WASHINGTON	96,252	95.2	3.8	1.0
WEST VIRGINIA	19,970	100.0	0.0	0.0
WISCONSIN	38,237	96.1	3.9	0.0
WYOMING	485	99.8	0.2	0.0

NOTE: 'a/' = DATA NOT REPORTED.
SOURCE: NATIONAL TANF DATAFILE AS OF 9/19/2006

Table 10:43

Temporary Assistance for Needy Families - Active Cases
TANF Families Receiving Cash Assistance by Number of Recipient Children
FY 2005

STATE	TOTAL FAMILIES	PERCENT	NO. OF MONTHS RECEIVED	AVERAGE MONTHLY AMOUNT OF CASH ASSISTANCE				
				ALL FAMILIES	ONE CHILD	TWO CHILDREN	THREE CHILDREN	FOUR OR MORE
U.S. TOTAL	1,914,036	99.6	33.5	$369.66	$304.86	$383.30	$468.86	$573.68
ALABAMA	20,040	100.0	27.8	195.57	175.64	197.35	223.40	266.62
ALASKA	4,382	100.0	33.6	610.61	543.09	635.78	705.67	812.61
ARIZONA	43,738	99.0	30.8	271.68	225.90	295.67	356.62	438.74
ARKANSAS	8,642	99.9	22.3	150.25	116.25	172.36	214.88	267.48
CALIFORNIA	459,095	99.8	40.6	548.49	441.22	565.63	659.43	773.91
COLORADO	15,268	100.0	25.5	296.39	221.49	310.25	390.08	505.08
CONNECTICUT	19,830	100.0	23.5	424.38	381.69	474.90	554.34	651.85
DELAWARE	5,606	100.0	29.9	245.16	208.47	264.86	309.69	396.51
DIST. OF COL.	16,874	99.8	52.1	318.76	259.47	323.52	402.54	495.84
FLORIDA	59,852	100.0	21.3	237.64	219.44	250.75	308.70	352.98
GEORGIA	41,586	100.0	36.6	214.63	173.27	235.83	273.20	331.20
GUAM a/								
HAWAII	7,997	100.0	41.9	523.12	428.34	538.41	655.38	847.94
IDAHO	1,859	100.0	7.3	302.44	301.65	304.32	304.87	302.64
ILLINOIS	38,391	100.0	28.7	242.89	175.55	268.04	316.51	358.56
INDIANA	43,957	99.6	20.5	205.80	178.81	210.50	244.05	281.21
IOWA	17,707	100.0	27.3	323.29	268.73	365.04	415.02	487.05
KANSAS	17,616	100.0	22.8	313.07	245.08	335.05	405.66	495.56
KENTUCKY	34,701	100.0	27.8	248.25	203.41	266.29	359.46	497.85
LOUISIANA	16,103	100.0	39.7	258.38	170.68	276.07	362.52	501.55
MAINE	9,490	99.7	19.7	363.13	288.23	407.99	496.39	689.41
MARYLAND	23,097	99.8	18.0	373.78	288.25	416.51	517.74	631.92
MASSACHUSETTS	48,824	99.9	41.2	511.08	463.83	553.35	662.79	827.06
MICHIGAN	80,590	96.5	27.0	388.67	298.07	401.02	487.58	629.29
MINNESOTA	29,041	100.0	37.5	349.28	297.15	360.17	424.67	549.45
MISSISSIPPI	16,071	100.0	34.5	164.58	147.15	175.13	206.65	237.49
MISSOURI	40,062	100.0	30.7	231.70	191.47	254.33	305.73	370.70
MONTANA	4,614	100.0	38.5	341.89	278.32	359.98	447.33	542.66
NEBRASKA	10,030	100.0	20.4	305.92	250.23	324.04	398.39	477.36
NEVADA	6,788	100.0	21.6	343.75	309.69	343.60	383.06	484.19
NEW HAMPSHIRE	6,139	100.0	23.1	465.02	425.89	488.93	542.70	658.26
NEW JERSEY	43,071	100.0	38.6	325.65	261.83	352.48	413.16	501.25
NEW MEXICO	17,566	100.0	22.1	300.62	245.33	313.76	383.07	467.04
NEW YORK	141,522	97.7	40.2	483.63	442.24	484.80	592.89	673.63
NORTH CAROLINA	33,773	100.0	33.5	215.46	193.34	234.52	264.10	290.19
NORTH DAKOTA	2,850	100.0	30.1	325.69	261.81	341.08	405.12	535.79
OHIO	82,597	100.0	18.4	297.24	246.65	325.60	397.67	503.79
OKLAHOMA	11,781	100.0	24.7	197.86	139.06	212.78	284.20	357.37
OREGON	19,358	100.0	30.2	390.87	319.40	418.17	524.87	659.89
PENNSYLVANIA	96,635	100.0	38.8	324.80	256.13	347.54	401.58	528.76
PUERTO RICO	15,147	100.0	27.7	156.12	112.46	162.52	209.21	262.48
RHODE ISLAND	10,744	99.9	45.7	418.97	360.10	450.23	504.92	614.45
SOUTH CAROLINA	15,854	100.0	31.6	172.48	147.33	181.51	217.76	266.60
SOUTH DAKOTA	2,773	100.0	41.2	342.83	297.95	351.25	399.15	504.95
TENNESSEE	70,572	100.0	37.1	167.79	139.30	175.90	205.07	243.32
TEXAS	88,465	99.8	27.5	186.85	194.89	152.73	191.92	239.56
UTAH	9,030	100.0	24.9	401.20	328.70	429.31	517.13	595.92
VERMONT	4,580	99.8	16.6	487.13	439.05	519.69	608.51	665.29
VIRGIN ISLANDS	472	100.0	26.3	221.42	158.26	215.58	263.50	341.03
VIRGINIA	9,914	98.1	13.6	326.24	267.18	338.33	401.19	497.17
WASHINGTON	56,822	100.0	28.2	418.77	362.59	437.94	538.72	626.92
WEST VIRGINIA	12,003	100.0	30.3	269.50	246.26	282.09	315.67	361.80
WISCONSIN	20,208	100.0	29.3	454.17	360.81	475.12	573.19	725.79
WYOMING	310	100.0	34.8	203.86	163.54	260.51	256.04	293.28

NOTES: 'a/'=DATA NOT REPORTED.
'b/'=INCLUDES SUPPORTIVE SERVICE ASSISTANCE IN CASH ASSISTANCE AMOUNT
SOURCE: NATIONAL TANF DATAFILE AS OF 3/04/2004

Table 10:44

Temporary Assistance for Needy Families - Active Cases
TANF Families with No Adult Recipients Receiving Cash Assistance
FY 2005

STATE	TOTAL FAMILIES	PERCENT RECEIVED	NUMBER OF MONTHS RECEIVED	AVERAGE MONTHLY AMOUNT
U.S. TOTAL	870,320	99.3	43.6	$344.46
ALABAMA	9,351	100.0	38.0	180.62
ALASKA	1,081	100.0	59.7	474.64
ARIZONA	19,413	97.7	38.0	243.58
ARKANSAS	4,501	100.0	32.1	124.73
CALIFORNIA	258,856	99.8	48.5	515.31
COLORADO	4,986	100.0	25.9	210.88
CONNECTICUT	8,174	99.9	39.8	372.40
DELAWARE	2,629	100.0	43.1	223.76
DIST. OF COL.	6,628	100.0	62.0	287.72
FLORIDA	39,182	100.0	25.5	234.26
GEORGIA	25,021	100.0	48.6	192.31
GUAM a/				
HAWAII	2,222	100.0	54.6	510.08
IDAHO	1,343	100.0	8.7	303.99
ILLINOIS	18,976	100.0	44.8	161.87
INDIANA	18,494	99.3	27.7	171.84
IOWA	5,311	100.0	40.2	257.61
KANSAS	4,914	100.0	25.9	231.63
KENTUCKY	16,639	100.0	36.8	266.51
LOUISIANA	10,359	100.0	51.2	273.93
MAINE	2,522	99.9	28.8	194.34
MARYLAND	9,696	100.0	1.0	289.33
MASSACHUSETTS	18,395	100.0	55.2	453.54
MICHIGAN	27,542	89.7	37.8	302.64
MINNESOTA	9,124	100.0	49.0	281.61
MISSISSIPPI	7,489	100.0	53.6	124.81
MISSOURI	11,466	100.0	46.0	186.20
MONTANA	1,417	100.0	50.5	274.41
NEBRASKA	5,992	100.0	28.3	267.89
NEVADA	3,712	100.0	25.7	383.87
NEW HAMPSHIRE	1,950	100.0	28.6	471.19
NEW JERSEY	14,413	100.0	49.6	251.95
NEW MEXICO	5,579	99.9	26.2	270.50
NEW YORK	59,336	95.9	54.3	491.45
NORTH CAROLINA	19,328	100.0	46.2	198.45
NORTH DAKOTA	702	100.0	42.3	211.64
OHIO	43,147	100.0	20.5	260.39
OKLAHOMA	6,389	100.0	39.4	139.66
OREGON	8,744	100.0	37.0	289.53
PENNSYLVANIA	28,493	100.0	52.2	269.25
PUERTO RICO	3,239	100.0	41.4	103.98
RHODE ISLAND	2,826	100.0	59.4	366.64
SOUTH CAROLINA	8,153	100.0	50.5	162.49
SOUTH DAKOTA	1,771	100.0	51.4	332.16
TENNESSEE	18,526	100.0	46.4	162.04
TEXAS	50,040	99.7	36.7	174.91
UTAH	3,054	100.0	39.0	341.59
VERMONT	1,046	100.0	5.4	449.92
VIRGIN ISLANDS	128	100.0	37.1	185.59
VIRGINIA	-			
WASHINGTON	21,262	100.0	37.0	382.87
WEST VIRGINIA	5,313	100.0	43.2	267.54
WISCONSIN	11,198	100.0	33.6	375.99
WYOMING	247	100.0	41.0	203.26

NOTES: 'a/ = DATA NOT REPORTED.
SOURCE: NATIONAL TANF DATAFILE AS OF 9/19/2006

Table 10:45

Temporary Assistance for Needy Families - Active Cases
TANF Families with Income by Type of Non-TANF Income
FY 2005

STATE	TOTAL FAMILIES	ALL NON-TANF INCOME		EARNED INCOME		UNEARNED INCOME	
		PERCENT	MONTHLY AVERAGE	PERCENT	MONTHLY AVERAGE	PERCENT	MONTHLY AVERAGE
U.S. TOTAL	1,914,036	17.2 %	$601.09	11.1 %	$682.17	7.0 %	$364.91
ALABAMA	20,040	18.0	713.04	15.0	771.72	3.3	394.81
ALASKA	4,382	32.1	742.56	20.4	867.60	14.8	416.97
ARIZONA	43,738	10.2	224.54	9.9	217.09	0.4	433.65
ARKANSAS	8,642	7.8	538.18	5.5	627.36	2.4	319.42
CALIFORNIA	459,095	15.6	801.82	11.9	805.36	4.7	618.59
COLORADO	15,268	--	--	5.9	494.91	--	--
CONNECTICUT	19,830	34.2	516.09	18.6	864.25	21.0	76.52
DELAWARE	5,606	35.5	418.32	15.9	637.87	22.0	213.07
DIST. OF COL.	16,874	16.2	910.46	13.3	1007.99	3.7	356.94
FLORIDA	59,852	8.4	423.18	2.9	744.39	5.8	235.13
GEORGIA	41,586	16.7	403.16	7.1	667.16	10.7	182.55
GUAM a/							
HAWAII	7,997	26.8	589.15	21.6	638.04	6.6	298.20
IDAHO	1,859	7.3	248.56	2.7	393.65	4.7	160.89
ILLINOIS	38,391	9.5	658.91	9.3	671.24	0.2	93.22
INDIANA	43,957	21.1	593.43	13.7	749.21	8.9	253.19
IOWA	17,707	26.9	538.19	17.7	650.64	10.6	278.50
KANSAS	17,616	23.3	268.51	8.0	409.14	16.1	184.73
KENTUCKY	34,701	96.1	295.99	9.1	419.44	95.6	257.84
LOUISIANA	16,103	7.1	572.19	6.6	610.85	0.6	89.02
MAINE	9,490	28.1	611.51	20.3	733.65	9.4	242.29
MARYLAND	23,097	7.4	382.97	4.2	539.51	3.3	173.36
MASSACHUSETTS	48,824	15.5	472.18	8.2	645.81	7.5	269.46
MICHIGAN	80,590	22.4	621.63	16.0	677.02	6.9	447.70
MINNESOTA	29,041	24.7	672.76	19.3	693.98	6.2	513.11
MISSISSIPPI	16,071	22.6	298.42	7.6	613.25	16.4	128.45
MISSOURI	40,062	12.8	760.62	10.1	863.43	3.0	322.23
MONTANA	4,614	17.0	553.01	9.7	464.06	8.9	551.64
NEBRASKA	10,030	11.6	324.98	5.4	437.52	6.7	210.90
NEVADA	6,788	--	--	--	--	9.3	290.77
NEW HAMPSHIRE	6,139	21.9	625.70	16.3	729.35	6.0	295.06
NEW JERSEY	43,071	9.4	430.28	5.4	597.60	4.1	197.22
NEW MEXICO	17,566	22.7	543.99	17.7	651.03	6.1	131.85
NEW YORK	141,522	15.7	564.77	9.6	671.19	6.5	371.59
NORTH CAROLINA	33,773	8.6	435.45	4.8	640.13	3.9	171.47
NORTH DAKOTA	2,850	30.2	325.93	19.3	446.69	12.9	94.85
OHIO	82,597	14.0	577.85	10.6	672.74	4.0	246.40
OKLAHOMA	11,781	5.7	520.71	3.6	575.18	2.1	422.81
OREGON	19,358	5.1	500.25	3.7	570.49	1.5	309.95
PENNSYLVANIA	96,635	8.8	573.25	8.3	590.96	0.6	251.07
PUERTO RICO	15,147	8.9	337.53	3.8	587.85	5.3	147.78
RHODE ISLAND	10,744	23.4	681.89	15.6	817.74	8.7	370.41
SOUTH CAROLINA	15,854	21.1	479.52	14.5	596.61	7.5	199.66
SOUTH DAKOTA	2,773	6.5	202.66	3.2	293.37	3.4	112.97
TENNESSEE	70,572	19.0	612.34	15.0	665.18	4.5	357.23
TEXAS	88,465	18.2	638.40	15.9	692.96	3.1	200.80
UTAH	9,030	11.9	503.24	11.2	517.09	0.8	221.16
VERMONT	4,580	24.7	617.75	19.2	702.29	6.4	284.04
VIRGIN ISLANDS	472	6.7	224.53	3.3	363.38	3.6	82.64
VIRGINIA	9,914	47.5	779.26	46.7	786.09	1.6	206.04
WASHINGTON	56,822	15.4	536.79	10.5	594.58	5.5	369.61
WEST VIRGINIA	12,003	19.2	284.46	5.1	329.80	15.1	250.90
WISCONSIN	20,208	11.8	616.55	4.5	757.17	7.8	496.39
WYOMING	310	10.8	227.73	2.1	433.96	8.9	175.23

NOTE: 'a/' = DATA NOT REPORTED.
SOURCE: NATIONAL TANF DATAFILE AS OF 9/19/2006

Table 10:46

Temporary Assistance for Needy Families - Active Cases
TANF Adult Recipients with Income by Type of Non-TANF Income
FY 2005

STATE	TOTAL ADULTS	ALL NON-TANF INCOME		EARNED INCOME		UNEARNED INCOME	
		PERCENT	MONTHLY AVERAGE	PERCENT	MONTHLY AVERAGE	PERCENT	MONTHLY AVERAGE
U.S. TOTAL	1,092,018	24.7 %	$628.56	19.5 %	$667.58	6.1 %	$385.08
ALABAMA	10,782	29.7	732.72	27.9	769.27	2.1	162.33
ALASKA	3,895	31.8	768.77	23.4	851.52	9.8	460.63
ARIZONA	25,307	17.5	219.31	17.2	215.07	0.3	442.03
ARKANSAS	4,290	12.8	605.57	11.1	625.02	1.7	479.61
CALIFORNIA	201,293	32.1	799.98	27.2	805.36	6.5	584.39
COLORADO	11,507	--	--	7.9	488.74	--	--
CONNECTICUT	11,719	54.3	533.85	31.4	864.25	31.4	59.07
DELAWARE	2,980	32.8	620.37	30.0	637.87	3.0	395.42
DIST. OF COL.	10,393	24.0	955.16	21.7	1005.18	2.9	392.89
FLORIDA	20,746	13.2	624.74	8.4	744.39	5.3	373.13
GEORGIA	16,588	23.0	572.00	17.9	667.16	6.1	197.24
GUAM a/							
HAWAII	5,890	32.5	625.48	29.7	631.27	3.9	414.28
IDAHO	556	12.4	341.45	9.0	391.04	3.7	198.44
ILLINOIS	19,415	18.4	671.24	18.4	671.24	0.0	
INDIANA	25,753	27.1	699.57	23.4	749.21	4.4	322.43
IOWA	12,880	30.1	603.48	24.6	642.89	7.1	335.42
KANSAS	14,400	12.0	380.31	10.1	399.78	2.1	259.60
KENTUCKY	19,279	88.9	309.49	16.4	417.52	87.3	236.85
LOUISIANA	5,855	19.7	569.52	18.2	606.79	1.6	89.34
MAINE	7,207	30.1	696.63	26.9	731.17	4.5	293.79
MARYLAND	13,420	8.1	503.07	7.3	539.51	0.9	197.88
MASSACHUSETTS	31,943	16.8	562.58	12.7	638.47	4.3	309.02
MICHIGAN	56,963	24.9	665.59	22.9	668.87	2.2	568.53
MINNESOTA	19,920	32.6	695.89	28.2	693.98	5.3	598.83
MISSISSIPPI	8,607	31.8	350.81	14.1	613.25	20.1	123.66
MISSOURI	28,610	16.6	796.46	14.2	863.43	2.8	345.25
MONTANA	3,888	21.6	517.21	11.8	452.86	11.4	508.34
NEBRASKA	4,136	14.1	419.38	13.1	436.19	1.1	167.70
NEVADA	3,092	--	--	--	--	8.2	388.71
NEW HAMPSHIRE	4,339	24.8	723.29	23.2	727.73	1.8	585.53
NEW JERSEY	28,657	10.4	519.04	8.1	597.60	2.4	217.02
NEW MEXICO	13,362	29.1	548.25	24.4	621.99	6.1	128.16
NEW YORK	93,476	19.6	610.75	14.7	660.24	5.2	437.94
NORTH CAROLINA	14,818	12.9	578.68	11.0	638.14	2.1	204.15
NORTH DAKOTA	2,160	33.5	367.10	25.6	445.14	9.2	98.25
OHIO	43,299	24.7	602.91	20.5	661.81	5.1	262.54
OKLAHOMA	5,450	9.6	521.96	7.8	574.82	1.8	285.50
OREGON	11,425	8.2	490.78	6.3	570.49	2.0	230.61
PENNSYLVANIA	74,234	11.2	578.04	11.1	579.51	0.2	452.51
PUERTO RICO	12,788	7.7	425.04	4.4	587.85	3.5	188.28
RHODE ISLAND	8,226	24.6	710.58	20.5	809.15	4.4	197.18
SOUTH CAROLINA	8,103	35.8	522.08	28.7	589.54	8.3	213.15
SOUTH DAKOTA	1,002	10.2	274.66	8.8	293.37	1.6	137.02
TENNESSEE	52,975	25.4	609.28	20.0	665.18	6.1	350.95
TEXAS	38,426	40.4	650.98	36.5	692.96	5.3	183.29
UTAH	6,248	17.0	506.28	16.2	516.76	1.0	232.01
VERMONT	4,055	24.2	677.55	22.2	685.15	2.6	469.84
VIRGIN ISLANDS	349	9.0	224.44	4.5	363.38	4.8	81.62
VIRGINIA	10,179	45.9	780.70	45.5	785.46	0.7	188.05
WASHINGTON	40,652	18.0	587.53	15.0	580.20	3.6	527.38
WEST VIRGINIA	7,165	22.7	188.61	8.6	329.80	15.4	93.48
WISCONSIN	9,249	12.2	712.21	9.9	750.78	2.8	452.38
WYOMING	65	18.0	309.60	9.9	433.96	8.9	146.89

NOTE: 'a/' = DATA NOT REPORTED.
SOURCE: NATIONAL TANF DATAFILE AS OF 9/19/2006

Table 10:47

Temporary Assistance for Needy Families - Active Cases
TANF Recipient Children with Unearned Income
FY 2005

STATE	TOTAL CHILDREN	UNEARNED INCOME	MONTHLY AVERAGE
U.S. TOTAL	3,457,422	2.8 %	$285.31
ALABAMA	36,692	--	--
ALASKA	8,163	6.9	149.30
ARIZONA	72,899	0.1	330.00
ARKANSAS	14,308	1.3	159.87
CALIFORNIA	912,876	1.2	551.21
COLORADO	28,316	0.3	97.18
CONNECTICUT	29,208	2.7	128.50
DELAWARE	9,392	16.5	146.08
DIST. OF COL.	31,705	1.3	259.44
FLORIDA	88,942	3.3	121.35
GEORGIA	73,785	6.7	117.96
GUAM a/			
HAWAII	14,528	2.9	146.45
IDAHO	2,710	2.7	136.12
ILLINOIS	75,665	--	--
INDIANA	81,945	4.5	168.31
IOWA	29,783	4.3	171.43
KANSAS	32,143	10.7	128.84
KENTUCKY	55,652	31.3	262.44
LOUISIANA	30,368	--	--
MAINE	15,546	5.6	141.18
MARYLAND	40,137	2.3	120.24
MASSACHUSETTS	72,270	4.8	160.35
MICHIGAN	152,117	3.8	268.63
MINNESOTA	53,061	2.4	229.57
MISSISSIPPI	25,868	4.5	106.83
MISSOURI	67,476	0.8	203.13
MONTANA	8,219	--	--
NEBRASKA	17,594	6.1	125.51
NEVADA	12,547	4.5	150.77
NEW HAMPSHIRE	9,860	3.7	170.45
NEW JERSEY	77,960	2.1	122.06
NEW MEXICO	31,786	1.3	90.70
NEW YORK	238,120	2.7	202.62
NORTH CAROLINA	54,210	2.4	124.90
NORTH DAKOTA	5,210	9.3	31.43
OHIO	136,017	0.9	188.12
OKLAHOMA	20,973	0.9	383.81
OREGON	33,139	--	--
PENNSYLVANIA	178,898	0.4	109.74
PUERTO RICO	29,056	1.6	77.55
RHODE ISLAND	18,699	4.0	367.91
SOUTH CAROLINA	27,217	2.8	123.69
SOUTH DAKOTA	5,097	2.1	79.82
TENNESSEE	130,690	--	--
TEXAS	168,545	0.9	114.23
UTAH	16,167	0.1	117.17
VERMONT	7,488	3.7	123.42
VIRGIN ISLANDS	1,038	0.0	255.00
VIRGINIA	18,395	0.6	182.65
WASHINGTON	96,252	3.2	126.65
WEST VIRGINIA	19,970	4.5	387.67
WISCONSIN	38,237	4.0	439.14
WYOMING	485	6.4	129.37

NOTE: 'a/' = DATA NOT REPORTED.
SOURCE: NATIONAL TANF DATAFILE AS OF 9/19/2006

Table 10:48

Temporary Assistance for Needy Families - Closed Cases
Percent Distribution of TANF Closed-Case Families by Reason for Closure
FY 2005

STATE	TOTAL FAMILIES	REASON FOR CLOSURE											
		EMPLOY-MENT	MARRIAGE	FEDERAL TIME LIMIT	STATE TIME LIMIT	WORK-RELATED SANCTION	OTHER SANCTION*	STATE POLICY	FAILURE TO COOPERATE**	TRANSFER TO MOE	SERVED BY TRIBAL PROGRAM	VOLUNTARY CLOSURE	OTHER
U.S. TOTAL	1,880,810	19.2	0.1	1.0	0.9	6.1	5.5	9.9	16.7	1.1	0.1	13.0	26.4
ALABAMA	20,404	29.0	0.0	2.2	0.0	21.2	9.6	9.8	10.4	0.0	0.0	10.4	7.4
ALASKA	5,514	16.9	0.0	0.0	0.0	3.2	0.1	10.9	11.6	0.0	0.5	26.8	30.1
ARIZONA	39,955	26.6	0.0	0.0	1.0	3.0	1.6	7.2	35.3	0.0	0.0	7.6	17.8
ARKANSAS	7,812	29.5	0.0	0.1	5.3	0.1	0.7	15.6	14.4	0.0	0.0	17.0	17.3
CALIFORNIA	395,296	16.0	0.2	0.0	0.0	0.0	7.4	11.7	14.0	0.6	0.0	25.5	24.5
COLORADO	15,151	1.6	2.9	0.0	0.0	0.8	0.3	34.6	4.4	0.0	0.0	0.0	55.4
CONNECTICUT	15,614	15.2	0.0	0.0	21.9	2.3	3.8	13.3	13.0	12.9	0.0	8.2	9.4
DELAWARE	6,693	0.0	0.0	0.3	1.3	30.0	5.4	2.6	4.2	0.0	0.0	12.3	44.0
DIST. OF COL.	6,537	25.2	0.0	0.1	0.0	1.4	0.4	17.6	39.7	4.8	0.0	9.3	1.4
FLORIDA	102,779	21.6	0.0	0.0	0.8	18.4	4.1	9.7	13.6	0.0	0.0	6.5	25.3
GEORGIA	41,981	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.2	2.8	1.8	32.3	0.0	0.0	15.3	47.7
GUAM a/													
HAWAII	6,777	9.1	0.0	4.8	0.0	0.3	9.0	5.1	38.7	6.2	0.0	0.0	27.0
IDAHO	2,613	34.9	0.0	0.0	1.6	3.1	21.2	7.1	9.8	0.0	16.3	0.0	6.2
ILLINOIS	27,209	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	30.7	11.6	0.0	0.0	9.9	47.8
INDIANA	44,818	19.3	0.3	0.0	5.9	0.3	17.9	4.6	21.3	2.2	0.0	4.3	24.0
IOWA	18,694	39.0	0.0	2.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	12.8	1.1	0.0	0.0	15.5	29.5
KANSAS	19,472	39.8	0.0	0.2	0.0	8.5	3.5	11.4	16.2	0.0	0.0	9.3	11.1
KENTUCKY	38,902	17.8	0.3	1.6	0.0	2.2	1.2	15.2	31.3	0.0	0.0	20.8	9.8
LOUISIANA	15,513	23.5	0.0	0.6	1.3	27.2	4.8	3.6	10.0	0.0	0.0	8.2	21.0
MAINE	12,390	37.0	0.0	0.1	0.0	0.1	0.8	7.6	18.4	0.1	0.0	1.1	34.9
MARYLAND	31,144	5.8	0.1	0.0	0.0	20.2	2.4	22.5	23.7	0.0	0.0	5.8	19.4
MASSACHUSETTS	29,918	22.6	0.0	0.0	2.6	8.1	1.7	14.3	28.8	0.4	0.0	11.2	10.3
MICHIGAN	71,694	39.3	0.0	0.0	0.0	12.7	2.6	10.7	4.9	0.0	0.0	10.0	19.8
MINNESOTA	35,775	34.4	0.0	2.0	0.4	0.9	4.3	21.3	20.8	6.1	0.1	9.1	0.7
MISSISSIPPI	16,111	17.4	0.1	0.8	0.7	34.7	6.0	8.5	12.4	0.0	0.0	10.3	9.0
MISSOURI	33,532	27.6	0.2	6.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	10.8	20.6	0.1	0.0	24.6	9.9
MONTANA	10,029	23.3	0.0	0.2	0.3	0.3	15.8	7.8	25.8	0.0	5.1	10.6	10.9
NEBRASKA	12,853	35.6	0.0	0.0	1.4	10.4	2.9	10.1	7.9	0.0	0.0	6.8	24.9
NEVADA	9,474	25.8	0.0	0.9	4.2	9.1	5.1	8.2	22.8	6.2	0.0	10.7	6.9
NEW HAMPSHIRE	6,190	32.8	0.3	2.6	0.0	7.0	0.3	9.6	16.2	0.0	0.0	6.9	24.4
NEW JERSEY	40,348	25.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	12.3	0.5	17.2	23.0	3.1	0.0	7.0	11.9
NEW MEXICO	32,598	17.2	0.0	0.3	0.0	20.8	0.0	0.3	38.1	0.0	0.0	15.0	8.4
NEW YORK	107,613	14.0	0.0	9.4	0.0	0.2	0.0	4.2	18.7	0.0	0.0	8.6	44.9
NORTH CAROLINA	38,080	0.3	0.0	1.5	2.8	0.0	26.8	2.7	33.6	0.0	0.0	21.1	11.1
NORTH DAKOTA	4,327	2.8	0.0	0.8	0.0	0.0	3.6	27.3	39.6	0.0	0.0	9.5	16.6
OHIO	86,672	7.2	0.0	0.4	0.0	0.0	3.6	3.8	9.2	0.0	0.0	7.5	68.3
OKLAHOMA	24,024	12.5	0.3	0.0	0.0	0.0	40.9	10.9	0.0	0.0	5.6	0.0	29.8
OREGON	22,995	0.8	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	7.6	2.3	0.0	0.0	0.0	89.3
PENNSYLVANIA	63,389	35.2	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	1.5	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	63.3
PUERTO RICO	9,273	18.9	0.6	20.1	0.3	0.1	0.6	9.4	24.5	0.0	0.0	15.2	10.3
RHODE ISLAND	9,346	22.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.8	0.0	7.9	25.3	16.2	0.0	11.3	16.4
SOUTH CAROLINA	17,584	36.1	0.0	0.1	7.7	13.4	1.5	13.0	7.7	4.5	0.0	11.5	4.5
SOUTH DAKOTA	3,353	27.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	27.5	2.0	16.2	14.4	0.0	0.0	9.8	3.2
TENNESSEE	48,501	23.3	0.0	0.0	5.6	19.4	0.7	1.1	4.8	0.0	0.0	2.3	42.8
TEXAS	146,749	15.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	18.8	14.4	9.1	22.1	4.4	0.0	10.7	5.4
UTAH	12,209	27.7	1.3	0.0	4.3	9.3	0.0	12.2	20.3	0.0	0.0	11.5	13.5
VERMONT	6,304	23.9	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	42.3	18.0	0.0	0.0	8.8	7.1
VIRGIN ISLANDS	363	25.6	0.0	10.2	0.0	0.0	8.5	7.4	37.7	0.0	0.0	10.2	0.3
VIRGINIA	11,613	16.3	0.0	3.7	15.8	0.0	2.9	3.8	6.3	0.0	0.0	18.5	32.8
WASHINGTON	61,130	30.7	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	1.9	30.4	0.0	0.0	22.4	14.6
WEST VIRGINIA	12,917	0.1	0.0	2.6	0.0	10.5	4.2	25.8	4.6	6.3	0.0	2.2	43.7
WISCONSIN	20,203	29.2	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.3	26.3	1.7	0.0	0.0	0.0	42.6
WYOMING	375	16.0	0.0	0.0	0.3	5.1	3.5	20.8	11.5	0.0	2.9	23.5	16.5

NOTES: '*' = CHILD SUPPORT SANCTION, TEEN PARENT FAILING TO MEET REQUIREMENTS, OR FAILURE TO MEET AN INDIVIDUAL RESPONSIBILITY PLAN.
'**' = FAILURE TO COOPERATE WITH ELIGIBILITY REQUIREMENTS.
'a/' = DATA NOT REPORTED.
SOURCE: NATIONAL TANF DATAFILE AS OF 4/12/2006

Table 10:49

Temporary Assistance for Needy Families - Closed Cases
Percent Distribution of TANF Closed-Case Families by Number of Family Members
FY 2005

STATE	TOTAL FAMILIES	NUMBER OF PERSONS								
		AVERAGE	1	2	3	4	5	6 - 10	OVER 10	UNKNOWN
U.S. TOTAL	1,880,810	2.5	19.1	38.5	24.1	11.5	4.3	2.2	0.0	0.3
ALABAMA	20,404	2.7	9.9	35.8	31.3	13.6	5.3	2.2	0.0	1.9
ALASKA	5,514	3.0	7.0	37.0	29.4	14.9	6.7	4.8	0.1	0.1
ARIZONA	39,955	2.5	17.7	43.5	22.2	10.9	4.2	1.6	0.0	0.0
ARKANSAS	7,812	2.5	14.1	45.8	24.9	9.4	4.8	1.0	0.0	0.0
CALIFORNIA	395,296	2.3	25.4	39.9	21.6	8.5	2.7	1.9	0.0	0.0
COLORADO	15,151	2.8	12.5	35.0	28.3	15.5	5.4	3.3	0.1	0.0
CONNECTICUT	15,614	2.3	19.9	46.6	22.9	6.7	2.7	1.2	0.0	0.0
DELAWARE	6,693	2.6	18.5	36.8	23.3	13.1	5.3	2.7	0.0	0.3
DIST. OF COL.	6,537	2.4	23.2	37.3	21.0	10.0	4.8	2.9	0.0	0.8
FLORIDA	102,779	2.3	28.5	36.9	19.9	10.4	2.9	1.4	0.0	0.0
GEORGIA	41,981	2.5	17.4	39.1	26.2	11.6	4.6	1.2	0.0	0.0
GUAM a/										
HAWAII	6,777	2.7	13.7	39.8	24.3	13.3	5.8	3.0	0.0	0.1
IDAHO	2,613	2.4	21.6	41.3	23.5	8.7	2.9	1.9	0.0	0.1
ILLINOIS	27,209	2.2	37.1	31.0	18.0	8.7	3.4	1.9	0.0	0.0
INDIANA	44,818	2.6	13.0	42.2	27.6	10.5	4.1	2.5	0.0	0.2
IOWA	18,694	2.5	12.4	45.6	26.7	10.2	3.7	1.1	0.0	0.3
KANSAS	19,472	2.8	11.5	34.9	28.9	13.8	7.3	3.5	0.0	0.1
KENTUCKY	38,902	2.4	19.9	38.5	23.9	12.1	4.0	1.0	0.0	0.6
LOUISIANA	15,513	2.3	28.4	29.6	17.8	11.2	5.7	1.8	0.0	5.5
MAINE	12,390	2.4	14.6	48.0	23.7	10.6	2.2	1.0	0.0	0.0
MARYLAND	31,144	2.9	9.2	36.7	27.6	17.1	5.8	3.5	0.0	0.1
MASSACHUSETTS	29,918	2.3	20.9	44.7	23.1	7.5	2.9	0.7	0.0	0.1
MICHIGAN	71,694	2.8	13.4	33.9	27.9	15.1	6.5	3.2	0.1	0.0
MINNESOTA	35,775	2.6	12.8	44.9	24.9	10.1	4.4	2.9	0.0	0.0
MISSISSIPPI	16,111	2.5	12.2	47.5	27.4	8.8	2.9	1.3	0.0	0.0
MISSOURI	33,532	2.5	13.1	42.5	26.3	11.7	3.2	1.9	0.0	1.2
MONTANA	10,029	2.9	10.6	35.2	24.2	16.6	8.4	4.7	0.0	0.4
NEBRASKA	12,853	2.3	20.9	42.4	22.8	8.3	3.1	1.1	0.0	1.3
NEVADA	9,474	2.7	15.9	36.1	25.3	12.8	7.3	2.7	0.0	0.0
NEW HAMPSHIRE	6,190	2.5	10.2	49.9	26.3	9.2	3.3	1.3	0.0	0.0
NEW JERSEY	40,348	2.6	13.3	44.7	24.3	11.9	3.6	2.0	0.1	0.2
NEW MEXICO	32,598	2.8	8.8	37.2	27.1	17.4	5.7	3.4	0.0	0.4
NEW YORK	107,613	2.4	27.1	34.4	21.5	10.3	4.4	2.1	0.1	0.0
NORTH CAROLINA	38,080	2.4	22.4	38.7	24.0	9.4	3.6	1.8	0.0	0.0
NORTH DAKOTA	4,327	2.3	25.3	39.0	20.7	8.8	3.2	1.3	0.1	1.7
OHIO	86,672	2.6	18.9	36.3	25.9	11.1	5.2	2.5	0.0	0.0
OKLAHOMA	24,024	3.1	9.9	32.1	25.7	16.2	8.6	7.1	0.1	0.4
OREGON	22,995	2.2	31.5	33.3	21.3	9.5	2.5	1.5	0.1	0.3
PENNSYLVANIA	63,389	2.6	16.0	39.1	25.4	11.7	6.4	1.2	0.0	0.1
PUERTO RICO	9,273	3.0	7.3	33.5	30.8	17.2	7.0	4.1	0.0	0.0
RHODE ISLAND	9,346	2.7	14.7	38.8	25.5	12.8	5.8	2.5	0.0	0.0
SOUTH CAROLINA	17,584	2.7	10.8	39.0	28.6	14.8	4.1	2.6	0.0	0.0
SOUTH DAKOTA	3,353	2.7	19.0	33.5	24.2	13.3	5.7	4.2	0.1	0.0
TENNESSEE	48,501	2.7	11.1	40.2	27.8	13.7	5.2	2.2	0.0	0.0
TEXAS	146,749	2.6	15.8	36.9	24.8	15.4	5.4	1.7	0.0	0.0
UTAH	12,209	2.7	8.9	43.6	26.5	13.4	4.6	2.3	0.0	0.8
VERMONT	6,304	2.8	7.6	37.8	30.2	16.4	5.4	2.6	0.0	0.0
VIRGIN ISLANDS	363	3.0	7.4	34.2	29.8	14.6	8.8	4.7	0.0	0.6
VIRGINIA	11,613	2.1	2.2	42.0	22.5	9.6	2.3	1.0	0.0	20.4
WASHINGTON	61,130	2.6	18.0	37.3	25.0	11.9	4.7	3.2	0.0	0.0
WEST VIRGINIA	12,917	3.2	1.8	33.8	28.5	22.0	7.8	6.1	0.0	0.0
WISCONSIN	20,203	2.7	18.5	34.3	23.8	13.4	6.0	3.8	0.1	0.0
WYOMING	375	2.2	29.3	39.7	20.0	7.7	2.9	0.3	0.0	0.0

NOTE: 'a/' = DATA NOT REPORTED.
SOURCE: NATIONAL TANF DATAFILE AS OF 4/12/2006

Table 10:50

Temporary Assistance for Needy Families - Closed Cases
Percent Distribution of TANF Closed-Case Families by Number of Recipient Children
FY 2005

STATE	TOTAL FAMILIES	NUMBER OF RECIPIENT CHILDREN						
		AVERAGE	1	2	3	4	5 OR MORE	UNKNOWN
U.S. TOTAL	1,880,810	1.8	47.6	27.2	12.9	4.2	2.0	6.1
ALABAMA	20,404	1.9	39.8	34.4	14.3	5.8	2.3	3.5
ALASKA	5,514	1.9	47.6	27.9	12.8	5.3	3.1	3.3
ARIZONA	39,955	1.7	52.3	26.6	12.3	3.3	1.4	4.1
ARKANSAS	7,812	1.7	53.9	30.7	9.6	4.7	1.1	0.0
CALIFORNIA	395,296	1.7	49.5	23.9	12.2	3.0	2.1	9.2
COLORADO	15,151	1.9	43.8	29.0	16.2	5.1	2.8	3.2
CONNECTICUT	15,614	1.5	57.6	23.0	7.1	2.9	1.1	8.3
DELAWARE	6,693	1.9	46.3	27.6	12.8	6.3	2.7	4.3
DIST. OF COL.	6,537	1.9	46.6	24.9	11.8	5.9	3.1	7.7
FLORIDA	102,779	1.7	54.2	25.0	11.6	3.2	1.9	4.2
GEORGIA	41,981	1.8	46.3	30.8	12.6	4.4	1.7	4.2
GUAM a/								
HAWAII	6,777	1.9	46.3	26.4	12.1	5.3	3.0	6.9
IDAHO	2,613	1.6	57.2	26.1	9.2	3.0	1.4	3.2
ILLINOIS	27,209	1.8	50.0	23.9	11.9	5.6	2.9	5.6
INDIANA	44,818	1.9	43.6	32.3	13.6	5.2	2.8	2.5
IOWA	18,694	1.7	52.0	29.3	10.9	3.1	1.2	3.5
KANSAS	19,472	1.9	41.8	30.1	13.8	5.6	2.4	6.4
KENTUCKY	38,902	1.7	49.4	29.9	12.6	3.3	0.8	3.9
LOUISIANA	15,513	2.0	39.4	27.4	13.8	7.3	3.0	9.0
MAINE	12,390	1.6	55.8	26.2	10.5	2.3	0.7	4.7
MARYLAND	31,144	1.9	44.4	29.4	15.7	5.0	2.9	2.6
MASSACHUSETTS	29,918	1.5	57.4	23.3	7.7	2.6	0.6	8.5
MICHIGAN	71,694	1.9	39.6	30.7	13.1	6.4	2.1	8.0
MINNESOTA	35,775	1.8	48.5	28.5	10.1	4.8	3.0	5.1
MISSISSIPPI	16,111	1.7	52.6	29.7	10.5	2.7	1.4	3.0
MISSOURI	33,532	1.8	48.0	27.8	12.9	3.5	1.8	6.1
MONTANA	10,029	1.9	45.2	26.7	15.4	6.5	2.2	4.1
NEBRASKA	12,853	1.7	48.6	25.7	10.0	3.3	1.3	11.0
NEVADA	9,474	2.0	42.4	28.1	14.8	7.7	2.8	4.2
NEW HAMPSHIRE	6,190	1.6	55.4	28.9	9.1	2.9	0.6	3.1
NEW JERSEY	40,348	1.8	48.0	26.3	12.9	3.4	2.3	7.1
NEW MEXICO	32,598	1.9	45.0	30.6	15.8	5.0	1.8	1.8
NEW YORK	107,613	1.7	49.8	25.6	10.4	3.0	2.0	9.2
NORTH CAROLINA	38,080	1.8	49.3	28.5	11.4	4.2	1.9	4.6
NORTH DAKOTA	4,327	1.8	46.8	26.2	12.4	3.4	2.0	9.2
OHIO	86,672	1.8	47.6	28.4	11.9	4.6	1.7	5.9
OKLAHOMA	24,024	2.1	39.2	29.8	18.2	6.7	4.0	2.2
OREGON	22,995	1.6	55.8	24.6	11.2	2.6	1.1	4.8
PENNSYLVANIA	63,389	1.8	46.3	28.5	12.1	4.3	0.8	7.9
PUERTO RICO	9,273	2.1	37.5	30.7	17.3	6.9	3.9	3.7
RHODE ISLAND	9,346	1.8	49.8	27.0	13.2	4.3	1.8	4.0
SOUTH CAROLINA	17,584	1.9	42.6	33.3	15.6	4.4	1.7	2.5
SOUTH DAKOTA	3,353	2.0	42.5	28.8	14.8	6.8	4.3	2.8
TENNESSEE	48,501	1.9	44.8	28.3	14.7	5.2	2.1	5.0
TEXAS	146,749	2.0	40.4	30.2	19.5	5.7	2.0	2.2
UTAH	12,209	1.8	48.7	28.0	13.3	4.8	1.8	3.3
VERMONT	6,304	1.8	46.5	31.9	12.5	3.4	1.3	4.3
VIRGIN ISLANDS	363	2.2	33.3	33.6	15.4	10.7	5.2	1.7
VIRGINIA	11,613	1.7	42.7	22.2	9.9	1.9	0.7	22.7
WASHINGTON	61,130	1.8	49.3	26.6	11.5	3.7	2.2	6.8
WEST VIRGINIA	12,917	1.9	45.6	29.7	14.6	5.3	1.8	3.0
WISCONSIN	20,203	1.9	45.8	26.1	14.1	5.5	4.3	4.2
WYOMING	375	1.7	53.1	27.7	12.0	3.5	0.3	3.5

NOTE: 'a/' = DATA NOT REPORTED.
SOURCE: NATIONAL TANF DATAFILE AS OF 4/12/2006

Table 10:51

Temporary Assistance for Needy Families - Closed Cases
Percent Distribution of TANF Closed-Case Families by Ethnicity/Race
FY 2005

STATE	TOTAL FAMILIES	HISPANIC*	WHITE	AFRICAN-AMERICAN	NATIVE AMERICAN	ASIAN	HAWAIIAN	MULTI-RACIAL	UNKNOWN
U.S. TOTAL	1,880,810	25.0	36.3	33.7	1.7	1.3	0.5	0.4	1.3
ALABAMA	20,404	1.3	28.3	70.1	0.1	0.1	0.0	0.3	0.0
ALASKA	5,514	3.4	51.7	8.0	29.5	2.9	3.0	1.4	0.0
ARIZONA	39,955	44.6	36.7	10.6	6.5	0.6	0.2	0.5	0.1
ARKANSAS	7,812	2.1	40.3	56.9	0.1	0.3	0.0	0.1	0.1
CALIFORNIA	395,296	51.9	26.0	16.8	0.4	3.3	0.8	0.4	0.8
COLORADO	15,151	21.2	43.5	12.4	0.8	0.3	0.3	1.2	3.1
CONNECTICUT	15,614	35.9	32.7	30.5	0.2	0.7	0.0	0.0	0.0
DELAWARE	6,693	7.7	31.0	61.2	0.0	0.2	0.0	0.0	0.0
DIST. OF COL.	6,537	3.2	0.3	96.4	0.0	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.1
FLORIDA	102,779	20.9	26.2	52.5	0.0	0.0	0.3	0.0	0.0
GEORGIA	41,981	3.8	22.0	73.7	0.0	0.3	0.0	0.2	0.0
GUAM a/									
HAWAII	6,777	6.2	21.3	1.9	0.3	16.8	40.1	13.3	0.0
IDAHO	2,613	13.0	82.4	0.7	2.4	0.3	0.2	1.1	0.0
ILLINOIS	27,209	8.2	23.8	67.4	0.2	0.2	0.2	0.0	0.2
INDIANA	44,818	6.4	53.8	38.3	0.0	0.2	0.1	1.3	0.0
IOWA	18,694	6.0	64.3	11.3	1.2	0.9	0.1	0.4	15.2
KANSAS	19,472	11.6	61.2	22.4	2.3	0.8	0.1	0.5	1.1
KENTUCKY	38,902	1.5	69.9	28.3	0.0	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.2
LOUISIANA	15,513	2	15	82	0	0	0	-	-
MAINE	12,390	0.8	92.5	3.0	2.3	0.7	0.2	0.6	5.5
MARYLAND	31,144	1.9	21.2	76.8	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.0	0.0
MASSACHUSETTS	29,918	34.3	44.2	18.6	0.1	2.8	0.0	0.0	0.0
MICHIGAN	71,694	4.6	44.6	49.1	0.7	0.8	0.0	0.2	0.0
MINNESOTA	35,775	9.1	49.4	29.5	7.7	3.6	0.0	0.8	0.0
MISSISSIPPI	16,111	0.3	22.6	77.0	0.0	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.0
MISSOURI	33,532	1.6	59.6	37.5	0.7	0.5	0.1	0.1	0.0
MONTANA	10,029	3.1	46.3	0.5	49.7	0.1	0.1	0.3	8.3
NEBRASKA	12,853	11.5	44.7	20.1	6.2	0.3	0.0	0.4	26.2
NEVADA	9,474	23.0	37.1	33.9	2.8	2.1	0.1	1.0	0.1
NEW HAMPSHIRE	6,190	4.8	92.0	2.8	0.3	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.0
NEW JERSEY	40,348	25.0	16.3	57.5	0.1	0.8	0.0	0.3	0.0
NEW MEXICO	32,598	67.9	23.0	3.1	5.5	0.4	0.0	0.0	0.0
NEW YORK	107,613	29.7	23.4	44.6	0.2	0.8	0.2	1.1	0.0
NORTH CAROLINA	38,080	5.2	28.8	61.6	3.4	0.2	0.1	0.7	0.0
NORTH DAKOTA	4,327	3.3	42.0	1.6	51.5	0.2	0.0	1.4	0.0
OHIO	86,672	3.7	53.0	42.7	0.1	0.2	0.0	0.3	0.0
OKLAHOMA	24,024	5.3	46.4	34.6	13.4	0.0	0.0	0.3	0.0
OREGON	22,995	15.1	71.1	8.5	3.4	1.2	0.2	0.0	0.5
PENNSYLVANIA	63,389	13.5	45.1	37.4	0.1	0.8	0.0	0.0	10.1
PUERTO RICO	9,273	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
RHODE ISLAND	9,346	25.6	41.7	11.8	0.4	1.6	0.0	0.0	14.3
SOUTH CAROLINA	17,584	2.4	31.1	65.7	0.1	0.1	0.0	0.3	0.1
SOUTH DAKOTA	3,353	1.6	29.2	1.6	63.0	0.1	0.1	4.4	0.0
TENNESSEE	48,501	0.7	51.4	47.3	0.2	0.3	0.0	0.1	0.0
TEXAS	146,749	48.8	23.1	27.0	0.0	1.0	0.0	0.1	0.0
UTAH	12,209	16.3	74.4	4.0	3.4	1.2	0.5	0.2	0.0
VERMONT	6,304	0.7	95.8	2.9	0.3	0.3	0.0	0.0	0.0
VIRGIN ISLANDS	363	28.1	1.1	70.0	0.6	0.3	0.0	0.0	0.0
VIRGINIA	11,613	2.8	32.4	63.8	0.4	0.3	0.2	0.1	0.0
WASHINGTON	61,130	16.8	57.8	9.8	4.3	1.0	2.0	0.3	5.8
WEST VIRGINIA	12,917	0.4	88.8	10.4	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.3	0.0
WISCONSIN	20,203	9.2	19.5	54.4	1.4	1.3	0.1	0.3	8.9
WYOMING	375	8.5	78.9	6.3	6.3	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0

NOTES: 'a/' = DATA NOT REPORTED.
'b/' = DATA REPORTED IN ERROR.
'*' = CAN BE OF ANY RACE
SOURCE: NATIONAL TANF DATAFILE AS OF 4/12/2006

Table 10:52

Temporary Assistance for Needy Families - Closed Cases
Percent Distribution of TANF Closed-Case Families that Received Other Assistance
FY 2005

STATE	TOTAL FAMILIES	TYPE OF ASSISTANCE RECEIVED AS OF MONTH OF CLOSURE			
		MEDICAL ASSISTANCE	FOOD STAMPS	SUBSIDIZED HOUSINGS	SUBSIDIZED CHILD CARE
U.S. TOTAL	1,880,810	94.5	80.3	14.4	10.9
ALABAMA	20,404	--	75.3	16.6	0.7
ALASKA	5,514	96.8	84.3	10.3	18.3
ARIZONA	39,955	93.9	83.7	6.6	12.8
ARKANSAS	7,812	98.8	85.9	7.5	--
CALIFORNIA	395,296	100.0	86.6	6.7	4.1
COLORADO	15,151	70.9	60.2	0.7	7.9
CONNECTICUT	15,614	84.7	55.3	29.5	--
DELAWARE	6,693	100.0	90.9	12.8	28.4
DIST. OF COL.	6,537	99.7	89.8	25.2	5.6
FLORIDA	102,779	100.0	70.4	13.5	11.6
GEORGIA	41,981	94.5	81.8	10.1	--
GUAM a/					
HAWAII	6,777	100.0	82.7	11.1	--
IDAHO	2,613	97.1	77.7	5.3	18.8
ILLINOIS	27,209	94.5	41.5	--	0.9
INDIANA	44,818	98.1	84.6	13.3	11.7
IOWA	18,694	81.9	83.4	2.1	17.0
KANSAS	19,472	100.0	85.4	13.9	16.3
KENTUCKY	38,902	66.1	69.6	--	--
LOUISIANA	15,513	100.0	--	20.6	24.5
MAINE	12,390	96.5	86.0	8.4	17.3
MARYLAND	31,144	100.0	74.3	30.5	--
MASSACHUSETTS	29,918	100.0	68.2	42.2	9.4
MICHIGAN	71,694	98.8	81.3	12.1	22.9
MINNESOTA	35,775	95.8	94.8	28.9	15.1
MISSISSIPPI	16,111	100.0	87.6	3.9	--
MISSOURI	33,532	96.3	76.6	12.6	13.7
MONTANA	10,029	99.3	90.3	26.7	--
NEBRASKA	12,853	100.0	53.4	1.8	53.7
NEVADA	9,474	100.0	72.5	17.1	12.9
NEW HAMPSHIRE	6,190	100.0	87.3	19.8	14.3
NEW JERSEY	40,348	71.5	82.8	22.8	13.0
NEW MEXICO	32,598	93.6	94.7	12.5	12.9
NEW YORK	107,613	100.0	77.2	22.2	9.4
NORTH CAROLINA	38,080	100.0	--	22.9	9.2
NORTH DAKOTA	4,327	75.3	71.5	--	19.7
OHIO	86,672	99.8	80.5	9.0	8.3
OKLAHOMA	24,024	100.0	76.6	24.6	5.3
OREGON	22,995	62.8	58.3	15.0	11.8
PENNSYLVANIA	63,389	47.7	64.2	16.5	16.5
PUERTO RICO	9,273	99.2	95.5	35.2	2.7
RHODE ISLAND	9,346	99.8	88.2	24.3	15.3
SOUTH CAROLINA	17,584	99.9	87.5	23.2	12.3
SOUTH DAKOTA	3,353	100.0	80.7	36.5	10.9
TENNESSEE	48,501	100.0	68.8	2.2	--
TEXAS	146,749	100.0	91.6	21.5	--
UTAH	12,209	100.0	87.1	--	18.3
VERMONT	6,304	100.0	92.1	26.8	24.2
VIRGIN ISLANDS	363	100.0	59.5	0.0	0.0
VIRGINIA	11,613	50.0	65.9	--	18.9
WASHINGTON	61,130	99.1	64.1	16.1	18.3
WEST VIRGINIA	12,917	81.2	72.4	18.8	0.4
WISCONSIN	20,203	93.1	59.7	8.0	23.3
WYOMING	375	90.7	68.3	8.0	0.0

NOTES: 'a/' = DATA NOT REPORTED.
'--' = DATA NOT AVAILABLE OR REPORTED IN ERROR.
SOURCE: NATIONAL TANF DATAFILE AS OF 4/12/2006

Table 10:53

Temporary Assistance for Needy Families - Closed Cases
Percent Distribution of TANF Closed-Case
Adult Recipients by Age Group
FY 2005

STATE	ADULT RECIPIENTS	AGE OF ADULT RECIPIENTS				
		UNDER 20	20 - 29	30 - 39	40 - 49	OVER 49
U.S. TOTAL	1,533,283	10.7	48.2	26.3	12.2	2.6
ALABAMA	16,986	7.7	59.5	25.8	6.3	0.7
ALASKA	6,337	6.8	44.2	27.0	18.3	3.8
ARIZONA	33,063	7.8	45.6	29.5	14.9	2.2
ARKANSAS	6,211	10.1	59.4	22.0	7.7	0.8
CALIFORNIA	283,445	13.6	43.5	23.7	14.3	5.0
COLORADO	14,015	7.7	46.3	32.1	12.3	1.5
CONNECTICUT	13,652	8.8	54.7	23.3	12.1	1.1
DELAWARE	5,254	8.5	54.2	25.3	10.3	1.7
DIST. OF COL.	4,644	9.9	41.3	31.1	13.7	3.9
FLORIDA	66,899	9.2	49.3	26.8	12.6	2.1
GEORGIA	32,955	13.8	53.9	22.6	8.6	1.1
GUAM a/						
HAWAII	6,461	9.7	48.5	27.6	11.4	2.8
IDAHO	2,084	10.6	47.2	27.4	12.7	2.1
ILLINOIS	12,270	25.2	44.2	21.8	7.4	1.4
INDIANA	32,867	8.6	52.7	27.0	10.8	0.8
IOWA	16,519	8.1	50.8	28.3	10.9	1.9
KANSAS	20,154	8.1	53.1	26.7	11.1	1.0
KENTUCKY	30,603	8.1	53.7	29.0	8.5	0.7
LOUISIANA	6,981	12.6	56.1	21.1	9.3	0.9
MAINE	11,006	6.2	50.4	29.0	11.9	2.5
MARYLAND	31,033	8.7	56.8	20.7	11.8	2.0
MASSACHUSETTS	26,501	9.2	46.1	27.4	14.7	2.6
MICHIGAN	72,350	11.7	48.1	25.6	13.1	1.5
MINNESOTA	31,246	8.9	51.9	26.3	11.2	1.8
MISSISSIPPI	13,686	8.8	64.1	21.3	5.4	0.5
MISSOURI	29,018	8.5	53.8	25.7	11.4	0.7
MONTANA	10,940	8.3	50.0	26.8	13.1	1.8
NEBRASKA	10,253	10.0	50.7	26.7	10.8	1.8
NEVADA	7,518	9.2	50.0	28.6	10.9	1.3
NEW HAMPSHIRE	5,829	6.8	45.4	32.6	13.8	1.4
NEW JERSEY	35,842	9.8	44.2	28.3	15.0	2.7
NEW MEXICO	32,721	7.5	53.8	28.3	8.5	1.9
NEW YORK	89,490	11.7	41.9	25.8	16.5	4.1
NORTH CAROLINA	27,131	11.2	50.9	25.7	10.9	1.3
NORTH DAKOTA	2,787	6.9	51.7	26.5	13.5	1.4
OHIO	76,690	11.8	50.7	27.2	9.4	1.0
OKLAHOMA	25,285	10.9	53.2	22.6	10.5	2.8
OREGON	15,637	10.9	47.2	24.0	14.8	3.1
PENNSYLVANIA	60,673	9.6	49.9	26.8	12.4	1.3
PUERTO RICO	9,038	7.9	39.9	33.1	13.9	5.2
RHODE ISLAND	8,879	7.3	47.6	28.9	13.2	3.0
SOUTH CAROLINA	15,548	10.0	55.8	23.9	8.9	1.4
SOUTH DAKOTA	2,440	11.1	49.3	27.9	10.4	1.3
TENNESSEE	44,581	8.8	48.1	31.6	9.8	1.7
TEXAS	103,216	13.7	45.8	28.6	9.5	2.4
UTAH	11,324	5.9	52.3	26.1	13.1	2.5
VERMONT	7,229	5.7	51.2	29.6	12.0	1.6
VIRGIN ISLANDS	286	7.7	54.5	24.5	10.1	3.1
VIRGINIA	9,513	3.2	50.6	32.6	12.2	1.5
WASHINGTON	59,143	8.4	45.6	28.9	14.7	2.5
WEST VIRGINIA	18,294	8.7	40.0	26.3	14.4	10.6
WISCONSIN	16,550	14.3	49.1	25.8	9.1	1.6
WYOMING	210	11.9	50.0	28.1	6.7	3.3

NOTE: 'a/' = DATA NOT REPORTED.
SOURCE: NATIONAL TANF DATAFILE AS OF 4/12/2006

Table 10:54

Temporary Assistance for Needy Families - Closed Cases
Percent Distribution of TANF Closed-Case Recipient Children by Age Group
FY 2005

STATE	RECIPIENT CHILDREN	AGE OF RECIPIENT CHILDREN					
		0 - 1	2 - 5	6 - 11	12 - 15	16 - 19	UNKNOWN
U.S. TOTAL	3,170,612	17.1	30.1	30.6	15.9	6.2	0.0
ALABAMA	38,155	18.6	32.7	32.1	12.8	3.7	0.0
ALASKA	9,939	18.4	30.5	28.1	16.6	6.4	0.0
ARIZONA	65,060	12.7	26.8	33.1	20.0	7.5	0.0
ARKANSAS	13,153	18.5	32.6	29.2	13.9	5.4	0.5
CALIFORNIA	625,184	13.8	28.2	33.4	17.5	7.1	0.0
COLORADO	28,156	17.4	31.7	30.8	14.0	6.1	0.0
CONNECTICUT	22,196	19.8	35.0	25.8	13.5	5.9	0.0
DELAWARE	11,997	20.4	30.6	29.0	14.5	5.5	0.0
DIST. OF COL.	11,269	12.1	26.0	35.0	19.5	7.1	0.3
FLORIDA	166,090	15.5	30.4	32.7	15.3	6.1	0.0
GEORGIA	72,312	24.1	30.4	26.6	13.6	5.2	0.0
GUAM a/							
HAWAII	11,752	20.5	29.7	28.4	15.0	6.4	0.0
IDAHO	4,092	22.4	28.5	28.0	15.5	5.6	0.0
ILLINOIS	46,892	19.8	26.5	29.3	16.4	7.9	0.2
INDIANA	83,175	15.9	31.6	30.8	15.8	5.9	0.0
IOWA	30,250	19.6	32.0	27.4	14.9	6.2	0.0
KANSAS	34,725	20.9	31.7	27.7	14.4	5.3	0.0
KENTUCKY	64,123	18.0	32.2	29.5	15.5	4.9	0.0
LOUISIANA	28,156	22.9	29.7	27.9	13.9	5.6	0.0
MAINE	18,833	17.4	29.2	29.4	16.9	7.0	0.0
MARYLAND	58,024	18.5	29.1	30.2	16.8	5.4	0.0
MASSACHUSETTS	41,951	14.0	27.4	33.4	17.3	7.9	0.1
MICHIGAN	127,926	21.0	28.5	30.7	15.2	4.6	0.0
MINNESOTA	61,708	15.1	31.5	29.2	18.2	6.0	0.0
MISSISSIPPI	26,212	19.3	35.5	27.9	13.2	4.1	0.0
MISSOURI	55,578	15.7	32.1	31.4	14.4	6.4	0.0
MONTANA	18,303	20.8	29.7	27.1	15.6	6.8	0.0
NEBRASKA	19,304	18.1	29.0	31.4	15.2	5.7	0.5
NEVADA	17,932	20.0	29.3	31.1	14.6	4.9	0.0
NEW HAMPSHIRE	9,620	15.7	31.0	31.0	16.1	6.1	0.0
NEW JERSEY	67,146	14.9	27.5	31.3	18.0	8.2	0.0
NEW MEXICO	59,770	18.6	32.1	31.0	14.2	4.1	0.0
NEW YORK	168,046	13.6	27.7	29.0	19.9	9.8	0.0
NORTH CAROLINA	63,895	19.5	31.1	27.8	15.6	6.0	0.0
NORTH DAKOTA	6,963	20.0	30.9	29.1	14.1	5.8	0.0
OHIO	145,062	21.7	31.3	26.3	14.7	6.1	0.0
OKLAHOMA	48,629	18.8	30.8	29.7	14.9	5.8	0.0
OREGON	35,642	22.1	29.9	28.5	14.1	5.3	0.0
PENNSYLVANIA	102,300	19.2	30.5	30.4	14.0	5.9	0.0
PUERTO RICO	18,481	6.2	25.8	38.7	21.3	7.2	0.7
RHODE ISLAND	15,904	17.7	30.1	29.6	16.7	5.9	0.0
SOUTH CAROLINA	32,212	22.9	32.4	26.0	11.9	5.2	1.6
SOUTH DAKOTA	6,538	20.8	28.1	27.6	16.6	6.9	0.0
TENNESSEE	86,089	15.4	29.9	32.9	16.1	5.7	0.0
TEXAS	282,800	17.6	34.0	31.2	13.2	3.9	0.0
UTAH	21,284	21.3	30.9	28.3	14.1	5.4	0.0
VERMONT	10,633	28.3	28.9	25.6	11.9	5.3	0.0
VIRGIN ISLANDS	799	14.1	33.0	33.7	14.5	4.6	0.0
VIRGINIA	14,855	6.2	37.3	34.5	16.9	5.1	0.0
WASHINGTON	100,049	19.3	30.4	27.5	16.1	6.6	0.0
WEST VIRGINIA	23,188	18.4	30.9	28.8	14.7	7.2	0.1
WISCONSIN	37,662	19.5	27.8	28.9	16.8	7.0	0.0
WYOMING	600	20.2	28.2	30.0	15.0	6.2	0.5

NOTE: 'a/' = DATA NOT REPORTED.
SOURCE: NATIONAL TANF DATAFILE AS OF 4/12/2006

Table 10:55

Temporary Assistance for Needy Families - Closed Cases
Percent Distribution of TANF Closed-Case Youngest Child Recipient by Age Group
FY 2005

STATE	TOTAL FAMILIES	AGE OF THE YOUNGEST CHILD							
		UNDER 1	1 - 2	3 - 5	6 - 8	9 - 11	12 - 15	16 - 19	UNKNOWN
U.S. TOTAL	1,880,810	14.4	24.3	20.1	11.9	9.4	10.4	7.3	2.0
ALABAMA	20,404	16.8	27.8	21.8	12.3	7.1	8.3	4.2	1.8
ALASKA	5,514	17.2	25.7	19.8	10.8	7.7	11.3	6.3	1.2
ARIZONA	39,955	15.2	22.9	20.0	12.6	10.3	12.2	6.1	0.8
ARKANSAS	7,812	14.5	28.2	21.4	11.8	10.4	10.8	2.9	0.1
CALIFORNIA	395,296	8.8	24.0	20.7	12.7	10.0	10.5	9.9	3.5
COLORADO	15,151	15.6	27.9	20.3	12.1	8.9	8.3	5.7	1.3
CONNECTICUT	15,614	11.8	28.0	21.4	11.2	5.2	10.6	8.0	3.9
DELAWARE	6,693	17.6	25.5	19.5	10.3	8.8	10.6	6.3	1.5
DIST. OF COL.	6,537	8.9	22.1	21.8	14.3	12.8	10.5	9.1	0.4
FLORIDA	102,779	13.3	22.0	21.6	13.9	9.9	10.6	6.5	2.3
GEORGIA	41,981	19.0	28.5	17.3	10.0	7.6	10.1	6.8	0.8
GUAM a/									
HAWAII	6,777	17.4	27.5	18.5	10.3	6.9	8.8	8.8	1.8
IDAHO	2,613	22.2	19.2	16.5	11.8	9.1	12.4	8.3	0.6
ILLINOIS	27,209	20.1	21.5	16.1	11.2	7.8	11.3	11.9	0.1
INDIANA	44,818	13.6	27.4	22.6	12.8	9.2	9.9	4.5	0.0
IOWA	18,694	17.8	23.6	19.1	11.6	8.1	11.8	7.2	0.7
KANSAS	19,472	20.8	27.3	17.3	10.3	7.6	9.3	6.0	1.5
KENTUCKY	38,902	11.3	25.9	20.5	11.8	9.3	12.6	6.8	1.9
LOUISIANA	15,513	23.2	23.1	16.2	9.4	8.0	9.3	6.1	4.8
MAINE	12,390	18.3	24.4	20.2	12.6	7.1	11.3	5.8	0.5
MARYLAND	31,144	14.8	28.5	21.2	11.0	9.4	10.1	3.9	1.2
MASSACHUSETTS	29,918	12.9	19.9	22.8	12.8	11.1	9.1	9.0	2.4
MICHIGAN	71,694	20.1	23.7	17.7	10.9	9.2	9.8	6.3	2.3
MINNESOTA	35,775	15.5	25.4	21.3	10.7	8.2	11.0	6.0	1.9
MISSISSIPPI	16,111	18.5	34.0	19.6	10.9	6.7	7.2	3.0	0.1
MISSOURI	33,532	13.7	23.2	23.8	11.4	9.6	9.9	6.6	1.8
MONTANA	10,029	19.7	24.2	18.8	11.1	8.5	11.1	5.0	1.8
NEBRASKA	12,853	16.0	21.4	19.7	12.8	7.8	8.8	5.7	7.8
NEVADA	9,474	20.2	24.1	19.1	11.3	9.7	9.2	5.0	1.4
NEW HAMPSHIRE	6,190	11.3	26.8	21.0	14.3	10.1	11.0	5.7	0.0
NEW JERSEY	40,348	11.2	23.8	19.5	13.8	10.3	10.6	8.3	2.7
NEW MEXICO	32,598	18.0	28.9	21.7	12.7	8.8	7.3	2.4	0.1
NEW YORK	107,613	9.9	18.8	20.5	11.1	10.1	14.2	11.8	3.5
NORTH CAROLINA	38,080	16.6	23.9	18.8	11.4	8.0	12.9	7.8	0.6
NORTH DAKOTA	4,327	18.0	23.1	17.9	12.4	6.1	9.5	5.5	7.5
OHIO	86,672	20.1	24.8	17.2	9.2	8.2	11.0	7.7	1.9
OKLAHOMA	24,024	19.6	26.5	18.8	11.3	8.3	10.3	4.8	0.4
OREGON	22,995	20.3	21.2	19.3	11.7	7.9	11.2	7.0	1.5
PENNSYLVANIA	63,389	15.8	24.2	19.2	11.9	10.1	8.7	6.8	3.3
PUERTO RICO	9,273	6.9	21.0	26.5	15.5	12.3	11.0	6.8	0.1
RHODE ISLAND	9,346	15.0	23.6	21.4	12.7	10.6	9.8	5.0	1.9
SOUTH CAROLINA	17,584	21.0	31.9	18.3	9.6	6.1	8.5	4.5	0.1
SOUTH DAKOTA	3,353	22.6	20.8	19.8	8.8	10.6	9.6	7.1	0.7
TENNESSEE	48,501	11.5	24.5	23.2	12.3	9.9	11.3	6.3	1.0
TEXAS	146,749	18.0	25.6	20.0	12.7	11.9	8.1	3.8	0.0
UTAH	12,209	19.8	27.2	18.8	11.9	7.6	8.6	4.7	1.5
VERMONT	6,304	18.0	34.2	17.1	9.4	6.5	8.5	5.5	0.8
VIRGIN ISLANDS	363	13.5	31.4	24.5	12.7	8.5	6.6	2.5	0.3
VIRGINIA	11,613	4.6	24.0	30.2	15.2	9.8	10.9	3.8	1.7
WASHINGTON	61,130	15.3	24.0	19.6	10.8	7.9	10.4	8.3	3.7
WEST VIRGINIA	12,917	16.5	25.3	20.2	11.8	8.7	10.2	7.3	0.0
WISCONSIN	20,203	22.9	21.1	16.2	10.4	8.4	11.6	9.4	0.0
WYOMING	375	18.9	18.4	19.7	12.8	10.1	10.7	8.0	1.3

NOTE: 'a/' = DATA NOT REPORTED.
SOURCE: NATIONAL TANF DATAFILE AS OF 4/12/2006

Table 10:56

Temporary Assistance for Needy Families - Closed Cases
Percent Distribution of TANF Closed-Case Adult Recipients by Marital Status
FY 2005

STATE	ADULT RECIPIENTS	MARITAL STATUS					
		SINGLE	MARRIED	SEPARATED	WIDOWED	DIVORCED	UNKNOWN
U.S. TOTAL	1,533,283	67.6	11.1	12.9	0.5	7.4	0.6
ALABAMA	16,986	71.8	2.7	17.3	0.1	8.1	0.0
ALASKA	6,337	49.9	26.4	13.0	0.8	9.9	0.0
ARIZONA	33,063	52.3	16.5	15.9	0.7	14.6	0.0
ARKANSAS	6,211	68.1	10.8	12.3	0.3	8.5	0.0
CALIFORNIA	283,445	64.7	7.6	19.6	0.7	7.5	0.0
COLORADO	14,015	76.5	16.1	3.9	0.0	3.5	0.0
CONNECTICUT	13,652	74.9	5.0	12.7	0.4	7.0	0.0
DELAWARE	5,254	69.2	13.4	8.1	0.3	4.8	4.2
DIST. OF COL.	4,644	91.3	4.9	2.9	0.1	0.7	0.0
FLORIDA	66,899	74.0	7.8	10.7	0.7	6.7	0.0
GEORGIA	32,955	80.3	3.4	10.5	0.2	5.6	0.0
GUAM a/							
HAWAII	6,461	58.5	13.3	17.3	0.6	10.3	0.0
IDAHO	2,084	50.7	15.5	19.3	0.2	14.3	0.0
ILLINOIS	12,270	81.0	4.4	1.4	0.2	4.6	8.3
INDIANA	32,867	59.4	10.8	15.6	0.6	13.6	0.0
IOWA	16,519	68.4	16.5	5.9	0.2	9.1	0.0
KANSAS	20,154	56.3	21.0	10.9	0.0	11.8	0.0
KENTUCKY	30,603	54.8	13.1	19.2	0.0	9.7	3.2
LOUISIANA	6,981	80.6	7.4	6.9	0.0	5.2	0.0
MAINE	11,006	66.4	12.9	7.9	0.5	12.3	0.0
MARYLAND	31,033	86.6	3.8	6.6	0.2	2.7	0.0
MASSACHUSETTS	26,501	69.0	11.2	8.4	0.4	7.1	3.8
MICHIGAN	72,350	66.7	15.4	9.5	0.2	8.2	0.0
MINNESOTA	31,246	68.4	5.0	16.5	0.8	9.2	0.0
MISSISSIPPI	13,686	76.0	2.5	13.6	0.2	7.9	0.0
MISSOURI	29,018	72.6	4.4	10.0	0.6	9.0	3.3
MONTANA	10,940	58.1	23.1	8.8	0.4	9.6	0.0
NEBRASKA	10,253	83.4	1.8	10.2	0.3	4.4	0.0
NEVADA	7,518	66.4	11.3	10.8	0.3	11.2	0.0
NEW HAMPSHIRE	5,829	55.4	16.1	13.0	0.3	15.2	0.0
NEW JERSEY	35,842	82.3	3.3	9.8	0.6	4.1	0.0
NEW MEXICO	32,721	66.3	23.0	4.9	1.1	4.6	0.0
NEW YORK	89,490	72.6	11.5	8.8	0.9	3.2	3.0
NORTH CAROLINA	27,131	74.3	5.8	13.5	0.5	5.9	0.0
NORTH DAKOTA	2,787	61.2	11.3	12.2	0.4	15.0	0.0
OHIO	76,690	67.9	16.4	8.6	0.4	6.6	0.0
OKLAHOMA	25,285	66.3	12.4	13.9	0.3	7.2	0.0
OREGON	15,637	68.5	12.3	11.4	0.2	7.6	0.0
PENNSYLVANIA	60,673	69.7	11.7	11.5	0.2	6.9	0.0
PUERTO RICO	9,038	77.0	15.8	4.8	0.6	1.9	0.0
RHODE ISLAND	8,879	73.8	16.1	7.0	0.0	1.6	1.5
SOUTH CAROLINA	15,548	67.0	9.2	16.1	0.6	6.3	0.8
SOUTH DAKOTA	2,440	68.0	11.7	10.1	0.3	7.4	2.5
TENNESSEE	44,581	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
TEXAS	103,216	59.5	11.9	19.1	0.5	6.7	2.4
UTAH	11,324	44.0	16.7	22.9	0.4	16.0	0.0
VERMONT	7,229	59.7	21.1	9.5	0.1	7.8	1.8
VIRGIN ISLANDS	286	84.3	2.8	5.9	0.0	7.0	0.0
VIRGINIA	9,513	61.5	9.5	20.1	0.4	8.4	0.0
WASHINGTON	59,143	48.3	24.5	14.3	0.6	12.2	0.0
WEST VIRGINIA	18,294	36.4	32.1	13.0	2.3	16.3	0.0
WISCONSIN	16,550	77.9	6.5	7.4	0.2	7.9	0.0
WYOMING	210	56.2	13.8	13.3	0.0	16.7	0.0

NOTE: 'a/' = DATA NOT REPORTED.
SOURCE: NATIONAL TANF DATAFILE AS OF 4/12/2006

Table 10:57

Temporary Assistance for Needy Families - Closed Cases
Percent Distribution of TANF Closed-Case Adult Recipients by Educational Level
FY 2005

STATE	ADULT RECIPIENTS	NO FORMAL EDUCATION	YEARS OF EDUCATION				
			1 -6	7 - 9	10 - 11	12	MORE THAN 12
U.S. TOTAL	1,533,283	1.5	1.5	9.4	26.3	56.8	4.5
ALABAMA	16,986	1.2	0.3	11.4	33.7	39.7	13.6
ALASKA	6,337	--	--	--	--	--	--
ARIZONA	33,063	4.1	1.7	14.1	25.5	51.0	3.6
ARKANSAS	6,211	0.1	0.3	10.5	30.0	57.8	1.3
CALIFORNIA	283,445	0.1	1.3	4.5	25.9	67.2	1.1
COLORADO	14,015	--	--	--	--	--	--
CONNECTICUT	13,652	1.4	1.0	11.0	24.2	56.0	6.4
DELAWARE	5,254	0.0	0.3	4.9	17.8	74.9	2.0
DIST. OF COL.	4,644	0.1	1.6	31.1	36.4	26.2	4.5
FLORIDA	66,899	--	--	--	--	--	--
GEORGIA	32,955	3.3	0.2	8.9	28.7	51.2	7.7
GUAM a/							
HAWAII	6,461	0.0	0.6	4.8	19.1	70.0	5.4
IDAHO	2,084	--	--	--	--	--	--
ILLINOIS	12,270	0.2	0.0	3.0	47.9	42.6	6.3
INDIANA	32,867	2.0	0.6	10.5	26.4	56.4	4.2
IOWA	16,519	5.2	0.5	10.9	12.4	69.5	1.3
KANSAS	20,154	2.0	1.0	8.5	25.8	54.4	8.2
KENTUCKY	30,603	3.3	1.2	11.5	24.8	57.5	1.7
LOUISIANA	6,981	0.6	1.9	13.5	28.3	55.2	0.6
MAINE	11,006	0.7	0.3	8.3	23.4	63.5	3.9
MARYLAND	31,033	0.0	1.7	12.3	32.6	49.0	4.4
MASSACHUSETTS	26,501	0.1	0.5	6.4	30.7	53.1	9.1
MICHIGAN	72,350	0.8	0.3	5.5	26.8	63.2	3.3
MINNESOTA	31,246	2.9	2.2	6.8	24.0	52.4	11.8
MISSISSIPPI	13,686	0.0	0.8	12.9	31.1	38.8	16.3
MISSOURI	29,018	0.4	0.4	9.9	26.9	53.9	8.5
MONTANA	10,940	0.0	0.4	9.5	25.1	60.8	4.2
NEBRASKA	10,253	0.0	0.8	3.1	13.8	79.6	2.8
NEVADA	7,518	0.1	0.9	10.2	34.6	42.2	12.1
NEW HAMPSHIRE	5,829	0.4	0.1	10.8	16.5	63.7	8.6
NEW JERSEY	35,842	0.5	1.9	18.1	21.0	54.1	4.4
NEW MEXICO	32,721	0.1	1.6	6.8	24.6	63.3	3.5
NEW YORK	89,490	3.2	2.0	11.1	30.2	51.9	1.6
NORTH CAROLINA	27,131	1.2	0.7	14.1	34.0	46.6	3.4
NORTH DAKOTA	2,787	1.4	0.6	7.0	14.2	67.9	8.8
OHIO	76,690	1.7	0.6	7.8	26.7	57.9	5.3
OKLAHOMA	25,285	2.4	4.9	12.0	30.2	45.1	5.5
OREGON	15,637	0.0	0.7	9.6	27.0	51.8	10.9
PENNSYLVANIA	60,673	4.1	0.3	7.9	22.1	60.2	5.4
PUERTO RICO	9,038	4.3	7.2	19.8	16.9	48.0	3.8
RHODE ISLAND	8,879	0.0	2.5	14.2	24.1	47.7	11.5
SOUTH CAROLINA	15,548	0.3	0.1	12.4	25.5	60.8	0.8
SOUTH DAKOTA	2,440	0.2	0.2	12.1	27.9	59.2	0.5
TENNESSEE	44,581	5.2	3.2	10.5	23.6	55.8	1.8
TEXAS	103,216	1.9	2.9	17.3	27.8	49.2	1.0
UTAH	11,324	0.0	9.1	6.6	23.5	50.7	10.2
VERMONT	7,229	0.8	0.9	10.9	18.4	63.4	5.7
VIRGIN ISLANDS	286	2.4	3.5	28.3	22.4	36.0	7.3
VIRGINIA	9,513	0.4	0.2	11.0	23.2	62.2	3.1
WASHINGTON	59,143	0.4	2.4	8.1	19.6	52.9	16.6
WEST VIRGINIA	18,294	2.0	1.2	17.8	28.6	40.8	9.5
WISCONSIN	16,550	0.8	0.3	9.6	38.3	50.1	1.0
WYOMING	210	0.0	2.4	9.0	15.7	72.4	0.5

NOTES: 'a/' = DATA NOT REPORTED.
'b/' = DATA REPORTED IN ERROR.
SOURCE: NATIONAL TANF DATAFILE AS OF 4/12/2006

Table 10:58

Temporary Assistance for Needy Families - Closed Cases
Percent Distribution of TANF Closed-Case Adult Recipients by Employment Status
FY 2005

STATE	ADULT RECIPIENTS	EMPLOYMENT STATUS			
		EMPLOYED	UNEMPLOYED*	NOT IN LABOR FORCE**	UNKNOWN
U.S. TOTAL	1,533,283	30.3	40.9	27.6	1.1
ALABAMA	16,986	48.1	48.3	3.5	0.0
ALASKA	6,337	43.3	54.3	2.4	0.0
ARIZONA	33,063	11.2	0.0	88.8	0.0
ARKANSAS	6,211	36.7	2.5	60.8	0.0
CALIFORNIA	283,445	33.2	31.8	35.0	0.0
COLORADO	14,015	27.0	73.0	0.0	0.0
CONNECTICUT	13,652	29.0	51.5	19.5	0.0
DELAWARE	5,254	43.6	33.7	18.5	4.2
DIST. OF COL.	4,644	34.5	52.4	13.1	0.0
FLORIDA	66,899	18.9	0.0	81.1	0.0
GEORGIA	32,955	36.5	0.0	63.5	0.0
GUAM a/					
HAWAII	6,461	31.3	43.4	25.3	0.0
IDAHO	2,084	47.8	34.8	17.5	0.0
ILLINOIS	12,270	8.8	91.2	0.0	0.0
INDIANA	32,867	43.2	30.2	24.4	2.2
IOWA	16,519	49.4	47.8	2.8	0.0
KANSAS	20,154	14.3	71.5	14.2	0.0
KENTUCKY	30,603	0.0	90.5	6.4	3.2
LOUISIANA	6,981	57.0	27.0	15.9	0.0
MAINE	11,006	51.8	0.0	48.2	0.0
MARYLAND	31,033	31.4	57.9	10.6	0.0
MASSACHUSETTS	26,501	38.3	31.4	26.5	3.8
MICHIGAN	72,350	45.3	44.2	10.6	0.0
MINNESOTA	31,246	52.4	41.2	6.4	0.0
MISSISSIPPI	13,686	11.4	68.1	20.5	0.0
MISSOURI	29,018	26.4	46.1	22.9	4.6
MONTANA	10,940	30.6	69.4	0.0	0.0
NEBRASKA	10,253	31.1	67.4	0.0	1.5
NEVADA	7,518	0.0	76.3	23.7	0.0
NEW HAMPSHIRE	5,829	49.8	6.0	44.2	0.0
NEW JERSEY	35,842	13.3	86.7	0.0	0.0
NEW MEXICO	32,721	36.8	0.8	62.4	0.0
NEW YORK	89,490	24.6	61.4	10.5	3.5
NORTH CAROLINA	27,131	3.4	5.2	86.7	4.6
NORTH DAKOTA	2,787	41.1	3.2	54.3	1.3
OHIO	76,690	25.5	67.7	0.1	6.6
OKLAHOMA	25,285	19.1	80.9	0.0	0.0
OREGON	15,637	26.6	38.2	35.2	0.0
PENNSYLVANIA	60,673	39.9	60.1	0.0	0.0
PUERTO RICO	9,038	17.8	37.1	44.8	0.3
RHODE ISLAND	8,879	47.1	29.4	22.0	1.5
SOUTH CAROLINA	15,548	47.0	42.1	8.6	2.3
SOUTH DAKOTA	2,440	32.2	65.3	0.0	2.5
TENNESSEE	44,581	20.9	63.0	16.1	0.0
TEXAS	103,216	33.9	40.5	23.2	2.4
UTAH	11,324	22.6	77.4	0.1	0.0
VERMONT	7,229	36.6	46.6	15.0	1.8
VIRGIN ISLANDS	286	29.7	66.1	0.0	4.2
VIRGINIA	9,513	58.7	0.0	40.9	0.4
WASHINGTON	59,143	35.5	0.9	63.6	0.0
WEST VIRGINIA	18,294	6.1	20.1	73.9	0.0
WISCONSIN	16,550	36.9	58.5	4.6	0.0
WYOMING	210	17.1	77.1	5.7	0.0

NOTES: 'a/ = DATA NOT REPORTED.
'*' = UNEMPLOYED, LOOKING FOR WORK. WORKERS).
'**' = UNEMPLOYED, NOT LOOKING FOR WORK (INCLUDES DISCOURAGED WORKERS).
SOURCE: NATIONAL TANF DATAFILE AS OF 4/12/2006

Table 10:59

Temporary Assistance for Needy Families - Closed Cases
TANF Closed-Case Families with Income by Type of Non-TANF Income
FY 2005

STATE	TOTAL FAMILIES	ALL NON-TANF INCOME		EARNED INCOME		UNEARNED INCOME	
		PERCENT	MONTHLY AVERAGE	PERCENT	MONTHLY AVERAGE	PERCENT	MONTHLY AVERAGE
U.S. TOTAL	1,880,810	34.5	$866.02	24.7	$953.76	13.3	$441.60
ALABAMA	20,404	42.0	$904.18	40.3	$878.39	2.7	$982.56
ALASKA	5,514	47.3	$892.37	29.7	$1,065.96	22.3	$471.98
ARIZONA	39,955	7570.0%	$422.81	11.0	$680.61	75.0	$322.86
ARKANSAS	7,812	38.3	$579.74	29.3	$660.94	11.0	$258.62
CALIFORNIA	395,296	34.9	$1,123.22	23.7	$1,213.88	16.3	$585.97
COLORADO	15,151	11.1	$507.87	9.2	$577.62	1.9	$174.30
CONNECTICUT	15,614	48.0	$575.35	23.1	$870.11	35.8	$208.59
DELAWARE	6,693	28.6	$1,054.84	20.2	$1,240.79	12.8	$399.60
DIST. OF COL.	6,537	65.9	$788.66	20.8	$1,250.03	64.1	$386.87
FLORIDA	102,779	16.0	$744.00	12.0	$842.44	5.3	$329.94
GEORGIA	41,981	40.1	$749.95	28.6	$882.17	16.7	$275.10
GUAM a/							
HAWAII	6,777	34.6	$692.58	29.6	$678.38	7.2	$541.81
IDAHO	2,613	17.7	$421.86	10.9	$550.70	7.8	$183.96
ILLINOIS	27,209	7.1	599.2	4.1	841.4	3.2	255.7
INDIANA	44,818	38.5	$872.34	26.4	$1,001.47	16.8	$423.53
IOWA	18,694	44.9	$761.20	31.5	$874.27	17.5	$382.99
KANSAS	19,472	39.0	$511.10	14.7	$512.55	28.9	$429.34
KENTUCKY	38,902	30.8	$864.93	28.4	$886.70	2.8	$494.00
LOUISIANA	15,513	28.3	$820.36	25.3	$865.46	3.5	$376.74
MAINE	12,390	59.1	$935.36	43.1	$1,054.41	29.8	$320.87
MARYLAND	31,144	36.4	$1,007.19	30.4	$1,097.12	8.2	$412.10
MASSACHUSETTS	29,918	41.6	$1,093.25	32.0	$1,132.27	12.4	$746.11
MICHIGAN	71,694	46.2	$955.57	40.9	$987.09	9.4	$402.36
MINNESOTA	35,775	48.3	$942.13	45.3	$929.98	6.1	$556.51
MISSISSIPPI	16,111	74.7	$419.30	27.4	$708.29	66.5	$179.90
MISSOURI	33,532	26.0	$870.57	20.6	$932.22	6.5	$530.26
MONTANA	10,029	18.7	$597.55	11.8	$508.79	7.8	667.9
NEBRASKA	12,853	18.2	$890.21	13.1	$943.43	6.1	$638.88
NEVADA	9,474	--	--	--	--	14.5	$403.91
NEW HAMPSHIRE	6,190	54.5	$1,081.01	45.7	$1,140.38	12.3	$558.18
NEW JERSEY	40,348	16.5	$605.36	11.9	$735.88	4.8	$252.26
NEW MEXICO	32,598	43.0	$837.80	34.9	$949.56	11.3	$251.79
NEW YORK	107,613	27.7	$883.98	22.7	$975.89	6.5	$350.35
NORTH CAROLINA	38,080	--	--	--	--	--	--
NORTH DAKOTA	4,327	38.0	$674.50	26.7	$861.29	16.1	$165.57
OHIO	86,672	23.2	$611.86	16.8	$700.42	7.9	$307.25
OKLAHOMA	24,024	31.7	$818.44	19.9	$968.41	13.7	$485.12
OREGON	22,995	9.2	481.1	7.2	545.1	2.3	223.7
PENNSYLVANIA	63,389	44.7	$919.15	38.2	$1,005.25	10.0	$268.67
PUERTO RICO	9,273	24.5	$538.60	17.2	$644.39	8.5	$251.68
RHODE ISLAND	9,346	48.1	$1,228.07	41.3	$1,291.39	9.7	$586.78
SOUTH CAROLINA	17,584	53.8	$853.46	42.5	$948.01	17.9	$315.43
SOUTH DAKOTA	3,353	10.9	$279.11	9.3	$303.59	2.0	$110.50
TENNESSEE	48,501	19.6	$705.48	19.3	$707.00	0.3	$617.50
TEXAS	146,749	31.5	$727.56	23.5	$795.36	10.4	$410.16
UTAH	12,209	22.0	$590.10	20.6	$608.17	1.4	$327.53
VERMONT	6,304	48.5	$1,017.59	40.4	$1,081.13	10.9	$518.24
VIRGIN ISLANDS	363	38.0	$717.25	23.7	$970.97	18.5	$231.00
VIRGINIA	11,613	50.0	$1,199.68	47.0	$1,224.71	4.8	$510.04
WASHINGTON	61,130	49.9	$1,201.03	39.7	$1,288.74	16.3	$543.46
WEST VIRGINIA	12,917	42.6	$568.24	3.0	$444.26	40.5	$564.98
WISCONSIN	20,203	31.8	$910.31	22.8	$989.19	11.3	$571.24
WYOMING	375	21.6	$362.85	9.3	$555.40	13.3	$199.04

NOTES: 'a/' = DATA NOT REPORTED.
'--' = DATA NOT AVAILABLE OR REPORTED IN ERROR.
SOURCE: NATIONAL TANF DATAFILE AS OF 4/12/2006

Table 10:60

Separate State Program - Maintenance of Effort - Active Cases
Percent Distribution of SSP-MOE Families by Number of Family Members
FY 2005

STATE	TOTAL FAMILIES	NUMBER OF FAMILY MEMBERS								
		AVERAGE	1	2	3	4	5	6 - 10	OVER 10	UNKNOWN
ALABAMA	257	4.2	0.0	0.3	30.9	37.6	19.3	11.6	0.4	0.0
CALIFORNIA	42,934	4.0	1.8	5.4	32.9	29.6	16.6	13.5	0.2	0.0
CONNECTICUT	4,259	3.1	6.0	30.6	30.9	19.7	7.8	5.0	0.0	0.0
DELAWARE	128	4.2	0.0	1.1	34.7	31.5	19.1	12.8	0.8	0.0
DIST. OF COL.	381	2.9	4.4	44.7	23.5	15.9	6.9	4.4	0.3	0.1
FLORIDA	1,355	4.0	0.0	1.4	39.5	32.2	16.6	10.2	0.1	0.0
GEORGIA	208	4.2	0.0	0.2	32.1	34.2	20.9	12.5	0.0	0.0
HAWAII	2,916	3.8	3.7	15.8	28.9	23.8	14.8	12.9	0.1	0.0
ILLINOIS	878	2.2	63.5	3.1	10.4	9.5	6.7	6.7	0.2	0.0
INDIANA	2,155	4.2	1.1	2.7	27.0	33.5	21.6	14.2	0.1	0.0
IOWA	4,216	2.2	57.9	2.1	15.3	13.2	7.9	3.6	0.1	0.0
MAINE	1,934	3.3	8.3	26.4	28.7	19.2	10.3	6.9	0.3	0.0
MARYLAND	2,969	3.1	6.0	37.6	26.8	14.9	8.1	6.5	0.1	0.0
MASSACHUSETTS	112	3.2	1.6	30.7	34.0	18.6	8.7	6.5	0.0	0.0
MINNESOTA	3,269	4.4	0.0	1.2	34.7	28.0	16.6	19.1	0.3	0.0
MISSOURI	6,411	3.1	8.0	27.2	27.8	21.2	9.9	5.4	0.0	0.6
NEBRASKA	2,325	3.2	3.5	34.0	29.1	16.2	9.2	7.9	0.0	0.0
NEVADA	1,146	3.0	14.9	25.3	26.5	17.7	8.8	6.8	0.0	0.0
NEW HAMPSHIRE	157	4.0	5.7	5.7	26.6	29.7	17.2	14.5	0.7	0.0
NEW JERSEY	2,002	4.3	0.2	1.3	32.9	31.4	18.2	15.7	0.3	0.0
NEW YORK	49,234	3.5	6.7	22.4	28.7	20.6	11.1	10.3	0.2	0.0
RHODE ISLAND	2,506	3.0	14.2	25.8	28.4	16.2	10.2	5.1	0.1	0.0
SOUTH CAROLINA	2,549	2.9	4.1	41.5	29.3	15.4	6.6	3.0	0.0	0.0
TENNESSEE	1,343	3.8	2.4	17.3	27.5	26.3	15.5	11.0	0.1	0.0
TEXAS	3,009	4.3	0.0	0.9	31.6	29.2	22.5	15.6	0.1	0.0
UTAH	43	4.7	0.6	2.2	20.9	28.2	20.0	28.2	0.0	0.0
VERMONT	392	2.8	0.3	43.3	37.0	13.5	4.5	1.4	0.0	0.0
VIRGINIA	26,768	2.2	31.3	36.0	19.5	8.8	2.7	1.4	0.0	0.2
WASHINGTON	1,826	4.1	0.4	12.2	25.6	27.1	18.4	15.9	0.4	0.0
WEST VIRGINIA	877	4.0	0.0	0.0	39.7	35.2	17.2	7.9	0.0	0.0
WISCONSIN	522	4.4	0.0	20.0	21.2	18.6	13.9	25.7	0.7	0.0
WYOMING	6	2.8	0.0	45.6	29.4	25.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0

SOURCE: NATIONAL SSP-MOE DATAFILE AS OF 9/13/2006

Table 10:61

Separate State Program - Maintenance of Effort - Active Cases
Percent Distribution of SSP-MOE Families by Number of Recipient Children
FY 2005

STATE	TOTAL FAMILIES	NUMBER OF RECIPIENT CHILDREN						
		AVERAGE	1	2	3	4	5 OR MORE	UNKNOWN
ALABAMA	257	2.2	30.8	37.6	19.3	7.3	4.7	0.3
CALIFORNIA	42,934	2.4	30.8	33	19.1	9.6	7.3	0.2
CONNECTICUT	4,259	2	43.3	28.7	15.3	5.3	3.4	4.1
DELAWARE	128	2.3	34.7	31.5	19.1	6.7	6.9	1.1
DIST. OF COL.	381	2	45.3	24.6	16.5	6.4	4.7	2.5
FLORIDA	1,355	2	39.5	32.2	16.6	6.7	3.5	1.4
GEORGIA	208	2.2	32.1	34.2	20.9	8.3	4.2	0.2
HAWAII	2,916	2.2	37.3	29.1	17.7	9.3	6.0	0.7
ILLINOIS	878	2.5	12.1	10.5	7	3.5	3.9	62.9
INDIANA	2,155	2.3	27.1	34.8	22.3	9.7	5.7	0.4
IOWA	4,216	2	15.8	14.1	8.1	3	1.1	57.9
MAINE	1,934	2.1	37.7	31.6	17.9	6.8	5.0	0.9
MARYLAND	2,969	2	45.0	26.9	14.7	6.2	4.5	2.6
MASSACHUSETTS	112	2	38	30.7	15.6	8.8	3.0	3.9
MINNESOTA	3,269	2.4	34.7	28.1	16.5	9.7	9.7	1.2
MISSOURI	6,411	1.9	39.2	28.6	15.1	5.1	2.2	9.8
NEBRASKA	2,325	2	44.6	25.7	14.3	6.7	4.4	4.2
NEVADA	1,146	1.9	43.6	25	14	5.9	3.5	7.9
NEW HAMPSHIRE	157	2.4	30.8	32.8	20.3	7.7	8.2	0.2
NEW JERSEY	2,002	2.3	32.9	31.5	18.2	9.1	6.9	1.4
NEW YORK	49,234	2.5	27	28.2	19.5	9.9	7.9	7.5
RHODE ISLAND	2,506	2.2	33.2	31.1	20.7	8.6	5.3	1.2
SOUTH CAROLINA	2,549	1.9	44.3	30.4	13.6	5	2.0	4.7
TENNESSEE	1,343	2.3	32.5	32.1	19.4	8.8	6.4	0.8
TEXAS	3,009	2.3	31.6	29.2	22.5	9.9	5.9	0.9
UTAH	43	2.8	22.7	27	20.5	15.7	14.1	0.0
VERMONT	392	1.7	46.8	38.3	10.7	3.4	0.7	0.3
VIRGINIA	26,768	1.6	57.1	27.8	9.8	3	1.1	1.2
WASHINGTON	1,826	2.5	25.9	33.5	21	10.8	8.1	0.7
WEST VIRGINIA	877	2	39.7	35.2	17.3	5.6	2.2	0.0
WISCONSIN	522	2.9	27.6	23.1	16.7	13.5	19.0	0.0
WYOMING	6	1.8	45.6	29.4	25	0	0.0	0.0

NOTE: '--'= DATA NOT AVAILABLE.
SOURCE: NATIONAL SSP-MOE DATAFILE AS OF 9/13/2006

Table 10:62

Separate State Program - Maintenance of Effort - Active Cases
Percent Distribution of SSP-MOE Families by Ethnicity/Race
FY 2005

STATE	FAMILIES	HISPANIC*	WHITE	AFRICAN-AMERICAN	NATIVE AMERICAN	ASIAN	HAWAIIAN	MULTI-RACIAL	UNKNOWN
ALABAMA	257	2.0	71.8	25.0	0.3	0.7	0.0	0.2	0.0
CALIFORNIA	42,934	29.2	39.6	8.2	0.3	20.8	1.1	0.9	0.0
CONNECTICUT	4,259	41.4	33.2	23.3	0.1	1.9	0.0	0.0	0.0
DELAWARE	128	7.9	48.2	42.5	0.1	1.4	0.0	0.0	0.0
DIST. OF COL.	381	1.2	0.3	98.3	0.0	0.1	0.1	0.0	0.1
FLORIDA	1,355	48.9	28.8	21.5	0.0	0.0	0.8	0.0	0.0
GEORGIA	208	3.5	50.5	45.1	0.1	0.6	0.0	0.1	0.0
HAWAII	2,916	2.7	10.4	0.3	0.1	19.6	61.2	5.6	0.0
ILLINOIS	878	4.9	25.5	67.3	0.1	1.9	0.0	0.0	0.1
INDIANA	2,155	9.1	75.9	13.3	0.1	0.5	0.1	1.1	0.0
IOWA	4,216	4.3	67.9	9.1	0.8	0.4	0.1	0.7	16.7
MAINE	1,934	1.6	87.1	8.1	1.5	1.2	0.1	0.4	0.0
MARYLAND	2,969	1.6	30.6	66.6	0.4	0.8	0.0	0.0	0.0
MASSACHUSETTS	112	35.8	25.1	35.8	0.6	2.8	0.0	0.0	0.0
MINNESOTA	3,269	6.4	46.0	21.7	10.1	14.6	0.2	1.1	0.0
MISSOURI	6,411	2.2	71.3	25.0	0.5	0.3	0.0	0.7	0.0
NEBRASKA	2,325	9.6	50.2	20.4	4.9	0.6	0.0	0.8	13.6
NEVADA	1,146	17.1	51.0	26.3	1.9	3.2	0.3	0.3	0.0
NEW HAMPSHIRE	157	3.9	86.9	8.1	0.0	0.7	0.0	0.5	0.0
NEW JERSEY	2,002	29.3	32.7	34.4	0.3	2.6	0.0	0.6	0.1
NEW YORK	49,234	38.9	11.3	46.6	0.2	2.5	0.1	0.5	0.0
RHODE ISLAND	2,506	30.1	34.1	15.4	0.3	2.9	0.0	0.0	17.1
SOUTH CAROLINA	2,549	0.7	40.1	58.5	0.4	0.2	0.0	0.1	0.0
TENNESSEE	1,343	8.9	55.2	27.0	0.1	8.6	0.0	0.2	0.0
TEXAS	3,009	53.7	33.4	11.2	0.4	1.0	0.1	0.2	0.0
UTAH	43	11.2	40.1	37.8	9.2	1.4	0.4	0.0	0.0
VERMONT	392	0.1	96.8	2.5	0.5	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.0
VIRGINIA	26,768	5.1	32.8	60.7	0.3	0.8	0.2	0.2	0.0
WASHINGTON	1,826	43.4	24.8	4.5	0.2	8.0	5.4	0.0	13.7
WEST VIRGINIA	877	0.4	95.7	3.5	0.0	0.2	0.0	0.2	0.0
WISCONSIN	522	7.5	5.5	15.3	0.0	69.4	0.6	0.4	1.3
WYOMING	6	0.0	97.1	1.5	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	1.5

NOTE: '*'=CAN BE OF ANY RACE.

SOURCE: NATIONAL SSP-MOE DATAFILE AS OF 9/13/2006

Table 10:63

Separate State Program - Maintenance of Effort - Active Cases
Percent Distribution of SSP-MOE Families Receiving Assistance
FY 2005

STATE	TOTAL FAMILIES	MEDICAL ASSISTANCE	FOOD STAMPS	SUBSIDIZED HOUSING	SUBSIDIZED CHILD CARE
ALABAMA	257	--	78.3	8.0	0.4
CALIFORNIA	42,934	100.0	96.2	20.8	1.6
CONNECTICUT	4,259	94.2	91.3	41.2	0.5
DELAWARE	128	100.0	95.8	6.7	18.6
DIST. OF COL.	381	99.8	95.0	35.3	2.1
FLORIDA	1,355	100.0	94.6	5.0	3.8
GEORGIA	208	97.8	88.4	6.6	--
HAWAII	2,916	100.0	82.1	14.9	4.0
ILLINOIS	878	99.1	78.0	--	0.7
INDIANA	2,155	99.2	97.5	9.9	4.1
IOWA	4,216	95.3	40.1	1.6	3.8
MAINE	1,934	100.0	96.2	12.1	14.0
MARYLAND	2,969	100.0	90.3	32.8	0.1
MASSACHUSETTS	112	85.8	86.9	--	2.5
MINNESOTA	3,269	98.1	99.8	21.7	9.2
MISSOURI	6,411	97.1	84.8	16.0	10.2
NEBRASKA	2,325	100.0	93.2	1.5	13.9
NEVADA	1,146	99.9	83.4	18.5	1.9
NEW HAMPSHIRE	157	100.0	93.8	23.3	4.7
NEW JERSEY	2,002	97.9	94.0	19.4	3.5
NEW YORK	49,234	100.0	93.6	43.9	18.2
RHODE ISLAND	2,506	100.0	95.9	36.1	4.8
SOUTH CAROLINA	2,549	100.0	98.3	24.8	2.5
TENNESSEE	1,343	100.0	94.3	1.2	7.5
TEXAS	3,009	100.0	96.9	13.0	11.6
UTAH	43	100.0	99.2	--	37.4
VERMONT	392	100.0	91.9	13.4	47.8
VIRGINIA	26,768	50.0	70.3	--	6.1
WASHINGTON	1,826	81.9	100.0	17.1	12.6
WEST VIRGINIA	877	98.6	97.7	18.3	5.9
WISCONSIN	522	97.8	92.5	13.7	13.0
WYOMING	6	97.1	92.6	41.2	63.2

NOTE: '--'=DATA NOT REPORTED OR REPORTED IN ERROR.

SOURCE: NATIONAL SSP-MOE DATAFILE AS OF 9/13/2006

Table 10:64

Separate State Program - Maintenance of Effort - Active Cases
Percent Distribution of SSP-MOE Adult Recipients by Age Group
FY 2005

STATE	TOTAL ADULTS	AGE OF ADULT RECIPIENTS					
		UNDER 20	20 - 29	30 - 39	40 - 49	OVER 49	UNKNOWN
ALABAMA	514	2.0	39.0	37.2	17.4	4.3	0.0
CALIFORNIA	72,555	4.4	41.8	29.7	18.7	5.4	0.0
CONNECTICUT	5,308	3.9	37.9	33.5	19.8	4.8	0.0
DELAWARE	256	6.5	47.2	29.4	15.1	1.9	0.0
DIST. OF COL.	370	0.1	14.2	32.5	41.6	11.4	0.2
FLORIDA	2,710	2.0	29.4	36.2	25.5	6.9	0.0
GEORGIA	416	3.3	32.5	34.3	24.1	5.8	0.0
HAWAII	4,606	4.8	42.9	29.8	14.8	7.7	0.0
ILLINOIS	1,128	23.4	44.5	19.9	10.1	2.2	0.0
INDIANA	4,071	2.8	48.8	33.9	12.5	2.0	0.0
IOWA	5,781	6.8	56.9	25.0	9.9	1.2	0.0
MAINE	2,197	1.7	40.6	37.3	17.0	3.4	0.0
MARYLAND	3,337	1.3	23.8	32.2	28.9	13.8	0.0
MASSACHUSETTS	143	5.7	27.5	32.3	26.6	7.9	0.0
MINNESOTA	6,539	8.2	47.3	27.2	13.4	4.0	0.0
MISSOURI	9,014	10.1	44.3	28.7	14.5	2.5	0.0
NEBRASKA	3,092	4.5	49.3	28.6	14.8	2.9	0.0
NEVADA	1,436	6.5	39.1	31.8	18.8	3.9	0.0
NEW HAMPSHIRE	265	3.8	44.8	33.9	13.5	4.0	0.0
NEW JERSEY	3,996	4.7	37.3	31.5	19.9	6.6	0.1
NEW YORK	59,267	7.4	22.4	36.1	25.2	8.9	0.0
RHODE ISLAND	2,021	9.0	42.5	28.6	13.8	6.0	0.0
SOUTH CAROLINA	2,871	1.2	23.9	36.5	31.2	7.2	0.0
TENNESSEE	2,018	2.7	45.0	35.4	13.5	3.5	0.0
TEXAS	6,017	5.9	37.9	31.4	18.5	6.4	0.0
UTAH	83	3.7	40.4	33.7	18.3	3.9	0.0
VERMONT	435	2.6	60.6	23.8	12.2	0.9	0.0
VIRGINIA	16,091	9.6	52.4	24.4	10.4	3.1	0.2
WASHINGTON	3,068	0.7	31.3	40.4	18.7	9.0	0.0
WEST VIRGINIA	1,754	6.6	58.8	26.0	7.5	1.1	0.0
WISCONSIN	758	5.7	35.6	27.5	16.9	14.3	0.0
WYOMING	6	7.4	50.0	39.7	0.0	2.9	0.0

SOURCE: NATIONAL SSP-MOE DATAFILE AS OF 9/13/2006

Table 10:65

Separate State Program - Maintenance of Effort - Active Cases
Percent Distribution of SSP-MOE Adult Recipients by Marital Status
FY 2005

STATE	TOTAL ADULTS	MARITAL STATUS				
		SINGLE	MARRIED	SEPARATED	WIDOWED	DIVORCED
ALABAMA	514	4.1	94.1	1.2	0.0	0.5
CALIFORNIA	72,555	32.1	66.9	0.4	0.0	0.6
CONNECTICUT	5,308	58.6	25.2	9.2	0.5	6.5
DELAWARE	256	47.2	49.1	2.8	0.1	0.7
DIST. OF COL.	370	93.0	2.8	3.1	0.1	0.9
FLORIDA	2,710	26.2	70.5	1.5	0.0	1.8
GEORGIA	416	25.2	69.1	4.6	0.0	1.2
HAWAII	4,606	23.0	69.2	5.2	1.1	1.6
ILLINOIS	1,128	64.7	32.8	1.1	0.1	1.3
INDIANA	4,071	24.5	69.5	2.3	0.1	3.6
IOWA	5,781	56.7	37.4	2.4	0.1	3.4
MAINE	2,197	37.2	52.8	3.2	0.4	6.5
MARYLAND	3,337	58.5	22.9	11.4	1.1	6.2
MASSACHUSETTS	143	56.3	21.6	13.2	2.1	6.7
MINNESOTA	6,539	42.7	53.2	2.3	0.0	1.8
MISSOURI	9,014	48.1	42.2	4.8	0.3	4.6
NEBRASKA	3,092	77.0	10.1	7.8	0.4	4.7
NEVADA	1,436	46.2	36.1	8.1	0.3	9.2
NEW HAMPSHIRE	265	28.3	64.5	2.5	0.2	4.4
NEW JERSEY	3,996	45.8	50.7	2.6	0.1	0.8
NEW YORK	59,267	76.2	13.1	7.2	1.0	2.4
RHODE ISLAND	2,021	59.4	37.6	2.4	0.1	0.5
SOUTH CAROLINA	2,871	41.8	23.4	20.8	1.4	12.6
TENNESSEE	2,018	27.5	61.7	6.6	0.4	3.7
TEXAS	6,017	8.7	90.8	0.4	0.0	0.1
UTAH	83	13.4	85.2	0.8	0.0	0.6
VERMONT	435	84.5	9.9	5.1	0.0	0.5
VIRGINIA	16,091	59.1	19.5	12.0	0.9	8.4
WASHINGTON	3,068	16.2	72.4	6.7	1.6	3.1
WEST VIRGINIA	1,754	27.3	66.7	2.3	0.0	3.7
WISCONSIN	758	13.5	68.8	6.1	3.9	7.6
WYOMING	6	55.9	0.0	4.4	0.0	39.7

SOURCE: NATIONAL SSP-MOE DATAFILE AS OF 9/13/2006

Table 10:66

Separate State Program - Maintenance of Effort - Active Cases
Percent Distribution of SSP-MOE Adult Recipients by Educational Level
FY 2005

STATE	TOTAL ADULTS	NO FORMAL EDUCATION	YEARS OF EDUCATION				
			1 - 6	7 - 9	10 - 11	12	MORE THAN 12
ALABAMA	514	0.3	1.8	16.8	22.5	44.6	14.1
CALIFORNIA	72,555	3.0	4.2	4.8	24.4	60.6	3.0
CONNECTICUT	5,308	2.9	4.1	14.1	24.3	48.8	5.8
DELAWARE	256	0.1	0.1	4.9	16.5	76.6	1.9
DIST. OF COL.	370	0.0	1.2	34.7	33.9	26.1	4.0
FLORIDA	2,710	--	--	--	--	--	--
GEORGIA	416	3.8	1.4	11.8	18.6	58.9	5.5
HAWAII	4,606	0.0	7.1	8.8	15.6	63.7	4.8
ILLINOIS	1,128	0.0	12.1	4.4	28.0	53.4	2.1
INDIANA	4,071	2.4	0.8	11.6	26.3	56.4	2.5
IOWA	5,781	5.6	0.4	9.7	13.8	69.2	1.3
MAINE	2,197	1.3	1.3	8.0	22.8	63.1	3.5
MARYLAND	3,337	0.0	1.9	10.7	27.8	54.6	4.9
MASSACHUSETTS	143	16.6	0.4	11.4	25.7	40.6	5.4
MINNESOTA	6,539	10.6	3.8	7.2	24.4	46.9	7.1
MISSOURI	9,014	0.1	0.8	16.2	32.1	45.3	5.6
NEBRASKA	3,092	0.0	1.2	3.7	12.7	79.6	2.8
NEVADA	1,436	0.8	2.1	9.4	30.4	44.9	12.4
NEW HAMPSHIRE	265	4.4	1.6	8.7	16.1	61.6	7.7
NEW JERSEY	3,996	0.4	2.9	17.4	13.7	57.5	8.2
NEW YORK	59,267	1.7	4.7	13.6	32.8	45.8	1.4
RHODE ISLAND	2,021	0.0	4.4	18.0	33.1	42.2	2.3
SOUTH CAROLINA	2,871	0.3	1.1	13.1	24.8	60.5	0.2
TENNESSEE	2,018	7.9	3.9	11.0	19.8	54.3	3.1
TEXAS	6,017	21.0	4.2	11.5	18.3	42.1	2.9
UTAH	83	0.0	43.5	6.9	14.6	28.4	6.5
VERMONT	435	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	87.7	12.3
VIRGINIA	16,091	1.3	0.6	12.2	29.0	54.6	2.2
WASHINGTON	3,068	5.3	18.5	10.7	10.5	42.2	12.9
WEST VIRGINIA	1,754	0.3	0.4	17.8	32.0	38.7	10.8
WISCONSIN	758	58.0	17.6	5.4	7.0	11.5	0.6
WYOMING	6	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	58.8	41.2

NOTE: '--'= DATA REPORTED IN ERROR.

SOURCE: NATIONAL SSP-MOE DATAFILE AS OF 9/13/2006

Table 10:67

Separate State Program - Maintenance of Effort - Active Cases
Percent Distribution of SSP-MOE Adult Recipients by Work Exemption Status
FY 2005

STATE	TOTAL ADULTS	DISREGARDED			EXEMPT		REQUIRED TO PARTICIPATE		DEEMED ENGAGED IN WORK	
		CHILD UNDER 12 MONTHS	SANCTION/ WAIVER	TRIBAL WORK	PARENT w/CHILD UNDER AGE 6*	DISABLED/ OTHER	DID NOT PARTICIPATE	PARTICIPATED	TEEN PARENT IN EDUCATION	PARENT w/CHILD UNDER AGE 6
ALABAMA	514	0.0	9.1	0.0	0.0	16.7	40.0	34.0	0.2	0.0
CALIFORNIA	72,555	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.1	4.5	41.7	53.1	0.3	0.2
CONNECTICUT	5,308	1.9	1.4	0.0	0.0	52.8	24.4	17.1	0.1	2.3
DELAWARE	256	0.0	2.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	83.5	13.9	0.7	0.0
DIST. OF COL.	370	0.0	0.1	0.0	0.0	95.8	3.7	0.4	0.0	0.1
FLORIDA	2,710	0.0	10.9	0.0	0.0	0.0	46.9	37.2	0.3	4.7
GEORGIA	416	1.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	49.8	9.7	39.2	0.2	0.0
HAWAII	4,606	31.4	15.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.5	46.9	0.7	5.5
ILLINOIS	1,128	0.2	1.5	0.0	0.0	0.0	60.2	34.0	4.0	0.1
INDIANA	4,071	0.0	2.1	0.0	0.0	10.1	51.2	29.7	0.2	6.6
IOWA	5,781	8.0	4.3	0.0	0.0	0.2	36.1	45.1	1.3	5.0
MAINE	2,197	0.4	1.1	0.1	0.0	21.9	20.3	55.0	0.2	1.0
MARYLAND	3,337	1.8	0.6	0.0	0.0	60.3	0.0	36.8	0.3	0.2
MASSACHUSETTS	143	2.1	0.0	0.0	3.5	61.9	0.0	27.5	0.3	4.7
MINNESOTA	6,539	0.0	4.6	6.7	0.0	4.3	32.8	50.8	0.7	0.0
MISSOURI	9,014	0.2	2.6	0.0	0.1	30.7	44.1	18.6	2.9	0.8
NEBRASKA	3,092	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.1	57.1	12.1	30.3	0.0	0.4
NEVADA	1,436	3.5	0.0	0.0	0.0	9.4	28.2	58.3	0.2	0.4
NEW HAMPSHIRE	265	0.3	9.8	0.0	0.0	2.7	29.9	57.1	0.0	0.3
NEW JERSEY	3,996	0.0	1.0	0.0	0.0	12.5	54.7	31.5	0.3	0.0
NEW YORK	59,267	1.1	11.3	0.0	0.0	13.8	36.6	24.5	0.0	12.8
RHODE ISLAND	2,021	3.4	4.5	0.0	0.0	10.0	61.5	18.5	0.0	2.2
SOUTH CAROLINA	2,871	0.0	0.2	0.0	0.0	92.4	3.4	3.8	0.0	0.1
TENNESSEE	2,018	3.0	17.5	0.0	0.0	2.8	34.7	41.9	0.0	0.0
TEXAS	6,017	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	23.4	39.8	35.8	1.0	0.0
UTAH	83	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	17.0	82.6	0.4	0.0
VERMONT	435	7.3	0.3	0.0	0.0	3.9	59.4	27.0	0.1	2.0
VIRGINIA	16,091	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	48.8	19.9	30.4	0.0	1.0
WASHINGTON	3,068	1.5	0.0	0.0	0.0	3.6	72.2	20.8	0.1	1.8
WEST VIRGINIA	1,754	0.0	7.7	0.0	0.3	1.0	62.0	29.0	0.0	0.0
WISCONSIN	758	1.3	3.9	0.0	0.0	1.0	3.4	78.3	0.5	11.5
WYOMING	6	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0

NOTE: '*' = CHILD CARE UNAVAILABLE.
SOURCE: NATIONAL SSP-MOE DATAFILE AS OF 9/13/2006

Table 10:68

Separate State Program - Maintenance of Effort - Active Cases
Percent Distribution of SSP-MOE Adult Recipients by Citizenship Status
FY 2005

STATE	TOTAL ADULTS	U.S. CITIZEN	QUALIFIED ALIEN	NON-QUALIFIED ALIEN	UNKNOWN
ALABAMA	1,081	99.1	0.9	0.0	0.0
CALIFORNIA	173,706	75.9	24.1	0.0	0.0
CONNECTICUT	13,284	94.2	4.9	0.0	0.9
DELAWARE	543	96.1	3.9	0.0	0.0
DIST. OF COL.	1,119	99.6	0.4	0.0	0.0
FLORIDA	5,425	72.8	27.2	0.0	0.0
GEORGIA	875	97.7	2.3	0.0	0.0
HAWAII	11,005	73.3	26.7	0.0	0.0
ILLINOIS	1,930	67.0	33.0	0.0	0.0
INDIANA	9,114	98.6	1.4	0.0	0.0
IOWA	9,417	98.0	2.0	0.0	0.0
MAINE	6,291	89.8	9.9	0.3	0.0
MARYLAND	9,085	94.4	5.6	0.0	0.0
MASSACHUSETTS	363	73.5	20.4	6.1	0.0
MINNESOTA	14,243	77.6	22.2	0.2	0.0
MISSOURI	20,185	98.2	1.8	0.0	0.0
NEBRASKA	7,515	95.8	4.2	0.0	0.0
NEVADA	3,490	93.8	6.2	0.0	0.0
NEW HAMPSHIRE	635	80.6	19.4	0.0	0.0
NEW JERSEY	8,533	94.9	5.1	0.0	0.0
NEW YORK	170,890	92.9	2.1	5.0	0.0
RHODE ISLAND	7,527	88.3	5.8	5.9	0.0
SOUTH CAROLINA	7,372	99.8	0.2	0.0	0.0
TENNESSEE	5,043	84.2	1.5	14.4	0.0
TEXAS	12,918	93.6	6.4	0.0	0.0
UTAH	203	46.8	53.2	0.0	0.0
VERMONT	1,110	99.1	0.9	0.0	0.0
VIRGINIA	58,964	98.3	1.7	0.0	0.0
WASHINGTON	7,550	46.8	6.9	46.3	0.0
WEST VIRGINIA	3,475	99.9	0.1	0.0	0.0
WISCONSIN	2,289	20.2	79.8	0.0	0.0
WYOMING	16	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0

SOURCE: NATIONAL SSP-MOE DATAFILE AS OF 9/13/2006

Table 10:69

Separate State Program - Maintenance of Effort - Active Cases
Percent Distribution of SSP-MOE Adult Recipients by Employment Status
FY 2005

STATE	TOTAL ADULTS	EMPLOYMENT STATUS		
		EMPLOYED	NOT EMPLOYED*	NOT IN LABOR FORCE**
ALABAMA	514	23.1	55.7	21.3
CALIFORNIA	72,555	29.5	51.8	18.7
CONNECTICUT	5,308	25.4	23.5	51.1
DELAWARE	256	22.6	66.2	11.2
DIST. OF COL.	370	2.3	3.7	93.9
FLORIDA	2,710	19.8	22.0	58.2
GEORGIA	416	16.1	0.0	83.9
HAWAII	4,606	34.8	41.6	23.6
ILLINOIS	1,128	11.3	88.6	0.1
INDIANA	4,071	32.3	43.7	24.0
IOWA	5,781	22.6	77.3	0.1
MAINE	2,197	27.3	6.4	66.4
MARYLAND	3,337	5.4	94.6	0.0
MASSACHUSETTS	143	43.5	33.9	22.6
MINNESOTA	6,539	29.1	66.8	4.0
MISSOURI	9,014	15.6	60.0	24.4
NEBRASKA	3,092	31.1	68.9	0.0
NEVADA	1,436	7.2	79.9	12.9
NEW HAMPSHIRE	265	25.7	17.6	56.7
NEW JERSEY	3,996	15.9	80.9	3.2
NEW YORK	59,267	26.2	58.8	15.0
RHODE ISLAND	2,021	16.5	34.3	49.1
SOUTH CAROLINA	2,871	5.5	6.1	88.4
TENNESSEE	2,018	15.8	63.4	20.7
TEXAS	6,017	32.7	41.6	25.6
UTAH	83	15.3	84.7	0.0
VERMONT	435	3.8	72.2	24.0
VIRGINIA	16,091	13.7	6.6	79.8
WASHINGTON	3,068	9.7	3.7	86.5
WEST VIRGINIA	1,754	14.8	54.0	31.1
WISCONSIN	758	10.3	89.7	0.0
WYOMING	6	8.8	13.2	77.9

NOTES: '*'=UNEMPLOYED, LOOKING FOR WORK.

'**'=UNEMPLOYED, NOT LOOKING FOR WORK.

SOURCE: NATIONAL SSP-MOE DATAFILE AS OF 9/13/2006

Table 10:70

Separate State Program - Maintenance of Effort - Active Cases
Percent Distribution of SSP-MOE Adult Recipients Participating in Work Activities*
FY 2005

STATE	TOTAL ADULTS	WORK PARTICIPATION		TYPE OF WORK ACTIVITIES				
		NO	YES	UNSUBSIDIZED EMPLOYMENT	WORK PREPARATION**	JOB SEARCH	JOB TRAINING/ EDUCATION	OTHER WORK ACTIVITIES
ALABAMA	514	64.6	35.4	21.6	2.3	8.8	5.7	1.5
CALIFORNIA	72,555	58.3	41.7	28.8	0.8	4.6	9.4	1.2
CONNECTICUT	5,308	61.8	38.2	23.8	1.8	2.8	6.8	12.4
DELAWARE	256	77.8	22.2	19.8	12.5	0.1	0.7	0.0
DIST. OF COL.	370	97.5	2.5	2.3	0.1	0.0	0.1	0.0
FLORIDA	2,710	62.7	37.3	19.6	9.0	5.5	9.3	0.2
GEORGIA	416	59.1	40.9	14.9	18.8	5.4	6.8	2.7
HAWAII	4,606	70.0	30.0	26.1	1.4	3.6	2.3	0.0
ILLINOIS	1,128	39.5	60.5	11.3	5.3	0.4	25.7	25.7
INDIANA	4,071	63.0	37.0	31.5	0.7	4.5	2.5	0.0
IOWA	5,781	51.7	48.3	22.4	0.8	3.6	17.7	11.4
MAINE	2,197	47.3	52.7	25.7	8.7	4.8	25.5	0.0
MARYLAND	3,337	87.4	12.6	3.5	4.8	4.5	1.5	0.0
MASSACHUSETTS	143	55.3	44.7	43.4	0.2	0.5	0.8	0.0
MINNESOTA	6,539	43.5	56.5	28.9	4.3	10.6	12.2	18.3
MISSOURI	9,014	70.7	29.3	10.1	0.9	4.3	10.6	9.0
NEBRASKA	3,092	76.6	23.4	13.8	0.2	7.8	2.7	1.0
NEVADA	1,436	40.2	59.8	21.8	45.6	3.3	2.3	0.0
NEW HAMPSHIRE	265	48.0	52.0	25.3	5.1	11.8	10.3	15.3
NEW JERSEY	3,996	67.8	32.2	12.7	10.7	4.9	13.4	0.0
NEW YORK	59,267	62.3	37.7	24.6	11.2	0.3	2.3	0.0
RHODE ISLAND	2,021	75.5	24.5	16.5	1.2	1.7	3.6	2.5
SOUTH CAROLINA	2,871	72.5	27.5	5.6	16.5	0.5	2.6	4.6
TENNESSEE	2,018	53.0	47.0	15.8	1.0	22.6	0.1	34.4
TEXAS	6,017	61.9	38.1	24.9	5.2	12.1	3.5	1.0
UTAH	83	25.6	74.4	15.1	35.6	34.3	39.2	0.0
VERMONT	435	70.7	29.3	3.8	0.1	2.6	8.6	25.0
VIRGINIA	16,091	84.6	15.4	8.6	0.6	8.2	0.7	0.0
WASHINGTON	3,068	75.2	24.8	9.3	13.2	4.5	2.4	5.9
WEST VIRGINIA	1,754	66.7	33.3	7.7	13.7	5.3	8.6	3.9
WISCONSIN	758	25.3	74.7	4.0	25.4	9.9	68.9	0.0
WYOMING	6	0.0	100.0	8.8	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0

NOTES: '*'= SOME PARTICIPATED IN 2 OR 3 WORK ACTIVITIES.

"**'= SUBSIDIZED JOBS, ON-THE-JOB TRAINING, WORK EXPERIENCE OR COMMUNITY SERVICES.

SOURCE: NATIONAL SSP-MOE DATAFILE AS OF 9/13/2006

Table 10:71

Separate State Program - Maintenance of Effort - Active Cases
Percent Distribution of SSP-MOE Recipient Children by Age Group
FY 2005

STATE	TOTAL CHILDREN	AGE OF RECIPIENT CHILDREN					
		0 -1	2 - 5	6 - 11	12 - 15	16 - 19	UNKNOWN
ALABAMA	566	19.1	29.1	31.0	16.1	4.7	0.0
CALIFORNIA	101,151	16.3	25.3	30.4	19.4	8.5	0.0
CONNECTICUT	7,976	16.1	23.0	31.2	19.9	9.8	0.1
DELAWARE	287	26.2	32.4	26.9	10.5	4.0	0.0
DIST. OF COL.	750	3.5	17.2	38.0	29.2	12.0	0.1
FLORIDA	2,715	15.8	28.0	32.6	16.0	7.7	0.0
GEORGIA	459	18.1	27.8	29.5	17.5	7.0	0.0
HAWAII	6,399	23.8	29.6	26.4	13.8	6.4	0.0
ILLINOIS	802	22.8	26.0	29.8	12.9	8.3	0.3
INDIANA	5,043	23.0	33.4	28.6	11.3	3.6	0.0
IOWA	3,636	29.2	32.3	24.2	10.0	3.7	0.5
MAINE	4,094	12.7	25.3	29.5	17.5	14.4	0.6
MARYLAND	5,748	9	21	31	24	15	-
MASSACHUSETTS	220	11.3	24.0	31.1	21.3	12.3	0.0
MINNESOTA	7,705	22.1	31.2	28.1	13.0	5.5	0.1
MISSOURI	11,171	26.5	28.8	24.0	14.0	6.6	0.0
NEBRASKA	4,422	22.8	28.8	26.7	14.3	6.0	1.4
NEVADA	2,054	22.2	25.8	29.3	16.4	6.2	0.0
NEW HAMPSHIRE	370	19.6	26.0	25.8	11.4	17.3	0.0
NEW JERSEY	4,537	19.5	28.1	30.9	14.8	6.6	0.0
NEW YORK	111,622	6.5	19.0	38.1	25.5	10.8	0.0
RHODE ISLAND	5,505	14.2	23.3	34.6	20.2	7.7	0.0
SOUTH CAROLINA	4,501	9.4	20.0	30.8	27.2	12.5	0.1
TENNESSEE	3,025	18.0	30.1	30.9	14.9	6.1	0.0
TEXAS	6,901	19.6	29.0	28.9	15.8	6.7	0.0
UTAH	121	23.7	28.9	29.6	12.5	5.4	0.0
VERMONT	675	28.6	32.7	24.8	8.9	5.0	0.0
VIRGINIA	42,874	20.6	26.5	26.6	19.1	7.3	0.0
WASHINGTON	4,483	16.8	27.5	31.8	15.2	8.8	0.0
WEST VIRGINIA	1,721	31.1	35.1	23.1	8.0	2.7	0.0
WISCONSIN	1,532	12.4	26.6	35.1	18.4	7.5	0.0
WYOMING	10	4.9	24.6	40.2	23.8	5.7	0.8

NOTE: '--'=DATA NOT AVAILABLE.

SOURCE: NATIONAL SSP-MOE DATAFILE AS OF 9/13/2006

Table 10:72

Separate State Program - Maintenance of Effort - Active Cases
SSP-MOE Families Receiving Cash Assistance and Average Monthly Amount
by Number of Recipient Children
FY 2005

STATE	TOTAL FAMILIES	CASH ASSISTANCE	AVERAGE MONTHLY AMOUNT				
			ALL FAMILIES	1 CHILD	2 CHILDREN	3 CHILDREN	4 OR MORE
ALABAMA	257	99.9	$239.58	$208.26	$231.59	$267.68	$299.78
CALIFORNIA	42,934	100.0	630.86	579.81	601.01	636.51	776.29
CONNECTICUT	4,259	99.7	514.58	443.47	529.71	603.31	754.39
DELAWARE	128	100.0	320.10	257.20	312.49	378.07	418.40
DIST. OF COL.	381	99.9	362.63	289.09	363.75	437.39	575.08
FLORIDA	1,355	100.0	323.01	277.84	320.04	372.57	438.92
GEORGIA	208	100.0	290.73	250.59	286.59	319.16	358.67
HAWAII	2,916	100.0	501.85	424.03	485.64	547.97	675.10
ILLINOIS	878	99.1	260.43	291.93	303.53	373.83	420.42
INDIANA	2,155	99.8	272.43	237.27	268.85	289.00	320.90
IOWA	4,216	42.5	388.53	342.97	395.35	431.41	465.73
MAINE	1,934	99.6	477.03	338.36	464.66	592.29	793.14
MARYLAND	2,969	99.9	442.9	358.2	451.9	543.6	691.0
MASSACHUSETTS	112	65.8	2301.03	2279.78	2327.03	2342.69	2300.57
MINNESOTA	3,269	100.0	477.09	410.66	456.84	496.82	618.83
MISSOURI	6,411	100.0	273.43	228.64	286.76	320.17	393.19
NEBRASKA	2,325	100.0	377.51	309.25	379.85	452.85	588.57
NEVADA	1,146	100.0	321.13	287.35	327.89	384.38	463.66
NEW HAMPSHIRE	157	99.7	496.32	425.64	487.03	489.80	663.00
NEW JERSEY	2,002	100.0	440.59	391.59	431.54	475.68	530.89
NEW YORK	49,234	99.5	467.60	369.08	467.60	512.16	622.51
RHODE ISLAND	2,506	99.8	451.47	356.30	442.81	521.73	607.80
SOUTH CAROLINA	2,549	100.0	206.85	181.54	211.67	251.46	305.63
TENNESSEE	1,343	100.0	205.39	159.23	197.18	237.99	283.80
TEXAS	3,009	100.0	259.75	216.05	244.77	287.72	342.01
UTAH	43	100.0	482.05	389.24	413.17	486.66	612.24
VERMONT	392	97.5	667.18	642.25	669.64	730.20	773.42
VIRGINIA	26,768	100.0	260.59	214.38	296.45	366.79	430.65
WASHINGTON	1,826	100.0	116.67	118.13	111.81	106.18	134.85
WEST VIRGINIA	877	100.0	301.33	260.07	314.73	338.37	368.75
WISCONSIN	522	100.0	562.30	540.77	558.04	569.01	580.17
WYOMING	6	100.0	255.96	251.48	217.40	309.47	

NOTE: '--'=DATA NOT REPORTED.

SOURCE: NATIONAL SSP-MOE DATAFILE AS OF 9/13/2006

Table 10:73

Separate State Program - Maintenance of Effort - Active Cases
SSP-MOE Families with Income by Type of Non-SSP Income
FY 2005

STATE	TOTAL FAMILIES	ALL NON-SSP INCOMES		EARNED INCOME		UNEARNED INCOME	
		PERCENT	MONTHLY AVERAGE	PERCENT	MONTHLY AVERAGE	PERCENT	MONTHLY AVERAGE
ALABAMA	257	40.7	$1,047.44	37.9	$1,085.67	3.2	$468.36
CALIFORNIA	42,934	43.1	--	42.4	$104.43	7.0	--
CONNECTICUT	4,259	57.4	$592.08	27.9	$1,058.99	38.1	$114.89
DELAWARE	128	36.7	--	36.7	$95.52	5.9	--
DIST. OF COL.	381	8.1	$520.20	2.7	$789.04	5.5	$382.55
FLORIDA	1,355	23.4	$754.53	16.4	$793.74	8.5	$548.82
GEORGIA	208	34.1	$712.72	27.0	$763.95	9.5	$391.20
HAWAII	2,916	53.0	$876.92	47.5	$900.44	7.5	$485.75
ILLINOIS	878	13.7	$864.41	13.7	$864.96	0.0	$614.00
INDIANA	2,155	46.5	$976.58	41.1	$1,000.42	7.7	$558.70
IOWA	4,216	25.0	$682.21	18.7	$747.65	7.4	$420.99
MAINE	1,934	36.5	$683.41	27.0	$797.74	11.7	$293.80
MARYLAND	2,969	8.0	$474.32	5.8	$63.57	3.1	$1,104.78
MASSACHUSETTS	112	85.0	$1,004.07	51.3	$1,051.16	44.8	$701.59
MINNESOTA	3,269	51.1	$999.11	47.2	$991.63	7.4	$583.98
MISSOURI	6,411	17.3	$878.89	13.3	$977.78	4.4	$501.61
NEBRASKA	2,325	20.7	$545.29	13.1	$641.78	8.8	$325.29
NEVADA	1,146	--	--	--	--	17.5	$587.90
NEW HAMPSHIRE	157	44.3	$1,016.58	36.5	$1,063.28	10.5	$594.35
NEW JERSEY	2,002	18.6	$656.60	15.8	$696.77	3.3	$370.72
NEW YORK	49,234	31.1	$620.96	26.4	$646.20	5.3	$425.59
RHODE ISLAND	2,506	20.5	$630.64	12.6	$740.25	8.5	$419.57
SOUTH CAROLINA	2,549	17.3	$397.34	6.7	$506.05	11.1	$314.33
TENNESSEE	1,343	27.3	$652.97	22.6	$685.47	5.4	$427.79
TEXAS	3,009	55.1	$953.39	50.7	$971.88	6.2	$515.25
UTAH	43	30.1	$663.60	27.2	$675.28	3.7	$438.42
VERMONT	392	5.5	$481.42	4.3	$531.68	1.3	$279.57
VIRGINIA	26,768	8.3	$515.35	5.3	$737.10	3.1	$123.38
WASHINGTON	1,826	66.9	$1,243.06	53.6	$1,302.19	21.2	$627.52
WEST VIRGINIA	877	27.4	$350.44	13.5	$386.22	15.4	$284.57
WISCONSIN	522	18.8	$803.94	10.7	$943.75	8.6	$579.13
WYOMING	6	10.3	$410.00	10.3	$410.00	0.0	

NOTE: '--'=DATA REPORTED BUT NOT RELIABLE.

SOURCE: NATIONAL SSP-MOE DATAFILE AS OF 9/13/2006

Table 10:74

Separate State Program - Maintenance of Effort - Closed Cases
Percent Distribution of SSP-MOE Closed Case Families by Reason for Closure
FY 2005

STATE	TOTAL FAMILIES	REASON FOR CLOSURE										
		EMPLOY-MENT	MARRIAGE	STATE TIME LIMIT	WORK-RELATED SANCTION	OTHER SANCTION*	STATE POLICY	FAILURE TO COOPERATE**	TRANSFER TO TANF	SERVED BY TRIBAL PROGRAM	VOLUNTARY CLOSURE	OTHER
ALABAMA	562	45.2	0.0	0.5	16.0	1.6	4.6	12.6	0.0	9.3	10.1	25.0
CALIFORNIA	54,892	36.1	0.0	0.0	0.1	5.4	5.5	13.6	1.1	20.8	17.3	13.6
CONNECTICUT	5,171	10.9	0.0	10.0	0.7	1.9	11.9	7.5	39.9	5.1	12.1	13.3
DELAWARE	223	0.0	0.0	0.0	45.7	4.0	4.9	7.2	0.0	0.4	37.7	32.9
DIST. OF COL.	222	11.7	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	18.9	16.2	47.3	5.0	0.9	1.1
FLORIDA	6,327	32.8	0.0	0.7	36.1	0.6	4.7	5.2	0.0	6.3	13.6	6.6
GEORGIA	535	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.2	0.4	0.4	36.3	0.0	14.6	48.2	55.0
HAWAII	3,129	14.2	0.0	6.1	1.8	13.4	4.4	34.4	7.7	8.7	9.3	8.8
ILLINOIS	2,534	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	2.3	91.1	0.5	6.2	
INDIANA	4,290	28.2	0.0	2.3	1.7	18.8	2.8	14.8	15.9	3.3	12.5	
IOWA	3,828	51.3	0.0	1.6	0.0	0.0	3.2	0.5	0.0	12.3	31.1	6.5
MAINE	2,528	29.3	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.5	8.1	14.8	0.0	1.2	46.2	14.3
MARYLAND	2,394	5.4	0.0	0.0	10.1	1.0	40.7	22.5	0.0	3.2	17.1	37.2
MASSACHUSETTS	242	4.1	0.0	0.4	0.0	0.0	4.5	2.5	0.0	17.4	71.1	42.9
MINNESOTA	7,536	40.0	0.0	0.8	0.5	4.7	7.5	19.1	17.1	9.3	1.0	73.6
MISSOURI	6,302	33.3	0.1	2.3	0.0	0.0	8.3	16.0	5.2	25.6	9.4	83.3
NEBRASKA	3,048	49.3	0.0	0.6	8.0	3.5	10.6	6.5	0.0	7.7	13.8	1.3
NEVADA	2,112	34.3	0.0	6.6	4.9	3.3	8.2	16.1	0.0	5.8	20.8	6.7
NEW HAMPSHIRE	394	52.8	0.0	0.0	5.3	0.0	14.5	7.1	0.0	1.8	18.5	52.1
NEW JERSEY	3,680	30.8	0.0	0.0	11.6	0.0	11.0	12.2	19.8	5.5	9.2	18.6
NEW YORK	32,653	13.6	0.0	0.1	0.4	0.0	5.1	19.0	0.0	4.3	57.5	22.0
RHODE ISLAND	1,605	9.3	0.0	0.0	1.5	0.0	28.5	31.8	0.0	8.0	20.9	8.6
SOUTH CAROLINA	2,510	19.1	0.0	2.0	1.2	0.9	12.5	11.4	38.0	9.3	5.6	40.8
TENNESSEE	1,737	29.5	0.0	2.2	19.1	0.6	0.5	2.8	0.9	2.1	42.3	23.2
TEXAS	4,679	33.3	0.0	0.1	2.8	3.0	8.0	17.8	20.5	10.3	4.1	45.6
UTAH	170	28.2	0.0	1.2	15.3	0.0	1.2	8.2	0.0	7.1	38.8	6.5
VERMONT	516	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	31.9
VIRGINIA	15,354	20.0	0.0	1.8	0.0	14.2	9.0	6.1	0.0	17.3	31.6	0.0
WASHINGTON	1,639	36.2	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	32.4	0.0	10.6	20.8	44.4
WEST VIRGINIA	2,427	0.0	0.0	1.6	12.3	3.0	33.6	3.2	20.9	0.7	24.6	9.3
WISCONSIN	667	52.3	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.0	1.2	0.0	0.0	46.3	62.5
WYOMING	3	33.3	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	33.3	33.3	14.3

NOTES: '*'= CHILD SUPPORT SANCTION, TEEN PARENT FAILING TO MEET REQUIREMENTS, OR FAILURE TO MEET AN INDIVIDUAL RESPONSIBILITY PLAN.
'**'= FAILURE TO COOPERATE WITH ELIGIBILITY REQUIREMENTS.

SOURCE: NATIONAL SSP-MOE DATAFILE AS OF 4/06/2005

Table 10:75

Average Monthly TANF Active Caseload, Sample Size, Sample Fraction and Percent by which Estimate of 50 Percent Might Vary from True Value at the 95 Percent Confidence Level
FY 2005

State	Average Monthly Caseload	Annual Sample Size	Average Monthly Sample	Sampling Fraction Percent	Percent That 50% Values At 95% Confidence Level
Alabama	20,040	3,167	264	1.32	7
Alaska	4,382	3,300	275	6.28	6.1
Arizona	43,738	3,300	275	0.63	7.2
Arkansas	8,642	3,123	260	3.01	7.3
California	459,095	3,258	272	0.06	6.5
Colorado	15,268	3,767	314	2.06	6.4
Connecticut	19,830	2,990	249	1.26	6.6
Delaware	5,606	3,300	275	4.91	5.9
Dist. of Col.	16,874	2,751	229	1.36	7.8
Florida	59,852	3,277	273	0.46	5.9
Georgia	41,586	3,300	275	0.66	6.2
Guam					
Hawaii	7,997	3,300	275	3.44	6.3
Idaho	1,859	2,950	246	13.22	6.5
Illinois	38,391	3,052	254	0.66	6.7
Indiana	43,957	3,300	275	0.63	6.1
Iowa	17,707	3,300	275	1.55	6.2
Kansas	17,616	3,300	275	1.56	6.6
Kentucky	34,701	3,300	275	0.79	7.1
Louisiana	16,103	3,300	275	1.71	7.3
Maine	9,490	3,300	275	2.9	6.1
Maryland	23,097	3,143	262	1.13	6.3
Massachusetts	48,824	3,078	257	0.53	7.5
Michigan	80,590	4,325	360	0.45	8
Minnesota	29,041	3,833	319	1.1	8.2
Mississippi	16,071	3,541	295	1.84	6.2
Missouri	40,062	3,141	262	0.65	6.4
Montana	4,614	3,300	275	5.96	6
Nebraska	10,030	3,825	319	3.18	7.9
Nevada	6,788	3,406	284	4.18	5.8
New Hampshire	6,139	3,300	275	4.48	6.8
New Jersey	43,071	3,300	275	0.64	6.2
New Mexico	17,566	3,273	273	1.55	7
New York	141,522	3,244	270	0.19	7
North Carolina	33,773	3,831	319	0.95	6.3
North Dakota	2,850	3,300	275	9.65	5.7
Ohio	82,597	29,811	2,484	3.01	1.9
Oklahoma	11,781	3,251	271	2.3	7.4
Oregon	19,358	3,300	275	1.42	7.2
Pennsylvania	96,635	3,597	300	0.31	6.8
Puerto Rico	15,147	3,169	264	1.74	6.3
Rhode Island	10,744	3,300	275	2.56	7.2
South Carolina	15,854	3,008	251	1.58	7.2
South Dakota	2,773	3,180	265	9.56	6
Tennessee	70,572	3,300	275	0.39	6.2
Texas	88,465	3,101	258	0.29	6.7
Utah	9,030	3,300	275	3.05	6.3
Vermont	4,580	3,300	275	6	6.5
Virgin Islands	472	3,300	275	58.26	5
Virginia	9,914	3,297	275	2.77	6.5
Washington	56,822	3,300	275	0.48	6.5
West Virginia	12,003	4,781	398	3.32	5.7
Wisconsin	20,208	3,300	275	1.36	7.1
Wyoming	310	3,118	260	83.81	2.5

Note: 'a/'= Data not reported.

Table 10:76

Total Number of Annual TANF Closed Cases, Sample Size, Sample Fraction and Percent by which Estimate of 50 Percent Might Vary From True Value at the 95 Percent Confidence Level FY 2005

State	Total Number of Closed Cases	Annual Sample Size	Sampling Fraction Percent	Percent That 50% Values At 95% Confidence Level
Alabama	20,404	1,200	5.9	2.7
Alaska	5,514	1,200	21.8	2.5
Arizona	39,955	1,200	3.0	2.8
Arkansas	7,812	898	11.5	3.1
California	395,296	952	0.2	3.4
Colorado	15,151	1,200	7.9	2.7
Connecticut	15,614	823	5.3	3.3
Delaware	6,693	1,200	17.9	2.6
Dist. of Col.	6,537	967	14.8	2.9
Florida	102,779	888	0.9	3.3
Georgia	41,981	1,200	2.9	2.8
Guam				
Hawaii	6,777	1,200	17.7	2.6
Idaho	2,613	1,200	45.9	2.1
Illinois	27,209	958	3.5	3.1
Indiana	44,818	1,200	2.7	2.8
Iowa	18,694	1,100	5.9	2.9
Kansas	19,472	1,200	6.2	2.7
Kentucky	38,902	1,200	3.1	2.8
Louisiana	15,513	1,200	7.7	2.7
Maine	12,390	1,200	9.7	2.7
Maryland	31,144	840	2.7	3.3
Massachusetts	29,918	823	2.8	3.4
Michigan	71,694	983	1.4	3.1
Minnesota	35,775	1,087	3.0	3.0
Mississippi	16,111	764	4.7	3.5
Missouri	33,532	832	2.5	3.4
Montana	10,029	1,200	12.0	2.7
Nebraska	12,853	3,718	28.9	1.4
Nevada	9,474	867	9.2	3.2
New Hampshire	6,190	1,200	19.4	2.5
New Jersey	40,348	1,200	3.0	2.8
New Mexico	32,598	793	2.4	3.4
New York	107,613	911	0.9	3.2
North Carolina	38,080	1186	3.1	2.8
North Dakota	4,327	1,200	27.7	2.4
Ohio	86,672	1,615	1.9	2.4
Oklahoma	24,024	1,200	5.0	2.8
Oregon	22,995	1,200	5.2	2.8
Pennsylvania	63,389	1,043	1.7	3.0
Puerto Rico	9,273	710	7.7	3.5
Rhode Island	9,346	1,200	12.8	2.6
South Carolina	17,584	803	4.6	3.4
South Dakota	3,353	819	24.4	3.0
Tennessee	48,501	1,200	2.5	2.8
Texas	146,749	855	0.6	5.6
Utah	12,209	1,200	9.8	2.7
Vermont	6,304	1,200	19.0	2.5
Virgin Islands	363	363	100.0	0.0
Virginia	11,613	1,200	10.3	2.7
Washington	61,130	1,200	2.0	2.8
West Virginia	12,917	908	7.0	3.1
Wisconsin	20,203	1,200	5.9	2.7
Wyoming	375	375	100.0	0.0

Note: 'a/'=Data not reported.

Table 10:77

Approximate Standard Error of Estimated Percentages of TANF Recipient Families FY 2005

Estimated Percentage	Standard Error	Estimated Percentage	Standard Error
1	0.09	26	0.38
2	0.12	27	0.38
3	0.15	28	0.38
4	0.17	29	0.39
5	0.19	30	0.39
6	0.20	31	0.40
7	0.22	32	0.40
8	0.23	33	0.40
9	0.24	34	0.41
10	0.26	35	0.41
11	0.27	36	0.41
12	0.28	37	0.41
13	0.29	38	0.41
14	0.30	39	0.42
15	0.31	40	0.42
16	0.31	41	0.42
17	0.32	42	0.42
18	0.33	43	0.42
19	0.34	44	0.42
20	0.34	45	0.43
21	0.35	46	0.43
22	0.35	47	0.43
23	0.36	48	0.43
24	0.37	49	0.43
25	0.37	50	0.43

Notes: Based on a sample of 13,983 cases our of a total of 2,027,581 cases, or 0.68964674 percent of the caseload. This is the same sample percentage as California.

Table 10:78

Significant Differences for Percentage Values between the United States and States with Samples of 3,000
FY 2005

Estimated Percentage	Percentage Value at Which Differences become Significant		Estimated Percentage	Percentage Value at Which Differences become Significant	
	Upper	Lower		Upper	Lower
1	1.5	0.6	51	53.0	48.9
2	2.6	1.4	52	54.0	49.9
3	3.7	2.3	53	55.0	50.9
4	4.8	3.2	54	56.0	51.9
5	5.9	4.1	55	57.0	52.9
6	7.0	5.0	56	57.9	53.9
7	8.1	5.9	57	58.9	54.9
8	9.1	6.9	58	59.9	55.9
9	10.2	7.8	59	60.9	57.0
10	11.2	8.8	60	61.9	58.0
11	12.3	9.7	61	62.9	59.0
12	13.3	10.7	62	63.9	60.0
13	14.4	11.6	63	64.9	61.0
14	15.4	12.6	64	65.9	62.0
15	16.5	13.5	65	66.9	63.0
16	17.5	14.5	66	67.8	64.0
17	18.5	15.5	67	68.8	65.0
18	19.6	16.4	68	69.8	66.0
19	20.6	17.4	69	70.8	67.1
20	21.6	18.4	70	71.8	68.1
21	22.6	19.3	71	72.8	69.1
22	23.7	20.3	72	73.7	70.1
23	24.7	21.3	73	74.7	71.1
24	25.7	22.3	74	75.7	72.1
25	26.7	23.2	75	76.7	73.2
26	27.8	24.2	76	77.6	74.2
27	28.8	25.2	77	78.6	75.2
28	29.8	26.2	78	79.6	76.2
29	30.8	27.1	79	80.6	77.3
30	31.8	28.1	80	81.5	78.3
31	32.8	29.1	81	82.5	79.3
32	33.9	30.1	82	83.5	80.3
33	34.9	31.1	83	84.4	81.4
34	35.9	32.1	84	85.4	82.4
35	36.9	33.0	85	86.4	83.4
36	37.9	34.0	86	87.3	84.5
37	38.9	35.0	87	88.3	85.5
38	39.9	36.0	88	89.2	86.6
39	40.9	37.0	89	90.2	87.6
40	41.9	38.0	90	91.1	88.7
41	42.9	39.0	91	92.1	89.7
42	44.0	40.0	92	93.0	90.8
43	45.0	41.0	93	94.0	91.8
44	46.0	42.0	94	94.9	92.9
45	47.0	42.9	95	95.8	94.0
46	48.0	43.9	96	96.7	95.1
47	49.0	44.9	97	97.6	96.2
48	50.0	45.9	98	98.5	97.3
49	51.0	46.9	99	99.3	98.4
50	52.0	47.9			

Table 10:79

Significant Differences for Percentage Values between States with Samples of 3,000
FY 2005

Estimated Percentage	Percentage Value at Which Differences Become Significant		Estimated Percentage	Percentage Value at Which Differences Become Significant	
	Upper	Lower		Upper	Lower
1	1.6	0.5	51	53.5	48.4
2	2.8	1.3	52	54.5	49.4
3	3.9	2.1	53	55.5	50.4
4	5.1	3.0	54	56.5	51.4
5	6.2	3.9	55	57.5	52.4
6	7.3	4.8	56	58.5	53.4
7	8.3	5.7	57	59.5	54.4
8	9.4	6.6	58	60.5	55.4
9	10.5	7.5	59	61.5	56.4
10	11.6	8.4	60	62.5	57.4
11	12.6	9.4	61	63.5	58.4
12	13.7	10.3	62	64.4	59.4
13	14.7	11.2	63	65.4	60.4
14	15.8	12.2	64	66.4	61.5
15	16.9	13.1	65	67.4	62.5
16	17.9	14.1	66	68.4	63.5
17	18.9	15.0	67	69.4	64.5
18	20.0	16.0	68	70.3	65.5
19	21.0	17.0	69	71.3	66.5
20	22.1	17.9	70	72.3	67.6
21	23.1	18.9	71	73.3	68.6
22	24.1	19.8	72	74.2	69.6
23	25.2	20.8	73	75.2	70.6
24	26.2	21.8	74	76.2	71.7
25	27.2	22.7	75	77.2	72.7
26	28.2	23.7	76	78.1	73.7
27	29.3	24.7	77	79.1	74.7
28	30.3	25.7	78	80.1	75.8
29	31.3	26.6	79	81.0	76.8
30	32.3	27.6	80	82.0	77.8
31	33.4	28.6	81	82.9	78.9
32	34.4	29.6	82	83.9	79.9
33	35.4	30.5	83	84.9	81.0
34	36.4	31.5	84	85.8	82.0
35	37.4	32.5	85	86.8	83.0
36	38.4	33.5	86	87.7	84.1
37	39.5	34.5	87	88.7	85.2
38	40.5	35.5	88	89.6	86.2
39	41.5	36.4	89	90.5	87.3
40	42.5	37.4	90	91.5	88.3
41	43.5	38.4	91	92.4	89.4
42	44.5	39.4	92	93.3	90.5
43	45.5	40.4	93	94.2	91.6
44	46.5	41.4	94	95.1	92.6
45	47.5	42.4	95	96.0	93.7
46	48.5	43.4	96	96.9	94.8
47	49.5	44.4	97	97.8	96.0
48	50.5	45.4	98	98.6	97.1
49	51.5	46.4	99	99.4	98.3
50	52.5	47.4			

Table 10:1

Temporary Assistance for Needy Families - Active Cases
Percent Distribution of TANF Households by Number of Persons Living in the Household
FY 2006

STATE	TOTAL HOUSEHOLDS	NUMBER OF HOUSEHOLD MEMBERS							
		AVERAGE	1	2	3	4	5	6 - 10	OVER 10
U.S. TOTAL	1,802,567	2.8	10.0	38.7	26.1	14.4	6.6	4.3	0.0
ALABAMA	19,162	2.9	0.2	43.8	31.6	16.9	4.6	2.7	0.0
ALASKA	3,614	3.1	0.9	41.7	28.9	15.0	6.7	6.7	0.3
ARIZONA	39,369	2.7	18.7	32.1	23.5	14.9	7.0	3.7	0.0
ARKANSAS	8,176	2.2	26.8	44.9	18.0	7.6	1.9	0.8	0.0
CALIFORNIA	449,200	3.2	0.6	38.0	28.5	17.6	9.1	6.2	0.0
COLORADO	14,468	2.6	17.7	37.5	24.0	12.7	5.2	2.8	0.0
CONNECTICUT	18,245	2.5	4.9	59.1	23.1	8.4	3.4	1.0	0.0
DELAWARE	5,504	2.1	33.2	36.4	20.4	6.5	2.4	1.0	0.0
DIST. OF COL.	15,717	3.0	1.3	45.5	26.1	13.7	7.6	5.8	0.1
FLORIDA	52,470	1.8	59.3	17.5	13.2	6.3	2.7	1.0	0.0
GEORGIA	30,232	2.9	0.1	44.5	30.4	16.1	6.0	2.8	0.0
GUAM a/									
HAWAII	6,953	2.5	20.4	38.6	22.3	10.7	5.3	2.7	0.0
IDAHO	1,818	2.9	0.7	40.4	39.0	14.8	3.5	1.6	0.0
ILLINOIS	36,573	2.5	24.5	33.6	23.3	10.9	4.6	3.1	0.0
INDIANA	42,471	3.1	0.0	39.2	30.6	17.6	7.5	5.1	0.0
IOWA	16,675	2.9	0.3	46.8	30.2	14.5	6.1	2.1	0.1
KANSAS	17,226	2.6	19.1	36.0	24.0	12.9	5.0	3.1	0.0
KENTUCKY	33,092	2.1	34.3	37.1	18.2	7.4	2.2	0.9	0.0
LOUISIANA	11,916	2.3	33.3	32.2	18.7	9.3	4.8	1.8	0.0
MAINE	9,258	2.4	18.7	43.8	23.7	9.4	3.3	1.2	0.0
MARYLAND	20,341	2.3	31.9	33.9	19.3	9.2	3.9	1.7	0.0
MASSACHUSETTS	46,582	2.7	2.0	51.1	27.1	13.1	4.7	2.0	0.0
MICHIGAN	82,953	3.1	1.7	39.0	28.8	16.3	8.4	5.7	0.1
MINNESOTA	27,479	3.1	2.9	44.3	23.8	14.5	7.0	7.3	0.2
MISSISSIPPI	13,416	2.1	33.0	40.9	17.4	5.9	2.1	0.7	0.0
MISSOURI	38,748	2.8	0.0	49.5	30.5	13.3	4.7	2.0	0.0
MONTANA	3,812	2.6	21.8	33.2	23.2	12.5	5.7	3.5	0.1
NEBRASKA	10,097	2.9	3.6	43.1	28.3	14.5	6.7	3.8	0.0
NEVADA	5,399	2.5	22.2	37.2	21.2	11.4	4.6	3.4	0.0
NEW HAMPSHIRE	6,105	2.7	0.0	53.8	28.2	12.0	4.3	1.7	0.0
NEW JERSEY	40,832	2.9	0.3	47.2	28.4	14.0	6.8	3.3	0.0
NEW MEXICO	16,896	3.3	0.8	30.7	30.7	21.8	9.2	6.6	0.0
NEW YORK	134,900	2.9	6.5	40.5	29.5	14.1	5.4	3.9	0.0
NORTH CAROLINA	30,172	2.0	40.5	33.9	17.3	5.8	1.8	0.7	0.0
NORTH DAKOTA	2,678	2.9	2.6	44.1	29.7	14.5	5.8	3.2	0.0
OHIO	79,485	2.1	37.1	33.1	17.3	7.7	3.1	1.8	0.0
OKLAHOMA	10,203	2.2	33.0	34.2	18.7	9.2	3.3	1.7	0.0
OREGON	18,496	2.8	9.5	41.9	25.0	13.1	6.8	3.7	0.1
PENNSYLVANIA	94,696	3.0	4.7	38.3	27.8	16.7	7.7	4.6	0.1
PUERTO RICO	14,325	3.7	0.3	19.7	29.1	27.4	13.8	9.7	0.1
RHODE ISLAND	9,688	2.7	7.8	44.5	27.2	12.9	4.6	3.0	0.0
SOUTH CAROLINA	15,678	2.9	0.1	44.3	32.0	15.6	5.3	2.6	0.0
SOUTH DAKOTA	2,823	2.2	38.9	30.3	16.2	8.2	4.0	2.3	0.0
TENNESSEE	68,129	2.6	17.7	36.5	24.6	13.4	4.7	3.0	0.0
TEXAS	71,766	3.2	5.2	34.7	24.4	18.6	9.5	7.7	0.0
UTAH	7,463	2.9	1.7	46.8	28.7	14.0	5.3	3.4	0.0
VERMONT	4,429	2.9	1.0	45.8	30.7	14.7	5.6	2.4	0.0
VIRGIN ISLANDS	433	4.0	0.0	22.4	24.8	20.1	15.8	16.1	0.8
VIRGINIA	9,133	3.1	0.0	37.0	32.5	19.0	7.4	4.1	0.0
WASHINGTON	54,167	2.9	4.6	44.1	26.6	13.9	5.9	4.9	0.0
WEST VIRGINIA	10,864	2.2	27.8	39.0	21.7	7.9	2.7	0.9	0.0
WISCONSIN	17,949	2.9	0.0	49.2	26.0	13.6	6.6	4.5	0.0
WYOMING	291	1.8	47.1	35.5	12.3	4.2	0.9	0.1	0.0

NOTE: 'a/' = DATA NOT REPORTED.
SOURCE: NATIONAL TANF DATAFILE AS OF 4/12/2007

Table 10:2

Temporary Assistance for Needy Families - Active Cases
Percent Distribution of TANF Families by Number of Recipients
FY 2006

STATE	TOTAL FAMILIES	NUMBER OF FAMILY MEMBERS								
		AVERAGE	1	2	3	4	5	6 - 10	OVER 10	UNKNOWN
U.S. TOTAL	1,802,567	2.3	27.4	36.4	20.6	9.9	3.7	2.0	0.0	0.0
ALABAMA	19,162	2.3	26.4	35.9	22.9	10.0	2.8	1.7	0.0	0.3
ALASKA	3,614	2.7	19.0	36.6	21.1	12.4	5.1	5.6	0.1	0.0
ARIZONA	39,369	2.2	29.7	38.1	19.4	8.5	3.1	1.3	0.0	0.0
ARKANSAS	8,176	2.2	26.8	44.9	18.0	7.6	1.9	0.8	0.0	0.0
CALIFORNIA	449,200	2.3	25.4	38.2	20.7	10.4	3.5	1.7	0.0	0.0
COLORADO	14,468	2.6	17.7	37.5	24.0	12.7	5.2	2.8	0.0	0.0
CONNECTICUT	18,245	1.9	38.4	39.5	14.6	5.1	1.9	0.4	0.0	0.0
DELAWARE	5,504	2.2	31.3	34.1	21.7	7.9	2.9	2.1	0.0	0.0
DIST. OF COL.	15,717	2.5	24.3	35.4	20.4	10.7	5.7	3.5	0.1	0.0
FLORIDA	52,470	1.7	58.7	21.7	11.6	5.3	2.0	0.7	0.0	0.0
GEORGIA	30,232	2.0	43.1	31.7	15.2	7.2	1.9	0.9	0.0	0.0
GUAM a/										
HAWAII	6,953	2.5	21.7	38.2	21.7	10.7	5.1	2.5	0.0	0.0
IDAHO	1,818	1.7	53.2	29.4	11.9	3.8	1.4	0.2	0.0	0.0
ILLINOIS	36,573	2.5	24.4	33.4	23.4	11.2	4.4	3.0	0.0	0.0
INDIANA	42,471	2.4	20.6	41.0	23.6	9.7	3.5	1.7	0.0	0.0
IOWA	16,675	2.4	20.2	41.6	23.5	9.9	3.6	1.2	0.0	0.0
KANSAS	17,226	2.6	19.1	36.0	23.9	12.9	4.9	3.1	0.0	0.0
KENTUCKY	33,092	2.1	33.9	37.0	18.4	7.5	2.3	0.9	0.0	0.0
LOUISIANA	11,916	2.2	36.0	32.1	17.8	8.5	4.1	1.4	0.0	0.0
MAINE	9,258	2.4	18.7	43.7	23.7	9.4	3.3	1.2	0.0	0.0
MARYLAND	20,341	2.3	31.9	33.9	19.4	9.2	3.9	1.8	0.0	0.0
MASSACHUSETTS	46,582	2.0	32.7	46.4	14.2	4.8	1.3	0.5	0.0	0.1
MICHIGAN	82,953	2.6	24.1	32.1	21.9	10.9	6.8	4.1	0.1	0.0
MINNESOTA	27,479	2.5	21.2	43.4	17.5	9.5	4.6	3.7	0.0	0.0
MISSISSIPPI	13,416	2.1	33.0	41.0	17.3	5.8	2.1	0.7	0.0	0.0
MISSOURI	38,748	2.4	15.8	45.4	24.3	10.4	3.3	0.9	0.0	0.0
MONTANA	3,812	2.6	21.0	33.3	23.3	13.0	5.8	3.6	0.1	0.0
NEBRASKA	10,097	2.4	22.5	39.7	21.5	10.4	3.7	2.2	0.0	0.0
NEVADA	5,399	2.3	30.8	34.2	19.0	9.9	4.0	2.1	0.0	0.0
NEW HAMPSHIRE	6,105	2.2	24.8	44.4	20.1	7.8	2.3	0.6	0.0	0.0
NEW JERSEY	40,832	2.5	18.1	42.4	23.5	9.8	4.2	2.0	0.0	0.0
NEW MEXICO	16,896	2.6	16.7	37.7	25.8	12.6	5.2	2.0	0.0	0.0
NEW YORK	134,900	2.3	29.6	34.0	21.9	8.5	3.8	2.2	0.0	0.0
NORTH CAROLINA	30,172	2.0	40.5	33.9	17.3	5.8	1.8	0.7	0.0	0.0
NORTH DAKOTA	2,678	2.5	16.7	40.5	25.2	11.2	4.1	2.3	0.0	0.0
OHIO	79,485	2.1	36.5	33.2	17.5	7.8	3.1	1.8	0.0	0.0
OKLAHOMA	10,203	2.2	33.6	33.4	18.5	8.9	3.3	1.5	0.0	0.8
OREGON	18,496	2.3	33.0	33.2	18.4	9.9	3.5	1.9	0.0	0.0
PENNSYLVANIA	94,696	2.6	21.1	34.3	23.2	13.3	5.0	3.0	0.1	0.1
PUERTO RICO	14,325	2.8	11.2	37.4	28.0	15.2	5.9	2.3	0.0	0.0
RHODE ISLAND	9,688	2.5	17.6	40.6	25.1	10.4	3.8	2.5	0.0	0.0
SOUTH CAROLINA	15,678	2.2	31.9	34.9	19.9	8.8	3.2	1.3	0.0	0.1
SOUTH DAKOTA	2,823	2.2	38.9	30.3	16.2	8.2	4.0	2.3	0.0	0.0
TENNESSEE	68,129	2.6	17.7	36.5	24.6	13.4	4.7	3.0	0.0	0.0
TEXAS	71,766	2.3	31.7	31.9	19.6	11.3	3.3	2.1	0.0	0.0
UTAH	7,463	2.4	22.4	38.1	22.3	10.2	4.4	2.3	0.0	0.4
VERMONT	4,429	2.5	20.1	37.7	25.4	11.0	4.3	1.6	0.0	0.0
VIRGIN ISLANDS	433	2.9	14.8	29.8	27.0	15.2	9.0	4.2	0.0	0.0
VIRGINIA	9,133	2.9	1.1	43.8	32.9	14.9	5.4	2.0	0.0	0.0
WASHINGTON	54,167	2.4	28.0	34.5	20.4	10.7	4.0	2.3	0.0	0.0
WEST VIRGINIA	10,864	2.2	27.8	39.0	21.7	7.9	2.7	0.9	0.0	0.0
WISCONSIN	17,949	2.2	35.5	32.3	16.7	8.7	4.9	2.0	0.0	0.0
WYOMING	291	1.7	46.8	35.6	11.9	4.6	0.4	0.1	0.0	0.6

NOTE: 'a/' = DATA NOT REPORTED.

SOURCE: NATIONAL TANF DATAFILE AS OF 4/12/2007

Table 10:3

Temporary Assistance for Needy Families - Active Cases
Percent Distribution of TANF Families by Number of Adult Recipients
FY 2006

STATE	TOTAL FAMILIES	NUMBER OF ADULT RECIPIENTS		
		NONE	ONE	TWO OR MORE
U.S. TOTAL	1,802,567	47.2	50.4	2.4
ALABAMA	19,162	48.8	51.0	0.3
ALASKA	3,614	29.7	57.3	13.0
ARIZONA	39,369	47.6	50.4	2.0
ARKANSAS	8,176	50.9	46.9	2.2
CALIFORNIA	449,200	58.6	41.0	0.3
COLORADO	14,468	35.0	58.1	6.9
CONNECTICUT	18,245	46.0	53.9	0.2
DELAWARE	5,504	46.2	53.8	0.0
DIST. OF COL.	15,717	40.7	58.5	0.8
FLORIDA	52,470	70.3	29.6	0.1
GEORGIA	30,232	75.4	24.6	0.0
GUAM a/				
HAWAII	6,953	32.8	65.8	1.4
IDAHO	1,818	77.2	21.5	1.3
ILLINOIS	36,573	49.7	50.3	0.0
INDIANA	42,471	44.2	55.1	0.7
IOWA	16,675	32.0	65.8	2.2
KANSAS	17,226	27.9	62.7	9.4
KENTUCKY	33,092	50.0	47.3	2.7
LOUISIANA	11,916	70.6	28.7	0.7
MAINE	9,258	26.6	71.1	2.3
MARYLAND	20,341	49.1	50.7	0.2
MASSACHUSETTS	46,582	39.5	59.6	1.0
MICHIGAN	82,953	33.0	60.8	6.2
MINNESOTA	27,479	33.8	66.2	0.0
MISSISSIPPI	13,416	51.3	48.6	0.1
MISSOURI	38,748	28.2	71.8	0.0
MONTANA	3,812	31.6	55.9	12.4
NEBRASKA	10,097	35.1	64.9	0.0
NEVADA	5,399	60.3	39.5	0.2
NEW HAMPSHIRE	6,105	33.0	64.7	2.4
NEW JERSEY	40,832	28.5	71.5	0.0
NEW MEXICO	16,896	33.8	59.3	6.9
NEW YORK	134,900	43.5	49.2	7.3
NORTH CAROLINA	30,172	60.8	38.1	1.0
NORTH DAKOTA	2,678	25.4	74.3	0.3
OHIO	79,485	54.1	41.2	4.7
OKLAHOMA	10,203	61.7	37.9	0.4
OREGON	18,496	46.3	49.7	4.0
PENNSYLVANIA	94,696	29.7	64.5	5.7
PUERTO RICO	14,325	22.5	72.4	5.2
RHODE ISLAND	9,688	26.1	71.0	2.8
SOUTH CAROLINA	15,678	53.3	44.8	1.9
SOUTH DAKOTA	2,823	64.7	35.3	0.0
TENNESSEE	68,129	25.7	73.1	1.2
TEXAS	71,766	63.7	36.3	0.0
UTAH	7,463	37.2	60.0	2.8
VERMONT	4,429	25.8	63.0	11.2
VIRGIN ISLANDS	433	30.6	68.5	0.9
VIRGINIA	9,133	0.0	97.7	2.3
WASHINGTON	54,167	36.9	54.8	8.3
WEST VIRGINIA	10,864	48.9	47.3	3.9
WISCONSIN	17,949	62.6	36.6	0.8
WYOMING	291	82.2	17.1	0.8

NOTE: 'a/' = DATA NOT REPORTED.
SOURCE: NATIONAL TANF DATAFILE AS OF4/12/2007

Table 10:4

Temporary Assistance for Needy Families - Active Cases
Percent Distribution of TANF Families by Number of Recipient Children
FY 2006

STATE	TOTAL FAMILIES	NUMBER OF RECIPIENT CHILDREN						
		AVERAGE	ONE	TWO	THREE	FOUR	5 OR MORE	UNKNOWN
U.S. TOTAL	1,802,567	1.8	50.2	27.2	13.2	5.0	2.5	2.0
ALABAMA	19,162	1.8	48.5	30.9	14.6	3.3	2.4	0.3
ALASKA	3,614	1.9	51.4	26.2	11.6	5.3	4.6	0.9
ARIZONA	39,369	1.7	54.1	26.4	11.1	4.5	1.7	2.3
ARKANSAS	8,176	1.6	55.3	29.8	11.6	2.4	1.0	0.0
CALIFORNIA	449,200	1.9	45.2	28.9	15.9	6.3	3.0	0.7
COLORADO	14,468	1.9	44.6	30.0	14.3	6.3	2.9	2.0
CONNECTICUT	18,245	1.5	65.3	20.0	7.1	2.7	0.5	4.5
DELAWARE	5,504	1.7	53.9	27.7	11.6	3.4	2.3	1.0
DIST. OF COL.	15,717	2.0	47.8	25.2	12.5	6.8	5.1	2.6
FLORIDA	52,470	1.5	69.9	17.0	7.4	2.8	1.0	1.9
GEORGIA	30,232	1.7	54.0	28.1	12.1	4.3	1.5	0.1
GUAM a/								
HAWAII	6,953	1.8	51.6	25.9	13.0	5.4	2.9	1.3
IDAHO	1,818	1.5	65.2	23.9	7.4	2.2	0.7	0.7
ILLINOIS	36,573	2.0	45.2	28.1	15.9	6.9	3.9	0.0
INDIANA	42,471	1.9	47.5	29.0	14.5	5.0	2.7	1.2
IOWA	16,675	1.7	53.5	29.4	11.5	3.8	1.2	0.6
KANSAS	17,226	1.9	44.1	29.5	14.1	4.6	2.5	5.1
KENTUCKY	33,092	1.6	58.9	26.8	10.1	2.5	0.9	0.8
LOUISIANA	11,916	1.9	47.0	29.7	12.9	6.5	3.0	0.9
MAINE	9,258	1.7	53.3	29.0	11.0	3.0	1.2	2.5
MARYLAND	20,341	1.8	48.9	29.2	11.6	4.9	2.3	3.2
MASSACHUSETTS	46,582	1.4	66.0	19.7	6.8	1.7	0.5	5.3
MICHIGAN	82,953	1.9	45.5	26.7	13.1	7.0	3.7	4.2
MINNESOTA	27,479	1.9	52.5	21.2	12.1	5.0	5.1	4.1
MISSISSIPPI	13,416	1.6	59.6	26.7	9.0	2.9	1.0	0.9
MISSOURI	38,748	1.7	52.0	29.6	12.3	4.1	1.3	0.7
MONTANA	3,812	1.9	47.0	27.4	14.8	5.0	2.7	3.0
NEBRASKA	10,097	1.8	51.2	27.0	12.6	4.9	2.4	2.0
NEVADA	5,399	1.9	47.3	28.0	14.1	6.1	3.5	0.9
NEW HAMPSHIRE	6,105	1.5	60.3	26.4	8.2	2.5	0.6	1.9
NEW JERSEY	40,832	1.8	51.1	27.9	12.2	4.9	2.1	1.7
NEW MEXICO	16,896	1.9	43.2	31.9	16.3	4.2	2.4	2.1
NEW YORK	134,900	1.7	52.6	26.8	11.0	3.8	2.3	3.5
NORTH CAROLINA	30,172	1.6	60.2	26.3	9.4	2.4	1.1	0.5
NORTH DAKOTA	2,678	1.8	47.8	29.2	13.0	4.9	2.6	2.6
OHIO	79,485	1.7	56.2	25.7	10.3	3.7	1.9	2.3
OKLAHOMA	10,203	1.8	48.6	27.9	14.2	5.0	2.1	2.1
OREGON	18,496	1.7	55.4	24.6	10.6	4.7	2.1	2.5
PENNSYLVANIA	94,696	1.9	44.9	27.9	14.6	5.1	3.0	4.6
PUERTO RICO	14,325	1.9	44.7	29.6	17.3	6.2	2.1	0.0
RHODE ISLAND	9,688	1.8	49.1	29.0	12.6	4.0	2.5	2.9
SOUTH CAROLINA	15,678	1.7	52.7	28.7	12.5	3.9	1.7	0.5
SOUTH DAKOTA	2,823	1.8	53.2	25.3	12.8	5.3	3.1	0.3
TENNESSEE	68,129	1.9	46.4	29.1	14.6	4.8	3.4	1.7
TEXAS	71,766	1.9	47.1	25.9	16.7	6.4	3.5	0.4
UTAH	7,463	1.8	48.5	28.9	12.7	4.7	2.7	2.5
VERMONT	4,429	1.7	53.3	29.0	10.5	3.4	0.9	2.9
VIRGIN ISLANDS	433	2.2	37.8	29.7	16.5	11.0	5.1	0.0
VIRGINIA	9,133	1.9	44.4	33.1	14.9	4.7	1.8	1.1
WASHINGTON	54,167	1.7	51.0	25.5	11.4	4.4	2.1	5.5
WEST VIRGINIA	10,864	1.7	53.6	31.2	11.4	2.8	1.0	0.0
WISCONSIN	17,949	1.8	52.3	25.5	13.2	5.6	3.5	0.0
WYOMING	291	1.6	56.0	31.9	8.2	2.9	0.0	1.0

NOTE: 'a/' = DATA NOT REPORTED.
SOURCE: NATIONAL TANF DATAFILE AS OF 4/12/2007

Table 10:5

Temporary Assistance for Needy Families - Active Cases
Percent Distribution of TANF Families with No Adults in the Assistance Unit (AU)
by Number of Recipient Children
FY 2006

STATE	TOTAL FAMILIES	NO ADULT FAMILIES	PERCENT	NUMBER OF RECIPIENT CHILDREN w/NO ADULTS IN AU						
				AVERAGE	ONE	TWO	THREE	FOUR	5 OR MORE	UNKNOWN
U.S. TOTAL	1,802,567	850,881	47.2	1.8	54.2	26.4	12.3	4.7	2.4	0.1
ALABAMA	19,162	9,344	48.8	1.7	54.2	28.7	12.0	2.7	1.9	0.6
ALASKA	3,614	1,072	29.7	1.7	61.3	22.7	7.8	4.2	4.1	0.0
ARIZONA	39,369	18,727	47.6	1.7	57.8	25.8	10.6	4.3	1.5	0.0
ARKANSAS	8,176	4,163	50.9	1.6	52.6	33.9	10.6	2.2	0.7	0.0
CALIFORNIA	449,200	263,437	58.6	2.0	42.2	30.6	16.6	7.2	3.4	0.1
COLORADO	14,468	5,063	35.0	1.9	45.3	30.8	14.1	7.8	2.0	0.0
CONNECTICUT	18,245	8,388	46.0	1.4	73.9	17.8	6.1	1.9	0.2	0.0
DELAWARE	5,504	2,544	46.2	1.5	65.5	22.5	9.5	1.6	0.9	0.0
DIST. OF COL.	15,717	6,393	40.7	1.9	53.4	23.3	11.6	6.8	4.8	0.0
FLORIDA	52,470	36,882	70.3	1.3	80.8	12.3	4.7	1.6	0.6	0.0
GEORGIA	30,232	22,803	75.4	1.7	57.0	27.4	10.3	3.9	1.5	0.0
GUAM a/										
HAWAII	6,953	2,281	32.8	1.6	62.3	22.4	9.8	2.9	2.6	0.0
IDAHO	1,818	1,403	77.2	1.4	68.2	22.7	6.7	1.8	0.6	0.1
ILLINOIS	36,573	18,165	49.7	1.9	49.2	25.4	16.1	6.6	2.7	0.1
INDIANA	42,471	18,767	44.2	2.0	43.8	29.2	17.2	6.2	3.5	0.0
IOWA	16,675	5,336	32.0	1.6	61.5	26.0	8.6	2.9	1.0	0.0
KANSAS	17,226	4,806	27.9	1.7	52.3	31.6	12.0	3.0	0.9	0.2
KENTUCKY	33,092	16,543	50.0	1.5	66.3	24.1	7.5	1.7	0.4	0.0
LOUISIANA	11,916	8,413	70.6	1.8	49.8	29.0	12.2	5.9	3.0	0.0
MAINE	9,258	2,458	26.6	1.5	61.2	27.6	9.1	1.2	0.8	0.1
MARYLAND	20,341	9,991	49.1	1.6	58.6	28.1	8.2	3.3	1.9	0.0
MASSACHUSETTS	46,582	18,386	39.5	1.4	69.9	21.1	6.8	1.4	0.4	0.4
MICHIGAN	82,953	27,400	33.0	1.6	60.4	24.2	10.9	2.8	1.6	0.0
MINNESOTA	27,479	9,288	33.8	2.0	50.7	23.8	13.0	5.3	7.2	0.0
MISSISSIPPI	13,416	6,886	51.3	1.5	62.7	26.5	8.1	2.1	0.5	0.1
MISSOURI	38,748	10,925	28.2	1.7	53.4	29.7	11.1	4.2	1.7	0.0
MONTANA	3,812	1,206	31.6	1.7	57.2	25.4	12.4	2.2	2.7	0.1
NEBRASKA	10,097	3,542	35.1	1.6	58.6	25.8	10.3	4.0	1.3	0.0
NEVADA	5,399	3,253	60.3	1.9	49.6	27.9	13.0	5.9	3.6	0.0
NEW HAMPSHIRE	6,105	2,013	33.0	1.4	69.3	23.1	4.7	2.4	0.5	0.0
NEW JERSEY	40,832	11,629	28.5	1.6	57.6	26.9	11.5	3.0	0.9	0.1
NEW MEXICO	16,896	5,704	33.8	1.9	44.2	34.4	16.0	2.3	3.2	0.0
NEW YORK	134,900	58,667	43.5	1.6	61.7	23.6	10.1	2.6	2.0	0.0
NORTH CAROLINA	30,172	18,357	60.8	1.5	65.7	23.0	8.2	2.0	1.1	0.0
NORTH DAKOTA	2,678	679	25.4	1.7	55.7	27.6	10.9	4.3	1.6	0.0
OHIO	79,485	42,971	54.1	1.6	63.4	24.0	8.4	2.8	1.3	0.0
OKLAHOMA	10,203	6,297	61.7	1.7	52.3	27.9	12.7	4.0	1.8	1.3
OREGON	18,496	8,568	46.3	1.5	65.9	21.0	7.5	4.1	1.5	0.1
PENNSYLVANIA	94,696	28,167	29.7	1.7	56.8	25.5	10.4	4.3	2.9	0.2
PUERTO RICO	14,325	3,221	22.5	1.9	49.8	26.6	15.9	5.4	2.2	0.0
RHODE ISLAND	9,688	2,533	26.1	1.7	56.3	26.4	12.6	3.0	1.7	0.0
SOUTH CAROLINA	15,678	8,356	53.3	1.6	59.1	26.6	10.0	2.9	1.3	0.1
SOUTH DAKOTA	2,823	1,827	64.7	1.7	59.7	24.4	10.4	3.3	2.2	0.0
TENNESSEE	68,129	17,502	25.7	1.6	62.2	25.0	8.7	2.5	1.7	0.0
TEXAS	71,766	45,716	63.7	1.9	49.2	25.3	15.4	7.0	3.1	0.0
UTAH	7,463	2,774	37.2	1.7	54.5	27.6	11.5	3.3	2.1	1.0
VERMONT	4,429	1,142	25.8	1.4	67.6	23.1	7.7	1.7	0.0	0.0
VIRGIN ISLANDS	433	133	30.7	2.0	48.3	23.9	14.5	9.2	4.0	0.0
VIRGINIA	9,133	-	-							
WASHINGTON	54,167	19,974	36.9	1.6	61.1	24.0	9.4	4.1	1.4	0.0
WEST VIRGINIA	10,864	5,309	48.9	1.6	56.9	29.6	10.2	2.2	1.0	0.0
WISCONSIN	17,949	11,238	62.6	1.7	56.7	25.2	10.9	3.6	3.5	0.0
WYOMING	291	239	82.1	1.6	56.5	32.1	7.8	3.0	0.0	0.7

NOTE: 'a/' = DATA NOT REPORTED.

SOURCE: NATIONAL TANF DATAFILE AS OF 4/12/2007

Table 10:6

Temporary Assistance for Needy Families - Active Cases
Percent Distribution of TANF Families with One Adult by Number of Recipient Children
FY 2006

STATE	TOTAL FAMILIES	NUMBER OF RECIPIENT CHILDREN						
		AVERAGE	ONE	TWO	THREE	FOUR	5 OR MORE	UNKNOWN
U.S. TOTAL	908,126	1.8	47.3	27.8	13.7	5.0	2.5	3.7
ALABAMA	9,767	1.9	43.1	33.2	17.0	3.9	2.8	0.0
ALASKA	2,072	1.8	52.1	27.0	12.5	4.3	2.6	1.5
ARIZONA	19,859	1.7	51.3	26.9	11.5	4.4	1.5	4.5
ARKANSAS	3,835	1.6	58.9	25.1	12.3	2.5	1.3	0.0
CALIFORNIA	184,214	1.8	49.5	26.4	14.9	5.1	2.4	1.6
COLORADO	8,408	1.9	45.8	29.2	13.4	5.2	3.2	3.2
CONNECTICUT	9,828	1.6	58.1	21.8	7.8	3.3	0.7	8.3
DELAWARE	2,959	1.9	44.1	32.2	13.3	4.9	3.6	1.9
DIST. OF COL.	9,201	2.0	44.2	26.5	13.1	6.7	5.1	4.4
FLORIDA	15,523	1.9	44.3	27.9	13.8	5.7	1.8	6.5
GEORGIA	7,427	1.9	44.8	30.1	17.4	5.3	1.8	0.6
GUAM a/								
HAWAII	4,575	1.9	46.9	27.6	14.3	6.4	3.0	2.0
IDAHO	390	1.6	55.2	28.2	9.4	3.7	0.8	2.8
ILLINOIS	18,409	2.1	41.3	30.7	15.7	7.2	5.0	0.0
INDIANA	23,391	1.8	50.9	28.8	12.1	4.0	2.0	2.2
IOWA	10,977	1.7	50.5	30.8	12.4	4.2	1.3	0.8
KANSAS	10,804	1.9	42.4	28.2	14.5	4.8	2.9	7.2
KENTUCKY	15,656	1.7	52.7	29.3	12.0	3.1	1.2	1.6
LOUISIANA	3,421	2.0	40.3	31.3	14.4	8.2	3.0	2.9
MAINE	6,582	1.7	51.2	29.0	11.4	3.7	1.4	3.4
MARYLAND	10,305	2.0	39.6	30.2	14.8	6.5	2.7	6.2
MASSACHUSETTS	27,746	1.4	63.8	18.7	6.7	1.9	0.4	8.5
MICHIGAN	50,449	2.1	39.5	27.6	13.4	8.5	4.3	6.7
MINNESOTA	18,191	1.8	53.4	19.9	11.7	4.9	4.1	6.2
MISSISSIPPI	6,514	1.6	56.4	26.9	9.8	3.8	1.4	1.7
MISSOURI	27,823	1.7	51.5	29.5	12.8	4.0	1.2	1.0
MONTANA	2,132	1.9	45.0	27.9	15.2	5.0	1.8	5.2
NEBRASKA	6,555	1.9	47.2	27.6	13.8	5.4	3.0	3.0
NEVADA	2,135	2.0	44.0	28.2	15.9	6.1	3.4	2.4
NEW HAMPSHIRE	3,947	1.6	56.9	27.7	9.5	2.4	0.5	3.0
NEW JERSEY	29,203	1.8	48.5	28.3	12.5	5.7	2.6	2.4
NEW MEXICO	10,018	1.9	43.7	30.4	16.1	4.8	1.9	3.1
NEW YORK	66,324	1.8	47.0	30.1	11.5	4.0	1.9	5.6
NORTH CAROLINA	11,510	1.7	52.3	31.4	11.1	3.0	0.9	1.3
NORTH DAKOTA	1,991	1.9	45.1	29.9	13.6	5.0	2.9	3.5
OHIO	32,768	1.8	49.1	27.5	11.7	4.3	2.1	5.4
OKLAHOMA	3,868	2.0	42.8	27.9	16.6	6.6	2.7	3.4
OREGON	9,192	1.8	47.3	27.3	13.3	5.1	2.2	4.9
PENNSYLVANIA	61,101	1.9	40.9	28.8	16.0	5.1	2.6	6.6
PUERTO RICO	10,366	1.9	43.4	30.8	17.5	6.3	2.0	0.0
RHODE ISLAND	6,880	1.8	47.4	29.7	12.2	4.1	2.6	4.0
SOUTH CAROLINA	7,021	1.8	46.2	31.2	15.0	4.8	1.9	0.9
SOUTH DAKOTA	996	2.1	41.2	27.0	17.2	9.1	4.8	0.7
TENNESSEE	49,811	2.0	41.2	30.2	16.6	5.7	4.0	2.3
TEXAS	26,049	2.0	43.5	27.0	19.0	5.5	4.0	1.0
UTAH	4,478	1.9	46.3	29.2	12.9	5.3	2.9	3.5
VERMONT	2,789	1.7	50.2	30.3	10.5	3.7	1.0	4.3
VIRGIN ISLANDS	297	2.3	32.8	32.1	17.5	11.9	5.6	0.0
VIRGINIA	8,924	1.9	44.8	33.1	14.5	4.7	1.8	1.2
WASHINGTON	29,695	1.8	46.8	25.6	12.0	3.9	1.7	10.0
WEST VIRGINIA	5,134	1.7	51.9	32.5	11.6	3.1	0.9	0.0
WISCONSIN	6,568	2.0	45.0	25.9	17.0	8.7	3.3	0.0
WYOMING	50	1.6	54.0	30.7	10.7	2.2	0.0	2.4

NOTE: 'a/' = DATA NOT REPORTED.
SOURCE: NATIONAL TANF DATAFILE AS OF 4/12/2007

Table 10:7

Temporary Assistance for Needy Families - Active Cases
Percent Distribution of TANF Families with Two or More Adults by Number of Recipient Children
FY 2006

STATE	TOTAL FAMILIES	NUMBER OF RECIPIENT CHILDREN						
		AVERAGE	ONE	TWO	THREE	FOUR	5 OR MORE	UNKNOWN
U.S. TOTAL	43,556	2.3	32.6	30.1	18.2	8.7	6.4	4.1
ALABAMA	51	2.5	30.8	8.2	47.0	9.1	3.4	1.5
ALASKA	470	2.8	25.3	30.7	16.8	12.2	15.0	0.0
ARIZONA	783	2.2	38.4	30.1	12.5	9.3	8.7	1.0
ARKANSAS	178	2.0	39.7	33.7	19.7	3.8	3.1	0.0
CALIFORNIA	1,549	1.8	45.9	32.5	20.0	0.0	1.6	0.0
COLORADO	997	2.3	30.7	32.1	23.3	7.4	4.8	1.8
CONNECTICUT	29	2.0	35.5	35.2	23.1	6.2	0.0	0.0
DELAWARE	0							
DIST. OF COL.	123	2.8	23.4	29.8	19.3	12.4	13.2	1.9
FLORIDA	65	1.8	25.0	75.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
GEORGIA	0							
GUAM a/								
HAWAII	97	2.6	24.0	27.0	26.4	18.6	4.0	0.0
IDAHO	24	1.8	51.7	29.6	11.6	2.9	1.9	2.3
ILLINOIS	0							
INDIANA	313	2.5	17.2	39.4	22.4	4.8	11.5	4.7
IOWA	363	2.2	26.0	37.9	26.1	6.6	1.7	1.7
KANSAS	1,615	2.2	30.6	32.2	18.0	8.2	5.1	6.0
KENTUCKY	893	2.2	32.0	32.9	24.4	6.6	3.3	0.7
LOUISIANA	82	2.2	31.2	32.1	20.4	9.3	4.6	2.4
MAINE	218	2.1	27.0	44.1	21.5	4.5	1.5	1.5
MARYLAND	45	2.1	24.0	35.0	16.4	0.0	6.6	17.9
MASSACHUSETTS	450	2.1	42.8	28.1	13.2	3.4	9.1	3.4
MICHIGAN	5,104	2.5	24.5	30.4	21.8	14.1	7.8	1.5
MINNESOTA	0							
MISSISSIPPI	16	1.7	65.3	2.6	32.1	0.0	0.0	0.0
MISSOURI	-							
MONTANA	474	2.4	30.3	30.8	19.0	12.4	6.5	1.0
NEBRASKA	-							
NEVADA	11	3.3	15.0	10.2	15.0	44.9	15.0	0.0
NEW HAMPSHIRE	145	2.3	26.4	37.6	22.6	9.4	3.4	0.7
NEW JERSEY	0							
NEW MEXICO	1,174	2.1	34.0	33.4	19.8	7.5	2.0	3.4
NEW YORK	9,909	2.2	36.6	23.8	12.8	9.1	6.6	11.1
NORTH CAROLINA	306	2.2	32.2	35.5	19.3	6.4	5.4	1.3
NORTH DAKOTA	9	1.9	58.0	0.0	31.6	10.4	0.0	0.0
OHIO	3,745	2.3	34.8	30.8	19.2	8.0	6.7	0.6
OKLAHOMA	38	2.5	22.2	33.7	18.1	17.3	5.3	3.5
OREGON	736	2.3	35.5	34.4	14.1	7.8	7.5	0.7
PENNSYLVANIA	5,428	2.4	27.5	29.3	21.5	8.7	7.4	5.6
PUERTO RICO	738	2.1	40.7	26.0	21.1	8.4	3.8	0.0
RHODE ISLAND	275	2.4	24.0	35.4	23.1	10.4	6.6	0.6
SOUTH CAROLINA	300	2.4	29.5	29.8	23.6	7.9	8.9	0.3
SOUTH DAKOTA	0							
TENNESSEE	816	2.1	26.6	51.2	15.3	2.8	4.1	0.0
TEXAS	0							
UTAH	211	2.4	18.2	41.3	23.1	9.3	5.9	2.3
VERMONT	498	2.0	38.2	35.2	17.5	5.8	2.2	1.1
VIRGIN ISLANDS	4	1.4	56.0	44.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
VIRGINIA	209	2.2	27.4	33.2	32.8	6.6	0.0	0.0
WASHINGTON	4,498	2.3	34.4	31.8	16.8	8.9	7.6	0.5
WEST VIRGINIA	422	2.0	33.9	35.5	23.4	6.4	0.9	0.0
WISCONSIN	143	2.1	44.1	25.0	14.7	11.5	4.7	0.0
WYOMING	2	1.8	40.7	45.9	3.3	10.0	0.0	0.0

NOTE: 'a/' = DATA NOT REPORTED.
SOURCE: NATIONAL TANF DATAFILE AS OF 4/12/2007

Table 10:8

Temporary Assistance for Needy Families - Active Cases
Percent Distribution of TANF Families by Ethnicity/Race*
FY 2006

STATE	TOTAL FAMILIES	HISPANIC**	WHITE	AFRICAN-AMERICAN	NATIVE AMERICAN	ASIAN	HAWAIIAN	MULTI-RACIAL	UNKNOWN
U.S. TOTAL	1,802,567	26.1	33.4	35.7	1.4	1.8	0.4	0.5	0.7
ALABAMA	19,162	1.2	28.8	69.1	0.2	0.0	0.1	0.6	0.0
ALASKA	3,614	4.1	52.2	9.6	22.2	6.0	3.7	2.2	0.0
ARIZONA	39,369	50.1	29.4	11.0	8.2	0.5	0.2	0.6	0.0
ARKANSAS	8,176	2.8	36.6	59.3	0.3	0.1	0.3	0.0	0.7
CALIFORNIA	449,200	50.9	22.4	20.7	0.4	4.6	0.6	0.4	0.0
COLORADO	14,468	22.8	65.4	9.8	0.8	0.3	0.4	0.6	0.0
CONNECTICUT	18,245	37.3	28.8	33.2	0.2	0.5	0.0	0.0	0.0
DELAWARE	5,504	7.7	27.6	64.2	0.0	0.2	0.1	0.2	0.0
DIST. OF COL.	15,717	2.8	0.4	96.5	0.1	0.3	0.0	0.0	0.0
FLORIDA	52,470	17.0	32.0	50.5	0.3	0.0	0.2	0.0	0.0
GEORGIA	30,232	2.9	24.4	72.2	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.0
GUAM a/									
HAWAII	6,953	6.3	19.4	1.6	0.3	16.1	41.9	14.2	0.2
IDAHO	1,818	17.2	74.6	1.7	4.8	0.1	0.2	1.5	0.0
ILLINOIS	36,573	10.5	20.5	68.3	0.1	0.5	0.0	0.1	0.0
INDIANA	42,471	7.0	49.7	40.9	0.1	0.1	0.0	2.3	0.0
IOWA	16,675	4.7	61.7	14.8	1.0	0.5	0.1	0.8	16.5
KANSAS	17,226	11.6	58.2	25.8	1.7	0.7	0.1	0.4	1.5
KENTUCKY	33,092	1.9	74.1	23.7	0.0	0.2	0.0	0.1	0.1
LOUISIANA	11,916	1.8	18.7	78.8	0.2	0.3	0.1	0.2	0.0
MAINE	9,258	0.9	92.6	2.9	1.7	1.0	0.1	0.9	0.0
MARYLAND	20,341	1.8	18.2	79.4	0.2	0.2	0.0	0.0	0.0
MASSACHUSETTS	46,582	35.1	39.8	20.6	0.5	2.5	0.7	0.1	0.6
MICHIGAN	82,953	4.5	47.4	46.2	0.9	0.2	0.1	0.7	0.0
MINNESOTA	27,479	9.6	36.5	35.2	9.6	7.5	0.0	1.6	0.0
MISSISSIPPI	13,416	0.5	17.4	82.1	0.0	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.0
MISSOURI	38,748	3.0	52.6	43.3	0.6	0.4	0.0	0.1	0.0
MONTANA	3,812	3.4	51.6	0.9	43.1	0.1	0.2	0.8	0.0
NEBRASKA	10,097	14.9	45.0	29.8	6.2	0.5	0.1	0.7	2.8
NEVADA	5,399	26.9	31.8	36.6	1.8	1.8	0.1	1.0	0.0
NEW HAMPSHIRE	6,105	5.5	90.8	3.0	0.2	0.3	0.0	0.2	0.0
NEW JERSEY	40,832	26.3	15.7	56.8	0.2	0.7	0.0	0.4	0.0
NEW MEXICO	16,896	70.0	20.2	3.9	5.5	0.0	0.4	0.0	0.0
NEW YORK	134,900	36.4	20.1	40.6	0.4	1.2	0.1	1.2	0.0
NORTH CAROLINA	30,172	4.1	29.2	63.3	2.5	0.6	0.1	0.2	0.0
NORTH DAKOTA	2,678	2.7	32.9	2.5	57.8	0.2	0.0	3.9	0.0
OHIO	79,485	3.2	49.3	46.7	0.1	0.3	0.0	0.3	0.0
OKLAHOMA	10,203	9.6	45.3	32.3	12.4	0.0	0.0	0.4	0.0
OREGON	18,496	15.0	70.1	8.9	3.6	1.4	0.4	0.0	0.6
PENNSYLVANIA	94,696	14.4	36.4	46.5	0.1	1.2	0.0	0.0	1.4
PUERTO RICO	14,325	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
RHODE ISLAND	9,688	24.5	35.4	13.0	0.3	2.8	0.0	0.0	24.0
SOUTH CAROLINA	15,678	1.8	27.1	69.7	0.2	0.0	0.1	1.0	0.0
SOUTH DAKOTA	2,823	1.4	20.8	2.1	72.4	0.1	0.1	3.1	0.0
TENNESSEE	68,129	2.2	40.8	56.7	0.1	0.2	0.0	0.1	0.0
TEXAS	71,766	52.2	17.1	29.9	0.1	0.4	0.0	0.3	0.1
UTAH	7,463	19.5	69.3	4.8	4.3	1.3	0.4	0.4	0.0
VERMONT	4,429	0.4	96.5	2.6	0.1	0.2	0.0	0.0	0.0
VIRGIN ISLANDS	433	34.0	0.9	64.6	0.4	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.0
VIRGINIA	9,133	3.1	28.7	66.9	0.2	0.6	0.1	0.3	0.0
WASHINGTON	54,167	17.2	56.3	11.6	3.9	2.1	1.3	0.3	7.3
WEST VIRGINIA	10,864	0.4	88.1	10.9	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.7	0.0
WISCONSIN	17,949	9.0	27.3	54.9	2.1	2.6	0.1	1.9	2.2
WYOMING	291	11.6	72.3	5.7	10.2	0.2	0.0	0.0	0.0

NOTES: '*' = ETHNICITY/RACE IS BASED ON HEAD OF FAMILY OR YOUNGEST CHILD IF CHILD ONLY CASE.
'**' = CAN BE OF ANY RACE.
'a/' = DATA NOT REPORTED.
SOURCE: NATIONAL TANF DATAFILE AS OF 4/12/2007

Table 10:9

Temporary Assistance for Needy Families - Active Cases
Percent Distribution of All Adults* Living in the Household by the Family Affiliation
FY 2006

STATE	TOTAL FAMILIES	TOTAL ADULTS	RECIPIENT ADULTS	NON-RECIPIENT ADULTS			
				PARENT	CARE-TAKER	MINOR SIBLING	OTHER PERSON**
U.S. TOTAL b/	1,802,567	1,918,683 b/	52.0	30.3	15.5	0.0	2.2
ALABAMA	19,162	19,886	49.6	22.1	28.3	0.0	0.0
ALASKA	3,614	4,460	67.5	0.8	31.5	0.0	0.2
ARIZONA	39,369	28,336	75.6	1.7	0.1	0.0	22.7
ARKANSAS	8,176	8,378	50.0	28.0	22.0	0.0	0.0
CALIFORNIA	449,200	502,239	37.3	52.8	9.5	0.0	0.5
COLORADO	14,468	16,609	62.6	0.0	36.8	0.0	0.6
CONNECTICUT	18,245	18,526	53.4	16.0	28.8	0.0	1.8
DELAWARE	5,504	5,825	50.9	9.5	38.8	0.0	0.8
DIST. OF COL.	15,717	15,913	59.4	31.5	9.1	0.0	0.0
FLORIDA	52,470	56,312	27.8	18.4	53.3	0.0	0.5
GEORGIA	30,232	32,957	22.5	29.4	47.4	0.0	0.6
GUAM a/							
HAWAII	6,953	--	--	--	--	--	--
IDAHO	1,818	2,499	17.6	1.0	81.4	0.0	0.0
ILLINOIS	36,573	38,718	47.5	27.9	19.0	0.0	5.5
INDIANA	42,471	46,859	51.3	32.7	15.0	0.0	1.0
IOWA	16,675	17,817	65.7	16.5	17.3	0.0	0.4
KANSAS	17,226	19,330	72.6	14.8	12.0	0.0	0.5
KENTUCKY	33,092	26,922	64.8	31.8	0.0	0.0	3.4
LOUISIANA	11,916	11,970	29.9	26.9	42.9	0.0	0.2
MAINE	9,258	11,544	60.8	14.4	17.8	0.0	7.0
MARYLAND	20,341	--	--	--	--	--	--
MASSACHUSETTS	46,582	48,781	58.7	40.7	0.0	0.0	0.6
MICHIGAN	82,953	95,989	63.2	13.9	22.9	0.0	0.0
MINNESOTA	27,479	30,150	60.3	25.4	13.1	0.0	1.1
MISSISSIPPI	13,416	14,504	45.1	27.8	19.7	0.0	7.3
MISSOURI	38,748	40,282	69.1	0.4	0.0	0.0	30.5
MONTANA	3,812	4,501	68.5	0.0	30.1	0.0	1.5
NEBRASKA	10,097	10,455	62.7	23.4	13.3	0.0	0.5
NEVADA	5,399	5,621	38.4	29.3	32.0	0.0	0.3
NEW HAMPSHIRE	6,105	6,650	63.7	20.6	15.6	0.0	0.2
NEW JERSEY	40,832	43,428	67.2	13.9	18.7	0.0	0.1
NEW MEXICO	16,896	21,127	58.5	25.7	15.7	0.0	0.0
NEW YORK	134,900	147,442	59.1	29.6	11.3	0.0	0.1
NORTH CAROLINA	30,172	30,635	39.6	17.5	40.0	0.0	3.0
NORTH DAKOTA	2,678	2,788	72.0	11.1	15.2	0.0	1.6
OHIO	79,485	58,428	68.9	28.7	1.3	0.0	1.0
OKLAHOMA	10,203	--	--	--	--	--	--
OREGON	18,496	18,554	57.5	25.8	16.5	0.0	0.3
PENNSYLVANIA	94,696	101,766	70.8	22.0	7.1	0.1	0.0
PUERTO RICO	14,325	20,177	58.7	7.7	8.9	0.4	24.3
RHODE ISLAND	9,688	9,179	80.9	14.5	4.5	0.0	0.0
SOUTH CAROLINA	15,678	17,267	44.1	20.4	34.7	0.0	0.8
SOUTH DAKOTA	2,823	3,321	30.0	11.3	58.7	0.0	0.0
TENNESSEE	68,129	71,140	72.3	0.5	24.6	0.0	2.5
TEXAS	71,766	76,860	33.9	49.8	15.8	0.0	0.6
UTAH	7,463	7,805	62.8	14.0	23.2	0.0	0.0
VERMONT	4,429	5,131	73.8	16.2	10.1	0.0	0.0
VIRGIN ISLANDS	433	652	46.8	15.2	10.2	0.0	27.8
VIRGINIA	9,133	9,564	97.8	1.5	0.0	0.0	0.8
WASHINGTON	54,167	62,087	62.3	21.5	15.8	0.0	0.4
WEST VIRGINIA	10,864	10,826	55.2	0.5	14.7	0.0	29.6
WISCONSIN	17,949	18,251	37.6	31.9	30.5	0.0	0.1
WYOMING	291	--	--	--	--	--	--

NOTES: 'a/' = DATA NOT REPORTED.
'--' = DATA NOT AVAILABLE FOR NON-RECIPIENT ADULTS.
'b' = ESTIMATED BECAUSE SEVERAL STATES DID NOT REPORT NON-RECIPIENT ADULTS.
'*' = ADULTS OR MINOR CHILD HEAD OF HOUSEHOLD.
'**' = PERSON WHOSE INCOME IS CONSIDERED IN DETERMINING ELIGIBILITY.
SOURCE: NATIONAL TANF DATAFILE AS OF 4/12/2007

Table 10:10

Temporary Assistance for Needy Families - Active Cases
Percent Distribution of TANF Teen Recipients with Teen Parent Status
FY 2006

STATE	TOTAL FAMILIES	TOTAL TEEN RECIPIENTS	TEEN PARENT STATUS	
			YES	NO
U.S. TOTAL	1,802,567	833,001	8.9	91.1
ALABAMA	19,162	7,878	8.1	91.9
ALASKA	3,614	1,974	6.7	93.3
ARIZONA	39,369	17,134	9.7	90.3
ARKANSAS	8,176	3,601	11.2	88.8
CALIFORNIA	449,200	236,511	5.7	94.3
COLORADO	14,468	6,055	12.1	87.9
CONNECTICUT	18,245	7,199	13.0	87.0
DELAWARE	5,504	2,432	10.4	89.6
DIST. OF COL.	15,717	6,979	7.1	92.9
FLORIDA	52,470	19,437	5.7	94.3
GEORGIA	30,232	15,499	5.6	94.4
GUAM a/				
HAWAII	6,953	3,380	8.2	91.8
IDAHO	1,818	700	3.7	96.3
ILLINOIS	36,573	18,372	13.6	86.4
INDIANA	42,471	15,783	13.2	86.8
IOWA	16,675	6,356	13.6	86.4
KANSAS	17,226	6,067	18.1	81.9
KENTUCKY	33,092	12,382	11.2	88.8
LOUISIANA	11,916	6,355	5.0	95.0
MAINE	9,258	3,738	8.0	92.0
MARYLAND	20,341	9,232	9.3	90.7
MASSACHUSETTS	46,582	19,112	10.9	89.1
MICHIGAN	82,953	44,297	8.6	91.4
MINNESOTA	27,479	15,149	13.8	86.2
MISSISSIPPI	13,416	5,564	11.8	88.2
MISSOURI	38,748	15,071	15.0	85.0
MONTANA	3,812	1,617	12.1	87.9
NEBRASKA	10,097	4,186	13.1	86.9
NEVADA	5,399	2,243	9.8	90.2
NEW HAMPSHIRE	6,105	2,013	10.6	89.4
NEW JERSEY	40,832	19,367	8.9	91.1
NEW MEXICO	16,896	6,704	16.3	83.7
NEW YORK	134,900	62,768	4.4	95.6
NORTH CAROLINA	30,172	12,899	10.1	89.9
NORTH DAKOTA	2,678	983	0.0	100.0
OHIO	79,485	35,681	11.4	88.6
OKLAHOMA	10,203	5,068	6.3	93.7
OREGON	18,496	8,519	10.9	89.1
PENNSYLVANIA	94,696	39,983	12.2	87.8
PUERTO RICO	14,325	5,639	5.9	94.1
RHODE ISLAND	9,688	4,351	12.3	87.7
SOUTH CAROLINA	15,678	7,211	13.7	86.3
SOUTH DAKOTA	2,823	1,378	5.9	94.1
TENNESSEE	68,129	30,963	12.2	87.8
TEXAS	71,766	29,137	6.4	93.6
UTAH	7,463	2,828	9.7	90.3
VERMONT	4,429	1,606	15.2	84.8
VIRGIN ISLANDS	433	144	13.6	86.4
VIRGINIA	9,133	3,279	99.9	0.1
WASHINGTON	54,167	22,768	8.1	91.9
WEST VIRGINIA	10,864	4,795	7.9	92.1
WISCONSIN	17,949	10,495	4.8	95.2
WYOMING	291	117	2.9	97.1

NOTE: 'a/' = DATA NOT REPORTED.
SOURCE: NATIONAL TANF DATAFILE AS OF 4/12/2007

Table 10:11

Temporary Assistance for Needy Families - Active Cases
Percent Distribution of TANF Recipient Teen Parents by Relationship to Head of Household
FY 2006

STATE	TOTAL FAMILIES	TOTAL TEEN RECIPIENTS	TOTAL TEEN PARENTS	RELATIONSHIP TO HEAD OF HOUSEHOLD			
				HEAD OF HOUSEHOLD	CHILD	GRAND CHILD	OTHER
U.S. TOTAL	1,802,567	833,001	73,733	83.6	11.7	1.4	3.2
ALABAMA	19,162	7,878	640	93.7	5.9	0.0	0.4
ALASKA	3,614	1,974	133	95.0	0.0	0.0	5.1
ARIZONA	39,369	17,134	1,665	86.3	10.4	2.2	1.0
ARKANSAS	8,176	3,601	405	96.5	0.0	0.0	3.5
CALIFORNIA	449,200	236,511	13,506	80.5	13.3	4.9	1.3
COLORADO	14,468	6,055	733	86.5	5.3	2.4	5.9
CONNECTICUT	18,245	7,199	933	97.4	2.6	0.0	0.0
DELAWARE	5,504	2,432	253	75.7	12.2	6.2	5.9
DIST. OF COL.	15,717	6,979	494	98.4	1.5	0.0	0.0
FLORIDA	52,470	19,437	1,102	76.7	13.0	4.3	6.0
GEORGIA	30,232	15,499	864	96.2	3.8	0.0	0.0
GUAM a/							
HAWAII	6,953	3,380	278	94.1	3.7	0.0	2.2
IDAHO	1,818	700	26	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
ILLINOIS	36,573	18,372	2,505	93.9	4.2	0.0	1.9
INDIANA	42,471	15,783	2,077	96.0	3.1	0.0	0.9
IOWA	16,675	6,356	864	98.0	0.0	0.0	2.0
KANSAS	17,226	6,067	1,099	82.8	3.5	0.7	13.1
KENTUCKY	33,092	12,382	1,388	91.5	3.1	0.0	5.4
LOUISIANA	11,916	6,355	316	92.2	6.6	0.0	1.2
MAINE	9,258	3,738	300	91.8	8.2	0.0	0.0
MARYLAND	20,341	9,232	856	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
MASSACHUSETTS	46,582	19,112	2,092	89.9	10.1	0.0	0.0
MICHIGAN	82,953	44,297	3,818	96.7	0.0	0.0	3.3
MINNESOTA	27,479	15,149	2,087	99.8	0.0	0.0	0.2
MISSISSIPPI	13,416	5,564	659	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
MISSOURI	38,748	15,071	2,267	91.8	6.8	0.0	1.3
MONTANA	3,812	1,617	196	82.6	1.6	1.6	14.3
NEBRASKA	10,097	4,186	549	86.4	5.9	0.0	7.7
NEVADA	5,399	2,243	219	81.3	8.9	3.8	5.9
NEW HAMPSHIRE	6,105	2,013	213	95.8	2.9	0.0	1.4
NEW JERSEY	40,832	19,367	1,719	97.5	1.6	0.8	0.0
NEW MEXICO	16,896	6,704	1,093	89.9	4.1	0.8	5.2
NEW YORK	134,900	62,768	2,788	75.9	11.4	0.0	12.7
NORTH CAROLINA	30,172	12,899	1,302	92.1	1.8	0.0	6.0
NORTH DAKOTA	2,678	983	-				0.0
OHIO	79,485	35,681	4,075	78.9	11.7	3.3	6.2
OKLAHOMA	10,203	5,068	321	99.7	0.0	0.0	0.3
OREGON	18,496	8,519	929	83.0	10.5	0.0	6.4
PENNSYLVANIA	94,696	39,983	4,863	71.7	19.6	1.0	7.7
PUERTO RICO	14,325	5,639	332	92.3	3.1	0.0	4.7
RHODE ISLAND	9,688	4,351	534	90.6	8.5	0.0	0.8
SOUTH CAROLINA	15,678	7,211	986	97.8	1.0	0.0	1.1
SOUTH DAKOTA	2,823	1,378	82	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
TENNESSEE	68,129	30,963	3,766	87.2	10.2	0.6	2.0
TEXAS	71,766	29,137	1,863	87.5	11.0	1.5	0.0
UTAH	7,463	2,828	273	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
VERMONT	4,429	1,606	244	87.3	0.0	0.0	12.8
VIRGIN ISLANDS	433	144	20	84.8	13.7	1.0	0.5
VIRGINIA	9,133	3,279	3,276	8.4	91.4	0.0	0.1
WASHINGTON	54,167	22,768	1,843	93.7	0.0	0.0	6.3
WEST VIRGINIA	10,864	4,795	380	82.9	8.6	3.1	5.4
WISCONSIN	17,949	10,495	506	98.1	0.3	0.0	1.6
WYOMING	291	117	3	66.1	11.1	22.8	0.0

NOTE: 'a/ = DATA NOT REPORTED.
SOURCE: NATIONAL TANF DATAFILE AS OF 4/12/2007

Table 10:12

Temporary Assistance for Needy Families - Active Cases
Reasons for Parents Living in the Household but Not in the Assistance Unit (AU)
FY 2006

STATE	FAMILIES w/NO ADULT IN AU	PERCENT FAMILIES w/PARENTS NOT IN AU	NUMBER OF FAMILIES w/PARENTS NOT IN AU	FAMILIES WITH PARENTS IN HOUSEHOLD BUT NOT IN ASSISTANCE UNIT				
						REASON NOT IN ASSISTANCE UNIT		
				PERCENT w/SANCTION*	NONRECIPIENT PARENT FAMILIES**	SSI BENEFIT	UNKNOWN CITIZENSHIP/ ALIENAGE STATUS	OTHER/ UNKNOWN
U.S. TOTAL	850,881	55.8	475,190	10.5	425,295	40.7	37.5	21.8
ALABAMA	9,344	42.6	3,981	3.9	3,825	92.9	0.0	7.1
ALASKA	1,072	1.1	12	0.0	12	0.0	62.7	37.3
ARIZONA	18,727	0.8	145	0.0	145	0.0	85.6	14.4
ARKANSAS	4,163	55.7	2,321	12.1	2,040	0.0	0.0	100.0
CALIFORNIA	263,437	81.8	215,569	18.3	176,120	33.7	56.3	10.0
COLORADO	5,063	0.0	--					
CONNECTICUT	8,388	33.8	2,832	0.0	2,832	41.7	17.9	40.4
DELAWARE	2,544	21.7	552	3.0	535	0.0	11.1	88.9
DIST. OF COL.	6,393	77.6	4,962	58.7	2,049	35.6	14.5	49.9
FLORIDA	36,882	24.3	8,964	1.9	8,793	63.4	0.0	36.6
GEORGIA	22,803	40.9	9,325	0.0	9,325	59.2	15.3	25.5
GUAM a/								
HAWAII	2,281	2.6	60	0.0	60	4.4	1.3	94.3
IDAHO	1,403	1.5	21	3.9	21	0.0	0.0	100.0
ILLINOIS	18,165	59.6	10,817	0.0	10,817	40.4	3.1	56.5
INDIANA	18,767	74.8	14,034	0.1	14,020	28.9	6.4	64.7
IOWA	5,336	43.6	2,325	5.1	2,207	70.5	16.5	13.0
KANSAS	4,806	51.3	2,468	0.0	2,468	48.1	0.9	51.0
KENTUCKY	16,543	41.5	6,864	1.8	6,741	88.2	0.0	11.8
LOUISIANA	8,413	36.8	3,094	0.0	3,094	0.0	0.0	100.0
MAINE	2,458	48.4	1,189	5.1	1,129	92.9	0.0	7.1
MARYLAND	9,991	0.0	--					
MASSACHUSETTS	18,386	100.0	18,386	8.6	16,805	58.5	14.1	27.4
MICHIGAN	27,400	40.1	10,998	0.0	10,998	51.4	11.2	37.4
MINNESOTA	9,288	57.7	5,355	0.9	5,307	67.3	29.2	3.5
MISSISSIPPI	6,886	56.6	3,896	1.6	3,834	99.6	0.0	0.4
MISSOURI	10,925	1.2	136	0.0	136	0.0	0.0	100.0
MONTANA	1,206	0.0	--					
NEBRASKA	3,542	53.1	1,880	0.2	1,876	44.4	0.0	55.6
NEVADA	3,253	44.2	1,436	0.0	1,436	45.5	51.0	3.5
NEW HAMPSHIRE	2,013	49.7	1,001	7.1	930	79.8	0.0	20.2
NEW JERSEY	11,629	49.7	5,783	0.0	5,783	51.7	0.0	48.3
NEW MEXICO	5,704	71.6	4,086	0.7	4,057	34.1	62.3	3.6
NEW YORK	58,667	62.9	36,881	1.2	36,438	44.8	40.8	14.4
NORTH CAROLINA	18,357	27.5	5,048	1.5	4,973	69.3	22.7	8.0
NORTH DAKOTA	679	37.9	257	29.1	182	19.9	0.0	80.1
OHIO	42,971	34.5	14,809	0.0	14,809	71.1	0.0	28.9
OKLAHOMA	6,297	0.0	--					
OREGON	8,568	46.4	3,971	5.0	3,773	45.9	43.7	10.4
PENNSYLVANIA	28,167	63.7	17,953	13.6	15,511	0.0	0.0	100.0
PUERTO RICO	3,221	41.9	1,351	94.2	78	0.0	13.8	86.2
RHODE ISLAND	2,533	48.5	1,229	0.1	1,228	51.3	0.0	48.7
SOUTH CAROLINA	8,356	38.9	3,248	3.4	3,138	84.1	3.1	12.8
SOUTH DAKOTA	1,827	17.1	312	2.1	306	94.9	0.0	5.1
TENNESSEE	17,502	2.0	346	0.0	346	0.0	0.0	100.0
TEXAS	45,716	65.4	29,917	0.0	29,917	17.8	64.6	17.6
UTAH	2,774	34.7	962	0.0	962	56.9	38.4	4.7
VERMONT	1,142	55.1	629	2.1	616	100.0	0.0	0.0
VIRGIN ISLANDS	133	62.9	83	73.3	22	0.0	45.3	54.7
VIRGINIA	-							
WASHINGTON	19,974	50.2	10,019	0.2	9,999	44.4	54.3	1.3
WEST VIRGINIA	5,309	0.3	15	0.0	15	34.4	0.0	65.6
WISCONSIN	11,238	50.4	5,666	0.0	5,666	95.8	0.0	4.2
WYOMING	239	0.0	--					

NOTES: 'a/' = DATA NOT REPORTED.
'--'= DATA NOT AVAILABLE.
'*' = SANCTIONED PARENTS COULD ALSO RECEIVE SSI BENEFITS OR BE IN UNKNOWN CITIZENSHIP/ALIENAGE STATUS.
'**' = EXCLUDES CASES WHERE THE PARENT HAS BEEN SANCTIONED
SOURCE: NATIONAL TANF DATAFILE AS OF 4/12/2007

Table 10:13

Temporary Assistance for Needy Families - Active Cases
Percent Distribution of TANF Families Receiving Other Assistance
FY 2006

STATE	TOTAL FAMILIES	TYPE OF ASSISTANCE						
		MEDICAL ASSISTANCE	FOOD STAMPS		SUBSIDIZED HOUSING		SUBSIDIZED CHILD CARE	
			PERCENT	MONTHLY AVERAGE	PUBLIC HOUSING	RENT SUBSIDY	FEDERAL	STATE/ LOCAL
U.S. TOTAL	1,802,567	98.9	80.7	$274.80	5.5	11.7	7.8	1.3
ALABAMA	19,162	--	61.0	297.37	11.4	6.6	0.3	0.0
ALASKA	3,614	98.5	79.0	325.51	1.7	5.6	10.0	0.0
ARIZONA	39,369	96.8	79.3	264.33	0.1	0.0	10.6	0.0
ARKANSAS	8,176	--	65.2	256.84	--	--	--	--
CALIFORNIA	449,200	100.0	85.5	256.93	2.6	13.8	1.7	0.3
COLORADO	14,468	b/	68.5	885.42	0.2	0.1	10.3	0.0
CONNECTICUT	18,245	99.0	70.1	195.55	0.7	31.7	--	--
DELAWARE	5,504	100.0	73.4	245.11	13.5	0.0	18.9	11.9
DIST. OF COL.	15,717	100.0	83.2	261.71	17.8	8.5	10.5	0.0
FLORIDA	52,470	100.0	60.1	251.23	3.1	8.4	20.1	0.0
GEORGIA	30,232	93.5	62.2	205.46	5.0	4.9	--	--
GUAM a/								
HAWAII	6,953	100.0	78.2	399.35	3.5	12.5	9.8	1.2
IDAHO	1,818	97.7	44.0	223.87	0.0	1.4	6.4	0.0
ILLINOIS	36,573	99.9	67.7	291.63	--	--	0.0	7.2
INDIANA	42,471	99.3	88.6	288.80	7.8	10.6	10.2	0.0
IOWA	16,675	67.5	76.3	284.03	0.4	1.2	19.8	0.0
KANSAS	17,226	100.0	82.8	307.15	4.5	11.2	13.7	0.0
KENTUCKY	33,092	99.6	75.0	230.22	1.3	3.0	9.9	0.0
LOUISIANA	11,916	100.0	84.7	264.50	9.0	7.4	9.9	0.0
MAINE	9,258	99.7	92.3	244.31	6.5	2.4	12.8	0.0
MARYLAND	20,341	100.0	69.1	263.78	9.5	16.1	--	--
MASSACHUSETTS	46,582	100.0	80.7	261.15	32.8	17.8	0.0	24.5
MICHIGAN	82,953	98.5	79.2	296.49	0.1	14.7	13.6	0.0
MINNESOTA	27,479	96.7	99.8	313.41	0.0	30.4	9.9	0.0
MISSISSIPPI	13,416	100.0	83.0	208.73	0.2	4.0	--	--
MISSOURI	38,748	98.4	81.5	294.01	7.2	8.5	9.7	0.0
MONTANA	3,812	99.5	83.3	290.41	3.8	20.2	0.0	0.0
NEBRASKA	10,097	100.0	82.1	297.73	2.1	0.6	21.4	0.0
NEVADA	5,399	99.9	57.9	293.30	0.0	22.0	4.8	0.0
NEW HAMPSHIRE	6,105	100.0	77.7	268.78	4.7	21.7	17.3	0.0
NEW JERSEY	40,832	98.0	83.8	286.97	4.9	18.0	9.1	0.0
NEW MEXICO	16,896	98.1	91.7	300.40	13.9	0.0	6.8	0.0
NEW YORK	134,900	100.0	82.3	271.20	9.1	13.5	7.0	0.0
NORTH CAROLINA	30,172	100.0	64.7	223.59	10.6	8.8	7.9	1.4
NORTH DAKOTA	2,678	97.9	82.1	321.46	12.2	66.0	25.5	0.0
OHIO	79,485	99.8	71.6	231.19	5.1	5.4	6.0	0.0
OKLAHOMA	10,203	100.0	b/	b/	0.0	19.4	20.3	0.0
OREGON	18,496	96.4	70.4	302.04	5.5	13.8	8.1	0.0
PENNSYLVANIA	94,696	100.0	82.5	328.66	8.5	11.6	17.2	0.0
PUERTO RICO	14,325	99.3	96.9	265.58	24.2	10.9	0.1	0.0
RHODE ISLAND	9,688	100.0	90.2	244.94	8.5	18.1	1.1	10.2
SOUTH CAROLINA	15,678	100.0	76.6	235.92	10.0	11.6	16.6	0.0
SOUTH DAKOTA	2,823	100.0	66.2	247.60	33.4	0.0	5.1	0.0
TENNESSEE	68,129	100.0	90.3	302.03	0.9	1.8	0.0	6.9
TEXAS	71,766	100.0	87.0	271.23	7.2	12.8	10.1	0.0
UTAH	7,463	100.0	76.7	303.62	--	--	16.4	0.0
VERMONT	4,429	100.0	84.7	288.05	6.2	21.6	19.6	1.5
VIRGIN ISLANDS	433	77.3	90.1	427.46	38.9	27.4	2.6	0.0
VIRGINIA	9,133	73.6	95.5	277.13	--	--	26.0	0.0
WASHINGTON	54,167	99.8	82.2	270.35	0.0	17.7	16.3	0.0
WEST VIRGINIA	10,864	98.8	81.5	281.70	7.1	11.0	8.2	0.0
WISCONSIN	17,949	89.3	65.1	241.87	1.4	3.3	14.8	0.0
WYOMING	291	90.0	48.8	287.80	0.0	4.0	0.0	0.0

NOTES: 'a/' = DATA NOT REPORTED.
'b/' = DATA REPORTED BUT NOT RELIABLE.
'--' = DATA NOT AVAILABLE.
SOURCE: NATIONAL TANF DATAFILE AS OF 4/12/2007

Table 10:14

Temporary Assistance for Needy Families - Active Cases
Percent Distribution of TANF Families with Receipt of Child Support and with Cash Resources
FY 2006

STATE	TOTAL FAMILIES	CHILD SUPPORT		CASH RESOURCES	
		PERCENT	MONTHLY AVERAGE	PERCENT	MONTHLY AVERAGE
U.S. TOTAL	1,802,567	10.0	$182.25	11.4	$236.06
ALABAMA	19,162	3.8	105.56	4.9	56.69
ALASKA	3,614	17.6	48.09	53.7	226.29
ARIZONA	39,369	9.7	283.76	16.7	94.21
ARKANSAS	8,176	--	--	--	--
CALIFORNIA	449,200	6.8	104.66	8.1	264.35
COLORADO	14,468	12.6	132.82	11.8	273.87
CONNECTICUT	18,245	15.7	241.44	23.1	307.95
DELAWARE	5,504	17.4	181.41	6.9	157.51
DIST. OF COL.	15,717	5.3	328.35	4.3	246.11
FLORIDA	52,470	19.6	255.19	3.6	184.28
GEORGIA	30,232	20.5	238.63	23.7	126.00
GUAM a/					
HAWAII	6,953	--	--	--	--
IDAHO	1,818	27.7	244.91	13.1	188.93
ILLINOIS	36,573	1.8	111.0	--	--
INDIANA	42,471	6.0	186.60	28.4	186.74
IOWA	16,675	22.4	189.26	1.6	197.29
KANSAS	17,226	12.4	152.38	--	--
KENTUCKY	33,092	16.5	256.04	5.7	255.02
LOUISIANA	11,916	12.6	199.21	4.6	92.77
MAINE	9,258	19.7	235.70	24.0	111.07
MARYLAND	20,341	10.9	201.42	14.4	158.83
MASSACHUSETTS	46,582	--	--	--	--
MICHIGAN	82,953	10.7	165.27	--	--
MINNESOTA	27,479	15.3	264.07	22.1	290.24
MISSISSIPPI	13,416	0.3	126.27	2.3	170.21
MISSOURI	38,748	3.6	184.37	11.9	137.53
MONTANA	3,812	18.5	218.60	17.8	158.99
NEBRASKA	10,097	18.7	222.37	27.3	153.04
NEVADA	5,399	5.8	223.01	21.9	245.52
NEW HAMPSHIRE	6,105	21.7	268.52	18.2	178.90
NEW JERSEY	40,832	14.5	169.29	0.5	454.53
NEW MEXICO	16,896	4.8	135.25	15.1	148.25
NEW YORK	134,900	4.2	271.62	3.5	478.51
NORTH CAROLINA	30,172	3.7	154.65	5.0	344.59
NORTH DAKOTA	2,678	3.5	258.26	27.2	242.89
OHIO	79,485	--	--	--	--
OKLAHOMA	10,203	5.8	231.68	4.2	151.80
OREGON	18,496	8.1	171.3	3.7	130.9
PENNSYLVANIA	94,696	11.5	130.11	16.1	176.47
PUERTO RICO	14,325	--	--	--	--
RHODE ISLAND	9,688	15.6	312.93	28.1	199.25
SOUTH CAROLINA	15,678	19.5	214.04	15.0	99.10
SOUTH DAKOTA	2,823	20.0	252.42	6.7	156.99
TENNESSEE	68,129	15.1	309.76	18.6	138.99
TEXAS	71,766	8.0	222.29	16.8	92.77
UTAH	7,463	13.1	196.13	2.4	261.83
VERMONT	4,429	19.4	51.45	28.4	136.49
VIRGIN ISLANDS	433	11.7	125.77	34.2	177.47
VIRGINIA	9,133	24.3	177.36	--	--
WASHINGTON	54,167	22.9	233.69	17.2	217.70
WEST VIRGINIA	10,864	3.4	217.70	33.0	197.74
WISCONSIN	17,949	9.2	222.35	5.7	148.00
WYOMING	291	8.6	171.69	13.1	212.22

NOTES: 'a/' = DATA NOT REPORTED.
'--' = DATA NOT AVAILABLE OR REPORTED IN ERROR.
SOURCE: NATIONAL TANF DATAFILE AS OF 4/12/2007

Table 10:15

Temporary Assistance for Needy Families - Active Cases
Percent Distribution of TANF Families by General Reason for Grant Reduction
FY 2006

STATE	TOTAL FAMILIES	SANCTION		RECOUPMENT*		OTHER**	
		PERCENT	MONTHLY AVERAGE	PERCENT	MONTHLY AVERAGE	PERCENT	MONTHLY AVERAGE
U.S. TOTAL	1,802,567	5.6	$136.63	7.9	$33.38	9.1	$148.38
ALABAMA	19,162	9.4	57.74	1.7	29.74	0.0	0.00
ALASKA	3,614	8.4	513.12	2.3	79.17	2.1	356.23
ARIZONA	39,369	1.4	94.19	0.2	21.10	23.0	97.31
ARKANSAS	8,176	18.6	41.40	0.0	0.00	0.0	0.00
CALIFORNIA	449,200	9.9	164.32	15.0	26.03	18.4	198.83
COLORADO	14,468	1.1	111.25	3.9	19.89	0.0	0.00
CONNECTICUT	18,245	0.0	0.00	2.7	23.93	4.2	56.90
DELAWARE	5,504	3.4	111.70	2.3	29.78	11.0	99.38
DIST. OF COL.	15,717	20.2	73.61	7.1	43.73	0.0	0.00
FLORIDA	52,470	0.7	137.08	10.6	13.07	2.6	44.54
GEORGIA	30,232	0.7	95.88	3.8	16.22	2.0	44.43
GUAM a/							
HAWAII	6,953	0.0	0.00	7.0	54.65	34.3	139.01
IDAHO	1,818	0.2	73.42	0.0	0.00	0.0	0.00
ILLINOIS	36,573	2.4	180.64	3.9	27.85	3.0	87.51
INDIANA	42,471	3.9	90.00	0.9	20.14	39.5	10.69
IOWA	16,675	5.5	106.97	2.3	22.07	0.0	0.00
KANSAS	17,226	0.0	0.00	3.7	43.31	0.0	0.00
KENTUCKY	33,092	3.6	88.64	0.9	23.27	0.0	0.00
LOUISIANA	11,916	0.0	0.00	0.9	14.67	2.6	95.94
MAINE	9,258	7.5	233.25	2.5	62.76	0.0	0.00
MARYLAND	20,341	10.1	35.22	2.6	40.38	25.6	60.23
MASSACHUSETTS	46,582	3.7	104.52	4.4	64.31	19.7	132.99
MICHIGAN	82,953	0.3	510.68	1.9	29.11	0.0	0.00
MINNESOTA	27,479	4.9	173.96	15.9	29.13	8.1	99.70
MISSISSIPPI	13,416	1.6	23.90	1.6	26.26	16.3	28.73
MISSOURI	38,748	7.1	64.76	4.3	23.62	0.0	0.00
MONTANA	3,812	2.2	72.60	0.0	53.00	3.6	106.40
NEBRASKA	10,097	2.2	79.33	4.4	39.05	3.5	0.00
NEVADA	5,399	0.0	0.00	1.0	50.85	0.0	0.00
NEW HAMPSHIRE	6,105	63.7	14.97	3.0	40.05	0.0	0.00
NEW JERSEY	40,832	5.0	140.31	8.6	34.34	12.1	88.62
NEW MEXICO	16,896	7.0	131.08	1.4	51.97	0.9	50.27
NEW YORK	134,900	8.4	149.73	24.9	44.47	0.0	0.00
NORTH CAROLINA	30,172	1.0	208.57	0.8	24.41	0.0	0.00
NORTH DAKOTA	2,678	2.9	193.51	11.4	35.55	14.7	65.33
OHIO	79,485	0.0	153.00	1.6	38.68	3.6	242.78
OKLAHOMA	10,203	4.7	0.00	2.7	18.99	0.0	0.00
OREGON	18,496	2.9	93.25	2.3	49.25	0.0	0.00
PENNSYLVANIA	94,696	3.2	106.73	1.8	28.34	0.0	0.00
PUERTO RICO	14,325	11.5	47.74	0.3	95.19	0.2	14.50
RHODE ISLAND	9,688	6.5	101.38	4.0	44.05	0.0	0.00
SOUTH CAROLINA	15,678	2.3	60.87	1.5	16.42	4.6	905.24
SOUTH DAKOTA	2,823	1.8	140.68	2.2	31.13	2.8	10.00
TENNESSEE	68,129	0.0	0.00	4.0	17.63	3.0	59.50
TEXAS	71,766	0.0	0.00	3.0	154.36	7.9	94.06
UTAH	7,463	3.8	100.00	2.4	42.93	0.0	0.00
VERMONT	4,429	9.6	110.28	2.5	50.10	0.0	0.00
VIRGIN ISLANDS	433	29.1	46.68	0.4	18.47	0.0	0.00
VIRGINIA	9,133	3.6	73.52	1.7	30.19	11.2	76.32
WASHINGTON	54,167	10.0	188.75	2.6	33.24	15.8	181.42
WEST VIRGINIA	10,864	3.6	131.98	3.3	29.76	18.0	75.77
WISCONSIN	17,949	7.9	180.32	0.5	67.87	0.0	0.00
WYOMING	291	4.6	262.16	2.7	19.90	0.0	114.00

NOTES: 'a/' = DATA NOT REPORTED.
'*' = RECOUPMENT OF A PRIOR OVERPAYMENT.
'**' = INCLUDES REASONS SUCH AS A REDUCED BENEFIT BECAUSE FAMILY MOVED INTO THE STATE FROM ANOTHER STATE, OR BECAUSE OF STATE'S FAMILY CAP POLICY.
SOURCE: NATIONAL TANF DATAFILE AS OF 4/12/2007

Table 10:16

Temporary Assistance for Needy Families - Active Cases
Percent Distribution of TANF Families by Specific Reason* for Grant Reduction
FY 2006

STATE	TOTAL FAMILIES	WORK REQUIRE-MENT	TEEN PARENT NOT IN SCHOOL	NO-COOP. CHILD SUPPORT	FAILURE COMPLY WITH OTHERS	OTHER SANCTION	RECOUP-MENT	FAMILY CAP	LENGTH RECEIVING ASSISTANCE	OTHER NON-SANCTION
U.S. TOTAL	1,802,567	3.4	0.1	1.0	0.5	0.7	7.9	5.8	2.3	1.7
ALABAMA	19,162	4.0	0.8	4.5	0.0	0.0	1.7	0.0	0.0	0.0
ALASKA	3,614	4.7	4.5	0.8	3.4	0.2	2.3	0.0	0.0	2.1
ARIZONA	39,369	0.8	0.0	0.6	0.0	0.0	0.2	20.7	0.0	2.9
ARKANSAS	8,176	5.0	0.0	3.2	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
CALIFORNIA	449,200	6.4	0.0	1.0	1.2	1.2	15.0	14.0	5.4	1.0
COLORADO	14,468	0.4	0.0	0.2	0.0	0.5	3.9	0.0	0.0	0.0
CONNECTICUT	18,245	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	2.7	4.2	0.0	0.0
DELAWARE	5,504	1.7	0.0	0.0	1.8	0.0	2.3	9.9	0.0	1.5
DIST. OF COL.	15,717	19.5	0.0	0.6	0.0	0.1	7.1	0.0	0.0	0.0
FLORIDA	52,470	0.6	0.0	0.1	0.0	0.1	10.6	2.3	0.0	0.3
GEORGIA	30,232	0.6	0.0	0.1	0.0	0.0	3.8	1.9	0.0	0.1
GUAM a/										
HAWAII	6,953	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	7.0	0.0	34.1	0.6
IDAHO	1,818	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.2	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
ILLINOIS	36,573	1.8	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.6	3.9	3.0	0.0	0.0
INDIANA	42,471	0.7	0.0	1.9	1.4	0.1	0.9	16.5	22.4	11.3
IOWA	16,675	0.0	0.0	5.5	0.0	0.0	2.3	0.0	0.0	0.0
KANSAS	17,226	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	3.7	0.0	0.0	0.0
KENTUCKY	33,092	2.2	0.0	1.7	0.0	0.1	0.9	0.0	0.0	0.0
LOUISIANA	11,916	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.9	0.0	0.0	2.6
MAINE	9,258	6.2	0.0	1.3	0.0	0.1	2.5	0.0	0.0	0.0
MARYLAND	20,341	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	10.1	2.6	0.0	0.0	25.6
MASSACHUSETTS	46,582	3.4	0.3	0.0	0.0	0.0	4.4	19.7	0.0	0.0
MICHIGAN	82,953	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.3	1.9	0.0	0.0	0.0
MINNESOTA	27,479	1.2	0.6	0.8	2.5	0.0	15.9	8.1	0.0	0.0
MISSISSIPPI	13,416	0.0	0.1	0.0	0.0	1.4	1.6	16.3	0.0	0.0
MISSOURI	38,748	5.7	0.0	1.3	0.0	0.0	4.3	0.0	0.0	0.0
MONTANA	3,812	1.9	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	3.4
NEBRASKA a/	10,097	0.0	0.5	1.8	0.0	0.0	4.4	3.5	0.0	0.0
NEVADA	5,399	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	1.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
NEW HAMPSHIRE	6,105	6.9	0.0	63.3	0.0	0.0	3.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
NEW JERSEY	40,832	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	5.0	8.6	12.1	0.0	0.0
NEW MEXICO	16,896	6.3	0.0	0.7	0.0	0.0	1.4	0.0	0.6	0.0
NEW YORK	134,900	8.0	0.0	0.5	0.0	0.2	24.9	0.0	0.0	0.0
NORTH CAROLINA	30,172	0.2	0.0	0.5	0.3	0.1	0.8	0.0	0.0	0.0
NORTH DAKOTA	2,678	2.9	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	11.4	8.6	0.0	7.2
OHIO	79,485	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	1.6	0.0	0.0	3.6
OKLAHOMA	10,203	0.0	0.0	3.8	0.0	1.0	2.7	0.0	0.0	0.0
OREGON	18,496	0.0	0.0	0.2	2.7	0.0	2.3	0.0	0.0	0.0
PENNSYLVANIA	94,696	1.2	0.0	1.9	0.0	0.1	1.8	0.0	0.0	0.0
PUERTO RICO	14,325	8.8	0.0	0.1	2.3	0.2	0.3	0.0	0.0	0.0
RHODE ISLAND	9,688	6.5	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	4.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
SOUTH CAROLINA	15,678	0.4	0.2	1.2	0.0	0.8	1.5	3.0	0.0	1.7
SOUTH DAKOTA	2,823	1.2	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.6	2.2	0.0	0.0	2.8
TENNESSEE	68,129	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	4.0	3.0	0.0	0.0
TEXAS	71,766	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	3.0	0.0	7.9	0.0
UTAH	7,463	0.0	0.0	0.0	3.8	0.0	2.4	0.0	0.0	0.0
VERMONT	4,429	7.0	0.0	3.1	0.0	0.0	2.5	0.0	0.0	0.0
VIRGIN ISLANDS	433	2.4	0.2	2.3	20.7	4.9	0.4	0.0	0.0	0.0
VIRGINIA	9,133	0.0	0.0	0.6	0.0	3.3	1.7	11.2	0.0	0.0
WASHINGTON	54,167	6.7	0.0	1.4	0.0	2.0	2.6	0.0	0.0	15.8
WEST VIRGINIA	10,864	2.4	0.1	0.5	1.2	0.0	3.3	0.0	0.0	18.0
WISCONSIN	17,949	7.9	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.5	0.0	0.0	0.0
WYOMING	291	3.2	0.0	0.8	0.0	0.6	2.7	0.0	0.0	0.0

NOTES: THIS CONTINUED TABLE DOES NOT INCLUDE UNKNOWN REASON FOR A REDUCTION IN ASSISTANCE.
'*' = SOME RECEIVED A REDUCTION IN ASSISTANCE FOR TWO OR MORE REASONS.
'a/' = DATA NOT REPORTED.
SOURCE: NATIONAL TANF DATAFILE AS OF 4/12/2007

Table 10:17

Temporary Assistance for Needy Families - Active Cases
Percent Distribution of TANF Families by Federal Time Limit Exemption Status
FY 2006

STATE	TOTAL FAMILIES	FEDERAL 5-YEAR TIME LIMIT EXEMPTION STATUS			
			HEAD OF HOUSEHOLD OR SPOUSE RECEIVING ASSISTANCE		
				INCLUDED BUT EXEMPT FROM	
		NOT EXEMPT	NOT INCLUDED EXEMPT	ACCRUAL OF MONTHS	TERMINATION OF ASSISTANCE
U.S. TOTAL	1,802,567	46.5	44.7	3.9	4.9
ALABAMA	19,162	51.2	48.8	0.0	0.0
ALASKA	3,614	56.5	29.7	10.6	3.3
ARIZONA	39,369	50.6	47.9	1.5	0.0
ARKANSAS	8,176	49.1	50.9	0.0	0.0
CALIFORNIA	449,200	39.0	52.3	0.2	8.5
COLORADO	14,468	64.5	35.0	0.0	0.4
CONNECTICUT	18,245	53.1	46.0	0.4	0.5
DELAWARE	5,504	53.5	46.5	0.0	0.0
DIST. OF COL.	15,717	42.6	39.1	0.0	18.3
FLORIDA	52,470	27.8	70.3	1.9	0.0
GEORGIA	30,232	24.4	75.4	0.0	0.2
GUAM a/					
HAWAII	6,953	37.4	33.0	29.5	0.0
IDAHO	1,818	22.8	77.2	0.0	0.0
ILLINOIS	36,573	46.1	49.6	4.3	0.0
INDIANA	42,471	55.8	44.2	0.0	0.0
IOWA	16,675	66.1	32.0	0.0	2.0
KANSAS	17,226	67.1	28.0	0.0	4.9
KENTUCKY	33,092	49.9	50.0	0.0	0.1
LOUISIANA	11,916	29.3	70.6	0.0	0.1
MAINE	9,258	54.3	27.7	0.0	18.0
MARYLAND	20,341	47.7	49.1	3.2	0.0
MASSACHUSETTS	46,582	23.2	39.5	37.3	0.0
MICHIGAN	82,953	54.7	33.4	0.0	11.9
MINNESOTA	27,479	49.1	34.8	7.4	8.8
MISSISSIPPI	13,416	48.6	51.4	0.0	0.0
MISSOURI	38,748	68.9	28.6	0.0	2.4
MONTANA	3,812	47.9	32.0	19.6	0.5
NEBRASKA	10,097	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
NEVADA	5,399	39.7	60.3	0.0	0.0
NEW HAMPSHIRE	6,105	64.1	33.0	0.0	2.9
NEW JERSEY	40,832	61.0	28.5	0.0	10.5
NEW MEXICO	16,896	66.3	33.7	0.0	0.0
NEW YORK	134,900	48.4	46.0	0.0	5.6
NORTH CAROLINA	30,172	39.4	60.4	0.0	0.2
NORTH DAKOTA	2,678	48.0	25.4	26.4	0.2
OHIO	79,485	45.0	55.0	0.0	0.0
OKLAHOMA	10,203	37.7	61.7	0.0	0.6
OREGON	18,496	53.6	46.4	0.0	0.0
PENNSYLVANIA	94,696	55.5	29.5	2.7	12.3
PUERTO RICO	14,325	77.9	22.0	0.0	0.1
RHODE ISLAND	9,688	55.9	26.8	3.5	13.7
SOUTH CAROLINA	15,678	46.9	53.1	0.0	0.0
SOUTH DAKOTA	2,823	14.0	64.8	21.0	0.2
TENNESSEE	68,129	43.1	0.6	56.3	0.0
TEXAS	71,766	36.0	63.7	0.0	0.3
UTAH	7,463	66.4	31.9	0.2	1.6
VERMONT	4,429	74.0	26.0	0.0	0.0
VIRGIN ISLANDS	433	67.5	30.7	0.0	1.8
VIRGINIA	9,133	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
WASHINGTON	54,167	52.9	36.9	2.0	8.2
WEST VIRGINIA	10,864	50.8	49.2	0.0	0.0
WISCONSIN	17,949	34.2	62.6	0.0	3.2
WYOMING	291	17.9	82.1	0.0	0.0

NOTE: 'a/' = DATA NOT REPORTED.
SOURCE: NATIONAL TANF DATAFILE AS OF 4/12/2007

Table 10:18

Temporary Assistance for Needy Families - Active Cases
Percent Distribution of Head of Household or Spouse who Received Assistance
by Number of Months Countable Toward the Federal Time Limit
FY 2006

STATE	H-of-H OR SPOUSE	NUMBER OF MONTHS COUNTABLE TOWARD THE FEDERAL TIME LIMIT							
		EXEMPT*	0	1-12	13-24	25-36	37-48	49-60	OVER 60
U.S. TOTAL	971,867	3.6	3.2	34.3	20.6	14.1	9.4	7.9	6.8
ALABAMA	9,799	0.0	0.1	46.1	26.1	13.5	8.2	5.2	0.9
ALASKA	2,822	5.5	13.6	31.7	19.4	11.5	7.8	6.2	4.2
ARIZONA	20,989	2.3	3.0	39.6	22.7	18.8	13.6	0.0	0.0
ARKANSAS	4,131	0.0	3.8	50.8	31.0	10.0	3.4	0.9	0.1
CALIFORNIA	187,098	0.0	2.0	29.5	19.0	15.7	11.7	16.6	5.6
COLORADO	10,115	0.0	2.3	32.3	20.9	13.1	6.2	3.5	21.6
CONNECTICUT	9,862	0.2	0.6	39.0	28.0	19.0	9.1	3.1	0.9
DELAWARE	2,945	0.0	7.1	55.5	18.2	10.3	6.9	1.9	0.0
DIST. OF COL.	9,300	0.0	1.0	20.3	15.7	11.6	10.5	27.6	13.3
FLORIDA	15,558	6.4	0.0	52.6	19.7	14.3	4.4	1.6	1.0
GEORGIA	7,421	0.0	0.1	47.2	23.9	18.5	8.9	0.6	0.8
GUAM a/									
HAWAII	4,749	38.8	5.2	16.9	13.1	10.1	8.7	7.2	0.0
IDAHO	433	0.0	0.1	80.4	19.4	0.2	0.0	0.0	0.0
ILLINOIS	18,409	8.3	2.0	41.8	22.5	13.9	7.2	4.4	0.0
INDIANA	23,852	0.0	0.4	53.7	28.5	11.7	5.2	0.6	0.0
IOWA	11,544	0.0	0.6	36.3	23.7	16.9	12.2	7.2	2.9
KANSAS	13,377	0.0	0.1	39.5	23.9	14.9	10.1	5.9	5.6
KENTUCKY	17,128	0.0	0.6	37.8	23.5	17.3	12.0	8.3	0.5
LOUISIANA	3,584	0.0	0.0	51.2	27.1	10.3	8.2	2.9	0.4
MAINE	6,871	0.0	0.3	28.2	18.5	15.2	11.1	8.7	18.0
MARYLAND	10,374	6.3	0.0	31.6	17.7	12.1	9.7	7.5	15.1
MASSACHUSETTS	28,465	30.4	33.5	17.1	8.6	5.8	3.5	1.1	0.0
MICHIGAN	58,536	0.0	4.0	30.1	17.3	14.7	9.9	7.1	16.9
MINNESOTA	17,928	4.0	7.5	29.2	16.8	12.4	9.2	7.6	13.4
MISSISSIPPI	6,536	0.0	0.0	50.5	23.0	15.2	8.1	3.0	0.2
MISSOURI	27,510	0.0	0.0	35.6	22.3	17.8	12.8	10.1	1.5
MONTANA	2,848	9.1	20.8	33.5	15.8	10.3	6.3	3.3	0.7
NEBRASKA	6,555	0.0	0.0	5.2	5.8	4.4	3.7	7.0	73.8
NEVADA	2,138	0.0	0.1	55.1	22.0	10.6	7.9	4.0	0.3
NEW HAMPSHIRE	4,197	0.0	1.7	41.7	22.7	14.9	8.6	6.3	4.2
NEW JERSEY	29,203	0.0	1.4	34.3	20.5	13.5	9.0	6.5	14.7
NEW MEXICO	12,352	0.0	0.2	45.0	23.1	14.4	10.8	6.6	0.0
NEW YORK	76,364	0.0	2.2	25.9	18.0	17.8	15.8	10.5	9.9
NORTH CAROLINA	11,482	0.0	0.3	48.7	24.1	13.8	8.1	4.8	0.2
NORTH DAKOTA	2,004	17.4	18.0	31.3	16.2	8.8	6.0	2.1	0.2
OHIO	38,098	0.0	0.1	44.9	28.2	18.7	4.8	2.5	0.8
OKLAHOMA	3,944	0.0	0.0	48.0	21.4	14.4	9.1	5.5	1.6
OREGON	10,408	0.0	0.0	51.4	24.7	22.6	1.3	0.0	0.0
PENNSYLVANIA	68,883	3.3	1.2	26.5	20.0	12.9	10.6	8.8	16.8
PUERTO RICO	11,736	0.0	0.8	33.0	22.5	17.4	17.2	8.9	0.2
RHODE ISLAND	7,245	4.5	1.0	24.0	17.7	13.3	11.6	9.5	18.4
SOUTH CAROLINA	7,612	0.0	0.4	65.9	29.9	3.3	0.3	0.3	0.0
SOUTH DAKOTA	994	0.2	59.5	24.1	9.9	3.3	1.6	0.8	0.6
TENNESSEE	51,088	31.1	12.2	29.9	21.6	3.8	1.2	0.1	0.0
TEXAS	26,021	0.0	0.0	53.1	21.2	13.1	7.1	5.5	0.0
UTAH	4,900	0.0	0.4	46.0	28.7	16.1	4.5	2.2	2.1
VERMONT	3,566	0.0	0.7	36.2	25.3	17.4	12.4	8.0	0.0
VIRGIN ISLANDS	302	0.0	3.7	27.9	19.6	22.1	14.5	9.5	2.6
VIRGINIA	9,242	0.0	1.1	47.0	30.3	11.6	7.4	2.6	0.0
WASHINGTON	38,691	2.5	1.0	34.5	20.7	14.0	9.1	6.6	11.7
WEST VIRGINIA	5,836	0.0	0.0	36.3	24.9	17.8	12.7	8.2	0.0
WISCONSIN	6,771	0.0	0.6	34.5	21.1	16.6	10.3	8.3	8.6
WYOMING	53	0.0	81.6	18.4	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0

NOTE: '*' = PERCENT IN THE TANF FAMILY EXEMPT FROM THE FEDERAL FIVE YEAR TIME LIMIT.
'a/' = DATA NOT REPORTED.
SOURCE: NATIONAL TANF DATAFILE AS OF 4/15/2006

Table 10:19

Temporary Assistance for Needy Families - Active Cases
Percent Distribution of TANF Adult Recipients by Age Group
FY 2006

STATE	TOTAL ADULTS	AGE OF ADULT RECIPIENTS					
		UNDER 20	20-29	30-39	40-49	OVER 49	UNKNOWN
U.S. TOTAL	996,312	7.2	48.5	26.8	14.2	3.3	0.0
ALABAMA	9,869	6.2	63.7	21.1	7.5	1.4	0.0
ALASKA	3,011	4.4	39.3	30.1	20.0	6.2	0.0
ARIZONA	21,424	6.8	42.8	31.3	15.2	3.9	0.0
ARKANSAS	4,191	9.9	56.7	22.6	9.6	1.3	0.0
CALIFORNIA	187,313	6.1	44.1	26.0	18.2	5.7	0.0
COLORADO	10,402	6.7	49.1	28.9	12.2	3.2	0.0
CONNECTICUT	9,886	11.9	60.2	20.6	6.1	1.2	0.0
DELAWARE	2,962	7.0	49.0	27.1	14.9	2.0	0.0
DIST. OF COL.	9,447	5.4	49.6	29.3	13.0	2.7	0.0
FLORIDA	15,654	5.7	43.4	30.8	16.5	3.6	0.0
GEORGIA	7,431	11.3	60.0	19.1	8.5	1.1	0.0
GUAM a/							
HAWAII	4,773	6.0	44.3	28.2	16.3	5.2	0.1
IDAHO	439	6.2	47.1	25.3	18.3	3.2	0.0
ILLINOIS	18,409	12.8	56.3	20.5	9.2	1.2	0.0
INDIANA	24,017	8.4	55.4	23.7	10.4	2.2	0.0
IOWA	11,714	7.5	52.7	27.3	11.2	1.3	0.0
KANSAS	14,039	7.5	52.8	26.4	11.0	2.3	0.0
KENTUCKY	17,441	7.8	58.1	25.1	8.4	0.5	0.0
LOUISIANA	3,585	8.2	61.7	22.0	7.2	0.9	0.0
MAINE	7,017	4.6	46.8	35.5	11.1	1.9	0.0
MARYLAND	10,395	8.2	52.5	24.9	13.5	0.8	0.0
MASSACHUSETTS	28,646	6.6	51.2	27.4	12.6	2.2	0.0
MICHIGAN	60,657	6.3	40.9	32.7	17.1	3.0	0.0
MINNESOTA	18,191	11.6	48.6	23.2	12.9	3.7	0.0
MISSISSIPPI	6,546	10.1	67.8	16.3	5.2	0.6	0.0
MISSOURI	27,823	7.6	57.0	24.2	10.2	1.0	0.0
MONTANA	3,082	7.1	51.1	27.7	12.4	1.6	0.0
NEBRASKA	6,555	8.4	52.7	26.5	10.5	1.8	0.0
NEVADA	2,157	8.5	53.3	27.0	9.8	1.4	0.0
NEW HAMPSHIRE	4,238	4.9	52.3	28.8	12.1	1.9	0.0
NEW JERSEY	29,203	5.9	45.4	30.3	14.8	3.5	0.0
NEW MEXICO	12,368	8.4	50.3	26.7	12.4	2.3	0.0
NEW YORK	87,067	8.1	45.4	24.7	17.6	4.2	0.0
NORTH CAROLINA	12,121	10.7	51.2	25.2	10.8	2.0	0.0
NORTH DAKOTA	2,008	7.5	54.4	23.4	13.0	1.7	0.0
OHIO	40,267	7.7	52.5	25.1	12.2	2.5	0.0
OKLAHOMA	3,944	8.1	52.6	25.6	11.5	2.2	0.0
OREGON	10,664	7.9	38.6	31.0	18.4	4.2	0.0
PENNSYLVANIA	72,058	6.6	50.1	27.1	13.8	2.4	0.0
PUERTO RICO	11,842	4.3	48.6	26.6	14.1	6.0	0.5
RHODE ISLAND	7,430	6.6	49.0	29.1	12.8	2.5	0.0
SOUTH CAROLINA	7,622	12.8	58.8	20.8	6.6	0.9	0.0
SOUTH DAKOTA	996	8.2	47.6	28.3	13.9	1.9	0.0
TENNESSEE	51,444	7.3	50.7	28.6	10.8	2.7	0.0
TEXAS	26,049	6.3	49.8	26.8	13.9	3.2	0.0
UTAH	4,900	5.6	50.3	28.3	12.8	3.1	0.0
VERMONT	3,785	6.4	47.9	30.9	12.7	2.0	0.0
VIRGIN ISLANDS	305	5.7	56.6	23.0	12.0	2.7	0.0
VIRGINIA	9,349	3.3	50.9	32.1	12.5	1.3	0.0
WASHINGTON	38,691	7.2	43.4	30.7	14.7	4.0	0.0
WEST VIRGINIA	5,978	5.6	51.7	29.7	11.4	1.5	0.0
WISCONSIN	6,853	7.4	47.5	27.5	15.7	1.9	0.0
WYOMING	54	4.1	47.9	28.2	16.1	3.7	0.0

NOTE: 'a/' = DATA NOT REPORTED.
SOURCE: NATIONAL TANF DATAFILE AS OF 4/12/2007

Table 10:20

Temporary Assistance for Needy Families - Active Cases
Percent Distribution of TANF Male Adult Recipients by Age Group
FY 2006

STATE	MALE ADULTS	AGE OF MALE ADULT RECIPIENTS					
		UNDER 20	20-29	30-39	40-49	OVER 49	UNKNOWN
U.S. TOTAL	98,436	3.9	25.8	31.9	28.2	10.2	0.0
ALABAMA	315	1.0	15.5	34.5	33.7	15.5	0.0
ALASKA	762	0.6	22.1	33.9	31.1	12.2	0.0
ARIZONA	2,072	0.6	15.8	39.0	35.3	9.3	0.0
ARKANSAS	336	2.0	29.2	37.8	28.3	2.7	0.0
CALIFORNIA	18,282	1.0	18.6	26.8	36.2	17.5	0.0
COLORADO	1,508	2.8	32.8	33.4	21.0	10.0	0.0
CONNECTICUT	312	0.0	36.7	31.4	22.5	9.4	0.0
DELAWARE	143	0.0	19.3	33.3	37.6	9.8	0.0
DIST. OF COL.	406	0.0	19.7	36.9	31.5	11.8	0.0
FLORIDA	798	0.0	4.0	45.2	36.2	14.6	0.0
GEORGIA	115	0.0	12.1	27.1	51.3	9.4	0.0
GUAM a/							
HAWAII	389	2.0	19.4	35.8	28.1	14.7	0.0
IDAHO	47	0.0	23.6	21.3	36.0	19.1	0.0
ILLINOIS	327	4.7	15.5	34.8	31.1	14.0	0.0
INDIANA	2,000	0.0	18.5	42.1	33.7	5.7	0.0
IOWA	1,168	2.1	21.1	42.0	29.7	5.1	0.0
KANSAS	2,240	4.3	37.8	30.6	22.3	5.1	0.0
KENTUCKY	1,696	1.4	32.8	36.9	25.9	3.0	0.1
LOUISIANA	134	1.8	33.8	29.1	28.1	7.2	0.0
MAINE	728	0.6	19.8	49.5	22.1	8.0	0.0
MARYLAND	288	0.0	19.0	48.4	28.7	3.9	0.0
MASSACHUSETTS	1,816	0.0	13.2	35.2	40.1	11.6	0.0
MICHIGAN	8,903	1.2	24.9	38.0	29.3	6.5	0.0
MINNESOTA	1,590	0.9	27.1	30.9	28.5	12.6	0.0
MISSISSIPPI	147	0.0	30.0	48.6	17.8	3.6	0.0
MISSOURI	1,778	1.6	26.0	39.3	29.4	3.7	0.0
MONTANA	643	4.1	42.4	30.4	19.9	3.2	0.0
NEBRASKA	443	5.4	29.4	37.3	22.9	5.0	0.0
NEVADA	145	2.0	21.4	41.8	29.3	5.4	0.0
NEW HAMPSHIRE	461	2.0	23.0	39.7	29.7	5.6	0.0
NEW JERSEY	1,310	0.0	23.0	33.4	36.2	7.4	0.0
NEW MEXICO	1,835	2.6	34.3	36.9	21.2	5.0	0.0
NEW YORK	12,717	16.7	35.7	20.2	18.3	9.1	0.0
NORTH CAROLINA	734	1.5	22.0	27.7	34.2	14.5	0.0
NORTH DAKOTA	146	0.0	24.5	36.0	37.6	1.9	0.0
OHIO	6,080	1.4	32.7	34.3	24.7	7.0	0.0
OKLAHOMA	187	0.5	13.2	44.2	26.5	15.6	0.0
OREGON	1,446	3.5	17.5	28.7	37.9	12.4	0.0
PENNSYLVANIA	7,804	7.5	27.2	33.9	21.9	9.4	0.0
PUERTO RICO	933	1.7	14.2	37.6	27.2	19.2	0.2
RHODE ISLAND	622	1.2	24.9	37.5	27.0	9.4	0.0
SOUTH CAROLINA	453	4.2	47.2	25.2	18.6	4.8	0.0
SOUTH DAKOTA	81	1.7	19.4	25.8	44.6	8.4	0.0
TENNESSEE	2,225	4.7	16.3	26.3	42.2	10.5	0.0
TEXAS	1,697	0.0	17.3	25.1	39.5	18.1	0.0
UTAH	465	0.2	22.9	36.2	30.3	10.4	0.0
VERMONT	740	3.6	35.6	36.4	18.5	5.9	0.0
VIRGIN ISLANDS	10	2.0	0.0	30.7	38.2	29.0	0.0
VIRGINIA	524	3.9	16.1	38.7	34.6	6.7	0.0
WASHINGTON	7,189	1.8	32.0	36.8	21.5	8.0	0.0
WEST VIRGINIA	867	0.5	23.5	42.1	28.8	5.2	0.0
WISCONSIN	355	2.0	21.4	37.7	28.7	10.3	0.0
WYOMING	21	4.7	46.5	29.1	13.8	5.9	0.0

NOTE: 'a/' = DATA NOT REPORTED.
SOURCE: NATIONAL TANF DATAFILE AS OF 4/12/2007

Table 10:21

Temporary Assistance for Needy Families - Active Cases
Percent Distribution of TANF Female Adult Recipients by Age Group
FY 2006

STATE	TOTAL FEMALE ADULTS	AGE OF FEMALE ADULT RECIPIENTS					
		UNDER 20	20-29	30-39	40-49	OVER 49	UNKNOWN
U.S. TOTAL	897,876	7.6	51.0	26.3	12.6	2.5	0.0
ALABAMA	9,554	6.4	65.3	20.6	6.7	1.0	0.0
ALASKA	2,249	5.7	45.1	28.8	16.3	4.1	0.0
ARIZONA	19,353	7.5	45.7	30.5	13.0	3.3	0.0
ARKANSAS	3,855	10.5	59.1	21.2	8.0	1.2	0.0
CALIFORNIA	169,030	6.7	46.8	25.9	16.3	4.4	0.0
COLORADO	8,894	7.4	51.8	28.1	10.7	2.0	0.0
CONNECTICUT	9,574	12.3	61.0	20.3	5.5	0.9	0.0
DELAWARE	2,820	7.4	50.5	26.8	13.7	1.6	0.0
DIST. OF COL.	9,040	5.7	50.9	29.0	12.1	2.3	0.0
FLORIDA	14,856	6.0	45.5	30.0	15.5	3.0	0.0
GEORGIA	7,316	11.5	60.8	18.9	7.9	0.9	0.0
GUAM a/							
HAWAII	4,384	6.4	46.5	27.5	15.2	4.3	0.1
IDAHO	391	7.0	49.9	25.8	16.1	1.2	0.0
ILLINOIS	18,082	12.9	57.0	20.2	8.8	1.0	0.0
INDIANA	22,017	9.1	58.8	22.0	8.2	1.9	0.0
IOWA	10,546	8.1	56.2	25.7	9.1	0.9	0.0
KANSAS	11,799	8.1	55.7	25.6	8.8	1.7	0.0
KENTUCKY	15,745	8.5	60.8	23.9	6.5	0.3	0.0
LOUISIANA	3,450	8.5	62.8	21.8	6.4	0.6	0.0
MAINE	6,289	5.1	50.0	33.9	9.8	1.2	0.0
MARYLAND	10,107	8.5	53.5	24.3	13.1	0.7	0.0
MASSACHUSETTS	26,830	7.0	53.7	26.9	10.8	1.6	0.0
MICHIGAN	51,754	7.2	43.6	31.8	15.1	2.4	0.0
MINNESOTA	16,600	12.7	50.6	22.5	11.4	2.8	0.0
MISSISSIPPI	6,399	10.3	68.7	15.6	4.9	0.6	0.0
MISSOURI	26,045	8.0	59.1	23.1	8.8	0.9	0.0
MONTANA	2,439	7.9	53.4	27.0	10.4	1.2	0.0
NEBRASKA	6,112	8.7	54.4	25.7	9.6	1.6	0.0
NEVADA	2,011	9.0	55.6	25.9	8.3	1.1	0.0
NEW HAMPSHIRE	3,776	5.2	55.9	27.5	10.0	1.5	0.0
NEW JERSEY	27,893	6.2	46.5	30.2	13.8	3.4	0.0
NEW MEXICO	10,533	9.4	53.0	24.9	10.8	1.8	0.0
NEW YORK	74,349	6.7	47.0	25.5	17.4	3.3	0.0
NORTH CAROLINA	11,387	11.3	53.1	25.0	9.3	1.2	0.0
NORTH DAKOTA	1,862	8.1	56.8	22.4	11.1	1.7	0.0
OHIO	34,186	8.8	56.0	23.4	10.0	1.7	0.0
OKLAHOMA	3,757	8.5	54.5	24.7	10.8	1.5	0.0
OREGON	9,218	8.6	41.9	31.3	15.3	2.9	0.0
PENNSYLVANIA	64,254	6.5	52.9	26.2	12.9	1.5	0.0
PUERTO RICO	10,910	4.5	51.5	25.7	13.0	4.8	0.6
RHODE ISLAND	6,808	7.1	51.2	28.4	11.5	1.9	0.0
SOUTH CAROLINA	7,168	13.4	59.5	20.6	5.8	0.7	0.0
SOUTH DAKOTA	914	8.8	50.1	28.6	11.2	1.4	0.0
TENNESSEE	49,218	7.4	52.2	28.7	9.4	2.3	0.0
TEXAS	24,353	6.7	52.1	26.9	12.2	2.2	0.0
UTAH	4,435	6.2	53.1	27.4	11.0	2.3	0.0
VERMONT	3,046	7.1	50.9	29.6	11.3	1.1	0.0
VIRGIN ISLANDS	295	5.8	58.5	22.7	11.2	1.8	0.0
VIRGINIA	8,825	3.3	53.0	31.7	11.1	0.9	0.0
WASHINGTON	31,502	8.5	46.0	29.4	13.1	3.0	0.0
WEST VIRGINIA	5,111	6.5	56.5	27.6	8.5	0.8	0.0
WISCONSIN	6,498	7.7	48.9	27.0	15.0	1.4	0.0
WYOMING	33	3.7	48.8	27.7	17.4	2.4	0.0

NOTE: 'a/' = DATA NOT REPORTED.
SOURCE: NATIONAL TANF DATAFILE AS OF 4/12/2007

Table 10:22

Temporary Assistance for Needy Families - Active Cases
Percent Distribution of TANF Adult Recipients by Ethnicity/Race
FY 2006

STATE	TOTAL ADULTS	HISPANIC*	WHITE	AFRICAN-AMERICAN	NATIVE AMERICAN	ASIAN	HAWAIIAN	MULTI-RACIAL	UNKNOWN
U.S. TOTAL	996,312	19.9	37.9	37.2	1.5	1.7	0.5	0.4	0.8
ALABAMA	9,869	0.6	27.1	71.8	0.3	0.0	0.0	0.2	0.0
ALASKA	3,011	3.6	51.8	6.9	28.1	4.8	3.1	1.6	0.0
ARIZONA	21,424	41.8	32.8	13.6	10.9	0.4	0.3	0.2	0.0
ARKANSAS	4,191	1.0	37.7	60.6	0.3	0.0	0.1	0.0	0.3
CALIFORNIA	187,313	39.6	30.4	23.2	0.6	5.0	0.8	0.4	0.0
COLORADO	10,402	12.0	78.9	7.2	0.8	0.2	0.4	0.5	0.0
CONNECTICUT	9,886	37.7	29.6	32.0	0.2	0.6	0.0	0.0	0.0
DELAWARE	2,962	7.2	29.2	63.4	0.0	0.1	0.0	0.1	0.0
DIST. OF COL.	9,447	1.1	0.3	98.2	0.1	0.3	0.0	0.0	0.0
FLORIDA	15,654	25.1	25.5	48.6	0.4	0.0	0.3	0.0	0.0
GEORGIA	7,431	0.7	11.8	87.2	0.0	0.3	0.0	0.0	0.0
GUAM a/									
HAWAII	4,773	6.0	21.7	1.7	0.3	16.8	39.2	14.2	0.0
IDAHO	439	11.5	83.4	2.3	1.7	0.2	0.1	0.9	0.0
ILLINOIS	18,409	6.9	16.7	75.7	0.1	0.3	0.0	0.2	0.0
INDIANA	24,017	5.3	57.7	35.7	0.1	0.1	0.0	1.2	0.0
IOWA	11,714	3.2	63.2	14.5	0.9	0.4	0.0	0.4	17.3
KANSAS	14,039	9.0	63.0	24.1	1.7	0.6	0.2	0.2	1.3
KENTUCKY	17,441	1.0	70.3	28.4	0.0	0.2	0.1	0.0	0.0
LOUISIANA	3,585	2.1	19.8	77.9	0.0	0.2	0.0	0.0	0.0
MAINE	7,017	0.7	92.6	3.2	1.8	1.0	0.1	0.7	0.0
MARYLAND	10,395	1.3	15.8	82.5	0.3	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.0
MASSACHUSETTS	28,646	32.3	41.8	22.2	0.5	2.0	0.6	0.0	0.6
MICHIGAN	60,657	3.2	47.7	47.5	0.7	0.4	0.0	0.4	0.0
MINNESOTA	18,191	5.0	41.2	38.6	9.0	5.2	0.0	1.0	0.0
MISSISSIPPI	6,546	0.5	14.5	84.9	0.0	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.0
MISSOURI	27,823	2.1	54.1	42.7	0.7	0.4	0.0	0.0	0.0
MONTANA	3,082	3.3	46.8	0.8	48.2	0.0	0.1	0.8	0.0
NEBRASKA	6,555	8.4	46.3	35.8	5.3	0.4	0.1	0.9	2.8
NEVADA	2,157	19.5	34.7	40.1	2.3	2.6	0.0	0.8	0.0
NEW HAMPSHIRE	4,238	5.1	91.7	2.5	0.1	0.4	0.0	0.2	0.0
NEW JERSEY	29,203	24.1	16.0	58.8	0.2	0.6	0.0	0.2	0.0
NEW MEXICO	12,368	62.8	25.4	4.1	7.0	0.0	0.6	0.0	0.0
NEW YORK	87,067	32.3	21.9	42.4	0.2	1.7	0.2	1.3	0.0
NORTH CAROLINA	12,121	1.7	31.2	64.1	1.8	0.9	0.1	0.2	0.0
NORTH DAKOTA	2,008	2.4	34.6	2.0	58.3	0.3	0.0	2.4	0.0
OHIO	40,267	3.2	52.7	43.5	0.1	0.3	0.0	0.2	0.0
OKLAHOMA	3,944	6.5	45.1	35.5	12.4	0.0	0.0	0.6	0.0
OREGON	10,664	6.9	78.2	10.9	2.4	1.6	0.0	0.0	0.1
PENNSYLVANIA	72,058	13.6	37.1	46.2	0.1	1.3	0.0	0.0	1.6
PUERTO RICO	11,842	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
RHODE ISLAND	7,430	24.4	40.7	13.0	0.2	2.6	0.0	0.0	19.1
SOUTH CAROLINA	7,622	0.9	29.3	68.6	0.2	0.1	0.0	0.8	0.0
SOUTH DAKOTA	996	1.6	24.1	2.6	69.6	0.0	0.0	2.1	0.0
TENNESSEE	51,444	1.0	40.2	58.4	0.1	0.2	0.0	0.1	0.0
TEXAS	26,049	40.7	23.3	35.6	0.1	0.3	0.0	0.0	0.0
UTAH	4,900	14.9	74.3	5.7	3.7	1.0	0.4	0.0	0.0
VERMONT	3,785	0.5	96.0	2.9	0.1	0.4	0.0	0.0	0.0
VIRGIN ISLANDS	305	31.2	1.2	67.0	0.5	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.0
VIRGINIA	9,349	3.1	29.8	65.9	0.2	0.5	0.1	0.4	0.0
WASHINGTON	38,691	11.7	61.0	12.7	4.0	2.1	1.4	0.4	6.7
WEST VIRGINIA	5,978	0.3	89.4	9.7	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.6	0.0
WISCONSIN	6,853	9.7	18.9	68.6	0.6	0.2	0.2	0.3	1.5
WYOMING	54	0.0	89.5	6.5	2.8	1.2	0.0	0.0	0.0

NOTES: '*' = CAN BE OF ANY RACE.
'a/' = DATA NOT REPORTED.
SOURCE: NATIONAL TANF DATAFILE AS OF 4/12/2007

Table 10:23

Temporary Assistance for Needy Families - Active Cases
Percent Distribution of TANF Adult Recipients by Marital Status
FY 2006

STATE	TOTAL ADULTS	MARITAL STATUS				
		SINGLE	MARRIED	SEPARATED	WIDOWED	DIVORCED
U.S. TOTAL	996,312	69.6	10.5	11.4	0.6	7.9
ALABAMA	9,869	69.9	3.3	17.3	0.2	9.2
ALASKA	3,011	47.4	27.2	12.1	1.0	12.2
ARIZONA	21,424	58.0	12.7	15.0	0.8	13.6
ARKANSAS	4,191	68.2	12.1	10.9	0.3	8.6
CALIFORNIA	187,313	67.7	7.7	14.4	1.0	9.1
COLORADO	10,402	75.4	17.1	3.7	0.1	3.7
CONNECTICUT	9,886	79.9	4.6	10.2	0.5	4.9
DELAWARE	2,962	78.0	5.5	9.9	0.3	6.4
DIST. OF COL.	9,447	91.4	4.1	3.2	0.1	1.2
FLORIDA	15,654	66.2	8.2	15.6	0.6	9.3
GEORGIA	7,431	82.8	2.6	10.1	0.0	4.5
GUAM a/						
HAWAII	4,773	60.9	6.9	19.2	0.8	12.2
IDAHO	439	47.2	13.0	22.5	1.5	15.8
ILLINOIS	18,409	94.6	2.1	0.2	0.0	3.1
INDIANA	24,017	66.7	10.9	11.1	0.3	11.0
IOWA	11,714	69.7	17.7	5.5	0.3	6.8
KANSAS	14,039	56.9	20.1	10.9	0.4	11.7
KENTUCKY	17,441	60.8	12.0	16.9	0.2	10.0
LOUISIANA	3,585	77.3	4.4	11.7	0.0	6.6
MAINE	7,017	67.5	8.6	10.1	0.5	13.3
MARYLAND	10,395	87.8	2.7	7.3	0.2	2.0
MASSACHUSETTS	28,646	77.9	7.9	8.9	0.5	4.8
MICHIGAN	60,657	61.0	15.4	9.8	0.8	13.0
MINNESOTA	18,191	71.7	4.6	15.0	1.7	6.9
MISSISSIPPI	6,546	80.7	2.6	11.3	0.2	5.2
MISSOURI	27,823	75.8	7.3	8.8	0.3	7.8
MONTANA	3,082	59.3	19.8	9.1	0.1	11.7
NEBRASKA	6,555	68.6	2.7	20.1	0.6	8.0
NEVADA	2,157	69.7	9.3	10.4	0.3	10.3
NEW HAMPSHIRE	4,238	61.0	12.5	12.5	0.3	13.7
NEW JERSEY	29,203	82.0	2.5	11.3	0.4	3.8
NEW MEXICO	12,368	66.9	18.0	10.0	0.4	4.8
NEW YORK	87,067	75.1	13.3	8.3	0.7	2.5
NORTH CAROLINA	12,121	74.3	4.8	14.3	0.5	6.2
NORTH DAKOTA	2,008	65.7	9.9	10.1	0.5	13.8
OHIO	40,267	67.1	17.9	7.8	0.3	6.9
OKLAHOMA	3,944	61.7	10.8	17.6	0.3	9.7
OREGON	10,664	63.7	12.9	11.8	0.4	11.2
PENNSYLVANIA	72,058	74.9	10.5	8.6	0.5	5.5
PUERTO RICO	11,842	75.8	15.4	5.0	0.6	3.2
RHODE ISLAND	7,430	85.0	7.7	5.5	0.0	1.8
SOUTH CAROLINA	7,622	73.9	6.5	14.8	0.5	4.4
SOUTH DAKOTA	996	69.9	10.5	9.5	0.8	9.2
TENNESSEE	51,444	65.8	9.6	13.4	0.4	10.7
TEXAS	26,049	68.0	6.6	17.4	0.3	7.8
UTAH	4,900	45.4	16.0	23.0	0.4	15.3
VERMONT	3,785	62.7	17.8	9.8	0.0	9.8
VIRGIN ISLANDS	305	82.2	2.3	9.2	0.1	6.2
VIRGINIA	9,349	65.6	8.5	16.7	0.7	8.5
WASHINGTON	38,691	52.3	19.7	14.2	0.6	13.2
WEST VIRGINIA	5,978	41.6	25.9	15.3	0.3	16.9
WISCONSIN	6,853	79.8	5.7	7.5	0.3	6.6
WYOMING	54	52.7	16.9	10.9	0.0	19.5

NOTE: 'a/' = DATA NOT REPORTED.
SOURCE: NATIONAL TANF DATAFILE AS OF 4/12/2007

Table 10:24

Temporary Assistance for Needy Families - Active Cases
Percent Distribution of TANF Adults Receiving Disability Payments
FY 2006

STATE	TOTAL ADULTS	YES	NO
U.S. TOTAL	996,312	0.7	99.3
ALABAMA	9,869	0.2	99.8
ALASKA	3,011	0.8	99.2
ARIZONA	21,424	0.6	99.4
ARKANSAS	4,191	0.0	100.0
CALIFORNIA	187,313	0.9	99.1
COLORADO	10,402	1.1	98.9
CONNECTICUT	9,886	0.2	99.8
DELAWARE	2,962	1.9	98.1
DIST. OF COL.	9,447	0.5	99.5
FLORIDA	15,654	0.8	99.2
GEORGIA	7,431	0.2	99.8
GUAM a/			
HAWAII	4,773	0.3	99.7
IDAHO	439	0.3	99.7
ILLINOIS	18,409	0.1	99.9
INDIANA	24,017	0.5	99.5
IOWA	11,714	0.2	99.8
KANSAS	14,039	0.6	99.4
KENTUCKY	17,441	0.2	99.8
LOUISIANA	3,585	0.0	100.0
MAINE	7,017	0.8	99.2
MARYLAND	10,395	0.1	99.9
MASSACHUSETTS	28,646	1.3	98.7
MICHIGAN	60,657	1.5	98.5
MINNESOTA	18,191	0.6	99.4
MISSISSIPPI	6,546	0.1	99.9
MISSOURI	27,823	0.1	99.9
MONTANA	3,082	0.1	99.9
NEBRASKA	6,555	0.6	99.4
NEVADA	2,157	0.2	99.8
NEW HAMPSHIRE	4,238	1.3	98.7
NEW JERSEY	29,203	0.0	100.0
NEW MEXICO	12,368	0.5	99.5
NEW YORK	87,067	2.3	97.7
NORTH CAROLINA	12,121	0.5	99.5
NORTH DAKOTA	2,008	0.2	99.8
OHIO	40,267	0.1	99.9
OKLAHOMA	3,944	0.4	99.6
OREGON	10,664	0.4	99.6
PENNSYLVANIA	72,058	0.4	99.6
PUERTO RICO	11,842	0.1	99.9
RHODE ISLAND	7,430	0.3	99.7
SOUTH CAROLINA	7,622	0.1	99.9
SOUTH DAKOTA	996	0.1	99.9
TENNESSEE	51,444	0.2	99.8
TEXAS	26,049	0.5	99.5
UTAH	4,900	0.4	99.6
VERMONT	3,785	0.5	99.5
VIRGIN ISLANDS	305	0.1	99.9
VIRGINIA	9,349	0.2	99.8
WASHINGTON	38,691	0.4	99.6
WEST VIRGINIA	5,978	0.8	99.2
WISCONSIN	6,853	1.6	98.4
WYOMING	54	0.0	100.0

NOTE: 'a/' = DATA NOT REPORTED.
SOURCE: NATIONAL TANF DATAFILE AS OF 4/12/2007

Table 10:25

Temporary Assistance for Needy Families - Active Cases
Percent Distribution of TANF Adult Recipients by Relationship to the Head of Household
FY 2006

STATE	TOTAL ADULTS	RELATIONSHIP TO THE HEAD OF HOUSEHOLD						
		HEAD OF HOUSEHOLD	SPOUSE	PARENT	CHILD	GRAND-CHILD	OTHER RELATED	UNRELATED
U.S. TOTAL	996,312	94.4	3.3	0.1	0.8	0.1	0.2	1.2
ALABAMA	9,869	98.5	0.8	0.1	0.5	0.0	0.0	0.1
ALASKA	3,011	83.3	10.4	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	6.3
ARIZONA	21,424	95.0	2.9	0.1	0.0	0.0	1.9	0.0
ARKANSAS	4,191	95.2	3.4	0.1	0.0	0.1	0.6	0.6
CALIFORNIA	187,313	99.1	0.8	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.1
COLORADO	10,402	90.4	7.0	0.0	0.3	0.0	0.1	2.1
CONNECTICUT	9,886	99.3	0.5	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.2
DELAWARE	2,962	99.3	0.1	0.0	0.3	0.1	0.0	0.2
DIST. OF COL.	9,447	98.3	1.0	0.0	0.2	0.0	0.0	0.5
FLORIDA	15,654	97.7	1.7	0.2	0.1	0.1	0.0	0.2
GEORGIA	7,431	99.8	0.2	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
GUAM a/								
HAWAII	4,773	97.4	2.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.4	0.1
IDAHO	439	94.5	4.3	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	1.2
ILLINOIS	18,409	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
INDIANA	24,017	97.8	1.5	0.0	0.1	0.1	0.0	0.6
IOWA	11,714	95.9	2.7	1.0	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.4
KANSAS	14,039	87.0	8.3	0.0	0.2	0.0	0.1	4.4
KENTUCKY	17,441	93.9	4.3	0.0	0.2	0.0	0.5	1.0
LOUISIANA	3,585	97.7	2.3	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
MAINE	7,017	94.7	3.4	0.0	0.2	0.0	0.0	1.8
MARYLAND	10,395	99.2	0.6	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.2	0.0
MASSACHUSETTS	28,646	98.3	1.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.6
MICHIGAN	60,657	89.8	6.7	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	3.5
MINNESOTA	18,191	96.8	1.8	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	1.4
MISSISSIPPI	6,546	99.3	0.7	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
MISSOURI	27,823	98.6	0.8	0.0	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.5
MONTANA	3,082	83.5	8.9	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.4	7.1
NEBRASKA	6,555	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
NEVADA	2,157	98.0	1.2	0.1	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.7
NEW HAMPSHIRE	4,238	96.6	2.5	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.9
NEW JERSEY	29,203	99.8	0.2	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
NEW MEXICO	12,368	89.2	10.7	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.1
NEW YORK	87,067	83.3	4.5	0.0	7.0	0.6	1.0	3.7
NORTH CAROLINA	12,121	92.3	2.4	3.0	1.0	0.1	0.6	0.5
NORTH DAKOTA	2,008	99.0	0.8	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.2
OHIO	40,267	90.6	5.7	0.1	0.1	0.0	0.1	3.4
OKLAHOMA	3,944	98.9	1.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
OREGON	10,664	92.3	5.4	1.4	0.2	0.0	0.2	0.6
PENNSYLVANIA	72,058	90.6	5.0	0.0	1.8	0.2	0.1	2.3
PUERTO RICO	11,842	93.3	6.2	0.1	0.2	0.0	0.0	0.3
RHODE ISLAND	7,430	94.8	2.8	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	2.5
SOUTH CAROLINA	7,622	96.0	3.9	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
SOUTH DAKOTA	996	98.9	0.9	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.2
TENNESSEE	51,444	97.7	1.6	0.1	0.4	0.0	0.0	0.2
TEXAS	26,049	99.8	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.1
UTAH	4,900	94.7	5.3	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
VERMONT	3,785	85.6	8.6	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	5.8
VIRGIN ISLANDS	305	98.4	0.5	0.0	0.2	0.1	0.0	0.8
VIRGINIA	9,349	96.5	2.4	0.3	0.4	0.0	0.0	0.5
WASHINGTON	38,691	87.4	12.6	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
WEST VIRGINIA	5,978	90.1	7.6	0.0	0.1	0.0	0.0	2.2
WISCONSIN	6,853	97.8	0.9	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	1.2
WYOMING	54	93.7	3.9	0.0	0.0	0.0	2.2	0.1

NOTE: 'a/' = DATA NOT REPORTED.
SOURCE: NATIONAL TANF DATAFILE AS OF 4/12/2007

Table 10:26

Temporary Assistance for Needy Families - Active Cases
Percent Distribution of TANF Adult Recipients by Educational Level
FY 2006

STATE	TOTAL ADULTS	NO FORMAL EDUCATION	YEARS OF EDUCATION				
			1 - 6	7 - 9	10 - 11	12	MORE THAN 12
U.S. TOTAL	996,312	1.9	1.7	9.4	28.5	53.8	4.8
ALABAMA	9,869	0.2	0.3	12.0	30.8	41.6	15.1
ALASKA	3,011	--	--	--	--	--	--
ARIZONA	21,424	2.3	1.7	14.7	22.6	54.9	3.7
ARKANSAS	4,191	0.4	0.4	7.4	23.7	51.5	16.5
CALIFORNIA	187,313	1.7	2.6	5.5	35.0	52.4	2.9
COLORADO	10,402	--	--	--	--	--	--
CONNECTICUT	9,886	1.6	1.1	12.5	26.5	52.8	5.5
DELAWARE	2,962	0	0.5	4.0	13.7	80.4	1.2
DIST. OF COL.	9,447	0.0	3.1	27.6	36.9	29.8	2.6
FLORIDA	15,654	--	--	--	--	--	--
GEORGIA	7,431	1.1	0.6	9.8	27.2	53.1	8.2
GUAM a/							
HAWAII	4,773	0.0	1.7	4.4	18.1	69.5	6.3
IDAHO	439	--	--	--	--	--	--
ILLINOIS	18,409	0.0	0.9	15.3	24.1	59.0	0.7
INDIANA	24,017	2.7	0.4	10.2	27.0	56.5	3.1
IOWA	11,714	6.4	0.5	10.4	14.3	67.4	1.1
KANSAS	14,039	2.3	1.5	10.0	27.0	51.2	7.9
KENTUCKY	17,441	2.5	1.2	13.4	21.1	60.6	1.2
LOUISIANA	3,585	0.1	0.7	11.5	25.8	61.2	0.7
MAINE	7,017	0.7	0.4	8.7	20.2	67.3	2.7
MARYLAND	10,395	0.0	0.7	10.7	30.8	54.6	3.2
MASSACHUSETTS	28,646	0.5	0.0	6.2	31.2	57.1	4.9
MICHIGAN	60,657	0.1	0.7	7.1	30.0	54.9	7.2
MINNESOTA	18,191	4.0	2.4	7.8	28.2	48.9	8.8
MISSISSIPPI	6,546	0.1	0.7	11.2	27.8	43.8	16.4
MISSOURI	27,823	0.4	0.1	2.2	35.9	51.6	9.9
MONTANA	3,082	0.0	0.1	9.8	24.8	60.7	4.6
NEBRASKA	6,555	-	1.3	3.7	14.3	78.6	2.1
NEVADA	2,157	0.2	1.3	8.9	32.2	45.1	12.3
NEW HAMPSHIRE	4,238	0.3	0.1	9.3	17.4	64.7	8.2
NEW JERSEY	29,203	0.2	2.1	22.4	19.6	52.8	2.9
NEW MEXICO	12,368	0.1	1.8	8.4	21.7	65.8	2.1
NEW YORK	87,067	3.1	2.3	11.7	32.9	47.7	2.3
NORTH CAROLINA	12,121	0.5	0.7	11.3	42.2	41.7	3.6
NORTH DAKOTA	2,008	2.5	0.3	6.1	15.7	67.3	8.2
OHIO	40,267	5.0	0.6	7.4	22.3	58.8	5.8
OKLAHOMA	3,944	1.0	0.7	11.5	26.5	53.5	6.9
OREGON	10,664	0.7	1.3	9.5	26.6	54.0	8.0
PENNSYLVANIA	72,058	2.4	1.3	7.6	25.4	58.6	4.7
PUERTO RICO	11,842	1.2	6.8	22.9	17.3	46.5	5.5
RHODE ISLAND	7,430	0.0	2.0	16.2	27.0	51.6	3.2
SOUTH CAROLINA	7,622	0.3	0.6	11.2	23.6	62.6	1.7
SOUTH DAKOTA	996	0.0	1.3	12.2	25.0	60.9	0.5
TENNESSEE	51,444	5.2	3.2	10.6	26.4	53.0	1.6
TEXAS	26,049	--	--	--	--	--	--
UTAH	4,900	0.0	10.4	5.7	25.9	50.2	7.9
VERMONT	3,785	1.8	0.6	9.8	20.8	62.3	4.7
VIRGIN ISLANDS	305	2.9	2.8	27.4	23.6	35.9	7.3
VIRGINIA	9,349	0.7	0.6	10.4	29.4	56.3	2.5
WASHINGTON	38,691	1.2	2.2	8.5	22.7	49.3	16.0
WEST VIRGINIA	5,978	0.1	0.3	15.8	27.3	43.3	13.1
WISCONSIN	6,853	0.7	0.6	8.1	37.8	52.2	0.6
WYOMING	54	0.0	0.5	6.0	13.9	79.4	0.2

NOTES: 'a/' = DATA NOT REPORTED.
'b/' = DATA REPORTED IN ERROR.
SOURCE: NATIONAL TANF DATAFILE AS OF 4/12/2007

Table 10:27

Temporary Assistance for Needy Families - Active Cases
Percent Distribution of TANF Adult Recipients by Citizenship Status
FY 2006

STATE	TOTAL ADULTS	U.S. CITIZEN	QUALIFIED ALIEN	UNKNOWN
U.S. TOTAL	996,312	94.0	5.9	0.2
ALABAMA	9,869	99.9	0.1	0.0
ALASKA	3,011	94.7	5.3	0.0
ARIZONA	21,424	92.7	7.3	0.0
ARKANSAS	4,191	100.0	0.0	0.0
CALIFORNIA	187,313	87.7	12.3	0.0
COLORADO	10,402	96.6	3.4	0.0
CONNECTICUT	9,886	95.3	4.7	0.0
DELAWARE	2,962	98.1	1.9	0.0
DIST. OF COL.	9,447	98.0	1.6	0.3
FLORIDA	15,654	87.1	12.9	0.0
GEORGIA	7,431	99.4	0.4	0.2
GUAM a/				
HAWAII	4,773	97.9	2.1	0.0
IDAHO	439	96.9	3.1	0.0
ILLINOIS	18,409	99.6	0.3	0.1
INDIANA	24,017	99.1	0.9	0.0
IOWA	11,714	98.6	1.4	0.0
KANSAS	14,039	98.0	2.0	0.0
KENTUCKY	17,441	98.1	1.9	0.0
LOUISIANA	3,585	99.5	0.5	0.0
MAINE	7,017	97.4	2.6	0.0
MARYLAND	10,395	99.0	1.0	0.0
MASSACHUSETTS	28,646	91.1	8.9	0.0
MICHIGAN	60,657	97.4	2.5	0.0
MINNESOTA	18,191	88.2	11.8	0.0
MISSISSIPPI	6,546	100.0	0.0	0.0
MISSOURI	27,823	98.8	1.2	0.0
MONTANA	3,082	99.8	0.2	0.0
NEBRASKA	6,555	96.9	3.1	0.0
NEVADA	2,157	93.7	6.3	0.0
NEW HAMPSHIRE	4,238	97.5	2.5	0.0
NEW JERSEY	29,203	96.2	3.8	0.0
NEW MEXICO	12,368	93.8	6.1	0.1
NEW YORK	87,067	87.9	11.9	0.1
NORTH CAROLINA	12,121	97.6	1.4	1.0
NORTH DAKOTA	2,008	98.6	1.4	0.0
OHIO	40,267	97.5	2.5	0.0
OKLAHOMA	3,944	98.7	1.1	0.2
OREGON	10,664	96.1	3.5	0.4
PENNSYLVANIA	72,058	96.8	3.2	0.0
PUERTO RICO	11,842	99.6	0.4	0.0
RHODE ISLAND	7,430	88.4	10.6	1.0
SOUTH CAROLINA	7,622	99.5	0.5	0.0
SOUTH DAKOTA	996	100.0	0.0	0.0
TENNESSEE	51,444	99.6	0.4	0.0
TEXAS	26,049	95.4	4.6	0.0
UTAH	4,900	94.1	5.9	0.0
VERMONT	3,785	97.7	2.3	0.0
VIRGIN ISLANDS	305	90.2	9.5	0.3
VIRGINIA	9,349	97.8	2.2	0.0
WASHINGTON	38,691	88.9	7.6	3.5
WEST VIRGINIA	5,978	99.9	0.1	0.0
WISCONSIN	6,853	100.0	0.0	0.0
WYOMING	54	98.6	1.4	0.0

NOTE: 'a/' = DATA NOT REPORTED.
SOURCE: NATIONAL TANF DATAFILE AS OF 4/12/2007

Table 10:28

Temporary Assistance for Needy Families - Active Cases
Percent Distribution of TANF Adult Recipients by Work Exemption Status
FY 2006

		DISREGARDED			EXEMPTED BY STATE		REQUIRED TO PARTICIPATE		DEEMED ENGAGED IN WORK	
	TOTAL ADULTS	CHILD UNDER 12 MONTHS	SANCTION/ WAIVER	TRIBAL WORK	PARENT w/CHILD UNDER AGE 6*	DISABLED/ OTHER	DID NOT PARTICIPATE	PARTICIPATED	TEEN PARENT IN EDUCATION	PARENT w/CHILD UNDER AGE 6
U.S. TOTAL	996,312	10.8	2.9	0.3	1.5	13.3	30.0	30.7	0.9	9.5
ALABAMA	9,869	16.0	7.1	0.0	0.0	13.9	20.6	35.1	0.7	6.7
ALASKA	3,011	5.4	5.0	10.2	0.0	7.9	27.2	27.5	0.9	15.9
ARIZONA	21,424	5.8	1.4	4.1	0.0	7.4	45.2	21.9	0.4	13.8
ARKANSAS	4,191	20.9	7.7	0.0	0.1	11.0	31.7	23.1	0.8	4.7
CALIFORNIA	187,313	9.3	0.0	0.0	7.5	13.0	36.6	29.4	0.7	3.5
COLORADO	10,402	11.1	1.3	0.0	0.5	15.8	38.2	14.7	0.9	17.5
CONNECTICUT	9,886	21.3	2.6	0.0	0.1	1.6	52.3	15.8	0.0	6.3
DELAWARE	2,962	2.9	2.6	0.0	0.0	23.3	49.2	8.1	1.7	12.3
DIST. OF COL.	9,447	18.9	0.3	0.0	0.0	5.9	49.5	16.7	0.0	8.7
FLORIDA	15,654	15.9	13.7	0.0	0.0	1.7	28.2	34.0	1.3	5.2
GEORGIA	7,431	22.7	2.3	0.0	0.0	0.0	21.6	22.4	4.3	26.7
GUAM a/										
HAWAII	4,773	22.1	30.7	0.0	0.0	0.0	1.9	25.8	0.5	19.0
IDAHO	439	9.6	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	25.2	52.9	0.0	12.4
ILLINOIS	18,409	30.3	3.3	0.0	0.0	0.1	23.4	39.0	1.7	2.2
INDIANA	24,017	15.7	2.9	0.0	0.0	12.1	37.6	22.8	1.6	7.4
IOWA	11,714	17.1	4.1	0.0	0.0	0.2	30.3	28.5	1.3	18.5
KANSAS	14,039	9.4	0.0	0.0	0.0	2.6	13.9	70.5	1.3	2.2
KENTUCKY	17,441	10.7	5.0	0.0	0.0	6.2	32.6	29.6	2.1	13.9
LOUISIANA	3,585	10.6	0.0	0.0	0.0	13.9	23.4	23.2	2.2	26.7
MAINE	7,017	1.8	1.9	0.2	0.1	16.9	25.7	44.3	1.4	7.8
MARYLAND	10,395	30.8	1.0	0.0	0.3	3.6	0.0	53.9	1.5	9.0
MASSACHUSETTS	28,646	15.2	0.0	0.0	0.1	48.6	19.6	8.9	2.3	5.3
MICHIGAN	60,657	13.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	31.3	29.1	22.5	0.2	3.8
MINNESOTA	18,191	13.2	3.3	4.1	0.0	7.0	20.2	29.8	6.1	16.4
MISSISSIPPI	6,546	32.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	5.5	0.0	41.3	2.3	18.7
MISSOURI	27,823	11.4	1.6	0.0	0.3	4.2	51.2	20.4	0.6	10.3
MONTANA	3,082	7.8	3.3	11.1	0.0	0.1	26.4	31.8	0.3	19.1
NEBRASKA	6,555	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	57.9	39.1	0.4	2.6
NEVADA	2,157	21.4	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.4	12.8	56.6	0.2	8.7
NEW HAMPSHIRE	4,238	15.8	5.1	0.0	0.2	28.2	19.3	13.8	0.9	16.6
NEW JERSEY	29,203	9.8	3.9	0.0	0.0	15.7	38.2	27.5	0.0	5.0
NEW MEXICO	12,368	12.8	4.8	0.0	0.0	12.8	5.8	43.5	0.3	20.0
NEW YORK	87,067	4.8	6.5	0.0	0.0	17.3	32.9	22.0	0.2	16.3
NORTH CAROLINA	12,121	15.9	0.2	0.0	0.0	14.8	2.4	55.5	2.8	8.3
NORTH DAKOTA	2,008	12.0	0.0	21.9	0.0	3.8	20.7	33.3	1.5	6.8
OHIO	40,267	6.9	0.2	0.0	0.0	1.5	25.7	41.8	0.5	23.5
OKLAHOMA	3,944	23.2	0.0	0.0	0.0	1.0	26.1	39.1	0.8	9.8
OREGON	10,664	16.0	2.1	0.0	0.0	35.0	9.4	30.9	2.0	4.7
PENNSYLVANIA	72,058	5.8	0.2	0.0	0.2	18.6	29.0	38.0	0.0	8.1
PUERTO RICO	11,842	4.8	0.1	0.0	1.1	24.3	56.3	12.5	0.0	0.9
RHODE ISLAND	7,430	13.7	2.7	0.0	0.0	15.2	38.7	20.3	0.1	9.3
SOUTH CAROLINA	7,622	11.6	1.8	0.0	0.0	6.4	24.5	27.3	1.3	27.2
SOUTH DAKOTA	996	19.4	0.9	0.0	0.0	0.0	17.1	35.0	2.4	25.2
TENNESSEE	51,444	11.8	16.3	0.0	0.0	4.2	17.1	50.6	0.1	0.0
TEXAS	26,049	6.2	0.1	0.0	0.0	22.9	24.8	16.0	0.7	29.3
UTAH	4,900	0.0	2.8	0.0	0.0	0.2	38.1	51.4	1.2	6.3
VERMONT	3,785	9.3	3.6	0.0	0.2	4.2	48.2	27.1	1.9	5.5
VIRGIN ISLANDS	305	0.0	0.0	0.5	10.8	15.6	50.3	22.3	0.0	0.5
VIRGINIA	9,349	0.0	0.0	0.0	3.6	2.2	33.1	54.5	0.0	6.6
WASHINGTON	38,691	8.6	3.3	0.0	0.2	7.7	26.6	38.6	2.1	12.9
WEST VIRGINIA	5,978	19.5	3.2	0.0	0.2	23.1	28.0	13.6	0.4	12.1
WISCONSIN	6,853	11.7	13.0	0.0	0.0	1.0	13.3	35.6	1.6	23.8
WYOMING	54	13.0	13.2	0.0	0.0	2.1	0.2	68.2	0.0	3.3

NOTES: 'a/' = DATA NOT REPORTED.
'*' = CHILD CARE UNAVAILABLE.
SOURCE: NATIONAL TANF DATAFILE AS OF 4/12/2007

Table 10:29

Temporary Assistance for Needy Families - Active Cases
Percent Distribution of TANF Adult Recipients Participating in Work Activities*
FY 2006

STATE	TOTAL ADULTS	WORK PARTICIPATION		TYPE OF WORK ACTIVITIES***				
		NO	YES	UNSUBSIDIZED EMPLOYMENT	WORK PREPARATION**	JOB SEARCH	JOB TRAINING/ EDUCATION	OTHER WORK ACTIVITIES
U.S. TOTAL	996,312	55.4	44.6	21.7	10.0	7.1	9.3	6.6
ALABAMA	9,869	52.6	47.4	29.7	4.5	10.6	9.9	1.6
ALASKA	3,011	43.2	56.8	32.3	13.4	14.4	12.0	8.4
ARIZONA	21,424	62.6	37.4	20.1	4.6	10.9	7.8	0.0
ARKANSAS	4,191	65.9	34.1	14.4	5.9	5.5	10.7	3.0
CALIFORNIA	187,313	64.5	35.5	24.4	1.9	4.1	7.7	2.1
COLORADO	10,402	62.5	37.5	15.5	9.2	5.0	13.8	0.0
CONNECTICUT	9,886	75.2	24.8	24.8	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
DELAWARE	2,962	66.5	33.5	22.7	16.8	5.2	2.4	0.1
DIST. OF COL.	9,447	74.2	25.8	19.8	1.0	3.1	3.4	0.1
FLORIDA	15,654	59.1	40.9	20.6	12.1	7.0	12.0	0.0
GEORGIA	7,431	45.1	54.9	20.3	18.9	8.0	21.5	3.9
GUAM a/								
HAWAII	4,773	65.4	34.6	27.4	3.8	9.0	8.4	0.0
IDAHO	439	10.2	89.8	36.6	4.2	32.4	27.3	58.1
ILLINOIS	18,409	20.5	79.5	14.8	15.2	0.9	21.7	42.9
INDIANA	24,017	66.1	33.9	27.0	1.4	3.9	4.6	0.0
IOWA	11,714	42.0	58.0	36.3	0.7	5.0	17.6	10.4
KANSAS	14,039	25.0	75.0	18.1	54.8	10.1	6.2	0.0
KENTUCKY	17,441	52.4	47.6	25.8	5.3	2.1	20.4	1.9
LOUISIANA	3,585	46.8	53.2	24.1	7.4	8.9	22.2	0.0
MAINE	7,017	49.8	50.2	29.7	8.4	7.6	11.2	0.0
MARYLAND	10,395	50.1	49.9	28.8	19.3	13.5	8.7	0.4
MASSACHUSETTS	28,646	75.6	24.4	16.5	1.8	0.7	6.8	0.0
MICHIGAN	60,657	72.1	27.9	21.8	0.5	6.8	1.5	0.0
MINNESOTA	18,191	38.4	61.6	28.5	4.4	9.9	12.8	26.2
MISSISSIPPI	6,546	66.5	33.5	17.2	11.4	2.4	5.0	0.0
MISSOURI	27,823	62.3	37.7	15.4	1.7	4.4	14.1	9.8
MONTANA	3,082	17.3	82.7	22.4	82.7	9.2	15.5	0.0
NEBRASKA	6,555	58.7	41.3	19.7	0.9	11.0	14.6	0.0
NEVADA	2,157	19.9	80.1	40.0	64.8	9.3	6.3	0.0
NEW HAMPSHIRE	4,238	56.0	44.0	20.2	7.8	8.8	11.9	12.5
NEW JERSEY	29,203	64.7	35.3	13.2	13.4	4.2	13.4	0.0
NEW MEXICO	12,368	58.2	41.8	26.8	7.2	6.2	10.1	0.1
NEW YORK	87,067	60.3	39.7	20.7	16.5	0.5	4.1	0.2
NORTH CAROLINA	12,121	46.0	54.0	18.9	4.1	7.4	15.0	23.2
NORTH DAKOTA	2,008	31.2	68.8	23.8	26.4	15.1	14.0	0.0
OHIO	40,267	22.6	77.4	23.9	27.3	8.2	19.6	19.8
OKLAHOMA	3,944	44.5	55.5	15.8	2.7	16.2	20.8	0.0
OREGON	10,664	64.8	35.2	9.3	14.1	3.4	8.5	7.0
PENNSYLVANIA	72,058	53.2	46.8	18.9	9.4	6.2	13.8	7.4
PUERTO RICO	11,842	86.6	13.4	1.5	7.4	1.1	4.2	0.1
RHODE ISLAND	7,430	62.9	37.1	22.8	1.4	4.2	6.7	5.5
SOUTH CAROLINA	7,622	40.6	59.4	38.7	14.3	5.7	8.7	1.7
SOUTH DAKOTA	996	31.6	68.4	16.2	42.5	4.0	14.8	0.4
TENNESSEE	51,444	40.5	59.5	19.4	0.8	32.9	1.1	45.4
TEXAS	26,049	53.6	46.4	28.8	7.9	16.0	4.6	1.9
UTAH	4,900	41.1	58.9	26.1	12.5	14.7	23.0	0.0
VERMONT	3,785	59.2	40.8	19.0	6.9	11.1	10.5	0.0
VIRGIN ISLANDS	305	80.7	19.3	0.3	4.3	6.4	7.9	0.4
VIRGINIA	9,349	24.4	75.6	57.9	5.5	23.9	3.1	0.0
WASHINGTON	38,691	35.9	64.1	16.1	44.1	7.6	12.5	5.3
WEST VIRGINIA	5,978	64.4	35.6	8.4	17.8	2.3	10.6	0.0
WISCONSIN	6,853	38.2	61.8	4.0	41.0	8.1	34.3	0.0
WYOMING	54	31.8	68.2	9.3	55.6	11.6	0.6	0.0

NOTES: 'a/' = DATA NOT REPORTED.
'*' = SOME PARTICIPATED IN 2 OR 3 WORK ACTIVITIES.
'**' = SUBSIDIZED JOBS, ON-THE-JOB TRAINING, WORK EXPERIENCE, OR COMMUNITY SERVICE.
SOURCE: NATIONAL TANF DATAFILE AS OF 4/12/2007

Table 10:30

Temporary Assistance for Needy Families - Active Cases
Percent Distribution of TANF Adult Recipients by Average Weekly Hours Participation in Work Activities
FY 2006

STATE	TOTAL ADULTS	HOURS OF PARTICIPATION					
		NONE	1-10	11-20	21-30	OVER 30	UNKNOWN
U.S. TOTAL	996,312	55.4	6.3	7.6	12.1	18.4	0.2
ALABAMA	9,869	52.6	6.7	7.2	13.2	20.4	0.0
ALASKA	3,011	43.2	9.4	8.1	10.5	28.6	0.2
ARIZONA	21,424	62.6	5.9	4.8	9.0	17.8	0.0
ARKANSAS	4,191	65.9	4.3	7.2	13.8	8.8	0.0
CALIFORNIA	187,313	64.5	5.8	6.8	8.4	14.5	0.0
COLORADO	10,402	62.5	3.3	5.5	11.4	16.2	1.1
CONNECTICUT	9,886	75.2	3.2	10.0	6.5	5.1	0.0
DELAWARE	2,962	66.5	3.7	5.7	7.4	16.6	0.0
DIST. OF COL.	9,447	74.2	2.0	6.6	7.1	10.2	0.0
FLORIDA	15,654	59.1	4.0	4.1	12.2	20.6	0.0
GEORGIA	7,431	45.1	2.0	2.4	26.0	24.5	0.0
GUAM a/							
HAWAII	4,773	65.4	1.8	2.9	3.9	26.0	0.1
IDAHO	439	10.2	6.0	8.2	26.7	48.8	0.1
ILLINOIS	18,409	20.5	10.7	5.1	36.8	26.5	0.4
INDIANA	24,017	66.1	4.7	6.2	13.3	9.8	0.0
IOWA	11,714	42.0	8.4	10.2	11.1	28.4	0.0
KANSAS	14,039	25.0	2.0	3.0	50.6	18.9	0.6
KENTUCKY	17,441	52.4	3.2	12.5	20.3	11.3	0.3
LOUISIANA	3,585	46.8	7.9	8.2	21.7	15.5	0.0
MAINE	7,017	49.8	7.4	11.6	20.2	11.0	0.0
MARYLAND	10,395	50.1	5.1	5.2	6.5	23.7	9.4
MASSACHUSETTS	28,646	75.6	3.5	8.5	7.1	5.3	0.0
MICHIGAN	60,657	72.1	3.7	6.2	7.2	10.7	0.0
MINNESOTA	18,191	38.4	16.1	10.3	12.3	22.8	0.1
MISSISSIPPI	6,546	66.5	2.5	6.5	12.4	12.0	0.0
MISSOURI	27,823	62.3	5.7	12.4	12.3	7.3	0.0
MONTANA	3,082	17.3	2.0	4.0	3.2	73.6	0.0
NEBRASKA	6,555	58.7	3.5	3.9	13.2	20.6	0.0
NEVADA	2,157	19.9	31.0	8.9	12.9	27.4	0.0
NEW HAMPSHIRE	4,238	56.0	7.5	8.3	15.6	12.6	0.0
NEW JERSEY	29,203	64.7	4.7	6.7	6.5	17.4	0.0
NEW MEXICO	12,368	58.2	2.7	4.2	10.6	24.4	0.0
NEW YORK	87,067	60.3	3.2	6.0	9.1	21.4	0.0
NORTH CAROLINA	12,121	46.0	13.1	9.5	10.1	21.3	0.0
NORTH DAKOTA	2,008	31.2	7.8	13.8	33.0	14.0	0.2
OHIO	40,267	22.6	12.1	7.3	29.7	28.1	0.2
OKLAHOMA	3,944	44.5	9.9	8.9	16.7	20.0	0.0
OREGON	10,664	64.8	8.3	8.2	9.4	9.3	0.0
PENNSYLVANIA	72,058	53.2	8.6	10.3	11.3	16.5	0.1
PUERTO RICO	11,842	86.6	0.2	0.5	7.4	5.3	0.0
RHODE ISLAND	7,430	62.9	3.9	10.1	14.0	9.0	0.1
SOUTH CAROLINA	7,622	40.6	4.7	13.9	22.0	18.9	0.0
SOUTH DAKOTA	996	31.6	8.7	25.8	22.2	11.7	0.0
TENNESSEE	51,444	40.5	5.4	8.1	5.3	40.6	0.0
TEXAS	26,049	53.6	2.8	5.9	15.9	21.8	0.0
UTAH	4,900	41.1	7.9	8.5	8.9	33.7	0.0
VERMONT	3,785	59.2	13.2	11.1	8.4	8.1	0.0
VIRGIN ISLANDS	305	80.7	0.1	1.0	16.7	1.5	0.0
VIRGINIA	9,349	24.4	6.3	9.6	30.7	29.0	0.0
WASHINGTON	38,691	35.9	16.2	11.3	8.7	28.0	0.0
WEST VIRGINIA	5,978	64.4	7.2	7.9	10.2	10.2	0.0
WISCONSIN	6,853	38.2	17.6	19.5	17.9	6.7	0.0
WYOMING	54	31.8	4.2	5.3	5.6	53.2	0.0

NOTE: 'a/' = DATA NOT REPORTED.
SOURCE: NATIONAL TANF DATAFILE AS OF 4/12/2007

Table 10:31

Temporary Assistance for Needy Families - Active Cases
Percent Distribution of TANF Adult Recipients by Employment Status
FY 2006

STATE	TOTAL ADULTS	EMPLOYMENT STATUS		
		EMPLOYED	UNEMPLOYED*	NOT IN LABOR FORCE**
U.S. TOTAL	996,312	21.6	54.8	23.6
ALABAMA	9,869	32.8	50.3	16.8
ALASKA	3,011	32.4	67.6	0.0
ARIZONA	21,424	20.1	17.4	62.6
ARKANSAS	4,191	16.0	4.8	79.2
CALIFORNIA	187,313	25.1	49.9	24.9
COLORADO	10,402	32.1	67.9	0.0
CONNECTICUT	9,886	25.8	52.7	21.5
DELAWARE	2,962	22.5	42.2	35.4
DIST. OF COL.	9,447	20.3	53.8	25.9
FLORIDA	15,654	17.6	24.6	57.8
GEORGIA	7,431	20.6	0.0	79.4
GUAM a/				
HAWAII	4,773	28.8	31.8	39.5
IDAHO	439	36.9	57.5	5.6
ILLINOIS	18,409	14.8	85.2	0.0
INDIANA	24,017	27.8	37.8	34.4
IOWA	11,714	36.4	63.5	0.1
KANSAS	14,039	10.0	72.2	17.8
KENTUCKY	17,441	25.9	63.5	10.6
LOUISIANA	3,585	29.2	50.8	20.0
MAINE	7,017	30.2	8.5	61.2
MARYLAND	10,395	7.4	92.6	0.0
MASSACHUSETTS	28,646	16.5	83.5	0.0
MICHIGAN	60,657	27.1	34.1	38.8
MINNESOTA	18,191	29.0	61.8	9.2
MISSISSIPPI	6,546	18.8	35.3	45.9
MISSOURI	27,823	22.0	78.0	0.0
MONTANA	3,082	29.4	70.6	0.0
NEBRASKA	6,555	39.3	60.7	0.0
NEVADA	2,157	39.6	39.2	21.2
NEW HAMPSHIRE	4,238	20.3	17.4	62.3
NEW JERSEY	29,203	15.8	75.5	8.7
NEW MEXICO	12,368	26.9	12.3	60.7
NEW YORK	87,067	21.6	56.3	22.1
NORTH CAROLINA	12,121	20.0	43.9	36.1
NORTH DAKOTA	2,008	33.6	4.5	61.9
OHIO	40,267	4.4	94.6	1.0
OKLAHOMA	3,944	7.8	92.2	0.0
OREGON	10,664	13.1	54.5	32.4
PENNSYLVANIA	72,058	9.7	90.3	0.0
PUERTO RICO	11,842	2.4	58.3	39.3
RHODE ISLAND	7,430	23.0	32.1	45.0
SOUTH CAROLINA	7,622	38.9	46.8	14.3
SOUTH DAKOTA	996	21.1	78.9	0.0
TENNESSEE	51,444	19.4	62.0	18.6
TEXAS	26,049	36.4	38.0	25.7
UTAH	4,900	29.4	70.4	0.2
VERMONT	3,785	13.7	66.1	20.2
VIRGIN ISLANDS	305	5.2	94.1	0.7
VIRGINIA	9,349	63.4	10.4	26.2
WASHINGTON	38,691	18.9	5.6	75.6
WEST VIRGINIA	5,978	13.1	52.2	34.7
WISCONSIN	6,853	13.7	86.3	0.0
WYOMING	54	8.4	91.6	0.0

NOTES: 'a/' = DATA NOT REPORTED.
'*' = UNEMPLOYED, LOOKING FOR WORK.
'**' = UNEMPLOYED, NOT LOOKING FOR WORK (INCLUDES DISCOURAGED WORKERS).
SOURCE: NATIONAL TANF DATAFILE AS OF 4/12/2007

Table 10:32

Temporary Assistance for Needy Families - Active Cases
Percent Distribution of TANF Male/Female Adult Recipients by Employment Status
FY 2006

STATE	TOTAL MALES	EMPLOYMENT STATUS			TOTAL FEMALES	EMPLOYMENT STATUS		
		EMPLOYED	UNEMPLOYED*	NOT IN LABOR FORCE**		EMPLOYED	UNEMPLOYED*	NOT IN LABOR FORCE**
U.S. TOTAL	98,436	17.6	54.9	27.5	897,876	22.0	54.8	23.2
ALABAMA	315	18.4	25.4	56.3	9,554	33.3	51.1	15.5
ALASKA	762	33.0	67.0	0.0	2,249	32.2	67.8	0.0
ARIZONA	2,072	19.7	14.5	65.7	19,353	20.1	17.7	62.2
ARKANSAS	336	7.0	4.0	89.0	3,855	16.8	4.8	78.3
CALIFORNIA	18,282	13.0	59.7	27.2	169,030	26.4	48.9	24.7
COLORADO	1,508	34.9	65.1	0.0	8,894	31.6	68.4	0.0
CONNECTICUT	312	15.4	80.1	4.5	9,574	26.1	51.8	22.1
DELAWARE	143	9.2	34.4	56.4	2,820	23.1	42.6	34.3
DIST. OF COL.	406	20.3	68.3	11.3	9,040	20.3	53.1	26.6
FLORIDA	798	16.4	27.0	56.6	14,856	17.7	24.4	57.9
GEORGIA	115	37.7	0.0	62.3	7,316	20.3	0.0	79.7
GUAM a/								
HAWAII	389	15.4	47.7	36.9	4,384	29.9	30.3	39.7
IDAHO	47	36.7	55.6	7.7	391	36.9	57.7	5.3
ILLINOIS	327	4.6	95.4	0.0	18,082	15.0	85.0	0.0
INDIANA	2,000	16.2	38.3	45.5	22,017	28.9	37.8	33.4
IOWA	1,168	37.1	62.4	0.5	10,546	36.4	63.6	0.1
KANSAS	2,240	8.8	84.7	6.4	11,799	10.3	69.8	20.0
KENTUCKY	1,696	25.4	74.6	0.0	15,745	26.0	62.3	11.7
LOUISIANA	134	27.6	39.7	32.6	3,450	29.2	51.2	19.5
MAINE	728	21.7	8.2	70.1	6,289	31.2	8.6	60.2
MARYLAND	288	11.5	88.5	0.0	10,107	7.3	92.7	0.0
MASSACHUSETTS	1,816	14.7	85.3	0.0	26,830	16.6	83.4	0.0
MICHIGAN	8,903	23.8	33.9	42.4	51,754	27.7	34.1	38.2
MINNESOTA	1,590	23.1	63.8	13.1	16,600	29.5	61.6	8.9
MISSISSIPPI	147	10.6	25.8	63.6	6,399	19.0	35.5	45.5
MISSOURI	1,778	17.8	82.2	0.0	26,045	22.2	77.8	0.0
MONTANA	643	24.3	75.7	0.0	2,439	30.7	69.3	0.0
NEBRASKA	443	44.7	55.3	0.0	6,112	38.9	61.1	0.0
NEVADA	145	46.9	51.2	1.9	2,011	39.0	38.3	22.6
NEW HAMPSHIRE	461	13.8	11.6	74.6	3,776	21.0	18.2	60.8
NEW JERSEY	1,310	9.0	85.6	5.4	27,893	16.1	75.1	8.8
NEW MEXICO	1,835	31.4	11.9	56.7	10,533	26.2	12.4	61.5
NEW YORK	12,717	21.5	59.7	18.7	74,349	21.6	55.8	22.7
NORTH CAROLINA	734	18.5	37.3	44.2	11,387	20.1	44.3	35.6
NORTH DAKOTA	146	30.6	10.3	59.1	1,862	33.8	4.0	62.2
OHIO	6,080	3.5	96.4	0.2	34,186	4.6	94.3	1.1
OKLAHOMA	187	1.3	98.7	0.0	3,757	8.1	91.9	0.0
OREGON	1,446	14.3	45.1	40.6	9,218	13.0	55.9	31.1
PENNSYLVANIA	7,804	9.6	90.4	0.0	64,254	9.7	90.3	0.0
PUERTO RICO	933	1.1	20.4	78.5	10,910	2.5	61.6	36.0
RHODE ISLAND	622	23.2	32.9	43.9	6,808	23.0	32.0	45.1
SOUTH CAROLINA	453	42.0	56.5	1.5	7,168	38.7	46.2	15.1
SOUTH DAKOTA	81	8.7	91.3	0.0	914	22.1	77.9	0.0
TENNESSEE	2,225	6.8	28.5	64.7	49,218	20.0	63.5	16.5
TEXAS	1,697	32.1	27.5	40.4	24,353	36.7	38.7	24.6
UTAH	465	19.2	80.3	0.5	4,435	30.5	69.4	0.1
VERMONT	740	14.1	68.2	17.7	3,046	13.6	65.6	20.8
VIRGIN ISLANDS	10	11.2	88.8	0.0	295	5.0	94.3	0.7
VIRGINIA	524	42.3	9.6	48.1	8,825	64.6	10.5	24.9
WASHINGTON	7,189	23.6	7.7	68.7	31,502	17.8	5.1	77.1
WEST VIRGINIA	867	7.6	41.2	51.2	5,111	14.0	54.1	31.9
WISCONSIN	355	6.9	93.1	0.0	6,498	14.1	85.9	0.0
WYOMING	21	8.4	91.6	0.0	33	8.5	91.5	0.0

NOTES: 'a/' = DATA NOT REPORTED.
'*' = UNEMPLOYED, LOOKING FOR WORK.
'**' = UNEMPLOYED, NOT LOOKING FOR WORK (INCLUDES DISCOURAGED WORKERS).
SOURCE: NATIONAL TANF DATAFILE AS OF 4/12/2007

Table 10:33

Temporary Assistance for Needy Families - Active Cases
Percent Distribution of TANF Recipient Children by Age Group
FY 2006

STATE	TOTAL CHILDREN	AGE OF RECIPIENT CHILDREN					
		0 - 1	2 - 5	6 - 11	12 - 15	16 - 19	UNKNOWN
U.S. TOTAL	3,203,631	14.5	25.5	31.1	19.7	9.2	0.0
ALABAMA	34,573	16.0	27.7	30.9	18.4	7.0	0.0
ALASKA	6,768	15.4	24.0	28.7	20.6	11.3	0.0
ARIZONA	65,833	9.6	24.3	36.9	20.8	8.4	0.0
ARKANSAS	13,455	15.3	26.0	30.1	19.0	9.6	0.0
CALIFORNIA	863,466	11.2	23.4	33.6	22.3	9.6	0.0
COLORADO	27,262	17.5	29.3	29.3	17.0	6.9	0.0
CONNECTICUT	25,519	19.8	25.3	27.3	17.8	9.8	0.0
DELAWARE	9,389	16.0	25.7	30.1	19.0	9.2	0.0
DIST. OF COL.	30,035	14.6	25.6	33.1	19.8	6.8	0.0
FLORIDA	74,861	11.8	25.5	33.0	19.9	9.6	0.2
GEORGIA	51,963	13.4	22.6	30.5	21.6	11.9	0.0
GUAM a/							
HAWAII	12,512	16.1	25.4	28.9	20.2	9.4	0.0
IDAHO	2,678	10.6	24.3	34.9	21.5	8.7	0.0
ILLINOIS	72,369	19.9	24.3	29.1	17.8	8.8	0.1
INDIANA	78,050	18.2	29.2	30.8	15.7	6.1	0.0
IOWA	28,186	19.7	29.2	28.0	15.8	7.4	0.0
KANSAS	30,543	20.6	29.7	29.6	14.2	5.9	0.0
KENTUCKY	52,182	16.5	29.4	28.6	16.9	8.5	0.1
LOUISIANA	22,325	11.3	23.4	32.3	23.6	9.5	0.0
MAINE	15,087	14.2	26.4	31.8	19.6	8.0	0.0
MARYLAND	35,485	15.2	24.1	31.9	19.9	9.0	0.0
MASSACHUSETTS	63,084	14.9	22.9	29.8	22.3	10.1	0.0
MICHIGAN	154,995	15.2	23.6	29.4	20.9	10.8	0.0
MINNESOTA	49,649	12.6	26.2	30.0	19.7	11.5	0.0
MISSISSIPPI	20,992	17.6	25.2	28.8	19.9	8.5	0.0
MISSOURI	66,383	19.0	30.2	27.1	16.2	7.5	0.0
MONTANA	6,921	18.7	28.6	28.3	16.6	7.8	0.0
NEBRASKA	17,751	16.3	28.4	30.3	17.1	7.9	0.0
NEVADA	10,211	17.9	25.4	31.7	17.9	7.0	0.0
NEW HAMPSHIRE	9,223	18.6	28.7	28.9	16.6	7.2	0.0
NEW JERSEY	71,394	14.3	25.2	31.0	19.5	10.0	0.0
NEW MEXICO	31,274	19.4	28.6	29.9	15.6	6.4	0.1
NEW YORK	224,599	13.8	27.5	28.6	19.6	10.6	0.0
NORTH CAROLINA	47,254	16.6	25.1	28.6	21.1	8.7	0.0
NORTH DAKOTA	4,779	21.3	28.6	28.9	15.2	5.9	0.0
OHIO	130,068	17.1	24.5	28.7	18.8	10.9	0.0
OKLAHOMA	18,255	13.6	24.4	31.7	20.2	10.1	0.0
OREGON	30,969	17.0	23.8	29.6	19.1	10.5	0.0
PENNSYLVANIA	171,570	18.2	27.2	29.4	16.7	8.5	0.0
PUERTO RICO	27,571	8.2	29.0	38.1	17.9	5.9	0.9
RHODE ISLAND	16,881	17.4	27.4	27.7	19.8	7.8	0.0
SOUTH CAROLINA	26,980	17.1	27.3	27.5	18.6	9.5	0.0
SOUTH DAKOTA	5,110	12.9	25.0	31.6	20.5	10.1	0.0
TENNESSEE	126,926	16.6	27.0	30.7	17.0	8.6	0.0
TEXAS	139,109	15.5	28.2	31.7	17.7	6.8	0.0
UTAH	13,252	16.5	29.8	30.5	16.1	7.1	0.0
VERMONT	7,144	17.5	27.8	30.9	16.9	6.9	0.0
VIRGIN ISLANDS	943	16.6	34.8	31.1	13.5	4.1	0.0
VIRGINIA	16,791	7.6	36.9	32.9	16.9	5.8	0.0
WASHINGTON	89,477	17.2	25.2	31.0	18.2	8.4	0.0
WEST VIRGINIA	18,090	15.1	25.5	29.9	20.1	9.4	0.0
WISCONSIN	32,991	11.2	21.5	31.5	23.2	12.5	0.0
WYOMING	454	10.1	24.5	34.7	22.1	8.6	0.0

NOTE: 'a/' = DATA NOT REPORTED.
SOURCE: NATIONAL TANF DATAFILE AS OF 4/12/2007

Table 10:34

Temporary Assistance for Needy Families - Active Cases
Percent Distribution of TANF Male Recipient Children by Age Group
FY 2006

STATE	TOTAL MALE CHILDREN	AGE OF MALE RECIPIENT CHILDREN					
		0 - 1	2 - 5	6 - 11	12 - 15	16 - 19	UNKNOWN
U.S. TOTAL	1,591,449	14.8	25.7	31.3	19.5	8.7	0.0
ALABAMA	16,758	15.7	28.3	31.6	17.1	7.3	0.0
ALASKA	3,502	15.0	23.3	29.1	21.1	11.6	0.0
ARIZONA	32,950	9.9	25.8	35.7	21.2	7.4	0.0
ARKANSAS	6,457	17.3	28.0	28.8	17.6	8.3	0.0
CALIFORNIA	431,309	11.3	22.6	34.7	22.6	8.9	0.0
COLORADO	13,862	18.0	27.7	30.7	16.6	7.0	0.0
CONNECTICUT	12,840	20.5	25.5	26.6	19.0	8.3	0.0
DELAWARE	4,558	16.0	27.1	29.0	19.4	8.5	0.0
DIST. OF COL.	14,379	14.9	25.8	32.7	19.7	6.9	0.0
FLORIDA	37,801	12.5	26.9	32.7	18.7	8.9	0.3
GEORGIA	25,448	13.4	22.4	30.4	22.0	11.7	0.0
GUAM a/							
HAWAII	6,449	15.5	26.5	27.9	20.7	9.5	0.0
IDAHO	1,334	10.9	23.4	34.5	22.1	9.1	0.0
ILLINOIS	36,111	20.7	25.4	28.6	17.2	8.0	0.2
INDIANA	39,311	18.8	28.5	31.7	15.1	6.0	0.0
IOWA	14,142	19.5	30.1	26.9	15.9	7.5	0.0
KANSAS	15,703	21.2	30.4	28.7	14.1	5.7	0.0
KENTUCKY	25,447	16.7	29.8	28.4	15.9	9.1	0.1
LOUISIANA	10,673	12.0	22.6	33.0	23.6	8.7	0.0
MAINE	7,607	13.9	27.2	32.3	18.7	7.9	0.0
MARYLAND	17,618	16.8	25.0	31.3	18.5	8.4	0.0
MASSACHUSETTS	29,110	15.7	22.1	30.3	22.2	9.7	0.0
MICHIGAN	79,140	15.3	24.5	30.0	20.3	9.8	0.0
MINNESOTA	24,851	12.4	26.7	29.7	19.1	12.1	0.0
MISSISSIPPI	10,292	18.7	26.3	28.9	18.4	7.7	0.0
MISSOURI	33,063	20.3	30.5	26.7	15.4	7.1	0.0
MONTANA	3,466	19.4	28.9	27.6	16.1	8.0	0.0
NEBRASKA	8,966	16.4	27.6	30.9	17.2	7.9	0.0
NEVADA	5,082	18.2	26.2	31.9	16.5	7.2	0.0
NEW HAMPSHIRE	4,388	17.8	29.1	29.4	16.7	7.0	0.0
NEW JERSEY	33,801	15.0	26.1	30.4	19.0	9.5	0.0
NEW MEXICO	15,605	18.9	27.5	31.3	15.5	6.8	0.0
NEW YORK	113,476	13.5	28.7	27.9	19.6	10.3	0.0
NORTH CAROLINA	22,873	16.9	24.7	28.8	21.3	8.2	0.0
NORTH DAKOTA	2,397	21.0	28.2	29.8	15.4	5.6	0.0
OHIO	64,368	17.7	24.8	28.3	18.7	10.5	0.0
OKLAHOMA	8,911	14.0	25.4	31.4	19.9	9.3	0.0
OREGON	15,812	16.8	25.0	29.9	18.6	9.7	0.0
PENNSYLVANIA	82,405	19.3	27.6	30.3	15.3	7.5	0.0
PUERTO RICO	14,199	9.2	28.3	38.5	18.0	5.2	0.8
RHODE ISLAND	8,468	18.5	28.8	27.3	18.3	7.1	0.0
SOUTH CAROLINA	13,742	17.5	27.6	27.0	18.0	9.9	0.0
SOUTH DAKOTA	2,614	13.4	24.9	31.5	19.7	10.5	0.0
TENNESSEE	64,013	17.1	28.0	29.1	17.0	8.9	0.0
TEXAS	67,743	15.0	29.1	31.4	17.8	6.8	0.0
UTAH	6,708	17.3	29.8	30.7	14.8	7.4	0.0
VERMONT	3,573	17.9	27.9	30.9	16.3	7.0	0.0
VIRGIN ISLANDS	465	18.3	35.6	29.0	14.3	2.8	0.0
VIRGINIA	8,278	8.0	37.4	32.6	16.1	5.9	0.0
WASHINGTON	43,883	17.5	24.4	31.8	17.6	8.7	0.0
WEST VIRGINIA	9,086	15.2	25.4	30.0	19.8	9.6	0.0
WISCONSIN	16,187	10.8	22.2	31.1	23.5	12.5	0.0
WYOMING	224	12.1	25.9	35.5	21.2	5.3	0.0

NOTE: 'a/' = DATA NOT REPORTED.
SOURCE: NATIONAL TANF DATAFILE AS OF 4/12/2007

Table 10:35

Temporary Assistance for Needy Families - Active Cases
Percent Distribution of TANF Female Recipient Children by Age Group
FY 2006

STATE	TOTAL FEMALE CHILDREN	AGE OF FEMALE RECIPIENT CHILDREN					
		0 - 1	2 - 5	6 - 11	12 - 15	16 - 19	UNKNOWN
U.S. TOTAL	1,612,172	14.2	25.4	30.9	19.9	9.6	0.0
ALABAMA	17,815	16.3	27.3	30.3	19.6	6.6	0.0
ALASKA	3,266	15.9	24.7	28.3	20.1	11.0	0.0
ARIZONA	32,883	9.3	22.9	38.1	20.4	9.3	0.0
ARKANSAS	6,998	13.5	24.2	31.2	20.3	10.8	0.0
CALIFORNIA	432,157	11.1	24.2	32.4	22.0	10.3	0.0
COLORADO	13,399	17.1	30.9	27.8	17.4	6.7	0.0
CONNECTICUT	12,679	19.1	25.0	28.0	16.5	11.3	0.0
DELAWARE	4,830	15.9	24.5	31.3	18.6	9.8	0.0
DIST. OF COL.	15,657	14.3	25.5	33.6	19.9	6.8	0.0
FLORIDA	37,060	11.0	24.0	33.4	21.2	10.4	0.0
GEORGIA	26,515	13.4	22.8	30.5	21.2	12.0	0.0
GUAM a/							
HAWAII	6,063	16.6	24.2	30.0	19.8	9.4	0.0
IDAHO	1,343	10.3	25.3	35.2	20.8	8.3	0.0
ILLINOIS	36,258	19.1	23.2	29.6	18.5	9.6	0.0
INDIANA	38,738	17.5	29.9	30.0	16.4	6.2	0.0
IOWA	14,044	19.8	28.2	29.0	15.6	7.3	0.0
KANSAS	14,840	20.0	29.1	30.6	14.2	6.1	0.0
KENTUCKY	26,735	16.4	29.0	28.7	17.7	8.0	0.1
LOUISIANA	11,652	10.5	24.0	31.6	23.6	10.2	0.0
MAINE	7,480	14.5	25.6	31.2	20.5	8.2	0.0
MARYLAND	17,867	13.6	23.2	32.5	21.2	9.5	0.0
MASSACHUSETTS	33,974	14.2	23.7	29.3	22.4	10.4	0.0
MICHIGAN	75,855	15.2	22.7	28.8	21.5	11.9	0.0
MINNESOTA	24,798	12.7	25.7	30.2	20.3	11.0	0.0
MISSISSIPPI	10,700	16.4	24.2	28.8	21.3	9.3	0.0
MISSOURI	33,321	17.7	29.9	27.6	16.9	8.0	0.0
MONTANA	3,455	17.9	28.4	29.1	17.1	7.6	0.0
NEBRASKA	8,785	16.2	29.2	29.7	17.0	7.8	0.0
NEVADA	5,130	17.7	24.6	31.5	19.4	6.8	0.0
NEW HAMPSHIRE	4,835	19.3	28.3	28.5	16.5	7.4	0.0
NEW JERSEY	37,593	13.7	24.4	31.5	20.0	10.4	0.0
NEW MEXICO	15,669	19.9	29.8	28.5	15.6	6.1	0.1
NEW YORK	111,123	14.1	26.2	29.3	19.5	10.9	0.0
NORTH CAROLINA	24,380	16.4	25.3	28.4	20.8	9.1	0.0
NORTH DAKOTA	2,381	21.6	29.0	28.0	15.1	6.3	0.0
OHIO	65,699	16.5	24.2	29.0	18.9	11.4	0.0
OKLAHOMA	9,344	13.3	23.5	31.9	20.5	10.8	0.0
OREGON	15,157	17.2	22.6	29.2	19.7	11.3	0.0
PENNSYLVANIA	89,166	17.2	26.8	28.5	18.1	9.4	0.0
PUERTO RICO	13,362	7.1	29.6	37.8	17.7	6.7	1.0
RHODE ISLAND	8,413	16.3	26.0	28.1	21.2	8.4	0.0
SOUTH CAROLINA	13,238	16.7	27.1	28.0	19.2	9.0	0.0
SOUTH DAKOTA	2,497	12.3	25.0	31.7	21.3	9.6	0.0
TENNESSEE	62,913	16.1	26.0	32.4	17.1	8.4	0.0
TEXAS	71,366	16.0	27.4	32.1	17.6	6.9	0.0
UTAH	6,544	15.7	29.8	30.3	17.4	6.9	0.0
VERMONT	3,571	17.1	27.7	30.9	17.5	6.8	0.0
VIRGIN ISLANDS	478	14.9	33.9	33.1	12.7	5.4	0.0
VIRGINIA	8,513	7.2	36.3	33.2	17.6	5.7	0.0
WASHINGTON	45,594	16.8	26.0	30.2	18.8	8.2	0.0
WEST VIRGINIA	9,004	14.9	25.5	29.9	20.4	9.3	0.0
WISCONSIN	16,804	11.7	20.9	31.9	23.0	12.5	0.0
WYOMING	230	8.1	23.2	33.8	22.9	11.9	0.0

NOTE: 'a/' = DATA NOT REPORTED.
SOURCE: NATIONAL TANF DATAFILE AS OF 4/12/2007

Table 10:36

Temporary Assistance for Needy Families - Active Cases
Percent Distribution of TANF Youngest Child Recipient by Age Group
FY 2006

STATE	TOTAL FAMILIES	AGE OF THE YOUNGEST CHILD								
		UNBORN	UNDER 1	1 - 2	3 - 5	6 - 8	9 - 11	12 - 15	16 - 19	UNKNOWN
U.S. TOTAL	1,802,567	0.6	14.3	19.9	19.0	13.4	11.2	14.2	6.3	1.0
ALABAMA	19,162	0.0	14.3	21.8	18.8	12.7	10.5	15.9	5.8	0.2
ALASKA	3,614	0.9	15.4	19.4	17.6	12.7	9.6	16.3	8.2	0.0
ARIZONA	39,369	0.0	13.8	20.1	20.6	15.1	10.6	13.6	4.7	1.7
ARKANSAS	8,176	0.0	15.3	20.7	17.1	13.6	11.3	16.0	6.0	0.0
CALIFORNIA	449,200	1.4	11.4	19.1	20.2	15.1	13.0	13.9	5.8	0.2
COLORADO	14,468	4.2	16.5	23.6	19.2	11.9	8.7	11.8	3.5	0.6
CONNECTICUT	18,245	0.0	14.8	22.9	14.5	11.5	10.7	14.5	7.5	3.5
DELAWARE	5,504	0.0	15.1	17.6	18.2	12.9	12.8	15.8	7.4	0.2
DIST. OF COL.	15,717	0.0	13.5	22.5	19.5	14.8	10.3	13.6	4.5	1.3
FLORIDA	52,470	0.0	9.5	13.7	18.9	15.8	14.2	18.4	7.7	1.7
GEORGIA	30,232	0.0	13.5	14.7	16.6	13.1	13.3	19.3	9.3	0.1
GUAM a/										
HAWAII	6,953	0.0	16.5	18.3	17.7	12.0	11.4	16.4	7.1	0.6
IDAHO	1,818	0.0	8.1	13.4	18.5	15.9	15.1	20.3	8.1	0.7
ILLINOIS	36,573	0.0	22.7	21.1	15.6	11.4	10.4	13.0	5.9	0.0
INDIANA	42,471	0.0	18.0	24.3	19.4	13.0	9.1	11.9	4.4	0.0
IOWA	16,675	0.0	19.2	22.3	19.4	11.9	8.2	13.2	5.8	0.0
KANSAS	17,226	0.0	21.0	22.9	20.3	11.5	7.4	9.9	4.3	2.7
KENTUCKY	33,092	0.0	13.9	21.7	18.7	13.5	10.7	14.6	7.0	0.0
LOUISIANA	11,916	0.0	10.1	16.0	18.5	15.0	12.7	19.9	7.3	0.7
MAINE	9,258	0.0	15.0	22.0	18.8	13.8	10.2	14.7	5.0	0.6
MARYLAND	20,341	0.0	14.4	17.5	17.4	13.3	11.6	16.3	6.3	3.2
MASSACHUSETTS	46,582	0.0	15.5	22.4	17.5	12.2	10.7	14.3	5.3	2.1
MICHIGAN	82,953	0.0	16.0	18.9	17.1	11.2	12.3	16.0	6.8	1.8
MINNESOTA	27,479	0.0	17.4	22.1	18.0	12.2	8.2	12.7	6.5	2.9
MISSISSIPPI	13,416	0.0	22.5	20.4	16.5	10.2	11.1	13.9	5.4	0.0
MISSOURI	38,748	0.0	16.0	24.2	20.1	11.3	10.4	12.2	5.7	0.0
MONTANA	3,812	2.1	17.7	21.8	18.1	12.4	8.4	13.4	5.7	0.4
NEBRASKA	10,097	1.7	17.2	23.2	18.7	12.1	9.6	12.4	4.8	0.4
NEVADA	5,399	0.0	21.6	17.1	17.5	13.0	10.9	13.8	5.5	0.6
NEW HAMPSHIRE	6,105	0.0	16.0	22.9	19.3	12.8	9.6	13.7	5.7	0.0
NEW JERSEY	40,832	0.0	12.5	20.9	20.0	15.0	10.7	14.1	6.8	0.0
NEW MEXICO	16,896	3.8	21.8	22.2	19.2	11.7	8.1	9.1	4.2	0.0
NEW YORK	134,900	1.7	11.4	20.0	20.0	13.3	10.1	14.5	7.7	1.4
NORTH CAROLINA	30,172	0.0	15.6	16.3	17.1	13.3	11.0	18.8	7.5	0.3
NORTH DAKOTA	2,678	0.0	22.2	22.4	17.8	11.3	9.0	11.0	3.6	2.6
OHIO	79,485	0.0	15.6	18.0	16.4	12.7	10.9	16.1	8.6	1.7
OKLAHOMA	10,203	0.0	17.6	19.2	18.3	14.8	9.9	14.6	5.6	0.0
OREGON	18,496	0.8	17.1	18.0	15.9	12.4	11.5	15.5	8.8	0.1
PENNSYLVANIA	94,696	0.0	18.8	21.4	18.7	11.4	10.1	11.2	5.6	2.8
PUERTO RICO	14,325	0.0	9.2	23.5	25.9	16.7	9.6	11.1	3.7	0.2
RHODE ISLAND	9,688	0.0	16.0	22.4	19.9	11.4	9.5	13.9	4.7	2.2
SOUTH CAROLINA	15,678	0.0	16.3	20.5	17.6	11.4	10.8	16.6	6.7	0.1
SOUTH DAKOTA	2,823	0.0	14.9	17.2	20.2	13.2	11.6	16.2	6.7	0.0
TENNESSEE	68,129	0.0	15.3	22.0	19.8	12.6	9.7	13.1	6.5	0.9
TEXAS	71,766	0.0	16.6	21.0	19.4	13.1	10.3	13.6	5.8	0.0
UTAH	7,463	9.5	11.9	18.9	19.1	12.8	9.2	12.7	5.4	0.5
VERMONT	4,429	0.0	15.3	20.1	21.1	13.7	10.6	13.2	5.0	1.0
VIRGIN ISLANDS	433	0.0	17.3	30.0	22.1	11.3	9.2	8.0	2.1	0.0
VIRGINIA	9,133	0.0	6.5	29.5	28.7	13.5	8.6	10.3	2.9	0.0
WASHINGTON	54,167	0.0	15.8	18.4	18.4	12.6	10.5	13.5	6.3	4.7
WEST VIRGINIA	10,864	0.0	13.4	18.9	17.5	13.1	11.9	17.0	8.2	0.0
WISCONSIN	17,949	0.0	13.0	14.6	17.0	14.0	12.8	19.2	9.3	0.0
WYOMING	291	0.0	8.1	14.9	18.0	15.0	15.1	21.3	7.4	0.1

NOTE: 'a/' = DATA NOT REPORTED.
SOURCE: NATIONAL TANF DATAFILE AS OF 4/12/2007

Table 10:37

Temporary Assistance for Needy Families - Active Cases
Percent Distribution of TANF Recipient Children by Ethnicity/Race
FY 2006

STATE	TOTAL CHILDREN	HISPANIC*	WHITE	AFRICAN-AMERICAN	NATIVE AMERICAN	ASIAN	HAWAIIAN	MULTI-RACIAL	UNKNOWN
U.S. TOTAL	3,203,631	29.2	28.8	36.4	1.3	2.1	0.5	0.0	1.7
ALABAMA	34,573	1.5	26.2	71.0	0.2	0.0	0.1	0.0	0.9
ALASKA	6,768	4.0	42.6	8.5	26.1	9.6	4.5	0.0	4.7
ARIZONA	65,833	54.0	24.7	11.9	7.8	0.5	0.1	0.0	1.0
ARKANSAS	13,455	4.0	34.8	59.6	0.2	0.1	0.4	0.0	1.1
CALIFORNIA	863,466	54.6	19.5	19.6	0.3	4.8	0.7	0.0	0.4
COLORADO	27,262	35.9	44.4	15.5	1.0	0.4	1.8	0.0	1.0
CONNECTICUT	25,519	39.6	25.5	34.2	0.2	0.4	0.1	0.0	0.0
DELAWARE	9,389	9.4	23.9	66.1	0.1	0.2	0.0	0.0	0.2
DIST. OF COL.	30,035	2.6	0.3	96.7	0.1	0.3	0.0	0.0	0.1
FLORIDA	74,861	18.3	28.3	53.1	0.2	0.0	0.1	0.0	0.0
GEORGIA	51,963	3.8	22.6	73.1	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.2
GUAM a/									
HAWAII	12,512	7.0	15.0	1.5	0.1	13.6	43.8	0.0	19.1
IDAHO	2,678	20.5	70.1	2.0	5.3	0.1	0.2	0.0	1.9
ILLINOIS	72,369	11.5	18.1	69.9	0.1	0.5	0.0	0.0	0.0
INDIANA	78,050	8.9	43.1	45.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	2.9
IOWA	28,186	7.3	48.8	15.8	0.7	0.5	0.2	0.0	26.7
KANSAS	30,543	15.1	51.0	27.5	1.6	0.8	0.2	0.0	3.8
KENTUCKY	52,182	2.5	71.1	25.8	0.2	0.2	0.0	0.0	0.2
LOUISIANA	22,325	1.9	16.7	80.7	0.1	0.2	0.1	0.0	0.3
MAINE	15,087	1.5	90.2	3.9	1.2	0.8	0.1	0.0	2.3
MARYLAND	35,485	1.9	15.5	82.0	0.1	0.3	0.0	0.0	0.0
MASSACHUSETTS	63,084	37.5	35.7	21.3	0.3	2.8	1.3	0.0	1.1
MICHIGAN	154,995	5.8	41.4	50.2	0.7	0.3	0.1	0.0	1.6
MINNESOTA	49,649	11.9	28.4	34.7	8.5	13.5	0.1	0.0	2.8
MISSISSIPPI	20,992	0.7	16.6	82.7	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
MISSOURI	66,383	5.0	47.7	46.5	0.1	0.3	0.0	0.0	0.4
MONTANA	6,921	4.6	45.2	1.7	47.2	0.2	0.1	0.0	1.1
NEBRASKA	17,751	16.7	40.7	31.6	6.5	0.7	0.1	0.0	3.7
NEVADA	10,211	31.9	26.9	36.3	1.8	1.8	0.1	0.0	1.4
NEW HAMPSHIRE	9,223	7.8	87.7	3.7	0.2	0.4	0.0	0.0	0.3
NEW JERSEY	71,394	27.6	13.0	58.0	0.2	0.7	0.1	0.0	0.5
NEW MEXICO	31,274	73.7	16.2	4.3	5.5	0.0	0.3	0.0	0.0
NEW YORK	224,599	38.7	18.4	39.4	0.2	1.5	0.2	0.0	1.6
NORTH CAROLINA	47,254	5.2	26.5	64.5	2.8	0.8	0.1	0.0	0.1
NORTH DAKOTA	4,779	3.9	27.8	3.1	57.6	0.0	0.1	0.0	7.4
OHIO	130,068	3.6	46.7	48.7	0.1	0.3	0.0	0.0	0.5
OKLAHOMA	18,255	12.4	41.0	33.5	12.6	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.5
OREGON	30,969	20.7	60.6	11.9	3.5	1.9	0.4	0.0	1.2
PENNSYLVANIA	171,570	15.9	31.6	49.7	0.1	1.3	0.0	0.0	1.4
PUERTO RICO	27,571	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
RHODE ISLAND	16,881	21.9	20.8	10.8	0.2	2.9	0.0	0.0	43.3
SOUTH CAROLINA	26,980	2.4	25.0	69.9	0.3	0.0	0.0	0.0	2.4
SOUTH DAKOTA	5,110	1.8	17.2	3.0	74.1	0.1	0.1	0.0	3.8
TENNESSEE	126,926	2.8	34.8	61.8	0.1	0.2	0.0	0.0	0.2
TEXAS	139,109	57.1	13.3	28.6	0.0	0.4	0.0	0.0	0.6
UTAH	13,252	23.6	63.1	6.1	4.7	1.7	0.7	0.0	0.1
VERMONT	7,144	0.6	96.3	2.7	0.1	0.3	0.0	0.0	0.0
VIRGIN ISLANDS	943	33.3	0.8	65.8	0.0	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.0
VIRGINIA	16,791	3.9	26.1	68.4	0.1	0.5	0.2	0.0	0.8
WASHINGTON	89,477	21.8	48.0	11.0	3.5	2.1	1.5	0.0	12.1
WEST VIRGINIA	18,090	0.6	86.7	11.3	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	1.3
WISCONSIN	32,991	8.7	22.5	57.5	1.6	3.9	0.1	0.0	5.7
WYOMING	454	13.8	69.8	6.7	9.7	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0

NOTES: '*' = CAN BE OF ANY RACE.
'a/' = DATA NOT REPORTED.
SOURCE: NATIONAL TANF DATAFILE AS OF 4/12/2007

Table 10:38

Temporary Assistance for Needy Families - Active Cases
Percent Distribution of TANF Recipient Children
Receiving Disability Benefits
FY 2006

STATE	TOTAL CHILDREN	YES	NO
U.S. TOTAL	3,203,631	0.2	99.8
ALABAMA	34,573	0.0	100.0
ALASKA	6,768	0.0	100.0
ARIZONA	65,833	0.0	100.0
ARKANSAS	13,455	0.0	100.0
CALIFORNIA	863,466	0.6	99.4
COLORADO	27,262	0.0	100.0
CONNECTICUT	25,519	0.0	100.0
DELAWARE	9,389	0.0	100.0
DIST. OF COL.	30,035	0.0	100.0
FLORIDA	74,861	0.0	100.0
GEORGIA	51,963	0.0	100.0
GUAM a/			
HAWAII	12,512	0.0	100.0
IDAHO	2,678	0.0	100.0
ILLINOIS	72,369	0.1	99.9
INDIANA	78,050	0.0	100.0
IOWA	28,186	1.8	98.2
KANSAS	30,543	0.0	100.0
KENTUCKY	52,182	0.0	100.0
LOUISIANA	22,325	0.0	100.0
MAINE	15,087	0.0	100.0
MARYLAND	35,485	0.0	100.0
MASSACHUSETTS	63,084	0.0	100.0
MICHIGAN	154,995	0.1	99.9
MINNESOTA	49,649	0.0	100.0
MISSISSIPPI	20,992	3.3	96.7
MISSOURI	66,383	0.4	99.6
MONTANA	6,921	0.0	100.0
NEBRASKA	17,751	0.0	100.0
NEVADA	10,211	0.0	100.0
NEW HAMPSHIRE	9,223	0.0	100.0
NEW JERSEY	71,394	0.0	100.0
NEW MEXICO	31,274	0.0	100.0
NEW YORK	224,599	0.0	100.0
NORTH CAROLINA	47,254	0.7	99.3
NORTH DAKOTA	4,779	0.0	100.0
OHIO	130,068	0.0	100.0
OKLAHOMA	18,255	0.0	100.0
OREGON	30,969	0.0	100.0
PENNSYLVANIA	171,570	0.4	99.6
PUERTO RICO	27,571	0.0	100.0
RHODE ISLAND	16,881	0.0	100.0
SOUTH CAROLINA	26,980	0.0	100.0
SOUTH DAKOTA	5,110	2.0	98.0
TENNESSEE	126,926	0.0	100.0
TEXAS	139,109	0.0	100.0
UTAH	13,252	0.0	100.0
VERMONT	7,144	0.0	100.0
VIRGIN ISLANDS	943	0.7	99.3
VIRGINIA	16,791	0.0	100.0
WASHINGTON	89,477	0.0	100.0
WEST VIRGINIA	18,090	0.0	100.0
WISCONSIN	32,991	0.0	100.0
WYOMING	454	0.0	100.0

NOTE: 'a/' = DATA NOT REPORTED.
SOURCE: NATIONAL TANF DATAFILE AS OF 4/12/2007

Table 10:39

Temporary Assistance for Needy Families - Active Cases
Percent Distribution of TANF Recipient Children by Relationship to the Head of Household
FY 2006

STATE	TOTAL CHILDREN	RELATIONSHIP TO HEAD OF HOUSEHOLD						
		HEAD OF HOUSEHOLD	SPOUSE	PARENT	CHILD	GRAND-CHILD	OTHER RELATED	UNRELATED
U.S. TOTAL	3,203,631	0.4	0.0	0.0	83.1	10.5	4.7	1.3
ALABAMA	34,573	0.0	0.0	0.0	74.7	17.5	7.8	0.0
ALASKA	6,768	0.0	0.0	0.0	89.3	6.9	3.7	0.2
ARIZONA	65,833	0.0	0.0	0.0	76.0	17.2	6.4	0.4
ARKANSAS	13,455	0.0	0.0	0.0	74.0	20.7	5.1	0.2
CALIFORNIA	863,466	0.0	0.0	0.0	91.2	6.3	2.1	0.4
COLORADO	27,262	5.7	0.0	0.1	63.3	14.3	16.3	0.3
CONNECTICUT	25,519	0.0	0.0	0.0	71.5	18.3	9.1	1.1
DELAWARE	9,389	0.0	0.0	0.0	67.4	22.5	8.2	1.8
DIST. OF COL.	30,035	0.0	0.0	0.0	91.4	5.7	2.9	0.0
FLORIDA	74,861	0.5	0.0	0.0	54.2	6.1	18.1	21.1
GEORGIA	51,963	0.0	0.0	0.0	51.2	34.5	14.1	0.2
GUAM a/								
HAWAII	12,512	0.0	0.0	0.0	77.1	16.6	6.2	0.1
IDAHO	2,678	0.0	0.0	0.0	27.0	56.9	16.1	0.0
ILLINOIS	72,369	0.0	0.0	0.0	84.3	12.4	3.3	0.0
INDIANA	78,050	0.0	0.0	0.0	88.9	8.1	2.9	0.2
IOWA	28,186	0.0	0.0	0.0	84.2	11.2	4.5	0.0
KANSAS	30,543	0.0	0.0	0.0	85.2	11.4	2.9	0.6
KENTUCKY	52,182	0.0	0.1	0.1	73.9	16.7	9.2	0.0
LOUISIANA	22,325	0.7	0.0	0.0	56.5	30.0	12.7	0.0
MAINE	15,087	0.0	0.0	0.0	93.2	4.8	1.6	0.5
MARYLAND	35,485	0.0	0.0	0.0	67.4	21.8	10.8	0.0
MASSACHUSETTS	63,084	0.0	0.0	0.0	86.6	9.9	3.4	0.1
MICHIGAN	154,995	0.0	0.0	0.0	91.2	5.6	2.5	0.7
MINNESOTA	49,649	0.0	0.0	0.0	85.8	8.6	4.8	0.8
MISSISSIPPI	20,992	0.0	0.0	0.0	74.6	19.8	5.6	0.0
MISSOURI	66,383	0.0	0.0	0.0	88.1	8.5	2.9	0.4
MONTANA	6,921	0.0	0.0	0.0	80.3	15.1	4.4	0.1
NEBRASKA	17,751	20.1	0.0	0.0	65.1	0.0	14.1	0.7
NEVADA	10,211	12.9	0.0	0.0	63.9	11.9	11.3	0.0
NEW HAMPSHIRE	9,223	0.0	0.0	0.1	84.1	10.6	4.9	0.4
NEW JERSEY	71,394	0.0	0.0	0.0	84.8	9.8	4.2	1.2
NEW MEXICO	31,274	0.0	0.0	0.0	88.7	8.8	2.3	0.2
NEW YORK	224,599	0.0	0.0	0.0	85.4	8.4	2.7	3.5
NORTH CAROLINA	47,254	0.2	0.0	0.4	61.0	27.8	9.4	1.2
NORTH DAKOTA	4,779	0.0	0.0	0.0	83.6	10.2	6.2	0.0
OHIO	130,068	1.4	0.0	0.1	67.5	19.2	10.0	1.8
OKLAHOMA	18,255	0.3	0.0	0.0	62.2	28.9	8.5	0.0
OREGON	30,969	0.0	0.0	0.0	83.4	8.9	2.4	5.3
PENNSYLVANIA	171,570	1.7	0.0	0.0	88.6	6.5	3.0	0.2
PUERTO RICO	27,571	0.0	0.0	0.0	89.4	7.8	2.8	0.1
RHODE ISLAND	16,881	0.0	0.0	0.0	94.7	3.8	1.6	0.0
SOUTH CAROLINA	26,980	0.0	0.0	0.0	70.0	20.5	9.4	0.0
SOUTH DAKOTA	5,110	0.0	0.0	0.0	50.9	33.8	15.3	0.0
TENNESSEE	126,926	0.0	0.0	0.0	87.3	8.8	3.9	0.0
TEXAS	139,109	0.0	0.0	0.0	82.0	11.8	3.6	2.7
UTAH	13,252	0.0	0.0	0.0	77.7	15.6	6.7	0.0
VERMONT	7,144	0.0	0.0	0.0	90.1	7.2	2.7	0.0
VIRGIN ISLANDS	943	0.0	0.0	0.0	91.6	6.9	1.2	0.3
VIRGINIA	16,791	0.0	0.0	0.0	99.5	0.4	0.1	0.0
WASHINGTON	89,477	0.2	0.0	0.0	83.5	10.4	4.7	1.3
WEST VIRGINIA	18,090	0.0	0.0	0.0	74.4	17.7	6.7	1.2
WISCONSIN	32,991	0.0	0.0	0.0	73.2	14.7	12.0	0.1
WYOMING	454	0.0	0.0	0.0	33.7	52.1	14.3	0.0

NOTE: 'a/' = DATA NOT REPORTED.
SOURCE: NATIONAL TANF DATAFILE AS OF 4/12/2007

Table 10:40

Temporary Assistance for Needy Families - Active Cases
Percent Distribution of Recipient Children in TANF Families with No Adult Recipients
by Relationship to Head of Household
FY 2006

STATE	TOTAL CHILDREN	RELATIONSHIP TO THE HEAD OF HOUSEHOLD						
		HEAD OF HOUSEHOLD	SPOUSE	PARENT	CHILD	GRAND-CHILD	OTHER RELATED	UNRELATED
U.S. TOTAL	1,494,675	0.8	0.0	0.0	66.2	21.0	9.4	2.6
ALABAMA	15,703	0.0	0.0	0.0	45.9	37.2	16.9	0.0
ALASKA	1,838	0.0	0.0	0.0	63.3	24.3	12.5	0.0
ARIZONA	31,226	0.0	0.0	0.0	53.2	34.2	12.0	0.6
ARKANSAS	6,861	0.0	0.0	0.0	51.4	39.0	9.5	0.1
CALIFORNIA	528,249	0.0	0.0	0.0	87.1	9.2	3.1	0.6
COLORADO	9,671	16.0	0.0	0.1	1.2	38.1	44.3	0.3
CONNECTICUT	11,480	0.0	0.0	0.0	37.8	40.0	19.8	2.4
DELAWARE	3,829	0.0	0.0	0.0	25.0	51.9	18.9	4.1
DIST. OF COL.	11,968	0.0	0.0	0.0	80.8	12.4	6.9	0.0
FLORIDA	47,684	0.7	0.0	0.0	29.7	9.5	27.7	32.4
GEORGIA	37,962	0.0	0.0	0.0	33.6	47.1	19.1	0.3
GUAM a/								
HAWAII	3,704	0.0	0.0	0.0	27.8	52.8	19.2	0.2
IDAHO	2,018	0.0	0.0	0.0	3.2	75.4	21.4	0.0
ILLINOIS	34,372	0.0	0.0	0.1	67.5	25.6	6.8	0.0
INDIANA	37,123	0.0	0.0	0.0	79.1	15.4	5.2	0.3
IOWA	8,367	0.0	0.0	0.0	49.1	36.3	14.5	0.1
KANSAS	8,084	0.0	0.0	0.0	48.9	39.1	10.0	2.0
KENTUCKY	24,156	0.0	0.1	0.0	45.3	35.5	19.1	0.0
LOUISIANA	15,488	1.0	0.0	0.0	37.8	43.0	18.2	0.0
MAINE	3,758	0.0	0.0	0.0	76.7	16.9	5.7	0.7
MARYLAND	16,329	0.0	0.0	0.0	30.2	46.9	22.9	0.0
MASSACHUSETTS	25,840	0.0	0.0	0.0	69.2	23.1	7.6	0.1
MICHIGAN	44,409	0.0	0.0	0.0	71.3	18.1	8.1	2.4
MINNESOTA	18,569	0.0	0.0	0.0	64.9	21.9	11.0	2.2
MISSISSIPPI	10,404	0.0	0.0	0.0	49.7	39.3	11.0	0.0
MISSOURI	18,717	0.0	0.0	0.0	59.5	29.3	9.8	1.4
MONTANA	2,047	0.0	0.0	0.0	37.6	49.6	12.7	0.2
NEBRASKA	5,838	61.0	0.0	0.0	0.4	0.0	38.1	0.6
NEVADA	6,105	21.5	0.0	0.0	40.5	19.6	18.3	0.0
NEW HAMPSHIRE	2,853	0.0	0.0	0.1	52.7	32.3	14.7	0.1
NEW JERSEY	18,915	0.0	0.0	0.0	53.8	31.2	12.9	2.1
NEW MEXICO	10,646	0.0	0.0	0.0	71.2	23.2	5.2	0.3
NEW YORK	93,785	0.0	0.0	0.0	69.7	17.1	5.0	8.2
NORTH CAROLINA	27,580	0.2	0.0	0.2	35.1	47.0	15.8	1.8
NORTH DAKOTA	1,149	0.0	0.0	0.0	41.7	37.8	20.4	0.1
OHIO	66,668	2.7	0.0	0.0	38.5	36.6	19.1	3.1
OKLAHOMA	10,826	0.0	0.0	0.0	37.7	48.2	14.1	0.0
OREGON	13,251	0.0	0.0	0.0	63.2	19.4	5.1	12.3
PENNSYLVANIA	48,632	5.9	0.0	0.0	66.1	18.7	9.1	0.2
PUERTO RICO	5,965	0.0	0.0	0.0	62.6	26.6	10.6	0.3
RHODE ISLAND	4,260	0.0	0.0	0.0	81.7	13.2	5.1	0.0
SOUTH CAROLINA	13,420	0.0	0.0	0.0	40.2	40.8	18.9	0.1
SOUTH DAKOTA	3,025	0.0	0.0	0.0	18.1	56.4	25.5	0.0
TENNESSEE	27,428	0.0	0.0	0.0	49.4	34.0	16.6	0.0
TEXAS	87,333	0.0	0.0	0.0	72.2	18.0	5.5	4.2
UTAH	4,679	0.0	0.0	0.0	39.5	42.4	18.1	0.1
VERMONT	1,638	0.0	0.0	0.0	59.3	29.9	10.8	0.0
VIRGIN ISLANDS	263	0.0	0.0	0.0	72.7	23.4	3.5	0.4
VIRGINIA	-							
WASHINGTON	32,120	0.4	0.0	0.0	57.9	26.6	11.9	3.2
WEST VIRGINIA	8,555	0.1	0.0	0.0	47.6	37.1	13.8	1.5
WISCONSIN	19,511	0.0	0.0	0.0	54.9	24.7	20.3	0.0
WYOMING	372	0.0	0.0	0.0	19.4	63.4	17.2	0.0

NOTE: 'a/' = DATA NOT REPORTED.
SOURCE: NATIONAL TANF DATAFILE AS OF 4/12/2007

Table 10:41

Temporary Assistance for Needy Families - Active Cases
Percent Distribution of TANF Recipient Children by Educational Level
FY 2006

STATE	TOTAL CHILDREN	NO FORMAL EDUCATION	YEARS OF EDUCATION			
			1 - 6	7 - 9	10 - 11	12 AND MORE
U.S. TOTAL	3,203,631	47.5	31.2	14.0	6.5	0.8
ALABAMA	34,573	49.1	32.9	13.6	4.5	0.0
ALASKA	6,768	60.5	28.0	8.5	2.9	0.2
ARIZONA	65,833	47.3	35.4	13.3	3.6	0.3
ARKANSAS	13,455	48.2	32.8	13.1	5.1	0.8
CALIFORNIA	863,466	38.9	34.1	16.4	9.9	0.7
COLORADO	27,262	81.9	12.5	3.6	0.7	1.3
CONNECTICUT	25,519	51.7	29.8	13.3	5.0	0.3
DELAWARE	9,389	52	28	13	6	1
DIST. OF COL.	30,035	47.2	33.1	14.8	4.8	0.1
FLORIDA	74,861	40.5	33.7	15.0	8.3	2.1
GEORGIA	51,963	44.8	34.8	15.3	4.6	0.5
GUAM a/						
HAWAII	12,512	35.2	35.0	15.9	13.7	0.1
IDAHO	2,678	74	18	6	2	0
ILLINOIS	72,369	53.5	25.8	14.7	5.7	0.4
INDIANA	78,050	58.6	27.6	11.1	2.5	0.2
IOWA	28,186	69.9	22.1	6.0	1.2	0.8
KANSAS	30,543	67.0	23.2	7.1	2.3	0.3
KENTUCKY	52,182	64.4	21.5	9.4	3.9	0.8
LOUISIANA	22,325	46.8	34.9	14.0	3.9	0.4
MAINE	15,087	46.5	34.2	14.1	4.9	0.3
MARYLAND	35,485	40.9	41.4	13.4	3.9	0.4
MASSACHUSETTS	63,084	42.5	31.7	20.5	5.1	0.2
MICHIGAN	154,995	46.4	29.7	16.0	7.4	0.5
MINNESOTA	49,649	52.7	30.3	11.8	5.0	0.1
MISSISSIPPI	20,992	50.1	33.4	13.2	3.1	0.3
MISSOURI	66,383	60.2	21.7	10.8	6.1	1.1
MONTANA	6,921	56.8	23.4	12.6	6.0	1.2
NEBRASKA	17,751	50.4	28.8	12.8	6.6	1.4
NEVADA	10,211	53.7	30.6	12.4	3.2	0.1
NEW HAMPSHIRE	9,223	57.0	28.0	11.0	3.7	0.2
NEW JERSEY	71,394	53.6	29.1	13.0	3.8	0.6
NEW MEXICO	31,274	50.4	29.0	12.0	6.3	2.3
NEW YORK	224,599	52.8	29.9	12.4	4.7	0.2
NORTH CAROLINA	47,254	42.7	32.1	16.5	7.7	1.1
NORTH DAKOTA	4,779	59.7	27.4	9.8	2.8	0.2
OHIO	130,068	46.2	28.0	16.9	7.7	1.2
OKLAHOMA	18,255	49.3	31.5	13.2	5.4	0.5
OREGON	30,969	37.4	30.4	14.6	9.9	7.7
PENNSYLVANIA	171,570	50	35.8	9.8	4.4	0.1
PUERTO RICO	27,571	42.7	39.2	12.3	4.8	1.0
RHODE ISLAND	16,881	49.9	34.8	12.1	3.1	0.1
SOUTH CAROLINA	26,980	52.2	28.3	14.1	4.8	0.6
SOUTH DAKOTA	5,110	44.2	31.4	16.1	7.4	0.9
TENNESSEE	126,926	47.2	28.8	13.2	7.8	2.9
TEXAS	139,109	52.6	30.0	12.4	4.6	0.4
UTAH	13,252	46.4	30.5	12.0	7.8	3.4
VERMONT	7,144	64.7	24.1	8.3	2.7	0.1
VIRGIN ISLANDS	943	65.1	27.2	6.2	1.0	0.5
VIRGINIA	16,791	57.8	30.2	9.8	2.0	0.1
WASHINGTON	89,477	50.6	30.7	12.3	5.0	1.4
WEST VIRGINIA	18,090	49.7	31.2	13.9	5.0	0.2
WISCONSIN	32,991	46.2	31.8	16.3	5.4	0.3
WYOMING	454	52.6	32.0	10.3	3.9	1.1

NOTES: 'a/' = DATA NOT REPORTED.
SOURCE: NATIONAL TANF DATAFILE AS OF 4/12/2007

Table 10:42

Temporary Assistance for Needy Families - Active Cases
Percent Distribution of TANF Recipient Children
by Citizenship Status
FY 2006

STATE	TOTAL CHILDREN	U.S. CITIZEN	QUALIFIED ALIEN	UNKNOWN
U.S. TOTAL	3,203,631	98.7	1.2	0.1
ALABAMA	34,573	99.9	0.1	0.0
ALASKA	6,768	96.9	3.1	0.0
ARIZONA	65,833	98.9	1.1	0.0
ARKANSAS	13,455	100.0	0.0	0.0
CALIFORNIA	863,466	98.7	1.3	0.0
COLORADO	27,262	98.7	1.3	0.0
CONNECTICUT	25,519	98.7	1.3	0.0
DELAWARE	9,389	99.8	0.2	0.0
DIST. OF COL.	30,035	97.1	0.0	2.9
FLORIDA	74,861	98.1	1.9	0.0
GEORGIA	51,963	99.9	0.0	0.1
GUAM a/				
HAWAII	12,512	99.6	0.4	0.0
IDAHO	2,678	99.2	0.8	0.0
ILLINOIS	72,369	99.9	0.1	0.0
INDIANA	78,050	99.9	0.1	0.0
IOWA	28,186	99.0	1.0	0.0
KANSAS	30,543	99.3	0.7	0.0
KENTUCKY	52,182	98.7	1.3	0.0
LOUISIANA	22,325	99.8	0.2	0.0
MAINE	15,087	97.7	2.3	0.0
MARYLAND	35,485	99.7	0.3	0.0
MASSACHUSETTS	63,084	98.2	1.8	0.0
MICHIGAN	154,995	98.9	1.1	0.0
MINNESOTA	49,649	91.4	8.6	0.0
MISSISSIPPI	20,992	100.0	0.0	0.0
MISSOURI	66,383	99.3	0.7	0.0
MONTANA	6,921	100.0	0.0	0.0
NEBRASKA	17,751	98.6	1.4	0.0
NEVADA	10,211	98.9	1.1	0.0
NEW HAMPSHIRE	9,223	99.0	1.0	0.0
NEW JERSEY	71,394	99.6	0.4	0.0
NEW MEXICO	31,274	99.6	0.4	0.0
NEW YORK	224,599	98.2	1.8	0.0
NORTH CAROLINA	47,254	99.1	0.3	0.6
NORTH DAKOTA	4,779	98.2	1.8	0.0
OHIO	130,068	98.6	1.4	0.0
OKLAHOMA	18,255	99.8	0.2	0.0
OREGON	30,969	97.0	2.2	0.8
PENNSYLVANIA	171,570	99.1	0.9	0.0
PUERTO RICO	27,571	100.0	0.0	0.0
RHODE ISLAND	16,881	97.4	2.6	0.0
SOUTH CAROLINA	26,980	99.9	0.1	0.0
SOUTH DAKOTA	5,110	100.0	0.0	0.0
TENNESSEE	126,926	99.8	0.2	0.0
TEXAS	139,109	99.8	0.2	0.0
UTAH	13,252	96.9	3.1	0.0
VERMONT	7,144	98.4	1.6	0.0
VIRGIN ISLANDS	943	99.8	0.2	0.0
VIRGINIA	16,791	99.0	1.0	0.0
WASHINGTON	89,477	95.9	3.1	1.0
WEST VIRGINIA	18,090	100.0	0.0	0.0
WISCONSIN	32,991	95.8	4.2	0.0
WYOMING	454	99.9	0.1	0.0

NOTE: 'a/' = DATA NOT REPORTED.
SOURCE: NATIONAL TANF DATAFILE AS OF 4/12/2007

Table 10:43

Temporary Assistance for Needy Families - Active Cases
TANF Families Receiving Cash Assistance by Number of Recipient Children
FY 2006

STATE	TOTAL FAMILIES	PERCENT	NO. OF MONTHS RECEIVED	AVERAGE MONTHLY AMOUNT OF CASH ASSISTANCE				
				ALL FAMILIES	ONE CHILD	TWO CHILDREN	THREE CHILDREN	FOUR OR MORE
U.S. TOTAL	1,802,567	99.5	35.4	$372.17	$313.98	$390.05	$465.44	$557.66
ALABAMA	19,162	100.0	30.8	197.25	178.66	198.98	228.70	266.71
ALASKA	3,614	100.0	37.3	602.09	535.35	618.38	696.45	815.59
ARIZONA	39,369	100.0	35.4	269.99	227.57	288.29	358.51	442.88
ARKANSAS	8,176	100.0	11.2	147.49	115.56	168.86	209.71	269.12
CALIFORNIA	449,200	99.7	42.4	536.50	454.82	552.78	637.32	722.21
COLORADO	14,468	100.0	28.8	293.92	220.43	299.90	387.86	503.74
CONNECTICUT	18,245	99.8	26.7	413.42	375.04	471.22	556.88	655.97
DELAWARE	5,504	100.0	31.7	246.84	209.16	266.73	312.74	382.99
DIST. OF COL.	15,717	100.0	56.8	327.14	262.04	328.14	401.43	530.43
FLORIDA	52,470	100.0	21.8	232.99	219.37	244.19	289.65	359.37
GEORGIA	30,232	100.0	43.0	204.65	164.67	231.47	268.76	315.33
GUAM a/								
HAWAII	6,953	100.0	46.7	517.88	424.99	542.97	659.62	819.74
IDAHO	1,818	100.0	8.0	301.79	301.97	301.25	301.00	304.06
ILLINOIS	36,573	100.0	28.1	247.30	177.05	278.28	307.50	374.13
INDIANA	42,471	99.6	24.8	203.05	178.66	210.71	235.18	275.20
IOWA	16,675	100.0	28.9	320.03	263.03	358.52	422.04	484.03
KANSAS	17,226	100.0	23.8	305.73	244.69	331.06	398.14	482.36
KENTUCKY	33,092	100.0	25.9	248.63	206.20	283.84	358.37	401.00
LOUISIANA	11,916	100.0	45.6	275.47	170.21	294.43	393.46	587.75
MAINE	9,258	99.6	17.2	361.33	288.14	408.64	494.39	674.34
MARYLAND	20,341	99.8	16.3	373.42	282.27	420.79	522.62	626.39
MASSACHUSETTS	46,582	100.0	36.2	499.61	464.11	552.69	669.53	775.39
MICHIGAN	82,953	95.6	26.1	386.65	291.46	399.20	501.17	627.87
MINNESOTA	27,479	100.0	40.0	348.30	290.31	373.02	422.33	571.35
MISSISSIPPI	13,416	100.0	39.2	163.58	144.98	180.40	209.26	236.12
MISSOURI	38,748	100.0	32.4	229.71	190.59	250.76	299.27	347.63
MONTANA	3,812	100.0	38.3	377.06	305.17	396.52	476.53	603.56
NEBRASKA	10,097	100.0	30.9	299.61	249.90	314.30	382.38	466.06
NEVADA	5,399	100.0	21.4	346.50	303.49	351.96	385.93	499.59
NEW HAMPSHIRE	6,105	100.0	23.1	463.01	430.36	492.85	545.88	657.11
NEW JERSEY	40,832	100.0	40.4	324.58	264.20	364.51	416.74	480.67
NEW MEXICO	16,896	100.0	23.2	306.45	243.45	317.63	390.19	493.98
NEW YORK	134,900	98.1	43.9	499.09	461.55	499.06	613.01	686.11
NORTH CAROLINA	30,172	100.0	36.8	213.59	192.76	235.47	256.67	296.85
NORTH DAKOTA	2,678	100.0	30.7	322.75	260.71	340.12	411.11	536.48
OHIO	79,485	100.0	18.2	323.76	269.58	354.24	438.16	553.29
OKLAHOMA	10,203	100.0	27.4	188.59	129.66	202.54	275.52	353.04
OREGON	18,496	100.0	32.1	389.45	319.38	416.22	536.15	662.33
PENNSYLVANIA	94,696	100.0	42.0	321.70	255.92	341.40	414.86	523.15
PUERTO RICO	14,325	100.0	27.2	155.87	111.70	161.59	209.88	260.32
RHODE ISLAND	9,688	100.0	46.5	417.18	357.88	452.63	504.80	596.64
SOUTH CAROLINA	15,678	100.0	32.4	172.39	146.12	183.10	220.44	260.99
SOUTH DAKOTA	2,823	100.0	43.0	350.48	305.82	365.14	417.21	490.60
TENNESSEE	68,129	100.0	38.0	167.39	138.55	175.95	204.28	246.49
TEXAS	71,766	99.5	30.3	195.01	206.55	151.92	201.38	246.51
UTAH	7,463	100.0	26.3	399.73	328.49	429.49	509.74	603.25
VERMONT	4,429	99.8	17.7	500.77	451.81	538.77	610.99	679.76
VIRGIN ISLANDS	433	100.0	27.6	250.96	181.41	244.21	301.27	375.75
VIRGINIA	9,133	99.5	13.3	323.16	263.47	336.53	405.01	498.46
WASHINGTON	54,167	100.0	30.7	427.84	369.26	459.27	545.10	646.71
WEST VIRGINIA	10,864	100.0	31.4	269.70	245.45	281.64	324.28	351.44
WISCONSIN b/	17,949	100.0	32.2	428.08	328.00	463.96	567.65	704.18
WYOMING	291	96.5	35.9	218.23	169.41	276.37	307.82	308.69

NOTES: 'a/'=DATA NOT REPORTED.
'b/'=INCLUDES SUPPORTIVE SERVICE ASSISTANCE IN CASH ASSISTANCE AMOUNT
SOURCE: NATIONAL TANF DATAFILE AS OF 3/04/2004

Table 10:44

Temporary Assistance for Needy Families - Active Cases
TANF Families with No Adult Recipients Receiving Cash Assistance
FY 2006

STATE	TOTAL FAMILIES	PERCENT RECEIVED	NUMBER OF MONTHS RECEIVED	AVERAGE MONTHLY AMOUNT
U.S. TOTAL	850,881	99.2	46.1	$344.38
ALABAMA	9,344	100.0	44.0	184.58
ALASKA	1,072	100.0	63.1	490.96
ARIZONA	18,727	100.0	43.0	245.18
ARKANSAS	4,163	100.0	10.5	122.26
CALIFORNIA	263,437	99.6	50.6	500.20
COLORADO	5,063	100.0	33.6	221.09
CONNECTICUT	8,388	99.6	44.0	364.47
DELAWARE	2,544	100.0	46.6	224.75
DIST. OF COL.	6,393	100.0	67.4	298.17
FLORIDA	36,882	100.0	25.0	227.90
GEORGIA	22,803	100.0	51.7	191.20
GUAM a/				
HAWAII	2,281	100.0	58.3	490.98
IDAHO	1,403	100.0	11.2	303.90
ILLINOIS	18,165	100.0	44.5	170.59
INDIANA	18,767	99.2	35.0	169.93
IOWA	5,336	100.0	43.0	252.27
KANSAS	4,806	100.0	24.1	230.52
KENTUCKY	16,543	100.0	31.1	266.42
LOUISIANA	8,413	100.0	57.3	295.85
MAINE	2,458	99.7	28.9	192.50
MARYLAND	9,991	99.9	1.0	299.73
MASSACHUSETTS	18,386	100.0	57.6	455.93
MICHIGAN	27,400	87.4	35.0	273.85
MINNESOTA	9,288	100.0	55.0	293.63
MISSISSIPPI	6,886	100.0	59.4	123.66
MISSOURI	10,925	100.0	52.8	189.61
MONTANA	1,206	100.0	54.2	316.00
NEBRASKA	3,542	100.0	41.1	258.48
NEVADA	3,253	100.0	24.9	382.38
NEW HAMPSHIRE	2,013	100.0	29.3	474.59
NEW JERSEY	11,629	100.0	55.6	245.41
NEW MEXICO	5,704	100.0	27.7	289.85
NEW YORK	58,667	96.1	57.8	482.40
NORTH CAROLINA	18,357	100.0	50.7	197.34
NORTH DAKOTA	679	100.0	40.6	228.27
OHIO	42,971	100.0	23.5	285.00
OKLAHOMA	6,297	100.0	38.8	139.60
OREGON	8,568	100.0	39.5	291.67
PENNSYLVANIA	28,167	100.0	57.5	268.17
PUERTO RICO	3,221	100.0	41.5	109.40
RHODE ISLAND	2,533	100.0	63.9	373.65
SOUTH CAROLINA	8,356	100.0	51.6	163.23
SOUTH DAKOTA	1,827	100.0	52.8	344.78
TENNESSEE	17,502	100.0	46.3	159.78
TEXAS	45,716	99.3	39.8	182.28
UTAH	2,774	100.0	40.7	339.04
VERMONT	1,142	99.7	6.2	456.39
VIRGIN ISLANDS	133	100.0	37.4	205.85
VIRGINIA	-			
WASHINGTON	19,974	100.0	41.5	386.62
WEST VIRGINIA	5,309	100.0	47.0	267.23
WISCONSIN	11,238	100.0	35.8	361.80
WYOMING	239	99.1	40.8	211.08

NOTES: 'a/ = DATA NOT REPORTED.
SOURCE: NATIONAL TANF DATAFILE AS OF 4/12/2007

Table 10:45

Temporary Assistance for Needy Families - Active Cases
TANF Families with Income by Type of Non-TANF Income
FY 2006

STATE	TOTAL FAMILIES	ALL NON-TANF INCOME		EARNED INCOME		UNEARNED INCOME	
		PERCENT	MONTHLY AVERAGE	PERCENT	MONTHLY AVERAGE	PERCENT	MONTHLY AVERAGE
U.S. TOTAL	1,802,567	16.3 %	$586.73	10.1 %	$707.03	7.0 %	$350.73
ALABAMA	19,162	20.0	711.90	16.8	761.47	3.5	400.98
ALASKA	3,614	30.5	732.11	17.3	886.76	16.4	425.10
ARIZONA	39,369	10.6	263.67	10.2	253.54	0.4	523.90
ARKANSAS	8,176	6.0	222.63	2.6	391.14	3.4	92.40
CALIFORNIA	449,200	14.9	807.05	10.1	822.88	5.6	648.06
COLORADO	14,468	7.6	526.90	6.0	510.26	1.7	556.53
CONNECTICUT	18,245	28.0	425.12	13.5	757.97	17.8	95.00
DELAWARE	5,504	33.6	445.07	13.6	732.04	21.8	229.65
DIST. OF COL.	15,717	14.0	849.00	12.1	917.24	1.9	373.38
FLORIDA	52,470	7.6	315.18	2.2	613.07	5.6	188.59
GEORGIA	30,232	13.5	385.04	5.0	692.37	8.9	194.61
GUAM a/							
HAWAII	6,953	25.9	606.20	19.6	684.12	7.4	309.61
IDAHO	1,818	7.5	220.60	2.1	355.49	5.5	163.86
ILLINOIS	36,573	8.0	683.59	7.5	713.94	0.5	171.94
INDIANA	42,471	21.0	593.68	13.6	746.57	8.5	267.49
IOWA	16,675	26.4	508.72	17.2	617.38	10.3	275.48
KANSAS	17,226	22.1	285.85	8.1	442.74	14.7	185.43
KENTUCKY	33,092	96.4	300.77	11.0	385.79	95.7	258.57
LOUISIANA	11,916	6.0	576.25	5.2	647.06	0.9	89.86
MAINE	9,258	28.4	594.23	21.3	706.50	8.2	228.57
MARYLAND	20,341	6.7	368.92	3.7	451.55	3.3	247.52
MASSACHUSETTS	46,582	10.3	503.52	5.9	676.29	4.9	253.10
MICHIGAN	82,953	19.9	564.42	14.9	628.22	5.2	356.46
MINNESOTA	27,479	23.3	702.70	18.6	713.22	5.8	543.92
MISSISSIPPI	13,416	18.8	332.89	6.0	756.65	13.8	124.99
MISSOURI	38,748	7.4	1051.97	7.2	1052.14	0.2	1000.81
MONTANA	3,812	19.5	665.63	10.5	531.81	10.7	693.41
NEBRASKA	10,097	18.5	335.97	11.1	454.00	8.2	144.16
NEVADA	5,399	9.5	348.30	0.6	205.24	8.9	357.19
NEW HAMPSHIRE	6,105	19.5	653.32	13.8	787.93	6.4	290.59
NEW JERSEY	40,832	9.3	407.38	5.4	552.82	4.1	193.79
NEW MEXICO	16,896	20.9	647.86	18.5	695.58	2.8	235.15
NEW YORK	134,900	16.5	619.39	10.6	721.04	6.4	409.32
NORTH CAROLINA	30,172	8.9	467.21	4.3	747.23	4.8	198.74
NORTH DAKOTA	2,678	28.2	346.93	18.6	467.41	11.3	95.21
OHIO	79,485	13.8	582.74	10.3	682.50	4.0	251.65
OKLAHOMA	10,203	4.2	644.36	3.0	667.98	1.2	577.98
OREGON	18,496	4.5	543.21	3.0	657.21	1.6	302.51
PENNSYLVANIA	94,696	11.3	463.77	7.3	597.23	4.1	219.78
PUERTO RICO	14,325	7.2	272.65	1.8	625.51	5.4	155.67
RHODE ISLAND	9,688	25.0	683.28	17.2	828.28	8.6	335.58
SOUTH CAROLINA	15,678	19.9	460.50	12.8	608.44	7.6	178.57
SOUTH DAKOTA	2,823	6.2	228.38	3.3	304.62	2.9	136.06
TENNESSEE	68,129	19.2	636.67	14.6	690.73	5.1	419.83
TEXAS	71,766	15.2	630.89	13.1	691.33	2.8	199.39
UTAH	7,463	11.0	520.82	10.4	535.42	0.7	273.61
VERMONT	4,429	22.6	614.63	16.9	702.84	6.4	321.24
VIRGIN ISLANDS	433	8.5	169.04	3.7	270.89	5.0	87.46
VIRGINIA	9,133	50.2	781.20	49.0	792.72	1.9	189.89
WASHINGTON	54,167	12.5	530.37	7.9	636.51	5.3	302.41
WEST VIRGINIA	10,864	17.1	321.08	3.8	278.95	14.0	318.31
WISCONSIN	17,949	10.2	632.99	3.3	829.29	7.3	517.52
WYOMING	291	10.2	224.11	1.6	342.48	8.8	198.39

NOTE: 'a/' = DATA NOT REPORTED.
SOURCE: NATIONAL TANF DATAFILE AS OF 4/12/2007

Table 10:46

Temporary Assistance for Needy Families - Active Cases
TANF Adult Recipients with Income by Type of Non-TANF Income
FY 2006

STATE	TOTAL ADULTS	ALL NON-TANF INCOME		EARNED INCOME		UNEARNED INCOME	
		PERCENT	MONTHLY AVERAGE	PERCENT	MONTHLY AVERAGE	PERCENT	MONTHLY AVERAGE
U.S. TOTAL	996,312	23.4 %	$641.55	18.4 %	$702.50	6.0 %	$352.41
ALABAMA	9,869	34.7	730.16	32.8	757.48	2.6	186.30
ALASKA	3,011	31.8	750.40	21.4	861.51	12.0	448.02
ARIZONA	21,424	19.6	260.06	19.0	251.13	0.6	524.65
ARKANSAS	4,191	5.7	361.65	5.1	389.32	0.6	131.88
CALIFORNIA	187,313	29.1	814.81	24.3	822.88	6.0	610.21
COLORADO	10,402	9.1	592.11	8.5	501.77	0.6	1755.69
CONNECTICUT	9,886	47.3	443.03	24.8	757.43	28.4	75.17
DELAWARE	2,962	29.2	701.86	25.3	732.04	4.2	466.16
DIST. OF COL.	9,447	21.1	895.37	20.2	915.41	0.8	394.26
FLORIDA	15,654	13.1	487.66	7.3	613.07	6.0	316.35
GEORGIA	7,431	27.5	563.02	20.5	692.37	7.7	169.07
GUAM a/							
HAWAII	4,773	31.2	680.62	28.5	683.60	3.3	519.80
IDAHO	439	12.6	300.56	8.7	352.59	4.2	171.69
ILLINOIS	18,409	15.2	705.06	15.0	713.94	0.3	118.66
INDIANA	24,017	27.1	718.35	24.1	745.73	3.4	434.40
IOWA	11,714	30.4	577.61	24.6	613.23	7.2	346.84
KANSAS	14,039	11.7	420.21	10.0	439.06	1.8	277.25
KENTUCKY	17,441	91.3	318.73	20.9	383.66	89.4	235.67
LOUISIANA	3,585	20.1	572.90	17.5	642.75	3.0	89.86
MAINE	7,017	30.7	680.38	28.2	704.15	3.0	339.55
MARYLAND	10,395	8.2	481.71	7.2	451.55	1.3	527.39
MASSACHUSETTS	28,646	16.4	500.08	9.5	676.29	7.4	231.40
MICHIGAN	60,657	22.4	612.96	20.8	617.06	1.6	557.80
MINNESOTA	18,191	31.6	738.07	28.0	713.22	4.7	708.44
MISSISSIPPI	6,546	27.2	412.08	12.3	756.65	16.8	111.78
MISSOURI	27,823	10.0	1051.44	10.0	1052.14	0.0	461.52
MONTANA	3,082	26.0	616.76	13.2	520.36	14.7	622.53
NEBRASKA	6,555	19.6	421.67	17.1	454.00	2.8	173.92
NEVADA	2,157	9.4	514.05	1.4	205.24	8.0	567.76
NEW HAMPSHIRE	4,238	22.2	766.38	19.9	785.58	2.9	473.67
NEW JERSEY	29,203	9.6	491.31	7.6	552.82	2.3	222.87
NEW MEXICO	12,368	28.4	642.99	26.3	668.61	2.5	252.84
NEW YORK	87,067	21.0	668.65	16.8	704.92	4.6	478.26
NORTH CAROLINA	12,121	13.9	643.86	10.8	743.50	3.5	261.01
NORTH DAKOTA	2,008	32.4	378.85	24.9	467.41	9.0	73.09
OHIO	40,267	25.0	608.74	20.6	672.47	5.2	264.77
OKLAHOMA	3,944	9.0	625.44	7.8	667.44	1.2	351.51
OREGON	10,664	7.3	538.15	5.2	655.48	2.3	226.79
PENNSYLVANIA	72,058	12.2	530.00	9.6	592.10	2.6	290.35
PUERTO RICO	11,842	5.7	360.11	2.2	625.51	3.6	200.03
RHODE ISLAND	7,430	27.1	727.63	22.6	819.21	5.0	245.30
SOUTH CAROLINA	7,622	34.2	511.12	26.6	603.45	8.5	167.49
SOUTH DAKOTA	996	10.0	296.70	9.5	304.62	0.7	135.83
TENNESSEE	51,444	25.7	630.04	19.4	689.18	7.0	406.39
TEXAS	26,049	39.8	647.12	36.0	691.33	5.4	168.17
UTAH	4,900	16.7	523.73	15.8	535.12	1.0	298.64
VERMONT	3,785	22.7	673.13	20.2	688.11	2.8	490.08
VIRGIN ISLANDS	305	11.9	170.48	5.2	270.89	6.9	87.79
VIRGINIA	9,349	48.3	788.71	47.8	792.72	0.7	205.67
WASHINGTON	38,691	14.5	583.55	11.2	628.70	3.8	377.89
WEST VIRGINIA	5,978	19.5	169.71	6.8	278.95	13.4	104.84
WISCONSIN	6,853	11.9	739.31	8.6	827.17	3.6	487.50
WYOMING	54	15.4	284.14	8.4	342.48	7.6	194.68

NOTE: 'a/' = DATA NOT REPORTED.
SOURCE: NATIONAL TANF DATAFILE AS OF 4/12/2007

Table 10:47

Temporary Assistance for Needy Families - Active Cases
TANF Recipient Children with Unearned Income
FY 2006

STATE	TOTAL CHILDREN	UNEARNED INCOME	MONTHLY AVERAGE
U.S. TOTAL	3,203,631	3.0 %	$294.41
ALABAMA	34,573	--	--
ALASKA	6,768	8.2	161.94
ARIZONA	65,833	--	--
ARKANSAS	13,455	2.4	70.10
CALIFORNIA	863,466	2.0	557.25
COLORADO	27,262	0.9	82.38
CONNECTICUT	25,519	2.7	142.15
DELAWARE	9,389	16.7	139.28
DIST. OF COL.	30,035	1.0	265.98
FLORIDA	74,861	3.1	111.86
GEORGIA	51,963	5.6	138.59
GUAM a/			
HAWAII	12,512	4.0	155.05
IDAHO	2,678	3.7	132.26
ILLINOIS	72,369	0.2	197.31
INDIANA	78,050	4.9	151.03
IOWA	28,186	3.8	187.82
KANSAS	30,543	10.0	131.02
KENTUCKY	52,182	32.3	267.99
LOUISIANA	22,325	--	--
MAINE	15,087	5.8	113.81
MARYLAND	35,485	2.0	130.51
MASSACHUSETTS	63,084	0.3	468.71
MICHIGAN	154,995	3.2	181.29
MINNESOTA	49,649	2.4	199.18
MISSISSIPPI	20,992	4.9	105.35
MISSOURI	66,383	--	--
MONTANA	6,921	--	--
NEBRASKA	17,751	5.0	97.89
NEVADA	10,211	4.6	160.21
NEW HAMPSHIRE	9,223	4.0	152.48
NEW JERSEY	71,394	2.0	124.57
NEW MEXICO	31,274	0.7	158.28
NEW YORK	224,599	3.2	224.51
NORTH CAROLINA	47,254	2.7	138.80
NORTH DAKOTA	4,779	8.6	37.58
OHIO	130,068	1.0	187.35
OKLAHOMA	18,255	0.7	412.48
OREGON	30,969	--	--
PENNSYLVANIA	171,570	1.8	104.16
PUERTO RICO	27,571	1.6	82.48
RHODE ISLAND	16,881	3.5	322.65
SOUTH CAROLINA	26,980	2.9	134.91
SOUTH DAKOTA	5,110	2.0	101.18
TENNESSEE	126,926	--	--
TEXAS	139,109	0.8	153.21
UTAH	13,252	0.1	64.03
VERMONT	7,144	3.9	137.10
VIRGIN ISLANDS	943	0.1	75.00
VIRGINIA	16,791	0.8	141.28
WASHINGTON	89,477	2.8	121.24
WEST VIRGINIA	18,090	5.0	438.48
WISCONSIN	32,991	3.6	467.05
WYOMING	454	6.8	138.45

NOTE: 'a/' = DATA NOT REPORTED.
'--'= DATA NOT AVAILABLE OR REPORTED IN ERROR.
SOURCE: NATIONAL TANF DATAFILE AS OF 4/12/2007

Table 10:48

Temporary Assistance for Needy Families - Closed Cases
Percent Distribution of TANF Closed-Case Families by Reason for Closure
FY 2006

STATE	TOTAL FAMILIES	REASON FOR CLOSURE											
		EMPLOY-MENT	MARRIAGE	FEDERAL TIME LIMIT	STATE TIME LIMIT	WORK-RELATED SANCTION	OTHER SANCTION*	STATE POLICY	FAILURE TO COOPERATE**	TRANSFER TO MOE	SERVED BY TRIBAL PROGRAM	VOLUNTARY CLOSURE	OTHER
U.S. TOTAL	1,835,217	20.9	0.1	1.2	0.8	5.6	4.7	9.3	14.5	1.3	0.1	14.0	27.5
ALABAMA	20,570	26.8	0.0	1.3	0.0	21.9	11.9	10.2	9.8	0.0	0.0	10.1	8.1
ALASKA	4,284	16.3	0.0	0.0	0.0	4.6	0.1	10.4	12.0	0.0	1.4	26.3	28.9
ARIZONA	35,887	35.8	0.0	0.0	1.0	0.2	0.1	7.8	20.5	0.0	0.0	6.6	28.0
ARKANSAS	7,209	31.1	0.0	0.1	6.3	0.0	0.8	15.8	12.8	0.0	0.0	15.4	17.9
CALIFORNIA	418,649	21.6	0.2	0.1	0.0	0.2	3.7	10.9	4.8	0.7	0.0	29.7	28.0
COLORADO	17,205	3.7	0.5	0.0	0.0	4.5	1.4	30.4	7.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	52.4
CONNECTICUT	14,063	16.2	0.0	0.1	21.2	3.9	4.5	15.1	9.2	11.5	0.0	8.6	9.7
DELAWARE	6,660	0.0	0.0	0.1	1.1	32.8	4.8	2.4	3.1	0.0	0.0	12.9	42.8
DIST. OF COL.	6,992	24.5	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.6	0.8	13.9	47.5	5.9	0.0	6.8	0.0
FLORIDA	85,972	22.0	0.0	0.0	1.7	17.5	6.1	11.5	18.2	0.0	0.0	8.5	14.5
GEORGIA	28,361	0.0	0.1	0.0	0.0	1.0	2.9	1.3	29.4	0.0	0.0	12.8	52.5
GUAM a/													
HAWAII	5,803	10.6	0.0	5.5	0.0	0.1	11.2	4.2	36.5	6.0	0.0	0.1	25.9
IDAHO	2,207	37.9	0.0	0.0	1.2	2.4	19.3	5.7	9.8	0.0	17.2	0.0	6.6
ILLINOIS	30,610	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.1	17.7	13.6	1.2	0.0	11.4	56.0
INDIANA	58,095	35.0	0.1	0.0	3.4	0.4	13.9	3.8	19.3	1.6	0.0	4.1	18.4
IOWA	18,493	37.3	0.0	2.4	0.0	0.0	0.0	14.0	0.3	0.0	0.0	13.5	32.4
KANSAS	20,822	40.8	0.0	0.2	0.0	8.9	4.8	10.3	16.8	0.0	0.0	8.9	9.2
KENTUCKY	36,627	17.8	0.3	2.2	0.0	4.1	0.8	15.5	30.3	0.0	0.0	19.0	10.2
LOUISIANA	13,662	16.9	0.0	0.3	0.5	22.4	4.3	2.3	15.5	0.0	0.0	6.8	31.0
MAINE	13,033	34.8	0.0	0.5	0.0	0.5	0.7	5.6	16.2	0.1	0.0	1.7	40.1
MARYLAND	32,526	5.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	20.7	3.3	17.0	26.7	0.0	0.0	5.2	21.9
MASSACHUSETTS	29,963	25.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	12.6	15.3	24.6	0.0	0.0	11.4	11.2
MICHIGAN	71,040	36.5	0.0	0.0	1.1	13.4	2.5	12.6	5.7	0.0	0.0	8.7	19.5
MINNESOTA	32,212	33.5	0.0	1.8	0.1	0.6	5.5	23.0	22.1	5.4	0.0	7.3	0.7
MISSISSIPPI	13,254	19.1	0.1	0.2	0.6	35.2	7.5	8.9	12.8	0.0	0.0	4.0	11.6
MISSOURI	36,801	33.8	0.0	6.4	0.0	0.0	0.0	1.8	25.3	0.3	0.0	17.4	14.9
MONTANA	7,920	34.8	0.0	0.7	0.3	1.4	17.5	6.6	19.9	0.0	0.3	9.7	8.9
NEBRASKA	11,708	38.0	0.0	0.0	1.1	9.6	7.8	11.2	9.6	0.0	0.0	13.5	9.1
NEVADA	8,238	26.9	0.3	0.6	2.5	6.4	4.4	9.0	29.4	9.0	0.0	7.1	4.5
NEW HAMPSHIRE	6,487	27.8	0.0	2.8	0.0	10.1	0.3	11.3	15.7	0.0	0.0	8.1	23.9
NEW JERSEY	42,419	25.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	13.9	0.6	15.3	21.6	1.8	0.0	8.3	13.5
NEW MEXICO	32,075	17.0	0.0	0.9	0.0	20.6	0.4	0.6	37.4	0.0	0.0	13.4	9.8
NEW YORK	108,395	13.8	0.0	11.9	0.0	0.0	0.0	5.1	20.3	0.0	0.0	7.3	41.6
NORTH CAROLINA	34,501	0.3	0.0	1.7	1.9	0.0	24.5	3.0	33.2	0.0	0.0	22.3	13.2
NORTH DAKOTA	4,108	2.2	0.0	0.7	0.0	0.0	3.1	33.3	37.2	0.0	0.0	8.4	15.2
OHIO	84,293	6.4	0.0	1.0	0.0	0.1	4.1	3.8	8.7	0.0	0.0	7.2	68.8
OKLAHOMA	20,981	13.7	0.2	0.0	0.0	0.0	32.9	13.9	0.0	0.0	8.7	0.0	30.7
OREGON	22,860	20.6	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.1	3.7	1.3	0.0	0.2	0.0	74.3
PENNSYLVANIA	70,032	35.6	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	1.8	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	62.6
PUERTO RICO	8,074	13.2	0.0	17.6	0.0	0.3	0.2	10.9	22.6	0.0	0.0	20.2	15.0
RHODE ISLAND	9,334	22.3	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.4	0.0	8.6	26.0	20.1	0.0	9.8	12.8
SOUTH CAROLINA	17,315	37.3	0.0	0.1	7.3	11.5	1.5	11.5	8.6	5.2	0.0	12.5	4.6
SOUTH DAKOTA	3,599	23.3	0.0	0.0	0.0	30.4	3.3	17.0	11.2	0.0	0.0	10.0	4.7
TENNESSEE	51,860	17.3	0.0	0.0	4.3	19.4	0.8	0.7	4.4	0.0	0.0	3.8	49.3
TEXAS	112,688	6.2	0.0	0.0	0.0	17.6	15.3	7.5	26.0	8.8	0.0	12.4	6.3
UTAH	11,514	27.6	1.1	0.0	4.6	11.4	0.0	10.6	20.3	0.0	0.0	12.2	12.3
VERMONT	6,203	22.7	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	44.2	20.2	0.0	0.0	7.8	5.2
VIRGIN ISLANDS	304	24.3	0.0	9.2	0.0	0.0	11.2	7.2	38.2	0.0	0.0	9.2	0.7
VIRGINIA	11,848	15.3	0.0	3.8	16.7	0.0	2.3	3.7	5.8	0.0	0.0	20.4	32.0
WASHINGTON	60,871	31.5	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	1.8	26.6	0.0	0.0	23.2	17.0
WEST VIRGINIA	12,184	0.8	0.0	2.1	0.0	11.5	3.6	26.5	8.7	2.9	0.0	1.7	42.1
WISCONSIN	14,089	25.3	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	34.3	1.3	0.0	0.0	0.0	39.3
WYOMING	317	14.9	0.0	0.0	0.0	8.4	1.9	17.4	13.0	0.0	2.8	26.7	14.9

NOTES: '*' = CHILD SUPPORT SANCTION, TEEN PARENT FAILING TO MEET REQUIREMENTS, OR FAILURE TO MEET AN INDIVIDUAL RESPONSIBILITY PLAN.
'**' = FAILURE TO COOPERATE WITH ELIGIBILITY REQUIREMENTS.
'a/' = DATA NOT REPORTED.
SOURCE: NATIONAL TANF DATAFILE AS OF 4/12/2007

Table 10:49

Temporary Assistance for Needy Families - Closed Cases
Percent Distribution of TANF Closed-Case Families by Number of Family Members
FY 2006

STATE	TOTAL FAMILIES	NUMBER OF PERSONS								
		AVERAGE	1	2	3	4	5	6 - 10	OVER 10	UNKNOWN
U.S. TOTAL	1,835,217	2.5	20.1	38.5	24.0	10.7	4.3	1.9	0.0	0.5
ALABAMA	20,570	2.7	11.0	37.7	29.2	13.8	5.1	1.8	0.0	1.5
ALASKA	4,284	2.9	9.4	39.7	24.4	13.7	7.1	5.7	0.1	0.0
ARIZONA	35,887	2.5	17.5	41.3	25.0	10.6	3.8	1.9	0.0	0.0
ARKANSAS	7,209	2.5	12.0	47.3	24.8	11.9	2.5	1.5	0.0	0.0
CALIFORNIA	418,649	2.3	24.0	39.1	23.2	8.3	3.7	1.2	0.0	0.4
COLORADO	17,205	2.7	10.2	38.3	29.7	14.1	5.7	2.1	0.0	0.0
CONNECTICUT	14,063	2.2	24.2	45.5	20.2	7.4	1.6	1.1	0.0	0.0
DELAWARE	6,660	2.5	18.7	36.7	27.6	11.1	3.7	2.2	0.0	0.2
DIST. OF COL.	6,992	2.3	25.1	39.8	20.9	9.4	2.9	1.1	0.0	0.7
FLORIDA	85,972	2.2	32.1	32.9	20.2	10.1	3.3	1.5	0.0	0.0
GEORGIA	28,361	2.3	26.2	37.8	19.6	11.2	3.5	1.8	0.0	0.0
GUAM a/										
HAWAII	5,803	2.7	12.9	42.1	24.0	12.3	5.6	3.1	0.0	0.1
IDAHO	2,207	2.4	22.1	40.7	22.8	9.0	3.7	1.3	0.0	0.4
ILLINOIS	30,610	2.1	36.7	34.8	16.1	7.6	2.8	1.9	0.0	0.0
INDIANA	58,095	2.5	14.3	44.4	24.6	11.1	4.7	0.8	0.0	0.3
IOWA	18,493	2.6	12.7	43.8	25.4	11.8	4.7	1.6	0.0	0.2
KANSAS	20,822	2.7	12.6	35.8	27.8	14.6	7.0	2.2	0.0	0.2
KENTUCKY	36,627	2.4	20.4	39.7	24.2	10.6	4.0	0.9	0.0	0.3
LOUISIANA	13,662	2.1	31.6	30.3	18.1	10.5	3.1	1.5	0.0	4.9
MAINE	13,033	2.3	16.5	48.1	23.9	8.5	2.1	0.9	0.0	0.0
MARYLAND	32,526	3.0	10.1	33.5	27.9	14.6	6.7	6.7	0.1	0.5
MASSACHUSETTS	29,963	2.0	23.8	49.4	17.3	4.9	1.4	0.4	0.0	2.8
MICHIGAN	71,040	2.8	13.4	35.6	26.7	15.1	5.7	3.5	0.0	0.0
MINNESOTA	32,212	2.4	15.4	47.1	23.4	9.4	3.1	1.5	0.0	0.1
MISSISSIPPI	13,254	2.5	11.8	46.3	27.4	9.6	3.6	0.7	0.0	0.5
MISSOURI	36,801	2.6	9.5	45.3	28.2	11.7	3.6	1.8	0.0	0.0
MONTANA	7,920	3.0	8.6	33.5	28.2	16.8	8.0	4.7	0.1	0.3
NEBRASKA	11,708	2.6	12.0	44.8	24.7	12.1	4.7	1.7	0.0	0.0
NEVADA	8,238	2.6	15.8	39.6	25.3	12.1	5.1	2.2	0.0	0.0
NEW HAMPSHIRE	6,487	2.5	10.1	48.5	28.7	8.4	3.5	0.8	0.0	0.0
NEW JERSEY	42,419	2.3	26.3	36.4	21.0	8.9	3.2	1.8	0.0	2.3
NEW MEXICO	32,075	2.9	10.1	34.6	29.6	15.5	6.5	3.6	0.0	0.0
NEW YORK	108,395	2.4	26.5	33.6	22.5	9.8	4.4	3.3	0.0	0.0
NORTH CAROLINA	34,501	2.3	24.9	38.8	22.6	9.6	2.9	1.2	0.0	0.0
NORTH DAKOTA	4,108	2.2	28.6	37.0	18.7	9.4	2.9	1.9	0.0	1.5
OHIO	84,293	2.5	21.0	38.4	22.6	10.4	4.9	2.5	0.1	0.1
OKLAHOMA	20,981	3.1	12.8	29.4	25.2	15.3	9.7	7.0	0.2	0.5
OREGON	22,860	2.2	30.8	33.8	21.1	9.5	3.3	1.3	0.0	0.3
PENNSYLVANIA	70,032	2.6	16.2	37.6	25.0	14.2	4.6	2.5	0.0	0.1
PUERTO RICO	8,074	2.7	11.5	37.8	26.5	16.4	5.6	2.2	0.0	0.0
RHODE ISLAND	9,334	2.7	12.5	37.1	28.7	13.8	5.8	2.2	0.0	0.0
SOUTH CAROLINA	17,315	2.7	8.1	41.2	30.2	12.8	5.7	1.5	0.0	0.4
SOUTH DAKOTA	3,599	2.6	19.9	36.2	23.5	11.6	6.9	2.1	0.0	0.0
TENNESSEE	51,860	2.6	12.9	43.1	25.2	12.7	4.3	1.8	0.0	0.0
TEXAS	112,688	2.5	20.6	36.8	26.0	10.1	5.9	0.7	0.0	0.0
UTAH	11,514	2.6	10.3	44.3	25.6	13.1	4.0	1.8	0.0	0.9
VERMONT	6,203	2.8	7.2	41.3	29.6	15.5	4.1	2.4	0.0	0.0
VIRGIN ISLANDS	304	2.9	11.5	30.9	28.9	15.5	9.2	3.9	0.0	0.0
VIRGINIA	11,848	2.1	1.8	38.5	22.8	9.8	3.2	0.9	0.0	23.1
WASHINGTON	60,871	2.6	16.2	38.6	24.4	13.7	4.7	2.5	0.0	0.0
WEST VIRGINIA	12,184	3.2	1.1	34.3	33.2	17.8	9.8	3.9	0.0	0.0
WISCONSIN	14,089	2.5	23.5	37.0	21.5	9.7	5.1	3.3	0.0	0.0
WYOMING	317	2.0	32.9	39.4	20.2	6.8	0.3	0.3	0.0	0.0

NOTE: 'a/' = DATA NOT REPORTED.
SOURCE: NATIONAL TANF DATAFILE AS OF 4/12/2007

Table 10:50

Temporary Assistance for Needy Families - Closed Cases
Percent Distribution of TANF Closed-Case Families by Number of Recipient Children
FY 2006

STATE	TOTAL FAMILIES	NUMBER OF RECIPIENT CHILDREN						
		AVERAGE	1	2	3	4	5 OR MORE	UNKNOWN
U.S. TOTAL	1,835,217	1.8	47.9	27.8	11.9	4.2	1.9	6.3
ALABAMA	20,570	1.9	42.4	30.4	16.3	5.4	1.8	3.7
ALASKA	4,284	1.9	50.2	24.8	12.2	7.0	2.8	3.2
ARIZONA	35,887	1.7	49.7	26.6	13.2	3.2	1.9	5.5
ARKANSAS	7,209	1.7	53.4	29.0	13.6	2.6	1.3	0.1
CALIFORNIA	418,649	1.8	46.8	29.6	10.5	3.8	1.9	7.5
COLORADO	17,205	1.9	42.9	32.6	15.2	4.3	2.0	3.0
CONNECTICUT	14,063	1.5	55.9	23.1	7.3	1.9	0.8	11.0
DELAWARE	6,660	1.8	48.8	29.3	12.3	3.9	1.8	3.9
DIST. OF COL.	6,992	1.7	48.1	27.7	11.1	3.1	1.5	8.6
FLORIDA	85,972	1.7	54.2	25.1	11.9	3.4	1.7	3.7
GEORGIA	28,361	1.8	50.2	26.5	13.3	4.2	1.9	4.0
GUAM a/								
HAWAII	5,803	1.9	47.3	25.8	12.2	5.3	3.0	6.5
IDAHO	2,207	1.6	54.3	27.8	9.2	3.6	1.2	3.9
ILLINOIS	30,610	1.8	52.3	24.6	11.6	4.1	2.5	4.9
INDIANA	58,095	1.8	46.3	30.3	13.0	6.6	1.2	2.8
IOWA	18,493	1.7	52.3	26.8	12.7	4.4	1.6	2.3
KANSAS	20,822	1.9	42.0	30.4	13.9	4.9	1.6	7.2
KENTUCKY	36,627	1.7	53.9	27.9	11.2	3.7	0.5	2.8
LOUISIANA	13,662	1.9	42.6	27.7	14.8	5.1	2.4	7.5
MAINE	13,033	1.6	55.0	27.4	9.3	1.9	0.8	5.7
MARYLAND	32,526	2.0	40.5	30.4	13.7	6.5	4.8	4.2
MASSACHUSETTS	29,963	1.4	60.4	20.9	5.8	1.3	0.3	11.3
MICHIGAN	71,040	1.9	43.5	27.1	14.7	4.6	2.9	7.3
MINNESOTA	32,212	1.7	51.2	25.1	11.4	2.8	2.0	7.4
MISSISSIPPI	13,254	1.7	51.0	30.0	10.8	3.7	0.9	3.5
MISSOURI	36,801	1.8	49.3	31.0	11.9	3.9	1.9	2.0
MONTANA	7,920	1.9	42.2	30.7	14.3	7.1	2.2	3.6
NEBRASKA	11,708	1.8	49.0	28.0	12.9	5.1	1.8	3.1
NEVADA	8,238	1.9	44.1	29.3	14.6	6.2	2.5	3.3
NEW HAMPSHIRE	6,487	1.6	53.8	31.6	8.5	2.3	0.7	3.3
NEW JERSEY	42,419	1.8	40.4	21.9	9.7	3.1	1.7	23.3
NEW MEXICO	32,075	1.9	42.3	31.6	15.8	5.4	2.4	2.6
NEW YORK	108,395	1.7	49.3	23.7	11.5	3.6	2.0	9.9
NORTH CAROLINA	34,501	1.7	50.4	28.4	11.0	4.2	1.4	4.6
NORTH DAKOTA	4,108	1.8	47.0	25.8	10.8	4.7	2.3	9.4
OHIO	84,293	1.8	49.2	27.4	9.8	4.6	2.1	6.9
OKLAHOMA	20,981	2.0	39.8	29.8	15.3	8.4	3.2	3.4
OREGON	22,860	1.6	57.3	23.8	9.9	3.6	1.3	4.1
PENNSYLVANIA	70,032	1.8	46.7	26.9	12.6	3.7	1.9	8.2
PUERTO RICO	8,074	1.9	41.9	30.6	15.7	5.5	1.4	5.0
RHODE ISLAND	9,334	1.8	47.2	30.3	14.1	4.2	1.3	3.0
SOUTH CAROLINA	17,315	1.8	45.1	33.6	13.5	4.9	1.5	1.5
SOUTH DAKOTA	3,599	1.9	46.2	26.3	14.3	7.6	2.4	3.2
TENNESSEE	51,860	1.8	47.0	28.4	12.6	4.3	1.7	6.1
TEXAS	112,688	1.9	46.3	28.6	14.6	6.8	1.6	1.9
UTAH	11,514	1.8	48.2	29.8	12.4	4.3	1.5	3.8
VERMONT	6,203	1.7	49.5	30.8	12.8	2.3	1.4	3.3
VIRGIN ISLANDS	304	2.2	35.5	29.9	17.8	10.9	4.3	1.6
VIRGINIA	11,848	1.7	39.5	22.5	9.4	2.8	0.8	25.0
WASHINGTON	60,871	1.7	50.8	26.0	11.5	3.6	2.0	6.2
WEST VIRGINIA	12,184	1.8	45.5	32.0	14.8	3.8	1.3	2.7
WISCONSIN	14,089	1.8	49.6	24.8	11.6	5.3	3.2	5.6
WYOMING	317	1.6	55.9	29.8	10.2	1.2	0.0	2.8

NOTE: 'a/' = DATA NOT REPORTED.
SOURCE: NATIONAL TANF DATAFILE AS OF 4/12/2007

Table 10:51

Temporary Assistance for Needy Families - Closed Cases
Percent Distribution of TANF Closed-Case Families by Ethnicity/Race
FY 2006

STATE	TOTAL FAMILIES	HISPANIC*	WHITE	AFRICAN-AMERICAN	NATIVE AMERICAN	ASIAN	HAWAIIAN	MULTI-RACIAL	UNKNOWN
U.S. TOTAL	1,835,217	24.3	37.1	33.8	1.7	1.3	0.5	0.4	0.9
ALABAMA	20,570	0.9	27.7	70.8	0.2	0.1	0.1	0.2	0.0
ALASKA	4,284	3.5	54.7	10.0	20.9	4.6	3.8	2.5	0.0
ARIZONA	35,887	47.7	31.7	10.1	8.2	0.5	0.6	1.1	0.2
ARKANSAS	7,209	1.4	41.5	56.2	0.2	0.1	0.3	0.0	0.2
CALIFORNIA	418,649	49.8	24.8	19.9	0.3	3.3	1.0	0.1	0.7
COLORADO	17,205	15.7	75.9	6.7	0.8	0.3	0.4	0.1	0.0
CONNECTICUT	14,063	37.2	31.2	31.0	0.1	0.5	0.0	0.0	0.0
DELAWARE	6,660	8.6	28.0	62.8	0.3	0.2	0.1	0.1	0.0
DIST. OF COL.	6,992	2.8	0.9	95.7	0.0	0.2	0.0	0.0	0.3
FLORIDA	85,972	18.4	28.7	52.9	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
GEORGIA	28,361	3.8	24.3	71.7	0.0	0.1	0.1	0.0	0.0
GUAM a/									
HAWAII	5,803	6.8	19.1	1.3	0.3	13.5	41.7	17.5	0.0
IDAHO	2,207	14.3	79.6	1.5	3.4	0.3	0.0	0.8	0.0
ILLINOIS	30,610	7.1	31.5	60.3	0.0	0.9	0.1	0.0	0.1
INDIANA	58,095	5.8	58.3	34.6	0.1	0.3	0.1	1.0	0.0
IOWA	18,493	6.0	60.9	13.2	0.9	0.5	0.1	0.5	17.9
KANSAS	20,822	11.1	61.7	22.4	2.3	0.9	0.0	0.3	1.3
KENTUCKY	36,627	1.0	73.6	25.0	0.2	0.1	0.1	0.0	0.0
LOUISIANA	13,662	2	18	80	-	0	0	0	-
MAINE	13,033	0.6	92.8	3.5	1.8	0.8	0.0	0.6	0.0
MARYLAND	32,526	1.5	16.4	81.7	0.2	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.0
MASSACHUSETTS	29,963	34.8	41.5	19.1	0.4	3.2	0.0	0.0	0.9
MICHIGAN	71,040	3.6	48.6	46.2	1.2	0.2	0.0	0.2	0.0
MINNESOTA	32,212	8.8	47.0	29.7	10.0	3.0	0.1	1.4	0.0
MISSISSIPPI	13,254	0.4	18.8	79.6	0.2	0.4	0.4	0.1	0.1
MISSOURI	36,801	4.1	56.3	38.4	0.9	0.1	0.1	0.2	0.0
MONTANA	7,920	3.5	54.0	1.1	40.8	0.0	0.2	0.5	0.0
NEBRASKA	11,708	14.1	50.1	23.9	7.5	0.8	0.0	0.8	2.8
NEVADA	8,238	26.4	35.3	33.8	1.6	1.6	0.3	0.9	0.0
NEW HAMPSHIRE	6,487	5.0	90.6	3.3	0.1	0.5	0.1	0.5	0.0
NEW JERSEY	42,419	22.7	19.5	57.0	0.0	0.5	0.0	0.3	0.0
NEW MEXICO	32,075	70.4	18.5	3.2	7.1	0.5	0.0	0.0	0.2
NEW YORK	108,395	30.3	24.1	42.9	0.3	0.9	0.2	1.2	0.0
NORTH CAROLINA	34,501	7.1	27.2	63.3	1.6	0.3	0.0	0.6	0.0
NORTH DAKOTA	4,108	3.3	41.9	1.9	50.3	0.4	0.0	2.1	0.0
OHIO	84,293	3.2	50.4	45.9	0.1	0.2	0.0	0.2	0.0
OKLAHOMA	20,981	7.1	48.7	31.1	12.8	0.0	0.0	0.3	0.0
OREGON	22,860	16.3	67.4	9.4	4.8	1.1	0.5	0.0	0.5
PENNSYLVANIA	70,032	13.5	44.5	39.4	0.2	1.2	0.2	0.0	1.1
PUERTO RICO	8,074	99.8	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.2
RHODE ISLAND	9,334	26.6	40.3	13.1	0.8	2.0	0.0	0.0	17.3
SOUTH CAROLINA	17,315	1.2	30.1	67.6	0.4	0.1	0.0	0.6	0.0
SOUTH DAKOTA	3,599	1.9	25.7	1.8	66.2	0.2	0.1	3.9	0.0
TENNESSEE	51,860	2.2	48.2	49.0	0.3	0.3	0.0	0.1	0.0
TEXAS	112,688	43.9	26.9	27.7	0.3	0.7	0.0	0.5	0.0
UTAH	11,514	18.8	71.1	3.0	4.8	1.8	0.6	0.0	0.0
VERMONT	6,203	1.1	95.3	2.9	0.4	0.3	0.0	0.0	0.0
VIRGIN ISLANDS	304	30.9	1.3	67.8	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
VIRGINIA	11,848	3.3	32.3	62.8	0.2	0.6	0.3	0.4	0.0
WASHINGTON	60,871	17.3	58.7	9.8	3.1	1.2	1.6	0.3	8.3
WEST VIRGINIA	12,184	0.4	89.8	9.4	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.2	0.0
WISCONSIN	14,089	8.1	23.5	50.9	1.6	1.3	0.0	0.3	14.3
WYOMING	317	6.6	79.6	6.3	7.5	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0

NOTES: 'a/' = DATA NOT REPORTED.
'*' = CAN BE OF ANY RACE
SOURCE: NATIONAL TANF DATAFILE AS OF 4/12/2007

Table 10:52

Temporary Assistance for Needy Families - Closed Cases
Percent Distribution of TANF Closed-Case Families that Received Other Assistance
FY 2006

STATE	TOTAL FAMILIES	TYPE OF ASSISTANCE RECEIVED AS OF MONTH OF CLOSURE			
		MEDICAL ASSISTANCE	FOOD STAMPS	SUBSIDIZED HOUSINGS	SUBSIDIZED CHILD CARE
U.S. TOTAL	1,835,217	94.5	79.0	13.3	10.9
ALABAMA	20,570	--	64.1	19.3	0.3
ALASKA	4,284	96.5	82.8	7.4	16.8
ARIZONA	35,887	94.2	78.8	6.4	15.7
ARKANSAS	7,209	98.6	88.0	5.4	--
CALIFORNIA	418,649	100.0	83.2	4.9	4.3
COLORADO	17,205	81.8	64.9	0.3	5.5
CONNECTICUT	14,063	97.9	54.9	28.6	--
DELAWARE	6,660	100.0	91.6	11.7	30.6
DIST. OF COL.	6,992	100.0	75.2	23.6	6.3
FLORIDA	85,972	100.0	68.0	9.2	18.0
GEORGIA	28,361	92.3	78.8	9.3	--
GUAM a/					
HAWAII	5,803	100.0	85.3	13.3	--
IDAHO	2,207	96.5	76.5	3.3	16.2
ILLINOIS	30,610	97.1	31.4	--	--
INDIANA	58,095	97.4	86.8	14.8	14.8
IOWA	18,493	79.8	82.5	1.3	20.4
KANSAS	20,822	100.0	85.3	15.0	20.9
KENTUCKY	36,627	64.8	69.4	--	--
LOUISIANA	13,662	100.0	--	17.8	17.1
MAINE	13,033	96.0	85.2	8.2	17.7
MARYLAND	32,526	100.0	80.7	31.4	--
MASSACHUSETTS	29,963	100.0	61.3	70.8	0.0
MICHIGAN	71,040	98.6	82.9	11.6	20.9
MINNESOTA	32,212	95.3	94.8	27.0	16.5
MISSISSIPPI	13,254	95.8	83.0	4.5	--
MISSOURI	36,801	96.9	80.9	16.5	13.5
MONTANA	7,920	98.8	91.4	22.4	--
NEBRASKA	11,708	100.0	88.6	1.7	--
NEVADA	8,238	100.0	71.2	16.2	10.4
NEW HAMPSHIRE	6,487	100.0	89.4	22.1	19.8
NEW JERSEY	42,419	85.5	83.8	22.3	12.1
NEW MEXICO	32,075	96.8	93.0	12.5	10.3
NEW YORK	108,395	100.0	77.7	22.2	7.9
NORTH CAROLINA	34,501	100.0	--	24.3	8.9
NORTH DAKOTA	4,108	74.6	70.8	--	19.6
OHIO	84,293	99.8	77.7	8.7	8.4
OKLAHOMA	20,981	100.0	71.9	20.1	7.7
OREGON	22,860	68.6	61.7	15.3	11.7
PENNSYLVANIA	70,032	42.8	68.7	15.4	15.9
PUERTO RICO	8,074	98.6	95.0	32.8	3.6
RHODE ISLAND	9,334	99.9	88.3	22.7	16.3
SOUTH CAROLINA	17,315	100.0	84.0	23.8	21.2
SOUTH DAKOTA	3,599	100.0	79.5	35.6	9.6
TENNESSEE	51,860	100.0	73.4	2.3	--
TEXAS	112,688	100.0	91.1	12.7	--
UTAH	11,514	100.0	86.2	--	20.4
VERMONT	6,203	100.0	91.4	25.8	22.9
VIRGIN ISLANDS	304	100.0	56.9	0.0	0.0
VIRGINIA	11,848	25.0	86.2	--	20.4
WASHINGTON	60,871	99.3	77.9	12.8	19.2
WEST VIRGINIA	12,184	81.2	71.4	18.6	0.1
WISCONSIN	14,089	90.8	61.0	8.8	19.6
WYOMING	317	89.1	63.4	5.9	0.0

NOTES: 'a/' = DATA NOT REPORTED.
'--' = DATA NOT AVAILABLE OR REPORTED IN ERROR.
SOURCE: NATIONAL TANF DATAFILE AS OF 4/12/2007

Table 10:53

Temporary Assistance for Needy Families - Closed Cases
Percent Distribution of TANF Closed-Case
Adult Recipients by Age Group
FY 2006

STATE	ADULT RECIPIENTS	AGE OF ADULT RECIPIENTS				
		UNDER 20	20 - 29	30 - 39	40 - 49	OVER 49
U.S. TOTAL	1,466,729	10.5	47.6	26.6	13.0	2.4
ALABAMA	16,919	8.0	61.8	23.7	5.1	1.4
ALASKA	4,737	6.9	44.8	28.0	16.4	4.0
ARIZONA	29,936	6.9	44.6	30.9	15.6	2.1
ARKANSAS	5,836	8.6	55.5	25.7	9.1	1.2
CALIFORNIA	288,512	11.6	43.3	25.4	16.9	2.7
COLORADO	15,857	6.1	48.5	27.9	15.6	1.9
CONNECTICUT	11,868	12.2	49.4	27.0	10.0	1.4
DELAWARE	5,439	10.7	49.5	25.4	11.9	2.4
DIST. OF COL.	4,912	10.1	42.2	25.4	19.5	2.7
FLORIDA	53,235	9.1	46.7	29.4	13.0	1.8
GEORGIA	18,269	14.0	55.4	23.2	6.9	0.6
GUAM a/						
HAWAII	5,416	11.1	46.1	28.5	11.6	2.8
IDAHO	1,698	8.3	49.1	26.4	14.3	1.8
ILLINOIS	13,809	21.4	45.5	21.0	10.5	1.7
INDIANA	41,054	10.0	53.8	26.2	8.4	1.7
IOWA	16,104	8.2	52.6	27.3	10.8	1.1
KANSAS	21,186	7.9	55.2	25.6	9.5	1.8
KENTUCKY	29,210	8.6	52.2	29.7	8.6	0.9
LOUISIANA	5,146	14.2	60.2	17.9	6.6	1.1
MAINE	11,121	6.3	52.1	29.2	10.8	1.6
MARYLAND	33,804	10.5	55.4	20.8	11.0	2.2
MASSACHUSETTS	23,168	8.6	49.8	27.0	12.7	1.9
MICHIGAN	71,546	12.1	45.0	29.2	11.7	1.9
MINNESOTA	27,433	13.2	48.7	25.4	10.4	2.3
MISSISSIPPI	11,221	11.8	63.3	18.0	6.3	0.6
MISSOURI	32,293	8.4	53.9	26.4	10.2	1.1
MONTANA	8,798	5.9	50.6	29.5	12.2	1.9
NEBRASKA	9,877	9.7	51.8	27.0	10.2	1.3
NEVADA	6,025	10.2	49.8	27.5	11.0	1.6
NEW HAMPSHIRE	6,136	6.2	49.0	30.4	12.4	2.0
NEW JERSEY	38,566	8.4	47.9	26.4	15.0	2.2
NEW MEXICO	31,875	6.8	53.6	28.2	9.9	1.5
NEW YORK	93,404	12.1	41.6	26.9	15.1	4.3
NORTH CAROLINA	22,913	12.1	52.1	24.8	9.4	1.5
NORTH DAKOTA	2,513	5.9	53.3	28.2	10.6	2.0
OHIO	71,946	15.4	47.5	23.0	12.1	2.0
OKLAHOMA	22,922	13.6	48.1	25.8	8.5	4.1
OREGON	15,678	9.4	47.9	24.8	15.8	2.2
PENNSYLVANIA	68,952	11.5	46.5	27.2	12.9	1.9
PUERTO RICO	7,660	6.3	44.9	29.2	14.1	5.6
RHODE ISLAND	9,015	5.4	47.3	31.3	13.5	2.4
SOUTH CAROLINA	15,648	10.5	57.4	23.4	7.2	1.5
SOUTH DAKOTA	2,574	12.2	49.1	27.2	9.8	1.8
TENNESSEE	47,193	9.2	48.9	29.9	9.9	2.1
TEXAS	70,739	7.7	44.6	29.0	16.1	2.6
UTAH	10,247	6.8	51.5	28.2	11.1	2.3
VERMONT	6,860	6.0	53.9	27.9	10.6	1.6
VIRGIN ISLANDS	237	6.3	56.5	25.3	9.7	2.1
VIRGINIA	9,439	2.5	50.8	34.8	10.0	1.8
WASHINGTON	60,110	8.6	46.3	29.0	13.8	2.2
WEST VIRGINIA	16,993	8.3	37.6	25.9	16.5	11.7
WISCONSIN	10,520	16.3	47.5	22.1	12.8	1.2
WYOMING	164	8.4	55.1	19.2	16.8	0.6

NOTE: 'a/' = DATA NOT REPORTED.
SOURCE: NATIONAL TANF DATAFILE AS OF 4/12/2007

Table 10:54

Temporary Assistance for Needy Families - Closed Cases
Percent Distribution of TANF Closed-Case Recipient Children by Age Group
FY 2006

STATE	RECIPIENT CHILDREN	AGE OF RECIPIENT CHILDREN					
		0 - 1	2 - 5	6 - 11	12 - 15	16 - 19	UNKNOWN
U.S. TOTAL	3,051,388	17.6	29.2	29.3	16.9	6.9	0.0
ALABAMA	37,746	20.0	33.2	28.8	13.4	4.5	0.0
ALASKA	7,690	17.9	28.8	28.9	16.9	7.5	0.0
ARIZONA	59,303	11.9	27.3	35.0	18.7	7.2	0.0
ARKANSAS	12,233	18.5	32.8	27.2	14.9	6.1	0.4
CALIFORNIA	681,046	13.9	28.0	30.0	19.9	8.2	0.0
COLORADO	31,299	18.5	31.1	28.9	15.8	5.7	0.0
CONNECTICUT	19,097	19.4	32.3	27.7	14.1	6.5	0.0
DELAWARE	11,278	20.7	28.3	30.6	15.0	5.5	0.0
DIST. OF COL.	10,955	12.8	26.7	30.9	21.9	7.7	0.0
FLORIDA	139,789	18.1	28.8	29.1	17.5	6.5	0.0
GEORGIA	48,166	22.8	31.4	25.7	15.2	5.0	0.0
GUAM a/							
HAWAII	10,039	21.1	31.3	26.4	14.9	6.3	0.0
IDAHO	3,491	20.4	28.9	27.6	16.4	6.6	0.0
ILLINOIS	51,028	20.0	24.1	29.1	18.7	8.1	0.0
INDIANA	103,942	17.1	32.8	31.1	14.8	4.1	0.0
IOWA	31,407	20.7	31.6	28.5	13.5	5.6	0.0
KANSAS	35,953	21.3	32.6	29.3	11.9	4.9	0.0
KENTUCKY	58,786	17.4	30.7	31.0	13.7	7.2	0.0
LOUISIANA	24,045	19.7	30.6	29.3	14.7	5.7	0.0
MAINE	19,430	18.6	30.7	27.9	15.6	7.2	0.0
MARYLAND	63,348	18.6	29.1	29.5	15.2	7.7	0.0
MASSACHUSETTS	37,918	13.5	29.2	31.2	19.1	7.1	0.0
MICHIGAN	124,429	20.3	26.7	30.1	15.9	7.0	0.0
MINNESOTA	50,798	16.2	30.2	29.8	16.2	7.7	0.0
MISSISSIPPI	21,666	22.3	33.8	25.9	12.7	5.0	0.2
MISSOURI	63,604	18.6	33.4	27.2	15.6	5.3	0.0
MONTANA	14,863	20.6	32.7	28.6	12.5	5.6	0.0
NEBRASKA	20,349	19.7	31.7	29.5	13.4	5.6	0.0
NEVADA	15,246	21.6	28.3	32.1	12.7	5.3	0.0
NEW HAMPSHIRE	10,060	16.5	31.1	32.1	14.3	6.0	0.0
NEW JERSEY	57,160	17.4	29.9	29.7	16.2	6.8	0.0
NEW MEXICO	59,820	20.8	33.2	27.5	12.9	5.4	0.2
NEW YORK	169,740	17.0	28.6	27.1	18.9	8.4	0.0
NORTH CAROLINA	56,830	19.6	30.6	27.7	14.8	7.2	0.0
NORTH DAKOTA	6,648	22.2	30.7	28.1	14.2	4.8	0.0
OHIO	137,692	22.4	28.5	26.5	15.6	7.1	0.0
OKLAHOMA	41,193	17.8	30.3	29.0	15.7	7.1	0.0
OREGON	35,624	21.0	27.1	28.3	16.4	7.2	0.0
PENNSYLVANIA	114,580	20.5	29.6	28.5	14.8	6.5	0.0
PUERTO RICO	14,464	8.0	26.3	38.6	18.6	7.1	1.3
RHODE ISLAND	16,226	17.8	28.3	29.9	16.8	7.2	0.0
SOUTH CAROLINA	31,167	25.0	33.0	25.7	11.2	4.7	0.5
SOUTH DAKOTA	6,646	21.1	30.2	29.2	13.4	6.1	0.0
TENNESSEE	87,038	16.5	30.7	31.4	14.5	6.9	0.0
TEXAS	206,610	16.3	26.9	30.4	19.3	7.1	0.0
UTAH	19,593	21.1	31.0	28.3	14.0	5.5	0.0
VERMONT	10,282	29.4	28.4	25.1	11.5	5.7	0.0
VIRGIN ISLANDS	656	14.8	36.0	30.9	13.9	4.4	0.0
VIRGINIA	15,175	6.7	39.0	33.1	16.1	5.1	0.0
WASHINGTON	98,915	21.4	27.2	28.1	17.1	6.3	0.0
WEST VIRGINIA	21,447	20.1	28.8	28.8	15.9	6.4	0.0
WISCONSIN	24,397	20.7	27.2	26.0	18.1	8.0	0.0
WYOMING	479	18.5	34.3	25.3	16.0	6.0	0.0

NOTE: 'a/' = DATA NOT REPORTED.
SOURCE: NATIONAL TANF DATAFILE AS OF 4/12/2007

Table 10:55

Temporary Assistance for Needy Families - Closed Cases
Percent Distribution of TANF Closed-Case Youngest Child Recipient by Age Group
FY 2006

STATE	TOTAL FAMILIES	AGE OF THE YOUNGEST CHILD							
		UNDER 1	1 - 2	3 - 5	6 - 8	9 - 11	12 - 15	16 - 19	UNKNOWN
U.S. TOTAL	1,835,217	15.1	24.1	19.1	11.5	8.8	11.2	8.2	2.0
ALABAMA	20,570	19.3	25.7	20.6	12.3	7.3	8.3	4.4	2.2
ALASKA	4,284	17.1	22.8	21.3	11.3	8.1	11.3	7.3	1.0
ARIZONA	35,887	16.3	23.6	19.8	14.0	9.7	9.8	5.8	1.2
ARKANSAS	7,209	14.9	28.4	22.4	11.9	9.3	9.6	3.2	0.2
CALIFORNIA	418,649	10.0	23.4	20.3	12.5	9.2	12.2	10.1	2.3
COLORADO	17,205	17.9	24.7	20.0	11.6	9.7	10.4	4.4	1.3
CONNECTICUT	14,063	12.1	24.8	19.4	12.3	7.0	9.6	10.1	4.7
DELAWARE	6,660	19.2	23.4	18.8	13.0	8.8	9.8	6.3	0.8
DIST. OF COL.	6,992	6.6	21.7	21.3	13.5	10.7	15.6	8.9	1.7
FLORIDA	85,972	17.7	19.7	19.0	12.0	11.0	12.3	7.1	1.2
GEORGIA	28,361	19.1	25.9	18.3	9.6	7.4	11.8	7.3	0.6
GUAM a/									
HAWAII	5,803	18.0	27.3	18.4	9.4	7.2	10.0	7.4	2.3
IDAHO	2,207	19.2	20.1	17.1	11.8	8.6	13.6	8.7	1.0
ILLINOIS	30,610	16.4	22.1	12.5	13.2	10.1	14.2	11.5	0.0
INDIANA	58,095	15.6	27.5	21.1	12.3	8.8	10.0	4.8	0.0
IOWA	18,493	19.3	23.8	22.1	10.8	7.9	9.7	6.3	0.2
KANSAS	20,822	22.3	26.0	18.2	11.0	6.9	8.5	5.5	1.7
KENTUCKY	36,627	9.4	26.2	20.3	13.3	8.9	11.6	9.0	1.3
LOUISIANA	13,662	18.7	23.7	16.5	11.6	7.9	10.4	6.8	4.5
MAINE	13,033	18.4	25.3	21.3	10.3	8.2	10.8	5.2	0.6
MARYLAND	32,526	17.6	28.1	21.5	10.0	10.7	6.9	3.1	2.0
MASSACHUSETTS	29,963	12.8	22.9	22.7	11.1	11.0	7.6	8.0	4.0
MICHIGAN	71,040	21.2	21.9	14.1	12.1	9.3	10.3	10.0	1.2
MINNESOTA	32,212	17.8	23.9	19.0	11.4	7.5	9.7	9.0	1.7
MISSISSIPPI	13,254	20.9	32.5	17.1	10.8	5.2	8.4	4.7	0.2
MISSOURI	36,801	14.2	28.2	20.9	11.4	8.8	10.3	6.3	0.0
MONTANA	7,920	20.4	25.5	21.2	10.8	7.6	7.6	5.7	1.3
NEBRASKA	11,708	20.4	26.2	20.6	11.1	7.6	8.2	5.2	0.9
NEVADA	8,238	19.7	28.6	17.3	11.9	9.5	6.3	5.5	1.0
NEW HAMPSHIRE	6,487	11.3	24.9	23.5	14.4	9.3	10.6	5.9	0.1
NEW JERSEY	42,419	11.9	19.9	16.8	9.8	6.9	9.7	7.7	17.3
NEW MEXICO	32,075	19.1	31.0	19.1	10.9	7.1	8.0	4.5	0.3
NEW YORK	108,395	12.4	21.6	21.4	8.9	7.7	13.0	11.5	3.4
NORTH CAROLINA	34,501	15.1	26.5	18.8	10.2	7.9	11.7	9.1	0.8
NORTH DAKOTA	4,108	19.3	25.9	17.4	9.8	6.8	8.8	4.0	8.0
OHIO	84,293	20.8	22.7	15.9	10.5	8.3	11.1	9.3	1.5
OKLAHOMA	20,981	19.1	23.1	20.4	11.5	6.9	11.2	7.3	0.6
OREGON	22,860	19.5	20.1	18.9	11.1	8.3	12.8	8.9	0.4
PENNSYLVANIA	70,032	16.3	26.8	17.2	11.2	8.6	9.3	8.9	1.7
PUERTO RICO	8,074	8.0	20.5	25.6	18.1	9.4	11.5	6.7	0.2
RHODE ISLAND	9,334	14.9	24.7	19.5	13.8	10.3	9.8	4.8	2.2
SOUTH CAROLINA	17,315	23.1	29.6	19.6	9.6	5.9	7.9	3.7	0.5
SOUTH DAKOTA	3,599	22.5	21.3	19.2	11.8	7.4	9.7	7.7	0.3
TENNESSEE	51,860	10.3	25.8	21.3	12.2	9.7	10.6	8.5	1.8
TEXAS	112,688	16.2	23.6	15.6	11.2	10.1	15.4	7.8	0.0
UTAH	11,514	20.9	22.9	19.8	11.8	7.8	10.2	5.0	1.6
VERMONT	6,203	21.3	32.3	14.9	9.8	7.1	7.8	6.5	0.3
VIRGIN ISLANDS	304	15.1	30.3	25.3	12.5	6.9	7.2	2.6	0.0
VIRGINIA	11,848	5.5	24.4	30.3	14.3	8.7	9.8	4.6	2.3
WASHINGTON	60,871	18.2	25.1	16.9	10.3	8.3	11.3	6.5	3.3
WEST VIRGINIA	12,184	18.2	23.7	18.8	12.2	9.7	11.3	6.2	0.0
WISCONSIN	14,089	24.1	20.7	14.0	8.5	7.5	13.3	12.0	0.0
WYOMING	317	17.7	18.9	20.2	11.2	9.0	13.7	8.7	0.6

NOTE: 'a/' = DATA NOT REPORTED.
SOURCE: NATIONAL TANF DATAFILE AS OF 4/12/2007

Table 10:56

Temporary Assistance for Needy Families - Closed Cases
Percent Distribution of TANF Closed-Case Adult Recipients by Marital Status
FY 2006

STATE	ADULT RECIPIENTS	MARITAL STATUS					
		SINGLE	MARRIED	SEPARATED	WIDOWED	DIVORCED	UNKNOWN
U.S. TOTAL	1,466,729	69.5	11.7	11.0	0.6	6.7	0.5
ALABAMA	16,919	75.5	2.5	13.8	0.0	8.2	0.0
ALASKA	4,737	48.5	26.5	13.4	0.8	10.9	0.0
ARIZONA	29,936	55.3	19.1	12.9	0.7	12.0	0.0
ARKANSAS	5,836	68.4	9.2	13.0	0.4	9.0	0.0
CALIFORNIA	288,512	73.0	9.7	10.6	1.5	5.3	0.0
COLORADO	15,857	71.6	21.5	4.0	0.2	2.7	0.0
CONNECTICUT	11,868	75.0	5.0	11.5	0.4	8.1	0.0
DELAWARE	5,439	68.8	11.5	8.1	0.3	5.7	5.6
DIST. OF COL.	4,912	91.6	4.2	2.9	0.5	0.8	0.0
FLORIDA	53,235	71.7	7.5	13.9	0.0	6.9	0.0
GEORGIA	18,269	81.2	2.8	8.8	0.4	6.7	0.0
GUAM a/							
HAWAII	5,416	61.5	10.8	17.1	0.7	9.8	0.0
IDAHO	1,698	49.9	16.8	19.8	1.0	12.5	0.0
ILLINOIS	13,809	81.2	5.2	1.2	0.5	5.0	6.9
INDIANA	41,054	60.5	12.6	13.4	0.2	13.2	0.0
IOWA	16,104	69.6	17.6	5.4	0.5	7.0	0.0
KANSAS	21,186	56.7	20.8	11.0	0.5	11.1	0.0
KENTUCKY	29,210	51.7	14.3	20.8	0.3	10.0	2.8
LOUISIANA	5,146	78.5	4.2	10.8	0.4	6.0	0.0
MAINE	11,121	68.4	10.0	10.9	0.4	10.4	0.0
MARYLAND	33,804	85.0	4.0	7.9	0.2	2.9	0.0
MASSACHUSETTS	23,168	77.4	7.6	9.2	0.3	5.6	0.0
MICHIGAN	71,546	70.7	11.8	8.0	0.4	9.1	0.0
MINNESOTA	27,433	72.6	4.0	14.1	0.6	8.7	0.0
MISSISSIPPI	11,221	79.1	4.3	8.0	0.1	8.5	0.0
MISSOURI	32,293	68.0	9.9	11.9	0.5	7.3	2.5
MONTANA	8,798	55.2	25.6	9.3	0.4	9.5	0.0
NEBRASKA	9,877	66.4	2.9	21.6	0.4	8.8	0.0
NEVADA	6,025	64.8	11.5	12.6	0.0	11.1	0.0
NEW HAMPSHIRE	6,136	56.7	14.8	13.2	0.2	15.1	0.0
NEW JERSEY	38,566	82.1	4.5	9.6	0.4	3.4	0.0
NEW MEXICO	31,875	66.5	19.7	9.6	0.6	3.5	0.0
NEW YORK	93,404	69.0	15.3	9.1	0.8	2.1	3.7
NORTH CAROLINA	22,913	80.5	4.2	10.6	0.4	4.3	0.0
NORTH DAKOTA	2,513	60.2	11.6	13.1	0.1	15.0	0.0
OHIO	71,946	68.4	16.4	7.8	0.4	7.0	0.0
OKLAHOMA	22,922	67.4	12.4	13.4	0.5	6.2	0.0
OREGON	15,678	68.3	12.3	10.7	0.6	8.1	0.0
PENNSYLVANIA	68,952	66.5	17.3	10.0	0.2	6.0	0.0
PUERTO RICO	7,660	79.1	12.4	4.3	0.4	3.8	0.0
RHODE ISLAND	9,015	74.7	18.6	4.7	0.0	1.6	0.4
SOUTH CAROLINA	15,648	69.9	7.2	17.2	0.4	4.9	0.3
SOUTH DAKOTA	2,574	71.5	8.2	9.3	0.6	6.2	4.2
TENNESSEE	47,193	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
TEXAS	70,739	58.5	10.4	21.3	0.0	8.3	1.6
UTAH	10,247	49.4	15.4	20.5	0.7	14.0	0.0
VERMONT	6,860	63.9	19.9	8.6	0.0	6.0	1.6
VIRGIN ISLANDS	237	80.2	4.2	5.9	0.0	9.7	0.0
VIRGINIA	9,439	60.6	10.9	18.3	0.6	9.6	0.0
WASHINGTON	60,110	51.7	22.6	13.6	0.6	11.5	0.0
WEST VIRGINIA	16,993	36.6	32.0	12.0	2.2	17.2	0.0
WISCONSIN	10,520	81.7	3.9	6.5	0.3	7.6	0.0
WYOMING	164	57.5	13.2	14.4	0.0	15.0	0.0

NOTE: 'a/' = DATA NOT REPORTED.
SOURCE: NATIONAL TANF DATAFILE AS OF 4/12/2007

Table 10:57

Temporary Assistance for Needy Families - Closed Cases
Percent Distribution of TANF Closed-Case Adult Recipients by Educational Level
FY 2006

STATE	ADULT RECIPIENTS	NO FORMAL EDUCATION	YEARS OF EDUCATION				
			1 -6	7 - 9	10 - 11	12	MORE THAN 12
U.S. TOTAL	1,466,729	1.4	1.5	7.9	30.0	54.8	4.5
ALABAMA	16,919	1.5	0.2	12.1	30.5	41.6	14.1
ALASKA	4,737	--	--	--	--	--	--
ARIZONA	29,936	2.9	2.1	12.1	27.1	49.7	6.2
ARKANSAS	5,836	0.1	0.8	10.6	26.3	61.0	1.2
CALIFORNIA	288,512	0.3	1.8	1.1	46.1	49.6	1.1
COLORADO	15,857	--	--	--	--	--	--
CONNECTICUT	11,868	0.8	0.8	10.0	28.0	52.4	7.9
DELAWARE	5,439	0.0	0.5	4.9	15.1	78.7	0.8
DIST. OF COL.	4,912	0.0	2.6	23.2	44.4	27.9	1.9
FLORIDA	53,235	--	--	--	--	--	--
GEORGIA	18,269	1.8	0.1	8.8	29.0	53.3	7.0
GUAM a/							
HAWAII	5,416	0.0	0.6	5.4	22.6	67.1	4.2
IDAHO	1,698	--	--	--	--	--	--
ILLINOIS	13,809	0.0	0.2	11.0	36.2	49.0	3.6
INDIANA	41,054	2.8	0.5	11.1	26.5	55.8	3.3
IOWA	16,104	5.4	0.5	11.8	14.5	66.8	1.1
KANSAS	21,186	2.3	0.9	8.6	24.1	54.7	9.4
KENTUCKY	29,210	3.0	1.8	10.9	23.9	59.8	0.6
LOUISIANA	5,146	0.0	0.7	12.8	27.4	58.4	0.7
MAINE	11,121	0.6	0.3	6.9	20.4	67.9	3.9
MARYLAND	33,804	0.0	1.9	11.5	32.6	50.1	3.9
MASSACHUSETTS	23,168	0.3	0.0	6.0	24.7	63.2	5.7
MICHIGAN	71,546	0.2	0.3	6.1	30.5	56.0	6.9
MINNESOTA	27,433	2.9	2.0	5.0	27.6	52.6	9.9
MISSISSIPPI	11,221	0.0	0.6	10.6	26.5	52.3	10.0
MISSOURI	32,293	0.3	0.1	3.8	6.7	79.0	10.1
MONTANA	8,798	0.0	0.2	9.4	23.9	61.8	4.8
NEBRASKA	9,877	0.0	0.9	3.6	14.2	78.4	2.8
NEVADA	6,025	0.2	0.3	7.1	34.5	44.0	14.0
NEW HAMPSHIRE	6,136	0.6	0.4	8.5	17.5	65.6	7.4
NEW JERSEY	38,566	0.3	1.6	17.9	22.5	54.0	3.7
NEW MEXICO	31,875	0.0	2.1	7.4	22.9	65.2	2.4
NEW YORK	93,404	2.3	2.3	10.3	32.8	49.9	2.3
NORTH CAROLINA	22,913	0.8	0.3	14.1	38.7	43.2	2.9
NORTH DAKOTA	2,513	2.7	0.3	6.8	11.9	67.7	10.6
OHIO	71,946	0.0	0.7	8.6	28.4	58.4	3.8
OKLAHOMA	22,922	2.7	6.0	13.8	32.8	41.0	3.7
OREGON	15,678	0.1	0.4	1.7	5.6	90.0	2.2
PENNSYLVANIA	68,952	4.8	0.8	7.3	21.1	59.9	6.1
PUERTO RICO	7,660	2.2	7.6	21.1	16.4	47.0	5.8
RHODE ISLAND	9,015	0.0	3.2	12.3	26.4	45.5	12.6
SOUTH CAROLINA	15,648	0.4	0.1	8.5	23.4	66.3	1.4
SOUTH DAKOTA	2,574	0.0	0.6	11.5	28.6	58.4	0.8
TENNESSEE	47,193	6.0	3.9	9.0	20.8	56.9	3.4
TEXAS	70,739	2.1	1.6	13.6	26.1	54.6	2.1
UTAH	10,247	0.0	6.8	5.6	26.8	53.3	7.5
VERMONT	6,860	1.5	0.7	8.4	20.8	64.4	4.3
VIRGIN ISLANDS	237	1.7	3.4	27.4	23.6	38.4	5.5
VIRGINIA	9,439	0.7	0.4	8.5	24.2	62.7	3.6
WASHINGTON	60,110	0.7	1.3	8.1	23.4	51.1	15.5
WEST VIRGINIA	16,993	2.3	1.0	17.9	25.4	43.3	10.1
WISCONSIN	10,520	0.6	0.7	8.8	38.1	50.7	1.2
WYOMING	164	0.0	1.8	5.4	15.0	77.2	0.6

NOTES: 'a/' = DATA NOT REPORTED.
'b/' = DATA REPORTED IN ERROR.
SOURCE: NATIONAL TANF DATAFILE AS OF 4/12/2007

Table 10:58

Temporary Assistance for Needy Families - Closed Cases
Percent Distribution of TANF Closed-Case Adult Recipients by Employment Status
FY 2006

STATE	ADULT RECIPIENTS	EMPLOYMENT STATUS			
		EMPLOYED	UNEMPLOYED*	NOT IN LABOR FORCE**	UNKNOWN
U.S. TOTAL	1,466,729	30.0	44.4	24.5	1.1
ALABAMA	16,919	45.8	50.5	3.7	0.0
ALASKA	4,737	46.3	51.0	2.6	0.0
ARIZONA	29,936	14.0	0.0	86.0	0.0
ARKANSAS	5,836	39.8	1.1	59.1	0.0
CALIFORNIA	288,512	36.3	40.8	22.9	0.0
COLORADO	15,857	33.3	66.7	0.0	0.0
CONNECTICUT	11,868	30.7	48.3	21.0	0.0
DELAWARE	5,439	42.8	33.5	18.2	5.6
DIST. OF COL.	4,912	30.0	52.3	17.7	0.0
FLORIDA	53,235	14.6	4.0	81.4	0.0
GEORGIA	18,269	41.7	0.0	58.3	0.0
GUAM a/					
HAWAII	5,416	32.7	42.4	24.9	0.0
IDAHO	1,698	52.7	30.0	17.3	0.0
ILLINOIS	13,809	4.5	95.2	0.0	0.2
INDIANA	41,054	43.0	28.9	25.7	2.4
IOWA	16,104	47.4	49.4	3.3	0.0
KANSAS	21,186	15.6	71.3	13.1	0.0
KENTUCKY	29,210	0.0	90.1	7.1	2.8
LOUISIANA	5,146	49.3	34.5	16.2	0.0
MAINE	11,121	53.6	0.0	46.4	0.0
MARYLAND	33,804	27.1	59.5	13.4	0.0
MASSACHUSETTS	23,168	41.6	53.4	0.0	5.0
MICHIGAN	71,546	35.7	39.6	24.7	0.0
MINNESOTA	27,433	53.2	40.3	6.5	0.0
MISSISSIPPI	11,221	13.3	58.6	27.8	0.3
MISSOURI	32,293	3.6	81.2	12.7	2.5
MONTANA	8,798	43.7	56.3	0.0	0.0
NEBRASKA	9,877	51.6	48.4	0.0	0.0
NEVADA	6,025	0.0	65.6	34.4	0.0
NEW HAMPSHIRE	6,136	44.8	5.7	49.5	0.0
NEW JERSEY	38,566	29.5	67.8	2.7	0.0
NEW MEXICO	31,875	36.6	0.8	62.6	0.0
NEW YORK	93,404	20.2	66.6	9.2	4.0
NORTH CAROLINA	22,913	4.5	5.7	84.5	5.4
NORTH DAKOTA	2,513	45.9	3.3	49.5	1.4
OHIO	71,946	26.6	66.0	0.4	7.0
OKLAHOMA	22,922	20.3	79.7	0.0	0.0
OREGON	15,678	26.9	47.6	25.5	0.0
PENNSYLVANIA	68,952	34.1	65.9	0.0	0.0
PUERTO RICO	7,660	10.3	46.3	43.2	0.2
RHODE ISLAND	9,015	43.9	32.8	22.9	0.4
SOUTH CAROLINA	15,648	50.8	37.8	9.7	1.6
SOUTH DAKOTA	2,574	28.5	67.4	0.0	4.2
TENNESSEE	47,193	22.2	61.3	16.6	0.0
TEXAS	70,739	31.1	43.0	24.3	1.6
UTAH	10,247	22.5	77.3	0.2	0.0
VERMONT	6,860	34.8	44.4	19.2	1.6
VIRGIN ISLANDS	237	29.5	67.1	0.0	3.4
VIRGINIA	9,439	60.3	0.0	39.3	0.4
WASHINGTON	60,110	32.2	0.6	67.2	0.0
WEST VIRGINIA	16,993	5.8	17.2	77.0	0.0
WISCONSIN	10,520	39.4	55.2	5.4	0.0
WYOMING	164	13.8	82.0	4.2	0.0

NOTES: 'a/ = DATA NOT REPORTED.
'*' = UNEMPLOYED, LOOKING FOR WORK.
'**' = UNEMPLOYED, NOT LOOKING FOR WORK (INCLUDES DISCOURAGED WORKERS).
SOURCE: NATIONAL TANF DATAFILE AS OF 4/12/2007

Table 10:59

Temporary Assistance for Needy Families - Closed Cases
TANF Closed-Case Families with Income by Type of Non-TANF Income
FY 2006

STATE	TOTAL FAMILIES	ALL NON-TANF INCOME		EARNED INCOME		UNEARNED INCOME	
		PERCENT	MONTHLY AVERAGE	PERCENT	MONTHLY AVERAGE	PERCENT	MONTHLY AVERAGE
U.S. TOTAL	1,835,217	32.5	$914.64	23.4	$1,007.70	12.5	$466.53
ALABAMA	20,570	40.4	$927.77	37.5	$930.72	3.3	$778.58
ALASKA	4,284	49.9	$907.62	31.0	$1,050.52	24.3	$500.96
ARIZONA	35,887	7710.0%	$422.42	11.2	$740.64	76.3	$318.22
ARKANSAS	7,209	39.8	$640.57	32.1	$713.01	9.6	$269.27
CALIFORNIA	418,649	33.8	$1,218.45	24.0	$1,325.70	15.5	$583.10
COLORADO	17,205	15.8	$480.77	13.5	$519.36	2.3	$240.32
CONNECTICUT	14,063	49.9	$622.09	24.8	$908.97	34.6	$246.08
DELAWARE	6,660	30.8	$1,025.42	21.1	$1,231.64	13.8	$402.27
DIST. OF COL.	6,992	52.8	$828.91	19.3	$1,247.33	46.5	$414.61
FLORIDA	85,972	11.3	$776.84	8.4	$864.36	3.4	$457.33
GEORGIA	28,361	39.0	$763.26	26.9	$925.41	17.0	$285.78
GUAM a/							
HAWAII	5,803	36.3	$745.95	30.3	$766.50	8.3	$467.16
IDAHO	2,207	15.3	$514.39	9.4	$679.36	6.6	$226.33
ILLINOIS	30,610	3.8	526.2	1.9	798.2	1.8	238.2
INDIANA	58,095	39.5	$896.76	27.7	$1,037.38	15.9	$404.26
IOWA	18,493	46.3	$776.09	31.4	$835.96	19.3	$498.15
KANSAS	20,822	42.2	$571.32	15.4	$609.89	32.7	$449.64
KENTUCKY	36,627	30.1	$867.80	27.7	$883.52	2.7	$623.28
LOUISIANA	13,662	21.3	$835.16	18.3	$918.35	3.6	$273.58
MAINE	13,033	57.1	$885.20	43.2	$993.44	27.4	$264.71
MARYLAND	32,526	33.7	$937.35	27.4	$1,039.87	8.8	$352.72
MASSACHUSETTS	29,963	26.9	$1,016.99	26.1	$1,034.73	0.8	$418.86
MICHIGAN	71,040	43.6	$963.54	37.3	$991.05	10.0	$506.80
MINNESOTA	32,212	49.9	$987.69	44.6	$1,003.25	7.1	$637.37
MISSISSIPPI	13,254	48.6	$582.80	24.4	$868.20	32.5	$218.87
MISSOURI	36,801	4.3	$733.58	3.3	$773.03	1.5	$444.33
MONTANA	7,920	25.8	$804.12	15.9	$487.74	12.6	1010.7
NEBRASKA	11,708	18.0	$451.71	16.1	$487.24	2.2	$131.91
NEVADA	8,238	--	--	--	--	11.0	$351.88
NEW HAMPSHIRE	6,487	46.8	$1,114.37	40.8	$1,166.23	7.9	$577.04
NEW JERSEY	42,419	24.8	$907.58	18.6	$1,012.30	7.8	$471.03
NEW MEXICO	32,075	40.5	$857.95	34.3	$924.29	7.9	$392.33
NEW YORK	108,395	23.7	$945.43	17.9	$1,061.11	7.2	$478.71
NORTH CAROLINA	34,501	--	--	--	--	--	--
NORTH DAKOTA	4,108	37.7	$762.11	27.2	$917.31	15.1	$250.98
OHIO	84,293	24.0	$622.49	17.4	$724.70	7.8	$305.61
OKLAHOMA	20,981	34.1	$898.77	21.8	$1,043.51	14.0	$566.89
OREGON	22,860	6.3	651.3	5.4	720.3	0.9	243.6
PENNSYLVANIA	70,032	44.1	$879.69	33.1	$1,018.93	16.8	$300.64
PUERTO RICO	8,074	20.9	$497.83	11.5	$669.42	9.6	$283.64
RHODE ISLAND	9,334	47.8	$1,253.28	39.9	$1,314.47	10.3	$713.70
SOUTH CAROLINA	17,315	54.6	$880.30	45.1	$953.47	12.7	$398.80
SOUTH DAKOTA	3,599	9.3	$285.89	7.6	$323.80	1.9	$104.82
TENNESSEE	51,860	20.5	$736.52	20.2	$737.42	0.3	$682.50
TEXAS	112,688	26.0	$776.69	19.2	$846.41	9.8	$406.53
UTAH	11,514	20.9	$585.58	20.1	$597.02	1.3	$206.60
VERMONT	6,203	44.5	$1,045.21	37.3	$1,075.44	9.5	$679.14
VIRGIN ISLANDS	304	33.9	$775.64	22.7	$987.78	16.4	$234.68
VIRGINIA	11,848	49.7	$1,279.72	47.4	$1,296.99	3.7	$488.66
WASHINGTON	60,871	49.2	$1,202.35	41.0	$1,274.48	13.8	$499.04
WEST VIRGINIA	12,184	42.9	$582.55	2.5	$277.38	41.3	$587.68
WISCONSIN	14,089	30.7	$914.08	22.2	$988.93	10.8	$568.40
WYOMING	317	18.3	$353.05	7.1	$505.74	12.4	$229.95

NOTES: 'a/' = DATA NOT REPORTED.
'--' = DATA NOT AVAILABLE OR REPORTED IN ERROR.
SOURCE: NATIONAL TANF DATAFILE AS OF 4/12/2007

Table 10:60

Separate State Program - Maintenance of Effort - Active Cases
Percent Distribution of SSP-MOE Families by Number of Family Members
FY 2006

STATE	TOTAL FAMILIES	NUMBER OF FAMILY MEMBERS								
		AVERAGE	1	2	3	4	5	6 - 10	OVER 10	UNKNOWN
ALABAMA	252	4.2	0.0	0.3	31.5	38.9	17.2	12.0	0.0	0.0
CALIFORNIA	38,433	4.0	2.3	5.6	31.6	30.0	17.0	13.3	0.2	0.0
CONNECTICUT	3,851	3.0	7.6	33.2	30.4	16.7	7.9	4.3	0.0	0.0
DELAWARE	105	4.2	0.0	1.6	33.9	31.2	20.3	13.1	0.0	0.0
DIST. OF COL.	458	2.8	8.3	43.9	25.2	12.5	5.6	4.5	0.0	0.0
FLORIDA	877	3.9	0.0	2.1	43.2	33.2	12.4	9.1	0.0	0.0
GEORGIA	86	4.2	0.0	0.3	32.3	36.4	19.7	11.3	0.0	0.0
HAWAII	2,479	3.6	5.7	19.9	26.8	22.0	14.1	11.5	0.0	0.0
ILLINOIS	854	2.0	67.8	3.3	9.5	8.2	6.1	5.1	0.0	0.0
INDIANA	2,062	4.2	0.7	3.3	26.9	34.4	20.3	14.5	0.0	0.0
IOWA	4,407	2.1	63.8	1.6	12.5	12.4	6.1	3.6	0.0	0.0
MAINE	1,906	3.3	8.7	25.7	27.8	19.1	9.6	8.8	0.3	0.0
MARYLAND	2,647	3.1	6.3	36.6	26.5	16.4	7.9	6.2	0.2	0.0
MASSACHUSETTS	1,312	3.7	1.0	11.0	45.1	21.5	11.7	9.7	0.1	0.0
MINNESOTA	3,033	4.4	0.0	1.6	38.0	24.1	16.9	18.8	0.6	0.0
MISSOURI	5,600	3.4	2.0	24.0	30.7	25.5	11.7	6.1	0.0	0.0
NEBRASKA	2,724	3.3	4.6	32.8	24.6	18.7	10.5	8.6	0.2	0.0
NEVADA	1,630	3.1	6.8	33.0	28.2	18.3	8.5	5.1	0.0	0.0
NEW HAMPSHIRE	152	4.1	5.0	7.0	26.9	29.2	17.6	13.4	0.9	0.0
NEW JERSEY	1,577	4.2	0.2	1.2	35.0	30.6	18.3	14.3	0.4	0.0
NEW YORK	43,063	3.5	6.2	22.4	29.0	20.5	11.9	9.7	0.4	0.0
RHODE ISLAND	2,581	2.9	15.4	27.8	28.1	16.0	8.6	4.1	0.1	0.0
SOUTH CAROLINA	2,397	2.9	3.9	40.8	30.9	15.0	6.3	3.1	0.0	0.0
TENNESSEE	1,247	3.9	2.3	14.5	25.8	26.9	18.2	12.3	0.1	0.0
TEXAS	2,008	4.2	0.0	0.9	31.8	31.3	21.6	14.3	0.0	0.0
UTAH	29	5.0	0.0	2.0	19.8	27.3	19.8	29.4	1.7	0.0
VERMONT	341	2.8	0.3	43.7	36.8	13.7	4.0	1.5	0.0	0.0
VIRGINIA	25,823	2.2	33.1	35.2	18.9	8.3	3.0	1.4	0.0	0.2
WASHINGTON	1,918	4.1	0.4	12.3	25.4	27.1	16.8	18.0	0.1	0.0
WEST VIRGINIA	630	4.0	0.0	0.0	39.0	34.2	19.2	7.6	0.0	0.0
WISCONSIN	335	4.3	0.0	21.6	23.0	17.3	12.3	24.9	1.0	0.0
WYOMING	17	2.6	0.0	55.2	26.1	18.7	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0

SOURCE: NATIONAL SSP-MOE DATAFILE AS OF 4/5/2007

Table 10:61

Separate State Program - Maintenance of Effort - Active Cases
Percent Distribution of SSP-MOE Families by Number of Recipient Children
FY 2006

STATE	TOTAL FAMILIES	NUMBER OF RECIPIENT CHILDREN						
		AVERAGE	1	2	3	4	5 OR MORE	UNKNOWN
ALABAMA	252	2.2	31.5	38.9	17.2	8.4	3.6	0.3
CALIFORNIA	38,433	2.4	29	32.8	20	10.2	7.7	0.3
CONNECTICUT	3,851	1.9	45.4	28	13.2	4.9	3.3	5.3
DELAWARE	105	2.2	33.9	31.2	20.3	8.4	4.7	1.6
DIST. OF COL.	458	1.9	46.2	24.9	13.9	5.8	4.4	4.6
FLORIDA	877	1.9	43.2	33.2	12.4	6.7	2.4	2.1
GEORGIA	86	2.2	32.3	36.4	19.7	6.1	5.2	0.3
HAWAII	2,479	2.2	37.8	29.2	17.3	8.3	6.4	1.0
ILLINOIS	854	2.3	11.6	9.3	6.2	2.6	2.7	67.5
INDIANA	2,062	2.4	26.5	35.6	21.7	10.1	5.6	0.5
IOWA	4,407	2.1	12.7	13.1	6.3	3	1.0	63.9
MAINE	1,906	2.2	37.7	31.3	15.9	7.9	6.1	1.1
MARYLAND	2,647	2	42.1	30.5	14.1	5.9	4.8	2.7
MASSACHUSETTS	1,312	1.9	49.1	25.1	12.6	6.5	4.3	2.4
MINNESOTA	3,033	2.4	38	24.3	16.8	9.5	9.9	1.6
MISSOURI	5,600	1.9	43.7	31.9	15.3	5.3	2.1	1.7
NEBRASKA	2,724	2	41.2	26.2	15.3	7	4.6	5.7
NEVADA	1,630	2	39.7	28.3	15	7.1	3.0	6.8
NEW HAMPSHIRE	152	2.4	31.7	31.9	20.8	8.8	6.7	0.2
NEW JERSEY	1,577	2.2	35.1	30.6	18.3	8.2	6.5	1.3
NEW YORK	43,063	2.5	26	29.3	18.8	10.5	7.9	7.5
RHODE ISLAND	2,581	2.2	33.3	30.9	20.8	9.7	4.3	0.9
SOUTH CAROLINA	2,397	1.8	45.3	31.1	13.2	4	2.1	4.3
TENNESSEE	1,247	2.4	27.7	30.6	22.9	10.4	7.2	1.2
TEXAS	2,008	2.3	31.8	31.3	21.6	9.4	4.9	0.9
UTAH	29	3	20.3	27.6	20.1	10.5	20.6	0.9
VERMONT	341	1.7	48.3	36	11.7	2.7	1.0	0.3
VIRGINIA	25,823	1.6	58.4	26.1	10	2.6	1.3	1.7
WASHINGTON	1,918	2.5	26.7	32.1	20.7	11.8	8.0	0.7
WEST VIRGINIA	630	2	39	34.3	19.2	5.8	1.8	0.0
WISCONSIN	335	2.9	31.1	22.2	14.8	11.5	20.4	0.0
WYOMING	17	1.6	55.2	26.1	18.7	0	0.0	0.0

SOURCE: NATIONAL SSP-MOE DATAFILE AS OF 4/5/2007

Table 10:62

Separate State Program - Maintenance of Effort - Active Cases
Percent Distribution of SSP-MOE Families by Ethnicity/Race
FY 2006

STATE	FAMILIES	HISPANIC*	WHITE	AFRICAN-AMERICAN	NATIVE AMERICAN	ASIAN	HAWAIIAN	MULTI-RACIAL	UNKNOWN
ALABAMA	252	2.1	69.0	26.1	0.6	1.7	0.0	0.6	0.0
CALIFORNIA	38,433	28.4	38.3	9.2	0.5	22.0	1.0	0.6	0.0
CONNECTICUT	3,851	41.3	34.7	22.6	0.2	1.3	0.0	0.0	0.0
DELAWARE	105	12.8	44.3	42.1	0.2	0.5	0.0	0.2	0.0
DIST. OF COL.	458	1.6	0.5	98.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
FLORIDA	877	61.0	24.3	13.6	0.0	0.0	1.0	0.0	0.0
GEORGIA	86	3.6	45.4	48.8	0.2	1.8	0.1	0.1	0.0
HAWAII	2,479	2.3	8.2	0.4	0.1	18.5	64.7	6.0	0.0
ILLINOIS	854	5.8	26.2	65.5	0.0	2.3	0.0	0.1	0.0
INDIANA	2,062	8.1	76.2	13.9	0.1	0.7	0.1	0.9	0.0
IOWA	4,407	3.9	65.7	10.1	1.1	0.9	0.1	0.5	17.8
MAINE	1,906	1.4	84.2	10.3	1.8	1.6	0.0	0.8	0.0
MARYLAND	2,647	1.6	28.0	69.4	0.4	0.7	0.0	0.0	0.0
MASSACHUSETTS	1,312	29.1	49.3	16.1	0.2	3.2	0.7	0.0	1.4
MINNESOTA	3,033	4.5	41.0	19.7	10.7	22.8	0.0	1.3	0.0
MISSOURI	5,600	2.4	73.3	23.2	0.7	0.3	0.0	0.1	0.0
NEBRASKA	2,724	9.6	57.5	21.0	6.8	0.8	0.0	0.3	4.0
NEVADA	1,630	16.9	48.0	28.4	2.9	2.2	0.4	1.2	0.0
NEW HAMPSHIRE	152	4.8	85.6	8.6	0.1	0.5	0.0	0.4	0.0
NEW JERSEY	1,577	29.6	34.5	32.6	0.5	2.4	0.1	0.4	0.0
NEW YORK	43,063	37.4	10.6	49.3	0.4	1.7	0.1	0.7	0.0
RHODE ISLAND	2,581	31.5	30.1	14.9	0.2	2.9	0.0	0.0	20.4
SOUTH CAROLINA	2,397	0.6	43.2	55.6	0.3	0.2	0.0	0.0	0.0
TENNESSEE	1,247	9.5	53.3	28.8	0.1	8.2	0.0	0.1	0.0
TEXAS	2,008	57.3	30.0	11.0	0.4	1.1	0.1	0.2	0.0
UTAH	29	5.5	53.2	33.1	2.9	3.2	2.0	0.0	0.0
VERMONT	341	0.1	97.3	2.0	0.5	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.0
VIRGINIA	25,823	4.7	34.7	58.8	0.2	1.1	0.2	0.3	0.0
WASHINGTON	1,918	43.3	20.0	5.3	0.6	8.0	7.8	0.0	15.0
WEST VIRGINIA	630	0.2	96.1	3.2	0.1	0.3	0.0	0.1	0.0
WISCONSIN	335	8.3	4.4	8.6	0.0	75.9	0.5	0.2	2.1
WYOMING	17	4.4	84.7	7.4	3.4	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0

NOTE: '*'=CAN BE OF ANY RACE.

SOURCE: NATIONAL SSP-MOE DATAFILE AS OF 4/5/2007

Table 10:63

Separate State Program - Maintenance of Effort - Active Cases
Percent Distribution of SSP-MOE Families Receiving Assistance
FY 2006

STATE	TOTAL FAMILIES	MEDICAL ASSISTANCE	FOOD STAMPS	SUBSIDIZED HOUSING	SUBSIDIZED CHILD CARE
ALABAMA	252	--	67.4	7.8	0.3
CALIFORNIA	38,433	100.0	96.9	20.5	1.6
CONNECTICUT	3,851	99.3	92.4	38.7	0.0
DELAWARE	105	100.0	96.1	4.7	22.2
DIST. OF COL.	458	100.0	95.8	35.0	3.7
FLORIDA	877	100.0	95.8	4.4	16.1
GEORGIA	86	97.0	85.4	5.0	--
HAWAII	2,479	100.0	80.2	15.4	5.7
ILLINOIS	854	99.4	76.3	--	0.0
INDIANA	2,062	98.9	97.6	9.4	4.8
IOWA	4,407	94.2	33.5	1.4	3.8
MAINE	1,906	99.9	96.2	12.3	13.3
MARYLAND	2,647	100.0	90.9	32.4	0.1
MASSACHUSETTS	1,312	100.0	86.8	27.0	14.9
MINNESOTA	3,033	98.2	99.9	17.3	14.5
MISSOURI	5,600	94.3	85.6	14.4	5.0
NEBRASKA	2,724	100.0	94.3	2.1	13.6
NEVADA	1,630	100.0	88.8	19.1	6.3
NEW HAMPSHIRE	152	100.0	94.3	21.0	8.5
NEW JERSEY	1,577	98.4	94.1	19.3	0.0
NEW YORK	43,063	100.0	95.7	44.3	18.1
RHODE ISLAND	2,581	100.0	97.3	38.5	4.3
SOUTH CAROLINA	2,397	100.0	97.0	23.4	3.4
TENNESSEE	1,247	100.0	95.9	1.5	6.8
TEXAS	2,008	100.0	96.4	12.8	11.6
UTAH	29	100.0	98.3	--	27.0
VERMONT	341	100.0	89.8	12.4	49.0
VIRGINIA	25,823	75.0	69.1	--	6.7
WASHINGTON	1,918	85.1	99.9	15.8	12.0
WEST VIRGINIA	630	98.5	97.1	20.3	8.0
WISCONSIN	335	98.2	92.8	16.7	16.3
WYOMING	17	98.0	85.7	28.1	80.3

NOTE: '--'=DATA NOT REPORTED OR REPORTED IN ERROR.

SOURCE: NATIONAL SSP-MOE DATAFILE AS OF 4/5/2007

Table 10:64

Separate State Program - Maintenance of Effort - Active Cases
Percent Distribution of SSP-MOE Adult Recipients by Age Group
FY 2006

STATE	TOTAL ADULTS	AGE OF ADULT RECIPIENTS					
		UNDER 20	20 - 29	30 - 39	40 - 49	OVER 49	UNKNOWN
ALABAMA	504	2.0	39.6	34.3	20.9	3.2	0.0
CALIFORNIA	62,854	4.0	43.7	29.8	17.6	4.9	0.0
CONNECTICUT	4,686	4.8	36.7	33.5	20.2	4.9	0.0
DELAWARE	210	5.5	44.6	32.8	15.5	1.7	0.0
DIST. OF COL.	425	0.0	13.8	28.8	46.2	11.1	0.0
FLORIDA	1,754	1.1	22.4	41.6	27.9	6.9	0.0
GEORGIA	172	3.7	31.4	34.8	25.0	5.1	0.0
HAWAII	3,566	4.5	42.6	29.5	14.9	8.5	0.0
ILLINOIS	1,078	26.7	42.2	19.5	9.0	2.5	0.1
INDIANA	3,861	2.8	49.8	32.4	12.7	2.3	0.0
IOWA	5,846	7.6	55.3	24.7	10.9	1.5	0.0
MAINE	2,204	1.5	39.8	36.9	18.4	3.5	0.0
MARYLAND	2,943	1.6	25.4	30.3	28.2	14.5	0.0
MASSACHUSETTS	2,365	6.3	47.1	30.1	13.6	2.9	0.0
MINNESOTA	6,070	8.3	48.9	26.8	11.2	4.8	0.0
MISSOURI	8,708	11.8	46.6	25.9	12.8	2.9	0.0
NEBRASKA	3,723	4.6	52.0	27.3	13.4	2.6	0.0
NEVADA	1,956	6.8	43.1	30.3	16.3	3.5	0.0
NEW HAMPSHIRE	258	3.0	45.3	31.6	16.8	3.3	0.0
NEW JERSEY	3,149	4.0	37.8	30.3	20.9	6.9	0.0
NEW YORK	51,982	7.6	23.3	34.8	26.1	8.1	0.0
RHODE ISLAND	1,804	9.7	42.7	26.5	15.7	5.4	0.0
SOUTH CAROLINA	2,754	0.7	22.9	35.9	31.3	9.1	0.0
TENNESSEE	1,873	2.8	43.7	36.6	13.9	3.0	0.0
TEXAS	4,016	5.3	35.9	29.5	22.2	7.1	0.0
UTAH	57	2.5	29.6	37.2	23.7	7.1	0.0
VERMONT	378	3.8	62.0	24.4	8.0	1.8	0.0
VIRGINIA	15,393	8.1	54.3	24.6	10.3	2.7	0.0
WASHINGTON	3,243	1.0	29.5	40.0	20.3	9.2	0.0
WEST VIRGINIA	1,260	6.0	59.5	25.7	7.6	1.1	0.0
WISCONSIN	476	4.3	31.5	24.2	23.4	16.5	0.0
WYOMING	17	13.8	55.2	28.1	2.0	1.0	0.0

SOURCE: NATIONAL SSP-MOE DATAFILE AS OF 4/5/2007

Table 10:65

Separate State Program - Maintenance of Effort - Active Cases
Percent Distribution of SSP-MOE Adult Recipients by Marital Status
FY 2006

STATE	TOTAL ADULTS	MARITAL STATUS				
		SINGLE	MARRIED	SEPARATED	WIDOWED	DIVORCED
ALABAMA	504	4.9	93.2	1.3	0.0	0.5
CALIFORNIA	62,854	33.8	65.1	0.3	0.0	0.7
CONNECTICUT	4,686	58.1	26.6	8.8	0.6	5.9
DELAWARE	210	44.0	52.5	2.5	0.0	1.0
DIST. OF COL.	425	85.3	4.7	6.6	0.9	2.4
FLORIDA	1,754	19.3	78.1	1.3	0.1	1.3
GEORGIA	172	26.4	70.4	2.8	0.0	0.4
HAWAII	3,566	26.5	65.2	6.0	1.4	0.9
ILLINOIS	1,078	66.2	31.3	0.5	0.1	1.8
INDIANA	3,861	25.6	68.9	2.3	0.1	3.1
IOWA	5,846	57.9	35.8	2.7	0.1	3.6
MAINE	2,204	36.2	54.0	4.1	0.5	5.3
MARYLAND	2,943	60.5	22.5	10.8	0.8	5.4
MASSACHUSETTS	2,365	53.2	41.5	3.1	0.3	1.9
MINNESOTA	6,070	42.4	52.9	2.7	0.2	1.8
MISSOURI	8,708	45.3	46.5	3.4	0.2	4.6
NEBRASKA	3,723	51.6	29.5	12.4	0.3	6.2
NEVADA	1,956	51.1	25.7	11.4	0.4	11.5
NEW HAMPSHIRE	258	31.2	62.6	2.4	0.4	3.5
NEW JERSEY	3,149	48.3	48.0	2.5	0.2	1.0
NEW YORK	51,982	77.2	12.8	6.6	0.8	2.6
RHODE ISLAND	1,804	62.8	34.7	2.2	0.1	0.3
SOUTH CAROLINA	2,754	40.9	25.1	22.9	1.1	9.9
TENNESSEE	1,873	28.2	60.9	7.0	0.5	3.4
TEXAS	4,016	8.3	91.4	0.1	0.0	0.2
UTAH	57	11.3	87.9	0.7	0.0	0.0
VERMONT	378	84.3	10.0	5.2	0.0	0.5
VIRGINIA	15,393	58.4	19.9	13.0	0.5	8.2
WASHINGTON	3,243	18.4	69.7	7.5	1.5	2.7
WEST VIRGINIA	1,260	28.3	65.4	1.8	0.1	4.3
WISCONSIN	476	10.7	68.7	6.1	6.0	8.4
WYOMING	17	79.3	0.0	8.4	0.0	12.3

SOURCE: NATIONAL SSP-MOE DATAFILE AS OF 4/5/2007

Table 10:66

Separate State Program - Maintenance of Effort - Active Cases
Percent Distribution of SSP-MOE Adult Recipients by Educational Level
FY 2006

STATE	TOTAL ADULTS	NO FORMAL EDUCATION	YEARS OF EDUCATION				
			1 - 6	7 - 9	10 - 11	12	MORE THAN 12
ALABAMA	504	0.3	1.6	15.7	20.3	44.7	17.4
CALIFORNIA	62,854	3.9	3.3	5.0	31.6	53.6	2.6
CONNECTICUT	4,686	3.3	4.0	14.5	25.2	47.2	5.9
DELAWARE	210	0.0	0.6	4.9	12.0	81.6	0.9
DIST. OF COL.	425	0.2	2.5	30.5	40.5	23.1	3.4
FLORIDA	1,754	--	--	--	--	--	--
GEORGIA	172	3.3	0.9	13.0	17.0	60.5	5.3
HAWAII	3,566	0.0	6.8	9.7	17.1	62.0	4.4
ILLINOIS	1,078	0.0	10.9	11.2	22.2	53.9	1.7
INDIANA	3,861	2.3	0.6	11.4	27.4	56.1	2.3
IOWA	5,846	7.0	0.6	10.1	14.4	66.8	1.1
MAINE	2,204	2.7	1.2	7.4	22.8	62.3	3.5
MARYLAND	2,943	0.0	2.1	11.5	28.2	54.0	4.1
MASSACHUSETTS	2,365	2.2	0.0	8.3	31.8	53.0	4.6
MINNESOTA	6,070	19.8	2.4	6.8	22.1	42.5	6.3
MISSOURI	8,708	0.3	0.2	3.8	41.9	46.7	7.1
NEBRASKA	3,723	0.0	1.7	3.7	15.0	77.4	2.3
NEVADA	1,956	0.7	1.3	9.5	30.3	44.6	13.7
NEW HAMPSHIRE	258	3.3	1.6	10.9	16.2	61.3	6.7
NEW JERSEY	3,149	0.7	2.9	18.6	13.2	56.6	8.0
NEW YORK	51,982	1.5	4.4	12.6	35.9	43.8	1.7
RHODE ISLAND	1,804	0.0	4.1	17.5	31.3	44.4	2.8
SOUTH CAROLINA	2,754	0.4	0.9	10.6	24.3	62.9	0.9
TENNESSEE	1,873	7.4	4.4	9.6	18.0	57.0	3.5
TEXAS	4,016	18.5	4.9	12.0	19.7	41.8	3.2
UTAH	57	0.0	40.9	9.9	11.3	31.6	6.3
VERMONT	378	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	88.3	11.7
VIRGINIA	15,393	1.3	0.8	12.5	28.3	53.9	3.1
WASHINGTON	3,243	6.2	18.8	10.7	10.6	40.9	12.8
WEST VIRGINIA	1,260	0.1	0.3	16.3	32.1	41.0	10.2
WISCONSIN	476	--	--	--	--	--	--
WYOMING	17	0.0	0.0	0.0	1.0	79.8	19.2

NOTE: '--'= DATA REPORTED IN ERROR.

SOURCE: NATIONAL SSP-MOE DATAFILE AS OF 4/5/2007

Table 10:67

Separate State Program - Maintenance of Effort - Active Cases
Percent Distribution of SSP-MOE Adult Recipients by Work Exemption Status
FY 2006

STATE	TOTAL ADULTS	DISREGARDED			EXEMPT		REQUIRED TO PARTICIPATE		DEEMED ENGAGED IN WORK	
		CHILD UNDER 12 MONTHS	SANCTION/ WAIVER	TRIBAL WORK	PARENT w/CHILD UNDER AGE 6*	DISABLED/ OTHER	DID NOT PARTICIPATE	PARTICIPATED	TEEN PARENT IN EDUCATION	PARENT w/CHILD UNDER AGE 6
ALABAMA	504	0.0	9.8	0.0	0.0	18.4	38.7	33.0	0.0	0.0
CALIFORNIA	62,854	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.1	2.4	44.2	52.9	0.5	0.0
CONNECTICUT	4,686	2.3	2.6	0.0	0.0	55.4	28.9	10.3	0.0	0.5
DELAWARE	210	0.0	4.3	0.0	0.0	0.0	80.6	14.5	0.6	0.0
DIST. OF COL.	425	0.2	0.0	0.0	0.0	97.0	0.9	1.7	0.0	0.2
FLORIDA	1,754	0.0	12.9	0.0	0.0	0.8	43.2	39.7	0.3	3.1
GEORGIA	172	2.5	0.0	0.0	0.0	41.6	13.4	41.9	0.6	0.0
HAWAII	3,566	30.9	14.7	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.6	45.9	0.3	7.6
ILLINOIS	1,078	0.0	1.7	0.0	0.0	0.0	50.1	43.0	5.2	0.0
INDIANA	3,861	0.0	2.8	0.0	0.0	12.5	50.7	28.1	0.2	5.7
IOWA	5,846	9.7	4.8	0.0	0.0	0.1	34.8	42.3	1.7	6.6
MAINE	2,204	0.3	1.5	0.3	0.0	22.4	19.1	55.3	0.3	0.7
MARYLAND	2,943	16.9	0.7	0.0	0.0	51.0	0.0	30.6	0.1	0.7
MASSACHUSETTS	2,365	0.5	0.0	0.0	0.0	55.5	25.0	19.0	0.0	0.0
MINNESOTA	6,070	0.0	5.6	7.3	0.0	2.7	26.1	57.2	1.1	0.0
MISSOURI	8,708	0.6	3.0	0.0	0.0	23.8	52.6	17.6	2.0	0.4
NEBRASKA	3,723	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.1	49.1	32.3	17.1	0.0	1.4
NEVADA	1,956	3.7	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.6	28.5	66.7	0.1	0.3
NEW HAMPSHIRE	258	0.0	8.3	0.0	0.0	2.5	28.2	60.8	0.0	0.2
NEW JERSEY	3,149	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	15.3	57.1	27.5	0.1	0.0
NEW YORK	51,982	1.4	8.9	0.0	0.0	12.9	37.3	26.6	0.0	13.0
RHODE ISLAND	1,804	4.1	3.5	0.0	0.0	9.9	60.8	18.8	0.3	2.6
SOUTH CAROLINA	2,754	0.0	0.3	0.0	0.0	89.6	5.2	4.6	0.0	0.1
TENNESSEE	1,873	2.8	17.8	0.0	0.0	2.8	32.6	44.1	0.0	0.0
TEXAS	4,016	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	29.3	35.1	34.4	1.1	0.0
UTAH	57	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	14.6	85.1	0.3	0.0
VERMONT	378	5.1	0.4	0.0	0.1	2.9	65.9	22.9	0.5	2.2
VIRGINIA	15,393	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	64.5	20.2	13.8	0.0	1.4
WASHINGTON	3,243	1.9	0.0	0.0	0.0	3.5	73.4	19.1	0.1	2.0
WEST VIRGINIA	1,260	0.0	7.1	0.0	0.3	1.1	55.8	35.7	0.0	0.0
WISCONSIN	476	1.6	3.4	0.0	0.0	0.9	5.0	76.3	1.4	11.4
WYOMING	17	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0

NOTE: '*' = CHILD CARE UNAVAILABLE.
SOURCE: NATIONAL SSP-MOE DATAFILE AS OF 4/5/2007

Table 10:68

Separate State Program - Maintenance of Effort - Active Cases
Percent Distribution of SSP-MOE Adult Recipients by Citizenship Status
FY 2006

STATE	TOTAL ADULTS	U.S. CITIZEN	QUALIFIED ALIEN	NON-QUALIFIED ALIEN	UNKNOWN
ALABAMA	1,046	99.4	0.6	0.0	0.0
CALIFORNIA	155,119	78.6	21.4	0.0	0.0
CONNECTICUT	11,568	94.1	5.9	0.0	0.0
DELAWARE	438	97.0	3.0	0.0	0.0
DIST. OF COL.	1,277	99.5	0.4	0.0	0.1
FLORIDA	3,402	59.8	40.2	0.0	0.0
GEORGIA	358	98.5	1.4	0.0	0.1
HAWAII	8,950	69.7	30.2	0.0	0.1
ILLINOIS	1,720	66.2	33.8	0.1	0.0
INDIANA	8,709	99.0	1.0	0.0	0.0
IOWA	9,159	96.5	3.5	0.0	0.0
MAINE	6,312	86.7	12.5	0.8	0.0
MARYLAND	8,120	94.5	5.5	0.0	0.0
MASSACHUSETTS	4,825	82.1	17.9	0.0	0.0
MINNESOTA	13,213	69.9	30.0	0.1	0.0
MISSOURI	19,132	98.2	1.8	0.0	0.0
NEBRASKA	8,982	95.2	4.8	0.0	0.0
NEVADA	4,997	95.6	4.4	0.0	0.0
NEW HAMPSHIRE	616	81.3	18.7	0.0	0.0
NEW JERSEY	6,630	95.3	4.7	0.0	0.0
NEW YORK	150,408	93.6	0.6	5.9	0.0
RHODE ISLAND	7,458	88.2	5.6	6.2	0.0
SOUTH CAROLINA	6,933	99.7	0.3	0.0	0.0
TENNESSEE	4,854	84.8	1.1	14.1	0.0
TEXAS	8,522	94.1	5.9	0.0	0.0
UTAH	145	33.6	66.4	0.0	0.0
VERMONT	963	99.3	0.7	0.0	0.0
VIRGINIA	56,115	98.0	2.0	0.0	0.0
WASHINGTON	7,935	47.7	7.8	44.5	0.0
WEST VIRGINIA	2,505	99.9	0.1	0.0	0.0
WISCONSIN	1,452	17.9	82.1	0.0	0.0
WYOMING	45	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0

SOURCE: NATIONAL SSP-MOE DATAFILE AS OF 4/5/2007

Table 10:69

Separate State Program - Maintenance of Effort - Active Cases
Percent Distribution of SSP-MOE Adult Recipients by Employment Status
FY 2006

STATE	TOTAL ADULTS	EMPLOYMENT STATUS		
		EMPLOYED	NOT EMPLOYED*	NOT IN LABOR FORCE**
ALABAMA	504	23.5	54.2	22.3
CALIFORNIA	62,854	29.8	57.0	13.1
CONNECTICUT	4,686	14.6	23.6	61.8
DELAWARE	210	19.8	70.7	9.4
DIST. OF COL.	425	3.0	1.5	95.5
FLORIDA	1,754	16.6	24.9	58.5
GEORGIA	172	14.7	0.0	85.3
HAWAII	3,566	32.8	43.3	23.9
ILLINOIS	1,078	10.3	89.6	0.1
INDIANA	3,861	30.6	43.4	26.0
IOWA	5,846	17.5	82.5	0.0
MAINE	2,204	25.4	7.1	67.5
MARYLAND	2,943	6.5	93.5	0.0
MASSACHUSETTS	2,365	28.9	70.3	0.8
MINNESOTA	6,070	28.9	68.5	2.5
MISSOURI	8,708	15.1	84.9	0.0
NEBRASKA	3,723	31.8	68.2	0.0
NEVADA	1,956	16.8	79.0	4.2
NEW HAMPSHIRE	258	28.8	16.4	54.8
NEW JERSEY	3,149	14.4	81.3	4.3
NEW YORK	51,982	30.6	55.0	14.4
RHODE ISLAND	1,804	17.7	31.2	51.1
SOUTH CAROLINA	2,754	5.8	8.5	85.7
TENNESSEE	1,873	15.2	62.1	22.7
TEXAS	4,016	32.3	39.5	28.3
UTAH	57	18.7	81.3	0.0
VERMONT	378	12.1	61.2	26.7
VIRGINIA	15,393	14.9	7.1	78.0
WASHINGTON	3,243	10.6	3.1	86.3
WEST VIRGINIA	1,260	15.7	56.0	28.3
WISCONSIN	476	13.4	86.6	0.0
WYOMING	17	13.8	0.0	86.2

NOTES: '*'=UNEMPLOYED, LOOKING FOR WORK.
'**'=UNEMPLOYED, NOT LOOKING FOR WORK.
SOURCE: NATIONAL SSP-MOE DATAFILE AS OF 4/5/2007

Table 10:70

Separate State Program - Maintenance of Effort - Active Cases
Percent Distribution of SSP-MOE Adult Recipients Participating in Work Activities*
FY 2006

STATE	TOTAL ADULTS	WORK PARTICIPATION		TYPE OF WORK ACTIVITIES				
		NO	YES	UNSUBSIDIZED EMPLOYMENT	WORK PREPARATION**	JOB SEARCH	JOB TRAINING/ EDUCATION	OTHER WORK ACTIVITIES
ALABAMA	504	65.6	34.4	22.2	2.1	6.7	7.0	1.3
CALIFORNIA	62,854	59.3	40.7	28.9	1.3	3.6	9.1	0.8
CONNECTICUT	4,686	86.4	13.6	13.6	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
DELAWARE	210	71.1	28.9	21.8	17.7	5.7	1.4	0.0
DIST. OF COL.	425	96.2	3.8	2.3	0.1	0.6	0.8	0.0
FLORIDA	1,754	59.2	40.8	21.8	13.9	5.1	7.3	0.0
GEORGIA	172	61.9	38.1	13.2	18.4	5.0	8.5	1.9
HAWAII	3,566	69.0	31.0	25.8	0.8	6.7	2.5	0.0
ILLINOIS	1,078	25.0	75.0	10.2	8.7	0.4	33.5	32.5
INDIANA	3,861	65.1	34.9	29.9	1.2	3.6	2.8	0.0
IOWA	5,846	49.0	51.0	17.4	0.8	6.1	23.2	10.5
MAINE	2,204	47.5	52.5	23.9	8.2	5.4	26.5	0.0
MARYLAND	2,943	71.7	28.3	19.3	10.0	5.5	2.5	0.1
MASSACHUSETTS	2,365	64.9	35.1	28.9	1.6	1.2	4.7	0.0
MINNESOTA	6,070	34.7	65.3	28.9	4.8	9.4	20.7	22.3
MISSOURI	8,708	75.1	24.9	9.5	0.9	4.0	8.7	6.5
NEBRASKA	3,723	81.7	18.3	9.0	0.6	5.9	3.2	1.0
NEVADA	1,956	32.0	68.0	22.3	55.8	4.7	3.0	0.0
NEW HAMPSHIRE	258	49.2	50.8	28.2	5.9	10.1	9.3	11.6
NEW JERSEY	3,149	71.9	28.1	11.5	10.1	4.3	9.6	0.0
NEW YORK	51,982	59.7	40.3	29.1	10.0	0.0	2.2	0.1
RHODE ISLAND	1,804	73.2	26.8	17.7	0.7	3.3	3.3	3.6
SOUTH CAROLINA	2,754	73.3	26.7	5.7	16.9	0.5	2.3	4.1
TENNESSEE	1,873	50.7	49.3	15.2	1.3	27.1	0.2	36.8
TEXAS	4,016	63.4	36.6	25.2	4.6	11.5	3.5	0.8
UTAH	57	21.3	78.7	26.5	32.1	31.0	43.2	0.0
VERMONT	378	62.5	37.5	11.7	1.3	2.8	16.9	13.3
VIRGINIA	15,393	80.7	19.3	11.0	1.1	9.7	0.8	0.0
WASHINGTON	3,243	76.9	23.1	10.2	10.6	3.9	2.7	4.5
WEST VIRGINIA	1,260	60.6	39.4	9.8	16.6	4.2	11.5	2.0
WISCONSIN	476	25.2	74.8	4.5	27.2	7.5	69.1	0.0
WYOMING	17	0.5	99.5	8.9	1.5	0.0	0.0	99.5

NOTES: '*'= SOME PARTICIPATED IN 2 OR 3 WORK ACTIVITIES.

"**'= SUBSIDIZED JOBS, ON-THE-JOB TRAINING, WORK EXPERIENCE OR COMMUNITY SERVICES.

SOURCE: NATIONAL SSP-MOE DATAFILE AS OF 4/5/2007

Table 10:71

Separate State Program - Maintenance of Effort - Active Cases
Percent Distribution of SSP-MOE Recipient Children by Age Group
FY 2006

STATE	TOTAL CHILDREN	AGE OF RECIPIENT CHILDREN					
		0 -1	2 - 5	6 - 11	12 - 15	16 - 19	UNKNOWN
ALABAMA	542	18.1	29.6	30.1	16.6	5.5	0.0
CALIFORNIA	92,265	16.9	26.7	29.8	18.3	8.2	0.0
CONNECTICUT	6,883	16.4	22.8	29.3	20.6	10.8	0.0
DELAWARE	228	25.2	31.9	27.8	10.5	4.6	0.0
DIST. OF COL.	851	4.3	16.6	36.1	30.3	12.8	0.0
FLORIDA	1,647	12.9	26.8	33.4	18.4	8.6	0.0
GEORGIA	186	16.9	26.4	31.2	18.2	7.3	0.0
HAWAII	5,385	24.2	29.0	26.8	14.0	6.0	0.0
ILLINOIS	642	21.4	25.8	31.8	13.7	7.1	0.2
INDIANA	4,849	22.5	33.0	29.0	12.0	3.5	0.0
IOWA	3,314	30.9	32.2	23.3	9.9	3.4	0.3
MAINE	4,108	12.3	25.2	29.9	17.1	15.0	0.5
MARYLAND	5,177	11	20	29	25	16	0.0
MASSACHUSETTS	2,460	23.1	28.1	29.6	13.6	5.6	0.0
MINNESOTA	7,142	19.3	32.7	28.0	14.2	5.7	0.0
MISSOURI	10,424	29.8	29.7	23.8	11.7	5.0	0.0
NEBRASKA	5,258	25.3	30.0	28.1	11.4	5.2	0.0
NEVADA	3,042	24.6	28.0	28.0	14.2	5.2	0.0
NEW HAMPSHIRE	358	18.5	26.7	25.7	12.8	16.2	0.1
NEW JERSEY	3,481	20.8	28.1	28.9	15.7	6.5	0.0
NEW YORK	98,426	7.3	18.9	36.9	25.2	11.6	0.0
RHODE ISLAND	5,654	13.4	23.4	33.6	20.6	9.1	0.0
SOUTH CAROLINA	4,179	8.6	20.2	31.2	26.4	13.6	0.0
TENNESSEE	2,981	17.8	30.3	31.6	14.5	5.8	0.0
TEXAS	4,506	19.1	27.4	29.7	16.4	7.4	0.0
UTAH	87	17.2	24.8	29.8	18.1	9.9	0.1
VERMONT	585	29.0	35.9	23.3	8.2	3.7	0.0
VIRGINIA	40,722	21.3	25.8	27.2	18.2	7.6	0.0
WASHINGTON	4,692	16.0	26.2	32.2	16.6	9.1	0.0
WEST VIRGINIA	1,244	29.8	35.8	23.5	8.0	2.9	0.0
WISCONSIN	976	12.6	23.9	35.0	19.1	9.4	0.0
WYOMING	28	21.7	43.1	22.6	12.0	0.6	0.0

SOURCE: NATIONAL SSP-MOE DATAFILE AS OF 4/5/2007

Table 10:72

Separate State Program - Maintenance of Effort - Active Cases
SSP-MOE Families Receiving Cash Assistance and Average Monthly Amount
by Number of Recipient Children
FY 2006

STATE	TOTAL FAMILIES	CASH ASSISTANCE	AVERAGE MONTHLY AMOUNT				
			ALL FAMILIES	1 CHILD	2 CHILDREN	3 CHILDREN	4 OR MORE
ALABAMA	252	100.0	$245.99	$214.80	$242.12	$267.31	$310.14
CALIFORNIA	38,433	99.9	625.15	568.61	601.42	656.08	727.32
CONNECTICUT	3,851	100.0	500.10	433.75	524.68	604.69	732.62
DELAWARE	105	100.0	316.14	269.38	305.70	344.03	427.13
DIST. OF COL.	458	99.9	362.31	294.39	370.31	451.27	580.98
FLORIDA	877	100.0	320.90	280.46	328.04	371.58	436.76
GEORGIA	86	100.0	293.80	255.80	294.09	313.38	369.41
HAWAII	2,479	100.0	511.81	436.81	493.08	573.24	678.36
ILLINOIS	854	99.4	250.65	298.75	329.93	331.20	377.18
INDIANA	2,062	99.9	270.62	242.69	264.56	277.80	324.18
IOWA	4,407	36.5	381.81	333.05	377.26	424.02	492.90
MAINE	1,906	99.7	483.16	341.39	469.59	573.55	808.95
MARYLAND	2,647	99.9	449.7	361.6	466.6	544.1	685.6
MASSACHUSETTS	1,312	95.4	740.16	634.07	807.06	873.99	928.70
MINNESOTA	3,033	100.0	493.22	411.07	457.49	526.64	683.60
MISSOURI	5,600	100.0	275.66	235.99	288.47	326.37	380.50
NEBRASKA	2,724	99.9	360.61	296.33	367.50	432.34	528.94
NEVADA	1,630	100.0	313.20	269.26	320.82	375.72	442.83
NEW HAMPSHIRE	152	100.0	474.33	403.13	469.67	503.94	590.58
NEW JERSEY	1,577	100.0	432.96	387.10	426.39	471.96	517.83
NEW YORK	43,063	99.7	494.47	393.27	478.72	538.93	665.12
RHODE ISLAND	2,581	100.0	450.42	353.88	443.13	512.02	616.53
SOUTH CAROLINA	2,397	100.0	206.92	182.50	217.53	241.75	303.37
TENNESSEE	1,247	100.0	208.55	160.98	196.37	227.43	285.47
TEXAS	2,008	100.0	265.52	222.68	254.45	293.96	349.90
UTAH	29	100.0	459.52	380.24	423.57	464.04	542.26
VERMONT	341	99.6	657.18	639.61	661.72	692.76	733.36
VIRGINIA	25,823	100.0	257.61	210.57	296.47	372.29	442.31
WASHINGTON	1,918	100.0	123.05	126.83	117.67	109.97	139.07
WEST VIRGINIA	630	100.0	297.08	265.79	298.50	334.06	357.86
WISCONSIN	335	100.0	579.28	562.44	588.24	578.32	589.96
WYOMING	17	100.0	253.62	239.27	269.30	274.03	

NOTE: '--'=DATA NOT REPORTED.

SOURCE: NATIONAL SSP-MOE DATAFILE AS OF 4/5/2007

Table 10:73

Separate State Program - Maintenance of Effort - Active Cases
SSP-MOE Families with Income by Type of Non-SSP Income
FY 2006

STATE	TOTAL FAMILIES	ALL NON-SSP INCOMES		EARNED INCOME		UNEARNED INCOME	
		PERCENT	MONTHLY AVERAGE	PERCENT	MONTHLY AVERAGE	PERCENT	MONTHLY AVERAGE
ALABAMA	252	38.6	$1,155.54	36.9	$1,180.12	2.4	$438.10
CALIFORNIA	38,433	43.4	--	42.5	$108.48	7.4	--
CONNECTICUT	3,851	51.2	$369.94	15.6	$948.14	38.9	$105.85
DELAWARE	105	41.9	--	41.9	$96.31	4.8	--
DIST. OF COL.	458	6.7	$632.27	3.2	$887.76	3.6	$399.12
FLORIDA	877	18.7	$772.42	12.3	$861.89	7.0	$548.68
GEORGIA	86	33.1	$813.11	25.2	$880.23	9.0	$528.57
HAWAII	2,479	45.6	$921.85	42.2	$942.14	5.0	$453.54
ILLINOIS	854	12.2	$842.62	12.1	$847.34	0.1	$295.50
INDIANA	2,062	48.9	$975.12	43.3	$999.46	7.9	$551.13
IOWA	4,407	25.1	$676.87	19.0	$730.73	7.3	$421.74
MAINE	1,906	33.0	$689.62	25.8	$792.49	8.9	$253.31
MARYLAND	2,647	9.5	$508.12	6.6	$69.48	4.1	$1,067.07
MASSACHUSETTS	1,312	46.2	$845.38	33.5	$971.44	15.7	$410.13
MINNESOTA	3,033	50.6	$990.23	46.7	$1,000.94	5.9	$564.20
MISSOURI	5,600	12.1	$1,162.18	11.3	$1,190.01	0.9	$679.79
NEBRASKA	2,724	24.8	$416.42	17.9	$508.61	7.7	$160.56
NEVADA	1,630	--	--	--	--	12.1	$424.41
NEW HAMPSHIRE	152	49.5	$1,018.83	41.3	$1,029.46	12.0	$653.54
NEW JERSEY	1,577	17.8	$634.55	14.8	$691.51	3.5	$321.50
NEW YORK	43,063	34.6	$662.47	30.0	$677.12	5.5	$473.57
RHODE ISLAND	2,581	19.5	$611.31	11.8	$718.16	8.2	$419.02
SOUTH CAROLINA	2,397	17.0	$396.55	6.9	$507.39	10.6	$307.35
TENNESSEE	1,247	27.1	$684.84	22.0	$729.98	5.8	$431.61
TEXAS	2,008	54.8	$948.04	50.9	$956.40	5.8	$562.87
UTAH	29	35.5	$812.53	33.1	$836.24	2.9	$379.80
VERMONT	341	14.2	$402.93	13.4	$409.86	1.1	$224.36
VIRGINIA	25,823	8.6	$540.16	5.4	$782.44	3.3	$127.15
WASHINGTON	1,918	69.2	$1,346.19	56.1	$1,392.34	22.1	$682.00
WEST VIRGINIA	630	27.1	$312.43	13.2	$334.24	15.3	$263.86
WISCONSIN	335	19.1	$948.87	13.7	$1,057.99	6.0	$608.95
WYOMING	17	14.8	$291.07	12.3	$267.32	2.5	$409.80

NOTE: '--'=DATA REPORTED BUT NOT RELIABLE.

SOURCE: NATIONAL SSP-MOE DATAFILE AS OF 4/5/2007

Table 10:74

Separate State Program - Maintenance of Effort - Closed Cases
Percent Distribution of SSP-MOE Closed Case Families by Reason for Closure
FY 2006

STATE	TOTAL FAMILIES	REASON FOR CLOSURE									
		EMPLOY-MENT	MARRIAGE	STATE TIME LIMIT	WORK-RELATED SANCTION	OTHER SANCTION*	STATE POLICY	FAILURE TO COOPERATE**	TRANSFER TO TANF	VOLUNTARY CLOSURE	OTHER
ALABAMA	541	38.8	0.0	0.4	16.8	2.0	4.8	12.8	0.0	13.9	10.5
CALIFORNIA	53,279	41.9	0.1	0.2	0.0	3.5	7.8	3.6	0.8	20.8	21.4
CONNECTICUT	4,701	11.9	0.0	9.7	1.5	2.1	8.9	8.0	44.6	5.8	7.4
DELAWARE	288	0.0	0.0	0.0	48.8	1.0	4.8	6.3	0.0	0.0	39.1
DIST. OF COL.	171	15.6	0.0	0.0	0.7	0.7	20.4	37.4	17.0	8.2	0.0
FLORIDA	4,248	37.8	0.0	0.1	33.9	0.8	4.2	8.9	0.0	7.5	6.8
GEORGIA	344	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.6	0.3	0.3	31.4	0.0	9.9	57.6
HAWAII	2,538	16.1	0.0	8.0	0.8	12.6	3.2	33.8	7.9	7.0	10.7
ILLINOIS	2,774	0.0	0.0	0.2	0.0	0.0	0.0	1.2	88.9	0.5	9.1
INDIANA	4,637	44.8	0.0	1.2	1.3	14.0	1.7	11.3	14.0	2.4	9.3
IOWA	4,073	49.8	0.0	1.3	0.0	0.0	4.2	0.2	0.0	14.4	30.2
MAINE	2,696	34.7	0.0	0.1	0.0	0.5	5.8	15.5	0.0	0.8	42.7
MARYLAND	1,869	6.0	0.0	0.0	8.5	1.9	38.0	26.1	0.0	3.5	16.0
MASSACHUSETTS	2,380	0.0	0.0	2.4	0.0	0.0	0.8	40.0	0.0	0.0	56.8
MINNESOTA	6,400	43.3	0.0	1.1	0.4	4.6	3.6	18.4	13.4	15.0	0.2
MISSOURI	6,042	45.2	0.0	1.8	0.0	0.0	0.8	24.0	2.8	14.0	11.4
NEBRASKA	3,498	49.6	0.0	0.6	6.5	7.0	12.8	6.6	0.0	10.3	6.6
NEVADA	3,247	27.6	0.0	9.2	5.8	4.4	7.6	20.1	0.0	5.6	19.6
NEW HAMPSHIRE	371	47.7	0.0	0.0	7.8	0.0	16.2	7.0	0.0	3.2	18.1
NEW JERSEY	3,792	30.7	0.0	0.0	13.1	0.3	12.0	12.4	18.0	5.3	8.2
NEW YORK	35,606	13.4	0.0	0.2	0.4	0.0	3.7	22.8	8.3	3.1	48.2
RHODE ISLAND	1,686	8.5	0.0	0.0	2.1	0.0	31.0	35.5	0.0	7.8	15.1
SOUTH CAROLINA	2,384	18.5	0.0	2.4	1.1	1.2	15.7	11.6	32.1	9.9	7.5
TENNESSEE	1,875	22.0	0.0	2.0	20.4	0.8	0.3	4.1	5.2	2.8	42.4
TEXAS	4,895	30.4	0.1	0.2	3.5	1.5	8.0	20.2	23.8	8.2	4.0
UTAH	114	29.8	0.0	1.8	9.6	0.0	3.5	9.6	0.0	7.9	37.7
VERMONT	496	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0
VIRGINIA	15,785	19.6	0.0	1.3	0.0	15.5	8.4	7.8	0.0	17.2	30.3
WASHINGTON	1,657	33.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	29.2	0.0	11.4	26.4
WEST VIRGINIA	2,049	1.1	0.0	0.4	14.4	3.6	34.4	3.4	20.3	1.7	20.7
WISCONSIN	517	49.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.4	1.7	0.0	0.0	48.7
WYOMING	21	33.3	0.0	4.8	33.3	14.3	0.0	4.8	0.0	9.5	0.0

NOTES: '*'= CHILD SUPPORT SANCTION, TEEN PARENT FAILING TO MEET REQUIREMENTS, OR FAILURE TO MEET AN INDIVIDUAL RESPONSIBILITY PLAN.
'**'= FAILURE TO COOPERATE WITH ELIGIBILITY REQUIREMENTS.

SOURCE: NATIONAL SSP-MOE DATAFILE AS OF 4/5/2007

Table 10:75

Average Monthly TANF Active Caseload, Sample Size, Sample Fraction and Percent by which Estimate of 50 Percent Might Vary from True Value at the 95 Percent Confidence Level
FY 2006

State	Average Monthly Caseload	Annual Sample Size	Average Monthly Sample	Sampling Fraction Percent	Percent That 50% Values At 95% Confidence Level
Alabama	19,162	2,950	246	1.28	7
Alaska	3,614	3,300	275	7.61	6.1
Arizona	39,369	3,300	275	0.7	7.2
Arkansas	8,176	3,332	278	3.4	7.2
California	449,200	3,368	281	0.06	6.5
Colorado	14,468	3,765	314	2.17	6.5
Connecticut	18,245	3,152	263	1.44	6.4
Delaware	5,504	3,300	275	5	5.9
Dist. of Col.	15,717	3,844	320	2.04	7.7
Florida	52,470	3,252	271	0.52	5.9
Georgia	30,232	3,300	275	0.91	6.3
Guam					
Hawaii	6,953	3,300	275	3.96	6.3
Idaho	1,818	2,841	237	13.02	6.6
Illinois	36,573	3,083	257	0.7	6.7
Indiana	42,471	3,300	275	0.65	6.1
Iowa	16,675	3,300	275	1.65	6.2
Kansas	17,226	3,300	275	1.6	6.6
Kentucky	33,092	3,300	275	0.83	7.1
Louisiana	11,916	3,300	275	2.31	7.3
Maine	9,258	3,300	275	2.97	6.1
Maryland	20,341	3,065	255	1.26	6.3
Massachusetts	46,582	3,104	259	0.56	7.3
Michigan	82,953	4,313	359	0.43	7.9
Minnesota	27,479	3,771	314	1.14	8.1
Mississippi	13,416	3,057	255	1.9	6.5
Missouri	38,748	3,300	275	0.71	6.3
Montana	3,812	3,300	275	7.21	6.1
Nebraska	10,097	5,892	491	4.86	4.3
Nevada	5,399	3,428	286	5.29	5.7
New Hampshire	6,105	3,300	275	4.5	6.8
New Jersey	40,832	3,300	275	0.67	6.2
New Mexico	16,896	3,270	273	1.61	7
New York	134,900	3,233	269	0.2	7
North Carolina	30,172	3,791	316	1.05	6.3
North Dakota	2,678	3,300	275	10.27	5.8
Ohio	79,485	28,693	2,391	3.01	2
Oklahoma	10,203	3,076	256	2.51	7.4
Oregon	18,496	3,300	275	1.49	7.1
Pennsylvania	94,696	3,004	250	0.26	7.3
Puerto Rico	14,325	3,060	255	1.78	6.3
Rhode Island	9,688	3,300	275	2.84	7.2
South Carolina	15,678	3,009	251	1.6	7.2
South Dakota	2,823	3,237	270	9.56	5.9
Tennessee	68,129	3,300	275	0.4	6.1
Texas	71,766	3,081	257	0.36	6.7
Utah	7,463	3,300	275	3.68	6.2
Vermont	4,429	3,300	275	6.21	6.5
Virgin Islands	433	3,300	275	63.44	4.7
Virginia	9,133	3,300	275	3.01	6.5
Washington	54,167	3,300	275	0.51	6.6
West Virginia	10,864	5,423	452	4.16	6
Wisconsin	17,949	3,300	275	1.53	7.1
Wyoming	291	3,086	257	88.46	2.1

Note: 'a/'= Data not reported.

Table 10:76

Total Number of Annual TANF Closed Cases, Sample Size, Sample Fraction and Percent by which Estimate of 50 Percent Might Vary From True Value at the 95 Percent Confidence Level FY 2006

State	Total Number of Closed Cases	Annual Sample Size	Sampling Fraction Percent	Percent That 50% Values At 95% Confidence Level
Alabama	20,570	1,200	5.8	2.7
Alaska	4,284	1,200	28.0	2.4
Arizona	35,887	1,200	3.3	2.8
Arkansas	7,209	924	12.8	3.0
California	418,649	964	0.2	3.2
Colorado	17,205	1,200	7.0	2.7
Connecticut	14,063	852	6.1	3.3
Delaware	6,660	1,200	18.0	2.6
Dist. of Col.	6,992	884	12.6	3.1
Florida	85,972	885	1.0	3.3
Georgia	28,361	1,200	4.2	2.8
Guam				
Hawaii	5,803	1,200	20.7	2.5
Idaho	2,207	1,200	54.4	1.9
Illinois	30,610	931	3.0	3.2
Indiana	58,095	1,200	2.1	2.8
Iowa	18,493	1,200	6.5	2.7
Kansas	20,822	1,200	5.8	2.7
Kentucky	36,627	1,200	3.3	2.8
Louisiana	13,662	1,200	8.8	2.7
Maine	13,033	1,200	9.2	2.7
Maryland	32,526	840	2.6	3.3
Massachusetts	29,963	904	3.0	3.2
Michigan	71,040	982	1.4	3.1
Minnesota	32,212	1,044	3.2	3.1
Mississippi	13,254	802	6.1	3.4
Missouri	36,801	1200	3.3	2.8
Montana	7,920	1,200	15.2	2.6
Nebraska	11,708	3,454	29.5	1.4
Nevada	8,238	871	10.6	3.1
New Hampshire	6,487	1,200	18.5	2.6
New Jersey	42,419	1,200	2.8	2.8
New Mexico	32,075	800	2.5	3.4
New York	108,395	898	0.8	3.3
North Carolina	34,501	1182	3.4	2.8
North Dakota	4,108	1,200	29.2	2.4
Ohio	84,293	1,618	1.9	2.4
Oklahoma	20,981	1,200	5.7	2.7
Oregon	22,860	1,200	5.3	2.8
Pennsylvania	70,032	1,102	1.6	2.9
Puerto Rico	8,074	585	7.3	3.9
Rhode Island	9,334	1,200	12.9	2.6
South Carolina	17,315	810	4.7	3.4
South Dakota	3,599	874	24.3	2.9
Tennessee	51,860	1,200	2.3	2.8
Texas	112,688	839	0.7	5.5
Utah	11,514	1,200	10.4	2.7
Vermont	6,203	1,200	19.4	2.5
Virgin Islands	304	304	100.0	0.0
Virginia	11,848	1,200	10.1	2.7
Washington	60,871	1,200	2.0	2.8
West Virginia	12,184	826	6.8	3.3
Wisconsin	14,089	1,200	8.5	2.7
Wyoming	317	317	100.0	0.0

Note: 'a/'=Data not reported.

Table 10:77

Approximate Standard Error of Estimated Percentages of TANF Recipient Families FY 2006

Estimated Percentage	Standard Error	Estimated Percentage	Standard Error
1	0.09	26	0.38
2	0.12	27	0.38
3	0.15	28	0.38
4	0.17	29	0.39
5	0.19	30	0.39
6	0.20	31	0.40
7	0.22	32	0.40
8	0.23	33	0.40
9	0.25	34	0.41
10	0.26	35	0.41
11	0.27	36	0.41
12	0.28	37	0.41
13	0.29	38	0.42
14	0.30	39	0.42
15	0.31	40	0.42
16	0.31	41	0.42
17	0.32	42	0.42
18	0.33	43	0.42
19	0.34	44	0.43
20	0.34	45	0.43
21	0.35	46	0.43
22	0.35	47	0.43
23	0.36	48	0.43
24	0.37	49	0.43
25	0.37	50	0.43

Notes: Based on a sample of 13,515 cases our of a total of 1,802,567 cases, or 0..74977690 percent of the caseload. This is the same sample percentage as California.

Table 10:78

Significant Differences for Percentage Values between the United States and States with Samples of 3,000
FY 2006

Estimated Percentage	Percentage Value at Which Differences become Significant		Estimated Percentage	Percentage Value at Which Differences become Significant	
	Upper	Lower		Upper	Lower
1	1.5	0.6	51	53.0	48.9
2	2.6	1.4	52	54.0	49.9
3	3.7	2.3	53	55.0	50.9
4	4.8	3.2	54	56.0	51.9
5	5.9	4.1	55	57.0	52.9
6	7.0	5.0	56	57.9	53.9
7	8.1	5.9	57	58.9	54.9
8	9.1	6.9	58	59.9	55.9
9	10.2	7.8	59	60.9	57.0
10	11.2	8.8	60	61.9	58.0
11	12.3	9.7	61	62.9	59.0
12	13.3	10.7	62	63.9	60.0
13	14.4	11.6	63	64.9	61.0
14	15.4	12.6	64	65.9	62.0
15	16.5	13.5	65	66.9	63.0
16	17.5	14.5	66	67.8	64.0
17	18.5	15.5	67	68.8	65.0
18	19.6	16.4	68	69.8	66.0
19	20.6	17.4	69	70.8	67.1
20	21.6	18.4	70	71.8	68.1
21	22.6	19.3	71	72.8	69.1
22	23.7	20.3	72	73.7	70.1
23	24.7	21.3	73	74.7	71.1
24	25.7	22.3	74	75.7	72.1
25	26.7	23.2	75	76.7	73.2
26	27.8	24.2	76	77.6	74.2
27	28.8	25.2	77	78.6	75.2
28	29.8	26.2	78	79.6	76.2
29	30.8	27.1	79	80.6	77.3
30	31.8	28.1	80	81.5	78.3
31	32.8	29.1	81	82.5	79.3
32	33.9	30.1	82	83.5	80.3
33	34.9	31.1	83	84.4	81.4
34	35.9	32.1	84	85.4	82.4
35	36.9	33.0	85	86.4	83.4
36	37.9	34.0	86	87.3	84.5
37	38.9	35.0	87	88.3	85.5
38	39.9	36.0	88	89.2	86.6
39	40.9	37.0	89	90.2	87.6
40	41.9	38.0	90	91.1	88.7
41	42.9	39.0	91	92.1	89.7
42	44.0	40.0	92	93.0	90.8
43	45.0	41.0	93	94.0	91.8
44	46.0	42.0	94	94.9	92.9
45	47.0	42.9	95	95.8	94.0
46	48.0	43.9	96	96.7	95.1
47	49.0	44.9	97	97.6	96.2
48	50.0	45.9	98	98.5	97.3
49	51.0	46.9	99	99.3	98.4
50	52.0	47.9			

Table 10:79

Significant Differences for Percentage Values between States with Samples of 3,000
FY 2006

Estimated Percentage	Percentage Value at Which Differences Become Significant		Estimated Percentage	Percentage Value at Which Differences Become Significant	
	Upper	Lower		Upper	Lower
1	1.6	0.5	51	53.5	48.4
2	2.8	1.3	52	54.5	49.4
3	3.9	2.1	53	55.5	50.4
4	5.1	3.0	54	56.5	51.4
5	6.2	3.9	55	57.5	52.4
6	7.3	4.8	56	58.5	53.4
7	8.3	5.7	57	59.5	54.4
8	9.4	6.6	58	60.5	55.4
9	10.5	7.5	59	61.5	56.4
10	11.6	8.4	60	62.5	57.4
11	12.6	9.4	61	63.5	58.4
12	13.7	10.3	62	64.4	59.4
13	14.7	11.2	63	65.4	60.4
14	15.8	12.2	64	66.4	61.5
15	16.9	13.1	65	67.4	62.5
16	17.9	14.1	66	68.4	63.5
17	18.9	15.0	67	69.4	64.5
18	20.0	16.0	68	70.3	65.5
19	21.0	17.0	69	71.3	66.5
20	22.1	17.9	70	72.3	67.6
21	23.1	18.9	71	73.3	68.6
22	24.1	19.8	72	74.2	69.6
23	25.2	20.8	73	75.2	70.6
24	26.2	21.8	74	76.2	71.7
25	27.2	22.7	75	77.2	72.7
26	28.2	23.7	76	78.1	73.7
27	29.3	24.7	77	79.1	74.7
28	30.3	25.7	78	80.1	75.8
29	31.3	26.6	79	81.0	76.8
30	32.3	27.6	80	82.0	77.8
31	33.4	28.6	81	82.9	78.9
32	34.4	29.6	82	83.9	79.9
33	35.4	30.5	83	84.9	81.0
34	36.4	31.5	84	85.8	82.0
35	37.4	32.5	85	86.8	83.0
36	38.4	33.5	86	87.7	84.1
37	39.5	34.5	87	88.7	85.2
38	40.5	35.5	88	89.6	86.2
39	41.5	36.4	89	90.5	87.3
40	42.5	37.4	90	91.5	88.3
41	43.5	38.4	91	92.4	89.4
42	44.5	39.4	92	93.3	90.5
43	45.5	40.4	93	94.2	91.6
44	46.5	41.4	94	95.1	92.6
45	47.5	42.4	95	96.0	93.7
46	48.5	43.4	96	96.9	94.8
47	49.5	44.4	97	97.8	96.0
48	50.5	45.4	98	98.6	97.1
49	51.5	46.4	99	99.4	98.3
50	52.5	47.4			

Table 11:1

Grant Amounts Allocated to American Indian Entities Administering a Federal TANF Program
Fiscal Year 2006
and Grant Amounts Awarded to American Indian Entities Administering a Federal NEW Program
Program Year 2005-2006 (July 2005 - June 2006)

ENTITY	GRANT AMOUNT	
	TANF	NEW
ALL ENTITIES	$166,763,960	$7,558,020
Aleutian and Pribilof Islands Association, Alaska	----	7,600
Arapahoe Tribe of the Wind River Reservation, Wyoming	1,640,458	33,671
Assiniboine and Sioux Tribes of the Fort Peck Indian Reservation, Montana	----	64,671
Association of Village Council Presidents, Alaska < 56 Alaska Native Villages in TANF>	5,420,841	326,075
Bad River Band of Lake Superior Tribe of Chippewa , Wisconsin	291,848	----
Blackfeet Tribe of the Blackfeet Indian Reservation of Montana	3,089,816	116,825
Bristol Bay Native Association, Alaska	----	54,427
California Indian Manpower Consortium	----	447,885
Cheyenne River Sioux Tribe of the Cheyenne River Reservation, South Dakota	----	69,415
Cheyenne-Arapaho Tribes of Oklahoma	----	53,288
Chickasaw Nation of Oklahoma	----	29,960
Chippewa-Cree Indians of the Rocky Boy's Reservation, Montana	1,258,657	24,512
Chugachmiut, Alaska	----	17,652
Cocopah Tribe of Arizona	----	5,187
Coeur D'Alene Tribe of the Coeur D'Alene Reservation, Idaho	161,719	6,568
Comanche Indian Tribe, Oklahoma	----	34,991
Confederated Salish & Kootenai Tribes of the Flathead Reservation, Montana	2,139,915	60,238
Confederated Tribes and Bands of the Yakama Indian Nation of the Yakama Reservation, Washington	----	131,731
Confederated Tribes of the Colville Reservation, Washington	3,396,965	111,945
Confederated Tribes of the Grand Ronde Community of Oregon	----	54,426
Confederated Tribes of the Siletz Reservation, Oregon	661,625	----
Cook Inlet Tribal Council, Alaska	5,428,077	285,377
Crow Tribe of Montana	----	69,365
Eastern Band of Cherokee Indians of North Carolina	----	90,972
Forest County Potawatomi Community of Wisconsin Potawatomi Indians, Wisconsin	115,793	13,185
Fort Belknap Community Council, Montana	1,006,502	----
Gila River Pima-Maricopa Indian Community of the Gila River Indian Reservation of Arizona	----	126,512
Ho-Chunk Nation of Wisconsin	----	52,217
Hoopa Valley Tribe, California	1,212,239	----
Hopi Tribe, Arizona	628,740	----
Hualapai Indian Tribe of the Hualapai Indian Reservation, Arizona	----	6,089
Inter-Tribal Council, Inc., Oklahoma	----	7,776
Kawerak, Inc., Alaska	----	80,415
Kickapoo Tribe of Indians of the Kickapoo Reservation in Kansas	----	27,269
Klamath Indian Tribe of Oregon	464,259	----
Kodiak Area Native Association, Alaska	----	19,123
La Courte Oreilles Band of Lake Superior Chippewa Indians of the Lac Courte Oreilles Reservation of Wisc.	----	58,483
Lac du Flambeau Band of Lake Superior Chippewa Indians of the Lac du Flambeau Reservation of Wisc.	610,124	----
Leech Lake Band of the Minnesota Chippewa Tribe, Minnesota	----	168,176
Lower Brule Sioux Tribe of the Lower Brule Reservation, South Dakota	----	8,184
Lower Elwha Tribe of the Lower Elwha Reservation, Washington	501,343	----
Lummi Tribe of the Lummi Reservation, Washington	----	57,274
Makah Indian Tribe of the Makah Indian Reservation, Washington	----	12,496
Menominee Indian Tribe of Wisconsin	1,267,930	114,615
Mescalero Apache Tribe of the Mescalero Reservation, New Mexico	----	22,244
Metlakatla Indian Community, Annette Island Reserve, Alaska	----	16,917
Mille Lacs Band of the Minnesota Chippewa Tribe, Minnesota	4,550,816	61,723
Minnesota Chippewa Tribe	----	396,575

Table 11:1 (Continued)

Grant Amounts Allocated to American Indian Entities Administering a Federal TANF Program
Fiscal Year 2006
and Grant Amounts Awarded to American Indian Entities Administering a Federal NEW Program
Program Year 2005-2006 (July 2005 - June 2006)

ENTITY	GRANT AMOUNT	
	TANF	NEW
Mississippi Band of Choctaw Indians, Mississippi	----	42,598
Morongo Band of Mission Indians, California	1,473,624	----
Navajo Nation of Arizona, New Mexico & Utah	31,174,026	1,752,666
Nez Perce Tribe of Idaho	504,990	34,752
Nooksack Indian Tribe of Washington	913,828	45,819
Northern Cheyenne Tribe of the Northern Cheyenne Indian Reservation, Montana	----	59,456
North Fork Rancheria, California	1,273,327	----
Oglala Sioux Tribe of the Pine Ridge Reservation, South Dakota	----	219,158
Omaha Tribe of Nebraska	----	39,606
Oneida Tribe of Wisconsin	835,924	19,320
Osage Tribe of Oklahoma	419,328	----
Owens Valley Career Development Center [Intertribal], California <8 Tribes in TANF>	14,375,863	----
Pascua Yaqui Tribe of Arizona	1,729,965	55,025
Penobscot Tribe of Maine	----	23,915
Port Gamble Indian Community of the Port Gamble Reservation, Washington	516,580	----
Puyallup Tribe of the Puyallup Reservation, Washington	----	22,910
Quinault Indian Nation, Washington	1,695,135	----
Quileute Indian Tribe, Washington <1 Tribe in TANF>	608,686	----
Red Cliff Band of Lake Superior Chippewa Indians of Wisconsin	347,120	----
Red Lake Band of Chippewa Indians of the Red Lake Reservation, Minnesota	----	134,691
Robinson Rancheria - California Tribal TANF Partnership [Intertribal]	6,319,931	----
Rosebud Sioux Tribe of the Rosebud Indian Reservation, South Dakota	----	164,596
Sac & Fox Nation, Oklahoma	----	10,063
Salt River Pima-Maricopa Indian Community of the Salt River Reservation, Arizona	710,340	51,868
Santee Sioux Tribe of the Santee Reservation of Nebraska	----	12,576
Sauk-Suiattle Indian Tribe of Washington	----	11,455
Sault Ste. Marie Tribe of Chippewa Indians of Michigan	----	113,011
Seneca Nation of New York	----	74,616
Shoshone Tribe of the Wind River Reservation, Wyoming	1,640,458	22,447
Shoshone-Bannock Tribes of the Fort Hall Reservation of Idaho	858,781	----
Shoshone-Paiute Tribes of the Duck Valley Reservation, Nevada	----	5,257
Sisseton-Wahpeton Sioux Tribe of the Lake Traverse Reservation, South Dakota	613,868	41,831
Sokaogon Chippewa Community of the Mole Lake Band of Chippewa Indians, Wisconsin	77,195	13,184
Soboba Band of Luiseno Indians, California	1,429,333	----
South Puget Inter-Tribal Planning Agency (SPIPA), Washington	4,743,962	57,274
Southern California Tribal Chairmen's Association <18 Tribes in TANF>	7,224,967	----
Spirit Lake Sioux Tribe, North Dakota	----	55,904
Spokane Tribe of Indians	8,403,229	----
Standing Rock Sioux Tribe of North & South Dakota	----	75,312
Stillaguamish Tribe of Washington	----	14,319
Stockbridge-Munsee Community of Mohican Indians of Wisconsin	143,122	----
Swinomish Indians of the Swinomish Reservation, Washington	----	17,182
Tanana Chiefs Conference, Alaska <37 Alaska Native Villages in TANF>	2,443,973	159,115
Three Affiliated Tribes of the Fort Berthold Reservation, North Dakota	----	38,279
Tlingit and Haida Central Council, Alaska <20 Alaska Native Villages in TANF>	2,367,150	124,791
Tohono O'odham Nation of Arizona	----	150,868
Torres Martinez Desert Cahuilla Indians [TANF Consortium] <8 Tribes in TANF>	20,058,817	----
Tulalip Tribes of the Tulalip Reservation, Washington	967,330	28,637
Turtle Mountain Band of Chippewa Indians of North Dakota	----	207,368
Upper Skagit Indian Tribe of Washington	----	45,819
Washoe Tribe of Nevada & California	14,010,134	----
White Earth Band of the Minnesota Chippewa Tribe, Minnesota	----	192,415
White Mountain Apache Tribe of the Fort Apache Reservation, Arizona	1,914,669	----
Winnebago Tribe of Nebraska	1,045,985	19,389
Yurok Tribe, California	1,273,264	----
Zuni Tribe of the Zuni Reservation, New Mexico	801,389	54,474

Notes:
Sources: Tribal TANF program plans for TANF data. Internal records and documents for NEW data.

Table 11:2

TRIBAL TANF PROGRAMS FY 2006
Number of Tribes, Effective Dates, Grants, Estimated Caseloads, and State Match

Tribe	Number of Tribes Served	Original Plan Start Date-/- Renewal Date	Annual Tribal Family Assistance Grant (TFAG) -Federal Share Only- (Shown as full annual grant awarded)	Estimated Monthly Caseload (Families) - Based on 1994 data (Basis for determining annual grant amount)	Receives State Match
Totals:	208	----------	$166,763,960	42,120	-------
1. Forest County Potawatomi Community (Wi)	1	start date 7/1/97 - renewed 7/1/06	$115,793	20	N0
2. Klamath Tribe (Or)	1	start date 7/1/97 - renewed 7/1/06	$464,259	90	YES
3. Confederated Tribe of Siletz Indians (Or)	1	start date 10/1/97 - renewed 10/1/06	$661,625	90	YES
4. Red Cliff Band of Lake Superior Chippewas (Wi)	1	start date 10/1/97 - renewed 10/1/06	$347,120	50	NO
5. Sisseton-Wahpeton Oyate of the Lake Traverse Reservation (SD)	1	start date 10/1/97 - renewed 10/1/06	$613,868	150	NO
6. Sokaogon Chippewa Community - Mole Lake Band (Wi)	1	start date 10/1/97 - renewed 10/1/06	$77,195	10	NO
7. Stockbridge-Munsee Band of Mohican Indians (Wi)	1	start date 10/1/97 - renewed 10/1/06	$143,122	25	NO
8. Pasqua Yaqui Tribe of Arizona (Az)	1	start date 11/1/97 - renewed 11/1/06	$1,729,965	250	YES
9. Southern California Tribal Chairmen's Association, Inc. (Ca)	18	start date 03/1/98 with 9 tribes - renewed 3/1/07	$7,224,967	1,742	YES
10. White Mountain Apache Tribe (Az)	1	start date 4/1/98 - renewed 4/1/07	$1,914,669	770	YES
11. Osage Tribe of Oklahoma (Ok)	1	start date 5/4/98 - renewed 5/1/07	$419,328	75	YES
12. Northern Arapaho Tribe - Wind River Reservation (Wy)	1	start date7/1/98 - renewed 7/1/07	$1,640,458	250	YES
13. Port Gamble S'Klallam Tribe (Wa)	1	start date 10/1/98 - renewed 10/1/07	$516,580	125	YES
14. Lower Elwha S'Klallam Tribe (Wa)	1	start date 10/1/98 - renewed 10/1/07	$501,343	120	YES
15. Tanana Chiefs' Conference, Inc. (Ak)	37	start date 10/1/98 - renewed 10/1/07	$2,443,973	533	YES
16. Nez Perce Tribe (Id)	1	start date. 1/1/99 - renewed 1/1/05	$504,990	133	YES
17. Mille Lacs Band of Ojibwe (Mn)	1	start date 1/1/99 - amended 1/4/05 to reservation and 10 counties	$4,550,816	1,056	YES
18. Confederated Salish & Kootenai Tribes (Mt)	1	start date 1/1/99 - renewed 1/1/05	$2,139,915	615	No

(continued)

Table 11:2 (continued)

TRIBAL TANF PROGRAMS FY 2006
Number of Tribes, Effective Dates, Grants, Estimated Caseloads, and State Match

Tribe	Number of Tribes Served	Original Plan Start Date-/- Renewal Date	Annual Tribal Family Assistance Grant (TFAG) -Federal Share Only- (Shown as full annual grant awarded)	Estimated Monthly Caseload (Families) - Based on 1994 data (Basis for determining annual grant amount)	Receives State Match
19. Salt River Pima-Maricopa Indian Community (Az)	1	start date 6/1/99 - renewed 6/1/05	$710,340	277	YES
20. Shoshone-Bannock Tribes of the Ft. Hall Reservation (Id)	1	start date 7/1/99 - renewed 7/1/05	$858,781	198	YES
21. Lac du Flambeau Band of Lake Superior Chippewa (Wi)	1	start date 1/1/00 - renewed 1/1/06	$610,124	20	NO
22. Central Council of Tlingit & Haida Indians of Alaska (Ak)	20	start date 7/1/00 - renewed 7/1/06	$2,367,150	521	YES
23. Coeur d' Alene Tribe (Id)	1	start date 7/1/00 - renewed 7/1/06	$161,719	46	YES
24. Eastern Shoshone Tribe - Wind River Reservation (Wy)	1	start date 10/1/00 - renewed 10/1/06	$1,640,458	100	YES
25. Fort Belknap Community Council (Mt)	1	start date 10/1/00 - renewed 10/1/06	$1,006,502	282	YES
26. Association of Village Council Presidents, Inc (Ak)	56	start date 10/1/00 - renewed 10/1/06	$5,420,841	1,126	YES
27. Navajo Nation (Az, NM, Ut) Note: the reservation overlaps into three states.	1	start dates 10/l/00 in Az and Ut , 01/1/01 in NM - renewed 10/1/06	$31,174,026	8,937	Az -YES, NM - partial, Ut - YES
28. Hopi Tribe (Az)	1	start date 4/1/01 - renewed 4/1/07	$628,740	206	YES
29. Pueblo of Zuni (NM)	1	start date 7/1/01 - renewed 7/1/07	$801,389	234	YES
30. Winnebago Tribe of Nebraska (Ne)	1	start date 4/1/01 - amended 10/1/07 to add nonreservation Indians in Dakota and Knox Counties	$1,045,985	369	YES
31. Quinault Indian Nation (Wa)	1	start date 4/1/01 - renewed 4/1/07	$1,695,135	433	YES
32. Quileute Tribe (Wa)	1	start date 5/1/01 - renewed 5/1/07	$608,686	151	YES
33. Torres Martinez Desert Cahuilla Indians (Ca)	6	start date 5/1/01with 7 tribes in Riverside County - renewed 5/1/07	$20,058,817	5,238	YES
34. Owens Valley Career Development Center (Ca)	8	start date 6/1/01 with 3 tribes in Inyo County - amended 7/1/07 to drop North Fork members in Fresno County	$14,375,863	3,538	YES
35. Confederated Tribes of the Colville Reservation (Wa)	1	start date 11/1/01 - renewed 11/1/04	$3,396,965	810	YES
36. Bad River Band of Lake Superior Tribe of Chippewa (Wi)	1	start date 1/1/02 - renewed 1/1/05	$291,848	80	NO
37. Washoe Tribe of Nevada and California (Nv & Ca)	2	start date 1/1/03 - amended 9/1/05 to add Douglas and Carson City Counties in Nevada	$14,010,134	3,416	YES
38. Spokane Tribe of Indians (Wa)	1	start date 3/1/03 - renewed 3/1/06	$8,403,229	2,081	YES

(continued)

Table 11:2 (continued)

TRIBAL TANF PROGRAMS FY 2006
Number of Tribes, Effective Dates, Grants, Estimated Caseloads, and State Match

Tribe	Number of Tribes Served	Original Plan Start Date-/- Renewal Date	Annual Tribal Family Assistance Grant (TFAG) -Federal Share Only- (Shown as full annual grant awarded)	Estimated Monthly Caseload (Families) - Based on 1994 data (Basis for determining annual grant amount)	Receives State Match
39. Oneida Tribe of Wisconsin (Wi)	1	start date 5/1/03 - renewed 5/1/06	$835,924	196	NO
40. Robinson Rancheria (Ca)	16	start date 7/1/03 -renewed 7/1/07	$6,319,931	1,578	YES
41. North Fork Rancheria (Ca)	1	start date 10/1/03 - amended 7/1/07 to include members in Fresno County	$1,273,327	312	YES
42. Menominee Indian Tribe of Wisconsin (Wi)	1	start date 4/1/04 - renewed 4/1/07	$1,267,930	549	NO
43. South Puget Inter-tribal Planning Agency (Wa)	3	start date 9/1/04 - renewed 9/1/07	$4,743,962	1,168	YES
44. Chippewa Cree Tribe of the Rocky Boy's Reservation (Mt)	1	start date 9/1/04 - renewed 9/1/07	$1,258,657	379	YES
45. Hoopa Valley Tribe (Ca)	1	start date 10/1/04 - renewed 10/1/07	$1,212,239	297	YES
46. Tulalip Tribes (Wa)	1	start date 3/1/05	$967,330	270	YES
47. Morongo Band of Mission Indians (Ca)	1	start date 3/1/05	$1,473,624	365	YES
48.Nooksack Indian Tribe (Wa)	1	start date 4/1/05 - amended 2/1/07 to add part of the non-reservation Indians in Skagit County	$913,828	200	YES
49. Cook Inlet Tribal Council, Inc. (Ak)	Alaska Native Regional Non-profit serving Anchorage	start date 7/1/05	$5,428,077	1,123	YES
50. Blackfeet Nation (Mt)	1	start date 6/1/05	$3,089,816	911	YES
51. Soboba Band of Luiseno Indians (Ca)	1	start date 10/1/05	$1,429,333	293	YES
52. Yurok Tribe (Ca)	1	start date 8/1/06	$1,273,264	312	YES

Notes:
Source: Tribal TANF program plans.

Table 11:3

Estimated TANF Cases with an American Indian in the Assistance Unit In States with a Federally-Recognized Tribe* FY 2004-FY 2006

STATE	2004	2005	2006
ALL STATES	26,985	26,280	23,581
ALABAMA	19	0	38
ALASKA	1,507	1,284	802
ARIZONA	3,568	3,543	3,228
CALIFORNIA	1,827	1,836	1,797
COLORADO	175	137	116
CONNECTICUT	41	20	36
FLORIDA	57	0	157
IDAHO	65	59	87
IOWA	91	159	167
KANSAS	218	264	293
LOUISIANA	0	16	0
MAINE	155	123	157
MASSACHUSETTS	199	146	233
MICHIGAN	1,033	806	747
MINNESOTA	2,885	2,846	2,638
MISSISSIPPI	19	32	0
MONTANA	2,676	2,399	1,643
NEBRASKA	446	622	626
NEVADA	194	143	97
NEW MEXICO	968	1,001	929
NEW YORK	441	708	540
NORTH CAROLINA	753	912	754
NORTH DAKOTA	1,704	1,610	1,548
OKLAHOMA	1,760	1,473	1,265
OREGON	703	794	666
RHODE ISLAND	25	32	29
SOUTH CAROLINA	31	16	31
SOUTH DAKOTA	1,932	1,997	2,044
TEXAS	213	265	72
UTAH	380	370	321
WASHINGTON	2,458	2,216	2,113
WISCONSIN	405	424	377
WYOMING	37	27	30

Notes:

* Ethnicity/Race is based on head of family or youngest child if child only case. Individuals identifying as Hispanic are excluded from this total.

Source: State TANF Database.

Table 11:4

Tribal TANF Program
Adults with Work Activities by Type of Activity
FY 2003 - FY 2005

	2003		2004		2005	
Activity	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	Number	Percent
Total Adults	8,772	100.0	9,138	100.0	9,991	100.0
Total Adults with Activities (unduplicated) 1/	2,916	33.2	3,520	38.5	4,761	47.7
Unsubsidized Employment	818	28.0	830	23.6	1,028	21.6
Subsidized Private Employment	24	0.8	57	1.6	69	1.4
Subsidized Public Employment	28	1.0	34	1.0	73	1.5
Work Experience	262	9.0	315	8.9	392	8.2
On The Job Training	51	1.8	50	1.4	92	1.9
Job Search / Readiness	555	19.0	668	19.0	835	17.5
Community Service	303	10.4	308	8.7	584	12.3
Vocational Education	157	5.4	214	6.1	279	5.9
Job Skills Training	279	9.6	253	7.2	362	7.6
Education.	184	6.3	249	7.1	313	6.6
School Attendance	161	5.5	241	6.9	283	5.9
Child Care Provider	61	2.1	75	2.1	122	2.6
Other 1/	1,199	41.1	1,611	45.8	2,474	52.0

Notes:
1/ Some participatants engaged in more than one activity, so the sum of activities is greater than the unduplicated number presented here.
2/ Includes reported "Additional Waiver Activities."
Both adults in a two-parent household are included.
Data are incomplete for some Tribes.
Source: Tribal TANF database.

Table 11:5

TRIBAL TANF PROGRAM
Adults by Work Participation Status
FY 2003 - FY 2005

	2003		2004		2005	
Work Participation Status	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	Number	Percent
Total Adults	8,772	100.0	9,138	100.0	9,991	100.0
REQUIRED TO PARTICIPATE:						
Participating and minimum requirements:						
Met	2,382	27.2	2,760	30.2	4,001	40.0
Not met	1,162	13.2	1,451	15.9	1,561	15.6
Not participating and:						
Not sanctioned for the reporting month	2,058	23.5	2,096	22.9	1,736	17.4
Sanctioned for the reporting month:	69	0.8	100	1.1	177	1.8
DEEMED ENGAGED IN WORK:						
Child under 6 - Parent works 20 hours	49	0.6	17	0.2	33	0.3
Educational program	7	0.1	9	0.1	3	1/
Teen attending school	34	0.4	37	0.4	31	0.3
EXEMPT:						
Child under 6 - Child care unavailable	1,308	14.9	1,080	11.8	741	7.4
Disabled	245	2.8	265	2.9	283	2.8
Severely disabled child	69	0.8	77	0.8	64	0.6
Domestic violence	22	0.3	22	0.2	30	0.3
Other	879	10.0	688	7.5	615	6.2
DISREGARDED:						
Child under 1	345	3.9	422	4.6	526	5.3
Other	142	1.6	113	1.2	191	1.9

Notes:
1/ Less than 0.05 percent.
Both adults in a two-parent household are included.
Data are incomplete for some Tribes.
Source: Tribal TANF database.

Table 11:6

Tribal TANF Program
Adults by Type of Relationship
To The Head of The Household
FY 2003 - FY 2005

	2003		2004		2005	
Relationship	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	Number	Percent
Total Adults	8,772	100.0	9,138	100.0	9,991	100.0
Head Of Household	7,290	83.1	7,721	84.5	8,269	82.8
Spouse	1,128	12.9	1,060	11.6	1,278	12.8
Parent	46	0.5	53	0.6	87	0.9
Child	5	0.1	5	0.1	4	2/
Stepchild	1/	2/	1	2/	0	0.0
Grandchild	1/	2/	2	2/	1	2/
Other	56	0.6	44	0.5	65	0.6
Foster Child	0	0.0	0	0.0	1/	2/
Unrelated Child	2	2/	1	2/	1	2/
Unrelated Adult	245	2.8	252	2.8	285	2.9
Not Reported	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0

Notes:
1/ Less than 0.05 percent.
Both adults in a two parent family are included.
Data are incomplete for some Tribes.
Source: Tribal TANF database.

Table 11:7

Tribal TANF Program
Families by Type of Family
FY 2003 - FY 2005

	2003		2004		2005	
Family Type	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	Number	Percent
Total Families	9,858	100.0	10,462	100.0	11,198	100.0
One Parent Family	6,306	64.0	6,800	65.0	6,989	62.4
Two Parent Family	1,370	13.9	1,328	12.7	1,594	14.2
Child Only Case	2,182	22.1	2,334	22.3	2,615	23.4

Notes:
Source: Tribal TANF database.

Table 11:8

Tribal TANF Program
Age of Children in the TANF Family
FY 2003 - FY 2005

	2003		2004		2005	
Age of Children	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	Number	Percent
Total Children	29,117	100.0	30,448	100.0	28,700	100.0
Less Than 1	1,457	5.0	1,522	5.0	1,643	5.7
1 But Less Than 2	1,653	5.7	1,672	5.5	1,745	6.1
2 But Less Than 3	1,641	5.6	1,685	5.5	1,653	5.8
3 But Less Than 4	1,653	5.7	1,671	5.5	1,668	5.8
4 But Less Than 5	1,626	5.6	1,721	5.7	1,663	5.8
5 But Less Than 6	1,601	5.5	1,683	5.5	1,663	5.8
6 But Less Than 7	1,559	5.4	1,637	5.4	1,588	5.5
7 But Less Than 8	1,524	5.2	1,607	5.3	1,509	5.3
8 But Less Than 9	1,539	5.3	1,601	5.3	1,505	5.2
9 But Less Than 10	1,655	5.7	1,655	5.4	1,469	5.1
10 But Less Than 11	1,800	6.2	1,717	5.6	1,478	5.1
11 But Less Than 12	1,800	6.2	1,863	6.1	1,580	5.5
12 But Less Than 13	1,740	6.0	1,835	6.0	1,649	5.7
13 But Less Than 14	1,725	5.9	1,796	5.9	1,605	5.6
14 But Less Than 15	1,602	5.5	1,738	5.7	1,596	5.6
15 But Less Than 16	1,495	5.1	1,573	5.2	1,480	5.2
16 But Less Than 17	1267	4.4	1404	4.6	1325	4.6
17 But Less Than 18	1044	3.6	1173	3.9	1128	3.9
18 But Less Than 19	478	1.6	546	1.8	510	1.8
Age Unknown	255	0.9	346	1.1	246	0.9

Notes:
Data are incomplete for some Tribes.
Source: Tribal TANF database.

Table 11:9

Tribal TANF Program
Work Participation Rates Achieved
by Type of Family and Tribe
FY 2003 - FY 2005

Tribe	2003			2004			2005		
	Families with Parents	Two Parent Families	One Parent Families	Families with Parents	Two Parent Families	One Parent Families	Families with Parents	Two Parent Families	One Parent Families
Arapahoe (Wind River)	----	29.6	36.1	----	34.5	44.2	44.9	----	----
Assn. of Village Council Pres.	26.9	42.4	----	27.4	----	----	44.7	----	----
Bad River Band	83.3	----	----	89.9	----	----	1/	----	----
Coeur D'Alene Tribe	65.5	80.2	----	58.7	78.8	----	38.0	----	----
Colville	42.1	----	----	35.8	----	----	35.1	----	----
Eastern Shoshone (Wind River)	----	68.7	45.1	----	40.9	33.4	----	87.9	69.1
Forest Co. Potawatomi	22.3	----	----	46.8	----	----	0.0	----	----
Fort Belknap	60.0	----	----	70.3	----	----	88.2	----	----
Hopi Tribe of Arizona	44.9	----	----	30.4	----	----	53.8	----	----
Klamath	68.7	84.3	----	61.7	----	----	69.5	----	----
Lac du Flambeau	55.4	----	----	42.8	----	----	45.9	----	----
Lower Elwha	58.5	----	----	74.7	----	----	42.7	----	----
Mille Lacs (Ojibwe)	52.0	45.6	----	35.8	29.8	----	55.7	----	----
Navajo Nation	9.6	----	----	17.1	----	----	13.1	----	----
Nez Perce	44.5	----	----	44.0	----	----	42.0	----	----
North Fork Rancheria				60.1	----	----			
Oneida Tribe of Wisconsin				36.9	----	----			
Osage	44.0	----	----	50.6	----	----	31.7	----	----
Owens Valley	53.5	----	----	58.7	----	----	44.9	----	----
Pascua Yaqui	----	14.4	14.3	----	7.6	5.6	----	24.0	29.9
Port Gamble S'Klallam	91.4	----	----	90.9	----	----	97.2	----	----
Pueblo of Zuni	52.9	----	----	54.4	----	----	57.1	----	----
Quileute Tribe	15.2	----	----	11.9	----	----	8.1	----	----
Quinault Indian Nation	56.2	----	----	50.8	----	----	54.9	----	----
Red Cliff	----	39.0	59.4	----	35.9	56.3	----	46.0	58.4
Robinson Rancheria				49.1	----	----			
Salish & Kootenai (Flathead)	56.8	----	----	64.7	----	----	54.6	----	----
Salt-River Pima-Maricopa	----	5.6	9.1	----	6.4	16.3	----	9.2	23.4
Shoshone-Bannock	80.7	----	----	83.0	----	----	77.6	----	----
Siletz	44.1	49.8	----	42.1	----	----	38.2	----	----
Sisseton-Wahpeton	----	----	30.9	----	----	34.6	----	----	30.0
Sokaogon Chippewa	76.0	0.0	----	0.0	----	----	94.4	----	----
S. Ca. Tribal Chr. Assn.	----	17.2	26.0	52.3	----	----	24.6	----	----
Spokane Tribe	44.0	----	----	43.9	----	----			
Stockbridge-Munsee				86.7	----	----	20.1	----	----
Tanana Chiefs Conference	46.3	----	----	52.7	----	----	62.9	----	----
Tlingit & Haida Council	58.5	----	----	57.4	----	----	52.8	----	----
Torres Martinez - L.A.				15.9	----	----	46.3	----	----
Torres Martinez - Riverside				13.5	----	----	33.3	----	----
Washoe Tribe	37.4	----	----	35.3	----	----			
White Mountain Apache	9.3	----	----	----	56.2	13.8	----	52.4	10.2
Winnebago Tribe	----	14.8	53.1	----	38.7	46.6	----	18.7	38.2

Notes:
1/ No data.
Data are incomplete for some Tribes.
Source: Tribal TANF database.

Table 11:10

Tribal TANF Program
Work Participation Rates
Details of Negotiated Rates and Hourly Work Requirements
FY 2005

Tribe	Rate (Percent) Negotiated	Calcualted	Met Required Rate	Hours Required to Work	Number Working Required Hours	Parent Families
One Parent						
Pascua Yaqui	29	33.4	YES	30	70	210
Red Cliff	32	64.2	YES	25	21	32
Salt-River Pima-Maricopa	25	18.9	NO	16	15	78
Eastern Shoshone (Wind River)	25	30.3	YES	17	12	41
Sisseton-Wahpeton	30	43.2	YES	25	9	22
White Mountain Apache	20	15.6	NO	25	81	515
Winnebago Tribe	20	49.1	YES	20	10	21
Two Parent						
Pascua Yaqui	35	36.1	YES	35	5	14
Red Cliff	32	70.2	YES	25	5	7
Salt-River Pima-Maricopa	25	5.9	NO	32	1	10
Eastern Shoshone (Wind River)	22	25.5	YES	17	8	30
White Mountain Apache	20	80.4	YES	25	34	43
Winnebago Tribe	25	66.1	YES	40	2	3
All Families (both one and two parent)						
Arapahoe (Wind River)	21	21.8	YES	25	35	161
Bad River Band (incomplete)	35	1/	1/	30	1/	1/
Chippewa-Cree Indians (Rocky Bo	15	33.9	YES	20	51	151
Coeur D'Alene Tribe	50	47.8	NO	20	4	8
Salish & Kootenai (Flathead)	50	75.2	YES	30	38	51
Colville	35	35.3	YES	20	75	212
Siletz	30	42.8	YES	24	17	38
Forest Co. Potawatomi	45	49.2	YES	24	6	12
Fort Belknap	27	79.4	YES	20	155	196
Hoopa Valley Tribe	20	67.9	YES	18	40	56
Hopi Tribe of Arizona	23	29.4	YES	20	33	113
Klamath	40	57.0	YES	20	29	51
Lac du Flambeau	50	69.7	YES	40	21	30
Lower Elwha	39	71.0	YES	20	27	37
Menominee Tribe, WI	22	26.9	YES	20	14	46
Navajo Nation	22	34.1	YES	16	798	2,389
Nez Perce	30	40.2	YES	20	18	45
North Fork Rancheria	20	52.3	YES	26	11	21
Oneida Tribe of Wisconsin	30	38.2	YES	40	10	26
Osage	40	54.8	YES	20	23	42
Port Gamble S'Klallam	25	87.3	YES	20	8	10
Quileute Tribe	30	15.6	NO	25	7	43
Quinault Indian Nation	30	61.1	YES	20	28	45
Shoshone-Bannock	45	86.8	YES	20	92	105
Sokaogon Chippewa	32	44.0	YES	32	4	9
Spokane Tribe	24	45.8	YES	20	124	271
Stockbridge-Munsee	32	97.1	YES	20	7	7
Tulalip	31	1/	1/	20	1/	1/
Washoe Tribe	25	32.0	YES	24	35	109
Pueblo of Zuni	20	55.8	YES	20	74	134
Mille Lacs (Ojibwe)	50	25.4	NO	25	31	124
S. Ca. Tribal Chr. Assn.	36	52.0	YES	33	29	56
S. Puget Planning	25	45.7	YES	20	99	218
Torres Martinez - L.A.	35	46.3	YES	30	115	263
Torres Martinez - Riverside	30	33.3	YES	30	26	78
Owens Valley	30	63.2	YES	24	226	358
Robinson Rancheria	25	43.3	YES	24	71	168
Assn. of Village Council Pres.	29	29.4	YES	25	95	324
Tanana Chiefs Conference	35	46.9	YES	30	140	298
Tlingit & Haida Council	35	55.5	YES	25	122	218

Notes:
1/ No data.
Source: Tribal TANF database.

Table 11:11 (PY 2004 - 2005)

Native Employment Works (NEW), Program Year 2004 - 2005 (July 1, 2004 - June 30, 2005)
Number of Adult Males, Adult Females, Youth Males, Youth Females, and Total Clients Served

Grantee	Adult Males	Adult Females	Youth Males 1/	Youth Females 2/	Total Clients Served 3/
TOTAL	**1,226**	**3,412**	**255**	**421**	**5,314**
Blackfeet Tribe	81	191	5	20	297
California Indian Manpower Consortium	27	33	7	5	72
Cheyenne and Arapaho Tribes of Oklahoma	1	23	0	2	26
Cheyenne River Sioux Tribe	44	55	3	9	111
Chippewa Cree Tribe of the Rocky Boy's Res.	32	18	9	12	71
Cocopah Indian Tribe	0	10	0	0	10
Coeur d'Alene Tribe	1	8	0	0	9
Comanche Nation	16	20	0	0	36
Confederated Tribes & Bands of the Yakama Natio	23	29	3	5	60
Crow Tribe	13	82	0	0	95
Eastern Band of Cherokee Indians	0	10	0	0	10
Forest County Potawatomi Community	8	7	0	1	16
Fort Peck Assiniboine & Sioux Tribes	19	33	0	0	52
Gila River Indian Community	11	114	0	0	125
Hualapai Nation	3	4	0	0	7
Inter-Tribal Council, Inc., of Northeast Oklahoma	4	14	1	1	20
Kickapoo Tribe in Kansas	4	18	1	1	24
Lac Courte Oreilles Band of Chippewa Indians	12	36	0	0	48

(continued)

Table 11:11 (continued)

Native Employment Works (NEW), Program Year 2004 - 2005 (July 1, 2004 - June 30, 2005)
Number of Adult Males, Adult Females, Youth Males, Youth Females, and Total Clients Served

Grantee	Adult Males	Adult Females	Youth Males 1/	Youth Females 2/	Total Clients Served 3/
Leech Lake Band of Chippewa Indians	100	246	31	68	445
Lower Brule Sioux Tribe	2	6	0	0	8
Lummi Tribe	12	10	10	10	42
Makah Indian Tribe	20	9	4	3	36
Mescalero Apache Tribe	11	10	8	10	39
Minnesota Chippewa Tribe	110	262	53	3	428
Mississippi Band of Choctaw Indians	19	18	7	5	49
Navajo Nation	44	227	13	30	314
Nooksack Indian Tribe	8	8	1	0	17
Northern Arapahoe Tribe	26	44	0	0	70
Northern Cheyenne Tribe	137	412	11	59	619
Oglala Sioux Tribe	9	23	1	3	36
Omaha Tribe of Nebraska	142	213	24	43	422
Oneida Tribe of Wisconsin	7	2	0	0	9
Pascua Yaqui Tribe	38	322	0	0	360
Penobscot Nation	1	6	0	0	7

(continued)

Table 11:11 (continued)

Native Employment Works (NEW), Program Year 2004 - 2005 (July 1, 2004 - June 30, 2005)
Number of Adult Males, Adult Females, Youth Males, Youth Females, and Total Clients Served

Grantee	Adult Males	Adult Females	Youth Males 1/	Youth Females 2/	Total Clients Served 3/
Puyallup Tribe	0	2	0	0	2
Sac and Fox Nation of Oklahoma	0	3	0	0	3
Salt River Pima-Maricopa Indian Community	4	12	0	4	20
Santee Sioux Nation	41	27	13	6	87
Sauk-Suiattle Indian Tribe	7	3	0	0	10
Sault Sainte Marie Tribe of Chippewa Indians	10	21	0	0	31
Sokaogon Chippewa Community	5	12	0	2	19
South Puget Inter-Tribal Planning Agency	27	31	14	25	97
Standing Rock Sioux Tribe	8	155	2	8	173
Stillaguamish Tribe	10	10	4	4	28
Swinomish Indian Tribal Community	5	9	1	2	17
Tanana Chiefs Conference	47	178	6	15	246
Tohono O'odham Nation	12	22	4	13	51
Tulalip Tribes	21	29	9	2	61
Turtle Mountain Band of Chippewa Indians	24	364	6	47	441
Upper Skagit Indian Tribe	20	11	4	3	38

1/ Youth Males: Males under age 21.
2/ Youth Females: Females under age 21.
3/ Total Clients Served: The total number of clients in the NEW program in program year 2004 - 2005; the sum of adult males, adult females, youth males and youth females served during this PY under the NEW program.

Source: Native Employment Works (NEW) grantee program reports.

Table 11:12 (PY 2004 - 2005)

Native Employment Works (NEW), Program Year 2004 - 2005 (July 1, 2004 - June 30, 2005)
Clients Entering Unsubsidized Employment, and Clients Receiving
Temporary Assistance for Needy Families (TANF) and General Assistance (GA)

Grantee	Entered Unsubsidized Employment 1/	TANF Recipients Who Entered Unsubsidized Employment 2/	TANF Recipients 3/	BIA General Assistance Recipients
TOTAL	**1,324**	**816**	**3,952**	**530**
Blackfeet Tribe	51	41	297	0
California Indian Manpower Consortium	26	3	5	3
Cheyenne and Arapaho Tribes of Oklahoma	12	14	26	0
Cheyenne River Sioux Tribe	73	10	17	13
Chippewa Cree Tribe of the Rocky Boy's Res.	5	4	7	59
Cocopah Indian Tribe	3	3	7	0
Coeur d'Alene Tribe	0	0	0	1
Comanche Nation	10	10	15	3
Confederated Tribes & Bands of theYakama Nation	8	3	25	6
Crow Tribe	1	1	95	0
Eastern Band of Cherokee Indians	5	4	0	7
Forest County Potawatomi Community	3	0	0	4
Fort Peck Assiniboine & Sioux Tribes	6	6	52	0
Gila River Indian Community	11	11	125	0
Hualapai Nation	3	4	7	0
Inter-Tribal Council, Inc., of Northeast Oklahoma	18	3	6	14
Kickapoo Tribe in Kansas	4	4	10	0
Lac Courte Oreilles Band of Chippewa Indians	23	16	37	0

(continued)

Table 11:12 (continued)

Native Employment Works (NEW), Program Year 2004 - 2005 (July 1, 2004 - June 30, 2005)
Clients Entering Unsubsidized Employment, and Clients Receiving
Temporary Assistance for Needy Families (TANF) and General Assistance (GA)

Grantee	Entered Unsubsidized Employment 1/	TANF Recipients Who Entered Unsubsidized Employment 2/	TANF Recipients 3/	BIA General Assistance Recipients
Leech Lake Band of Chippewa Indians	176	168	420	0
Lower Brule Sioux Tribe	0	0	4	4
Lummi Tribe	7	1	6	20
Makah Indian Tribe	10	0	0	36
Mescalero Apache Tribe	4	0	9	12
Minnesota Chippewa Tribe	61	61	380	0
Mississippi Band of Choctaw Indians	47	0	0	22
Navajo Nation	45	22	150	14
Nooksack Indian Tribe	16	1	1	1
Northern Arapahoe Tribe	53	45	56	10
Northern Cheyenne Tribe	37	37	619	0
Oglala Sioux Tribe	1	0	11	6
Omaha Tribe of Nebraska	221	104	296	126
Oneida Tribe of Indians of Wisconsin	3	0	0	0
Pascua Yaqui Tribe	104	104	360	68
Penobscot Nation	2	2	6	3

(continued)

Table 11:12 (continued)

Native Employment Works (NEW), Program Year 2004 - 2005 (July 1, 2004 - June 30, 2005)
Clients Entering Unsubsidized Employment, and Clients Receiving
Temporary Assistance for Needy Families (TANF) and General Assistance (GA)

Grantee	Entered Unsubsidized Employment 1/	TANF Recipients Who Entered Unsubsidized Employment 2/	TANF Recipients 3/	BIA General Assistance Recipients
Puyallup Tribe	2	0	0	0
Sac and Fox Nation of Oklahoma	0	2	1	2
Salt River Pima-Maricopa Indian Community	2	0	10	0
Santee Sioux Nation	6	2	12	56
Sauk-Suiattle Indian Tribe	8	0	1	0
Sault Sainte Marie Tribe of Chippewa Indians	18	8	8	0
Sokaogon Chippewa Community	10	3	3	5
South Puget Inter-Tribal Planning Agency	42	6	6	8
Standing Rock Sioux Tribe	12	12	96	0
Stillaguamish Tribe	12	2	11	14
Swinomish Indian Tribal Community	3	3	12	3
Tanana Chiefs Conference	31	31	225	0
Tohono O'odham Nation	33	33	46	2
Tulalip Tribes	23	4	19	8
Turtle Mountain Band of Chippewa Indians	64	26	441	0
Upper Skagit Indian Tribe	9	2	12	0

1/ Entered Unsubsidized Employment: Clients in any job placement in a position not supported with funds from the NEW program and not part of a supported work assignment. It can include self-employment.
2/ TANF Recipients Who Entered Unsubsidized Employment : Clients who received Temporary Assistance for Needy Families (TANF) and entered unsubsidized employment.
3/ TANF Recipients: Clients who received Temporary Assistance for Needy Families (TANF) during this PY.
4/ BIA General Assistance Recipients: Clients who received General Assistance from the Department of the Interior/Bureau of Indian Affairs during this PY.

Source: Native Employment Works (NEW) grantee program reports.

Table 11:13 (PY 2004 - 2005)

Native Employment Works (NEW), Program Year 2004 - 2005 (July 1, 2004 - June 30, 2005)
Clients Participating in Work Activities and Training

Grantee	Classroom Training/ Education	On-the-Job Training	Work Experience	Job Search	Other Work Activities 1/
TOTAL	**2,430**	**165**	**728**	**1,875**	**576**
Blackfeet Tribe	297	0	2	297	0
California Indian Manpower Consortium	19	7	40	3	5
Cheyenne and Arapaho Tribes of Oklahoma	10	2	4	16	0
Cheyenne River Sioux Tribe	21	25	0	66	0
Chippewa Cree Tribe of the Rocky Boy's Res.	18	5	5	37	61
Cocopah Indian Tribe	4	0	1	6	0
Coeur d'Alene Tribe of Idaho	9	0	9	9	0
Comanche Nation	12	2	8	27	0
Confederated Tribes & Bands of the Yakama Nation	22	0	4	1	36
Crow Tribe	14	1	14	36	0
Eastern Band of Cherokee Indians	0	0	10	10	0
Forest County Potawatomi Community	11	1	1	16	0
Fort Peck Assiniboine & Sioux Tribes	36	0	9	6	8
Gila River Indian Community	21	0	0	44	7
Hualapai Nation	5	4	4	6	0
Inter-Tribal Council, Inc., of Northeast Oklahoma	10	0	8	7	0
Kickapoo Tribe in Kansas	6	4	4	10	0
Lac Courte Oreilles Band of Chippewa Indians	34	0	2	25	0

(continued)

Table 11:13 (continued)

Native Employment Works (NEW), Program Year 2004 - 2005 (July 1, 2004 - June 30, 2005
Clients Participating in Work Activities and Training

Grantee	Classroom Training/ Ecucation	On-the-Job Training	Work Experience	Job Search	Other Work Activities 1/
Leech Lake Band of Chippewa Indians	92	5	75	99	12
Lower Brule Sioux Tribe	8	4	4	2	0
Lummi Tribe	16	1	6	10	0
Makah Indian Tribe	16	0	2	29	0
Mescalero Apache Tribe	39	0	0	33	33
Minnesota Chippewa Tribe	139	13	180	264	132
Mississippi Band of Choctaw Indians	5	0	10	34	0
Navajo Nation	260	0	0	22	0
Nooksack Indian Tribe	0	16	16	2	0
Northern Arapahoe Tribe	27	7	2	9	25
Northern Cheyenne Tribe	357	0	0	112	0
Oglala Sioux Tribe	15	0	36	0	0
Omaha Tribe of Nebraska	74	0	12	186	21
Oneida Tribe of Indians of Wisconsin	0	0	0	0	0
Pascua Yaqui Tribe	116	0	14	31	22
Penobscot Nation	7	0	0	2	0

(continued)

Table 11:13 (continued)

Native Employment Works (NEW), Program Year 2004 - 2005 (July 1, 2004 - June 30, 2005)
Clients Participating in Work Activities and Training

Grantee	Classroom Training/ Education	On-the-Job Training	Work Experience	Job Search	Other Work Activities 1/
Puyallup Tribe	0	0	0	0	0
Sac and Fox Nation of Oklahoma	0	0	0	0	0
Salt River Pima-Maricopa Indian Community	18	0	1	7	0
Santee Sioux Nation	73	12	0	75	0
Sauk-Suiattle Indian Tribe	1	1	1	2	0
Sault Sainte Marie Tribe of Chippewa Indians	4	0	3	3	10
Sokaogon Chippewa Community	2	8	8	8	4
South Puget Inter-Tribal Planning Agency	35	21	14	49	39
Standing Rock Sioux Tribe	103	10	76	18	0
Stillaguamish Tribe	14	4	7	11	4
Swinomish Indian Tribal Community	9	0	10	17	0
Tanana Chiefs Conference	13	1	1	92	42
Tohono O'odham Nation	46	0	45	51	51
Tulalip Tribes	35	3	7	31	10
Turtle Mountain Band of Chippewa Indians	342	8	73	32	39
Upper Skagit Indian Tribe	15	0	0	22	15

1/ Other Work Activities: Work activities/training not covered by other categories in this table e.g., volunteer work, employment seminars, entrepreneurial training, traditional activities such as hunting and fishing, etc.

Source: Native Employment Works (NEW) grantee program reports.

Table 11:14 (PY 2004 - 2005)

Native Employment Works (NEW), Program Year 2004 - 2005 (July 1, 2004 - June 30, 2005)
Clients Receiving Supportive and Job Retention Services

Grantee	Client Families Receiving Child Care	Clients Receiving Transportation	Clients Receiving Counseling	Clients Receiving Medical Services	Other Supportive/ Job Retention Services 1/
TOTAL	**297**	**1,650**	**699**	**125**	**1,812**
Blackfeet Tribe	0	0	0	0	0
California Indian Manpower Consortium	1	14	70	2	0
Cheyenne and Arapaho Tribes of Oklahoma	11	26	1	2	7
Cheyenne River Sioux Tribe	0	64	0	0	99
Chippewa Cree Tribe of the Rocky Boy's Res.	0	10	14	5	0
Cocopah Indian Tribe	0	6	10	0	0
Coeur d'Alene Tribe of Idaho	0	0	0	0	0
Comanche Nation	0	8	30	0	39
Confederated Tribes & Bands of theYakama Nation	0	6	1	2	45
Crow Tribe	0	0	0	0	0
Eastern Band of Cherokee Indians	0	0	0	0	5
Forest County Potawatomi Community	1	6	2	0	2
Fort Peck Assiniboine & Sioux Tribes	0	5	8	0	10
Gila River Indian Community	20	26	11	0	5
Hualapai Nation	7	7	5	7	3
Inter-Tribal Council, Inc., of Northeast Oklahoma	7	4	2	0	6
Kickapoo Tribe in Kansas	10	5	2	2	4
Lac Courte Oreilles Band of Chippewa Indians	0	42	14	0	14

(continued)

Table 11:14 (continued)

Native Employment Works (NEW), Program Year 2004 - 2005 (July 1, 2004 - June 30, 2005)
Clients Receiving Supportive and Job Retention Services

Grantee	Client Families Receiving Child Care	Clients Receiving Transportation	Clients Receiving Counseling	Clients Receiving Medical Services	Other Supportive/ Job Retention Services 1/
Leech Lake Band of Chippewa Indians	0	425	52	0	400
Lower Brule Sioux Tribe	3	3	4	1	0
Lummi Tribe	1	30	0	0	9
Makah Indian Tribe	0	24	0	1	13
Mescalero Apache Tribe	0	0	39	0	0
Minnesota Chippewa Tribe	6	114	0	0	31
Mississippi Band of Choctaw Indians	0	0	49	0	47
Navajo Nation	0	209	118	0	234
Nooksack Indian Tribe	14	0	16	0	16
Northern Arapahoe Tribe	34	62	0	3	39
Northern Cheyenne Tribe	76	0	0	0	37
Oglala Sioux Tribe	0	0	0	0	36
Omaha Tribe of Nebraska	4	173	5	0	26
Oneida Tribe of Indians of Wisconsin	0	5	1	0	3
Pascua Yaqui Tribe	38	66	41	91	61
Penobscot Nation	3	7	3	0	0

(continued)

Table 11:14 (continued)

Native Employment Works (NEW), Program Year 2004 - 2005 (July 1, 2004 - June 30, 2005)
Clients Receiving Supportive and Job Retention Services

Grantee	Client Families Receiving Child Care	Clients Receiving Transportation	Clients Receiving Counseling	Clients Receiving Medical Services	Other Supportive/ Job Retention Services 1/
Puyallup Tribe	0	0	0	0	0
Sac and Fox Nation of Oklahoma	0	0	0	0	3
Salt River Pima-Maricopa Indian Community	0	2	1	0	4
Santee Sioux Nation	2	65	0	0	0
Sauk-Suiattle Indian Tribe	0	0	1	0	10
Sault Sainte Marie Tribe of Chippewa Indians	13	19	3	0	10
Sokaogon Chippewa Community	5	14	1	0	2
South Puget Inter-Tribal Planning Agency	5	12	79	0	43
Standing Rock Sioux Tribe	1	64	1	0	25
Stillaguamish Tribe	1	13	5	1	3
Swinomish Indian Tribal Community	1	8	1	3	8
Tanana Chiefs Conference	27	24	12	4	52
Tohono O'odham Nation	6	41	30	0	27
Tulalip Tribes	0	10	4	0	16
Turtle Mountain Band of Chippewa Indians	0	5	42	1	383
Upper Skagit Indian Tribe	0	26	21	0	35

1/ Other Supportive/Job Retention Services: Supportive and job retention services not covered by other categories in this table, e.g., work-related expenses such as tools, clothing/uniforms, drivers license fees, etc.

Source: Native Employment Works (NEW) grantee program reports.

Table 11:11 (PY 2005 - 2006)

Native Employment Works (NEW), Program Year 2005 - 2006 (July 1, 2005 - June 30, 2006)
Number of Adult Males, Adult Females, Youth Males, Youth Females, and Total Clients Served

Grantee	Adult Males	Adult Females	Youth Males 1/	Youth Females 2/	Total Clients Served 3/
TOTAL	**1,426**	**3,095**	**233**	**471**	**5,225**
Blackfeet Tribe	40	97	0	0	137
California Indian Manpower Consortium	15	29	3	13	60
Cheyenne and Arapaho Tribes of Oklahoma	1	11	0	0	12
Chippewa Cree Tribe of the Rocky Boy's Res.	32	18	9	2	61
Cocopah Indian Tribe	2	10	0	1	13
Coeur d'Alene Tribe of Idaho	4	4	0	0	8
Comanche Nation	18	32	0	0	50
Confederated Tribes & Bands of theYakama Natior	21	49	5	5	80
Crow Tribe	60	207	7	27	301
Eastern Band of Cherokee Indians	0	9	0	0	9
Forest County Potawatomi Community	4	1	2	0	7
Fort Peck Assiniboine & Sioux Tribes	19	30	0	3	52
Gila River Indian Community	30	137	0	0	167
Hualapai Nation	4	15	0	0	19
Inter-Tribal Council, Inc., of Northeast Oklahoma	1	22	0	0	23
Kickapoo Tribe in Kansas	3	9	1	1	14
Lac Courte Oreilles Band of Chippewa Indians	10	39	0	9	58

(continued)

Table 11:11 (continued)

Native Employment Works (NEW), Program Year 2005 - 2006 (July 1, 2005 - June 30, 2006)
Number of Adult Males, Adult Females, Youth Males, Youth Females, and Total Clients Served

Grantee	Adult Males	Adult Females	Youth Males 1/	Youth Females 2/	Total Clients Served 3/
Leech Lake Band of Chippewa Indians	332	155	24	70	581
Lower Brule Sioux Tribe	1	11	0	0	12
Lummi Tribe	20	15	11	10	56
Makah Indian Tribe	18	18	0	0	36
Mescalero Apache Tribe	6	10	5	7	28
Minnesota Chippewa Tribe	85	229	15	47	376
Mississippi Band of Choctaw Indians	33	31	4	7	75
Navajo Nation	50	232	13	36	331
Nooksack Indian Tribe	6	18	1	0	25
Northern Arapahoe Tribe	16	38	0	0	54
Northern Cheyenne Tribe	160	432	13	14	619
Oglala Sioux Tribe	87	114	29	27	257
Omaha Tribe of Nebraska	139	236	56	78	509
Oneida Tribe of Indians of Wisconsin	6	0	0	0	6
Pascua Yaqui Tribe	7	169	2	2	180
Penobscot Nation	1	4	0	0	5

(continued)

Table 11:11 (continued)

Native Employment Works (NEW), Program Year 2005 - 2006 (July 1, 2005 - June 30, 2006)
Number of Adult Males, Adult Females, Youth Males, Youth Females, and Total Clients Served

Grantee	Adult Males	Adult Females	Youth Males 1/	Youth Females 2/	Total Clients Served 3/
Puyallup Tribe	1	0	0	0	1
Sac and Fox Nation of Oklahoma	0	3	0	0	3
Salt River Pima-Maricopa Indian Community	2	11	1	2	16
Santee Sioux Nation	37	31	8	7	83
Sauk-Suiattle Indian Tribe	7	3	0	0	10
Sault Sainte Marie Tribe of Chippewa Indians	2	14	0	0	16
Sokaogon Chippewa Community	17	15	1	3	36
South Puget Inter-Tribal Planning Agency	47	34	16	15	112
Standing Rock Sioux Tribe	24	167	2	47	240
Stillaguamish Tribe	6	14	1	2	23
Swinomish Indian Tribal Community	0	9	0	4	13
Tohono O'odham Nation	1	8	0	0	9
Tulalip Tribes	21	21	1	2	45
Turtle Mountain Band of Chippewa Indians	20	312	2	27	361
Upper Skagit Indian Tribe	10	22	1	3	36

1/ Youth Males: Males under age 21.
2/ Youth Females: Females under age 21.
3/ Total Clients Served: The total number of clients in the NEW program in program year 2005 - 2006; the sum of adult males, adult females, youth males and youth females served during this PY under the NEW program.

Source: Native Employment Works (NEW) grantee program reports.

Table 11:12 (PY 2005 - 2006)

Native Employment Works (NEW), Program Year 2005 - 2006 (July 1, 2005 - June 30, 2006)
Clients Entering Unsubsidized Employment, and Clients Receiving
Temporary Assistance for Needy Families (TANF) and General Assistance (GA)

Grantee	Entered Unsubsidized Employment 1/	TANF Recipients Who Entered Unsubsidized Employment 2/	TANF Recipients 3/	BIA General Assistance Recipients
TOTAL	**1,132**	**631**	**3,716**	**499**
Blackfeet Tribe	10	15	137	0
California Indian Manpower Consortium	9	2	22	2
Cheyenne and Arapaho Tribes of Oklahoma	3	3	12	0
Chippewa Cree Tribe of the Rocky Boy's Res.	5	4	7	59
Cocopah Indian Tribe	5	5	13	0
Coeur d'Alene Tribe of Idaho	0	0	3	2
Comanche Nation	0	0	36	3
Confederated Tribes & Bands of theYakama Nation	41	14	37	3
Crow Tribe	41	41	299	0
Eastern Band of Cherokee Indians	5	2	3	6
Forest County Potawatomi Community	1	0	0	4
Fort Peck Assiniboine & Sioux Tribes	15	15	52	0
Gila River Indian Community	18	18	167	0
Hualapai Nation	12	7	7	0
Inter-Tribal Council, Inc., of Northeast Oklahoma	15	3	5	18
Kickapoo Tribe in Kansas	5	3	10	0
Lac Courte Oreilles Band of Chippewa Indians	25	19	42	2

(continued)

Table 11:12 (continued)

Native Employment Works (NEW), Program Year 2005 - 2006 (July 1, 2005 - June 30, 2006)
Clients Entering Unsubsidized Employment, and Clients Receiving
Temporary Assistance for Needy Families (TANF) and General Assistance (GA)

Grantee	Entered Unsubsidized Employment 1/	TANF Recipients Who Entered Unsubsidized Employment 2/	TANF Recipients 3/	BIA General Assistance Recipients
Leech Lake Band of Chippewa Indians	118	108	527	0
Lower Brule Sioux Tribe	2	2	12	0
Lummi Tribe	10	2	7	40
Makah Indian Tribe	15	1	2	33
Mescalero Apache Tribe	10	2	6	7
Minnesota Chippewa Tribe	60	28	376	0
Mississippi Band of Choctaw Indians	58	4	7	2
Navajo Nation	49	23	164	19
Nooksack Indian Tribe	25	9	0	0
Northern Arapahoe Tribe	37	6	6	2
Northern Cheyenne Tribe	52	52	619	0
Oglala Sioux Tribe	16	1	18	17
Omaha Tribe of Nebraska	183	126	311	208
Oneida Tribe of Indians of Wisconsin	6	1	1	0
Pascua Yaqui Tribe	30	30	180	0
Penobscot Nation	1	1	4	0

(continued)

Table 11:12 (continued)

Native Employment Works (NEW), Program Year 2005 - 2006 (July 1, 2005 - June 30, 2006)
Clients Entering Unsubsidized Employment, and Clients Receiving
Temporary Assistance for Needy Families (TANF) and General Assistance (GA)

Grantee	Entered Unsubsidized Employment 1/	TANF Recipients Who Entered Unsubsidized Employment 2/	TANF Recipients 3/	BIA General Assistance Recipients
Puyallup Tribe	0	0	0	0
Sac and Fox Nation of Oklahoma	2	0	1	2
Salt River Pima-Maricopa Indian Community	4	0	8	0
Santee Sioux Nation	4	3	14	54
Sauk-Suiattle Indian Tribe	8	0	1	0
Sault Sainte Marie Tribe of Chippewa Indians	8	3	3	0
Sokaogon Chippewa Community	11	5	8	8
South Puget Inter-Tribal Planning Agency	44	0	0	1
Standing Rock Sioux Tribe	14	10	193	0
Stillaguamish Tribe	12	2	2	0
Swinomish Indian Tribal Community	8	2	5	1
Tohono O'odham Nation	2	2	8	1
Tulalip Tribes	21	5	10	5
Turtle Mountain Band of Chippewa Indians	98	46	361	0
Upper Skagit Indian Tribe	14	6	10	0

1/ Entered Unsubsidized Employment: Clients in any job placement in a position not supported with funds from the NEW program and not part of a supported work assignment. It can include self-employment.
2/ TANF Recipients Who Entered Unsubsidized Employment : Clients who received Temporary Assistance for Needy Families (TANF) and entered unsubsidized employment.
3/ TANF Recipients: Clients who received Temporary Assistance for Needy Families (TANF) during this PY.
4/ BIA General Assistance Recipients: Clients who received General Assistance from the Department of the Interior/Bureau of Indian Affairs during this PY.

Source: Native Employment Works (NEW) grantee program reports.

Table 11:13 (PY 2005 - 2006)

Native Employment Works (NEW), Program Year 2005 - 2006 (July 1, 2005 - June 30, 2006)
Clients Participating in Work Activities and Training

Grantee	Classroom Training / Education	On-the-Job Training	Work Experience	Job Search	Other Work Activities 1/
TOTAL	**1,967**	**223**	**1,672**	**1,892**	**477**
Blackfeet Tribe	122	1	3	137	0
California Indian Manpower Consortium	8	0	41	0	0
Cheyenne and Arapaho Tribes of Oklahoma	8	5	3	3	0
Chippewa Cree Tribe of the Rocky Boy's Res.	18	5	5	37	61
Cocopah Indian Tribe	5	0	2	5	0
Coeur d'Alene Tribe of Idaho	8	0	0	0	0
Comanche Nation	12	0	8	28	0
Confederated Tribes & Bands of theYakama Nation	39	0	6	0	37
Crow Tribe	64	1	0	69	11
Eastern Band of Cherokee Indians	0	9	9	9	0
Forest County Potawatomi Community	5	1	1	5	0
Fort Peck Assiniboine & Sioux Tribes	38	2	2	10	2
Gila River Indian Community	21	0	2	63	7
Hualapai Nation	17	19	19	19	0
Inter-Tribal Council, Inc., of Northeast Oklahoma	6	0	9	15	0
Kickapoo Tribe in Kansas	7	7	5	10	0
Lac Courte Oreilles Band of Chippewa Indians	37	0	42	31	0

(continued)

Table 11:13 (continued)

Native Employment Works (NEW),Program Year 2005 - 2006 (July 1, 2005 - June 30, 2006)
Clients Participating in Work Activities and Training

Grantee	Classroom Training/ Education	On-the-Job Training	Work Experience	Job Search	Other Work Activities 1/
Leech Lake Band of Chippewa Indians	63	6	80	77	8
Lower Brule Sioux Tribe	10	10	8	8	0
Lummi Tribe	17	3	5	15	0
Makah Indian Tribe	3	0	4	21	0
Mescalero Apache Tribe	28	0	0	28	18
Minnesota Chippewa Tribe	99	3	403	348	134
Mississippi Band of Choctaw Indians	0	0	32	43	0
Navajo Nation	195	0	0	12	0
Nooksack Indian Tribe	0	25	25	0	0
Northern Arapahoe Tribe	19	4	1	8	29
Northern Cheyenne Tribe	64	0	501	203	0
Oglala Sioux Tribe	61	0	257	0	0
Omaha Tribe of Nebraska	269	3	9	489	56
Oneida Tribe of Indians of Wisconsin	0	0	0	0	0
Pascua Yaqui Tribe	100	2	7	30	10
Penobscot Nation	5	0	0	0	0

(continued)

Table 11:13 (continued)

Native Employment Works (NEW),Program Year 2005 - 2006 (July 1, 2005 - June 30, 2006)
Clients Participating in Work Activities and Training

Grantee	Classroom Training/ Education	On-the-Job Training	Work Experience	Job Search	Other Work Activities 1/
Puyallup Tribe	0	0	0	0	1
Sac and Fox Nation of Oklahoma	0	0	0	0	0
Salt River Pima-Maricopa Indian Community	14	0	0	4	0
Santee Sioux Nation	67	10	0	64	0
Sauk-Suiattle Indian Tribe	1	1	1	2	0
Sault Sainte Marie Tribe of Chippewa Indians	0	0	0	2	0
Sokaogon Chippewa Community	1	16	16	16	1
South Puget Inter-Tribal Planning Agency	44	0	0	0	61
Standing Rock Sioux Tribe	167	85	85	4	0
Stillaguamish Tribe	14	4	7	11	4
Swinomish Indian Tribal Community	5	0	3	13	0
Tohono O'odham Nation	3	0	4	9	6
Tulalip Tribes	7	0	3	13	22
Turtle Mountain Band of Chippewa Indians	283	1	63	14	0
Upper Skagit Indian Tribe	13	0	1	17	9

1/ Other Work Activities: Work activities/training not covered by other categories in this table e.g., volunteer work, employment seminars, entrepreneurial training, traditional activities such as hunting and fishing, etc.

Source: Native Employment Works (NEW) grantee program reports.

Table 11:14 (PY 2005 - 2006)

Native Employment Works (NEW), Program Year 2005 - 2006 (July 1, 2005 - June 30, 2006)
Clients Receiving Supportive and Job Retention Services

Grantee	Client Families Receiving Child Care	Clients Receiving Transportation	Clients Receiving Counseling	Clients Receiving Medical Services	Other Supportive/ Job Retention Services 1/
TOTAL	**795**	**1,786**	**540**	**143**	**1,270**
Blackfeet Tribe	0	0	0	0	0
California Indian Manpower Consortium	1	10	56	0	0
Cheyenne and Arapaho Tribes of Oklahoma	0	9	2	0	7
Chippewa Cree Tribe of the Rocky Boy's Res.	0	10	14	5	0
Cocopah Indian Tribe	1	13	13	0	0
Coeur d'Alene Tribe of Idaho	0	0	0	0	0
Comanche Nation	0	0	41	0	30
Confederated Tribes & Bands of the Yakama Nation	1	11	3	5	44
Crow Tribe	11	0	7	1	0
Eastern Band of Cherokee Indians	0	0	0	0	4
Forest County Potawatomi Community	1	2	5	0	1
Fort Peck Assiniboine & Sioux Tribes	8	4	5	4	2
Gila River Indian Community	21	31	17	0	9
Hualapai Nation	5	19	14	0	10
Inter-Tribal Council, Inc., of Northeast Oklahoma	6	3	2	0	5
Kickapoo Tribe in Kansas	5	5	2	0	5
Lac Courte Oreilles Band of Chippewa Indians	0	48	10	0	24

(continued)

Table 11:14 (continued)

Native Employment Works (NEW), Program Year 2005 - 2006 (July 1, 2005 - June 30, 2006)
Clients Receiving Supportive and Job Retention Services

Grantee	Client Families Receiving Child Care	Clients Receiving Transportation	Clients Receiving Counseling	Clients Receiving Medical Services	Other Supportive/ Job Retention Services 1/
Leech Lake Band of Chippewa Indians	422	500	25	0	204
Lower Brule Sioux Tribe	1	12	12	1	0
Lummi Tribe	0	45	0	2	0
Makah Indian Tribe	1	14	0	5	16
Mescalero Apache Tribe	0	0	28	0	0
Minnesota Chippewa Tribe	2	107	0	0	66
Mississippi Band of Choctaw Indians	0	0	75	0	52
Navajo Nation	0	94	0	1	100
Nooksack Indian Tribe	0	23	0	0	25
Northern Arapahoe Tribe	25	53	0	1	31
Northern Cheyenne Tribe	79	28	0	0	51
Oglala Sioux Tribe	70	0	0	13	25
Omaha Tribe of Nebraska	11	389	3	0	0
Oneida Tribe of Indians of Wisconsin	0	0	0	0	6
Pascua Yaqui Tribe	100	100	54	100	80
Penobscot Nation	2	5	0	0	0

(continued)

Table 11:14 (continued)

Native Employment Works (NEW), Program Year 2005 - 2006 (July 1, 2005 - June 30, 2006)
Clients Receiving Supportive and Job Retention Services

Grantee	Client Families Receiving Child Care	Clients Receiving Transportation	Clients Receiving Counseling	Clients Receiving Medical Services	Other Supportive/ Job Retention Services 1/
Puyallup Tribe	0	0	0	0	0
Sac and Fox Nation of Oklahoma	0	0	0	0	0
Salt River Pima-Maricopa Indian Community	0	3	3	0	11
Santee Sioux Nation	2	53	0	0	0
Sauk-Suiattle Indian Tribe	0	0	1	0	10
Sault Sainte Marie Tribe of Chippewa Indians	13	13	0	0	0
Sokaogon Chippewa Community	6	29	3	0	13
South Puget Inter-Tribal Planning Agency	0	7	112	1	38
Standing Rock Sioux Tribe	0	47	1	0	5
Stillaguamish Tribe	1	13	5	1	10
Swinomish Indian Tribal Community	0	8	0	1	12
Tohono O'odham Nation	0	5	2	2	9
Tulalip Tribes	0	17	0	0	4
Turtle Mountain Band of Chippewa Indians	0	26	5	0	361
Upper Skagit Indian Tribe	0	30	20	0	0

1/ Other Supportive/Job Retention Services: Supportive and job retention services not covered by other categories in this table, e.g., work-related expenses such as tools, clothing/uniforms, drivers license fees, etc.

Source: Native Employment Works (NEW) grantee program reports.