

AFCARS Assessment Review Case File Findings: Foster Care Data Elements
State: Missouri
Report Period Under Review: April 1, 2008 - September 30, 2008 (2008B)

| AFCARS Element | Data In AFCARS Matches Paper File | Data In AFCARS Does Not Match Paper File | Questionable | Not Found | Comments |
|--|--|---|---------------------|------------------|---|
| #3 Local FIPS Code | 65 | 2 | 0 | 0 | There were two cases in the sample that had the wrong county reported. The county in which an adoption occurred, not the case management case, was reported. In another the county that the child was placed in was reported and not the case management county. The State needs to check on these. |
| #5 Most Recent Periodic Review Date | 49 | 11 | 0 | 7 | In one error case the child had been in care for six months but a periodic review date had not been entered. In the remaining error cases, the dates reported in general did not reflect the actual review that meets the requirements for a periodic review. |
| #6 Child Birth Date | 67 | 0 | 0 | 0 | |
| #7 Child Sex 1 = Male 2 = Female | 67 | 0 | 0 | 0 | |
| #8 Child Race | 67 | 0 | 0 | 0 | |
| a. American Indian or Alaska Native | 67 | 0 | 0 | 0 | |
| b. Asian | 67 | 0 | 0 | 0 | |
| c. Black or African American | 66 | 1 | 0 | 0 | In the error record the reviewer found the child was bi-racial and this race was not reported. |
| d. Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander | 67 | 0 | 0 | 0 | |
| e. White | 65 | 2 | 0 | 0 | In the two error records this race was reported as "no" instead of "yes." The |

AFCARS Assessment Review Case File Findings: Foster Care Data Elements
State: Missouri
Report Period Under Review: April 1, 2008 - September 30, 2008 (2008B)

| AFCARS Element | Data In AFCARS Matches Paper File | Data In AFCARS Does Not Match Paper File | Questionable | Not Found | Comments |
|--|-----------------------------------|--|--------------|-----------|--|
| | | | | | records were reported as “unable to determine” being “yes.” |
| f. Unable to Determine | 65 | 2 | 0 | 0 | The two error records were incorrectly reported as “yes” instead of “no.” |
| #9 Child Hispanic Origin 1 = Yes 2 = No 3 = Unable to Determine | 64 | 3 | 0 | 0 | In one error case the response should have been “yes” instead of “no.” In two error cases, the response should have been “no” instead of “unable to determine.” |
| #10 Has Child Been Diagnosed with Disability? 1 = Yes 2 = No 3 = Not Yet Determined | 44 | 21 | 2 | 0 | There were two error cases that should have been “no” instead of “yes.” In eight error cases the response should have been “yes” instead of “no.” In eleven error cases the AFCARS file indicated “not yet determined.” In seven of these the response should have been “yes.” The two cases marked questionable is due to the children being in care for at least a year and the AFCARS report indicated “not yet determined.” In both situations the reviewers could find no information related to the child’s health or if the child had been seen by a health care professional. |
| #11 Mental Retardation | 66 | 1 | 0 | 0 | The error record should have been “applies” instead of “does not apply.” |
| #12 Visually/Hearing Impaired | 63 | 4 | 0 | 0 | Two of the error records should have been “applies” instead of “does not apply.” |

AFCARS Assessment Review Case File Findings: Foster Care Data Elements
State: Missouri
Report Period Under Review: April 1, 2008 - September 30, 2008 (2008B)

| AFCARS Element | Data In AFCARS Matches Paper File | Data In AFCARS Does Not Match Paper File | Questionable | Not Found | Comments |
|--|--|---|---------------------|------------------|---|
| | | | | | Two error records should have been “does not apply” instead of “applies.” |
| #13 Physically Disabled | 64 | 3 | 0 | 0 | In two error cases this was incorrectly entered/reported as “applies.” In one error case the response should have been “applies” instead of “does not apply.” |
| #14 Emotionally Disturbed | 49 | 18 | 0 | 0 | In 16 of the error records the response should have been “applies” instead of “does not apply.” In two error cases this was incorrectly entered/reported as “applies.” |
| #15 Other Diagnosed Condition | 62 | 5 | 0 | 0 | In three error cases this was incorrectly entered/reported as “applies.” In two error cases the response should have been “applies” instead of “does not apply.” |
| #16 Has Child Ever Been Adopted? 1 = Yes 2 = No 3 = Unable to Determine | 66 | 1 | 0 | 0 | The response should have been “yes” instead of “no.” |
| #17 Age at Previous Adoption 0 = Not Applicable 1 = less than 2 years old 2 = 2-5 years old 3 = 6-12 years old | 66 | 1 | 0 | 0 | The response should have been the AFCARS value “3.” |

AFCARS Assessment Review Case File Findings: Foster Care Data Elements
State: Missouri
Report Period Under Review: April 1, 2008 - September 30, 2008 (2008B)

| AFCARS Element | Data In AFCARS Matches Paper File | Data In AFCARS Does Not Match Paper File | Questionable | Not Found | Comments |
|--|-----------------------------------|--|--------------|-----------|--|
| 4 = 13 years or older 5 = Unable to Determine | | | | | |
| #18 Date of First Removal from Home | 51 | 14 | 2 | 0 | <p>In one error record the child was initially placed with a non-custodial parent. The removal date reflects this time frame instead of the date the child actually entered a foster home within the scope of placements under title IV-E of the Social Security Act.</p> <p>In four error cases the reviewers found an earlier date than the one reported to AFCARS. In these cases the date of the first and current removal episodes were the same, however, element #19 correctly reflected more than one removal episode. Additionally, element #20 had the correct date.</p> <p>In four error records the reviewers found that the physical removal date was actually the day before the day reported to AFCARS.</p> <p>In five error cases the child's initial placement while under the agency's responsibility for placement and care was a hospital. The removal date did not reflect the actual date the child was placed in a foster care placement.</p> |
| #19 Total Number of Removals from Home | 60 | 3 | 2 | 2 | <p>In two error cases the reviewers found no indication of a second removal episode; AFCARS should have indicated one not two removal episodes.</p> |

AFCARS Assessment Review Case File Findings: Foster Care Data Elements
State: Missouri
Report Period Under Review: April 1, 2008 - September 30, 2008 (2008B)

| AFCARS Element | Data In AFCARS Matches Paper File | Data In AFCARS Does Not Match Paper File | Questionable | Not Found | Comments |
|---|-----------------------------------|--|--------------|-----------|---|
| | | | | | <p>In one error case the dates of first and current removal were the same, and were correct. However, the AFCARS indicated there were two removals when there was only one. Element #20 was also correctly reported as blank.</p> <p>In one of the questionable cases it appears from the placement history notes provided by the reviewer that the child may have had one continuous removal episode. The AFCARS file indicates three removals. There were two separate times the child was placed back home for one day.</p> <p>In the other questionable case, the AFCARS file indicates there are four removal episodes, but the reviewer could not verify the dates and it appears there may only be three removal episodes.</p> |
| #20 Date of Discharge from Previous Episode | 59 | 3 | 2 | 3 | <p>In two error cases this field should have been blank because the reviewer found that the number of removals reported in element #19 was incorrect. In addition, in one of the cases the date that was reported to AFCARS for this element was after the date reported for the current placement setting (element #23), which was a correct date.</p> <p>In one error case the reviewer found a date that was later than the one reported to AFCARS.</p> |

AFCARS Assessment Review Case File Findings: Foster Care Data Elements
State: Missouri
Report Period Under Review: April 1, 2008 - September 30, 2008 (2008B)

| AFCARS Element | Data In AFCARS Matches Paper File | Data In AFCARS Does Not Match Paper File | Questionable | Not Found | Comments |
|----------------------------|-----------------------------------|--|--------------|-----------|---|
| | | | | | <p>In one of the questionable cases it appears from the placement history notes provided by the reviewer that the child may have had one continuous removal episode. The AFCARS file indicates three removals. There were two separate times the child was placed back home for one day.</p> <p>In the other questionable case, the AFCARS file indicates there are four removal episodes, but the reviewer could not verify the dates and it appears there may only be three removal episodes.</p> |
| #21 Date of Latest Removal | 50 | 14 | 2 | 1 | <p>In one error record the child was initially placed with a non-custodial parent. The removal date reflects this time frame instead of the date the child actually entered a foster home within the scope of placements under title IV-E of the Social Security Act.</p> <p>In six error cases the child's initial placement while under the agency's responsibility for placement and care was a hospital. The removal date did not reflect the actual date the child was placed in a foster care placement.</p> <p>In two error cases the reviewers found no indication of a second removal episode; AFCARS should have indicated one not two removal episodes.</p> <p>In four error records the reviewers found</p> |

AFCARS Assessment Review Case File Findings: Foster Care Data Elements
State: Missouri
Report Period Under Review: April 1, 2008 - September 30, 2008 (2008B)

| AFCARS Element | Data In AFCARS Matches Paper File | Data In AFCARS Does Not Match Paper File | Questionable | Not Found | Comments |
|--|-----------------------------------|--|--------------|-----------|--|
| | | | | | <p>that the physical removal date was actually the day before the day reported to AFCARS.</p> <p>In one error case the reviewer found that the removal date was earlier than the one reported to AFCARS. Also, the date that was reported to AFCARS occurred after the correctly reported placement date in element #23.</p> <p>In one of the questionable cases it appears from the placement history notes provided by the reviewer that the child may have had one continuous removal episode. The AFCARS file indicates three removals. There were two separate times the child was placed back home for one day.</p> <p>In the other questionable case, the AFCARS file indicates there are four removal episodes, but the reviewer could not verify the dates and it appears there may only be three removal episodes.</p> |
| #23 Date of Placement in Current Setting | 39 | 25 | 1 | 2 | <p>In many of the error cases the dates were incorrect by a day. This is due to the manner in which the workers enter the start and end dates of placements. The actual physical placement date is not used but the date that the payment would begin for the next provider. There may be more actual incorrect dates than what was able to be determined in the final analysis.</p> |

AFCARS Assessment Review Case File Findings: Foster Care Data Elements
State: Missouri
Report Period Under Review: April 1, 2008 - September 30, 2008 (2008B)

| AFCARS Element | Data In AFCARS Matches Paper File | Data In AFCARS Does Not Match Paper File | Questionable | Not Found | Comments |
|--|--|---|---------------------|------------------|---|
| | | | | | Another issue identified is that the discharge date was reported as a new placement date. |
| #24 Number of Previous Placement Settings in This Episode | 40 | 23 | 2 | 2 | In 10 error records the reviewers found more placements than what was reported to AFCARS. In 13 error records the reviewers found fewer placements than what was reported to AFCARS. |
| #25 Manner of Removal From Home for This Episode 1 = Voluntary 2 = Court Ordered 3 = Not Yet Determined | 67 | 0 | 0 | 0 | |
| #26 Physical Abuse | 65 | 2 | 0 | 0 | In the error cases the response should have been "applies" instead of "does not apply." |
| #27 Sexual Abuse | 64 | 3 | 0 | 0 | Two error cases should have been "applies" instead of "does not apply." One error case should have been "does not apply" instead of "applies." |
| #28 Neglect | 65 | 2 | 0 | 0 | Two error cases should have been "applies" instead of "does not apply." |
| #29 Parent Alcohol Abuse | 66 | 1 | 0 | 0 | The error case should have been "applies" instead of "does not apply." |
| #30 Parent Drug Abuse | 64 | 3 | 0 | 0 | Two error cases should have been "applies" instead of "does not apply." One error case should have been "does |

AFCARS Assessment Review Case File Findings: Foster Care Data Elements
State: Missouri
Report Period Under Review: April 1, 2008 - September 30, 2008 (2008B)

| AFCARS Element | Data In AFCARS Matches Paper File | Data In AFCARS Does Not Match Paper File | Questionable | Not Found | Comments |
|---------------------------------|-----------------------------------|--|--------------|-----------|--|
| | | | | | not apply" instead of "applies." |
| #31 Child Alcohol Abuse | 65 | 2 | 0 | 0 | The error case should have been "does not apply" instead of "applies." |
| #32 Child Drug Abuse | 66 | 1 | 0 | 0 | The error case should have been "applies" instead of "does not apply." |
| #33 Child Disability | 65 | 2 | 0 | 0 | The error case should have been "applies" instead of "does not apply." |
| #34 Child's Behavior Problem | 63 | 4 | 0 | 0 | Two error cases should have been "applies" instead of "does not apply." Two error cases should have been "does not apply" instead of "applies." |
| #35 Death of Parent | 67 | 0 | 0 | 0 | |
| #36 Incarceration of Parent | 65 | 2 | 0 | 0 | One error case should have been "applies" instead of "does not apply." One error case should have been "does not apply" instead of "applies." |
| #37 Caretaker Inability to Cope | 66 | 1 | 0 | 0 | Two error cases should have been "applies" instead of "does not apply." One error case should have been "does not apply" instead of "applies." |
| #38 Abandonment | 67 | 0 | 0 | 0 | |
| #39 Relinquishment | 66 | 1 | 0 | 0 | The error cases should have been "applies" instead of "does not apply." |
| #40 Inadequate Housing | 65 | 2 | 0 | 0 | One error cases should have been "applies" instead of "does not apply." One error case should have been "does not apply" instead of "applies." |
| #41 Current Placement Setting | 49 | 18 | 0 | 0 | In 10 of the error cases the placement setting should have been "trial home visit." In four of these the AFCARS file |

AFCARS Assessment Review Case File Findings: Foster Care Data Elements
State: Missouri
Report Period Under Review: April 1, 2008 - September 30, 2008 (2008B)

| AFCARS Element | Data In AFCARS Matches Paper File | Data In AFCARS Does Not Match Paper File | Questionable | Not Found | Comments |
|--|-----------------------------------|--|--------------|-----------|---|
| 1 = Pre-Adoptive Home 2 = Foster Family Home (Relative) 3 = Foster Family Home (Non-Relative) 4 = Group Home 5 = Institution 6 = Supervised Independent Living 7 = Runaway 8 = Trial Home Visit | | | | | <p>indicated “foster family home (relative).” In four error cases the AFCARS file indicated “foster family home (non-relative).” Two records indicated “institution.”</p> <p>In two error cases the response should have been “foster family home (non-relative)” instead of “institution.”</p> <p>One error case should have indicated “foster family home (non-relative)” instead of “pre-adoptive home.”</p> <p>One error case should have indicated “foster family home (non-relative)” instead of “group home.”</p> <p>One error case should have indicated “group home” instead of “foster family home (relative).”</p> <p>Three error cases were reported with this information missing. In two of the cases the response should have been “foster family home (relative).” In the other case the response should have been “foster family home (non-relative).” In two of the cases the child had discharged from foster care. Also, in these cases a foster family structure was reported for element #49.</p> |
| #42 Out of State Placement | 65 | 2 | 0 | 0 | In the error cases the response should have been “yes” instead of “no.” |

AFCARS Assessment Review Case File Findings: Foster Care Data Elements
State: Missouri
Report Period Under Review: April 1, 2008 - September 30, 2008 (2008B)

| AFCARS Element | Data In AFCARS Matches Paper File | Data In AFCARS Does Not Match Paper File | Questionable | Not Found | Comments |
|---|--|---|---------------------|------------------|--|
| #43 Most Recent Case Plan Goal 1 = Reunify with Parent(s) or Principal Caretaker(s) 2 = Live with Other Relative(s) 3 = Adoption 4 = Long Term Foster Care 5 = Emancipation 6 = Guardianship 7 = Case Plan Goal Not Yet Established | 59 | 8 | 0 | 0 | In four error cases this field was left blank and the reviewers found case plan goals. In one error case the case plan goal should have been "long-term foster care" instead of "emancipation." In two error cases the case plan goal should have been "adoption" instead of "reunification." In one error case the case plan goal should have been "reunification" instead of "live with relatives." |
| #44 Caretaker Family Structure 1 = Married Couple 2 = Unmarried Couple 3 = Single Female 4 = Single Male 5 = Unable to Determine | 51 | 15 | 0 | 1 | There are 14 error records that were reported as "unable to determine," and the reviewers found a caretaker family structure. Three records should have been "married couple," one should have been "unmarried couple" and 10 "single female." One error record should have been "unmarried couple" instead of "single female." |
| #45 1st Primary Caretaker's Birth Year | 52 | 15 | 0 | 0 | There are 14 error records that were reported as "unable to determine" and this field was blank. The reviewers found a date of birth. In one error record the wrong year was reported. |
| #46 2nd Primary Caretaker's | 54 | 12 | 0 | 1 | Of the four records reported as "unable to determine" in #44 and blank for this |

AFCARS Assessment Review Case File Findings: Foster Care Data Elements
State: Missouri
Report Period Under Review: April 1, 2008 - September 30, 2008 (2008B)

| AFCARS Element | Data In AFCARS Matches Paper File | Data In AFCARS Does Not Match Paper File | Questionable | Not Found | Comments |
|---|-----------------------------------|--|--------------|-----------|--|
| Birth Year | | | | | <p>element where the reviewer indicated either “married” or “unmarried couple,” a date of birth was found for the second caretaker.</p> <p>Seven error records were reported as blank but element #44 indicated “married couple.”</p> <p>In one error record the wrong year was reported.</p> |
| #47 Mother's Date of TPR | 61 | 6 | 0 | 0 | <p>In two error cases a deceased date should have been reported.</p> <p>In one error case a date was reported but there has not been a termination of parental rights.</p> <p>In two error cases this field was blank but the reviewer found a TPR date.</p> <p>In one error case the reviewer found a later TPR date than the one reported.</p> |
| #48 Father's Date of TPR | 62 | 5 | 0 | 0 | <p>In one error case this field was blank but the reviewer found a TPR date.</p> <p>In one error case the reviewer found a later TPR date than the one reported.</p> <p>In three error cases this field was blank but the reviewer found a TPR date.</p> |
| #49 Foster Family Structure 0 = Not Applicable | 41 | 25 | 0 | 1 | <p>Eleven cases were reported blank instead of “not applicable” (the child was placed in a non-foster home setting or was on</p> |

AFCARS Assessment Review Case File Findings: Foster Care Data Elements
State: Missouri
Report Period Under Review: April 1, 2008 - September 30, 2008 (2008B)

| AFCARS Element | Data In AFCARS Matches Paper File | Data In AFCARS Does Not Match Paper File | Questionable | Not Found | Comments |
|--|-----------------------------------|--|--------------|-----------|---|
| 1 = Married Couple 2 = Unmarried Couple 3 = Single Female 4 = Single Male | | | | | <p>runaway or a trial home visit).</p> <p>Nine cases were in error because the reviewer found that the child was actually on a “trial home visit” and so this element should have been “not applicable.”</p> <p>Three error cases were due to the reviewer identifying that the child was in a foster home and not a group home or institution. A family structure was found for these cases.</p> <p>In one error case the response should have been “single female” instead of “married couple.”</p> <p>In one error case the response should have been “married couple” instead of “single female.”</p> |
| #50 1 st Foster Caretaker's Birth Year | 46 | 15 | 0 | 6 | <p>In eight error cases a date of birth should have been reported.</p> <p>In seven error cases this element should have been blank.</p> |
| #51 2 nd Foster Caretaker's Birth Year | 51 | 13 | 0 | 3 | <p>In seven error cases a date of birth should have been reported.</p> <p>In six error cases this element should have been blank.</p> |
| #52 1 st Foster Caretaker's Race a. American Indian or Alaska | 39 | 21 | 0 | 7 | <p>In one error case the response should have been “no” instead of a blank.</p> <p>In 20 error cases this element should have been blank.</p> |

AFCARS Assessment Review Case File Findings: Foster Care Data Elements
State: Missouri
Report Period Under Review: April 1, 2008 - September 30, 2008 (2008B)

| AFCARS Element | Data In AFCARS Matches Paper File | Data In AFCARS Does Not Match Paper File | Questionable | Not Found | Comments |
|--|-----------------------------------|--|--------------|-----------|--|
| Native | | | | | |
| b. Asian | 39 | 21 | 0 | 7 | In one error case the response should have been "no" instead of a blank. In 20 error cases this element should have been blank. |
| c. Black or African American | 38 | 22 | 0 | 7 | In one error case the response should have been "yes" instead of a blank. In one error case the response should have been "yes" instead of "no." In 20 error cases this element should have been blank. |
| d. Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander | 39 | 21 | 0 | 7 | In one error case the response should have been "no" instead of a blank. In 20 error cases this element should have been blank. |
| e. White | 35 | 25 | 0 | 7 | In four error cases the response should have been "yes" instead of "no." In one error case the response should have been "no" instead of a blank. In 20 error cases this element should have been blank. |
| f. Unable to Determine | 39 | 21 | 0 | 7 | In one error case the response should have been "no" instead of a blank. In 20 error cases this element should have been blank. |
| #53 1 st Foster Caretaker's | 26 | 34 | 0 | 7 | In 14 error cases the response should have been "no" instead of blank. |

AFCARS Assessment Review Case File Findings: Foster Care Data Elements
State: Missouri
Report Period Under Review: April 1, 2008 - September 30, 2008 (2008B)

| AFCARS Element | Data In AFCARS Matches Paper File | Data In AFCARS Does Not Match Paper File | Questionable | Not Found | Comments |
|--|--|---|---------------------|------------------|--|
| Hispanic Origin | | | | | In 18 error cases the response should have been "not applicable" instead of blank. In two error cases the response should have been "not applicable" instead of "no." |
| #54 2 nd Foster Caretaker's Race | 41 | 21 | 0 | 5 | In 14 error case this field should have been blank instead of "no." |
| a. American Indian or Alaska Native | | | | | In seven error cases this element should have been blank. |
| b. Asian | 41 | 21 | 0 | 5 | In 14 error case this field should have been blank instead of "no." |
| | | | | | In seven error cases this element should have been blank. |
| c. Black or African American | 41 | 21 | 0 | 5 | In 14 error case this field should have been blank instead of "no." |
| | | | | | In seven error cases this element should have been blank. |
| d. Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander | 41 | 21 | 0 | 5 | In 14 error case this field should have been blank instead of "no." |
| | | | | | In seven error cases this element should have been blank. |
| e. White | 38 | 24 | 0 | 5 | In three error cases the response should have been "yes" instead of "no." |
| | | | | | In 14 error case this field should have been blank instead of "no." |
| | | | | | In seven error cases this element should |

AFCARS Assessment Review Case File Findings: Foster Care Data Elements
State: Missouri
Report Period Under Review: April 1, 2008 - September 30, 2008 (2008B)

| AFCARS Element | Data In AFCARS Matches Paper File | Data In AFCARS Does Not Match Paper File | Questionable | Not Found | Comments |
|--|-----------------------------------|--|--------------|-----------|--|
| | | | | | have been blank. |
| f. Unable to Determine | 41 | 21 | 0 | 5 | In 14 error case this field should have been blank instead of "no." In seven error cases this element should have been blank. |
| #55 2 nd Foster Caretaker's Hispanic Origin | 36 | 27 | 0 | 4 | In four error cases the response should have been "no" instead of blank. In one error case the response should have been "yes" instead of "no." In 22 error cases this field should have been "not applicable" instead of blank. |
| #56 Date of Discharge | 55 | 11 | 0 | 0 | There was a discrepancy in three records of one day between the day reported in AFCARS and what the reviewers found. Also, in these same records there was no discharge reason reported in element #58, instead the response was "not applicable." Two cases were children living in pre-adopt homes that were adopted in the report period. The other case was a child living with a relative who received legal guardianship of the child. In two error cases there was a discrepancy between the date reported to AFCARS and that found by the reviewer of two to three days. In both cases the child had been on a trial home visit. In one case the reason for discharge (#58) was "not applicable" instead of reunification. |

AFCARS Assessment Review Case File Findings: Foster Care Data Elements
State: Missouri
Report Period Under Review: April 1, 2008 - September 30, 2008 (2008B)

| AFCARS Element | Data In AFCARS Matches Paper File | Data In AFCARS Does Not Match Paper File | Questionable | Not Found | Comments |
|----------------|-----------------------------------|--|--------------|-----------|---|
| | | | | | <p>One error record was blank but a reason (reunification) was reported in element #58. The child had been on a “trial home visit” and it appears that the placement end reason was reported for this element and this case was reported in the same way in the 2008A report period. The reviewer noted the child was discharged during the 2008B report period.</p> <p>In one error case a date and reason for discharge was reported but the child was still in the agency’s responsibility for placement and care as of the end of the report period. (The reviewer noted the child was not discharged until the beginning of the next report period.) This child also was on a “trial home visit.”</p> <p>In two error cases the reviewers found discharge dates that were later than the ones reported to AFCARS (two to three months later). In one the child was on a “trial home visit” and the other the child had been living with relatives.</p> <p>In one error record a discharge date should have been reported.</p> <p>One error record should have reflected the youth’s 18th birthday as the discharge date instead of being blank. According to element #59, the child was not receiving title IV-E funds.</p> |

AFCARS Assessment Review Case File Findings: Foster Care Data Elements
State: Missouri
Report Period Under Review: April 1, 2008 - September 30, 2008 (2008B)

| AFCARS Element | Data In AFCARS Matches Paper File | Data In AFCARS Does Not Match Paper File | Questionable | Not Found | Comments |
|---|-----------------------------------|--|--------------|-----------|---|
| <p>#58 Reason for Discharge</p> <p>0 = Not Applicable 1 = Reunification with Parent(s) or Primary Caretaker(s) 2 = Living with Other Relative(s) 3 = Adoption 4 = Emancipation 5 = Guardianship 6 = Transfer to Another Agency 7 = Runaway 8 = Death of Child</p> | 54 | 13 | 0 | 0 | <p>In one error case a date and reason for discharge was reported but the child was still in the agency's responsibility for placement and care as of the end of the report period. (The reviewer noted the child was not discharged until the beginning of the next report period.) This child also was on a "trial home visit."</p> <p>In one error case the response should have been "reunification" instead of "not applicable." There also was a discrepancy between the date reported to AFCARS and that found by the reviewer of two to three days. The child had been on a trial home visit.</p> <p>In four error cases the response should have been "adoption" instead of "not applicable."</p> <p>In two error case the response should have been "guardianship" instead of "not applicable."</p> <p>In two error cases the child was still in foster care but a reason of "reunification" was reported without a date in element #56. In both cases the child was on a "trial home visit" that started during this report period.</p> <p>In one error case the child was still in care but this element reflected "runaway,"</p> |

AFCARS Assessment Review Case File Findings: Foster Care Data Elements
State: Missouri
Report Period Under Review: April 1, 2008 - September 30, 2008 (2008B)

| AFCARS Element | Data In AFCARS Matches Paper File | Data In AFCARS Does Not Match Paper File | Questionable | Not Found | Comments |
|------------------------------|-----------------------------------|--|--------------|-----------|---|
| | | | | | <p>which was the child's current status for element #41, current placement setting.</p> <p>One error record should have been "emancipation" instead of "not applicable" as the youth turned 18 during the report period. According to element #59, the child was not receiving title IV-E funds.</p> <p>In one error case the discharge reason should have been "live with relatives" instead of "not applicable." Note: In November 2008 the adoption was finalized (adoption by the relatives) and the child is receiving an adoption subsidy (state funds not title IV-E funds).</p> |
| #59 Title IV-E Foster Care | 61 | 6 | 0 | 0 | <p>In five error records the response should have been "applies" instead of "does not apply."</p> <p>In one error record the response should have been "does not apply" instead of "applies."</p> |
| #60 Title IV-E Adoption | 67 | 0 | 0 | 0 | |
| #61 Title IV-A AFDC | 66 | 1 | 0 | 0 | <p>In one error record the response should have been "applies" instead of "does not apply."</p> |
| #62 Title IV-D Child Support | 64 | 3 | 0 | 0 | <p>In two error records the response should have been "applies" instead of "does not apply."</p> <p>In one error record the response should</p> |

AFCARS Assessment Review Case File Findings: Foster Care Data Elements
State: Missouri
Report Period Under Review: April 1, 2008 - September 30, 2008 (2008B)

| AFCARS Element | Data In AFCARS Matches Paper File | Data In AFCARS Does Not Match Paper File | Questionable | Not Found | Comments |
|------------------------|--|---|---------------------|------------------|---|
| | | | | | have been “does not apply” instead of “applies.” |
| #63 Title XIX Medicaid | 64 | 3 | 0 | 0 | In the error records the response should have been “applies” instead of “does not apply.” |
| #64 SSI | 60 | 7 | 0 | 0 | In the error records the response should have been “does not apply” instead of “applies.” |
| #65 None of the Above | 66 | 1 | 0 | 0 | In the error record the response should have been “applies” instead of “does not apply.” |
| #66 Monthly Amount | | | | | |

AFCARS ASSESSMENT REVIEW CASE FILE FINDINGS: Adoption Date Elements
State: Missouri
Report Period Under Review: April 1, 2008 - September 30, 2008 (2008B)

| AFCARS Element | Data In AFCARS Matches Case File | Data In AFCARS Does Not Match Paper File | Questionable | Not Found | Comments |
|--|---|---|---------------------|------------------|--|
| #4 State Agency Involvement 1 = Yes 2 = No | 26 | 0 | 0 | 0 | |
| #5 Child Date of Birth | 26 | 0 | 0 | 0 | |
| #6 Child Sex 1 = Male 2 = Female | 26 | 0 | 0 | 0 | |
| #7 Child Race | 26 | 0 | 0 | 0 | |
| a. American Indian or Alaska Native | | 0 | 0 | 0 | |
| b. Asian | 26 | 0 | 0 | 0 | |
| c. Black or African American | 26 | 0 | 0 | 0 | |
| d. Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander | 26 | 0 | 0 | 0 | |
| e. White | 26 | 0 | 0 | 0 | |
| f. Unable to Determine | 26 | 0 | 0 | 0 | |
| #8 Child Hispanic Origin 1 = Yes 2 = No 3 = Unable to Determine | 25 | 1 | 0 | 0 | The response should have been "no" instead of "unable to determine." |
| #9 Has Agency Determined Special Needs | 21 | 5 | 0 | 0 | In each of the error cases the response should have been "yes" instead of "no." |
| #10 Primary Basis for Determining Special Needs 0 = Not Applicable | 21 | 5 | 0 | 0 | In three of the error cases the response should have been "membership in a sibling group." |

AFCARS ASSESSMENT REVIEW CASE FILE FINDINGS: Adoption Date Elements
State: Missouri
Report Period Under Review: April 1, 2008 - September 30, 2008 (2008B)

| AFCARS Element | Data In AFCARS Matches Case File | Data In AFCARS Does Not Match Paper File | Questionable | Not Found | Comments |
|---|---|---|---------------------|------------------|---|
| 1 = Racial/Original Background 2 = Age 3 = Membership in a Sibling Group 4 = Medical Conditions or Mental, Physical or Emotional Disabilities 5 = Other | | | | | In one error case the response should have been "medical conditions or mental, physical or emotional disabilities." In one error case the response should have been "other" (guarded prognosis). |
| #11 Mental Retardation | 26 | 0 | 0 | 0 | |
| #12 Visually/Hearing Impaired | 26 | 0 | 0 | 0 | |
| #13 Physically Disabled | 26 | 0 | 0 | 0 | |
| #14 Emotionally Disturbed | 25 | 1 | 0 | 0 | The response should have been "applies." |
| #15 Other Diagnosed Condition | 26 | 0 | 0 | 0 | |
| #16 Mother's Birth Year | 20 | 4 | 0 | 2 | An incorrect year was reported for each of the error cases. |
| #17 Father's Birth Year | 16 | 5 | 0 | 5 | An incorrect year was reported for each of the error cases. |
| #18 Mother Married at Time of Birth 1 = Yes 2 = No 3 = Unable to Determine | 21 | 5 | 0 | 0 | In each of the error cases the response reported to AFCARS was "unable to determine." There was no indication that these children were all abandoned (dates of birth were reported and verified for the mother and father's birth years). In three error cases the reviewer found that the mother was married at the time of the child's birth and in one case the mother was not married. The other two cases were more than likely not married. |

AFCARS ASSESSMENT REVIEW CASE FILE FINDINGS: Adoption Date Elements
State: Missouri
Report Period Under Review: April 1, 2008 - September 30, 2008 (2008B)

| AFCARS Element | Data In AFCARS Matches Case File | Data In AFCARS Does Not Match Paper File | Questionable | Not Found | Comments |
|---|---|---|---------------------|------------------|---|
| #19 Date of Mother's TPR | 21 | 5 | 0 | 0 | |
| #20 Date of Father's TPR | 20 | 6 | 0 | 0 | |
| #21 Date Adoption Legalized | 24 | 2 | 0 | 0 | |
| #22 Adoptive Family Structure 1 = Married Couple 2 = Unmarried Couple 3 = Single Female 4 = Single Male | 26 | 0 | 0 | 0 | |
| #23 Adoptive Mother's Year of Birth | 25 | 1 | 0 | 0 | |
| #24 Adoptive Father's Year of Birth | 25 | 1 | 0 | 0 | |
| #25 Adoptive Mother's Race | 26 | 0 | 0 | 0 | |
| a. American Indian or Alaska Native | | | | | |
| b. Asian | 26 | 0 | 0 | 0 | |
| c. Black or African American | 26 | 0 | 0 | 0 | |
| d. Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander | 26 | 0 | 0 | 0 | |
| e. White | 26 | 0 | 0 | 0 | |
| f. Unable to Determine | 26 | 0 | 0 | 0 | |
| #26 Adoptive Mother's Hispanic Origin | 26 | 0 | 0 | 0 | |
| #27 Adoptive Father's Race | 19 | 7 | 0 | 0 | |
| a. American Indian or Alaska Native | | | | | These were errors because the race categories should have been left blank because the adoptive mother was single. |

AFCARS ASSESSMENT REVIEW CASE FILE FINDINGS: Adoption Date Elements
State: Missouri
Report Period Under Review: April 1, 2008 - September 30, 2008 (2008B)

| AFCARS Element | Data In AFCARS Matches Case File | Data In AFCARS Does Not Match Paper File | Questionable | Not Found | Comments |
|---|---|---|---------------------|------------------|--|
| b. Asian | 19 | 7 | 0 | 0 | These were errors because the race categories should have been left blank because the adoptive mother was single. |
| c. Black or African American | 19 | 7 | 0 | 0 | These were errors because the race categories should have been left blank because the adoptive mother was single. |
| d. Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander | 19 | 7 | 0 | 0 | These were errors because the race categories should have been left blank because the adoptive mother was single. |
| e. White | 19 | 7 | 0 | 0 | These were errors because the race categories should have been left blank because the adoptive mother was single. |
| f. Unable to Determine | 19 | 7 | 0 | 0 | These were errors because the race categories should have been left blank because the adoptive mother was single. |
| #28 Adoptive Father's Hispanic Origin | 26 | 0 | 0 | 0 | |
| #29 Relationship of Adoptive Parent to Child - Stepparent | 26 | 0 | 0 | 0 | |
| #30 Relationship of Adoptive Parent to Child - Other Relative | 20 | 6 | 0 | 0 | The responses should have been "applies" instead of "does not apply." These were an additional relationship to the one reported. |
| #31 Relationship of Adoptive Parent to Child - Foster Parent | 24 | 2 | 0 | 0 | The responses should have been "applies" instead of "does not apply." These were an additional relationship to the one reported. |
| #32 Relationship of Adoptive Parent to Child - Other Non-Relative | 26 | 0 | 0 | 0 | |
| #33 Child Was Placed from | 26 | 0 | 0 | 0 | |

AFCARS ASSESSMENT REVIEW CASE FILE FINDINGS: Adoption Date Elements
State: Missouri
Report Period Under Review: April 1, 2008 - September 30, 2008 (2008B)

| AFCARS Element | Data In AFCARS Matches Case File | Data In AFCARS Does Not Match Paper File | Questionable | Not Found | Comments |
|---|---|---|---------------------|------------------|-----------------------------|
| 1 = Within State 2 = Another State 3 = Another Country | | | | | |
| #34 Child Was Placed by 1 = Public Agency 2 = Private Agency 3 = Tribal Agency 4 = Independent Person 5 = Birth Parent | 26 | 0 | 0 | 0 | |
| #35 Receiving Monthly Subsidy | 25 | 0 | 0 | 1 | |
| #36 Monthly Amount | 22 | 3 | 0 | 1 | Incorrect amounts reported. |
| #37 Adoption Assistance | 23 | 0 | 0 | 3 | |